Regionalization Regionalization in global hydrological models 1

and its impact on global runoff simulations: A case study using 2

the global hydrological model WaterGAP3 3

- (v 1.0.0) 4
- Jenny Kupzig¹, Nina Kupzig², Martina Flörke¹ 5
- 6 ¹Institute of Engineering Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Ruhr-University, 44801, Bochum, Ger-7
- 8 ²Faculty of Management and Economics, Ruhr-University, 44780, Bochum, Germany
- 9 Correspondence to: Jenny Kupzig (jenny.kupzig@rub.de)
- 10 Abstract:

many

11 Valid simulation results from global hydrological models (GHMs), such as WaterGAP3, are essential to detecting 12 hotspots or studying patterns in climate change impacts. However, the lack of worldwide monitoring data makes 13 it challenging to adapt GHMs' parameters to enable such valid simulations globally. Therefore, regionalization 14 regionalization is necessary to estimate parameters in ungauged basins. This study presents the results of new regionalization regionalization methods for the first time applied on the GHM WaterGAP3 and. It aims to provide 15 16 insights into (1) selecting a suitable regionalization regionalization method method and (2) evaluating its impact 17 on the runoff simulation. In this study, Our results suggest that machine learning based methods may be too flex-18 ible for regionalizing WaterGAP3 due to a significant performance loss between training and testing. four region-19 alization methods have been identified as appropriate for WaterGAP3. These methods span the full spectrum of methodologies, i.e., regression-based methods, physical similarity, and spatial proximity, using traditional and 20 21 machine learning-based approaches. Moreover, the methods differ in the descriptors used to achieve optimal re-22 sults, although all utilize climatic and physiographic descriptors. This demonstrates (1) that different methods use 23 descriptor sets with varying efficiency and (2) that combining climatic and physiographic descriptors is optimal for regionalizing worldwide basins. In contrast, the most basic regionalization method (using the concept of spatial 24 25 proximity) outperforms most of the developed regionalization methods and a pre-defined benchmark to beat in an ensemble of split sample tests. The method selection, whether spatial proximity based or regression based, has a 26 greater impact on the regionalization than the specific details on how the method is applied. In particular, the 27 28 descriptor selection plays a subsidiary role when at least a subset of selected descriptors contains relevant infor-29 mation. Additionally, our research has shownindicates that regionalization regionalization causes leads to spatially 30 and temporally varying uncertainty for-in ungauged regions. For example, India and Indonesia are particularly 31 affected by higher uncertaintregionalization highly affects southern South America, e.g., leading to high uncer-32 tainties in the flood simulation of the Río Deseado.y - The local impact of regionalization-regionalization propagates through the water system, also affecting in ungauged areas propagates through the water system global esti-33 mates, e.g., as evidenced by one water balance componenta changed spread of by approximately 2400-1,500 km³ 34 35 yr^{-1} across an ensemble of five regionalization methods in simulated global runoff to the ocean on a global scale. which is in the range of inter model differences. Thise magnitude of the impact of regionalization discrepancy is 36 37 even more pronounced when using a regionalization method deemed unsuitable for WaterGAP3, resulting in a 38 spread of 4,208 km³ yr⁻¹. This significant increase highlights the importance of carefully choosing regionalization

- 39 methods. Further research is needed to enhance the understanding of the methods' robustness on a global scale.de
- 40 pends on the variability in regionalized values and the region's sensitivity for the analysed component.

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Global hydrological models (GHMs) are developed and applied worldwide, e.g., to detect hotspots and examine 43 patterns of climate change impacts on the terrestrial water cycle (e.g., Barbarossa et al., 2021; Boulange et al., 44 2021). Valid model results are a prerequisite to draw robust conclusions. For valid model ing results, it is beneficial 45 to adjust the parameter values to adapt the models to different basin processes (Gupta et al., 1998). This adaptation 46 is usually modified and evaluated (in a loop) by comparing the simulated model output, often discharge, with the 47 monitored data. However, this parameter adjustment for GHMs is challenging due to the lack of global monitoring 48 data. Consequently, parameter adjustment for GHMs can be based not only on monitored data (i.e., calibration) 49 but also on estimating parameter values for ungauged basins (i.e., regionalizationregionalization).

50 Regionalization <u>Regionalization defines</u> is the estimation of parameter values in a model of model parameters for

51 ungauged basins (Oudin et al., 2008), usually based on information from gauged basins (Oudin et al., 2010). Re-52 gionalization_Regionalization_methods generally follow the same principle: basin characteristics (e.g., physio-53 graphic and/or climatic) are linked to hydrological characteristics and can thus be used to estimate parameter val-54 ues. Various regionalization regionalization methods exist, and no overall preferred method has been found (Ayzel 55 et al., 2017; Pool et al., 2021). In contrast, the optimal regionalization regionalization method may differ, for 56 example, regarding available information (Pagliero et al., 2019) or model structures (Golian et al., 2021). There-

- 57 fore, different methods should be tested to find an optimal regionalization regionalization method for a specific
- 58 use case (e.g., Qi et al., 2020).

59 Evaluation is needed to assess different regionalization regionalization methods. The eEvaluation of is particularly 60 challenging for regionalization regionalization methods is particularly challenging because because they are usu-61 ally applied when there is a lack of monitoring data is missingmonitoring data. Therefore, regionalization regionalization studies often treat gauged basins as "ungauged", and perform leave-one-out cross-validation (e.g., 62 63 Chaney et al., 2016) or split-sample tests (e.g., Beck et al., 2016; Nijssen et al., 2000; Yoshida et al., 2022). While 64 at the mesoscale, this evaluation is already an integral part (e.g., McIntyre et al., 2005; Parajka et al., 2005; Oudin 65 et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2020), this is sometimes not the case in global or continental studies (e.g., Müller Schmied 66 et al., 2021; Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007). Another reasonable evaluation strategy is the concept of benchmark-to-67 beat (Schaefli & Gupta, 2007; Seibert, 2001). Applying a benchmark-to-beat supports a comprehensive evaluation 68 of whether a new approach is functional, e.g., better than a straightforward and thus transparent method or better 69 than a predecessor. To the authors' knowledge, such a benchmark-to-beat has never been used to evaluate innova-70 tions in regionalization regionalization at the a global levelscale.

71 In general, regionalization regionalization methods can be divided into two categories based on the parameter

- estimation strategy: (1) regression-based and (2) distance-based (He et al., 2011). Regression-based methods de-
- rive the relationship between basin characteristics and model parameters through fitted regression models. These
- mathematically defined relationships are further applied to estimate model parameters of ungauged basins (e.g.,
- 75 Kaspar, 2004; Müller Schmied et al., 2021). A significant drawback of regression-based regionalization-regional-
- 76 ization is the difficulty of incorporating parameter interdependencies (Poissant et al., 2017), as --Rregression-based

- 77 approaches often assume that the dependent variables, i.e., the model parameters, are not correlated (Wagener et
- 78 al., 2004). Distance-based approaches transfer complete parameter sets from similar or nearby donor basins to
- 79 ungauged basins (e.g., Beck et al., 2016; Nijssen et al., 2000; Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007). Using an ensemble of
- 80 donor basins, e.g., by averaging the parameter values or model outputs, can improve the performance of such
- 81 methods (e.g., Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). A significant disadvantage of such methods is the clustering problem
- 82 of ungauged basins, i.e., the unequal distribution of gauging stations worldwide (Krabbenhoft et al., 2022). Thus,
- 83 basins exist where distance-based approaches will use incomparable basins to transfer parameter values due to the
- 84 lack of close basins.
- 85 Recent advances have implemented machine learning-based techniques in the context of regionalizationregional-
- 86 ization. For example, Chaney et al. (2016) used regression trees as an alternative to least squares regression to
- 87 estimate parameter values in ungauged basins. Pagliero et al. (2019) explored supervised and unsupervised clus-
- 88 tering methods to define the similarity of basins to transfer parameter sets. To the authors' knowledge, no study
- has compared several traditional regionalization regionalization methods with machine learning-based methods 89
- 90 for a GHM on a global scale.
- 91 Some regionalization regionalization methods do not make a clear distinction between calibration and regionali-
- 92 zationregionalization. For example, Arheimer et al. (2020) applied a basin grouping beforehand. Then, they jointly 93 calibrated the group members to define representative parameter sets. Subsequently, the representative parameter 94 sets are transferred to other basins based on grouping rules. Another approach defines so-called transfer functions
- 95 (Samaniego et al., 2010) and calibrates meta-parameters instead of the model parameter values (Beck et al., 2020;
- 96 Feigl et al., 2022). These methods, where regionalization regionalization is part of the calibration process, often
- 97 require a change in the calibration process itself, which is challenging for GHMs (Schweppe et al., 2022), for
- 98 example, due to a lack of code flexibility (e.g., Cuntz et al., 2016).
- 99 This study proposes an improved regionalization regionalization method for the state-of-the-art GHM WaterGAP3
- 100 (Eisner, 2016). It compares traditional regionalization regionalization methods with machine learning-based meth-
- 101 ods and uses a "benchmark-to-beat" and an ensemble of split-sample tests to evaluate the applied methods. Fur-
- 102 ther, global runoff simulations are compared to analyze the impact of regionalization methods. The overall research
- 103 topic is evaluating and selecting the most appropriate regionalization regionalization methods for a GHM. Specif-
- 104 ically, the study has two objectives. It aims
- 105 (1) to propose an improved selection for the regionalizzation method for of WaterGAP3 and
- 106 (2) to evaluate the impact of an improved regionalization regionalization methods against a benchmark to-107 beaton global runoff simulations.

108 2. Data and Methods

109 2.1 The Model: WaterGAP3

110 The GHM WaterGAP3 simulates the terrestrial water cycle, including the main water storage components and a

- simple storage-based routing algorithm. It is a fully distributed model that operates on a five arcmin grid and 112 simulates at a daily time step. A more detailed model description eandescription of the model can be found in
- 113 Eisner (2016).

111

- 114 In WaterGAP3, most model parameter values are set a priori, e.g., using look-up tables for albedo or rooting depth. 115 Only one parameter, γ , is calibrated, which is part of the soil moisture storage in which runoff generation processes are present. The model equation for γ , which originates from the HBV-96 model (Lindström et al., 1997), is given 116 117 in Eq. (1). Generally, higher values of γ lead to lower runoff volumes, while lower values of γ lead to higher runoff 118 volumes. Their model parameter is calibrated per basin within the range of 0.1 and 5. The objective function for 119 of the calibration is to minimize minimize the deviation between the mean annual simulated and observed river 120 discharge, i.e., the calibration aims to reduce the error in discharge volume. Given the monotonic relationship 121 between the model's parameter and the optimization function, a simple search algorithm is applied: The parameter 122 space is divided into rectangles, which are subsequently subdivided into smaller rectangles depending on the di-123 rection γ should be modified to achieve closer alignment with the optimization target. TThus, as a result of the 124 calibration results in one, each basin has a calibrated γ value (γ) between 0.1 and 5 per basin. After the calibration,
- a correction is applied to account for high errors in the mass balance, e.g., due to inaccuracies in global meteoro-
- logical forcing products. This correction can only be applied in sonly applicable on gauged basins. It is, therefore,
- 127 neglected in this study.

$$128 \qquad R = P_t \cdot \left(\frac{s_s}{s_{s,max}}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{1}$$

where *R* is the daily runoff, P_t is the daily throughfall, S_s is the actual soil storage, $S_{s,max}$ is the maximal soil storage (given as a global map in Appendix A), and γ is the calibration parameter.

- 131 Traditionally, the regionalization process in WaterGAP3 is a simple multiple linear regression (MLR) approach to
- 132 estimate the calibration parameter γ for ungauged basins (e.g., Döll et al., 2003; Kaspar, 2004). The drawback of
- 133 MLR regarding parameter interaction can be neglected: As there is only one parameter to estimate, parameter
- 134 interference does not exist. Instead, the approach offers the advantage of a lightweight, transparent application that
- 135 <u>can be quickly revised and adapted.</u>
- 136 Traditionally, the regionalization process in WaterGAP3 is a simple multiple linear regression (MLR) approach to 137 estimate the calibration parameter γ for ungauged basins (e.g., Döll et al., 2003; Kaspar, 2004). The drawback of 138 MLR regarding parameter interaction can be neglected: As there is only one parameter to estimate, parameter 139 interference does not exist. Instead, the approach offers the advantage of a lightweight, transparent application that 140 can be quickly revised and adapted. We use the regionalization approach from WaterGAP2.2d as benchmark to-141 beat as defined in Müller Schmied et al. (2021). WaterGAP2 has a model structure and calibration process that are
- 142 very similar to WaterGAP3. The main difference between these models is that WaterGAP2.2d simulates at
- 143 0.5° spatial resolution. Thus, we expect the regionalization approach to be feasible for WaterGAP3.

144 **2.2 Model Data**

145 WaterGAP3 requires various input data, such as soil information, topography, or information on open freshwater

bodies. This study uses the same input data as Kupzig et al. (2023). For meteorological forcing, we use the global

- 147 data set EWEMBI (Lange, 2019). This data product includes daily global forcing data with a spatial resolution of
- 148 0.5 degrees (latitude and longitude) that covers a period from 1979 to 2016. Specifically, WaterGAP3 uses the
- 149 following forcing information from the EWEMBI data set as input:
- 150 daily mean temperature,
- 151 daily precipitation,

- daily shortwave downward radiation, and
- daily longwave downward radiation.
- 154

The WaterGAP3 calibration requires observed monthly river discharge data. This discharge data is subsequently transformed into annual discharge sums in the calibration procedure and used as a benchmark in the calibration procedure. In this study, we used discharge data from 1,861 stations that were manually verified (Eisner, 2016). To get the best data available, we have updated all available station data with recent data from The Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC, 2020). All stations have at least five years of complete (monthly) station data between 1979 and 2016. For each station, a contribution area, i.e., a basin, is defined with the gridded flow-direction information obtained from WaterGAP3, which is based on the HydroSHEDS database (Lehner et al., 2008).

- 162 The 1,861 basins are calibrated using the <u>above-described</u> standard calibration approach for WaterGAP3. After
- 163 <u>Following the standard calibration procedure</u>, some basins still have an insufficient model performance. In this
- 164 context, we define a monthly Kling-Gupta-Efficiency (KGE) below 0.4 or more than 20 % bias in monthly flow
- 165 as insufficient model performance. We underscore the importance of minimizing the error in discharge volume by
- 166 defining it as an additional criterion corresponding to the optimization target during calibration. , i.e., more than
- 167 20% bias in monthly discharge. These Basins not fulfilling the defined conditions regarding bias and KGE basins
- are neglected in further analysis to avoid high parameter uncertainty due to errors in input data, model structure,
- 169 or discharge data affecting the analysis--- Further, we have excluded all basins with less than 5000 km²
- 170 (inter-) basin size to-from the next upstream basin. We assume that this inter-basin size is large enough to assume
- a certain degree of interdependency between nested basins. In total, 1,236933 basins out of 1,861 basins are se-
- 172 lected for regionalization regionalization (323-626 are neglected due to insufficient low model performance, and
- 173 302 are neglected due to <u>insufficient inadequate</u> basin size).
- 174 Figure 1 a shows a map of the depicts the worldwide calibrated basins, highlighting gauged and ungauged
- 175 regions. Whereas, covering most parts of North and South America are gauged, However, Africa and Oceania
- 176 <u>Australia</u> remain largely ungauged. A cluster of gauged basins is located in Central Europe and <u>in</u> Eastern Asia.
- 177 Gauged regions with low insufficient model performance are mainly found in the Mississippi River basin, Southern
- 178 Africa, and Australia, and large parts of Brazil. These regions are known to be challenging for GHMs (e.g., cf.
- 179 Fig. 8b in Stacke & Hagemann, 2021).
- 180 Figure 1 Figure 1 b shows the calibrated values for γ . It emerges that the calibrated values tend to bet at the upper 181 and lower bounds of the parameter space. This misbehaviour is already known (cf. Fig. 4b in Müller Schmied et 182 al., 2021). A brief sensitivity analysis and discussion of the calibration parameter are included in Appendix B. The 183 results of this analysis indicate that the clustering of the calibrated parameter value is not related to an inappropriate 184 selection of the parameter bounds but instead to the absence or an insufficient representation of processes. Thus, 185 the clustering of the calibrated values does not indicate an inadequate selection of the parameter bounds but -and 186 highlights the need-necessity to further developimprove the model structure and the calibration strategy for Wa-187 terGAP3, e.g., by implementing multivariate calibration. However, this study focuses solely on analysing analyz-188 ing and implementing a new regionalization regionalization methods. It does not aim to enhance the model struc-
- 189 <u>ture or to change the calibration approach-procedure of WaterGAP3. Future studies are needed to achieve the latter</u>,
- 190 as WaterGAP3 contains many hard-coded parameters or parameters defined by look-up tables that need to be

- 191 analyzed to identify and adjust sensitive parameters more accurately during calibration. To achieve the latter, fu-
- 192 ture studies are needed to select sensitive parameters or advance the model structure to avoid structural errors that
- introduce high parameter uncertainty when applying multivariate calibration (Kupzig et al., 2023). Initial steps in 193
- 194 this direction have already been taken for WaterGAP2 in the form of a multivariate and multi-objective case study
- 195 in the Mississippi River basin (Döll et al., 2024).



196 Figure 1: (a) Map of calibrated Gauged basins ealibrated beforehand, highlighting highlighting basins not used for 197 regionalization regionalization due to low insufficient model performance or too smallinadequate basin size and (b) the 198 histogram of the calibrated model parameter values of all used basins showing heavy-tails.a cluster of parameter values 199 at the parameter bounds.

200 2.3 Basin Descriptors

201 This study uses basin descriptors as predictors to drive regression-based or distance-based regionalization region-202 alization approaches. These basin descriptors are based on model-data used within the model simulation (as they 203 are globally available). Theyand are aggregated to basin values using a simple mean method to have the exact same spatial resolution as the calibrated model parameter.- Thus, in the case of nested basins, the inter-basin area 204 205 is used to define the basin descriptors. The selection of the predictors, i.e., basin descriptors that support the esti-206 mation of γ , is crucial for regionalization regionalization methods (Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Typically, this 207 selection aims to obtain the most information with the least number of predictors to (1) improve the model quality 208 and (2) limit over-parametrization parametrization. In this study, we use 12 basin descriptors to develop regionali-209 zation-regionalization methods; nine of these descriptors are physiographic, while the remaining three are climatic 210 (see Table 1Table 1). Most descriptors are not correlated (see Appendix CA), i.e., we avoid minimize redundant 211 information (Wagener et al., 2004).

- 212 A descriptor subset is selected based on correlation analysis between basin descriptors and calibrated y value and
- 213 entropy assessment. Pearson's correlation coefficient detects linear correlation, and Spearman's Rho and Kendall's
- 214 Tau detect a non-linear correlation. Shannon entropy (Shannon, 1948) measures the information gain of the pre-
- 215 dictors explaining the calibrated γ value. The higher the information gain, the more valuable the basin descriptor
- 216 is for explaining the variation in the calibrated γ value. The analysis directly evaluates the relationship between
- 217 the calibrated parameter and the basin descriptors, as WaterGAP3 uses only one calibration parameter with a clear
- 218 global optimum within the parameter space. An alternative would be to use flow characteristics to define the basis
- 219 for regionalization (e.g., Pagliero et al., 2019). We decided to use the calibrated parameter instead of flow charac-
- 220 teristics as it does not need any further assumption on which flow characteristics determine the model's parameter.
- 221 The predictor selection is based on correlation analysis and entropy assessment. Pearson's correlation coefficient

- $\frac{223}{\text{descriptors and calibrated } \gamma \text{ values. Shannon entropy (Shannon, 1948) measures the information gain of the pre } \frac{1}{224} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}$
- 225 is for explaining the variation in the calibrated γ value.
- 226 <u>Statistical information of the evaluated basin descriptors and the corresponding The ec</u>orrelation coefficients and
- 227 the corresponding information gain are listed in <u>Table 1</u>. <u>The basin descriptors demonstrate a considerable</u>
- degree of variability, e.g., the basin size ranges from 5000 km² to 3,112,480 km² with a median of 13,796 km².
- 229 The mean temperature varies from -19 °C to 29 °C, and the sum of precipitation ranges from 213 mm to 5,716
- 230 mm. Although there is a high degree of variability in the analyzed basin descriptors, All-the basin descriptors have
- 231 <u>exhibita</u> low correlation coefficients with the calibrated values. , e.g. For example, the permafrost coverage shows
- the highest strongest Pearson correlation of is -0.37 (and -0.50 for Spearman's Rho)⁶. The information gain indicates the same results as the correlation analysis, i.e., the information gain is generally relatively low, and de-
- 234 scriptors with a higher correlation tend to have a higher information gain. The information gain shows the same
- 235 result for the predictors, i.e., descriptors with a higher correlation tend to have a higher information gain. Never-
- 236 theless, the information gain is relatively low For example, the mean temperature exhibits the maximal information
- 237 gain, with a maximum of 17.6 4.4% and has the second-highest correlation coefficient with a Pearson correlation
- 238 of 0.34 of the information explained by the temperature descriptor.
- 239Table 1: Basin descriptors: statistical information, correlation, and entropy assessment. Selected physiographic and
climatic basin descriptors are written in bold.

	Basin		Attribute In	nformation			Entropy &	Correlation	
	Descriptor	Min	Max	Mean	Median	<u>IG (%)¹</u>	Pearson	<u>Spearman</u>	<u>Kendall</u>
	Soil Storage (mm)	<u>12.405</u>	<u>610.469</u>	<u>220.805</u>	<u>195.778</u>	<u>13.07</u>	<u>-0.21</u>	<u>-0.15</u>	<u>-0.11</u>
o <u>graphic</u>	Open Water Bodies (%)	<u>0.000</u>	<u>63.960</u>	<u>5.521</u>	<u>1.812</u>	<u>5.65</u>	<u>-0.01</u>	<u>-0.08</u>	<u>-0.05</u>
	Wetlands (%)	<u>0.000</u>	<u>63.466</u>	<u>4.164</u>	<u>0.547</u>	<u>5.01</u>	<u>-0.02</u>	<u>-0.13</u>	<u>-0.09</u>
	Size (km ²)	<u>5000</u>	3,112,480	<u>37,572</u>	<u>13,796</u>	<u>1.42</u>	<u>-0.04</u>	<u>-0.04</u>	<u>-0.03</u>
	<u>Slope Class (-)</u>	<u>10.057</u>	<u>67.756</u>	38.668	<u>38.364</u>	<u>16.60</u>	<u>-0.31</u>	<u>-0.37</u>	<u>-0.27</u>
<u>hysi</u>	Altitude (m.a.s.l.)	<u>30.239</u>	4765.166	<u>591.024</u>	<u>394.870</u>	<u>9.30</u>	<u>-0.18</u>	<u>-0.28</u>	<u>-0.20</u>
	Sealed Area (%)	<u>0.000</u>	<u>12.3</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>4.49</u>	<u>0.22</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.29</u>
	Forest (%)	<u>0.000</u>	100.000	<u>35.340</u>	<u>24.002</u>	<u>13.82</u>	<u>-0.25</u>	<u>-0.18</u>	<u>-0.14</u>
	Permafrost & Glacier (%)	<u>0.000</u>	<u>95.000</u>	<u>16.662</u>	<u>0.000</u>	<u>13.12</u>	<u>-0.37</u>	<u>-0.50</u>	<u>-0.40</u>
	Mean Temperature(°C)	<u>-18.848</u>	28.823	<u>7.720</u>	<u>7.707</u>	17.56	<u>0.34</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>0.30</u>
climate	Yearly Precipitation (mm)	<u>213.6</u>	<u>5,716.3</u>	<u>996.5</u>	<u>779.5</u>	<u>9.23</u>	<u>0.02</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>0.14</u>
	<u>Yearly Shortwave Down-</u> ward Radiation (Wm ⁻²)	<u>1,050.6</u>	<u>3,043.2</u>	<u>1,857.9</u>	<u>1,759.7</u>	<u>15.79</u>	<u>0.31</u>	<u>0.33</u>	<u>0.24</u>

¹Information gain is given in percentage of total information content in γ after Shannon (1948)

- 241 In contrast to the findings of Wagener and Wheater (2006), the correlation coefficients between the basin de-
- 242 scriptors and the calibrated values are relatively low, indicating a weak relationship. One potential explanation for
- 243 this discrepancy is that Wagener and Wheater (2006) used a smaller number of basins in southeast England, with
- 244 limited versatility (e.g., regarding climate and seasonality) compared to the 933 worldwide basins used in this
- 245 study. Studies using a large number of basins likely tend to find a lower correlation between catchment attributes
- 246 and model parameters (Merz et al., 2004). Moreover, the clustered calibrated γ values at the bounds of the valid
- 247 parameter space may disturb the results of this analysis. A possible reason for the low correlation and information
- 248 gain is that the γ values are tailored within the calibration's valid parameter bounds (i.e., 0.1 and 5), resulting in
- 249 heavy tails of the calibrated γ distribution. Thus, we expect the correlation to be higher, with calibrated γ reaching
- 250 values higher than 5. In addition, As the calibrated value masks the effect of multiple sources of errors, such as

uncertainty in the input data, model structure, or varying hydrological processes, finding a meaningful relationship
 between catchment characteristics and calibrated values is challenging.

253 Because the basis for the descriptor selection seems uncertain, given the low correlation and the named constraints,

254 we additionally run the regionalization methods with all descriptors to evaluate the descriptor selection. Further

255 on, to ascertain the advantage of integrating climatic descriptors, we run the regionalization methods using either

256 <u>physiographic or climatic descriptors</u>. Thus, there might be more complex relationships between the descriptors
 257 and the calibrated parameter, which are only partially captured by this analysis. Nevertheless, the results of this

258 analysis indicate descriptors that may be more useful than others in defining a regionalization method. In total,

259 Wwe used implement regionalization methods using four groups of basin descriptors to implement the regionali-

260 <u>zation methods</u> by selecting basin descriptors with the highest correlation coefficients and information gain:

• <u>"</u>cl": <u>two correlatedall three</u> climatic descriptors, <u>(mean temperature, annual shortwave radiation)</u>,

- 262 <u>• ""p": ": three correlatedall nine physiographic descriptors (slope class, forest %, permafrost %)</u>,
- 263 <u>"p+cl": all 12 descriptors, and</u>
- "<u>"p+clsubset</u>": two correlated climatic <u>descriptors (mean temperature, annual shortwave radiation)</u> &
 three <u>correlated physiographic descriptors (slope class, forest %, permafrost %)., and</u>

266 267 • "all": all 12 descriptors (as a control group to examine the effect of using correlated descriptors).

Table 1: Basin descriptors used in the regionalization methods: statistical information, correlation, and entropy assess ment. Selected physiographic and climatic basin descriptors are shaded in grey.

	Basin		Attribute In	nformation			Entropy &	Correlation	
	Descriptor	Min	Max	Mean	Median	IG (%)	Pearson	Spearman	Kendall
	Soil Storage (mm)	8.994	677.950	219.071	192.006	10.19	-0.20	-0.16	-0.12
	Open Water Bodies (%)	0.000	77.125	7.979	2.376	5.22	0.01	-0.05	-0.03
ographic	Wetlands (%)	0.000	73.181	6.134	0.721	4 .60	0.02	-0.07	-0.05
	Size (km ²)	5000	3112480	36811	13850	1.08	-0.03	-0.01	-0.01
	Slope Class (-)	10.057	67.756	37.739	36.986	<u>14.22</u>	-0.27	-0.31	-0.23
hysi	Altitude (m.a.s.l.)	22.32 4	4 765.166	630.826	4 12.41 4	7.29	-0.11	-0.19	-0.14
114	Sealed Area (%)	0.000	12.3	0.5	θ	3.25	0.18	0.34	0.25
	Forest (%)	0.000	100.000	32.037	18.245	11.50	-0.27	-0.21	-0.16
	Permafrost & Glacier (%)	0.000	95.000	15.316	0.000	10.96	-0.36	-0.47	-0.37
	Mean Temperature(°C)	-18.848	28.998	7.769	6.562	14.36	0.3 4	0.39	0.29
elimate	Yearly Precipitation (mm)	73.1	5716.3	950.6	743.5	7.95	0,01	0.18	0.13
	Yearly Shortwave Down- ward Radiation (Wm ⁻²)	1050.6	33098.4	1887.5	1777.2	13.05	0.33	0.34	0.25

270

271 2.4 Regionalization Regionalization Methods

In our study, we test several traditional and machine learning-based regionalization_regionalization_methods against each other and a defined benchmark-to-beat to find the most suitable regionalization regionalization methods for WaterGAP3. At the global scale, regionalization_regionalization is particularly challenging due to (1) the lack of high-quality data, (2) the diversity of dominant hydrological processes in basins_a and (3) the high computational demands of the models. Therefore, a robust regionalization method that applies to a wide variety of basins and is not computationally demanding should be selected for a global application. Therefore, a regionalization method that is robust, applicable to a wide variety of basins, and not computationally demanding should be chosen. 279 We test three common traditional approaches and two machine learning-based approaches using the concepts of 280 spatial proximity, physical similarity, and regression-based methods. As WaterGAP3's model calibration is very rigid and has only one parameter, it is not feasible to implement and test regionalization methods that incorporate 281 regionalization into the calibration process, such as transfer functions. In addition, we avoid high computational 282 demands as all evaluated methods are applicable after the calibration, i.e., without running the model. We test three 283 284 common traditional approaches: spatial proximity, physical similarity, and regression based methods, as well as 285 two machine learning based approaches. These machine learning based approaches are alternatives to traditional 286 physical similarity and regression based methods. As the model calibration of WaterGAP3 is very rigid and has 287 only one parameter, it is not feasible to implement and test regionalization methods that incorporate regionalization 288 into the calibration process, such as transfer functions. In addition, we avoid high computational demands as all 289 methods can be applied after the calibration, i.e., without running the model.

290 As the calibration of WaterGAP3 results in a parameter distribution with a cluster of parameter values at the 291 parameter bounds, we implement a so-called "tuning" to introduce information about the parameter space into 292 regionalization. In detail, we apply a simple threshold-based approach to shift the regionalized parameter values 293 to the extremes, i.e., $\gamma_{est} < \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 0.1$ and $\gamma_{est} > \gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 5.0$. The thresholds γ_1 and γ_2 are defined 294 by applying the k-means algorithm with three centers to the calibrated parameter values. This clustering results in 295 three clusters: one for low, one for medium, and one for high γ values. Subsequently, γ_1 refers to the highest γ 296 value of the low cluster and γ_2 refers to the lowest γ value of a high cluster.

- To-<u>To evaluate</u> the <u>regionalization-regionalization</u> methods, we implement an ensemble of split-sample tests. Specifically, we randomly split the basins into 50_% gauged (<u>for training</u>) and 50_% pseudo-ungauged (<u>for</u> <u>testing</u>) basins. The split has a relatively high percentage of pseudo-ungauged basins, accounting for many missing gauges worldwide. We fit the methods and apply them to the training and testing data sets. The split-sample test is repeated 100 times with by randomly <u>selected splitting the basins</u> basins for training and testing to account for sampling effects.
- 303 As there is only one calibration parameter, γ , this parameter has a global optimum per basin. Consequently, the 304 quality of training and testing is directly assessed by the deviation between the predicted regionalized and the 305 calibrated value for γ . The closer the regionalized values are to the calibrated ones, the more accurate the predic-306 tion. We assess the prediction accuracy by the logarithmic version of the mean absolute error (logMAE) to account 307 for the decreasing sensitivity of γ for higher values (see Appendix B). Thus, the mean absolute error (MAE), an easy to interpret measure, is used to evaluate the prediction accuracy. The lower the logMAE, the better the pre-308 309 diction; ana MAE-zero valueof zero in logMAE expresses no error. In our case, an MAE of 2.1 corresponds to the 310 error when using the mean calibrated y value as the predicted value. The regionalization regionalization method is 311 robust if the prediction accuracy is similar in training and testing. A generally good performance, i.e., small log-312 MAE values, indicates that the regionalization regionalization method suits WaterGAP3. The comparison of γ 313 values enables applying a wide range of regionalization methods and sets of descriptors, as no computationally 314 intensive model simulation is required. However, it assumes that deviations in γ lead, in turn, to deviations in discharge, which is only partially true because of varying parameter sensitivity in basins (e.g., Kupzig et al., 2023). 315 316 To validate that the logMAE is a sufficient approximator for the regionalization performance in WaterGAP3, we 317 use one representative split-sample from the ensemble to compare the accuracies in simulated discharge for dif-318 ferent regionalization methods.

319 Regression-based methods

320 The traditionally used regionalization approach of WaterGAP3 is a regression-based MLR. As the benchmark-tobeat, we use the regionalization approach from WaterGAP2.2d defined in Müller Schmied et al. (2021). We con-321 sider it a suitable benchmark-to-beat given that WaterGAP2 has a model structure and calibration process that is 322 323 very similar to WaterGAP3. The main difference between these models is that WaterGAP2 simulates at 0.5°spatial 324 resolution. The benchmark-to-beat consists of "a multiple linear regression approach that relates the natural loga-325 rithm of y to basin descriptors (mean annual temperature, mean available soil water capacity, fraction of local and 326 global lakes and wetlands, mean basin land surface slope, fraction of permanent snow and ice, aquifer-related 327 groundwater recharge factor)". (Müller Schmied et al., 2021) We fit this regression model to our data and define 328 the quality of this approach as the benchmark-to-beat. Moreover, we test an independent MLR approach without 329 using the logarithmical scaling of γ and using the above-defined sets of basin descriptors. For MLR and the bench-330 mark-to-beat, we use the lm() function of the R package stats (R Core Team, 2020). After applying the regression 331 model, we adjust the estimated parameter values to ensure that the estimated values range between 0.1 and 5.

332 For the traditional regression based methods, we use the lm() function of the R package stats (R Core Team, 2020)

333 to implement an MLR. After applying the regression model, we adjust the estimated parameter values to ensure

that the estimated values range between 0.1 and 5. As the calibration of WaterGAP3 results in a parameter distri-

335 bution with heavy tails, we implement a so called "tuning approach" to introduce this information into regionali-

336 zation. In detail, we apply a simple threshold based approach to adjust the regionalized parameter values to the

337 extremes, i.e., $\gamma_{est} < \gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 0.1$ and $\gamma_{est} > \gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_{reg} = 5.0$. A simple clustering, i.e., the k means algo-

338 rithm with three centres, defines these thresholds.

Furthermore, a machine learning-based method, namely random forest (RF), is tested for regionalizationregionalization as an alternative to MLR. Here, we implement the random forest algorithm with the randomForest() function from the R package randomForest (Liam & Wiener, 2002), which is based on Breimann (2001). The algorithm uses an ensemble of decision trees, making the decision human-like. It is relatively robust because it incorporates random effects into the training process. To implement this randomness, we define that the algorithm algorithm as one that can choose between two randomly selected predictors at each node. We use an, using an ensemble of 200 trees, the same combinations of predictors and the same tuning as for MLP.

345 200 trees., the same combinations of predictors and the same tuning as for MLR.

346 The benchmark to beat defined in Müller Schmied et al. (2021) also uses an MLR approach. This MLR approach 347 relates the natural logarithm of γ to the following basin descriptors: mean temperature, mean available soil water 348 capacity, fraction of open freshwater bodies, mean slope, mean fraction of permafrost coverage and an aquifer-349 related groundwater recharge factor. Thus, the main differences between the benchmark to beat and our defined 350 MLR based approach are the natural logarithm, our proposed tuning procedure for the method itself, and using the 351 aquifer related groundwater recharge factor as a basin descriptor.

352 Physical Similarity

353 For <u>As a the traditional physical similarity approach</u>, we use Similarity Indices (in the following named with SI)_

354 <u>applying</u>. We_use the methodology proposed by Beck et al. (2016). The SI (see Eq. (2)) are derived using the

355 <u>defined</u> basin descriptors-<u>sets</u>, mentioned above, and the parameter of the most similar basin is transferred to the

356 pseudo-ungauged basin. Additionally, we use an ensemble of basins to control whether an ensemble-based ap-

357 proach leads to more robust results. The optimal number of donor basins may vary between research regions and

- 358 hydrological models (Guo et al., 2020). Here, we use ten donor catchments (noted with <u>"ensemble10"), ") which</u>
- 359 is-based on Beck et al. (2016) and McIntyre et al. (200<u>5</u>6). Further, we apply a simple mean method for the en-
- 360 semble-based prediction to aggregate the ensemble $\underline{of y}$ values into one predicted parameter value.

361
$$S_{i,j} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{|z_{p,i} - z_{p,j}|}{I_{QR_p}}$$
 (2)

where $S_{i,j}$ is the Similarity Index between basin *i* and basin *j*, $Z_{p,j}$ is the basin descriptor *p* for basin *j*, IQR_p is the interquartile range for basin descriptor *p* among all (gauged) basins, and *n* is the number of all basin descriptors used.

365 As an alternative a-machine learning-based approach, we apply a simple k-means algorithm. We selected the kmeans algorithm because it is one of the most widely used clustering algorithms (Tongal & Sivakumar, 2017). It 366 367 is easy to understand and use. The algorithm kmeans() is implemented in the R base package stats. It aims to maximize maximize variation between groups and minimize minimize variation within groups. The number of 368 369 clusters to use is determined by multiple indices calculated with the R package NbClust (Charrad et al., 2014). For all 933 basins and the defined sets of basin descriptors, most indices defined three as the optimal number of clus-370 371 ters. Accordingly, wWe use three clusters to generate the groups of basins. As different scales of the predictor 372 values can affect the clustering, a rescaling with min-max-normalization normalization (see Eq. (3)) is performed on the training set and applied to the testing set. After the grouping, the mean y value is assigned as a representative 373 374 calibrated value to the corresponding basin group. To estimate the corresponding group for a pseudo-ungauged 375 basin, the knn algorithm is used, and the representative γ value of the group is assigned to the pseudo-ungauged 376 basin. This algorithm is implemented by the knn() function of the R package class (Venables & Ripley, 2002). 377 Since this method the k-means method is less flexible than SI, we implement a highly flexible version, using the 378 knn algorithm directly to define the donor basin most similar to each ungauged basin. of k means with 162 groups, 379 where each ungauged basin is sorted into a very small basin group. Using this highly flexible version the knn 380 algorithm directly-of k-means, we test whether the potential differences between SI and k-means are based on the 381 degree of flexibilityhow beneficial it is to create groups of similar basins using the kmeans algorithm and region-382 alize the parameter with a representative mean value.

383
$$Z'_{p,j} = \frac{Z_{p,j} - \min_{j \to m}(Z_{p,j})}{\max_{j \to m}(Z_{p,j}) - \min_{j \to m}(Z_{p,j})}$$
(3)

where $Z'_{p,j}$ is the normalisendormalized basin descriptor p for basin j, $Z_{p,j}$ is the basin descriptor p for the basin j, m is the number of (gauged) basins.



The spatial proximity approach is one of the easiest to <u>regionalize regionalize</u> parameter values. However, it is also often <u>eriticized criticized</u> that nearby basins do not necessarily have the same hydrological behaviour (Wagener et al., 2004). Furthermore, its performance depends on the density of the network of gauged basins (Lebecherel et al., 2016). The dependency on network density is particularly challenging for global applications where large parts of the world are ungauged (e.g., northern Africa). Nevertheless, the approach has been successfully applied in other studies (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2020), even globally (Widén-Nilsson et al., 2007).

- 400 Here, we take the distance between the centroids of the basins as <u>the</u> reference for the spatial distance between
- basins, as done by others (Oudin et al., 2008). We use the abbreviation SP in the text below to refer to the spatial
 proximity approach. <u>Figure 2 Figure 2 provides an overview of the applied regionalization regionalization</u> methods
 and information used for the experimental setup.
- 404 <u>3. Results and Discussion</u>

405 <u>3. Results and Discussion</u>

406 **3.1 Evaluating <u>the effect of Traditional Methodstuning</u>**

407 First, the impact of the tuning approach on the regionalization approaches is evaluated. Therefore, Fig. 3 depicts
 408 the differences in logMAE between the standard and tuned approaches in testing, i.e., using the pseudo-ungauged
 409 basins. A positive difference in logMAE indicates an increase in accuracy, whereas a negative difference indicates

- 410 <u>a decrease in accuracy due to the tuning.</u>
- 411 Using the tuning thresholds of about 1.1 and 3.4 for γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively, enhances the predictive accuracy for
- 412 kmeans, MLR, RF, and the ensemble approach of SI. The most remarkable improvement for kmeans, RF, and SI
- 413 ensemble is achieved when all physiographic descriptors are used as input (mean improvement of 0.077, 0.058,
- 414 and 0.071, respectively). MLR shows the most significant improvement when using all available descriptors (mean
- 415 improvement of 0.038). In contrast, the tuning decreases the performance for knn, SI, and SP, with a mean degra-
- 416 dation between -0.02 and -0.05. Unlike the enhanced regionalization techniques, these methods transfer single-
- 417 <u>basin information to ungauged regions. Thus, the tuning disturbs the use of single-basin information yet simulta-</u>
- 418 neously enhances the performance of methods that transfer multi-basin information. The disturbance or improve-
- 419 ment is probably related to the capability of the methods representing the clustering of parameter values at the
- 420 extremes: Whereas the multi-basin information transfer implies a smoothing and thus suffers from a lack of rep-
- 421 resenting the extremes, the single-basin information transfer exhibits no such a smoothing.
- 422 The exception from the above-defined rule is the benchmark-to-beat approach. The benchmark-to-beat is the only
- 423 <u>approach that uses logarithmic scaled γ values when fitting the model. This logarithmic transformation leads to an</u>
- 424 increase in estimating small values. Thus, when the benchmark-to-beat is tuned, more basins with higher calibrated
- 425 <u>γ values receive low estimates. The tuning intensifies this effect, leading to a decrease in the accuracy of the</u>
- 426 logMAE from the standard to the tuned version. Thus, for models using logarithmical transformed γ values, the
- 427 <u>defined thresholds for the tuning are not appropriate.</u>
- 428 Applying knowledge of the optimal parameter space enhances the quality of regionalization for methods transfer-
- 429 ring multi-basin information in case the tuning thresholds are appropriate. This positive effect is not surprising, as
- 430 incorporating a priori information about parameter distribution strengthens parameter estimation (e.g., described
- 431 in Tang et al. (2016) using the Bayes Theorem). However, for single-basin transfer, which already represents the
- 432 parameter space well, i.e., the clustering of γ at the extremes, the tuning disturbs the performance. This indicates
- 433 that such tuning needs to be cautiously introduced as there is the risk of decreasing the accuracy of regionalization.



434

435 Figure 3: Changes in performance between standard and tuned versions for all applied regionalization approaches. 436 Positive values indicate an improvement related to the tuning.

437 3.2 Evaluating descriptor subsets & algorithm selection

438 Different descriptor sets yield different performances in regionalizing γ . Table 2 shows the median of all logMAE

439 values for the testing. For a complete overview of the results of the split-sample test ensemble, see Appendix D.

Evaluating Table 2 reveals that the selected subset or all descriptors consistently yield the best performance across 440

441 all regionalization methods. In both variants of the ensemble approach of SI, the tuned version of the no-ensemble

442 approach of SI, and the standard version of RF, the selected subset yields the best results. For all other methods,

443 using all descriptors yields the best results. Hence, all methods perform best when combining climatic and physi-

444 ographic descriptors. This benefit of using climatic and physiographic descriptors is consistent with others that

445 often apply a combination of climatic and physiographic descriptors, achieving optimal regionalization results

446 (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Reichl et al., 2009).

447 The machine learning-based approaches seem to benefit most when using more information displaying an im-448 provement for all methods (knn, kmeans, and RF) and both variants (standard and tuned) ranging from "cl", "p", 449 "subset" to "p+cl". This is not surprising as machine learning is developed to deal with big data sets. The traditional 450 methods MLR and SI do not exhibit such a distinct pattern. The (weakly) correlated subset of climatic and physi-451 ographic descriptors yields the best results for SI. As utilizing all descriptors decreases the performance slightly, 452 the results indicate that uncorrelated descriptors may disturb the performance of this approach. For MLR, the 453 meaning of physiographic information is highest, resulting in the best ("p+cl") and second best ("p") results. The 454 disparate performance of the regionalization methods when using different descriptor sets indicates that different 455 methods use descriptor sets with varying efficiency. It also emphasizes that the selection of descriptors impacts 456 the regionalization method's results, as noted by others (Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Consequently, the above-457 performed analysis defining a descriptor subset lacks universal validity as methods exist where the defined subset 458 is outperformed. Instead, the validity of this approach is most closely aligned with the SI approaches. 459 Although the algorithms kmeans and knn are similar, they yield considerably different performances in Table 2. As knn shows a logMAE of 0.432 at best, the kmeans algorithm performs poorly, resulting in the best logMAE of 460

461 0.472. This indicates that applying the kmeans clustering algorithm to transfer averaged parameters is inappropri-

ate for WaterGAP3. This may be attributed to the reduced flexibility of the approach, which entails estimating 462

- 463 only three γ values due to the optimal, though limited, number of centers. The ensemble SI approach consistently
- 464 <u>outperforms the no-ensemble SI approach in almost all variants. The positive effect of an ensemble approach for</u>
- 465 SI has already been noted (Oudin et al., 2008). Therefore, it is recommended that the number of donor basins
- 466 derived from the literature be adopted in future applications to be optimal for WaterGAP3, likely resulting in
- 467 <u>higher performance.</u>
- 468 Only a few regionalization methods outperform the benchmark-to-beat. The best descriptor sets of tuned MLR,
- 469 RF, and SI ensemble approach have a logMAE of 0.427, 0.403, and 0.409, respectively. The standard version of
- 470 knn ("p+cl") and SP yield 0.432 and 0.454 in logMAE, respectively. Additionally, two variants of the standard SI
- 471 <u>approaches outperform the benchmark-to-beat yet exhibit inferior results compared to the selected tuned approach.</u>
- 472 <u>All other regionalization methods show higher logMAE values than the benchmark-to-beat. These methods are</u>
- 473 considered insufficient in terms of performance to regionalize γ in WaterGAP3. As the benchmark-to-beat outper-
- 474 forms all kmeans approach variants, it is deemed unsuitable for regionalizing γ for WaterGAP3 and, therefore,
- 475 <u>excluded from further analysis.</u>

476Table 2: Median logMAE of 100 split-samples for pseudo-ungauged basins, i.e., in testing, for all regionalization meth-
ods applying four sets of descriptors for a) the standard version and b) the tuned version. The bold numbers indicate a
better performance than the benchmark-to-beat. Thicker edges mark best-performing variants, which are chosen for
further analysis. Grey-shaded cells indicate worst-performing variants, which were taken to validate the assumption
that lower logMAE values result in lower KGE values.

(a) <u>test</u> (median)	<u>MLR</u>	<u>RF</u>	<u>SI</u> no ens. ensemble		<u>kmeans</u>	<u>knn</u>	<u>SP</u>	<u>B2B</u>
<u>cl</u>	<u>0.552</u>	<u>0.483</u>	<u>0.496</u>	<u>0.483</u>	<u>0.619</u>	<u>0.501</u>		
p	<u>0.479</u>	<u>0.465</u>	0.487	<u>0.480</u>	<u>0.551</u>	<u>0.477</u>	0.454	0.461
<u>p+cl</u>	<u>0.464</u>	<u>0.464</u>	<u>0.454</u>	<u>0.462</u>	<u>0.534</u>	<u>0.432</u>	<u>0.454</u>	<u>0.401</u>
subset	<u>0.488</u>	<u>0.488</u>	<u>0.461</u>	<u>0.439</u>	<u>0.539</u>	<u>0.467</u>		

 $\frac{(a)}{(b)}$

<u>(0)</u> - (<u>n</u>	<u>test*</u> nedian)	MLR	RF	<u>no ens.</u>	<u>SI</u> <u>no ens.</u> <u>ensemble</u>		- <u>knn</u>	<u>SP</u>	<u>B2B</u>
<u>cl</u>		<u>0.529</u>	<u>0.467</u>	0.537	<u>0.459</u>	<u>0.619</u>	<u>0.546</u>		
p		<u>0.441</u>	<u>0.416</u>	<u>0.532</u>	<u>0.455</u>	<u>0.515</u>	<u>0.521</u>	0.502	0.499
p+c	<u>:1</u>	<u>0.427</u>	<u>0.403</u>	<u>0.503</u>	<u>0.435</u>	<u>0.472</u>	<u>0.480</u>	<u>0.502</u>	<u>0.488</u>
<u>sub</u>	<u>set</u>	<u>0.453</u>	<u>0.408</u>	<u>0.501</u>	<u>0.409</u>	<u>0.477</u>	<u>0.509</u>		

481 The well-performing SP on a global scale is surprising as the distances between basins are potentially long, and 482 hydrological processes may strongly vary. It is probably beneficial for the SP approach that γ comprises all kinds 483 of errors, e.g., spatially localized errors in global forcing products (e.g., Beck et al., 2017 reported errors for arid 484 regions in the precipitation product) or inaccurately represented processes for larger regions. Thus, the estimation 485 of γ might be appropriate, but not because of the same hydrological behavior but due to the same kind of errors.

486 The RF approach is outstanding, as it shows a massive loss in performance from training to testing (see Appendix

487 <u>D). In detail, the logMAE in testing is about twice the logMAE in training. In comparison, other methods show</u>

488 results from 95.6 % to 101.4 %. This performance loss indicates that RF is not a robust regionalization method for

489 WaterGAP3. Other studies that reported the good performance of RF for regionalization have not investigated the

490 stability of the performance from training to testing (Golian et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2023). Likely, the mathematical

- 491 problem of predicting the calibrated parameter for WaterGAP3, with all its challenges (e.g., tailored parameter
- 492 space, clustered calibrated parameter, and incorporation of many sources of errors), cannot be adequately solved
- 493 by RF. Thus, although RF is known to be especially robust among other machine learning-based techniques, it
- 494 shows symptoms of over-parameterization. This indicates that the algorithm is too flexible and adjusts to noise in
- 495 the data, missing the underlying systematic. This lack of robustness is particularly disadvantageous since, for Wa-
- 496 terGAP3, regionalization is applied globally, requiring regionalizing large parts of the world. In consequence, the
- 497 <u>RF approach is left out from further analysis and defined as not suitable to regionalize γ for WaterGAP3.</u>

498 <u>3.3 Performance of selected algorithm in pseudo-ungauged basins</u>

- 499 To avoid the high risk of sampling effect when applying the split-sample test, we conduct an ensemble of 100 500 split-sample tests analyzing the median of logMAE between regionalized and calibrated values as an indicator for 501 performance. Directly using the differences in regionalized and calibrated values is only meaningful when the calibrated value represents the global optimum. As this is often not the case, e.g., due to equifinality, the perfor-502 503 mance of regionalization methods is usually assessed by the accuracy of simulated discharge (e.g., Samaniego et 504 al., 2010; Arsenault & Brissette, 2014). Because WaterGAP3 requires computationally intensive simulations, run-505 ning WaterGAP3 for all 100 split-sample tests for the selected methods is not feasible. Therefore, we select a 506 single representative split-sample to assess the quality of representing the discharge in the pseudo-ungauged basins 507 using regionalized γ values. The representative split-sample leads to comparable logMAE values to the corre-508 sponding median of the ensemble for all regionalization methods. For the evaluation, WaterGAP3 was run for the 509 same period used in calibration (from 1979 to 2016), with the first year simulated ten times to allow for model 510 warm-up. Using this period ensures the availability of sufficient data for the evaluation (see Chapter 2.2). Further-511 more, the differences between the monthly simulated and observed discharge are assessed using the KGE. 512 To evaluate the KGE, we select the best-performing methods that outperform the benchmark-to-beat: tuned MLR "p+cl", knn "p+cl", tuned SI ensemble "subset", and SP (see Table 2). For the sake of simplicity, we further mark 513 514 them with "(best)". Additionally, we select three poorly performing variants to validate the assumption that methods resulting in higher logMAE values tend to result in lower KGE values, i.e., lower accuracy of simulated dis-515
- 516 charge. These methods are tuned SI "cl" (logMAE: 0.537), tuned knn "cl" (logMAE: 0.546), and MLR "cl" (log-
- 517 MAE: 0.552). Further, we denote these methods with "worst". Applying the selected methods and the benchmark-
- 518 to-beat method results in eight estimates of γ for the pseudo-ungauged basins, whose performance is further eval-
- 519 <u>uated in terms of simulated discharge accuracy.</u>
- 520 Figure 4a shows the resulting KGE values for the evaluated regionalization methods and the calibrated version as 521 grouped boxplots for different ranges of calibrated γ . The methods show different performances for different γ
- for an end of the strength strength and weaknesses. For the smallest γ range, "0.1-0.2", the selected methods that
- 523 perform well during the split-sample test outperform the benchmark-to-beat. The better result for minimal γ ranges
- 524 is probably partially related to the advantage of the tuning, which leads to more predictions of 0.1 within the
- 525 regionalization. The benchmark-to-beat shows the best performance for γ values between 0.2 and 0.5. The good
- 526 performance for basins with calibrated γ values between 0.2 and 0.5 is probably related to the benefit of using the
- 527 logarithmical version of γ in the benchmark-to-beat, leading to more estimates of smaller values. However, this
- 528 affects only 12 % of the basins, as calibrated values between 0.2 and 0.5 are not frequently present in the calibration

- 529 result. Generally, the differences in KGE appear higher for smaller γ values, probably due to the decreasing pa-
- 530 rameter sensitivity with higher values (see Appendix B).
- 531 Given the variability in the performance of the regionalization methods across the depicted γ ranges, it is challeng-
- ing to identify an overall best regionalization method using Fig. 4a. Therefore, we compare the various metrics of
- the KGE values depicted in Fig. 4b. The analyzed metrics are the minimum, maximum, mean, and median. Further,
- 534 we count the number of poorly performing basins, defined as basins with a KGE below 0.2. In Fig. 4b, metrics
- 535 that exceed the benchmark-to-beat are grey-shaded.



Figure 4: a) KGE values of pseudo-ungauged basins from split-sample test grouped by the range of calibrated γ values,
 b) selected metrics of KGE values from the pseudo-ungauged basins (better or equal performance to the benchmark to-beat is highlighted in grey), and c) histogram of the number of pseudo-ungauged basins with a KGE below 0.2 and
 the corresponding number of methods exhibiting this performance loss.

- 540 Comparing the KGE metrics in Fig. 4b reveals that the methods showing higher logMAE values in our split-
- 541 sampling test ensemble also show lower performance in simulating discharge. For example, all mean (and median)
- 542 KGE values of the "worst" methods are below the mean KGE of 0.587 from the benchmark-to-beat, ranging from
- 543 0.545 to 0.578. This indicates that the used logMAE between regionalized and calibrated values is a valid tool for
- 544 a preliminary selection of adequate methods for the regionalization of WaterGAP3. However, for a more compre-
- 545 <u>hensive analysis, we recommend additionally analyzing the accuracy of simulated discharges, as the logMAE of</u>
- 546 calibrated and regionalized parameter values simplifies the inherent complexity between model parameters and
- 547 <u>model performance.</u>
- 548 <u>Moreover, SI (best) outperforms the benchmark-to-beat in all listed metrics, reducing poorly performing basins</u> 549 and enhancing well-performing basins. MLR (best) performs very similarly to SI (best), yet it shows a higher 550 <u>number of basins with KGE values below 0.2. In comparison to the benchmark-to-beat, it outperforms four out of</u> 551 <u>five criteria. The remaining well-performing methods, SP and knn (best), demonstrate superior or equal perfor-</u> 552 <u>mance to the benchmark-to-beat in three out of five criteria. SP results in an equal number of poorly performing</u> 553 basins, and the minimal KGE value is lower than for the benchmark-to-beat. The knn (best) approach has a slightly
- 554 worse median of KGE, i.e., -0.001, and one additional basin shows a KGE below 0.2.

555 As SI (best) outperforms the benchmark-to-beat in all metrics, we conduct a statistical test to ascertain whether 556 there is a statistically significant difference in KGE results between the methods. To this end, we use a paired 557 Wilcoxon rank sum test to test the null hypothesis of whether the KGE differs significantly in central tendency. A significance level of 0.05 and an adjusted p-value are applied to correct for multiple comparisons (using the cor-558 559 rection after Benjamini & Hochberg (1995)). The results demonstrate that SI (best) outperforms all "worst" methods and the benchmark-to-beat. However, the null hypothesis for SP and the "best" options of knn and MLR cannot 560 561 be rejected. Consequently, rather than identifying a single alternative to the benchmark-to-beat, we have identified 562 four.

563 Notably, all regionalization methods lead to poorly performing basins, as evidenced by the range of basins with a 564 KGE below 0.2, varying from 13 to 37. In Fig. 4c, we examine whether there are basins that all methods cannot regionalize, thereby indicating a general insufficiency of the regionalization methods for these basins. The histo-565 566 gram indicates that most poorly performing basins belong to a single regionalization method. The high number of basins, which cannot be estimated well by a single regionalization method, illustrates the diverse shortcomings of 567 568 the methods. A single basin shows poor performance across all methods. This is a basin of the river El Platanito in Mexico. The calibrated γ value is about 1.5, and the corresponding KGE value in calibration is 0.466. This basin 569 570 appears to be highly sensitive to γ , with an inaccuracy in the estimated γ having a significant impact on the accuracy of river discharge. For example, the benchmark-to-beat estimates γ to 1.0, which is close to the calibrated value of 571 572 1.5. However, the KGE value of the simulated discharge using the benchmark-to-beat is -0.158 due to a high 573 overestimation of the variation and mean of the discharge. This high sensitivity seems outstanding and is likely 574 attributable to the absence of waterbodies and snow, supporting a potentially high impact of γ on the model simu-575 lation (Kupzig et al., 2023) in conjunction with a relatively small basin size (ca. 6,600 km²).

Here, we examine the traditional methods (MLR, SI, SP) by comparing the ensemble of MAEs from training and 576 577 testing to each other and the benchmark to beat (see Fig. 3). Thus, applying knowledge of the optimal parameter 578 space enhances the quality of regionalization. This positive effect is not surprising, as incorporating a priori infor-579 mation about parameter distribution strengthens parameter estimation (e.g., described in Tang et al., 2016 using 580 the Bayes Theorem). As for all traditional methods, there is no significant performance loss between training and 581 testing, we will further focus on the performance in testing for evaluating the methods. When assessing the MLR 582 and the SI approach, it becomes apparent that using only the climatic descriptors is insufficient for regionalization 583 as it provides worse estimates than the benchmark to beat. The exclusive selection of physiographic descriptors 584 (slope class, forest %, and permafrost %) performs better, and yields results comparable to our benchmark to beat 585 for both methods. Using climatic and physiographic descriptors jointly increases the performance of SI by approx-586 imately 0.1 in median MAE. For MLR, the improvement is almost neglectable.



587

Figure 3: Split sampling results for the benchmark to beat taken from WaterGAP2 (WG2) and different versions of
 the traditional regionalization methods: Multiple Linear Regression (MLR), Similarity Indices (SI) and Spatial Prox imity (SP).

591 Thus, using only climatic descriptors in our case, the mean temperature and information about radiation is 592 insufficient for regionalization. Instead, physiographic descriptors appear more critical for regionalization than the 593 selected climatic descriptors. However, the best results are obtained when combining climatic and physiographic 594 descriptors. Others often apply the combination of climatic and physiographic descriptors, leading to optimal re-595 gionalization results (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Reichl et al., 2009).

596 The reduced importance of climatic descriptors is surprising, as the climatic descriptors tend to have a higher 597 information gain and correlation to the model parameter (see Table 1). Moreover, climatic information is often 598 used as a central part of other regionalization studies, e.g., to assess regionalization (e.g., Parajka et al., 2013; Guo 599 et al., 2020). One possible reason for this discrepancy in other studies is that we used pure meteorological data as 600 elimatic descriptors for the regionalization method. In contrast, others used derived information such as Köppen-601 Geiger climate zones or the Aridity Index (e.g., Beck et al., 2016; Yoshida et al., 2022).

When expanding the analysis to all descriptors, the performance changes slightly (i.e., mean MAE +/ ~ 0.05).
 Thus, increasing the number of descriptors does not increase the performance of regionalization at some point (in
 line with Oudin et al., 2008 using a comparable Physical Similarity approach). This suggests that uncorrelated,
 non redundant descriptors do not interfere with the regionalization using SI and MLR. Instead, a certain amount
 of information is beneficial to increase the regionalization method. After reaching this point, adding descriptors
 does not increase the performance, probably because all extractable information is already present in the given

608 descriptors.

609 Using an ensemble of ten donor basins for the SI approach results in slightly better MAE values in most cases than 610 applying a single donor basin (see Appendix B). More remarkably, the variation in the MAE values decreases 611 significantly for all ensemble approaches (i.e., the reduction in standard deviation in MAEs is about 50%). Thus, 612 introducing an ensemble approach for SI does not significantly improve the prediction performance. Still, it in-613 creases the likelihood that the prediction will perform well, i.e., be more robust. The positive effect of an ensemble 614 approach for SI is already noted (Oudin et al., 2008). However, the literature based number of donor basins might 615 be adopted in future applications to be optimal for WaterGAP3, probably leading to higher performance.

- The introduction of tuning led to a significant increase in prediction performance for MLR, i.e., the median MAE for all MLR approaches improved by 0.04 ("cl") and ~0.14 (others). For the ensemble based SI approach, the tuning improves the median MAE by about 0.07 to 0.12. Thus, applying knowledge of the optimal parameter space enhances the quality of regionalization. This positive effect is not surprising, as incorporating a priori information about parameter distribution strengthens parameter estimation (e.g., described in Tang et al., 2016 using the Bayes
- 621 Theorem).
- The SP approach is the simplest applied, evaluating distances to the centroids without requiring regression or clustering. Thus, there is no training performance, only a testing performance. Applying the approach leads to a median MAE of 1.356, which is better than the benchmark to beat (median MAE in the testing of 1.544) and has the same quality as the best MLR and SI approaches without tuning (median MAE of 1.394 and 1.367, respectively). The good performance of SP is in accordance with other studies (e.g., Oudin et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2020).
- 627 It indicates that this simple approach is suitable for WaterGAP3.
- 628 Nevertheless, the well-performing SP on a global scale is surprising as the distances between basins are potentially
- 629 large and hydrological processes may strongly vary. It is probably beneficial for the SP approach that γ comprises
- 630 all kinds of errors, e.g., spatially localised errors in global forcing products (e.g., Beck et al., 2017 reported errors
- 631 for arid regions in the precipitation product) or inaccurately represented processes for larger regions. Thus, the
- 632 estimation of γ might be appropriate, but not because of the same hydrological behaviour but due to the same kind
- 633 of errors.
- 634 **3.2 Evaluating Machine Learning-based Approaches**
- 635 In this section, we assess whether machine learning based approaches outperform the benchmark to beat and are
- suitable as a new regionalization method for WaterGAP3. We compare the ensemble of MAE for training and
 testing for RF and k means with the benchmark to beat (see Fig. 4).



638

Figure 4: Split sampling results for the benchmark to beat taken from WaterGAP2 (WG2) and different versions of
 machine learning based approaches: k-means (in combination with knn) and RandomForest (RF).

- 641 The RF approach is highly accurate within the training, i.e., fitting to calibrated γ values works well for gauged
- 642 basins. However, it suffers a significant loss in performance when predicting the γ values for the pseudo-ungauged

643 basins. Although RF still has low MAE values in testing, the loss in performance from training to testing is signif-644 icantly higher compared to other methods. This performance loss indicates that RF is not a robust regionalization 645 method for WaterGAP3. Other studies which reported good performance of RF in terms of regionalization have 646 not investigated the stability of the performance from training to testing (Golian et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2023). 647 Likely, the mathematical problem of predicting the calibrated parameter for WaterGAP3, with all its challenges 648 (e.g., tailored and heavy tailed parameter space, incorporation of many sources of errors), cannot be adequately 649 solved by RF. Thus, although RF is known to be especially robust among other machine learning based techniques, 650 it shows symptoms of over parameterization, meaning that the algorithm is too flexible and adjusts to noise in the 651 data, missing the underlying systematic. This lack of robustness is particularly disadvantageous since, for Wa-652 terGAP3, regionalization is applied globally, requiring regionalizing large parts of the world.

653 The k-means approach does not show such a performance loss between training and testing in almost all variants. 654 The only variant with comparable performance loss is the "highly flexible" k means approach. Interestingly, the 655 "highly flexible" k means approach was developed to emulate the same flexibility as in SI, which does not show 656 such performance loss between training and testing. This difference in robustness indicates that the applied k-657 means algorithm does not extract the information from the descriptors as efficiently as the SI approach. The lack 658 of efficient data use for some clustering methods in the context of regionalization has already been reported by 659 Pagliero et al. (2019). This could also contribute to the presented the k means falling behind the benchmark to-660 beat. Therefore, we conclude that the developed clustering is inappropriate for regionalizing WaterGAP3.

661 3.3 Implications of Regionalization

662 Finally, we highlight the possible implications of choosing regionalization methods for GHMs, where large parts 663 of the world need to be regionalized. For this purpose, a local analysis of internal states and fluxes and a continental and global assessment of the water balance are undertaken. Therefore, we run WaterGAP3 from 1980 to 2016 with 664 665 different γ distributions. We choose two equally valid solutions for the regionalization of WaterGAP3 to produce 666 equally valid global γ distributions: (1) the SP approach because of its simplicity and because it outperforms our 667 benchmark to beat, and (2) the tuned MLR "p+cl" because it outperforms our benchmark to beat and its application is very similar to the original regionalization approach of WaterGAP3. The tuned Similarity Indices "p+cl" 668 669 with an ensemble of 10 donor basins is also a valid solution for regionalizing γ . However, its application is more 670 complex than MLR and SP and differs considerably from the original WaterGAP3 regionalization. Therefore, it 671 has not been implemented and tested. In addition, we run the model with our benchmark to beat as it is our refer-672 ence for assessing changes. We use the best performing benchmark to beat and MLR models out of the 100 trained 673 models for the analysis.



687 others. The SP based approach shows the highest similarity to the distribution of the calibrated γ values.





690 (21.519794°|70.566733°) for a) actual evapotranspiration, b) soil storage and c) groundwater storage for 1989 as an
 691 exemplary year. Note that MLR tuned "p+cl" and WG2 are so close that they appear to be one line.

692 To highlight the impact of local differences in the parameter value, we examine an exemplary location in India

693 where the regionalized values are 0.325, 5 and 4.467243 for SP, MLR tuned "p+cl" and the benchmark to beat,

694 respectively. We show the resulting actual evapotranspiration (AET), the filling of the soil storage and the

- 695 groundwater storage for one exemplary year (see Fig. 6). The internal states and fluxes from the MLR tuned
- 696 "p+cl" and the benchmark to beat are not significantly different for all states, as the two lines are very close and
- 697 appear to be one single line. However, there are considerable differences between the two MLR based ap-
- 698 proaches and SP, particularly in the amplitude of the AET and the soil storage. Acceleration effects cause the
- 699 lower amplitudes for these two components. Reducing values of γ leads to a faster outflow of the soil storage,
- 700 resulting in lower AET and soil moisture; additionally, smaller values of γ lead to higher groundwater storage
- 701 due to accelerated percolation.



Figure 7: Simulated monthly runoff using three different regionalization methods for a) the Tiber, b) the Ebro and c)
 Rio Negro (in Argentina) for 2010 as an exemplary year.

Further on, we highlight the local effects of regionalization on discharge for the Tiber, the Ebro and Rio Negro 704 for one exemplary year in Figure 7. Whereas the simulated discharge is higher for SP compared to the other 705 706 methods in the Tiber and Rio Negro, the discharge is lower for the Ebro. Thus, one regionalization method does 707 not always increase or decrease the discharge but results in locally varying effects on the water balance. Moreo-708 ver, the similar results for MLR tuned "p+cl" and the benchmark to beat on the grid cell level (see Figure 6) 709 propagate to a similar discharge pattern at the basin scale. Further, differences between SP and the other region-710 alization methods at the grid scale can lead to high differences at the basin scale, i.e., the simulated discharge of 711 the Tiber is almost doubled for SP in May.

712 Finally, we evaluate how the observed variation due to different regionalization approaches propagates globally. Therefore, we assess the quantitative influence of regionalization by comparing a key component of the water 713 714 balance, i.e., outflow to the ocean and inland sinks. Table 2 shows the resulting differences in the selected flow 715 for all three model runs, aggregated to continental and global scales. The results highlight that the differences in 716 mean annual outflow vary spatially and between the regionalization methods. The results of SP differ signifi-717 cantly from the two MLR based approaches in some parts of the world. In Oceania, the SP approach exhibits a 718 deviation of 7.7 % in the selected flow compared to the benchmark-to-beat. This difference may be attributed to 719 the significant disparity in y between the two methods in New Zealand (see Fig. 5).

720

721 Table 2: Mean outflow to the ocean and inland sinks in km³ yr⁻¹ between 1980-2010

Continent	benchmark to beat	MLR	<u>SP</u>	
Africa	5005.10	0.972	0.968	
Asia	15977.39	1.005	1.114	
Oceania	1188.42	0.977	0.923	
Europe	3028.47	0.981	1.030	
South America	11612.39	0.997	1.039	
North America	7283.21	0.99 4	1.025	
Global	44094.97	43876.01	46456.35	

⁷²²

Similarly, SP exhibits a high deviation of 11.4 % in the mean outflow in Asia, which is likely due to the varia-723 724 tion of γ in India (see Fig. 5). In contrast, the southern part of South America, which shows a relatively high deviation in γ , does not lead to a significant deviation in the mean outflow for the continent. This limited impact of 725 726 varying parameter values in southern South America may be attributed to the lower water availability in this re-727 gion, which only slightly affects the continental water balance. These results suggest that the impact of regionali-728 zation methods on the continental water balance depends on (1) the variation in predicted parameter values and 729 (2) the region's sensitivity to the water balance. Examining the global estimates, the differences between the 730 benchmark-to-beat and SP results in approximately 2400 km³ yr^{-1,} which is in the range of inter-model differ-

731 ences (see Table 2 in Widen Nilsson et al.,2007).

732 Although the two newly developed methods performed similarly during the split sample test, significant differ-

races were observed when simulating the water balance. It was expected that the methods MLR tuned "p+cl"

734 and SP methods would differ less due to their similar performance during the split sample tests. However, it be-

rame apparent that the two MLR based methods resulted in more closely simulation results than the SP based

race approach. This indicates that the method selection, such as spatial proximity based or regression based, has a

737 greater influence on the regionalization than the details of executing the method. Moreover, the split sample test

738should be extended to get deeper insights into the method's robustness. For example, the SP and SI robustness

739 check could be extended by the so-called "HDes" approach, which Lebecherel et al. (2016) recommended. In

740 this approach, the closest basin to the corresponding (pseudo-) ungauged basin would be ignored during the re-

741 gionalization to measure the robustness of the regionalization method.

742 <u>3.4 Impacts on runoff simulations</u>

743 To evaluate the impact of runoff simulations, we apply an ensemble of regionalization methods generating γ esti-744 mates for the worldwide ungauged regions. Within the ensemble, we use the four methods SI (best), knn (best), 745 MLR (best), and SP that (1) outperform the benchmark-to-beat regarding the logMAE of regionalized and cali-746 brated values and (2) perform similarly to each other and better than the benchmark-to-beat in KGE for monthly 747 discharge. Additionally, we use the benchmark-to-beat as the fifth member of our regionalization method ensem-748 ble. The entire set of 933 gauged basins is used for regionalizing γ , resulting in five distinct worldwide distributions 749 of γ . The spatially distributed standard deviation of the regionalized values is shown in Fig. 5. 750 In particular, the southern parts of South America, the northern and southern parts of North America, and Central

751 Asia reveal differences in γ across the ensemble of regionalization methods (see Fig. 5). In Europe, the highest

- $\frac{\text{differences in regionalized values are observed in Italy, Great Britain, and northern Portugal. In Oceania, the high$ $est values in standard deviation of <math>\gamma$ are in Tasmania, New Zealand, and the southwest of Australia's coast. In contrast, a minor variation in γ is apparent in northern Africa, most parts of Australia, and the East of the Dead
- 755 Sea. Thus, the uncertainty associated with globally regionalizing γ seem to vary across different regions.



757

Figure 5: Standard deviation in regionalized γ values using the best approaches of MLR (best), SI (best), SP, knn (best),
 and the benchmark-to-beat. Note that dry regions without discharge are set to zero.

An example of how these uncertainties in regionalized values propagate through the water system is presented in
 Fig. 6. This figure displays the coefficient of variation of the mean yearly discharge between 1980 and 2016 based
 on the five simulation runs. Moreover, we highlight the effect on rivers in ungauged regions by showing the re sulting seasonal pattern, i.e., the simulated long-term mean of monthly river discharge for three exemplary rivers.
 These rivers are the Río Bravo in Mexico, the Tiber in Italy, and the Tamar River in Tasmania. Each river is located
 in an ungauged region, where the standard deviation in γ is high (see Fig. 5).

- 766 Comparing Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 reveals that regions showing variability in γ tend to exhibit variation in mean yearly 767 discharge. However, the impact of variation in γ on the simulated discharge appears to vary spatially. Some regions
- 768 showing a high degree of variation in γ do not exhibit a correspondingly high degree of variation in discharge. For
- resumple, 45 % of all ungauged regions showing a low variation in discharge, i.e., the coefficient of variation is
- example, 15 76 of an anguaged regions showing a for variation in discharge, i.e., the coefficient of variation is
- 770 below 0.5, exhibit a standard deviation of more than one in γ . In contrast, about 89 % of the ungauged regions
- 771 showing a higher discharge variation exhibit a standard deviation of more than one in γ . Thus, variation in γ does
- not necessarily lead to variation in river discharge, but it increases the likelihood that a region's discharge is af-
- 773 <u>fected. The spatially varying impact of γ is likely related to varying sensitivity regarding γ in the ungauged regions,</u>
- which depends on numerous aspects, e.g., snow occurrence or waterbodies (see Kupzig et al., 2023).

About 11 % of the ungauged area exhibits variations in yearly river discharge exceeding 50 % of the mean. These regions are primarily in southern South America and Central Asia. A further 62 % of the ungauged area exhibits variations in yearly river discharge between 10 % and 50 % of the mean. These regions are mainly located on the northern coast of Russia and northern Canada, Indonesia, and Tasmania. Other areas, like most ungauged regions of Africa and Australia, show almost no impact, i.e., the variation in yearly discharge is less than 10 % of the mean. In northern Africa, one region exhibits higher values in the coefficients of variation. These values are at-

- 781 tributable to minimal discharge values, resulting in comparatively high coefficients of variation in this region.







794

Figure 6: a) Global map of the coefficient of variation in mean yearly discharge for the applied regionalization methods.
 Resulting differences in the regionalization ensemble regarding the long-term mean of monthly discharge are depicted for: b) the Río Bravo in Mexico, c) the Tiber in Italy and d) the Tamar River in Tasmania. The grey-shaded area indicates the range of the long-term mean of monthly discharge and the black line indicates the mean off all simulation runs.
 To gain a deeper understanding of the local impact of regionalization on runoff simulations, we analyze the annual

⁸⁰¹ percentiles from 1980 to 2016 for Río Deseado in Argentina, Río Bravo, and Tamar River, displaying the mean 802 percentile of all years (see Fig. 7a-c). As the Tiber and Río Bravo display high similarities in the resulting patterns 803 of percentiles, we demonstrate the impact by showing the percentiles from the Río Bravo. Additionally, we com-804 pare the relative differences in the mean for each percentile using eight ungauged river systems (see Fig. 7d), as 805 previously done by Gudmundsson et al. (2012) for nine GHMs. To calculate the relative difference, we subtract 806 the mean annual percentile of a method from the corresponding mean annual percentile of the reference and divide 807 the resulting difference by the mean annual percentile of the reference. Instead of using observed flow as a refer-808 ence, we use the annual percentiles of our benchmark-to-beat. As river discharge is already spatially aggregated

- 809 information, it is unnecessary to spatially aggregate grid cells to create results comparable to those of Gudmunds-
- 810 son et al. (2012), who used cell runoff. The evaluated river systems are Río Chubut, Río Deseado, Río Negro, Río
- 811 Bravo, Tamar River, Tiber, Pescara, and Ebro.





⁸¹³ Figure 7: Mean annual percentiles between 1980 and 2016 of simulated discharge using an ensemble of regionalization 814 methods. The river are a) Río Deseado, b) Tamar River, and c) Río Bravo. In d), the relative differences in mean annual 815 percentiles to the benchmark-to-beat of eight ungauged river systems are presented. Negative values indicate smaller 816 mean annual percentiles than the benchmark-to-beat. Note that all data points from Río Deseado for knn and SP are 817 excluded as the values are above 2.0.

⁸¹⁸ In Fig. 7a, Río Deseado is highly affected by uncertainties in simulated discharge due to the different regionaliza-819 tion methods; all segments of the percentiles show high variations where the absolute spread is increasing with 820 increasing percentiles. For SP and knn (best), the discharge is highest, e.g., estimating a median discharge of 13.7 821 m³s⁻¹ and 19.7 m³s⁻¹ respectively. For the other methods, the simulated discharge is low, e.g., SI and MLR result 822 in an equal median discharge of 3.6 m³s⁻¹. The Tamar River in Fig. 7b also shows increasing absolute differences 823 between the methods for higher percentiles, with the benchmark-to-beat approach leading to the highest discharge. 824 For the Río Bravo, the absolute differences between the highest result of SP and the other methods remain almost 825 constant until the 75th percentile. For the 95th percentile, the absolute differences increase rapidly from about 40 m³s⁻¹ (75th percentile) to nearly 200 m³s⁻¹ (95th percentile). The exemplary results of Río Deseado and Río Bravo 826 827 indicate a potentially high degree of uncertainty regarding the high percentiles in discharge simulation. These uncertainties put the results of global flood frequency analysis (e.g., Ward et al., 2013) in ungauged regions at risk 828

as the time series of annual maxima might be even more uncertain. Thus, the results of flood frequency analysis
 should be carefully interpreted in ungauged regions as the impact of parameter regionalization may be significant.

- 831 Upon examination of the relative differences to the benchmark-to-beat for eight ungauged river systems, it be-
- 832 comes evident that the impact of regionalization methods varies between ungauged river systems (e.g., Río Negro
- 833 exhibits almost no variation, but Ebro does). Moreover, it becomes apparent that some regionalization methods
- 834 contribute more to the variation in estimated discharge than others. The methods contributing most are knn (best)
- and SP. For knn (best), 10 of the 40 relative differences are higher than |0.3|. For SP, even 29 out of the 40 relative
- 836 differences are higher than |0.3|. The results of SI (best) and MLR (best) are very similar, indicating high similarity
- 837 in performance. This is consistent with the KGE evaluation (see Chapter 3.3), in which they performed similarly.
- 838 The observation in Fig. 7d that higher relative differences of discharge simulations occur in drier percentiles is
 839 also reported in Gudmundsson et al. (2012). Moreover, the relative differences between the five regionalization
- 840 runs seem comparable to the inter-model differences depicted in Gudmundsson et al. (2012), indicating the high
- 841 impact of regionalization methods on the evaluated ungauged river systems.
- 842 Finally, Table 3 presents the estimated yearly mean runoff to the ocean for all five ensemble members. All esti-
- 843 mates of global "runoff to ocean" range from 45,622 (SI (best)) to 47,069 (SP). Thus, the differences are on the
- 844 scale of smaller inter-model differences (see Table 2 in Widen-Nilsson et al.,2007). The impact of regionalization
- 845 becomes even more evident using an unsuitable regionalization method for WaterGAP3. For instance, the tuned
- 846 <u>kmeans ("subset") approach results in 42,862 km³ yr⁻¹ "runoff to ocean", increasing the spread between the meth-</u>
- 847 ods to 4,208 km³ yr⁻¹ being in the scale of inter-model differences. This high impact of regionalization on global
- 848 "runoff to ocean" is surprising, given that only 27 % of the world is ungauged, using the GRDC database. From
- 849 this 27 %, most regions are in Australia and Africa, where minimal runoff is produced. In studies employing
- 850 <u>disparate models, e.g., for inter-model comparison, all regions are simulated in disparate ways.</u>
- 851 The most significant deviations in the continental sums of "runoff to ocean" in Table 3 are due to SP. Only for
- 852 Europe is the highest deviation related to MLR (best), not SP. Interestingly, the estimated sums of SP occasionally
- 853 define the lowest and occasionally the highest extremes for the continents, lacking a systematic pattern. The out-
- 854 standing role of SP is consistent with previous evaluations in this Chapter, where SP frequently contributes most
- 855 to the variation in discharge. This suggests that SP may not be suitable for the global scale. Nevertheless, the
- 856 pseudo-ungauged basins in the split-sample tests may also exhibit considerable distances from the observed basins.
- 857 Given that SP achieved satisfactory results in both evaluations, using either the logMAE or the KGE, the evaluation
- 858 indicates the method's suitability on a global scale. Thus, in the future, the split-sample test must be extended to
- 859 gain deeper insights into the method's robustness and make a definitive statement about the method's suitability
- 860 on a global scale. For example, the so-called "HDes" approach, recommended by Lebecherel et al. (2016), could
- 861 be applied for this purpose. In this approach, the closest basin to the corresponding (pseudo-) ungauged basin is
- 862 <u>excluded from the regionalization process, thereby enabling an assessment of the method's robustness.</u>

863Table 3: Mean outflow to the ocean and endorheic basins in km³ yr⁻¹ between 1980-2016. The highest continental devi-864ation to the benchmark-to-beat is indicated in bold.

<u>Runoff to ocean¹</u>	<u>B2B</u>	<u>SI (best)</u>	<u>knn (best)</u>	MLR (best)	<u>SP</u>
<u>Oceania</u>	<u>1,127</u>	<u>-1.80 %</u>	<u>-2.20 %</u>	<u>-3.40 %</u>	<u>-6.60 %</u>
<u>Europe</u>	<u>3,098</u>	<u>-2.30 %</u>	<u>-0.10 %</u>	<u>-2.60 %</u>	<u>0.20%</u>
<u>Asia</u>	<u>16,676</u>	<u>3.50 %</u>	<u>0.30 %</u>	<u>1.60 %</u>	<u>5.50 %</u>

Africa	<u>5,203</u>	<u>-1.00 %</u>	<u>0.70 %</u>	<u>-0.30 %</u>	<u>-3.60 %</u>
North America	7,517	<u>0.30 %</u>	<u>1.00 %</u>	<u>-1.70 %</u>	<u>2.20 %</u>
South America	12,032	<u>1.30 %</u>	<u>1.40 %</u>	<u>-0.20 %</u>	<u>4.90 %</u>
<u>global</u>	45,653	46,273	45,953	45,622	47,069
1					

¹including endorheic basin

865 <u>Conc</u>lusion

866 Valid simulation results from GHMs, such as WaterGAP3, are crucial for detecting hotspots or studying patterns 867 in climate change impacts. However, the lack of worldwide monitoring data makes adapting GHMs' parameters 868 for valid global simulations challenging. Therefore, regionalization is necessary to estimate parameters in ungauged basins. This study applies regionalization methods for the first time to WaterGAP3, aiming to provide 869 870 insights into selecting suitable regionalization methods and evaluating their impact on the runoff simulations. Tra-871 ditional and machine learning-based methods are tested to assess the application of several regionalization tech-872 niques on a global scale. The concept of benchmark-to-beat and an ensemble of split-sampling tests are employed 873 for a comprehensive evaluation. Moreover, the impact on runoff simulation is assessed using a wide range of 874 temporal and spatial scales, i.e., from the daily to the yearly and from the local to the global scale. Valid simulation 875 results from GHMs, such as WaterGAP3, are crucial for detecting hotspots or studying patterns in climate change 876 impacts. However, the lack of worldwide monitoring data makes adapting GHMs' parameters for valid global 877 simulations challenging. Therefore, regionalization is necessary to estimate parameters in ungauged basins. This 878 study introduces novel regionalization methods for WaterGAP3 and aims to provide insights into selecting a suit-879 able regionalization method and evaluating its impact on the simulation results. Traditional and machine learning-880 based methods are tested to assess the advantages of using new techniques on a global scale. The concept of 881 benchmark to beat and an ensemble of split sampling tests are employed for a comprehensive evaluation. 882 In this study, four regionalization methods outperform the benchmark-to-beat and thus are considered appropriate 883 for WaterGAP3. These methods span the complete range of methodologies, i.e., regression-based methods and 884 methods using the concept of physical similarity and spatial proximity. Moreover, the methods vary in the de-885 scriptors used to achieve optimal results. This highlights that different methods use descriptor sets with varying 886 efficiency. All methods perform best when using climatic and physiographic descriptors, indicating that combining 887 climatic and physiographic descriptors is optimal for regionalizing worldwide basins. Although random forest is

- 888 known to be especially robust among other machine learning-based techniques, it shows symptoms of over-pa-
- 889 rameterization, indicating that the algorithm is too flexible and adjusts to noise in the data, missing the underlying
- 890 systematic pattern.

891 Our results demonstrate that variation in the regionalized parameter value does not necessarily lead to variation in 892 river discharge. However, it increases the likelihood that a region's runoff is affected. This spatially varying impact 893 of γ is likely related to the varying sensitivity in ungauged regions regarding γ . Southern South America is a region 894 identified to be especially sensitive to variation in γ . Furthermore, local effects on runoff simulations indicate a 895 temporally varying impact. For example, some impacted rivers indicate a high degree of uncertainty regarding the high percentiles in discharge simulation. These uncertainties potentially lead to a significant impact on flood fre-896 897 guency analysis on a global scale, where the lack of gauging stations in certain regions calls for regionalization. 898 The global impact of regionalization methods that perform well for WaterGAP3 appears to be in the order of minor

899 inter-model differences. This impact rigorously increases when using a poorly performing method for WaterGAP3,
 900 underscoring the importance of carefully selecting regionalization methods.

- 901 The spatial proximity approach contributes most to the variation in estimated runoff. The outstanding role of this 902 approach suggests that it may not be suitable for the global scale. However, as the pseudo-ungauged basins in the 903 split-sample tests may also have considerable large distances to the observed basins, and the method achieves 904 satisfactory results in all executed evaluations, it is not possible to make a definite statement about the method's 905 suitability for the global scale. Further research is required to gain deeper insights into the methods' robustness,
- 906 e.g., by extending the analysis by applying the recommended "HDes" approach (Lebecherel et al., 2016).
- 907 Our results suggest that the basin descriptor selection may not be crucial for regionalization in WaterGAP3 as long
- 908 as a subset of the selected descriptors contains relevant information. Additionally, introducing an ensemble ap-
- 909 proach for Similarity Indices does not necessarily improve the prediction performance but increases the likelihood
- 910 of robust predictions. Interestingly, the simplest regionalization method (using the concept of spatial proximity)
- 911 outperforms most of the developed regionalization methods and the benchmark to beat. In contrast, the more com-
- 912 plex, machine learning based approaches deliver insufficient prediction performance. The inadequate performance
- 913 may be attributed to an inefficient extraction of available information content from the descriptors and the blurring
- 914 relationship between the calibration parameter and basin descriptors, which is caused by including multiple error
- 915 sources in the calibration parameter values. This blurring relationship probably poses a high risk of over parame-
- 916 terization, which hinders the use of more flexible machine learning based approaches.
- 917 Regionalization appears to result in spatially varying uncertainty for ungauged regions, with India and Indonesia
- 918 being particularly affected by higher uncertainty. The local impacts of regionalization in ungauged areas propagate
- 919 to the global scale, where the water balance component "outflow to the ocean and inland sinks" changed by about
- 920 2400 km³ yr⁻¹, which is in the scale of inter model differences. As the selected regionalization method influences
- 921 the regionalization more than details on the execution of the method, we recommend employing simulation runs
- 922 that use multiple regionalization methods to account for the uncertainty induced by the chosen regionalization
- 923 method. Considering the uncertainty induced by regionalization is especially important when analysing regions
- 924 with a significant proportion of ungauged basins or high sensitivity to the examined target.
- Code and data availability. The data and the supporting R-Code to reproduce this study's findings are available at
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11833447DOI 10.5281/zenodo.10803089.
- 927 Authors contribution. JK developed, designed, and drafted the study. NK helped to design the experiment. MF
- 928 provided feedback throughout the entire process and supported the writing.
- 929 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.





935 Appendix B: Further analysis regarding the clustering of parameter values at the extremes

936 The clustered calibrated parameter values at the extremes of the valid parameter space (see Fig. 1b) are a known

- 937 problem within the calibration. As the parameter space, i.e., the parameter bounds, is crucial for calibration and,
- 938 in consequence, for regionalization, we address this issue by a brief sensitivity analysis to demonstrate that the
- 939 clustering of the calibrated parameter values is more an issue of missing processes (or using additional parameter
- yalues) than an issue of inappropriate parameter space. As the lower limit of the calibrated parameter (0.1) is
 sufficiently small in comparison to other studies using a similar HBV-based approach for runoff generation pro-
- 942 cesses (e.g., see the beta in Table A2 in Jansen et al., 2022), we focus on the sensitivity analysis on the upper limit
- 943 <u>of γ (5.0)</u>.
- 944 In the sensitivity analysis regarding the upper limit of γ , we applied the model formula (see equation B1) containing
- 945 the model's parameter γ and modified it within the bounds of 0.1 and 10. Additionally, we modified the soil satu-946 ration varying from 1 % to 95 %.

$$outflow = precipitation_{effective} \cdot soil saturation^{gamma}$$
(B1)

947 The calculated outflow and its relationship to the soil saturation and γ are depicted in Fig. B1 and B2. The incoming

948 effective precipitation is defined as constant. As it is a factor in equation B1,, the results regarding incoming

949 <u>effective precipitation are linearly scalable.</u>





953 In the depicted Fig. B1, the runoff generation process differences between differing γ values become more linear 954 when soil saturation increases. Thus, the non-linear model parameter becomes less critical for high soil moisture. 955 Generally, the runoff generation process differences for higher γ values are more pronounced for higher soil mois-956 ture. For lower soil moisture, the smaller values have higher effects on the generated runoff. For example, for 70 % 957 soil moisture, the differences for γ values ranging from 5 to 10 are between 3 % and 16 %. For the same soil 958 moisture, the range in runoff generation varies from 16 % to 70 % for γ values between 1 and 5.

- 959 High γ values usually occur in dry regions (see Fig. 4b in Müller Schmied et al., 2021). In dry regions, high soil
- 960 moisture values are not expected to occur frequently (e.g., see Khosa et al., 2020; Oloruntoba et al., 2024 for
- 961 estimated and measured soil moisture in Africa and Draper et al., 2008 for estimated and measured soil moisture
- 962 in Australia). It is, therefore, unlikely that higher γ values will significantly enhance the calibration result or de-
- 963 crease the issue of clustered calibrated parameter values at the higher end of the parameter space. More likely, the
- 964 clustering of calibrated parameter values will be resolved in dry regions by incorporating additional (missing)
- 965 model processes, such as evaporation from rivers or inaccurate representation of groundwater processes (Eisner,
- 966 2016, p. 49). Thus, the parameter bounds of γ (e.g., also used in Eisner 2016, p. 16; Müller Schmied et al., 2021;
- 967 <u>Müller Schmied et al., 2023) are not changed in this study.</u>

968 Appendix <u>C</u>A: Basin descriptors

969 Overview of basins descriptors used in this study. All basin descriptors are derived from the original model input 970 and aggregated with a simple mean method to basin values to produce the same spatial resolution as the calibrated 971 model parameter.

972 Soil Storage: The size of the soil storage, i.e., the maximal water content in the soil reachable for plants 973 in millimetresmm. The information is the product of rooting depth (defined in a look-up table) and the 974 total available water content derived from Batjes (20123). 975 Open Water Bodies: The fraction of the area covered with open water bodies in the basin is given as a • 976 percentage. The model input is based on the GLWD database (Lehner & Döll, 2004). 977 Wetlands: The fraction of area covered with wetlands in a basin is given in percentage. The model input 978 is based on the GLWD database (Lehner & Döll, 2004). 979 Size: Size of a basin in km². • 980 Slope: The mean slope class is calculated as described in Döll & Fiedler (2008) and based on GTOPO30 ٠ 981 (USGS EROS data centre). 982 Altitude: The mean altitude of a basin is given in metres meters above sea level and based on GTOPO30 • 983 (USGS EROS data centre). 984 Forest: The mean fraction of the area covered with forest is given in percentage and derived from MODIS • 985 data (Friedl & Sulla-Menashe, 2019), where 2001 is used as a reference. All grid cells having a dominant 986 International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification between one and five are defined 987 as <u>"</u>forest". Sealed Area: The mean fraction of sealed area is given in percentage and derived from MODIS data 988 989 (Friedl & Sulla-Menashe, 2019), where 2001 is used as a reference. All grid cells having an IGBP clas-990 sification equal to 13 are defined as they would contain 60% of the sealed area. Note: The different treat-991 ment of forest and sealed area is based on the required model input; whereas the land cover is a classified 992 value, the sealed area is a floating-point value. 993 Permafrost & Glacier: The mean coverage of permafrost and glacier in a basin is given in percentage. It ٠ 994 is based on the World Glacier Inventory and the Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground-Ice Con-995 ditions. 996 Mean Temperature: The mean air temperature is based on the meteorological forcing used to drive the • 997 model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given in degrees Celsius. 998 Yearly Precipitation: The yearly precipitation sum is based on the meteorological forcing used to drive 999 the model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given in millimetresmm. 1000 Yearly Shortwave Downward Radiation: The yearly shortwave downward radiation is based on the me-1001 teorological forcing used to drive the model (Lange, 2019) covering the period 1979 to 2016 and given 1002 in Wm⁻². 1003 1004 The correlation between the defined basin descriptors is shown in Fig. A1. The variation within each basin de-1005 scriptor for basins used for regionalization regionalization is shown in Fig. A2. 1006

	Altidude	2		3lacier									
Slope	0.69	Slope		^{70,84}	odies								
Permafrost & Glacier	0.23	0.35	Perma	7 2	^{ater} B								
Open Water Bodies	-0.21	-0.27	-0.01	Open L		s							
Wetlands	-0.19	-0.26	0	0.96	Wetlan								
Size	0.04	-0.06	0.01	0.1	0.09	Size	,	urage					,on
Soil Storage	-0.33	-0.31	-0.27	0.16	0.14	0.01	Soil St			tation			Radiat
Forest	-0.22	-0.11	-0.36	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	0.74	Forest	4	Tecip,	ature	-emun	Din
Yearly Precipitation	- <mark>0.1</mark> 9	-0.33	-0.46	-0.01	0.01	0.03	0.48	0.43	Yearly	~	empe,	ave Do	
Mean Temperature	-0.2	-0.44	-0.7	-0.05	-0.02	0.06	0.22	0.19	0.75	Mean	ò	Muoun	ramete,
Yearly Shortwave Downward Radiation	0.15	-0.21	-0.47	-0 <mark>.</mark> 1	-0.05	0.08	-0.04	-0.03	0.55	0.85	Y _{early}	tion .	ed in
Calibration Parameter	-0 <mark>.1</mark> 8	-0.31	-0.37	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.21	-0.25	0.02	0.34	0.31	Calibra	
Sealed Area 007	-0.1	-0.02	-0.21	-0.08	-0 <mark>.0</mark> 9	-0.05	-0 <mark>.1</mark> 1	-0.07	0.04	0.11	-0.04	0.22	

1010

Figure <u>CA1</u>: Correlation between basins descriptors.





1013 Appendix B: Results of split-sample tests

1014 Table B1: Summarized results of the split-sample tests for all regionalization methods

input	method	train (median)	train (sd)	t est (median)	test (sd)
-	WG2	1.527	0.042	1.5 44	0.046
-	SP	-	-	1.356	0.057
el		1.474	0.039	1.485	0.019
P	MD	1.871	0.034	1.881	0.015
p+cl	WILK	1.457	0.038	1.473	0.018
all		1.39 4	0.039	1.425	0.02 4
cl		1.322	0.040	1.331	0.027
₽	MIP t	1.830	0.041	1.843	0.030
p+cl	WIEK_t	1.307	0.042	1.337	0.030
all		1.245	0.042	1.292	0.034
el		0.688	0.026	1.401	0.029
₽	PE	0.741	0.027	1.579	0.032
p+cl	. Ki	0.620	0.020	1.312	0.025
all		0.624	0.021	1.346	0.023
el	RF_t	0.465	0.020	1.310	0.039
P		0.49 4	0.023	1.540	0.042
p+cl		0.378	0.017	1.183	0.037
all		0.345	0.014	1.181	0.03 4
el		1.477	0.080	1.492	0.056
P	<u>SI 1</u>	1.651	0.086	1.661	0.063
p+cl	51_1	1.380	0.066	1.375	0.050
all		1.367	0.069	1.390	0.064
cl		1.398	0.046	1.397	0.029
₽	SI 10	1.558	0.047	1.556	0.027
p+el		1.326	0.044	1.321	0.025
all		1.398	0.049	1.402	0.028
el		1.281	0.053	1.281	0.043
₽	SI 10 t	1.497	0.050	1.487	0.037
p+cl		1.206	0.048	1.201	0.040
all		1.286	0.053	1.296	0.039
el		1.689	0.038	1.699	0.018
P	k-means	1.910	0.051	1.918	0.039
p+cl	1	1.632	0.046	1.648	0.022
all		1.642	0.044	1.638	0.025
el]	1.474	0.111	1.519	0.088
P	k-means t	1.909	0.055	1.918	0.040
p+cl		1.399	0.070	1.425	0.053
all		1.426	0.068	1.417	0.051
el		1.065	0.048	1.553	0.097
P	k-means	1.191	0.046	1.991	0.142
p+cl	flexible	0.982	0.040	1.568	0.125
all		0.957	0.044	1.515	0.114



Appendix D: Results of the ensemble of the split-sample tests

1022Table D1: Performance loss in median logMAE of the ensemble of split-sample tests from training to testing expressed1023in % of logMAE in training.

<u>test</u> (% train)	MLR	<u>RF</u>	<u>s</u> no ens.	<u>ensem-</u> <u>ble</u>	<u>kmeans</u>	<u>knn</u>	<u>SP</u>	<u>B2B</u>
<u>cl</u>	<u>100.4</u>	<u>202.9</u>	100.6	100.6	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>		
p	<u>102.1</u>	<u>199.6</u>	<u>101.2</u>	<u>100.6</u>	<u>101.3</u>	<u>101.1</u>	102.3	102.2
<u>p+cl</u>	<u>103.1</u>	<u>207.1</u>	<u>101.6</u>	<u>100.9</u>	<u>100.6</u>	<u>95.6</u>	102.5	<u>102.2</u>
<u>subset</u>	<u>101.7</u>	<u>223.9</u>	<u>100</u>	100.7	<u>101.3</u>	<u>100.2</u>		

	<u>test*</u> (% train*)	<u>MLR</u>	<u>RF</u>	<u>no ens.</u>	<u>ensem-</u> <u>ble</u>	<u>kmeans</u>	<u>knn</u>	<u>SP</u>	<u>B2B</u>
	<u>cl</u>	<u>100.8</u>	266.9	<u>99.8</u>	100.7	<u>100</u>	<u>100.4</u>		
	p	<u>103</u>	<u>277.3</u>	<u>101.3</u>	<u>101.3</u>	<u>101.4</u>	<u>101.4</u>	102.1	104.1
	<u>p+cl</u>	<u>104.4</u>	<u>277.9</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>102.1</u>	<u>102.2</u>	<u>101.7</u>	<u>105.1</u>	<u>104.1</u>
_	subset	<u>102</u>	<u>258.2</u>	<u>99.8</u>	100.5	<u>103</u>	<u>100.2</u>		

1026 References

- Arheimer, B., Pimentel, R., Isberg, K., Crochemore, L., Andersson, J. C. M., Hasan, A., & Pineda, L.: Global
 catchment modelling using World-Wide HYPE (WWH), open data, and stepwise parameter estimation, Hydrology
- 1029 and Earth System Sciences, 24(2), 535–559. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-535-2020, 2020.
- 1030 Arsenault, R, & Brissette, F. P.: Continuous streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: The effects of equifinality
- and parameter set selection on uncertainty in regionalization approaches, Water Resources Research, 50, 6135-
- 1032 6153, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014898, 2014.
- 1033 Ayzel, G. V., Gusev, E. M., & Nasonova, O. N.: River runoff evaluation for ungauged watersheds by SWAP
- 1034 model. 2. Application of methods of physiographic similarity and spatial geostatistics, Water Resources, 44(4),
- 1035 547–558, https://doi.org/10.1134/S0097807817040029, 2017.
- 1036 Barbarossa, V., Bosmans, J., Wanders, N., King, H., Bierkens, M. F. P., Huijbregts, M. A. J., & Schipper, A. M.:
- 1037 Threats of global warming to the world's freshwater fishes, Nature Communications, 12(1), 1701, 1038 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21655-w, 2021.
- Batjes, N. H.: ISRIC-WISE derived soil properties on a 5 by 5 arc-minutes global grid (ver. 1.2) [data set],
 https://data.isric.org/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/82f3d6b0-a045-4fe2-b960-6d05bc1f37c0,
 20123.
- Beck, H. E., Pan, M., Lin, P., Seibert, J., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., & Wood, E. F: Global Fully Distributed Parameter
 Regionalization Based on Observed Streamflow From 4,229 Headwater Catchments, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125(17), https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031485, 2020.
- Beck, H. E., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Roo, A. de, Dutra, E., Fink, G., Orth, R. & Schellekens, J.: Global evaluation of
 runoiff from 10 state-of-the-art hydrological models, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 2881-20903,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-2881-2017, 2017.
- 1048 Beck, H. E., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Roo, A. de, Miralles, D. G., McVicar, T. R., Schellekens, J., & Bruijnzeel, L.
- 1049 A.: Global-scale regionalization of hydrologic model parameters, Water Resources Research, 52(5), 3599–3622,
 1050 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018247, 2016.
- 1051 Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y: Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to
- Multiple Testing, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101, 1995.
- 1054 Boulange, J, Hanasaki, N, Yamazaki, D., & Pokhrel, Y.: Role of dams in reducing global flood exposure under
- 1055 climate change, Nature Communications, 12(1), 417, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20704-0, 2021.
- 1056 Breimann, L.: Random Forests, Machine Learning, 45, 1–32, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324, 2001.
- 1057 Chaney, N. W., Herman, J. D., Ek, M. B., & Wood, E. F.: Deriving global parameter estimates for the Noah land
- 1058 surface model using FLUXNET and machine learning, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(22),
- 1059 13,218–13,235, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024821, 2016.
- 060 Charrad, M., Ghazzali, N., Boiteau, V., Niknafs, A.: NbClust: An R Package for Determining the Relevant Number
- 061 of Clusters in a Data Set, Journal of Statistical Software, 61(6), 1–36. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v061.i06, 2014.

- Cuntz, M., Mai, J., Samaniego, L, Clark, M., Wulfmeyer, V., Branch, O., Attinger, S, & Thober, S.: The impact
 of standard and hard-coded parameters on the hydrologic fluxes in the Noah-MP land surface model, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121, 10,676 10,700, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025097, 2016.
- Döll, P. & Fiedler, K.: Global-scale modeling of groundwater recharge, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 863–885,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-863-2008, 2008
- Döll, P., Kaspar, F., & Lehner, B.: A global hydrological model for deriving water availability indicators: model
 tuning and validation, Journal of Hydrology, 270, 105–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00283-4, 2003.
- 069 Döll, P., Hasan, H. M. M., Schulze, K., Gerdener, H., Börger, L., Shadkam, S., Ackermann, S., Hosseini-Moghari,
- 1070 <u>S.-M., Müller Schmied, H., Güntner, A., & Kusche, J.: everaging multi-variable observations to reduce and quan-</u>
- 1071 <u>tify the output uncertainty of a global hydrological model: evaluation of three ensemble-based approaches for the</u>
- Mississippi River basin, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 28 (10), 2259-2295, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess 28-2259-2024, 2024.
- Draper, C. S., Walker, J. P., Steinle, P. J., de Jeu, R. A. M., Holmes T. R. H.: An evaluation of AMSR-E derived
 soil moisture over Australia, Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 703-710,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.11.011, 2008.
- Eisner, S.: Comprehensive Evaluation of the WaterGAP3 Model across Climatic, Physiographic, and Anthropogenic Gradients, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany, 128pp., 2016.
- 1079 Friedl, M., Sulla-Menashe, D.: MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land, Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m SIN
- 080 Grid V006, NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC [data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC,
- 1081 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006, 2019.
- Feigl, M., Thober, S., Schweppe, R., Herrnegger, M., Samaniego, L., & Schulz, K.: Automatic Regionalization of
 Model Parameters for Hydrological Models, Water Resources Research, 58, e2022WR031966,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR031966, 2022.
- Golian, S., Murphy, C., & Meresa, H.: Regionalization of hydrological models for flow estimation in ungauged
 catchments in Ireland, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 36, 100859,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100859, 2021.
- 1088 GRDC, The Global Runoff Data Centre, 56068 Koblenz, Germany, 2020.
- Gudmundsson, L., Tallaksen, L. M., Stahl, K., Clark, D. B., Dumont, E., Hagemann, S., Bertrand, N., Gerten, D.,
 Heinke, J., Hanasaki, N., Voss, F., & Koirala, S.: Comparing Large-Scale Hydrological Model Simulations to
 Observed Runoff Percentiles in Europe. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 13(2), 604-620.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-11-083.1, 2012.
- 1093

Guo Y, Zhang Y, Zhang L, & Wang Z: Regionalization of hydrological modeling for predicting streamflow in
ungauged catchments: A comprehensive review, WIREs Water, 8, e1487, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1487,
202<u>0.</u>4

- Gupta, H. V, Sorooshian, S., & Yapo, P. O.: Toward improved calibration of hydrologic models: Multiple and
 noncommensurable measures of information, Water Resources Research, 34(4), 751–763,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR03495, 1998.
- 1100 He, Y., Bárdossy, A., & Zehe, E.: A review of regionalisation for continuous streamflow simulation, Hydrology
- and Earth System Sciences, 15(11), 3539–3553. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-3539-2011, 2011.
- Jansen, K. F., Teuling, A. J., Craig, J. R., Dal Molin, M., Knoben, W. J. M., Parajka, J., Vis, M., Melsen, L. A.:
- Mimicry of a conceptual hydrological model (HBV): What's in a name? Water Resources Research, 57,
- 1104 <u>e2020WR029143. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029143, 2022.</u>
- Kaspar, F.: Entwicklung und Unsicherheitsanalyse eines globalen hydrologischen Modells, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany, 129pp., 2004.
- 107 Khosa, F. V., Mateyisi, M. J., van der Merwe, M. R., Feig, G. T., Engelbrecht, F. A., Savage, M. J.: Evaluation of
- 108 soil moisture from CCAM-CABLE simulation, satellite-based models estimates and satellite observations: a case
- study of Skukuza and Malopeni flux towers, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(4), 1587-1609,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1587-2020, 2020.
- 1111 Krabbenhoft, C. A., Allen, G. H., Lin, P., Godsey, S. E., Allen, D. C., Burrows, R. M., DelVecchia, A. G., Fritz,
- 1112 K. M., Shanafield, M., Burgin, A. J., Zimmer, M. A., Datry, T., Dodds, W. K., Jones, C. N., Mims, M. C., Franklin,
- 1113 C., Hammond, J. C., Zipper, S., Ward, A. S., Olden, J. D.: Assessing placement bias of the global river gauge
- 1114 network, Nature Sustainability, 5, 586–592. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00873-0, 2022.
- 1115 Kupzig, J., Reinecke, R., Pianosi, F., Flörke, M., & Wagener, T.: Towards parameter estimation in global hydro-
- logical models, Environmental Research Letters, 18(7), 74023. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acdae8, 2023.
- 1117 Lange, S.: EartH2Observe, WFDEI and ERA-Interim data Merged and Bias-corrected for ISIMIP (EWEMBI), V.
- 1118 1.1, <u>GFZ Data Services</u> [data set], GFZ Data Services, https://doi.org/10.5880/pik.2019.004, 2019.
- 1119 Lebecherel, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin: On evaluating the robustness of spatial-proximity-based regionalization
- 1120 methods, Journal of Hydrology, 539, 196-203, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.031, 2016.
- 1121 Lehner, B. and Döll, P: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands, Journal
- 1122 of Hydrology, 296 (1-4), 1-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- 1123 Lehner, B., Verdin, K., & Jarvis, A.: New global hydrography derived from spaceborne elevation data, Eos, Trans-
- 1124 actions, AGU, 89, 93–94, doi:10.1029/2008EO100001, 2008.
- Liam, A., & Wiener, M.: Classification and Regression by randomForest. R News, 2(3), 18–22, 2002.
- 1126 Lindström, G., Johansson, B., Persson, M., Gardelin, M., & Bergström, S.: Development and test of the distributed
- 1127 HBV-96 hydrological model, Journal of Hydrology, 201, 272-288, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-
- 1128 1694(97)00041-3, 1997.
- 1129 McIntyre, N, Lee, H., Wheater, H., Young, A., & Wagener, T.: Ensemble predictions of runoff in ungauged catch-
- 1130 ments, Water Resources Research, 41(12), W12434, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004289, 2005.
- 131 Merz, R., Blöschl, G.: Regionalisation of catchment model parameters, Journal of Hydrology, 287, 95-123,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.028, 2004.

- 1133 Müller Schmied, H., Cáceres, D., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Herbert, C., Niemann, C., Peiris, T. A., Popat, E., Port-
- mann, F. T., Reinecke, R., Schumacher, M., Shadkam, S., Telteu, C.-E., Trautmann, T., -Döll, P.: The global water
- resources and use model WaterGAP v2.2d: model description and evaluation, Geoscientific Model Development,
- 136 14(2), 1037–1079, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-1037-2021, 2021.
- 137 <u>Müller Schmied, H., Trautmann, T., Ackermann, S., Cáceres, D., Flörke, M., Gerdener, H., Kynast, E., Peiris, T.</u>
- A., Schiebener, L., Schumacher, M., Döll, P.: The global water resources and use model WaterGAP v2.2e: de-
- 139 scription and evaluation of modifications and new features, Geoscientific Model Development Discussions [pre-
- 140 print], 1-46, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-213, 2023.
- 1141 Nijssen, B., O'Donnell, G. M., Lettenmeier, D. P., Lohmann, D., & Wood, E. F.: Predicting the Discharge of
- 1142 Global Rivers, American Meteorological Society, 3307–3323, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 143 0442(2001)014<3307:PTDOGR>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- 144 Oloruntoba, B., Kollet, S., Motzka, C., Vereecken H., Franssen H.-J. H.: High Resolution Land Surface Modelling

over Africa: the role of uncertain soil properties in combination with temporal model resolution, EGUsphere Pre-

- print repository [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-3132, 2024.
- 1147 Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Michel, C., & Le Moine, N.: Spatial proximity, physical similarity, regres-
- 1148 sion and ungaged catchments: A comparison of regionalization approaches based on 913 French catchments, Wa-
- 1149 ter Resources Research, 44(3), W03413, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006240, 2008.
- 1150 Oudin, L., Kay, A., Andréassian, V., & Perrin, C.: Are seemingly physically similar catchments truly hydrologi-
- 1151 cally similar? Water Resources Research, 46(11), W11558, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008887, 2010.
- Pagliero, L., Bouraoui, F., Diels, J., Willems, P., & McIntyre, N.: Investigating regionalization techniques for
 large-scale hydrological modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 570, 220–235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.071, 2019.
- Parajka, J., Merz, R., & Blöschl, G.: A comparison of regionalisation methods for catchment model parameters,
 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 9, 157–171, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-9-157-2005, 2005.
- 157 Parajka, J., Viglione, A., Rogger, M., Salinas, J. L., Sivaplan, M. & Blöschl, G.: Comparative assessment of pre-
- diction in ungauged basins Part 1: Runoff-hydrograph studies, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 1783-
- 1159 1795, www.hydrol earth syst sci.net/17/1783/2013/, 2013.
- Poissant, D., Arsenault, R. & Brissette, F.: Impact of parameter set dimensionality and calibration procedures on
 streamflow prediction at ungauged catchments, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 12, 220–237,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.05.005, 2017.
- 1163 Pool, S., Vis, M., & Seibert, J.: Regionalization for ungauged catchments Lessons learned from a comparative
- 1164 large-sample study. Water Resources Research, 57, e2021WR030437. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030437,
- 1165 2021.
- 1166 Qi, W., Chen, J., Li, L., Xu, C., Li, J., Xiang, Y., & Zhang, S.: A framework to regionalize conceptual model
- parameters for global hydrological modelling, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions [preprint],
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-127, 2020.

- 1169 R Core Team.: R: A language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
- 1170 Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/, 2020.
- 1171 Reichl, J. P. C., Western, A. W., McIntyre, N. R. & Chiew, F. H. S: Optimization of a Similarity Measure for
- 1172 Estimating Ungauged Streamflow, Water Resources Research, 45 (10), https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007248,
- 1173 2009
- 1174 Samaniego, L, Kumar, R & Attinger, S.: Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-based hydrologic model
- 1175 at the mesoscale, Water Resources Research, 46(5), W05523, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007327, 2010.
- Schaefli, B., & Gupta, H. V.: Do Nash values have value?, Hydrological Processes, 21(15), 2075–2080,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6825, 2007.
- 1178 Schweppe, R., Thober, S., Müller, S., Kelbling, M., Kumar, R., Attinger, S., & Samaniego, L.: MPR 1.0: a stand-
- alone multiscale parameter regionalization tool for improved parameter estimation of land surface models, Geo-
- 1180 scientific Model Development, 15, 859–882, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-859-2022, 2022.
- Seibert, J.: On the need for benchmarks in hydrological modelling, Hydrological Processes, 15(6), 1063–1064,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.446, 2001.
- 1183 Shannon, C. E.: A Mathematical Theory of Communication, The Bell System Technical Journal, 3(27), 379-423,
- 1184 https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x, 1948.
- 1185 Stacke, T., & Hagemann, S.: HydroPy (v1.0): a new global hydrological model written in Python, Geoscientific
- 1186 Model Development, 14, 7795–7816, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7795-2021, 2021.
- 1187 Tang, Y., Marshall, L., Sharma, A. & Smith, T.: Tools for investigating the prior distribution in Bayesian hydrol-
- 1188 ogy, Journal of Hydrology, 538, 551-562, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.04.032, 2016.
- 1189 Tongal, H., & Sivakumar, B.: Cross-entropy clustering framework for catchment classification, Journal of Hydrol-
- 1190 ogy, 552, 433–446, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.07.005, 2017.
- 1191 Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D.: Modern Applied Statistics with S (Fourth Edition). Springer Science+Business
- 1192 Media New York, USA, 501pp, ISBN 978-1-4419-3008-8, 2002
- 193 Wagener, T., Wheater, H. S., & Gupta, H. V.-(2004).: Rainfall Runoff Modelling in Gauged and Ungauged
- Catchments, Imperial College Press, London, UK, 332pp., https://doi.org/10.1142/p335, 2004.
- 195 Wagener, T., & Wheater, H. S.: Parameter estimation and regionalization for continuous rainfall-runoff models
- 196 including uncertainty, Journal of Hydrology, 320, 132-154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.07.015, 2006.
- 197 Ward, P. J., Jongman, B., Sperna Weiland, F., Bouwman, A., Van Beek, R., Bierkens, M. F. P., Ligtvoet, W., &
- 198 Winsemius, H. C.: Assessing flood risk at the global scale: model setup, results, and sensitivity, Environmental
- Research Letters, 8, Article 044019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044019, 2013
- 1200 Widén-Nilsson, E., Halldin, S., & Xu, C.: Global water-balance modelling with WASMOD-M: Parameter estima-
- tion and regionalisation, Journal of Hydrology, 340(1-2), 105–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.04.002,
- 1202 2007.

- 1203 Wu, H., Zhang, J., Bao, Z., Wang, G., Wang, W., Yang, Y. & Wang, J.: Runoff Modeling in Ungauged Catchments
- 1204 Using Machine Learning Algorithm-Based Model Parameters Regionalization Methodology, Engineering, 28, 93-
- 1205 104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2021.12.014, 2023.
- 1206 Yang, X., Magnusson, J., Huang, S., Beldring, S., & Xu, C.: Dependence of regionalization methods on the com-
- 1207 plexity of hydrological models in multiple climatic regions, Journal of Hydrology, 582, 124357,
- 1208 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124357, 2020.
- 1209 Yoshida, T., Hanasaki, N, Nishina, K., Boulange, J, Okada, M., & Troch, P. A.: Inference of Parameters for a
- 1210 Global Hydrological Model: Identifiability and Predictive Uncertainties of Climate-Based Parameters, Water Re-
- 1211 sources Research, 58, e2021WR03066, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030660, 2022.