¹**Regionalization in global hydrological models and its impact on**

²**runoff simulations: A case study using WaterGAP3**

³**(v 1.0.0)**

Jenny Kupzig¹, Nina Kupzig², Martina Flörke¹ 4

⁵ ¹Institute of Engineering Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Ruhr-University, 44801, Bochum, Ger-

many 6

²Faculty of Management and Economics, Ruhr-University, 44780, Bochum, Germany

Correspondence to: Jenny Kupzig (jenny.kupzig@rub.de) 8

9 **Abstract:**

Valid simulation results from global hydrological models (GHMs), such as WaterGAP3, are essential to detecting 10 hotspots or studying patterns in climate change impacts. However, the lack of worldwide monitoring data makes 11 it challenging to adapt GHMs' parameters to enable such valid simulations globally. Therefore, regionalization is 12 necessary to estimate parameters in ungauged basins. This study presents the results of regionalization methods 13 for the first time applied on the GHM WaterGAP3. It aims to provide insights into (1) selecting a suitable region-14 15 alization method for a GHM and (2) evaluating its impact on runoff simulation. In this study, four new regionali-16 zation methods have been identified as appropriate for WaterGAP3. These methods span the full spectrum of methodologies, i.e., regression-based methods, physical similarity, and spatial proximity, using traditional and 17 18 machine learning-based approaches. Moreover, the methods differ in the descriptors used to achieve optimal results, although all utilize climatic and physiographic descriptors. This demonstrates (1) that different methods use 19 descriptor sets with varying efficiency and (2) that combining climatic and physiographic descriptors is optimal 20 for regionalizing worldwide basins. Additionally, our research indicates that regionalization leads to spatially and 21 22 temporally varying uncertainty in ungauged regions. For example, regionalization highly affects southern South America, e.g., leading to high uncertainties in the flood simulation of the Río Deseado. The local impact of re-23 24 gionalization propagates through the water system, also affecting global estimates, as evidenced by a spread of 25 1,500 km³ yr⁻¹ across an ensemble of five regionalization methods in simulated global runoff to the ocean. This 26 discrepancy is even more pronounced when using a regionalization method deemed unsuitable for WaterGAP3, 27 resulting in a spread of 4,208 km³ yr⁻¹. This significant increase highlights the importance of carefully choosing

regionalization methods. Further research is needed to enhance the predictor selection and the understanding of 28

29 the methods' robustness on a global scale.

30 **1. Introduction**

Global hydrological models (GHMs) are developed and applied worldwide, e.g., to detect hotspots and examine 31

patterns of climate change impacts on the terrestrial water cycle (e.g., Barbarossa et al., 2021; Boulange et al., 32

2021). Valid model results are a prerequisite to draw robust conclusions. For valid modeling results, it is beneficial 33

to adjust the parameter values to adapt the models to different basin processes (Gupta et al., 1998). This adaptation 34

is usually modified and evaluated (in a loop) by comparing the simulated model output, often discharge, with the 35

monitored data. However, this parameter adjustment for GHMs is challenging due to the lack of global monitoring 36

- data. Consequently, parameter adjustment for GHMs can be based not only on monitored data (i.e., calibration) 37
- but also on estimating parameter values for ungauged basins (i.e., regionalization). 38
- Regionalization defines the estimation of model parameters for ungauged basins (Oudin et al., 2008), usually based 39
- on information from gauged basins (Oudin et al., 2010). Regionalization methods generally follow the same prin-40
- ciple: basin characteristics (e.g., physiographic and/or climatic) are linked to hydrological characteristics and can 41
- thus be used to estimate parameter values. Various regionalization methods exist, and no overall preferred method 42
- has been found (Ayzel et al., 2017; Pool et al., 2021). In contrast, the optimal regionalization method may differ, 43
- for example, regarding available information (Pagliero et al., 2019) or model structures (Golian et al., 2021). 44 Therefore, different methods should be tested to find an optimal regionalization method for a specific use case 45
- (e.g., Qi et al., 2020). 46
- Evaluation is needed to assess different regionalization methods. The evaluation of regionalization methods is 47
- particularly challenging because they are usually applied when there is a lack of monitoring data. Therefore, re-48
- gionalization studies often treat gauged basins as "ungauged" and perform leave-one-out cross-validation (e.g., 49
- 50 Chaney et al., 2016) or split-sample tests (e.g., Beck et al., 2016; Nijssen et al., 2000; Yoshida et al., 2022). While
- 51 at the mesoscale, this evaluation is already an integral part (e.g., McIntyre et al., 2005; Parajka et al., 2005; Oudin
- et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2020), this is sometimes not the case in global or continental studies (e.g., Müller Schmied 52
- et al., 2021; Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007). Another reasonable evaluation strategy is the concept of benchmark-to-53
- beat (Schaefli & Gupta, 2007; Seibert, 2001). Applying a benchmark-to-beat supports a comprehensive evaluation 54
- of whether a new approach is functional, e.g., better than a straightforward and thus transparent method or better 55
- than a predecessor. To the authors' knowledge, such a benchmark-to-beat has never been used to evaluate innova-56
- 57 tions in regionalization at a global scale.
- In general, regionalization methods can be divided into two categories based on the parameter estimation strategy: 58 (1) regression-based and (2) distance-based (He et al., 2011). Regression-based methods derive the relationship 59
- between basin characteristics and model parameters through fitted regression models. These mathematically de-60
- 61 fined relationships are further applied to estimate model parameters of ungauged basins (e.g., Kaspar, 2004; Müller
- Schmied et al., 2021). A significant drawback of regression-based regionalization is the difficulty of incorporating 62
- parameter interdependencies (Poissant et al., 2017), as regression-based approaches often assume that the depend-63
- ent variables, i.e., the model parameters, are not correlated (Wagener et al., 2004). Distance-based approaches 64
- transfer complete parameter sets from similar or nearby donor basins to ungauged basins (e.g., Beck et al., 2016; 65
- Nijssen et al., 2000; Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007). Using an ensemble of donor basins, e.g., by averaging the pa-66
- 67 rameter values or model outputs, can improve the performance of such methods (e.g., Arsenault & Brissette, 2014).
- A significant disadvantage of such methods is the clustering problem of ungauged basins, i.e., the unequal distri-68
- 69 bution of gauging stations worldwide (Krabbenhoft et al., 2022). Thus, basins exist where distance-based ap-
- 0 proaches will use incomparable basins to transfer parameter values due to the lack of close basins. 7
- Recent advances have implemented machine learning-based techniques in the context of regionalization. For ex-71
- ample, Chaney et al. (2016) used regression trees as an alternative to least squares regression to estimate parameter 72
- values in ungauged basins. Pagliero et al. (2019) explored supervised and unsupervised clustering methods to 73
- define the similarity of basins to transfer parameter sets. To the authors' knowledge, no study has compared several 74
- 5 traditional regionalization methods with machine learning-based methods for a GHM on a global scale. 7
- Some regionalization methods do not make a clear distinction between calibration and regionalization. For exam-76
- ple, Arheimer et al. (2020) applied a basin grouping beforehand. Then, they jointly calibrated the group members 77
- to define representative parameter sets. Subsequently, the representative parameter sets are transferred to other 78
- basins based on grouping rules. Another approach defines so-called transfer functions (Samaniego et al., 2010) 79
- 80 and calibrates meta-parameters instead of the model parameter values (Beck et al., 2020; Feigl et al., 2022). These
- methods, where regionalization is part of the calibration process, often require a change in the calibration process 81
- itself, which is challenging for GHMs (Schweppe et al., 2022), for example, due to a lack of code flexibility (e.g., 82
- 83 Cuntz et al., 2016).
- 84 This study proposes an improved regionalization method for the state-of-the-art GHM WaterGAP3 (Eisner, 2016).
- It compares traditional regionalization methods with machine learning-based methods and uses a benchmark-to-85
- beat and an ensemble of split-sample tests to evaluate the applied methods. Further, global runoff simulations are 86
- compared to analyze the impact of regionalization methods. The overall research topic is evaluating and selecting 87
- regionalization methods for a GHM. Specifically, the study has two objectives. It aims 88
- (1) to propose an improved regionalization method for WaterGAP3 and 89
- (2) to evaluate the impact of regionalization methods on global runoff simulations. 90

91 **2. Data and Methods**

92 **2.1 The Model: WaterGAP3**

- 93 The GHM WaterGAP3 simulates the terrestrial water cycle, including the main water storage components and a simple storage-based routing algorithm. It is a fully distributed model that operates on a five arcmin grid and 94 simulates at a daily time step. A more detailed description of the model can be found in Eisner (2016). 95
- In WaterGAP3, most model parameter values are set a priori, e.g., using look-up tables for albedo or rooting depth. 96
- Only one parameter, γ, is calibrated, which is part of the soil moisture storage in which runoff generation processes
- are present. The model equation for γ, which originates from the HBV-96 model (Lindström et al., 1997), is given 98
- 99 in Eq. (1) (cf. ll. 1223-4 in daily.cpp of the published model (Flörke et al., 2024)). Generally, higher values of γ
- lead to lower runoff volumes, while lower values of γ lead to higher runoff volumes. The model parameter is
- 101 calibrated per basin within the range of 0.1 and 5. The objective function of the calibration is to minimize the
- 102 deviation between the mean annual simulated and observed river discharge, i.e., the calibration aims to reduce the
- error in discharge volume. Given the monotonic relationship between the model's parameter and the optimization 103
- function, a simple search algorithm is applied: The parameter space is divided into rectangles, which are subse-104
- 105 quently subdivided into smaller rectangles depending on the direction γ should be modified to achieve closer
- alignment with the optimization target. The calibration results in one calibrated γ value between 0.1 and 5 per
- basin. After the calibration, a correction is applied to account for high errors in the mass balance, e.g., due to 107
- inaccuracies in global meteorological forcing products. This correction is only applicable on gauged basins. It is, 108
- 109 therefore, neglected in this study.

$$
110 \qquad R = P_t \cdot \left(\frac{s_s}{s_{s,max}}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{1}
$$

111 where R is the daily runoff, P_t is the daily throughfall, S_s is the actual soil storage, $S_{s,max}$ is the maximal soil

112 storage (given as a global map in Appendix A), and γ is the calibration parameter.

- Traditionally, the regionalization process in WaterGAP3 is a simple multiple linear regression (MLR) approach to 113
- estimate the calibration parameter γ for ungauged basins (e.g., Döll et al., 2003; Kaspar, 2004). The drawback of 114
- 115 MLR regarding parameter interaction can be neglected: As there is only one parameter to estimate, parameter
- interference does not exist. Instead, the approach offers the advantage of a lightweight, transparent application that 116
- 117 can be quickly revised and adapted.

118 **2.2 Model Data**

WaterGAP3 requires various input data, such as soil information, topography, or information on open freshwater 119 bodies. This study uses the same input data as Kupzig et al. (2023). For meteorological forcing, we use the global 120 data set EWEMBI (Lange, 2019). This data product includes daily global forcing data with a spatial resolution of 121 0.5 degrees (latitude and longitude) that covers a period from 1979 to 2016. Specifically, WaterGAP3 uses the 122 following forcing information from the EWEMBI data set as input: 123

- 124 · daily mean temperature,
- 125 · daily precipitation,
- 126 · daily shortwave downward radiation, and
- 127 · daily longwave downward radiation.

The WaterGAP3 calibration requires observed monthly river discharge data. This discharge data is subsequently 128 129 transformed into annual discharge sums and used as a benchmark in the calibration procedure. In this study, we used discharge data from 1,861 stations that were manually verified (Eisner, 2016). To get the best data available, 130 131 we have updated all available station data with recent data from The Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC, 2020). 132 All stations have at least five years of complete (monthly) station data between 1979 and 2016. For each station,

- a contribution area, i.e., a basin, is defined with the gridded flow-direction information obtained from WaterGAP3, 133
- 134 based on the HydroSHEDS database (Lehner et al., 2008).
- 135 The 1,861 basins are calibrated using the above-described standard calibration approach for WaterGAP3. Following the standard calibration procedure, some basins still have an insufficient model performance. In this context, 136 137 we define a monthly Kling-Gupta-Efficiency (KGE) (Gupta et al., 2009) below 0.4 or more than 20 % bias in 138 monthly flow as insufficient model performance. The expression for the KGE is given in Eq. (2). We underscore the importance of minimizing the error in discharge volume by defining it as an additional criterion corresponding 139 to the optimization target during calibration. Basins not fulfilling the defined conditions regarding bias and KGE 140 141 are neglected in further analysis to avoid high parameter uncertainty due to errors in input data, model structure, 142 or discharge data affecting the analysis. Further, we have excluded all basins with less than 5000 km^2 (inter-) basin 143 size from the next upstream basin. We assume that this inter-basin size is large enough to assume a certain degree 144 of interdependency between nested basins. In total, 933 out of 1,861 basins are selected for regionalization (626 are neglected due to insufficient model performance, and 302 are neglected due to inadequate basin size). 145

146
$$
KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(1 - r)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x}\right)^2}
$$
 (2)

147 where \dot{r} is the Pearson correlation coefficient between observed discharge x and simulated discharge y, σ denotes the corresponding standard deviation, and μ the corresponding mean of observed and simulated discharge.

Figure 1a depicts the worldwide calibrated basins, highlighting gauged and ungauged regions. Whereas most parts 149

of North and South America are gauged, Africa and Australia remain largely ungauged. A cluster of gauged basins 150

- is in Central Europe and in Eastern Asia. Gauged regions with insufficient model performance are mainly in the 151
- 152 Mississippi River basin, Southern Africa, Australia, and large parts of Brazil. These regions are known to be chal-
- 153 lenging for GHMs (e.g., cf. Fig. 8b in Stacke & Hagemann, 2021).
- Figure 1b shows the calibrated values for γ. It emerges that the calibrated values tend to be at the upper and lower 154 155 bounds of the parameter space. This behavior is already known (cf. Fig. 4b in Müller Schmied et al., 2021). A brief sensitivity analysis and discussion of the calibration parameter are included in Appendix B. The results of 156 this analysis indicate that the clustering of the calibrated parameter value is not related to an inappropriate selection 157 of the parameter bounds but instead to the absence or an insufficient representation of processes. Thus, the clus-158 tering of the calibrated values does not indicate an inadequate selection of the parameter bounds but highlights the 159 necessity to improve the model structure and the calibration strategy for WaterGAP3. However, this study focuses 160 solely on analyzing and implementing regionalization methods. It does not aim to enhance the model structure or 161 to change the calibration procedure of WaterGAP3. Future studies are needed to achieve the latter, as WaterGAP3 162 contains many hard-coded parameters or parameters defined by look-up tables that need to be analyzed to identify 163 and adjust sensitive parameters more accurately during calibration. Initial steps in this direction have already been 164 taken for WaterGAP2 in the form of a multivariate and multi-objective case study in the Mississippi River basin 165
- 166 (Döll et al., 2024).

167 **Figure 1: a) Map of calibrated basins, highlighting basins not used for regionalization due to insufficient model perfor-**168 **mance or inadequate basin size and b) the histogram of the calibrated γ values for all used basins showing a cluster of** parameter values at the parameter bounds.

170 **2.3 Basin Descriptors**

This study uses basin descriptors as predictors to drive regression-based or distance-based regionalization ap-171 proaches. These basin descriptors are based on data used within the model simulation (as they are globally avail-172 able). They are aggregated to basin values using a simple mean method to have the same spatial resolution as the 173 calibrated model parameter. Thus, in the case of nested basins, the inter-basin area is used to define the basin 174 175 descriptors. The selection of the predictors, i.e., basin descriptors that support the estimation of γ , is crucial for 176 regionalization methods (Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Typically, this selection aims to obtain the most infor-177 mation with the least number of predictors to (1) improve the model quality and (2) limit over-parametrization. In 178 this study, we use 12 basin descriptors to develop regionalization methods; nine of these descriptors are physiographic, while the remaining three are climatic (see Table 1). Most descriptors are not correlated (see Appendix 179 180 C), i.e., we minimize redundant information (Wagener et al., 2004).

- A descriptor subset is selected based on correlation analysis between basin descriptors and calibrated γ value and 181 entropy assessment. Pearson's correlation coefficient detects linear correlation, and Spearman's Rho and Kendall's 182
- Tau detect a non-linear correlation. Shannon entropy (Shannon, 1948) measures the information gain of the pre-183
- 184 dictors explaining the calibrated γ value. The higher the information gain, the more valuable the basin descriptor
- 185 is for explaining the variation in the calibrated γ value. The analysis directly evaluates the relationship between
- 186 the calibrated parameter and the basin descriptors, as WaterGAP3 uses only one calibration parameter with a clear
- 187 global optimum within the parameter space. An alternative would be to use flow characteristics to define the basis
- for regionalization (e.g., Pagliero et al., 2019). We decided to use the calibrated parameter instead of flow charac-188
- teristics as it does not need any further assumption on which flow characteristics determine the model's parameter. 189
- Statistical information of the evaluated basin descriptors and the corresponding correlation coefficients and infor-190
- 191 mation gain are listed in Table 1. The basin descriptors demonstrate a considerable degree of variability, e.g., the
- 192 basin size ranges from 5000 km² to 3,112,480 km² with a median of 13,796 km². The mean temperature varies
- 193 from -19 °C to 29 °C, and the sum of precipitation ranges from 213 mm to 5,716 mm. Although there is a high
- degree of variability in the analyzed basin descriptors, the basin descriptors exhibit low correlation coefficients 194
- 195 with the calibrated values. For example, the permafrost coverage shows the strongest Pearson correlation of -0.37
- (and -0.50 for Spearman's Rho). The information gain indicates the same results as the correlation analysis, i.e., 196
- the information gain is generally relatively low, and descriptors with a higher correlation tend to have a higher 197
- 198 information gain. For example, the mean temperature exhibits the maximal information gain of 17.6 % and has
- 199 the second-highest correlation coefficient with a Pearson correlation of 0.34.
- 200 **Table 1: Basin descriptors: statistical information, correlation, and entropy assessment. Selected physiographic and** 201 **climatic basin descriptors are written in bold.**

¹Information gain is given in percentage of total information content in γ after Shannon (1948)

- 202 In contrast to the findings of Wagener and Wheater (2006), the correlation coefficients between the basin descriptors and the calibrated values are relatively low, indicating a weak relationship. One potential explanation for 203 204 this discrepancy is that Wagener and Wheater (2006) used a smaller number of basins in southeast England, with limited versatility (e.g., regarding climate and seasonality) compared to the 933 worldwide basins used in this 205 study. Studies using a large number of basins likely tend to find a lower correlation between catchment attributes 206 207 and model parameters (Merz et al., 2004). Moreover, the clustered calibrated γ values at the bounds of the valid
- parameter space may disturb the results of this analysis. As the calibrated value masks the effect of multiple sources 208
- of errors, such as uncertainty in the input data, model structure, or varying hydrological processes, finding a mean-209
- ingful relationship between catchment characteristics and calibrated values is challenging. 210
- Because the basis for the descriptor selection seems uncertain, given the low correlation and the named constraints, 211

212 we additionally run the regionalization methods with all descriptors to evaluate the descriptor selection. Further

- on, to ascertain the advantage of integrating climatic descriptors, we run the regionalization methods using either 213
- physiographic or climatic descriptors. In total, we used four groups of basin descriptors to implement the region-214 alization methods: 215
- 216 "cl": all three climatic descriptors,
- 217 "p": all nine physiographic descriptors,
- 218 "p+cl": all 12 descriptors, and
- 219 "subset": two correlated climatic descriptors (mean temperature, annual shortwave radiation) & three correlated physiographic descriptors (slope class, forest %, permafrost %). 220

221 **2.4 Regionalization Methods**

In our study, we test several traditional and machine learning-based regionalization methods against each other 222 and a defined benchmark-to-beat to find suitable regionalization methods for WaterGAP3. At the global scale, 223 regionalization is particularly challenging due to (1) the lack of high-quality data, (2) the diversity of dominant 225 hydrological processes in basins, and (3) the high computational demands of the models. Therefore, a robust re-226 gionalization method that applies to a wide variety of basins and is not computationally demanding should be 227 selected for a global application.

- 228 We test three common traditional approaches and two machine learning-based approaches using the concepts of spatial proximity, physical similarity, and regression-based methods. As WaterGAP3's model calibration is very 229 rigid and has only one parameter, it is not feasible to implement and test regionalization methods that incorporate 230 regionalization into the calibration process, such as transfer functions. In addition, we avoid high computational 231 demands as all evaluated methods are applicable after the calibration, i.e., without running the model. 232
- 233 As the calibration of WaterGAP3 results in a parameter distribution with a cluster of parameter values at the
- parameter bounds, we implement a so-called "tuning" to introduce information about the parameter space into 234
- regionalization. In detail, we apply a simple threshold-based approach to shift the regionalized parameter values 235 236 to the extremes, i.e., $\gamma_{est} < \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 0.1$ and $\gamma_{est} > \gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 5.0$. The thresholds γ_1 and γ_2 are defined 237 by applying the k-means algorithm with three centers to the calibrated parameter values. This clustering results in
- 238 three clusters: one for low, one for medium, and one for high γ values. Subsequently, γ_1 refers to the highest γ
- 239 value of the low cluster and γ_2 refers to the lowest γ value of a high cluster.
- To evaluate the regionalization methods, we implement an ensemble of split-sample tests. Specifically, we ran-240
- 241 domly split the basins into 50 % gauged (for training) and 50 % pseudo-ungauged (for testing). The split has a
- relatively high percentage of pseudo-ungauged basins, accounting for many missing gauges worldwide and the 242
- high importance of generalizability. We fit the methods and apply them to the training and testing data sets. The 243
- split-sample test is repeated 100 times by randomly splitting the basins to account for sampling effects. 244
- As there is only one calibration parameter, γ, this parameter has a global optimum per basin. Consequently, the
- quality of training and testing is directly assessed by the deviation between the regionalized and the calibrated 246
- 247 value for γ . The closer the regionalized values are to the calibrated ones, the more accurate the prediction. We
- assess the prediction accuracy by the logarithmic version of the mean absolute error (logMAE) shown in Eq. (3) 248
- 249 to account for the decreasing sensitivity of γ for higher values (see Appendix B). The lower the logMAE, the better 250 the prediction; a zero value in logMAE expresses no error. The regionalization method is robust if the prediction
- 251 accuracy is similar in training and testing. A generally good performance, i.e., small logMAE values, indicates
- 252 that the regionalization method suits WaterGAP3. The comparison of γ values enables applying a wide range of
- regionalization methods and sets of descriptors, as no computationally intensive model simulation is required. 253
- However, it assumes that deviations in γ lead, in turn, to deviations in discharge, which is only partially true 254
- because of varying parameter sensitivity in basins (e.g., Kupzig et al., 2023). To validate that the logMAE is a 255
- sufficient approximator for the regionalization performance in WaterGAP3, we use one representative split-sample 256
- from the ensemble to compare the accuracies in simulated discharge for different regionalization methods. 257

258
$$
logMAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum |ln (\gamma_{x,i} + 1) - ln(\gamma_{y,i} + 1)|
$$
 (3)

259 where *n* is the number of basins in the corresponding sample, $\gamma_{x,i}$ is the calibrated value of γ for the ith basin, and 260 $\gamma_{y,i}$ is the estimated value of γ for the ith basin. We applied a Box-Cox-type transformation with $\lambda_1=0$ and $\lambda_2=1$ (Box and Cox, 1964) to calculate the logMAE, avoiding negatively transformed values. 261

262 **Regression-based methods**

- 263 The traditionally used regionalization approach of WaterGAP3 is a regression-based MLR. As the benchmark-tobeat, we use the regionalization approach from WaterGAP2.2d defined in Müller Schmied et al. (2021). We con-264 sider it a suitable benchmark-to-beat given that WaterGAP2 has a model structure and calibration process that is 265 266 very similar to WaterGAP3. The main difference between these models is that WaterGAP2 simulates at 0.5° spatial resolution. The benchmark-to-beat consists of "a multiple linear regression approach that relates the natural loga-267 rithm of *γ* to basin descriptors (mean annual temperature, mean available soil water capacity, fraction of local and 268 global lakes and wetlands, mean basin land surface slope, fraction of permanent snow and ice, aquifer-related 269 270 groundwater recharge factor)". (Müller Schmied et al., 2021) We fit this regression model to our data and define 271 the quality of this approach as the benchmark-to-beat. Moreover, we test an independent MLR approach without using the logarithmical scaling of *γ* and using the above-defined sets of basin descriptors. For MLR and the bench-272 273 mark-to-beat, we use the lm() function of the R package stats (R Core Team, 2020). After applying the regression 274 model, we adjust the estimated parameter values to ensure that the estimated values range between 0.1 and 5.
-
- Furthermore, a machine learning-based method, random forest (RF), is tested for regionalization as an alternative 275
- 276 to MLR. Here, we implement the random forest algorithm with the randomForest() function from the R package
- 277 randomForest (Liam & Wiener, 2002), which is based on Breimann (2001). The algorithm uses an ensemble of
- 278 decision trees, making the decision human-like. It is relatively robust because it incorporates random effects into
- 279 the training process. To implement this randomness, we define the algorithm as one that can choose between two
- 280 randomly selected predictors at each node, using an ensemble of 200 trees.

281 **Physical Similarity**

- As the traditional physical similarity approach, we use Similarity Indices (in the following named with SI), apply-282
- 283 ing the methodology proposed by Beck et al. (2016). The SI (see Eq. (4)) are derived using the defined basin
- 284 descriptors sets, and the parameter of the most similar basin is transferred to the pseudo-ungauged basin. Addi-
- tionally, we use an ensemble of basins to control whether an ensemble-based approach leads to more robust results. 285
- 286 The optimal number of donor basins may vary between research regions and hydrological models (Guo et al.,
- 2020). Here, we use ten donor catchments (noted with "ensemble") based on Beck et al. (2016) and McIntyre et
- al. (2005). Further, we apply a simple mean method for the ensemble-based prediction to aggregate the ensemble 288
- of *γ* values into one predicted parameter value. 289

290
$$
S_{i,j} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{|z_{p,i} - z_{p,j}|}{i_{QR_p}}
$$
 (4)

291 where $S_{i,j}$ is the Similarity Index between basin i and basin j, $Z_{p,j}$ is the basin descriptor p for basin j, IQR_p is the 292 interquartile range for basin descriptor p among all (gauged) basins, and n is the number of all basin descriptors used. 293

294 As an alternative machine learning-based approach, we apply a simple k-means algorithm. We selected the k-295 means algorithm because it is one of the most widely used clustering algorithms (Tongal & Sivakumar, 2017). It is easy to understand and use. The algorithm kmeans() is implemented in the R base package stats. It aims to 296 297 maximize variation between groups and minimize variation within groups. The number of clusters to use is deter-298 mined by multiple indices calculated with the R package NbClust (Charrad et al., 2014). For all 933 basins and 299 the defined sets of basin descriptors, most indices defined three as the optimal number of clusters. Accordingly, we use three clusters to generate the groups of basins. As different scales of the predictor values can affect the 301 clustering, a rescaling with min-max-normalization (see Eq. (5)) is performed on the training set and applied to the testing set. After the grouping, the mean γ value is assigned as a representative calibrated value to the corresponding basin group. To estimate the corresponding group for a pseudo-ungauged basin, the knn algorithm is 303 304 used, and the representative γ value of the group is assigned to the pseudo-ungauged basin. This algorithm is implemented by the knn() function of the R package class (Venables & Ripley, 2002). Since the k-means method 305 is less flexible than SI, we implement a highly flexible version, using the knn algorithm directly to define the donor 306 basin most similar to each ungauged basin. Using the knn algorithm directly, we test how beneficial it is to create 307 groups of similar basins using the kmeans algorithm and regionalize the parameter with a representative mean 308 309 value.

$$
310 \t Z'_{p,j} = \frac{z_{p,j} - \min\limits_{j \to m} (z_{p,j})}{\max\limits_{j \to m} (z_{p,j}) - \min\limits_{j \to m} (z_{p,j})} \tag{5}
$$

311 where $Z'_{p,j}$ is the normalized basin descriptor p for basin j, $Z_{p,j}$ is the basin descriptor p for the basin j, m is the 312 number of (gauged) basins.

313 **Spatial Proximity**

The spatial proximity approach is one of the easiest to regionalize parameter values. However, it is also often 315 criticized that nearby basins do not necessarily have the same hydrological behavior (Wagener et al., 2004). Furthermore, its performance depends on the density of the network of gauged basins (Lebecherel et al., 2016). The 316 dependency on network density is particularly challenging for global applications where large parts of the world 317 are ungauged (e.g., northern Africa). Nevertheless, the approach has been successfully applied in other studies 318 (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2020), even globally (Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007). Here, we take the distance 319 between the centroids of the basins as the reference for the spatial distance between basins, as done by others 320

- (Oudin et al., 2008; Merz and Blöschl, 2004). We use the abbreviation SP in the text below to refer to the spatial 321
- proximity approach. Figure 2 provides an overview of the applied regionalization methods and information used 322
- 323 for the experimental setup.

325 **Figure 2: Experimental setup of the study: regionalization methods, used modifications and information, and the gen-**326 **eral workflow (MLR: Multiple Linear Regression, SI: Similarity Indices, SP: Spatial Proximity, RF: RandomForest).**

327 **3. Results and Discussion**

328 **3.1 Evaluating the effect of tuning**

First, the impact of the tuning approach on the regionalization approaches is evaluated. Therefore, Fig. 3 depicts 329

the differences in logMAE between the standard and tuned approaches in testing, i.e., using the pseudo-ungauged 330

basins. A positive difference in logMAE indicates an increase in accuracy, whereas a negative difference indicates 331

a decrease in accuracy due to the tuning. 332

Using the tuning thresholds of about 1.1 and 3.4 for γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively, enhances the predictive accuracy for 334 kmeans, MLR, RF, and the ensemble approach of SI. The most remarkable improvement for kmeans, RF, and SI ensemble is achieved when all physiographic descriptors are used as input (mean improvement of 0.077, 0.058, 335 and 0.071, respectively). MLR shows the most significant improvement when using all available descriptors (mean 336 improvement of 0.038). In contrast, the tuning decreases the performance for knn, SI, and SP, with a mean degra-337 338 dation between -0.02 and -0.05. Unlike the enhanced regionalization techniques, these methods transfer singlebasin information to ungauged regions. Thus, the tuning disturbs the use of single-basin information yet simulta-339 neously enhances the performance of methods that transfer multi-basin information. The disturbance or improve-340 341 ment is probably related to the capability of the methods representing the clustering of parameter values at the 342 extremes: Whereas the multi-basin information transfer implies a smoothing and thus suffers from a lack of rep-

resenting the extremes, the single-basin information transfer exhibits no such a smoothing. 343

- The exception from the above-defined rule is the benchmark-to-beat approach. The benchmark-to-beat is the only 344 approach that uses logarithmic scaled γ values when fitting the model. This logarithmic transformation leads to an increase in estimating small values. Thus, when the benchmark-to-beat is tuned, more basins with higher calibrated 346 γ values receive low estimates. The tuning intensifies this effect, leading to a decrease in the accuracy of the
- logMAE from the standard to the tuned version. Thus, for models using logarithmical transformed γ values, the 348
- defined thresholds for the tuning are not appropriate. 349
- Applying knowledge of the optimal parameter space enhances the quality of regionalization for methods transfer-
- ring multi-basin information in case the tuning thresholds are appropriate. This positive effect is not surprising, as 351
- incorporating a priori information about parameter distribution strengthens parameter estimation (e.g., described 352
- in Tang et al. (2016) using the Bayes Theorem). However, for single-basin transfer, which already represents the 353
- 354 parameter space well, i.e., the clustering of γ at the extremes, the tuning disturbs the performance. This indicates
- that such tuning needs to be cautiously introduced as there is the risk of decreasing the accuracy of regionalization. 355

357 **Figure 3: Changes in performance between standard and tuned versions for all applied regionalization approaches.** Positive values indicate an improvement related to the tuning.

359 **3.2 Evaluating descriptor subsets & algorithm selection**

Different descriptor sets yield different performances in regionalizing γ. Table 2 shows the median of all logMAE 360 values for the testing. For a complete overview of the results of the split-sample test ensemble, see Appendix D. 361 Evaluating Table 2 reveals that the selected subset or all descriptors consistently yield the best performance across 362 all regionalization methods. In both variants of the ensemble approach of SI, the tuned version of the no-ensemble 363 approach of SI, and the standard version of RF, the selected subset yields the best results. For all other methods, 364 using all descriptors yields the best results. Hence, all methods perform best when combining climatic and physi-365 ographic descriptors. This benefit of using climatic and physiographic descriptors is consistent with others that 366 often apply a combination of climatic and physiographic descriptors, achieving optimal regionalization results 367 68 (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Reichl et al., 2009). 3

- The machine learning-based approaches seem to benefit most when using more information displaying an im-369
- provement for all methods (knn, kmeans, and RF) and both variants (standard and tuned) ranging from "cl", "p", 370
- 371 "subset" to "p+cl". This is not surprising as machine learning is developed to deal with big data sets. The traditional
- 372 methods MLR and SI do not exhibit such a distinct pattern. The (weakly) correlated subset of climatic and physi-
- ographic descriptors yields the best results for SI. As utilizing all descriptors decreases the performance slightly, 373
- 374 the results indicate that uncorrelated descriptors may disturb the performance of this approach. For MLR, the
- 375 meaning of physiographic information is highest, resulting in the best ("p+cl") and second best ("p") results. The
- disparate performance of the regionalization methods when using different descriptor sets indicates that different 376
- 377 methods use descriptor sets with varying efficiency. It also emphasizes that the selection of descriptors impacts
- 378 the regionalization method's results, as noted by others (Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Consequently, the above-
- performed analysis defining a descriptor subset lacks universal validity as methods exist where the defined subset 379
- is outperformed. Instead, the validity of this approach is most closely aligned with the SI approaches. 380
- Although the algorithms kmeans and knn are similar, they yield considerably different performances in Table 2.
- As knn shows a logMAE of 0.432 at best, the kmeans algorithm performs poorly, resulting in the best logMAE of
- 0.472. This indicates that applying the kmeans clustering algorithm to transfer averaged parameters is inappropri-383
- ate for WaterGAP3. This may be attributed to the reduced flexibility of the approach, which entails estimating 384
- 385 only three γ values due to the optimal, though limited, number of centers. The ensemble SI approach consistently
- 386 outperforms the no-ensemble SI approach in almost all variants. The positive effect of an ensemble approach for
- SI has already been noted (Oudin et al., 2008). Therefore, it is recommended that the number of donor basins 387
- derived from the literature be adopted in future applications to be optimal for WaterGAP3, likely resulting in 388
- higher performance. 389

390 **Table 2: Median logMAE of 100 split-samples for pseudo-ungauged basins, i.e., in testing, for all regionalization meth-**391 **ods applying four sets of descriptors for a) the standard version and b) the tuned version. The bold numbers indicate a** 392 **better performance than the benchmark-to-beat. Thicker edges mark best-performing variants, which are chosen for** further analysis. Grey-shaded cells indicate worst-performing variants, which were taken to validate the assumption 394 **that lower logMAE values result in lower KGE values.**

a) test (median)	MLR	RF	SI ensemble no ens.		kmeans	knn	SP	B2B
cl	0.552	0.483	0.496	0.483	0.619	0.501		
p	0.479	0.465	0.487	0.480	0.551	0.477		
$p + c$	0.464	0.464	0.454	0.462	0.534	0.432	0.454	0.461
subset	0.488	0.488	0.461	0.439	0.539	0.467		

(b)

 \overline{a}

test* (median)	MLR	RF	SI ensemble no ens.		kmeans	knn	SP	B2B
cl	0.529	0.467	0.537	0.459	0.619	0.546		
p	0.441	0.416	0.532	0.455	0.515	0.521		
$p + c$	0.427	0.403	0.503	0.435	0.472	0.480	0.502	0.488
subset	0.453	0.408	0.501	0.409	0.477	0.509		

 ³⁹⁵

Only a few regionalization methods outperform the benchmark-to-beat. The best descriptor sets of tuned MLR, 396

397 RF, and SI ensemble approach have a logMAE of 0.427, 0.403, and 0.409, respectively. The standard version of

knn ("p+cl") and SP yield 0.432 and 0.454 in logMAE, respectively. Additionally, two variants of the standard SI 398

approaches outperform the benchmark-to-beat yet exhibit inferior results compared to the selected tuned approach. 399

- All other regionalization methods show higher logMAE values than the benchmark-to-beat. These methods are
- 401 considered insufficient in terms of performance to regionalize γ in WaterGAP3. As the benchmark-to-beat outper-
- forms all kmeans approach variants, it is deemed unsuitable for regionalizing γ for WaterGAP3 and, therefore, 402
- excluded from further analysis. 403

The well-performing SP on a global scale is surprising as the distances between basins are potentially long, and 404 hydrological processes may strongly vary. It is probably beneficial for the SP approach that γ comprises all kinds 405 of errors, e.g., spatially localized errors in global forcing products (e.g., Beck et al., 2017 reported errors for arid 406 regions in the precipitation product) or inaccurately represented processes for larger regions. Thus, the estimation 407 $\frac{408}{9}$ of y might be appropriate, but not because of the same hydrological behavior but due to the same kind of errors.

- The RF approach is outstanding, as it shows a massive loss in performance from training to testing (see Appendix 409 410 D). In detail, the logMAE in testing is about twice the logMAE in training. In comparison, other methods show values of logMAE in testing ranging from 95.6 % to 101.4 % of logMAE in training. This performance loss indi-411 cates that RF is not a robust regionalization method for WaterGAP3. Other studies that reported the good perfor-412 mance of RF for regionalization have not investigated the stability of the performance from training to testing 413 (Golian et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2023). Likely, the mathematical problem of predicting the calibrated parameter for 414 WaterGAP3, with all its challenges (e.g., tailored parameter space, clustered calibrated parameter, and incorpora-415 tion of many sources of errors), cannot be adequately solved by RF. Thus, although RF is known to be especially 416 robust among other machine learning-based techniques, it shows symptoms of over-parameterization. This indi-417 418 cates that the algorithm is too flexible and adjusts to noise in the data, missing the underlying systematic. This lack of robustness is particularly disadvantageous since, for WaterGAP3, regionalization is applied globally, requiring 419 regionalizing large parts of the world. In consequence, the RF approach is left out from further analysis and defined 420
- 421 as not suitable to regionalize γ for WaterGAP3.
- For the tuned MLR approach and the knn approach, the best performing and, therefore, selected variant employs 422 all 12 descriptors. This number of predictors for a regionalization method is among the highest found in the literature (e.g., McIntyre et al., 2013, used three predictors; Beck et al., 2016, used eight predictors; Chaney et al., 424 2010, used 13 predictors). In general, it is advisable to limit the number of degrees of freedom in a model to reduce 425 the risk of over-parametrization, thus increasing the probability of generalizability (Seibert et al., 2019). As both 426 427 model variants exhibit a stable model performance during training and testing (see Table D1), using a high proportion of the basins for testing, i.e., 50 %, we consider the two variants robust despite the relatively high number 428 of predictors used. Therefore, we consider them appropriate for further model evaluation. 429
- Abovertheless, the chosen basin descriptors for knn and tuned MLR could be enhanced in future studies. As the 431 descriptor set "p+cl" was initially considered as a control group to determine the suitability of the selected subset, it is not optimal. To indicate potential enhancements regarding the descriptor set for both methods, we calculated 432 a simple permutation-based feature importance score (cf. Breiman, 2001) by randomly shuffling each predictor 433 within the testing data set and quantifying the loss in logMAE relative to the logMAE of the original testing data 434 set. The higher the loss, the more critical the shuffled predictor for the regionalization method. The resulting feature 435 importance scores are presented in Appendix E, indicating that for the tuned MLR, the subset of (weakly) corre-436 lated descriptors should be extended by including waterbody information. For the knn approach, the calculated 437 feature importance scores indicate that it should be extended by including information about the soil storage. 438

439 **3.3 Performance of selected algorithm in pseudo-ungauged basins**

To avoid the high risk of sampling effect when applying the split-sample test, we conduct an ensemble of 100 440 split-sample tests analyzing the median of logMAE between regionalized and calibrated values as an indicator for 441 performance. Directly using the differences in regionalized and calibrated values is only meaningful when the 442 calibrated value represents the global optimum. As this is often not the case, e.g., due to equifinality, the perfor-443 mance of regionalization methods is usually assessed by the accuracy of simulated discharge (e.g., Samaniego et 444 al., 2010; Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Because WaterGAP3 requires computationally intensive simulations, run-445 ning WaterGAP3 for all 100 split-sample tests for the selected methods is not feasible. Therefore, we select a 446 single representative split-sample to assess the quality of representing the discharge in the pseudo-ungauged basins 447 448 using regionalized γ values. The representative split-sample leads to comparable logMAE values to the corresponding median of the ensemble for all regionalization methods. For the evaluation, WaterGAP3 was run for the 449 same period used in calibration (from 1979 to 2016), with the first year simulated ten times to allow for model 450 451 warm-up. Using this period ensures the availability of sufficient data for the evaluation (see Chapter 2.2). Furthermore, the differences between the monthly simulated and observed discharge are assessed using the KGE. 452

- To evaluate the KGE, we select the best-performing methods that outperform the benchmark-to-beat: tuned MLR 458 459 "p+cl", knn "p+cl", tuned SI ensemble "subset", and SP (see Table 2). For the sake of simplicity, we further mark them with "(best)". Additionally, we select three poorly performing variants to validate the assumption that meth-460 ods resulting in higher logMAE values tend to result in lower KGE values, i.e., lower accuracy of simulated dis-461 charge. These methods are tuned SI "cl" (logMAE: 0.537), tuned knn "cl" (logMAE: 0.546), and MLR "cl" (log-462 MAE: 0.552). Further, we denote these methods with "worst". Applying the selected methods and the benchmark-463
- 464 to-beat method results in eight estimates of γ for the pseudo-ungauged basins, whose performance is further eval-
- uated in terms of simulated discharge accuracy. 465
- Figure 4a shows the resulting KGE values for the evaluated regionalization methods and the calibrated version as 466
- 467 grouped boxplots for different ranges of calibrated γ. The methods show different performances for different γ
- ranges, indicating their strengths and weaknesses. For the smallest γ range, "0.1-0.2", the selected methods that 468
- $\frac{469}{469}$ perform well during the split-sample test outperform the benchmark-to-beat. The better result for minimal γ ranges
- is probably partially related to the advantage of the tuning, which leads to more predictions of 0.1 within the 470
- 471 regionalization. The benchmark-to-beat shows the best performance for γ values between 0.2 and 0.5. The good
- 472 performance for basins with calibrated γ values between 0.2 and 0.5 is probably related to the benefit of using the
- 1473 logarithmical version of γ in the benchmark-to-beat, leading to more estimates of smaller values. However, this
- affects only 12 % of the basins, as calibrated values between 0.2 and 0.5 are not frequently present in the calibration 474
- result. Generally, the differences in KGE appear higher for smaller γ values, probably due to the decreasing pa-475
- rameter sensitivity with higher values (see Appendix B). 476
- 477 Given the variability in the performance of the regionalization methods across the depicted γ ranges, it is challeng-
- ing to identify an overall best regionalization method using Fig. 4a. Therefore, we compare the various metrics of 478
- the KGE values depicted in Fig. 4b. The analyzed metrics are the minimum, maximum, mean, and median. Further, 479
- we count the number of poorly performing basins, defined as basins with a KGE below 0.2. In Fig. 4b, metrics 480
- that exceed the benchmark-to-beat are grey-shaded. Comparing the KGE metrics in Fig. 4b reveals that the meth-481
- ods showing higher logMAE values in our split-sampling test ensemble also show lower performance in simulating 482
- discharge. For example, all mean (and median) KGE values of the "worst" methods are below the mean KGE of 483
- 0.587 from the benchmark-to-beat, ranging from 0.545 to 0.578. This indicates that the used logMAE between 484
- regionalized and calibrated values is a valid tool for a preliminary selection of adequate methods for the regional-485
- ization of WaterGAP3. However, for a more comprehensive analysis, we recommend additionally analyzing the 486
- accuracy of simulated discharges, as the logMAE of calibrated and regionalized parameter values simplifies the 487
- inherent complexity between model parameters and model performance. 488
- Moreover, SI (best) outperforms the benchmark-to-beat in all listed metrics, reducing poorly performing basins 489 and enhancing well-performing basins. MLR (best) performs very similarly to SI (best), yet it shows a higher 490 number of basins with KGE values below 0.2. In comparison to the benchmark-to-beat, it outperforms four out of 491 five criteria. The remaining well-performing methods, SP and knn (best), demonstrate superior or equal perfor-492 mance to the benchmark-to-beat in three out of five criteria. SP results in an equal number of poorly performing 493
- basins, and the minimal KGE value is lower than for the benchmark-to-beat. The knn (best) approach has a slightly 494
-
- worse median of KGE, i.e., -0.001, and one additional basin shows a KGE below 0.2. 495
- As SI (best) outperforms the benchmark-to-beat in all metrics, we conduct a statistical test to ascertain whether
- there is a statistically significant difference in KGE results between the methods. To this end, we use a one-sided 497
- paired Wilcoxon rank sum test to test the null hypothesis of whether the KGE differs significantly in central ten-498
- dency. A significance level of 0.05 and an adjusted p-value are applied to correct for multiple comparisons (using 499
- 500 the correction after Benjamini & Hochberg (1995)). The results (cf. Figure F1c) demonstrate that SI (best) outper-
- forms all "worst" methods and the benchmark-to-beat. However, the null hypothesis for SP and the "best" options 501
- of knn and MLR cannot be rejected. Consequently, rather than identifying a single alternative to the benchmark-502
- 503 to-beat, we have identified four.
- Notably, all regionalization methods lead to poorly performing basins, as evidenced by the range of basins with a 504
- KGE below 0.2, varying from 13 to 37. In Fig. 4c, we examine whether there are basins that all methods cannot 505
- 506 regionalize, thereby indicating a general insufficiency of the regionalization methods for these basins. The histo-
- gram indicates that most poorly performing basins belong to a single regionalization method. The high number of 507
- basins, which cannot be estimated well by a single regionalization method, illustrates the diverse shortcomings of 508
- 509 the methods. A single basin shows poor performance across all methods. This is a basin of the river El Platanito 510 in Mexico. The calibrated γ value is about 1.5, and the corresponding KGE value in calibration is 0.466. This basin
- 511 appears to be highly sensitive to γ , with an inaccuracy in the estimated γ having a significant impact on the accuracy
- 512 of river discharge. For example, the benchmark-to-beat estimates γ to 1.0, which is close to the calibrated value of
- 1.5. However, the KGE value of the simulated discharge using the benchmark-to-beat is -0.158 due to a high
- 514 overestimation of the variation and mean of the discharge. This high sensitivity seems outstanding and is likely
-
- 515 attributable to the absence of waterbodies and snow, supporting a potentially high impact of γ on the model simu-
- 516 lation (Kupzig et al., 2023) in conjunction with a relatively small basin size (ca. 6,600 km²).

517 Model evaluation is at least partially subjective (Ritter & Muñoz-Carpena, 2013), and the choice of evaluation 518 criteria represents a source of uncertainty in model performance evaluation (Onyutha, 2024). Furthermore, the 519 choice should reflect the intended model use (Janssen & Heuberger, 1995). As GHMs are often applied to evaluate 520 monthly simulated discharge (e.g., Herbert and Döll, 2023; Jones et al., 2023; Tilahun et al., 2024), we assess the 521 model performance using monthly data. Moreover, GHMs are generalists rather than expert models; thus, the model evaluation should encompass a range of aspects related to streamflow to obtain an overall metric. Therefore, 522 523 we applied the monthly KGE, which comprises information about the streamflow's variability, bias, and timing. As we use monthly values, we expect that outliers, i.e., single flood events, are less influential than in daily data 524 sets. Consequently, we expect the disadvantage of the KGE exhibiting sampling uncertainty to be less significant 525 526 (cf. Clark et al., 2021).

Nevertheless, to reduce the risk that disadvantages of the evaluation criteria influence the model evaluation, we 527 528 conducted an additional model evaluation using a modified version of the Nash-Sutcliff efficiency (NSE) (Nash & Sutcliff, 1970). This modified NSE uses absolute differences instead of squared terms, leading to a metric that 529 530 is especially suitable as an overall measure (Krause et al., 2005). The results of the analysis are in Appendix F. The high boxplot similarity between the modified NSE and the KGE confirms that the monthly KGE represents 531 the overall monthly model quality. Moreover, the statistical metrics of the modified NSE indicate that MLR (best), 532 in particular, outperforms the benchmark-to-beat. Applying the one-sided paired Wilcoxon rank sum test on the 533 534 modified NSE reveals that knn (best), SI (best), and the benchmark-to-beat deliver no statistically significant differences in the central tendency to the well-performing MLR (best). These differences in results illustrate that the 535 536 choice of evaluation criteria can significantly impact the experimental outcome. Moreover, it underpins the usefulness of evaluating ensemble approaches to account for this inherent uncertainty. 537

538 **3.4 Impacts on runoff simulations**

- To evaluate the impact of runoff simulations, we apply an ensemble of regionalization methods generating γ esti-
- 540 mates for the worldwide ungauged regions. Within the ensemble, we use the four methods SI (best), knn (best),
- 541 MLR (best), and SP that (1) outperform the benchmark-to-beat regarding the logMAE of regionalized and cali-
- brated values and (2) perform similarly to each other and better than the benchmark-to-beat in KGE for monthly 542 discharge. Additionally, we use the benchmark-to-beat as the fifth member of our regionalization method ensem-543
- ble, as it shows no significantly weaker performance than the well-performing MLR (best) for the modified NSE. 544
- The entire set of 933 gauged basins is used for regionalizing γ, resulting in five distinct worldwide distributions of 545 γ. The spatially distributed standard deviation of the regionalized values is shown in Fig. 5. 546
- In particular, the southern parts of South America, the northern and southern parts of North America, and Central 547
- Asia reveal differences in γ across the ensemble of regionalization methods (see Fig. 5). In Europe, the highest
- differences in regionalized values are observed in Italy, Great Britain, and northern Portugal. In Oceania, the high-549
- est values in standard deviation of γ are in Tasmania, New Zealand, and the southwest of Australia's coast. In 550
- 551 contrast, a minor variation in γ is apparent in northern Africa, most parts of Australia, and the East of the Dead
- Sea. Thus, the uncertainty associated with globally regionalizing γ seems to vary across different regions. 552

554 **Figure 5: Standard deviation in regionalized γ values using the best approaches of MLR (best), SI (best), SP, knn (best), and the benchmark-to-beat. Note that dry regions without discharge are set to zero.** 555

- An example of how these uncertainties in regionalized values propagate through the water system is presented in 556
- Fig. 6. This figure displays the coefficient of variation of the mean yearly discharge between 1980 and 2016 based 557
- 558 on the five simulation runs. Moreover, we highlight the effect on rivers in ungauged regions by showing the re-
- sulting seasonal pattern, i.e., the simulated long-term mean of monthly river discharge for three exemplary rivers. 559
- These rivers are the Río Bravo in Mexico, the Tiber in Italy, and the Tamar River in Tasmania. Each river is located 560
- 561 in an ungauged region, where the standard deviation in γ is high (see Fig. 5).
- Comparing Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 reveals that regions showing variability in γ tend to exhibit variation in mean yearly 562 discharge. However, the impact of variation in γ on the simulated discharge appears to vary spatially. Some regions 563 564 showing a high degree of variation in γ do not exhibit a correspondingly high degree of variation in discharge. For example, 45 % of all ungauged regions showing a low variation in discharge, i.e., the coefficient of variation is 565 below 0.5, exhibit a standard deviation of more than one in γ. In contrast, about 89 % of the ungauged regions 566 567 showing a higher discharge variation exhibit a standard deviation of more than one in γ. Thus, variation in γ does not necessarily lead to variation in river discharge, but it increases the likelihood that a region's discharge is af-568 fected. The spatially varying impact of γ is likely related to varying sensitivity regarding γ in the ungauged regions, 570 which depends on numerous aspects, e.g., snow occurrence or waterbodies (see Kupzig et al., 2023).
- 571 About 11 % of the ungauged area exhibits variations in yearly river discharge exceeding 50 % of the mean. These
- 572 regions are primarily in southern South America and Central Asia. A further 62 % of the ungauged area exhibits
- 573 variations in yearly river discharge between 10 % and 50 % of the mean. These regions are mainly located on the
- northern coast of Russia and northern Canada, Indonesia, and Tasmania. Other areas, like most ungauged regions 574

575 of Africa and Australia, show almost no impact, i.e., the variation in yearly discharge is less than 10 % of the 576 mean. In northern Africa, one region exhibits higher values in the coefficients of variation. These values are attributable to minimal discharge values, resulting in comparatively high coefficients of variation in this region. 577

- Considering the variation in the seasonality in the selected ungauged river systems (see Fig. 6b-d), the temporal 578
- impact of regionalization varies across the local landscape. For the Tamar River in Tasmania, as illustrated in Fig. 579
- 6d, the variation is higher at the start and end of the dry periods in October/November and April/May, respectively. 580
- 581 The spread in monthly mean discharge is about $0.7 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ to 1 m^3s^{-1} in these periods. The Tiber in Italy and the Río
- Bravo in Mexico exhibit a similar pattern: using the regionalized γ values of SP leads to much higher discharge 582
- rates than other ensemble members, introducing broad uncertainty bands. For the Tiber, this leads to seasonal 583 estimates varying between 1.2 % (in January) and 11 % (in October) of the mean yearly sum. The Río Bravo shows 584
- 585 variations in its seasonal pattern, with values ranging from 2.2 % (in February) to 6.8 % (in October) of the mean
- 586 vearly sum. Thus, all rivers display a temporally varying impact. Whereas the main variation in the discharge of
- 587 the Río Bravo and the Tiber is mainly attributed to the SP regionalization run, for the Tamaris River, all regional-
- ization runs contribute to the varying long-term monthly mean in discharge. 588
- 589

Figure 6: a) Global map of the coefficient of variation in mean yearly discharge for the applied regionalization methods. Resulting differences in the regionalization ensemble regarding the long-term mean of monthly discharge are depicted for: b) the Río Bravo in Mexico, c) the Tiber in Italy and d) the Tamar River in Tasmania. The grey-shaded area indicates the range of the long-term mean of monthly discharge and the black line indicates the mean off all simulation 595 **runs.**

- To gain a deeper understanding of the local impact of regionalization on runoff simulations, we analyze the annual 596 597 percentiles from 1980 to 2016 for Río Deseado in Argentina, Río Bravo, and Tamar River, displaying the mean
- percentile of all years (see Fig. 7a-c). As the Tiber and Río Bravo display high similarities in the resulting patterns 598
- of percentiles, we demonstrate the impact by showing the percentiles from the Río Bravo. Additionally, we com-599
- 600 pare the relative differences in the mean for each percentile using eight ungauged river systems (see Fig. 7d), as

previously done by Gudmundsson et al. (2012) for nine GHMs. To calculate the relative difference, we subtract 601 the mean annual percentile of a method from the corresponding mean annual percentile of the reference and divide 602 the resulting difference by the mean annual percentile of the reference. Instead of using observed flow as a refer-603 ence, we use the annual percentiles of our benchmark-to-beat. As river discharge is already spatially aggregated 604 information, it is unnecessary to spatially aggregate grid cells to create results comparable to those of Gudmunds-605 son et al. (2012), who used cell runoff. The evaluated river systems are Río Chubut, Río Deseado, Río Negro, Río 606 607 Bravo, Tamar River, Tiber, Pescara, and Ebro.

609 **Figure 7: Mean annual percentiles between 1980 and 2016 of simulated discharge using an ensemble of regionalization** 610 **methods. The rivers are a) Río Deseado, b) Tamar River, and c) Río Bravo. In d), the relative differences in mean annual** 611 **percentiles to the benchmark-to-beat of eight ungauged river systems are presented. Negative values indicate smaller** 612 **mean annual percentiles than the benchmark-to-beat. Note that all data points from Río Deseado for knn and SP are** 613 **excluded as the values are above 2.0.**

- In Fig. 7a, Río Deseado is highly affected by uncertainties in simulated discharge due to the different regionaliza-614
- tion methods; all segments of the percentiles show high variations where the absolute spread is increasing with 615
- increasing percentiles. For SP and knn (best), the discharge is highest, e.g., estimating a median discharge of 13.7 616
- 617 m^3 s⁻¹ and 19.7 m³s⁻¹, respectively. For the other methods, the simulated discharge is low, e.g., SI and MLR result
- 618 in an equal median discharge of 3.6 m^3s^{-1} . The Tamar River in Fig. 7b also shows increasing absolute differences
- between the methods for higher percentiles, with the benchmark-to-beat approach leading to the highest discharge. 619
- For the Río Bravo, the absolute differences between the highest result of SP and the other methods remain almost 620
- 621 constant until the $75th$ percentile. For the 95th percentile, the absolute differences increase rapidly from about 40
- 622 m^3 s⁻¹ (75th percentile) to nearly 200 m³s⁻¹ (95th percentile). The exemplary results of Río Deseado and Río Bravo indicate a potentially high degree of uncertainty regarding the high percentiles in discharge simulation. These 623 uncertainties put the results of global flood frequency analysis (e.g., Ward et al., 2013) in ungauged regions at risk 624 as the time series of annual maxima might be even more uncertain. Thus, the results of flood frequency analysis 625 626 should be carefully interpreted in ungauged regions as the impact of parameter regionalization may be significant.
- Upon examination of the relative differences to the benchmark-to-beat for eight ungauged river systems, it be-627 comes evident that the impact of regionalization methods varies between ungauged river systems (e.g., Río Negro 628 exhibits almost no variation, but Ebro does). Moreover, it becomes apparent that some regionalization methods 629 contribute more to the variation in estimated discharge than others. The methods contributing most are knn (best) 630 631 and SP. For knn (best), 10 of the 40 relative differences are higher than $[0.3]$. For SP, even 29 out of the 40 relative 632 differences are higher than $[0.3]$. The results of SI (best) and MLR (best) are very similar, indicating high similarity in performance. This is consistent with the KGE evaluation (see Chapter 3.3), in which they performed similarly. 633 The observation in Fig. 7d that higher relative differences of discharge simulations occur in drier percentiles is 634 also reported in Gudmundsson et al. (2012). Moreover, the relative differences between the five regionalization 635 636 runs seem comparable to the inter-model differences depicted in Gudmundsson et al. (2012), indicating the high impact of regionalization methods on the evaluated ungauged river systems. 637
- Finally, Table 3 presents the estimated yearly mean runoff to the ocean for all five ensemble members. All esti-638 639 mates of global "runoff to ocean" range from 45,622 (SI (best)) to 47,069 (SP). Thus, the differences are on the scale of smaller inter-model differences (see Table 2 in Widen-Nilsson et al.,2007). The impact of regionalization 640 becomes even more evident using an unsuitable regionalization method for WaterGAP3. For instance, the tuned 641 642 kmeans ("subset") approach results in $42,862 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ "runoff to ocean", increasing the spread between the meth-643 ods to 4,208 km³ yr⁻¹ being in the scale of inter-model differences. This high impact of regionalization on global "runoff to ocean" is surprising, given that only 27 % of the world is ungauged, using the GRDC database. From 644 this 27 %, most regions are in Australia and Africa, where minimal runoff is produced. In studies employing 645 646 disparate models, e.g., for inter-model comparison, all regions are simulated in disparate ways.
- **Table 3: Mean outflow to the ocean and endorheic basins in km³ yr-1** 647 **between 1980-2016. The highest continental devi-**648 **ation to the benchmark-to-beat is indicated in bold.**

Runoff to ocean ¹	B2B	SI (best)	knn (best)	MLR (best)	SP
Oceania	1,127	-1.80%	-2.20%	$-3.40%$	$-6.60%$
Europe	3.098	-2.30%	-0.10%	$-2.60%$	0.20%
Asia	16,676	3.50%	0.30%	1.60%	5.50%
Africa	5.203	-1.00%	0.70%	-0.30%	$-3.60%$
North America	7.517	0.30%	1.00%	$-1.70%$	2.20%
South America	12,032	1.30%	1.40 $%$	-0.20%	4.90 $%$
global	45.653	46.273	45,953	45.622	47,069

 ⁶⁴⁹

¹including endorheic basin

 \mathbf{I}

650 The most significant deviations in the continental sums of "runoff to ocean" in Table 3 are due to SP. Only for Europe is the highest deviation related to MLR (best), not SP. Interestingly, the estimated sums of SP occasionally 651 define the lowest and occasionally the highest extremes for the continents, lacking a systematic pattern. The out-652

standing role of SP is consistent with previous evaluations in this Chapter, where SP frequently contributes most 653

- to the variation in discharge. This suggests that SP may not be suitable for the global scale. Nevertheless, the 654
- pseudo-ungauged basins in the split-sample tests may also exhibit considerable distances from the observed basins. 655
- Given that SP achieved satisfactory results in both evaluations, using either the logMAE or the KGE, the evaluation 656
- indicates the method's suitability on a global scale. Thus, in the future, the split-sample test must be extended to 657
- gain deeper insights into the method's robustness and make a definitive statement about the method's suitability 658
- on a global scale. For example, the so-called "HDes" approach, recommended by Lebecherel et al. (2016), could 659
- be applied for this purpose. In this approach, the closest basin to the corresponding (pseudo-) ungauged basin is 660
- 661 excluded from the regionalization process, thereby enabling an assessment of the method's robustness.

662 **3.5 Challenges & Future Directions**

- Regionalization is an inevitable step when parameterizing GHMs. However, only a few studies exist that conduct 663 regionalization experiments with GHMs, often focusing on a single or two distinct regionalization strategies (e.g., 664 Beck et al., 2016; Beck et al., 2020; Yoshida et al., 2022). A significant challenge in developing and testing dif-665 ferent regionalization methods for GHMs is the time-consuming runtime of these models. This extensive runtime 666 impedes comprehensive testing of different regionalization methods, as evaluating the regionalization methods, 667 e.g., by using streamflow, demands a considerable number of simulation runs. This study addressed this challenge 668 using the differences between calibrated and regionalized parameter values as an approximator for the suitability 669 of the regionalization methods. Thereby, we considered the varying sensitivity of the parameter within the param-670 eter space using the logMAE as the evaluation criterion. Using the differences between calibrated and estimated 671 values is the most straightforward approach, given that WaterGAP3 uses a single calibration parameter, leading to 672 a clear global optimum. However, this approach might not apply to GHMs using multiple calibration parameters 673 due to equifinality. For example, Ayzel et al. (2017) found varying estimated parameter values when regionalizing 674 11 parameters of the SWAP model using different regionalization methods. They concluded that the difference 675 between regionalized and calibrated values cannot be regarded as a performance measure due to parameter com-676 pensation. Thus, further research is required to tackle the challenge of GHMs' time-consuming runtimes to enable 677 comprehensive testing of regionalization methods, especially for GHMs using multiple calibration parameters. 678
- Another challenge in regionalizing hydrological models is the optimal selection of predictors for the regionaliza-679 tion methods. Various approaches exist regarding the predictor selection for the regionalization methods (Razavi 680 $\&$ Coulibaly, 2013), resulting in a lack of consensus. This study used a predictor selection based on correlation coefficients and an entropy assessment. The results indicate that the approach is particularly well-suited to the 682
- Similarity Indices. However, further research on predictor selection is needed to find the optimal descriptor set 683
- per method, as regionalization methods use predictors with varying efficiency. For example, future studies might 684
- integrate feature importance bars, e.g., by using permutation, to identify the most critical descriptors per method. 685
- Moreover, future research should explicitly account for the issue of multicollinearity. Multicollinearity can affect 686
- 687 MLR (and potentially other techniques), resulting in ungeneralizable predictions. This phenomenon is more
- likely to occur when the number of predictor variables is large relative to the number of observation units and 688
- 689 when the predictor variables are highly collinear (Kiers & Smilde, 2007). To account for the high importance of
- the generalizability of regionalization methods for GHMs, we used a high proportion of the basins for testing, 690
- i.e., 50 %. Moreover, we used a large sample size (50 % of 933 basins) relative to the number of predictors 691
- (maximum 12), lowering the risk of multicollinearity interfering with the results. However, future studies might 692
- use methods such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or Partial Least Square (PLS), explicitly accounting 693
- for the issue of multicollinearity (e.g., Kroll & Song, 2013). An alternative approach to using PCA or PLS is ex-
- plicitly testing for multicollinearity in predictor sets using the variance inflation factor and avoiding using pre-695
- 696 dictors with values exceeding a pre-defined threshold (e.g., Kroll et al., 2004).

697 **4. Conclusion**

- Valid simulation results from GHMs, such as WaterGAP3, are crucial for detecting hotspots or studying patterns 698 in climate change impacts. However, the lack of worldwide monitoring data makes adapting GHMs' parameters 699 for valid global simulations challenging. Therefore, regionalization is necessary to estimate parameters in un-700 gauged basins. This study applies regionalization methods for the first time to WaterGAP3, aiming to provide 701 insights into selecting suitable regionalization methods and evaluating their impact on the runoff simulations. Tra-702 ditional and machine learning-based methods are tested to assess the application of several regionalization tech-703 niques on a global scale. The concept of benchmark-to-beat and an ensemble of split-sampling tests are employed 704 for a comprehensive evaluation. Moreover, the impact on runoff simulation is assessed using a wide range of 705 temporal and spatial scales, i.e., from the daily to the yearly and from the local to the global scale. 706
- In this study, four regionalization methods outperform the benchmark-to-beat in monthly KGE and are thus con-707 sidered appropriate for WaterGAP3. These methods span the complete range of methodologies, i.e., regression-708 based methods and methods using the concept of physical similarity and spatial proximity. Moreover, the methods 709 vary in the descriptors used to achieve the highest accuracy. This highlights that different methods use descriptor 710 sets with varying efficiency. All methods perform best when using climatic and physiographic descriptors, indi-711 cating that combining climatic and physiographic descriptors is optimal for regionalizing worldwide basins. 712 Mainly for two selected regionalization methods (tuned MLR and knn), the suggested descriptor selection based 713 on correlation coefficients and entropy assessment is not optimal. Further research might integrate variable im-714 portance scores or PCA to enhance the predictor selection. Although random forest is known to be especially 715 robust among other machine learning-based techniques, it shows symptoms of over-parameterization, indicating 716 that the algorithm is too flexible and adjusts to noise in the data, missing the underlying systematic pattern. 717
- Our results demonstrate that variation in the regionalized parameter value does not necessarily lead to variation in 718 river discharge. However, it increases the likelihood that a region's runoff is affected. This spatially varying impact 719 of γ is likely related to the varying sensitivity in ungauged regions regarding γ. Southern South America is a region 720 721 identified to be especially sensitive to variation in γ . Furthermore, local effects on runoff simulations indicate a temporally varying impact. For example, some impacted rivers indicate a high degree of uncertainty regarding the 722 high percentiles in discharge simulation. These uncertainties potentially lead to a significant impact on flood frequency analysis on a global scale, where the lack of gauging stations in certain regions calls for regionalization. 724 The global impact of regionalization methods that perform well for WaterGAP3 appears to be in the order of minor inter-model differences. This impact rigorously increases when using a poorly performing method for WaterGAP3, 726 727 underscoring the importance of carefully selecting regionalization methods.
- The spatial proximity approach contributes most to the variation in estimated runoff. The outstanding role of this approach suggests that it may not be suitable for the global scale. However, as the pseudo-ungauged basins in the 729
- split-sample tests may also have considerable large distances to the observed basins, and the method achieves 730
- satisfactory results in all executed evaluations, it is not possible to make a definite statement about the method's 731
- suitability for the global scale. Further research is required to gain deeper insights into the methods' robustness, 732
- e.g., by extending the analysis by applying the recommended "HDes" approach (Lebecherel et al., 2016). 733
- *Code and data availability.* The data and the supporting R-Code to reproduce this study's findings are available at 734
- 735 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12808527.
- *Authors contribution*. JK developed, designed, and drafted the study. NK helped to design the experiment. MF 736
- provided feedback throughout the entire process and supported the writing. 737
- *Competing interests*. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 738

Appendix A: Global Map of derived global soil moisture storage

Figure A1: Global map of the size of soil storage based on Batjes (2012) and land use information (derived from Friedl

& Sulla-Menashe, 2019)

744 **Appendix B: Further analysis regarding the clustering of parameter values at the extremes**

- The clustered calibrated parameter values at the extremes of the valid parameter space (see Fig. 1b) are a known 745 problem within the calibration. As the parameter space, i.e., the parameter bounds, is crucial for calibration and, 746 in consequence, for regionalization, we address this issue by a brief sensitivity analysis to demonstrate that the 747 clustering of the calibrated parameter values is more an issue of missing processes (or using additional parameter 748 values) than an issue of inappropriate parameter space. As the lower limit of the calibrated parameter (0.1) is 749 sufficiently small in comparison to other studies using a similar HBV-based approach for runoff generation pro-750 cesses (e.g., see the beta in Table A2 in Jansen et al., 2022), we focus on the sensitivity analysis on the upper limit 751
- 752 of γ (5.0).
- In the sensitivity analysis regarding the upper limit of γ, we applied the model formula (see equation B1) containing 753
- 754 the model's parameter γ and modified it within the bounds of 0.1 and 10. Additionally, we modified the soil satu-755 ration varying from 1 % to 95 %.

$$
outflow = \text{precipitation}_{effective} \cdot \text{solid saturation}^{gamma} \tag{B1}
$$

The calculated outflow and its relationship to the soil saturation and γ are depicted in Fig. B1 and B2. The incoming

effective precipitation is defined as constant. As it is a factor in equation B1,, the results regarding incoming 757

758 effective precipitation are linearly scalable.

In the depicted Fig. B1, the runoff generation process differences between differing γ values become more linear 762 when soil saturation increases. Thus, the non-linear model parameter becomes less critical for high soil moisture. 763 Generally, the runoff generation process differences for higher γ values are more pronounced for higher soil mois-764 765 ture. For lower soil moisture, the smaller values have higher effects on the generated runoff. For example, for 70 % 766 soil moisture, the differences for γ values ranging from 5 to 10 are between 3 % and 16 %. For the same soil 767 moisture, the range in runoff generation varies from 16 % to 70 % for γ values between 1 and 5.

- High γ values usually occur in dry regions (see Fig. 4b in Müller Schmied et al., 2021). In dry regions, high soil 768
- 769 moisture values are not expected to occur frequently (e.g., see Khosa et al., 2020; Oloruntoba et al., 2024 for
- estimated and measured soil moisture in Africa and Draper et al., 2008 for estimated and measured soil moisture 770
- $\frac{1}{171}$ in Australia). It is, therefore, unlikely that higher γ values will significantly enhance the calibration result or de-
- crease the issue of clustered calibrated parameter values at the higher end of the parameter space. More likely, the 772
- clustering of calibrated parameter values will be resolved in dry regions by incorporating additional (missing) 773
- model processes, such as evaporation from rivers or inaccurate representation of groundwater processes (Eisner, 774
- 2016, p. 49). Thus, the parameter bounds of γ (e.g., also used in Eisner 2016, p. 16; Müller Schmied et al., 2021; 775
- 776 Müller Schmied et al., 2023) are not changed in this study.

777 **Appendix C: Basin descriptors**

Overview of basins descriptors used in this study. All basin descriptors are derived from the original model input 778 and aggregated with a simple mean method to basin values to produce the same spatial resolution as the calibrated 779 780 model parameter.

- *Soil Storage*: The size of the soil storage, i.e., the maximal water content in the soil reachable for plants in mm. The information is the product of rooting depth (defined in a look-up table) and the total available 782 water content derived from Batjes (2012). 783 **Open Water Bodies:** The fraction of the area covered with open water bodies in the basin is given as a 784 percentage. The model input is based on the GLWD database (Lehner & Döll, 2004). 785 **Wetlands:** The fraction of area covered with wetlands in a basin is given in percentage. The model input is based on the GLWD database (Lehner & Döll, 2004). 787 788 • *Size*: Size of a basin in km². **Slope:** The mean slope class is calculated as described in Döll & Fiedler (2008) and based on GTOPO30 (USGS EROS data centre). 790 *Altitude*: The mean altitude of a basin is given in meters above sea level and based on GTOPO30 (USGS EROS data centre). 792 *Forest:* The mean fraction of the area covered with forest is given in percentage and derived from MODIS data (Friedl & Sulla-Menashe, 2019), where 2001 is used as a reference. All grid cells having a dominant 794 International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification between one and five are defined 795 as "forest". 796 ⁷⁹⁷ Sealed Area: The mean fraction of sealed area is given in percentage and derived from MODIS data 798 (Friedl & Sulla-Menashe, 2019), where 2001 is used as a reference. All grid cells having an IGBP classification equal to 13 are defined as they would contain 60% of the sealed area. Note: The different treat-799 ment of forest and sealed area is based on the required model input; whereas the land cover is a classified 800 801 value, the sealed area is a floating-point value. **Permafrost & Glacier:** The mean coverage of permafrost and glacier in a basin is given in percentage. It is based on the World Glacier Inventory and the Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground-Ice Con-803 ditions. 804 *Mean Temperature*: The mean air temperature is based on the meteorological forcing used to drive the model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given in degrees Celsius. 806 *Yearly Precipitation*: The yearly precipitation sum is based on the meteorological forcing used to drive the model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given in mm. 808 *Yearly Shortwave Downward Radiation*: The yearly shortwave downward radiation is based on the me-810 teorological forcing used to drive the model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given 811 in Wm⁻². 812 The correlation between the defined basin descriptors is shown in Fig. A1. The variation within each basin de-813 814 scriptor for basins used for regionalization is shown in Fig. A2.
- 815

Figure C2: Distribution of basins descriptors within all basins used for regionalization (n=933)

829 **Table D1: Performance loss in median logMAE of the ensemble of split-sample tests from training to testing expressed** in % of logMAE in training.

test $(\%$ train)	MLR	RF	SI no ens.	ensem- ble	kmeans	knn	SP	B2B
cl	100.4	202.9	100.6	100.6	100	100		
p	102.1	199.6	101.2	100.6	101.3	101.1	102.3	
$p + c$	103.1	207.1	101.6	100.9	100.6	95.6		102.2
subset	101.7	223.9	100	100.7	101.3	100.2		

831

Appendix E: Feature importance bars for MLR (best) and knn(best) using the descriptor set "p+cl"

Figure E1: Decrease in logMAE for testing using one representative split-sample when randomly shuffling each pre-

- **dictor for a) MLR (best) and b) knn (best). Note that the asterisk indicates the basin descriptors used in the (weakly)**
- correlated subset.

840 **Appendix F: Model performance for pseudo-ungauged basins using a modified version of the NSE**

- Krause et al. (2005) suggested a modified version of the NSE that is especially suitable as an overall metric, leading 841 to results between NSE versions focusing on low and high flows. The applied equation for the modified version is 842
- 843 given below (see Eq. F1).

844 modified NSE =
$$
1 - \frac{\sum |y_k - x_k|}{\sum |y_k - \mu_y|}
$$
 (F1)

845 where x_k is the simulated monthly discharge for the timestep k and y_k is the observed discharge for the timestep 846 k , and μ_v is the mean of the discharge for the evaluated period.

The evaluation of the modified NSE for all pseudo-ungauged basins of a representative split-sample are summa-847

rized in Figure F1. Note that the figure includes also the results of the applied one-sided paired Wilcoxon rank 848 849 sum test for the KGE values, mentioned in Section 3.3.

850 **Figure F1: a) modified NSE values of pseudo-ungauged basins from split-sample test grouped by the range** 851 **of calibrated γ values, b) selected metrics of modified NSE values from the pseudo-ungauged basins (bet-**852 **ter or equal performance to the benchmark-to-beat is highlighted in grey), and c) p-values of the one-sided** 853 **paired Wilcoxon rank sum test, testing the best performing methods MLR (best) and SI (best) against all** 854 **other regionalization methods. (Note that p-values greater than 0.05 are highlighted in bold, indicating** 855 **that the null hypothesis cannot be rejected, thus the difference in central tendency is not statistically sig-**

856 **nificant; cases where the results of modified NSE and KGE indicate the same are shaded grey.)**

858 **References**

- Arheimer, B., Pimentel, R., Isberg, K., Crochemore, L., Andersson, J. C. M., Hasan, A., & Pineda, L.: Global 859 catchment modelling using World-Wide HYPE (WWH), open data, and stepwise parameter estimation, Hydrology 860
- and Earth System Sciences, 24(2), 535–559. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-535-2020, 2020. 861
- Arsenault, R, & Brissette, F. P.: Continuous streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: The effects of equifinality 862
- 863 and parameter set selection on uncertainty in regionalization approaches, Water Resources Research, 50, 6135–
- 64 6153, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014898, 2014. 8
- Ayzel, G. V., Gusev, E. M., & Nasonova, O. N.: River runoff evaluation for ungauged watersheds by SWAP 865
- model. 2. Application of methods of physiographic similarity and spatial geostatistics, Water Resources, 44(4), 866
- 547–558, https://doi.org/10.1134/S0097807817040029, 2017. 867
- 868 Barbarossa, V., Bosmans, J., Wanders, N., King, H., Bierkens, M. F. P., Huijbregts, M. A. J., & Schipper, A. M.:
- Threats of global warming to the world's freshwater fishes, Nature Communications, 12(1), 1701, 869 70 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21655-w, 2021. 8
- 871 Batjes, N. H.: ISRIC-WISE derived soil properties on a 5 by 5 arc-minutes global grid (ver. 1.2) [data set],
- https://data.isric.org/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/82f3d6b0-a045-4fe2-b960-6d05bc1f37c0, 872
- 2012. 873
- Beck, H. E., Pan, M., Lin, P., Seibert, J., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., & Wood, E. F: Global Fully Distributed Parameter 874
- Regionalization Based on Observed Streamflow From 4,229 Headwater Catchments, Journal of Geophysical Re-875 search: Atmospheres, 125(17), https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031485, 2020. 876
- Beck, H. E., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Roo, A. de, Dutra, E., Fink, G., Orth, R. & Schellekens, J.: Global evaluation of 877 runoff from 10 state-of-the-art hydrological models, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 2881-20903, 878 879 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-2881-2017, 2017.
- 880 Beck, H. E., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Roo, A. de, Miralles, D. G., McVicar, T. R., Schellekens, J., & Bruijnzeel, L.
- 881 A.: Global-scale regionalization of hydrologic model parameters, Water Resources Research, 52(5), 3599–3622, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018247, 2016. 882
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y: Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to 883
- 884 Multiple Testing, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. 885 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101, 1995.
- 886 Boulange, J, Hanasaki, N, Yamazaki, D., & Pokhrel, Y.: Role of dams in reducing global flood exposure under
- 87 climate change, Nature Communications, 12(1), 417, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20704-0, 2021. 8
- Box, G. E. P., D. R. Cox: An analysis of transformations, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Meth-888 odological), 26 (2), 211 – 252, https://www.jstor.org/stable/29844181964, 1964. 889
- 890 Breimann, L.: Random Forests, Machine Learning, 45, 1–32, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324, 2001.
- 891 Chaney, N. W., Herman, J. D., Ek, M. B., & Wood, E. F.: Deriving global parameter estimates for the Noah land
- surface model using FLUXNET and machine learning, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(22), 892
- 13,218–13,235, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024821, 2016. 893
- 894 Charrad, M., Ghazzali, N., Boiteau, V., Niknafs, A.: NbClust: An R Package for Determining the Relevant Number of Clusters in a Data Set, Journal of Statistical Software, 61(6), 1–36. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v061.i06, 2014. 895
- Clark, M. P., Vogel, R. M., Lamontagne, J. R., Mizukami, N., Knoben, W. J. M., Tang, G., Gharari, S., Freer, J. 896

E., Whitfield, P. H., Shook, K., Papalexiou, S. M.: The abuse of popular performance metrics in hydrologic mod-897

- elling, Water Resources Research, 57, e2020WR029001, https:// doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029001, 2021.Cuntz, 898
- 899 M., Mai, J., Samaniego, L., Clark, M., Wulfmeyer, V., Branch, O., Attinger, S, & Thober, S.: The impact of stand-
- ard and hard-coded parameters on the hydrologic fluxes in the Noah-MP land surface model, Journal of Geophys-900
- 901 ical Research: Atmospheres, 121, 10,676 10,700, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025097, 2016.
- Döll, P. & Fiedler, K.: Global-scale modeling of groundwater recharge, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 863–885, 902 903 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-863-2008, 2008
- Döll, P., Kaspar, F., & Lehner, B.: A global hydrological model for deriving water availability indicators: model 904 905 tuning and validation, Journal of Hydrology, 270, 105-13, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00283-4, 2003.
- Döll, P., Hasan, H. M. M., Schulze, K., Gerdener, H., Börger, L., Shadkam, S., Ackermann, S., Hosseini-Moghari, 906
- S.-M., Müller Schmied, H., Güntner, A., & Kusche, J.: everaging multi-variable observations to reduce and quan-907
- tify the output uncertainty of a global hydrological model: evaluation of three ensemble-based approaches for the 908
- 909 Mississippi River basin, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 28 (10), 2259-2295, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-
- 28-2259-2024, 2024. 910
- 911 Draper, C. S., Walker, J. P., Steinle, P. J., de Jeu, R. A. M., Holmes T. R. H.: An evaluation of AMSR–E derived
- 912 soil moisture over Australia, Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 703-710, 3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.11.011, 2008. 91
- Eisner, S.: Comprehensive Evaluation of the WaterGAP3 Model across Climatic, Physiographic, and Anthropo-914 915 genic Gradients, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany, 128pp., 2016.
- 916 Friedl, M., Sulla-Menashe, D.: MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land, Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m SIN 917 Grid V006, NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC [data set], https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006,
- 2019. 918
- Feigl, M., Thober, S., Schweppe, R., Herrnegger, M., Samaniego, L., & Schulz, K.: Automatic Regionalization of 919
- 920 Model Parameters for Hydrological Models, Water Resources Research, 58, e2022WR031966, 921 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR031966, 2022.
- Flörke, M., Kynast, E., Eisner, S., Verzano, K., Kupzig, J., Voß, F., Lehner, B., Rivera, J., aus der Beek, T., aus 922
- 923 der Beek, M., Malsy, M., & Alcamo, J.: WaterGAP3 (v1.0.0), Zenodo [software], https://doi.org/10.5281/ze-
- 924 nodo.10940380, 2024.
- 925 Golian, S., Murphy, C., & Meresa, H.: Regionalization of hydrological models for flow estimation in ungauged 926 catchments in Ireland, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 36, 100859, 27 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100859, 2021. 9
- 928 GRDC, The Global Runoff Data Centre, 56068 Koblenz, Germany, 2020.
- Gudmundsson, L., Tallaksen, L. M., Stahl, K., Clark, D. B., Dumont, E., Hagemann, S., Bertrand, N., Gerten, D., 929
- 930 Heinke, J., Hanasaki, N., Voss, F., & Koirala, S.: Comparing Large-Scale Hydrological Model Simulations to
- 931 Observed Runoff Percentiles in Europe. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 13(2), 604-620. 932 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-11-083.1, 2012.
- Guo Y, Zhang Y, Zhang L, & Wang Z: Regionalization of hydrological modeling for predicting streamflow in 933 ungauged catchments: A comprehensive review, WIREs Water, 8, e1487, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1487, 934 2020. 935
- 936 Gupta, H. V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K.K., Martinez, G. F.: Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE per-
- 937 formance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 377, 80-91, 938 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.003, 2009.
- 939 Gupta, H. V, Sorooshian, S., & Yapo, P. O.: Toward improved calibration of hydrologic models: Multiple and 940 noncommensurable measures of information, Water Resources Research, 34(4), 751–763, 941 https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR03495, 1998.
- He, Y., Bárdossy, A., & Zehe, E.: A review of regionalisation for continuous streamflow simulation, Hydrology 942
- 943 and Earth System Sciences, 15(11), 3539-3553. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-3539-2011, 2011.
- Herbert, C., Döll, P.: Analyzing the informative value of alternative hazard indicators for monitoring drought 944
- hazard for human water supply and river ecosystems at the global scale, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sci-945
- 946 ences, 23, 2111-2131, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-23-2111-2023, 2023.
- Jansen, K. F., Teuling, A. J., Craig, J. R., Dal Molin, M., Knoben, W. J. M., Parajka, J., Vis, M., Melsen, L. A.: 947
- 948 Mimicry of a conceptual hydrological model (HBV): What's in a name? Water Resources Research, 57, e2020WR029143. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029143, 2022. 949
- 950 Janssen, P. H. M., Heuberger, P.S.C.: Calibration of process-oriented models, Ecological Modelling, 83 (1–2), 55-951 66, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(95)00084-9, 1995.
- Jones, E. R., Bierkens, M. F. P., van Vliet, M. T. H.: Current and future global water scarcity intensifies when 952
- 953 accounting for surface water quality, Nature Climate Change, 14, 629-635, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-
- 02007-0, 2024. 954
- 955 Kaspar, F.: Entwicklung und Unsicherheitsanalyse eines globalen hydrologischen Modells, Ph.D. thesis, Univer-956 sity of Kassel, Kassel, Germany, 129pp., 2004.
- 957 Khosa, F. V., Mateyisi, M. J., van der Merwe, M. R., Feig, G. T., Engelbrecht, F. A., Savage, M. J.: Evaluation of
- soil moisture from CCAM-CABLE simulation, satellite-based models estimates and satellite observations: a case 958
- 959 study of Skukuza and Malopeni flux towers, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(4), 1587-1609,
- 960 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1587-2020, 2020.
- 961 Kiers, H.A.L., Smilde, A.K: A comparison of various methods for multivariate regression with highly collinear
- variables, Stat. Meth. & Appl., 16, 193–228, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10260-006-0025-5, 2007. 962
- 963 Krabbenhoft, C. A., Allen, G. H., Lin, P., Godsey, S. E., Allen, D. C., Burrows, R. M., DelVecchia, A. G., Fritz,
- 964 K. M., Shanafield, M., Burgin, A. J., Zimmer, M. A., Datry, T., Dodds, W. K., Jones, C. N., Mims, M. C., Franklin,
- 965 C., Hammond, J. C., Zipper, S., Ward, A. S., Olden, J. D.: Assessing placement bias of the global river gauge
- 966 network, Nature Sustainability, 5, 586–592. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00873-0, 2022.
- 967 Krause, P. Boyle, D. P., Bäse, F.: Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological model assessment, 968 Advances in Geosciences, 5, 89-97, https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-5-89-2005, 2005.
- 969 Kroll C., Lutz, J., Allen, B., Vogel, R.M.: Developing a Watershed Characteristics Database to Improve Low
- 970 Streamflow Prediction, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 9 (2), 116-125, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-
- 971 0699(2004)9:2(116), 2004.
- 972 Kroll, C. N., Song P.: Impact of Multicollinearity on Small Sample Hydrologic Regression Models, Water Re-
- sources Research, 49, 3756-3769, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20315, 2013. 973
- 974 Kupzig, J., Reinecke, R., Pianosi, F., Flörke, M., & Wagener, T.: Towards parameter estimation in global hydro-
- logical models, Environmental Research Letters, 18(7), 74023. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acdae8, 2023. 975
- 976 Lange, S.: EartH2Observe, WFDEI and ERA-Interim data Merged and Bias-corrected for ISIMIP (EWEMBI), V.
- 1.1, GFZ Data Services [data set], https://doi.org/10.5880/pik.2019.004, 2019. 977
- Lebecherel, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin: On evaluating the robustness of spatial-proximity-based regionalization 978
- methods, Journal of Hydrology, 539, 196-203, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.031, 2016. 979
- Lehner, B. and Döll, P: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands, Journal 980
- 981 of Hydrology, 296 (1-4), 1-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- 982 Lehner, B., Verdin, K., & Jarvis, A.: New global hydrography derived from spaceborne elevation data, Eos, Trans-
- 983 actions, AGU, 89, 93-94, doi:10.1029/2008EO100001, 2008.
- 984 Liam, A., & Wiener, M.: Classification and Regression by randomForest. R News, 2(3), 18–22, 2002.
- 985 Lindström, G., Johansson, B., Persson, M., Gardelin, M., & Bergström, S.: Development and test of the distributed 986 HBV-96 hydrological model, Journal of Hydrology, 201, 272-288, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-987 1694(97)00041-3, 1997.
- 988 McIntyre, N, Lee, H., Wheater, H., Young, A., & Wagener, T.: Ensemble predictions of runoff in ungauged catch-989 ments, Water Resources Research, 41(12), W12434, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004289, 2005.
- 990 Merz, R., Blöschl, G.: Regionalisation of catchment model parameters, Journal of Hydrology, 287, 95-123, 991 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.028, 2004.
- 992 Müller Schmied, H., Cáceres, D., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Herbert, C., Niemann, C., Peiris, T. A., Popat, E., Port-
- 993 mann, F. T., Reinecke, R., Schumacher, M., Shadkam, S., Telteu, C.-E., Trautmann, T., Döll, P.: The global water
- resources and use model WaterGAP v2.2d: model description and evaluation, Geoscientific Model Development, 994
- 14(2), 1037–1079, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-1037-2021, 2021. 995
- 996 Müller Schmied, H., Trautmann, T., Ackermann, S., Cáceres, D., Flörke, M., Gerdener, H., Kynast, E., Peiris, T.
- 997 A., Schiebener, L., Schumacher, M., Döll, P.: The global water resources and use model WaterGAP v2.2e: de-
- scription and evaluation of modifications and new features, Geoscientific Model Development Discussions [pre-998
- print], 1-46, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-213, 2023. 999
- 1000 Nash, J. E., Sutcliff, J. V.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models part $I A$ discussion of principles,
- Journal of Hydrology, 10 (3), 282-290, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6, 1970. 1001
- 1002 Nijssen, B., O'Donnell, G. M., Lettenmeier, D. P., Lohmann, D., & Wood, E. F.: Predicting the Discharge of 1003 Global Rivers, American Meteorological Society, 3307–3323, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-1004 0442(2001)014<3307:PTDOGR>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- Oloruntoba, B., Kollet, S., Motzka, C., Vereecken H., Franssen H.-J. H.: High Resolution Land Surface Modelling 1005
- 1006 over Africa: the role of uncertain soil properties in combination with temporal model resolution, EGUsphere Pre-
- 1007 print repository [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-3132, 2024.
- Onyutha, C: Pros and cons of various efficiency criteria for hydrological model performance evaluation, Proceed-1008 ings of IAHS, 385, 181-187, https://piahs.copernicus.org/articles/385/181/2024/, 2024. 1009
- Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Michel, C., & Le Moine, N.: Spatial proximity, physical similarity, regres-1010
- sion and ungaged catchments: A comparison of regionalization approaches based on 913 French catchments, Wa-1011
- ter Resources Research, 44(3), W03413, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006240, 2008. 1012
- Oudin, L., Kay, A., Andréassian, V., & Perrin, C.: Are seemingly physically similar catchments truly hydrologi-1013
- 1014 cally similar? Water Resources Research, 46(11), W11558, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008887, 2010.
- Pagliero, L., Bouraoui, F., Diels, J., Willems, P., & McIntyre, N.: Investigating regionalization techniques for 1015 1016 large-scale hydrological modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 570, 220–235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhy-1017 drol.2018.12.071, 2019.
- Parajka, J., Merz, R., & Blöschl, G.: A comparison of regionalisation methods for catchment model parameters, 1018 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 9, 157–171, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-9-157-2005, 2005. 1019
- Poissant, D., Arsenault, R. & Brissette, F.: Impact of parameter set dimensionality and calibration procedures on 1020 1021 streamflow prediction at ungauged catchments, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 12, 220–237, 022 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.05.005, 2017. 1
- Pool, S., Vis, M., & Seibert, J.: Regionalization for ungauged catchments Lessons learned from a comparative 1023 large-sample study. Water Resources Research, 57, e2021WR030437. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030437, 1024 2021. 1025
- 1026 Qi, W., Chen, J., Li, L., Xu, C., Li, J., Xiang, Y., & Zhang, S.: A framework to regionalize conceptual model parameters for global hydrological modelling, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions [preprint], 1027 1028 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-127, 2020.
- R Core Team.: R: A language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 1029 1030 Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/, 2020.
- Razavi, T., Coulibaly, P.: Streamflow Prediction in Ungauged Basins: Review of Regionalization Methods, Jour-1031
- 1032 nal of Hydrologic Engineering, 18 (8), 958-975, https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/%28ASCE%29HE.1943-1033 5584.0000690, 2013.
- 1034 Reichl, J. P. C., Western, A. W., McIntyre, N. R. & Chiew, F. H. S: Optimization of a Similarity Measure for
- 1035 Estimating Ungauged Streamflow, Water Resources Research, 45 (10), https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007248,
- 2009. 1036
- 1037 Ritter, A., Muñoz-Carpena R.:Performance evaluation of hydrological models: Statistical significance for reducing
- 1038 subjectivity in goodness-of-fit assessments, Journal of Hydrology, 480, 33-45, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhy-
- 1039 drol.2012.12.004, 2013.Samaniego, L, Kumar, R & Attinger, S.: Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-
- 1040 based hydrologic model at the mesoscale, Water Resources Research, 46(5), W05523,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007327, 2010. 1041
- 042 Schaefli, B., & Gupta, H. V.: Do Nash values have value?, Hydrological Processes, 21(15), 2075–2080, 1 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6825, 2007. 1043
- 1044 Schweppe, R., Thober, S., Müller, S., Kelbling, M., Kumar, R., Attinger, S., & Samaniego, L.: MPR 1.0: a stand-
- 1045 alone multiscale parameter regionalization tool for improved parameter estimation of land surface models, Geo-
- 1046 scientific Model Development, 15, 859–882, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-859-2022, 2022.
- 1047 Seibert, J.: On the need for benchmarks in hydrological modelling, Hydrological Processes, 15(6), 1063–1064, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.446, 2001. 1048
- 1049 Seibert, J., Staudinger, M., van Meerveld, H. J. I: Validation and Over-Parameterization Experiences from Hy-
- 1050 drological Modeling, in: Computer Simulation Validation, edited by: Breisbart, C. Saam, J. S., Springer Nature
- Switzerland, Cham, Switzerland, 811-834, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70766-2, 2019. 1051
- 1052 Shannon, C. E.: A Mathematical Theory of Communication, The Bell System Technical Journal, 3(27), 379-423, https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x, 1948.
- 1054 Stacke, T., & Hagemann, S.: HydroPy (v1.0): a new global hydrological model written in Python, Geoscientific 1055 Model Development, 14, 7795–7816, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7795-2021, 2021.
- 1056 Tang, Y., Marshall, L., Sharma, A. & Smith, T.: Tools for investigating the prior distribution in Bayesian hydrol-
- ogy, Journal of Hydrology, 538, 551-562, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.04.032, 2016. 1057
- Tilahun, A. B., Dürr, H. H., Schweden, K., Flörke, M.: Perspectives on total phosphorus response in rivers: Ex-1058
- 1059 amining the influence of rainfall extremes and post-dry rainfall, Science of the Total Environment, 940, 173677, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173677, 2024. 1060
- 1061 Tongal, H., & Sivakumar, B.: Cross-entropy clustering framework for catchment classification, Journal of Hydrology, 552, 433–446, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.07.005, 2017. 1062
- Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D.: Modern Applied Statistics with S (Fourth Edition). Springer Science+Business 1063
- 1064 Media New York, USA, 501pp, ISBN 978-1-4419-3008-8, 2002
- 1065 Wagener, T., Wheater, H. S., & Gupta, H. V.: Rainfall Runoff Modelling in Gauged and Ungauged Catchments,
- 1066 Imperial College Press, London, UK, 332pp., https://doi.org/10.1142/p335, 2004.
- 1067 Wagener, T., & Wheater, H. S.: Parameter estimation and regionalization for continuous rainfall-runoff models
- including uncertainty, Journal of Hydrology, 320, 132-154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.07.015, 2006. 1068
- 1069 Ward, P. J., Jongman, B., Sperna Weiland, F., Bouwman, A., Van Beek, R., Bierkens, M. F. P., Ligtvoet, W., &
- Winsemius, H. C.: Assessing flood risk at the global scale: model setup, results, and sensitivity, Environmental 1070
- Research Letters, 8, Article 044019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044019, 2013Widén-Nilsson, E., 1071
- 1072 Halldin, S., & Xu, C.: Global water-balance modelling with WASMOD-M: Parameter estimation and regionalisa-
- 73 tion, Journal of Hydrology, 340(1-2), 105–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.04.002, 2007. 10
- Wu, H., Zhang, J., Bao, Z., Wang, G., Wang, W., Yang, Y. & Wang, J.: Runoff Modeling in Ungauged Catchments 1074
- 1075 Using Machine Learning Algorithm-Based Model Parameters Regionalization Methodology, Engineering, 28, 93-
- 1076 104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2021.12.014, 2023.
- Yang, X., Magnusson, J., Huang, S., Beldring, S., & Xu, C.: Dependence of regionalization methods on the com-1077
- 1078 plexity of hydrological models in multiple climatic regions, Journal of Hydrology, 582, 124357,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124357, 2020. 1079
- 1080 Yoshida, T., Hanasaki, N, Nishina, K., Boulange, J, Okada, M., & Troch, P. A.: Inference of Parameters for a
- 1081 Global Hydrological Model: Identifiability and Predictive Uncertainties of Climate-Based Parameters, Water Re-
- 1082 sources Research, 58, e2021WR03066, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030660, 2022.