



A regional physical-biogeochemical ocean model for marine resource applications in the Northeast Pacific (MOM6-COBALT-NEP10k v1.0)

Elizabeth J. Drenkard¹, Charles A. Stock¹, Andrew C. Ross¹, Yi-Cheng Teng¹, Theresa
Morrison¹, Wei Cheng², Alistair Adcroft^{1,3}, Enrique Curchitser⁴, Raphael Dussin^{5,1}, Robert Hallberg¹, Claudine Hauri⁶, Katherine Hedstrom⁷, Albert Hermann^{8,2}, Michael G. Jacox^{9,10}, Kelly A. Kearney¹¹, Remi Pages⁶, Darren J. Pilcher¹², Mercedes Pozo Buil¹³, Vivek Seelanki^{8,2}, Niki Zadeh¹

¹NOAA/OAR/GFDL, Princeton, NJ, 08540, USA

²NOAA/OAR/PMEL, Seattle, WA 98115, USA
 ³Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
 ⁴Rutgers the state university of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901 USA
 ⁵University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO 80307, USA
 ⁶International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA

- ⁷University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA
 ⁸University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
 ⁹NOAA/NMFS/SWFSC, Monterey, CA 93940, USA
 ¹⁰NOAA/OAR/PSL, Boulder, CO 80305, USA
 ¹¹NOAA/NMFS/AFSC, Seattle WA 98115, USA
- ¹²NOAA/NMFS/NWFSC, Seattle, WA 98112, USA
 ¹³University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

Correspondence to: Elizabeth J. Drenkard (liz.drenkard@noaa.gov)





Abstract. Regional ocean models enable generation of computationally-affordable and regionally-tailored ensembles of near-term forecasts and long-term projections of sufficient resolution to serve marine resource

- 25 management. Climate change, however, has created marine resource challenges, such as shifting stock distributions, that cut across domestic and international management boundaries and have pushed regional modeling efforts toward "coastwide" approaches. Here we present and evaluate a multidecadal hindcast with a Northeast Pacific (NEP) regional implementation of the Modular Ocean Model version 6 with sea ice and biogeochemistry that extends from the Chukchi Sea to the Baja California Peninsula at 10-km horizontal resolution (MOM6-COBALT-
- 30 NEP10k, or "NEP10k"). This domain includes an Arctic-adjacent system with a broad shallow shelf seasonally covered by sea ice (the Eastern Bering Sea, EBS), a sub-Arctic system with upwelling in the Alaska Gyre and predominant downwelling winds and large freshwater forcing along the coast (the Gulf of Alaska, GoA), and a temperate, eastern boundary upwelling ecosystem (the California Current Ecosystem, CCE). The coastwide model was able to recreate seasonal and cross-ecosystem contrasts in numerous ecosystem-critical properties including
- 35 temperature, salinity, inorganic nutrients, oxygen, carbonate saturation states, and chlorophyll. Spatial consistency between modeled quantities and observations generally extended to plankton ecosystems, though small to moderate biases were also apparent. Fidelity with observed zooplankton biomass, for example, was limited to first-order seasonal and cross-system contrasts. Temporally, simulated monthly surface and bottom temperature anomalies in coastal regions (< 500m deep) closely matched estimates from data-assimilative ocean reanalyses. Performance,</p>
- 40 however, was reduced in some nearshore regions coarsely resolved by the model's 10-km resolution grid, and the time series of satellite-based chlorophyll anomaly estimates proved more difficult to match than temperature. System-specific ecosystem indicators were also assessed. In the EBS, NEP10k robustly matched observed variations, including recent large declines, in the area of the summer bottom water "cold pool" (< 2 °C) which exerts a profound influence on EBS fisheries. In the GoA, the simulation captured patterns of sea surface height variability</p>
- 45 and variations in thermal, oxygen and acidification risk associated with local modes of inter-annual to decadal climate variability. In the CCE, the simulation robustly captured variations in upwelling indices and coastal water masses, though discrepancies in the latter were evident in the Southern California Bight. Enhanced model resolution may reduce such discrepancies, but any benefits must be carefully weighed against computational costs given the intended use of this system for ensemble predictions and projections. Meanwhile, the demonstrated NEP10k skill
- 50 level herein, particularly in recreating cross-ecosystem contrasts and the time variation of ecosystem indicators over multiple decades, suggests considerable immediate utility for coastwide retrospective and predictive applications.

1 Introduction

The western coasts of the continental U.S., Canada, and Mexico form the eastern bounds of the North Pacific Gyres, which substantially impact North American climate and support a diverse assemblage of ecosystems, species and

55 resources. This includes valuable fisheries that represented roughly 42% of the \$4.6 billion in commercial U.S. domestic landings in 2020 (National Marine Fisheries Service, 2022). Management of these interconnected, multi-





scale marine resources presents a challenge, particularly with the growing need to account for changing climate and ocean conditions. Ocean warming, acidification and deoxygenation stand to fundamentally alter coastal ecosystems

- 60 (Gruber, 2011), potentially driving fluctuations in living marine resource abundance due to habitat range shifts (e.g., Pinsky et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2021; Chasco et al., 2022), recruitment and fish size changes (e.g., Holsman et al., 2019; Litzoe et al., 2022), and heightened competition and predation from invasive species (Zeidberg & Robinson, 2007; Compton et al., 2010; Grosholz et al., 2000). Additionally, extreme events such as marine heatwaves and harmful algal blooms can degrade foundational habitats and compromise water quality (e.g., McPherson et. al, 2021;
- 65 Rogers-Bennett & Catton, 2019; Anderson et al., 2015). Numerical ocean models facilitate both the understanding of difficult-to-observe ocean and ecosystem dynamics, and the forecasting and projection of near-to-long term ocean conditions. Previous regional modeling efforts in the Northeast Pacific Ocean have contributed considerably to our understanding of the Bering Sea (Cheng et al. 2015; Danielson et al., 2011; Hermann et al., 2013; Hermann et al., 2016; Kearney et al., 2020; Pilcher et al., 2019), Gulf
- of Alaska (Hermann et al. 2009; Hinckley et al. 2009; Cheng et al. 2012; Coyle et al. 2012, 2019; Hauri et al., 2020; Hauri et al., 2024; Danielson et al., 2020), and the California Current System (Marchesiello et al., 2001; DiLorenzo et al., 2005; Gruber et al., 2006; Veneziani et al., 2009; Neveu et al., 2016; Van Oostende et al., 2018; Dussin et al., 2020; Deutsch et al., 2021; Renault et al., 2021) and broader NEP domain (Desmet et al., 2022; Desmet et al., 2023). Predictions and projections from these regionally-tailored ocean models have also been enlisted to understand and
- 75 anticipate living marine resource responses to climate variability and change (e.g., Gruber et al., 2012; Hermann et al., 2016; Holsman et al., 2020; Siedlecki et al., 2016; Howard et al., 2020; Pozo Buil et al., 2021; Pilcher et al., 2022; Jacox et al., 2023). In a growing number of cases, applications have been extended to management (e.g., Anderson et al., 2016; Brodie et al., 2023; Hollowed et al., 2024; Punt et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2023). Such applications have been hampered, however, by the use of relatively small domains and limited ensembles to
- 80 characterize uncertainties. Climate change impacts and species responses traverse the bounds of those domains thus motivating an integrated "coastwide" modeling framework with rigorously defined uncertainties. A key challenge is thus configuring a "coastwide" modeling framework with sufficient resolution and complexity to adequately represent fisheries-critical ocean features across the full domain while also maintaining low computational cost conducive to generating ensembles (Drenkard et al., 2021). This challenge is made more acute
- by the diversity of NEP ecosystems and the mechanisms by which climate shapes them. The Bering Sea, for example, features one of the world's broadest shallow continental shelf environments which supports benthic and demersal fisheries that are amongst the most productive in the world (National Research Council, 1996). These fisheries, however, have proven to be highly sensitive to temperature and food fluctuations in these shallow habitats (Hunt et al., 2002, 2011). Recent warming and reduced food supply in the eastern Bering Sea, for example, was
- 90 linked to the collapse of the snow crab fishery (Szuwalski et al., 2023). Productivity as well as benthic and pelagic habitat fluctuations on the eastern Bering shelf are further linked to coupled ocean and sea ice dynamics (Mueter and Litzow, 2008; Brown and Arrigo, 2013; Hunt et al., 2022), presenting an additional challenge for ocean modeling systems intended for fisheries applications in this region.





In the Gulf of Alaska, downwelling winds and abundant freshwater input prevail and contribute to a strong cyclonic circulation of the Alaska Gyre (Stabeno et al., 2004). Despite this predominance of downwelling winds, the confluence of the high nitrate waters of the basin with the high iron waters of the shelf (assisted by shelf-break eddies), as well as upwelling of nitrate by wind stress curl, promote high production in the coastal GoA (Stabeno et al., 2004; Hermann et al. 2009; Coyle et al. 2019). While effects of the El-Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) can be found (e.g., Bailey et al., 1995; Whitney and Welch, 2002), lower frequency modes of decadal climate variability are

- predominant (e.g., Di Lorenzo et al., 2008) and have contributed to marked decadal-scale ecosystem regime shifts (Anderson and Piatt, 1999; Hare and Mantua, 2000) and modulated fisheries and ecosystem risks (Hauri et al., 2021b, 2024). Cold water temperatures and the proximity of north Pacific basin waters which are exceptionally rich in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) make the Gulf of Alaska particularly susceptible to ocean acidification (Fabry et al., 2009; Byrne et al., 2010; Mathis et al., 2015). Periodic on-shelf intrusions of DIC-rich deep Pacific water can
- 105 suppress the aragonite and calcite saturation states and stress commercially important crab and shellfisheries (Ladd et al., 2005). Increased freshwater input due to deglaciation, which is naturally low in alkalinity, may also exacerbate coastal acidification trends (Reisdorph and Mathis, 2014; Evans et al., 2014). In off-shore waters, the iron supply strongly modulates ocean productivity, though the impacts of such variations on fisheries remains speculative (Lippiatt et al., 2010; McKinnell, 2013; Kearney et al., 2015).
- 110 The California Current is one of the four major eastern boundary upwelling systems in the global ocean (Hill et al., 1998). Marine resource fluctuations are inextricably linked to variations in the timing, strength and source waters of this seasonal upwelling (e.g., Bograd et al., 2009). ENSO strongly influences the physical, biogeochemical and marine resource dynamics of the California Current (Ohman et al., 2017; Turi et al., 2018; Cordero-Quirós et al., 2022) through diverse atmospheric and oceanic teleconnection pathways (Alexander et al., 2002; Jacox et al., 2015;
- 115 Frischknecht et al., 2015). While a narrow shelf and modest riverine inputs over much of the coast give the California Current an oceanic character, the system nonetheless supports significant benthic and demersal fisheries which are periodically subject to heightened hypoxia and acidification risks common in upwelling systems (Bograd et al., 2008; Hauri et al., 2009; Wolfe et al., 2023). The considerable productivity generated by coastal upwelling also supports climate-sensitive forage fish, highly migratory species, and top predators that are ecologically,
- 120 economically, and culturally important. Projections suggest that upwelling strength, seasonality and source water properties may shift with climate change (Rykaczewski & Dunne, 2010; Rykaczewski et al., 2015; Sydeman et al., 2014; Pozo Buil et al., 2021) and significantly alter ecosystem productivity and fisheries (McClatchie et al., 2010; Bograd et al. 2023; Jacox et al. 2024).

Here we present a regional implementation of the modular ocean model (MOM6) with coupled sea ice and

125 biogeochemistry spanning the NEP and assess the degree to which this system can capture fisheries-critical mean patterns and fluctuations across the diverse ecosystems of the NEP. We evaluate the model's capacity to represent both large-scale contrasts in ecologically important variables across ecosystems, and variations in fisheries-oriented diagnostics within each ecosystem. We also assess computational costs to ensure the feasibility of ensemble predictions. We conclude with an assessment of the model's current utility for fisheries applications, and a





130 discussion of priority developments for addressing model biases in order to maximize future utility in informing fisheries and ecosystem decisions.

2 Methods

2.1 Physical model configuration

- The NEP model domain (Fig. 1) is designed to cover the western coast of the continental United States and contiguous regions. It extends from 10.8°N-80.7°N and 156.6°E-105.0°W, measuring 3320 ± 126 km by 7764 ± 58 km (mean \pm standard deviation) in the off- and along-shore dimensions, respectively. The model is integrated on an orthogonal curvilinear grid that consists of 342x816 tracer cells with horizontal resolution averaging 9.7 km ± 0.5 km and a minimum bathymetric depth of 10 m. The domain has 4 open boundaries, the longest of which arcs through the Pacific Ocean and is referenced as the "western" boundary. In the vertical, the model uses 75 z*
- 140 coordinates, which are approximately consistent with depth-from-mean-sea-level but are stretched by variations in sea surface height across all water column layer thicknesses rather than isolating that variability in the surface layer (Adcroft, A. & Campin, 2004). We prescribe a layer thickness of 2 m from the surface to 8 m depth, between 2.01 m to 2.34 m thickness between 8 and ~31 m depth, then with spacing gradually increasing to 250 m in the deepest portions of the model domain. Bathymetry for the NEP10k domain was derived from the 2020 General Bathymetric
- 145 Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group, 2020), and is not vertically rounded or truncated. MOM6 does not need the topography to conform to the vertical level thicknesses but instead can let the bottommost non-vanished layer vary in thickness to match the topography, and then collapse the layer to zero thickness when the model level incrops against the topography. Simulations used a baroclinic time step of 400 seconds and a variable barotropic timestep set to maintain stability (Hallberg, 1997; Hallberg and Adcroft, 2009). A longer, 1200 second
- 150 time step was used for thermodynamic and biogeochemical tracer calculations as thermodynamic processes tend to evolve more slowly than the dynamic ones. Past studies have used a longer time step for these processes without compromising their representation while reducing the overall computation time (e.g., Ross et al., 2023). The success of this strategy for the NEP10k domain will be assessed herein.







Figure 1: NEP10k domain and bathymetry. NEP10k domain and bathymetry with a log normal color scale to emphasize priority coastal regions. White coloration indicates non-ocean (i.e., masked) grid cells that are not computed in model integrations, which include the Sea of Okhotsk. The agglomerate land mask is outlined in black. Red lines indicate the areas that are spatially averaged for regional shelf temperature and chlorophyll timeseries. These regions, from north to south, are the Bering Sea (BS), Gulf of Alaska (GoA), British Columbia (BC), Northern California Current System (NCCS), Central California Current System (CCCS), and Southern California Current System (SCCS).





- 160 The core components of the physical ocean model, Modular Ocean Model 6 (MOM6), are described in Adcroft et al. (2019). A full account of the parameterization choices implemented for the simulations presented in this study can be found in the supplemental material (MOM_parameter_doc.all). Here we elaborate on a few choices (Table A1), highlighting consistencies and contrasts with the recently published Northwest Atlantic configuration documented in Ross et al. (2023). As in Ross et al. (2023), ocean boundary layer mixing, specifically vertical turbulent mixing
- 165 coefficients in the surface layer, are parameterized using the energetic planetary boundary layer (ePBL) scheme developed by Reichl and Hallberg (2018). However, unlike Ross et al., (2023) we switched to the submesoscale mixing and restratification scheme of Bodner et al. (2023) from that of Fox-Kemper et al. (2011). The Bodner parameterization has the advantage of dynamically calculating the submesoscale front length (i.e. the length scale perpendicular to the front), which can vary significantly seasonally and latitudinally across the ecosystems
- 170 represented in NEP10k (Bodner et al., 2023). In the ocean interior below the surface boundary layer, mixing primarily depends on the shear-driven turbulence mixing scheme of Jackson et al. (2008). The standard Jackson formulation, however, was found to overmix some shelf regions subject to strong tidal motions. This was ameliorated by including a scaling factor for the turbulent decay length scale. Bottom drag and horizontal viscosities were parameterized as in Ross et al. (2023). Unlike Ross et al. (2023), the background kinematic viscosity
- 175 parameter, KV, was set to 0.0 m² s⁻¹; this parameter is intended to supplement the existing dynamic viscosity (based on the diapycnal diffusivity, KD) and was determined to be unnecessary for this application. Sea ice is modeled with Sea Ice Simulator version 2 (SIS2, Adcroft et al., 2019). This sea ice model uses 5 sea-ice thickness categories and no explicit ridging scheme. The sea ice rheology is an elastic-viscous-plastic scheme (Hibler, 1979) and a directionally split piecewise constant advection scheme for thickness. The delta-Eddington radiation scheme is used and the internal thermodynamics are enthalpy conserving (Briegleb and Light, 2007).
 - 2.2 Physical model forcing

The ocean hindcast simulation was run from 1993 through 2019 on NOAA's GAEA supercomputer, which is housed and managed in partnership with the Department of Energy through the National Climate-Computing Research Center. Hourly atmospheric forcing for NEP10k was prescribed from the European Centre for Medium-

- 185 range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Reanalysis 5 (ERA5; Hersbach et al., 2020). The bulk formulae of Large and Yeager (2004) were used to calculate latent and sensible heating after adjusting to the 2m ERA5 reference height. Light attenuation and associated heating within the water column is calculated from Manizza et al. (2005) using dynamically varying chlorophyll from the biogeochemical model (Section 2.3).
- Daily freshwater runoff is prescribed using output from the Global Flood Awareness System, version 4.0 (GloFAS;
 Harrigan et al., 2020; Grimaldi et al., 2022) a hydrological inundation model that is also forced by ERA5. Freshwater discharge at ocean-adjacent "pit cells" in GloFAS was remapped to the nearest MOM6 coastal ocean grid cells. "Pit cells" are GloFAS grid cells where the local drain direction indicates that only inward water flow occurs and is therefore a point of accumulation (e.g., lakes) or a point of egress to the ocean via either ocean adjacency or connectivity through other "pit cells" (e.g., wetlands). For the Gulf of Alaska, we substituted





195 freshwater discharge from Beamer et al., (2016; data served by David Hill, OSU), a model dedicated to representation of freshwater discharge and glacier mass balance in Alaska, with calibration against observed watersheds.

Open lateral boundary and initial conditions for temperature, salinity, sea surface height and momentum were prescribed as daily means from the 1/12° Global Ocean Physics Reanalysis (GLORYS12; Jean-Michel et al., 2021).

- 200 Tidal forcing was prescribed at the boundaries using amplitude and phase from the Global tidal elevation and transport atlas version 9 (TPXO; Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002). Tides were implemented as in Ross et al., (2023) with four semidiurnal constituents (M2, S2, N2, K2), four diurnal constituents (K1, O1, P1, Q1), and two long-period constituents (Mm and Mf). Initial and boundary conditions were regridded to the NEP domain using the xesmf python software package (Zhuang et al., 2023). Boundary conditions are imposed as in Ross et al. (2023), with
- 205 barotropic flows handled with a Flather (1976) boundary condition while baroclinic flows are handled with an Orlanski (1976) radiation condition; lateral boundary forcing also applies nudging and tracer reservoirs (the latter retains a memory of water properties exchanged with the modeling domain rather than instantaneous forcing; see Ross et al., 2023 for more details). No nudging was included in the interior of the domain.

2.3 Biogeochemical model configuration

- 210 Biogeochemistry is simulated using version 3.0 of the Carbon, Ocean Biogeochemistry and Lower Trophics (COBALTv3.0) model (Stock et al., submitted; Ross et al., 2023). COBALTv3.0 includes 40 prognostic state variables to capture plankton food web dynamics and the cycling of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, iron, silica, calcium carbonate, and lithogenic material in ocean and coastal environments. COBALTv3.0 builds on prior COBALT formulations (Stock et al., 2014; 2020) by adding a third phytoplankton size class following Van
- 215 Oostende et al., (2018). The resulting small, medium and large sizes correspond to the canonical pico-, nano- and microplankton size classes defined by Sieburth et al., (1978) and enable COBALT to better resolve the range of phytoplankton communities from oligotrophic gyres to intensely productive upwelling systems. These join diazotrophs to give a total of 4 phytoplankton functional types to go along with a plankton food web including 3 zooplankton functional types and free living bacteria (Stock et al., 2014; 2020). Additional flexibility in zooplankton
- 220 feeding, direct phytoplankton sinking, and improved photoadaptation and photoacclimation dynamics were also added (Stock et al., submitted) and the formulation enlists an adaptation of the dynamic N:P ratio scheme proposed by Galbraith and Martiny (2015) and initially presented in Ross et al. (2023). Initial and boundary conditions for biogeochemistry were drawn from the same sources as Ross et al. (2023). The 2018 World Ocean Atlas was used for macronutrients (NO₃, PO₄, SiO₄) and oxygen (O₂), with seasonal averages
- 225 above 800m and annual climatologies below (Boyer et al., 2019; García et al., 2019a,b). The Empirical Seawater Property Estimation Routines Locally Interpolated Regressions (ESPER_LIR) presented by Carter et al. (2021) were used to provide initial and time varying boundary conditions for dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity. The input values used for this calculation were the location, temperature, salinity and date. Boundary conditions for other



230



tracers, which generally come into more rapid equilibrium with interior conditions, were drawn from an earlier global ocean hindcast (Stock et al., 2014).

- River carbon, alkalinity, nutrients (N, P, and Si) and oxygen inputs were derived by combining the River Chemistry for US Coast (RC4USCoast) database (Gomez et al., 2023) for U.S. Waters in the Continental United States, the Global River Chemistry database (GLORICH, Hartmann et al., 2019) for subarctic/Canadian waters, and the Arctic Great Rivers Observatory (ArcticGro, 2024; Holmes et al., 2012). To force COBALT, riverine nutrient inputs are
- 235 needed for dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen and phosphorus, particulate nitrogen, phosphorus, and iron. Direct information on dissolved and particulate organic nutrient inputs was not available in all cases. In cases where one or both of these values were missing, the ratio of dissolved and/or particulate organic inputs to dissolved inorganic nitrogen was estimated from the GlobalNEWS database (Mayorga et al., 2010). This NEWS-derived ratio was then multiplied by the observed inorganic nitrogen to estimate dissolved and particulate organic fluxes in a
- 240 manner that preserved their relative importance but avoided regional biases in global N-load models such as GlobalNEWS. Dissolved organic nitrogen and phosphorus was partitioned into 40% labile, 30% semi-labile and 30% semi-refractory components in COBALT to be consistent with mean tendencies reported by Wiegner et al. (2006). Particulate phosphate is often the largest P source in rivers, but much of it is buried in nearshore waters before reaching the ocean. Following Froelich (1988), we assumed that 30% of the particulate phosphorus was
- 245 mobilized in estuarine sediments to phosphate, with the rest buried. Iron concentrations for all rivers were set to 70 nM (de Baar and de Jong, 2001). As in Ross et al., 2023, atmospheric CO₂ was set using the monthly historical time series of Meinshausen et al. (2017) updated after 2014 using SSP2-4.5 scenario values (Meinshausen et al., 2020), and nutrient, dust and iron deposition were based on a 1993-2014 climatology from GFDL's ESM4.1 model (Dunne et al., 2020); Stock et al., 2020).

250 2.4 Model spinup and simulation

Similar to Ross et al., (2023), we initialized the 1993-2019 hindcast simulation from rest starting the 1st of January 1993, with ocean physics prescribed from GLORYS (described above), and we initialized the ocean biogeochemistry from a 10-year spinup simulation. We generated the spinup simulation by starting the model integration from rest on the 1st of January 1993 and by repeating ERA5 atmospheric conditions for 1993-1994

- 255 (May-December of 1993; January-April 1994; following Stewart et al. 2020) for 10 1-year cycles. Atmospheric CO₂ was maintained as the 12-month, 1993 seasonal climatology and the ocean boundaries were forced with a smoothed, daily climatology (i.e., averaged by "day of year" and smoothed with a triangular filter) of the hindcast's GLORYS12 1993-2019 open boundary conditions. River runoff was similarly prescribed as a smoothed daily climatology. The biogeochemical tracer fields at the end of this 10-year spinup simulation were then used to
- 260 initialize biogeochemistry for the 27-year hindcast simulation.





2.5 Model evaluation

As described in Section 1, the model evaluation focuses on the simulation's capacity to represent fisheries and ecosystem-relevant features across and within the diverse ecosystems included within the NEP10k domain. The model evaluation therefore includes comparisons against both large-scale physical and biogeochemical patterns

- spanning the full domain (Section 2.5.1), and ecosystem specific quantities (Section 2.5.2). These latter quantities were often drawn from Ecosystem Status Reports developed by NOAA fisheries to strategically inform marine resource management decisions (e.g., Siddon 2023; Ferriss 2023; Leising et al., 2024). Comparisons against spatial and seasonal patterns were complemented with interannual time series comparisons where possible, the latter serves as a building block toward making predictive applications. Finally, we assess the computational performance and
- viability of the model using analyses described in Section 2.5.3.

2.5.1 Full domain comparisons

We broadly evaluated NEP10k performance against gridded surface and 3D observation-based or observationassimilated physical and biogeochemical products to assess the simulation's coastwide capacity to represent crossecosystem patterns. Table A2 summarizes these products and the timeframes analyzed. For spatial comparisons and

- 275 calculations, we first plot both the NEP10k results and the comparison product on their native grids using the python geographic plotting package Cartopy (Met Office, 2022). We then regridded the finer resolution product output (typically NEP10k but not in the case of comparisons against GLORYS12 and chlorophyll comparisons) to the coarser resolution comparison grid using ESMF (Hill et al., 2004) Python Regridding Interface (ESMPy) or xesmf conservative regridding (Zhuang et al., 2023). Unless otherwise stated, assessments include the area weighted,
- 280 spatial mean bias (Bias, NEP10k comparison data product), area-weighted root mean squared error (RMSE), the Median Absolute Error (MedAE), and the Pearson correlation coefficient (R, based on spatial pattern). We omit analysis of model performance in the Chukchi Sea (i.e., north of the Bering Strait at 66°N) - this region is included in the model integration due to the rectilinear nature of the grid and our objective to include the entire Bering Sea for which the Chukchi provides a boundary condition. However, it is not a primary region of interest for this model
- 285 application and will be assessed in a nascent pan-Arctic MOM6 configuration (Hedstrom et al., *in prep.*). For ocean temperature validations we compared conditions against version 2.1 of the Daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature product (OISSTv2.1; Huang et al., 2021) and against GLORYS12 for both surface and subsurface conditions. OISSTv2.1 is generated from multiple temperature data sources and interpolated to a ¹/₄° global grid while GLORYS12 is a global eddying (1/12°) data-assimilative ocean reanalysis that demonstrates
- 290 strong coherence with *in-situ* surface and subsurface temperature records along the U.S. West Coast (Amaya et al., 2023). Both reference products have continuous monthly output covering 1993-2019. NEP10k surface and subsurface salinity is compared against GLORYS12 reanalysis as well as the observation-based NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) 1/10° Northern North Pacific (nnp; Version 2, Seidov et al., 2023) and Northeast Pacific (nep; Seidov et al., 2017) regional climatologies for salinity. Annual and
- seasonal means were downloaded for the both nep and nnp regions for the decades 1995-2004 and 2005-2014 (the





second decade for the older nep climatology only extends 2005-2012). To ensure temporal coherence, we regrid NEP10k separately for each region, using only the years represented by each regional climatology (i.e., 1995-2012 for the nep, 1995-2014 for the nnp). The two decadal, annual and seasonal means for the regional climatologies are time-weight averaged, and then the regional climatologies and regridded NEP10k output are combined to a common

300 grid. Where the nnp and nep regions overlap in the GoA (i.e., above 50°N), we use the values from the more recent nnp climatology.

To validate mixed layer depth (MLD), we used the MOM6 diagnostic MLD_003 which is calculated as the seawater depth where potential density is 0.03 (kg/m³) greater than the density at a user-defined depth of 5m. This reference depth was selected for consistency with and comparison against the 1° de Boyer Montégut (2024) monthly MLD

305 climatology, which incorporates measurements from an assemblage of MBT, XBT, CTD casts and profiling floats. We also compared against GLORYS12, using the same definitions for MLD and the Python implementation of the Gibbs SeaWater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox of TEOS-10 (McDougall and Barker, 2011) to calculate monthly potential density from GLORYS12 potential temperature and salinity.

NEP10k sea surface height (SSH) is compared against GLORYS12 sea surface height above geoid (zos), and

- 310 absolute dynamic height (adt) above the earth's geopotential surface (i.e., geoid) from 0.083° resolution satellite altimetry (CMEMS, 2023). Given the different reference frames for each observation, reanalysis and model product, we mean-centered each data set by subtracting its respective area-weighted time mean within the NEP10k region, in order to facilitate direct comparison of seasonal and annual mean sea surface height distribution and gradients. Tidal phase and amplitude for the M2 and K1 constituents were calculated using hourly NEP10k sea surface height
- 315 with the Unified Tidal Analysis and Prediction python software package (Codiga et al., 2011). This was compared against TPXO9 to demonstrate the ability of the model to incorporate and propagate tidal boundary forcings. NEP10k annual mean surface and subsurface nitrate and phosphate concentrations are compared against the 1° 2023 World Ocean Atlas (Garcia et al., 2023a) for the time period 1993-2019. Primary phytoplankton nutrient limitation was calculated for annual and seasonal mean timeframes following the methods detailed in Stock et al., (2020). This
- 320 illustrates where macronutrients nitrate and phosphate or micronutrient iron are the primary nutrient limitation of phytoplankton growth.

Surface chlorophyll is compared against the European Space Agency's satellite product produced as part of their Ocean Color Climate Change Initiative (OC-CCI; Sathyendranath et al., 2019; Sathyendranath et al., 2023). Monthly OC-CCI chlorophyll-a fields from 1998 to 2019 are remapped from 4 km resolution to the coarser NEP10k grid.

325 NEP10k grid cells where the satellite product is missing data are also masked in the corresponding month to ensure the annual and seasonal means are spatiotemporally consistent. Chlorophyll values are then log10 transformed before comparison.

We compare seasonal means of 200 meter-integrated mesozooplankton carbon biomass concentrations against the COPEPOD data set (Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013). As described in Ross et al. (2023), we scale the COPEPOD data

330 set by a factor of 2 because the zooplankton represented in COBALT's mesozooplankton diagnostic (medium + large, ranging from 200 to 20,000 μm equivalent spherical diameter) likely represents a larger fraction of





zooplankton biomass than in the COPEPOD observations which are derived from collections that used a net mesh of 333 μ m (Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013), which would exclude some of the size classes in the COBALT diagnostic (Skjoldal et al., 2013). This conversion is consistent with those typically found when comparing 200 μ m and 333 μ m

- 335 mesh nets (Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013; Shropshire et al., 2020). Similar to inorganic nutrients, surface and subsurface dissolved oxygen concentrations are compared against 1° 2023 World Ocean Atlas (García et al., 2023b) for 1993 through 2019 with NEP10k oxygen values being remapped to the WOA23 grid. We also compute the hypoxic boundary layer depth, here defined as the depth at which oxygen concentrations drop below 61.7 µmol O₂ per kilogram of seawater as in Dussin et al., 2019.
- 340 We compare annual and seasonal mean, surface and subsurface carbonate chemistry diagnostics, total alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon and aragonite saturation state, against corresponding values in the 1° Coastal Ocean Data Analysis Product in North America (CODAP-NA; Jiang et al., 2021) dataset (Jiang et al., 2022) for the period of 2004-2018.

2.5.2 Regional comparisons

- 345 The full domain comparisons were complemented with key fisheries-critical regional time series comparisons. While regions often have unique fisheries and ecosystem-critical patterns, temperature and chlorophyll variability are broadly important across ecosystems. We thus complemented the broad spatial comparisons with region-specific time series of shelf (defined as grid cells where bottom depth is less than 500 meters) conditions, where the subregions are those shown in Fig. 1. Both monthly climatologies and anomaly (with 12-monthly climatological
- 350 cycle removed) time series for surface and bottom temperatures were compared against GLORYS12, while time series of chlorophyll were compared against OC-CCI. For context, anomaly time series are depicted against warm and cold episodes of the Ocean Niño Index published by the NOAA Climate Prediction Center (https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI_v5.php), where the warm and cold episodes are defined as periods when the 3 month running mean of SST anomaly in the Niño3.4 region is above or
- 355 below 0.5°C, respectively. The purpose of this comparison is to ascertain whether the model is able to accurately recreate the strength of the relationship between local variability and this foremost mode of global climate variability. Variations in simulation skills for different depth ranges within each subregion were also analyzed to assess changes in model fidelity in more inshore and offshore regions.

Additional region-specific assessments are described for the Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska and California Current below. Given the length constraints of a single documentation paper, we limited treatment to 2-3 of the most prominent ecosystem indicators currently used for each system beyond the foundational temperature and chlorophyll comparisons described above.

Our additional evaluation in the Bering Sea focused on the representation of the Bering Sea cold pool and sea ice extent. As discussed in Section 1, fluctuations in the bottom area covered by the Bering Sea cold pool, generally

365 defined as waters with < 2 °C in the summer (Wyllie-Echeverria and Wooster, 1998; Mueter and Litzow, 2008), have been associated with a range of ecosystem impacts (e.g., Clement Kinney, 2022). Cold pool dynamics are





intertwined with sea ice fluctuations, with sea ice also having important implications for the timing of seasonal ecosystem transitions (Wyllie-Echeverria and Wooster, 1998; Mueter and Litzow, 2008; Brown and Arrigo, 2013; Hunt et al., 2022).

- 370 For the Bering Sea cold pool we spatially and temporally interpolated daily NEP bottom temperature using the python package xesmf (Zhuang et al., 2023) to correspond with Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) Bottom Trawl Survey gear temperature samples collected from 1993-2019. These data are available in the Alaska Fisheries Science Center coldpool github repository (https://github.com/afsc-gap-products/coldpool). We compared the Trawl survey station bottom temperatures from the NEP10k simulation against the AFSC data set following the methods in
- 375 Kearney, et al. (2021) and analyzed interpolated model output using the cold pool toolset to reproduce cold pool area (CPA) indices reported by Rohan et al. (2022). We compared seasonal Bering Sea sea ice against satellite observations from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC; data set NSIDC0051; Cavalieri et al., 1996). We compared both spatial mean extent in the entire Bering Sea and temporal coherence in the southeastern Bering Sea.
- 380 Hauri et al. (2024) highlight how the interaction of different localized modes of multi-annual to decadal climate variability can predispose the Gulf of Alaska to extreme physical and biogeochemical events. These climate variations are most visibly reflected in observed Gulf of Alaska SSH variability. The first principal component of the detrended and deseasonalized SSH over the Gulf of Alaska (62°N 50°N, 160°W 135°W) was referred to as the Northern Gulf of Alaska Oscillation (NGAO, Hauri et al., 2021b). A positive phase is associated with weak cyclonic
- 385 winds over the subpolar gyre resulting in a higher SSH and decreased Ekman-driven upwelling (i.e., Ekman suction). This state is associated with warmer temperatures, but reduced prevalence of deep high acidity water. That is, risks of thermal stress are enhanced while risks of acidification stress are reduced, with the opposite effects for negative NGAO. The second principal component of the detrended and deseasonalized SSH variability is referred to as the Gulf of Alaska downwelling index (GOADI; Hauri et al., 2024). The GOADI serves as a proxy of
- 390 downwelling strength for Gulf of Alaska coastal waters: a positive index is associated with elevated coastal SSH, enhanced coastal downwelling, and a reduced risk of the intrusion of cold, acidic and low oxygen water onto the bottom of the Gulf of Alaska shelf. This intrusion risk is heightened under negative GOADI. We assessed NEP10k's ability to generate realistic NGAO and GOADI patterns by comparing against satellite
- 395 the GoA domain in a manner consistent with Hauri et al., 2021b and Hauri et al., 2024. We then generated composites of ecosystem conditions during the positive vs. negative phases of the GOADI to assess whether NEP10k can successfully recreate the shelf-scale surface and benthic condition anomalies that significantly impact living marine resource habitat and wellbeing (Hauri et al., 2024).

Fisheries and ecosystems in the California Current are shaped by the timing, strength and the source waters fueling

altimetry from CMEMS (CMEMS, 2023). Empirical Orthogonal Function analysis was performed on SSH across

400 the strong seasonal upwelling. The system-specific indicators chosen for this region thus focus on these patterns. First, we compared the vertical mass transport (calculated as the depth-integrated divergence of orthogonal horizontal mass transports) at 30m depth to the Coastal Upwelling Transport Index (CUTI) developed by Jacox et al.





(2018). As in Jacox et al., (2018), transports were integrated to 75 km offshore over 1° Latitude bins. We also assessed long term trends in dissolved oxygen concentrations against those calculated at stations in the California

405 Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) observation array similar to the methods of Bograd et al. (2008). We interpolated monthly 3D NEP10k dissolved oxygen to the locations and depths of the CalCOFI bottle sample data (https://calcofi.org/data/oceanographic-data/bottle-database/) from 1993-2019. We then calculated linear trends for both NEP10k and CalCOFI at specific station locations.

2.5.3 Computational expense and scaling

- 410 As described in Section 1, the viability of the NEP10k configuration for ecosystem applications depends on its ability to not only simulate fisheries-critical features but also to run with sufficient computational economy to permit generation of the thousands of years of retrospective forecasts and projections required to provide credible uncertainty estimates (e.g., Ross et al., 2024; Koul et al., 2024). To quantify computational performance, we focused on the scaling of the wall clock time for 1 year of simulation against the number of processing elements (PEs).
- 415 Variations in both the number and layout of PEs were considered. For our baseline production simulations herein, we divided the NEP10k domain (342 columns x 816 rows of tracer grid cells) across 32 x 80 PEs. This yields an ~10 x 10 grid (i.e., square) decomposition of model grid cells on each PE. Land processor masking in MOM6 further economizes computational resources by omitting domain subregions without ocean (i.e., contain only land) grid cells from PE assignment, thus presenting a domain-specific optimization
- 420 consideration when selecting a specific PE configuration. We were able to mask 524 PEs with the 32 x 80 PE breakdown so our total PE count for this configuration was 2036 (20% fewer than the otherwise 2560 PEs required for this breakdown).

The scalability of the simulation with increasing and decreasing processor counts was explored using alternative layouts with fewer PEs (40 x 40), a similar PE total but with a more rectangular model grid cell decomposition (a 50

- 425 x 50 PE breakdown yielding ~7 x 16 model grid cell subset per PE), and larger numbers of PEs (50 x 75 and 50 x 100). These experiments allow us to judge the relative efficiency of our base configuration and the point of diminishing returns as the PE count is increased and growing requirements for inter-PE communication begin to overwhelm the advantage of more PEs. Finally, we include additional 50 x 75 PE and 50 x 100 PE simulations with the thermodynamic and tracer time steps set to be equal to the baroclinic time step (400 seconds) rather than 1200
- 430 seconds in the base configuration. These experiments allow us to quantify and demonstrate the computational value of the flexible time stepping that MOM6 enables.





3 Results

3.1 Domain-wide evaluation

3.1.1 Large-scale physical ocean properties

- 435 Annual mean SST and subsurface temperatures broadly agree with the distribution and curvature of reference isotherms along the U.S., Canada and Mexico West Coasts (Fig. 2), with temperatures largely falling within 0.5 °C of OISST (Fig. 2c, RMSE = 0.28°C) and GLORYS12 SST values (Fig. 2f, RMSE = 0.29 °C). A surface temperature cold bias of just over 0.5 °C is apparent over the eastern Bering Sea, while a warm bias of similar magnitude is apparent in the nearshore regions of the southern and central California Current System. At 200m depth, larger
- 440 warm biases relative to GLORYS12 are apparent in the Gulf of Alaska where the northern edge of the eastward flowing North Pacific Current interacts with the adjacent westward flowing Alaska Stream (Fig. 2l, Stabeno et al., 2004), and a warm bias of similar magnitude appears in the southwest corner of the domain. These biases are seasonally persistent during both Boreal winter (January-March, Fig. S1) and summer (July-September, Fig. S2); as are the cold (Fig. S1c,f) and warm (Fig S2c,f) coastal surface biases, respectively. In all seasons and across depths
- 445 above 200m, however, the overall absolute model bias is below 0.38 °C, the RMSE stays below 0.57 °C, and the correlations with OISSTv2.1 and GLORYS12 stay above 0.98 (Fig 2, Fig. S1, Fig S2).





450



Figure 2: Temperature comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) temperature compared against NOAA OISSTv2.1 and the GLORYS12 reanalysis. Values in the left two columns represent the average of the annual means covering 1993 through 2019. The right column depicts the difference between NEP10k and the respective validation product along with the area-weighted mean bias and root mean squared error (RMSE) as well as the medium absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson correlation coefficient (R). The NEP10k model domain below 66°N is outlined in black. Panels a and d show the same model output.

Similar to temperature, NEP10k broadly reproduces annual mean salinity fields found in regional climatologies and GLORYS12, with the majority of the domain falling within 0.25 practical salinity units (PSU) of the reference data sets (Fig. 3). Notable fresh surface biases exceeding 0.5 PSU occur along the coast in the Gulf of Alaska, Eastern Bering Sea and Northern CCS, coincident with regions of substantial freshwater inputs from rivers and glacial melt (Fig. 3c,l). Positive salinity biases relative to GLORYS12 occur in the western Bering Sea at the surface and 100m, and over all depths in the southwest region of the domain (Fig. 3, right panels). In the latter case, the salty bias coincides with warm biases (Fig. 2). Seasonally, similar generally modest biases can be seen in the Boreal winter

^{460 (}Fig. S3) and summer (Fig. S4) equivalents.







Figure 3: Salinity comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) salinity compared against NCEI regional ocean climatologies and the GLORYS12 reanalysis. The regional climatologies are a composite of the northeast Pacific (nep) and northern north Pacific (nnp) climatologies. The nep climatology extends from 1995-2012 while the updated nnp climatology (Version 2) covers 1995-2014. Where the two regional climatologies overlap in the GoA (i.e., above 50°N), we use the more recent nnp climatology. For comparison against the model, we use the same years of NEP10k, with panels a,d,g showing the model values for average annual mean salinities for 1995-2014 above 50°N (as opposed to average annual mean salinities for 1995-2012 below 50°N). Comparison against GLORYS12 (bottom three rows) covers 1993-2019. Area-weighted bias, and root mean squared error (RMSE), median absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson correlation coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of figures depicting the difference between NEP10k and the respective validation product.

470 Mixed layer depth in NEP10k, defined as the depth at which density is 0.03 kg m⁻³ greater than at 5m depth, exhibits a modest shallow/negative bias relative to the estimates of de Boyer Montégut et al. (2024), with deeper (positive) biases occurring in the interior ocean near the Bering shelf break (Fig. 4, top row). These biases are amplified and





reduced during Boreal winter (JFM, Fig. S5, top row) and summer (JAS, Fig.S6, top row), respectively, when mixing drivers (i.e., surface heating/cooling, wind and storm intensity) are correspondingly modified. Conversely, NEP10k exhibits a positive mean bias when compared against GLORYS12 MLD which is particularly pronounced

475 in the Bering Sea (Fig. 4, bottom row) and exhibits a reverse seasonal response (i.e., reduced positive bias in the winter and increased in the summer, Figs. S5&6, bottom row). With the exception of the deep/ positive winter biases in the Bering Sea, the model represents MLD spatial variability fairly well with significant (p<0.001) correlations exceeding 0.85 across all seasons and comparisons (Fig. 4, Fig. S5, Fig. S6).</p>



Figure 4: Mixed layer depth comparisons. Climatological mean of mixed layer depth compared against de Boyer Montégut (ac) and GLORYS (d-f). Black reference contours in a,b,d, and f are depicted at 5 meter intervals and at 8 meter intervals in c and f; contours depicting negative values in c and f are drawn with dashed lines. Area-weighted Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are reported in the right column figures depicting NEP10k - respective reference products. All values represent the annual mean for years 1993 through 2019 and the extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures. Panels a and d show the same model output.

- 485 SSH gradients in the NEP10k hindcast are broadly consistent with GLORYS12 and CMEMS satellite altimetry (Fig. 5), exhibiting lowest values along the Aleutian Island chain, in the GoA and western Bering Sea and highest values near 25°N along the western edge of the domain. Similarly to satellite measurement and GLORYS12, NEP10k also exhibits relatively low SSH along the U.S. west coast (compared with offshore SSH values at the same latitude), a signature of coastal upwelling. However, the SSH gradients in NEP10k are smaller along the Aleutian island chain
- 490 than exhibited in the reference data sets. There is a notable correspondence of this SSH gradient bias with the Gulf of Alaska subsurface temperature biases noted in Fig. 2, suggesting a potential relationship between these two features.







Figure 5: Sea surface height comparisons. NEP average-centered, climatological mean sea surface height comparison for NEP10k (a & d; identical panels), GLORYS12 (b), CMEMS satellite altimetry (e), and their respective differences (c & f). All values represent the annual mean (1993- 2019). Area-weighted mean bias (Bias), root mean squared error (RMSE), and median absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson correlation coefficient (R) are reported in the right column figure depicting the difference between NEP10k and the comparison product; all correlations are significant (p<0.001). Reference height contours in all panels are drawn at 0.1 and 0.05 meter intervals for the mean and difference plots, respectively, with negative values shown as dashed lines. All panels show the extent of the NEP10k domain in black outline.

- 500 Compared against the TPXO data set, which was used as the tidal boundary forcing conditions, NEP10k reproduces tidal amplitude and phases in the domain interior with high fidelity (Fig. 6). The greatest tidal amplitude discrepancies occur in the nearshore regions of the eastern Bering Sea (Fig. 6c, f) and partially enclosed features (e.g., northern Gulf of California and Cook inlet; Fig. 6c). Amplitude biases for the most prominent semidiurnal (M2) and diurnal (K1) constituents in these nearshore and partially enclosed regions can exceed 20 cm and 10 cm,
- 505 respectively. These regions, however, also have the largest overall amplitudes, with values exceeding 1m and 50 cm, respectively. Such nearshore tidal biases are not surprising given the relatively coarse 10km resolution enlisted herein, and we note that skillful tidal simulations extend all the way to the coast in most regions.







Figure 6: M2 and K1 tidal amplitudes and period. Comparison of tidal constituents M2 (top row) and K1 (bottom row) in NEP10k against those in the TPXO9 forcing dataset. Filled contours depict tidal amplitude while overlain colored contours depict tidal phase for the given constituent. Filled contours in the difference plot (c and f) show the difference in amplitude only; Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are also reported in these panels. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in grey in all figures.

3.1.2 Large-scale biogeochemical and ecosystem properties

Macronutrient concentrations (Nitrate and Phosphate) exhibit large-scale agreement with annual World Ocean Atlas nutrients but significant regional biases are also apparent (Fig. 7-8). The largest high bias occurs along the Aleutian Island chain and Bering Sea shelf break. In the simulation, the region of elevated surface nutrients observed in the central Bering Sea extends further south and east in the model. This aligns with the most prominent region of overmixing (Fig. 4). Positive surface nitrate and phosphate biases in affected regions exceed 5 μmol kg⁻¹ NO₃ and 0.25 μmol kg⁻¹ PO₄, respectively, and extend with lesser severity onto the Bering Shelf. The positive surface bias is

- 520 underlain by negative nitrate and phosphate biases at 200m, reinforcing the likelihood that the surface high macronutrient bias is linked to excessive mixing rather than excessive nutrients in underlying source waters. Uncertainty in nitrogen removal process in shallow Bering shelf sediments (e.g., denitrification and burial), may also play a role in the perpetuation of biases onto the shelf. Macronutrient concentrations in Gulf of Alaska surface waters, in contrast, are biased low by 1.5-3 µmol kg⁻¹ NO₃ and 0-0.375 µmol kg⁻¹ PO₄, respectively (Fig 7c, Fig 8c),
- 525 despite exhibiting a combination of positive and negative biases at depth. This is consistent with shallow mixed layer biases in the Gulf of Alaska (Fig. 4). Finally, the California Current exhibits a modest positive surface macronutrient bias. Despite these discrepancies, the simulation generally exhibits high correlations with observed macronutrients (R > 0.96) and RMSEs that are only ~5% of the dynamic range of the macronutrient concentrations across the west coast ecosystems. This skill extends to seasonal patterns with correlation values exceeding 0.8 and





530 RMSE < 10% of the dynamic range in all cases (Fig. S9-S12). Notably, winter and summer nitrate conditions exhibit more pronounced bias patterns relative to the mean state, with particularly high levels in the Bering surface waters and low levels in portions of the Gulf of Alaska (Fig. S9c, Fig.S10c). Conversely, surface phosphate levels over the Bering Shelf are biased low in the winter and high in the summer (Fig S11c, Fig. S12c). Summer surface nitrate levels along the CCE (Fig. S10c) are potentially suggestive of over representation of summer upwelling.</p>



535 Figure 7: Nitrate comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) nitrate compared against WOA23. Comparison time frames cover 1993-2019. Reference contours are depicted in black at 5 and 1.5 µmol nitrate kg⁻¹ sea water in the mean state (left and center columns) and difference (right column) plots, respectively; contours representing negative values in the difference plot are drawn as dashed lines. Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of figures depicting the difference between NEP and WOA23. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.







Figure 8: Phosphate comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) phosphate compared against WOA23. Comparison time frames cover 1993-2019. Reference contours are depicted in black at 0.25 µmol phosphate kg⁻¹ sea water in the mean state (left and center columns) and difference (right column) plots; contours representing negative values in the difference plot are drawn as dashed lines. Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of figures depicting the difference between NEP10k and WOA23. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.

While macronutrients play an important role in the biogeochemistry and ecosystem dynamics of the NEP, iron has been observed to be a limiting or co-limiting nutrient (Browning et al., 2017; Browning and Moore, 2023). The simulated distribution of surface iron exhibits a gradient from inshore highs exceeding 1 nanomoles kg⁻¹ to offshore
lows < 0.25 nanomoles kg⁻¹ (Fig. 9, left panel). This results in large-scale patterns of phytoplankton iron limitation in the NEP10k simulation (Fig. 9, right panel) that are consistent with those observed (e.g., Moore et al., 2013;

Hutchins et al., 1998).

545







Figure 9: Surface dissolved iron and phytoplankton nutrient limitation. NEP10k simulated annual mean surface dissolved iron concentrations (left) and climatological mean distribution of the nutrient most limiting to phytoplankton growth (right). In COBALT, the degree of limitation by N, P, and Fe is expressed as a factor between 0 and 1 (Stock et al., 2020). Nutrient limitation is then calculated according to Liebig's Law of the minimum. This most limiting nutrient is indicated in the figure below. We further differentiate areas where the N, P or Fe limitation term is less than 0.25 more limiting another nutrient, which effectively indicates areas that are near co-limitation. Timeframe covers 1993-2019. Note: Sparse P limitation occurs near-shore.

Simulated surface chlorophyll is spatially well correlated with satellite-based chlorophyll estimated from the OC-

- 560 CCI (Fig. 10) and simulated values are generally within a factor of 2 of those observed, which span 2 orders of magnitude (i.e., the RMSE of the log₁₀-transformed data is less than 0.3 in all seasons). The simulation, however, is generally biased high in the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea in the boreal spring and summer, with biases exceeding a factor of 2 along the Bering Sea shelf break and along the subpolar/subtropical boundary in the Gulf of Alaska. The model underestimates OC-CCI based chlorophyll concentration during the fall and winter on the eastern Bering Sea
- 565 shelf: while NEP10k-COBALTv3 suggests lower chlorophyll concentrations during these cold and dark periods, OC-CCI estimates remain high in nearshore waters. Indeed, satellite-based estimates suggest higher chlorophyll along the Bering coast in fall and winter than in spring and summer. It is notable, however, that satellite-based chlorophyll estimates are sporadic at high latitudes during these seasons, and OC-CCI uses a chlorophyll estimation algorithm developed primarily for "case 1"/oceanic water. Vigorously mixed, turbid waters along the Bering shelf in
- 570 winter undoubtedly depart considerably from the algorithm's high degree of water transparency assumptions. In the CCE, the model is able to match the juxtaposition of coastal chlorophyll highs and subtropical offshore lows estimated by OC-CCI during the spring and summer upwelling period. Elevated chlorophyll levels do extend further offshore in the simulation than satellite-estimates suggest. Values are also elevated near the domain boundary during this period, likely due to some spurious boundary mixing. Fall and winter conditions in the California Current
- 575 exhibit a moderate positive bias in offshore waters that generally falls below a factor of 2.





580



Figure 10: Surface chlorophyll comparisons. Seasonal means of surface chlorophyll compared against OC-CCI satellite observations. The three-month seasonal periods include January through March (JFM,a-c), April through June (AMJ,d-f), July through September (JAS,g-i), and October through December (OND,j-l). Comparison time frames cover 1998-2019; All chlorophyll values were log_{10} transformed prior to temporal averaging. Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of figures depicting the difference between NEP and OC-CCI. Black contours in the right column indicate where the difference = $+/- log_{10}(2)$ The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in grey in all figures.





Moving up the food web, simulated seasonal mesozooplankton biomass concentrations (Fig. 11) exhibit similar large-scale spatial and seasonal patterns as the COPEPOD database (Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013). The patchiness of

- the observations reduces correlations relative to the smoother physical, nutrient and satellite-based chlorophyll estimates compared thus far ($R \ge 0.30$ for all seasons). However, peak summer concentrations ~50 mg C m⁻³ consistent with observed values are evident in the Bering Sea and inshore regions of the Gulf of Alaska in both the model and observations. These highs contrast sharply with observed and modeled values ~1-2 mg C m⁻³ within the North Pacific subtropical gyre. Intermediate values of ~10-20 are evident in the California Current upwelling. Both
- 590 the observed and modeled values are highest during the peak summer upwelling period, though the highest modeled values are somewhat lower, particularly in nearshore regions. This pattern will be addressed further in the Discussion. The offshore waters of the Gulf of Alaska and western Bering Sea exhibit summer mesozooplankton biomass peaks of similar magnitude as the California Current, with simulated values again lower yet comparable to those observed.



595 Figure 11: Seasonal zooplankton biomass. Seasonal mean mesozooplankton biomass concentrations for NEP10k on the model grid (top row), the COPEPOD dataset (middle row), and NEP10k values remapped to the COPEPOD grid where there are corresponding data from the COPEPOD dataset (bottom row). The bottom row also reports statistics using the log₁₀ normalized data, specifically the area-weighted mean bias (Bias, NEP10k - COPEPOD), the area-weighted root mean squared error (RMSE), the median absolute error (MedAE) and the Pearson correlation coefficient (R); all correlation values are significant (p<0.001).
 Maps are plotted with a grey background to increase contrast with the patchy observation data.



605



Simulated oxygen concentrations in the top 200m in the NEP10k are generally spatially consistent with WOA (Fig. 12). Some biases, however, are apparent below the surface. Most notably, the model has a low oxygen bias south of the Aleutian Islands at 100m (Fig. 12f). This bias coincides with a warm water bias (Fig. 2) and is overlain by a fresh/high stratification bias (Figs. 3, 4). As noted above, this is the region where the westward flowing Alaska Stream and eastward flowing North Pacific Current interact, suggesting that the biases may be linked to a suboptimal representation of these two currents. Moderately high oxygen biases (i.e., greater than 25 μ mol kg⁻¹) are apparent in the western Bering Sea, eastern Gulf of Alaska and off of Baja at 200m (Fig. 12i), but none are large enough to compromise NEP10k's large-scale fidelity to the observed oxygen distribution in the top 200m (i.e., R values ≥ 0.9 across depths and seasons, Figs. 12, S14, S15).



Figure 12: Dissolved oxygen comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) dissolved oxygen compared against WOA23. Comparison time frames cover 1993-2019. Reference contours are depicted in black at 25 μmol oxygen kg⁻¹ sea water in the mean state (left and center columns) and difference (right column) plots; contours representing negative values in the difference plot are drawn as dashed lines. Bias, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Median Absolute Error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of figures depicting the difference between NEP and WOA23. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.

Deeper in the water column, NEP10k robustly simulates the cross-ecosystem variation in the depth of the hypoxic boundary (i.e., the depth at which oxygen concentration drops below 61.7 µmol oxygen kg-1 sea water, Fig. 13). The hypoxic boundary is shallowest, approaching 100m from the surface, along the southern domain boundary which lies along the periphery of the broader eastern equatorial Pacific hypoxic zone. The hypoxic boundary then





620 descends progressively to ~400m in both the model and observations as one moves northward along the California Coast into Canada, before shoaling again to ~150m in the northern Gulf of Alaska. While these overall patterns are consistent, the biases discussed in Fig. 12 are echoed in the hypoxic boundary layer depth. The boundary layer is deeper in the western Bering Sea, eastern Gulf of Alaska and Southern CCS but biased shallow south of the Aleutian Island Chain and, to a lesser degree in the Northern-to-Central CCS.



Figure 13: Hypoxic Boundary Layer Depth. Annual mean hypoxic boundary layer depth (i.e., depth at which dissolved oxygen concentration drops below 61.7 μmol oxygen kg⁻¹ sea water) compared against WOA23. Black reference contours indicate 150 meter and 25 meter intervals in the mean state (a, b) and difference (c) plots, respectively; contours representing negative values in c are drawn as dashed lines. Area-weighted mean bias (Bias) and root mean squared error (RMSE), and the median absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson correlation coefficient (R) are reported in panel c. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.

Finally, simulated carbon chemistry patterns (total alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and aragonite saturation state; Fig. 14-16) broadly capture observation-based estimates reported in CODAP-NA. Low coastal surface alkalinity patterns consistent with low alkalinity river inputs are apparent in the Gulf of Alaska, and to a lesser degree, the eastern Bering Sea. Simulated alkalinity increases from these lows toward maximal values in the

- 635 North Pacific gyre in a manner consistent with observations, though the simulated values are biased high (Fig. 14 ac). The largest positive surface alkalinity biases occur in the Western Bering Sea and in the southwest corner of the domain. These are aligned with salty biases that penetrate to depth (Fig. 3). The largest subsurface bias, however, occurs at 100m depth in the Gulf of Alaska near the large freshwater outflows in the Gulf of Alaska. This suggests that the low alkalinity freshwater signal in this region may be overly restricted to the surface in the model, though
- 640 there does not appear to be a strong subsurface salty model bias in this region (Fig. 3).





645



Figure 14: Total Alkalinity Comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) total alkalinity compared against CODAP-NA. Comparison time frames cover 2004-2018. Reference contours are depicted in black at 25 µmol alkalinity kg⁻¹ sea water in the mean state (left and center columns) and difference (right column) plots. Area-weighted mean bias (Bias) and root mean squared error (RMSE), and the median absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson Correlation coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of the difference plots. All correlation values are significant at p<0.001. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.

Dissolved inorganic carbon has a high bias that is consistent with the high alkalinity bias (compare Figs. 14 and 15). Like alkalinity, the largest positive biases occurred along the Bering Sea shelf break and in the southwestern corner of the domain where areas are overmixed (Fig. 4) and exhibit salty biases (Fig. 3). The high surface DIC bias in the

650 northern Gulf of Alaska, however, is more pronounced than the corresponding high surface alkalinity bias in this region (i.e., Fig. 13c versus Fig. 14c). The northern Gulf of Alaska is strongly impacted by river and glacial outflows. While some of these freshwater sources (e.g., the Copper and Susitna Rivers) have observational constraints on DIC and Alk, most do not. Improved constraints may be needed to improve the model fit in this region







Figure 15: Dissolved inorganic carbon comparisons. Annual mean surface and subsurface (100m, 200m) concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon compared against CODAP-NA. Comparison time frames cover 2004-2018. Reference contours are depicted in black at 50 and 25 µmol carbon kg⁻¹ sea water in the mean state (left and center columns) and difference (right column) plots, respectively; contours representing negative values in the difference plots are drawn as dashed lines. Area-weighted mean bias (Bias) and root mean squared error (RMSE), and the median absolute error (MedAE) and Pearson correlation coefficient (R) are reported in the right column of the difference plots. All correlation values are significant at p<0.001. The extent of the NEP10k domain is outlined in black in all figures.

The more pronounced high surface DIC bias in the northern Gulf of Alaska yields aragonite saturation states that are 0.25-0.5 units lower than CODAP-NA product (Fig. 16). The overall gradient between low saturation states (higher acidification vulnerability) in the surface waters of the Bering Sea/Gulf of Alaska to high saturation states (lower acidification vulnerability) in equatorial and subtropical surface waters in the southern parts of the domain, however, is well captured (Fig. 15c, R = 0.93). Saturation state biases are also small in subsurface waters where subsaturated waters are more prevalent (Fig. 16, middle and bottom panel), and where valuable shell, crab and demersal fisheries reside.









3.2 Region-specific evaluation

multi-decadal time horizons.

Evaluation of NEP10k against observed large-scale physical and biogeochemical patterns in Section 3.1 was generally favorable. In all cases, the model was able to capture the primary physical, biogeochemical and plankton contrasts across ecosystems within the broad NEP10k domain with often high but at least moderate fidelity. As
described in Section 1, however, the NEP10k configuration is intended for marine resource applications both across and within NEP10k subregions, and across management relevant time horizons from seasons to multiple decades. The evaluation in Section 3.1 provides a foundation for such applications, but is not sufficient. Evaluation in this section focuses on regional fisheries-critical metrics and their variation across management-relevant seasonal to





- Perhaps the most ubiquitous indicators of ecosystem state across all regions are ocean temperature (surface and bottom) and surface chlorophyll. These indicators are highly relevant to diverse aspects of ecosystem function, and long time series of observation-informed estimates are available. Modeled shelf (where depth < 500m) surface and bottom temperature climatologies for the regions identified in Fig. 1 exhibit high correlation (Fig. 17, left column) with GLORYS12, but surface temperatures tend to be biased warm in more southerly regions. As initially illustrated in Fig. 2 and Fig. S2, mean and summer surface temperatures, respectively, in the central and southern California</p>
 - Current System are 1-2 °C warmer than those observed, but biases in other regions tend to be < 1 °C.



Figure 17: Surface and bottom temperature comparisons for shelf (0-500m) regions. Regional shelf (depth ≤ 500m) surface and bottom temperature climatologies (left column) and anomaly time series (right column) for the sub-regions delineated in Fig. 1. Comparison of temperature climatologies (left panels) and monthly anomalies (right panels) for surface (orange) and bottom (purple) temperatures for NEP10k (bold) and GLORYS12 (pale). Axes for surface and bottom temperature anomalies are separate and offset for improved readability. Pearson correlation coefficients are reported for surface (R_{STC}, R_{STA}) and bottom (R_{BTC}, R_{BTA}) climatology and anomaly comparisons, respectively. Background shading in the monthly anomaly timeseries plots indicates the oceanic nino index produced by the NOAA Climate Prediction Center for context.





The NEP10k and GLORYS12 monthly surface and bottom temperature anomaly timeseries (Fig. 17, right column)
have correlations > 0.7 in nearly all regions, with values exceeding 0.9 in many. In the California Current, fluctuations in both NEP10k and GLORYS12 show a strong correspondence with the Nino 3.4 index (shaded regions), with warm conditions prevalent during warm ONI states and cold conditions prevalent during cold ONI. The lowest NEP10k-GLORYS12 correlations (R = 0.82 for the surface and R = 0.64 for the bottom) were found in the smallest, southernmost Southern California Current System (SCCS). The relatively complex coastline and limited resolution of island chains in this region may contribute to this decreased skill relative to other regions, but

the correlation remains > 0.6 even in this region may contribute to time detended shift remains to both region, but Matching satellite-derived chlorophyll climatologies and time series proved more challenging than temperature (Fig. 18). The monthly chlorophyll climatologies had moderate ($R \ge 0.8$ NCCS, CCCS) to high ($R \ge 0.9$, GoA, BC, SCCS) consistency with OC-CCI-based estimates for all systems but the Bering Sea (Fig. 18, left column). In the

710 Bering, NEP10k has a pronounced late spring to summer peak approaching 4 mg Chl m⁻³, while OC-CCI estimates comparable intermediate concentrations of ~2 mg Chl m⁻³ for all months but January and December. Similar though less marked discrepancies were found in the Gulf of Alaska. In the California Current, chlorophyll concentrations in both NEP10k and OC-CCI peak in the late spring and summer, consistent with the timing of the upwelling season. NEP10k estimates tend to drop more rapidly than OC-CCI estimates in the Fall, with the central CCS exhibiting a

⁷¹⁵ secondary fall peak not found in NEP10k.







Figure 18: Regional chlorophyll timeseries comparisons. Regional shelf (< 500m) surface chlorophyll monthly climatologies (left column) and anomaly time series (right column) for the satellite-derived OC-CCI product (orange) and NEP10k (green). Pearson correlation coefficients are reported for both climatologies and anomalies; background shading in the monthly anomaly timeseries plots indicates the oceanic nino index produced by the NOAA Climate Prediction Center for context.

- 720 Regional monthly anomaly timeseries for NEP10k chlorophyll were generally weakly correlated with OC-CCI (Fig. 18, right column), with most R values slightly below 0.4. While these correlations are significant (p<0.01) their modest values temper expectations for actionable chlorophyll forecasts. A possible exception is found in the Northern California Current, where high correlation (R = 0.58) provides some ground for optimism. Conversely, simulated and OC-CCI chlorophyll anomalies in the Bering Sea were uncorrelated (R = -0.01). We emphasize that interpretation of both NEP10k's correspondence and misfits in Fig. 18 must be moderated by uncertainties</p>
- 725 interpretation of both NEP10k's correspondence and misfits in Fig. 18 must be moderated by uncertainties associated with the derivation of satellite-based ocean color products in coastal waters.





3.2.1 Bering Sea-specific indicators

As discussed in Section 1, the eastern Bering Sea has one of the most prolific demersal/benthic fisheries in the world, and its ecosystem dynamics are strongly shaped by fluctuating seasonal sea ice. Compared to the trawl

- 730 results, NEP10k trawl-equivalent bottom temperature (Figure 19) in the Bering Sea tends to be biased slightly warm, particularly in the mid-shelf region that approximately corresponds with the area of maximum/minimum September ice edge extent reported by Wang et al., (2014). The model exhibits a modest cold bias, in contrast, on the inner shelf of the southeastern Bering Sea. The NEP10k model, however, robustly reproduces interannual variability of the CPA indices, with best performance at the higher temperature thresholds (Fig. 20). The model does tend to
- 735 under-represent the CPA delineated by the coldest threshold (water temperature ≤ -1°C, dark blue Fig. 20) but there is minimal bias at the higher thresholds (i.e., water temperature ≤ 1°C or 2°C, lighter blues Fig. 20). Critically, the simulation captures the very small CPAs in recent years, which have been linked to recent declines in the lucrative snow crab fishery (Szuwalski et al., 2023).



Figure 19: Bering Sea cold pool extent. Comparison with AFSC Bering Sea Summer Trawl. Marker size is scaled by the number of data annual data points that comprise the mean. The colormap in a and b emphasizes the 2°C transition point for consistency with the threshold value for identifying the cold pool. The black outline delineates the south eastern Bering Sea; trawl data collected from this region are used to calculate the Bering Sea summer cold pool extent and index.









The NEP10k simulation does overestimate the sea ice concentration, particularly in the northern Bering sea (Fig. 21). However the contours for 10% and 50% sea ice concentration correspond with observations fairly well from January through April, suggesting that the simulation generates a reasonable spring sea ice extent. NEP10k ice extent timeseries for the southeastern Bering Sea (Fig. S16) are highly correlated with the satellite product, though NEP10k does overestimate the coverage area, which may be consistent with the ~0.5 °C Bering Sea cold bias noted in Fig. 2.







Figure 21: Bering Seasonal Sea Ice Concentration and Spatial Extent. Comparison of spatial patterns in Bering Sea monthly mean NEP10k sea ice concentration against NASA Satellite estimates (Cavalieri et al., 1996). Black contours indicate the position of 10% and 50% sea ice concentration.

3.2.2 Gulf of Alaska-specific indicators

NEP10k successfully simulates the two leading localized modes of SSH variability identified by Hauri et al. (2024) that can predispose the Gulf of Alaska to extreme physical and biogeochemical events (Fig. 22). The first two
principal components (PCs) of the empirical orthogonal analysis of monthly NEP10k SSH in the Gulf of Alaska have spatial patterns that are consistent with the CMEMS SSH product, with significantly correlated spatial loading patterns in both cases (EOF1 R = 4.2, EOF2 R = 0.95, Fig. 22, top panels). The NEP10k-generated NGAO and GOADI time series are also in good agreement with satellite altimetry observed over the corresponding region and





time frame, particularly at lower frequencies (Fig. 22, bottom panels). These two modes of variability comprise 47%
and 34% of the variance in the model and observed SSH, respectively, suggesting that they may be somewhat overprominent in the model relative to other sources of SSH variability.



Figure 22: GoA SSH EOFs and principal component timeseries. Spatial maps of the first (top row) and second (middle row) EOFs for satellite (left) and NEP10k (right) SSH variability. These are complemented with timeseries comparisons (monthly, left; 6 year running mean, right) for the first two principal components (NGAO, top row; GOADI, bottom row) from the empirical orthogonal function analyses of Gulf of Alaska sea surface height for NEP10k (orange) and the CMEMS satellite product (navy). R values indicate the Pearson Correlation coefficient calculated between NEP10k and the Satellite product, all of which are significant at p<0.001. X-axis labels indicate January 1st of the specified year.

Composites of environmental conditions when the second PC, the GOADI, is below or above 1 demonstrate the impact of downwelling and relaxation of downwelling conditions, respectively on shelf habitat in the Gulf of Alaska





775 (Fig. 23). Relaxation of downwelling is associated with colder, lower oxygen and more acidic shelf waters from the enhanced intrusion of deep water. Conversely, positive phases of the GOADI exhibit significantly warmer bottom temperatures and elevated levels of bottom dissolved oxygen and aragonite saturation state.



Figure 23: GOADI composites. Composites of important ecological conditions during the positive (GOADI >1; 44 months out of 324) and the negative (GOADI < -1; 45 months out of 324) phases of the Gulf of Alaska Downwelling Index (GOADI). Grid cells are colored where the composite differs significantly from 0 (student t-test, p<0.05).

3.2.3 California Current-specific indicators

785

Seasonal upwelling plays an important role in CCS ecosystem dynamics, having bottom-up driving effects on primary productivity in this eastern boundary upwelling system (Section 1, Jacox et al., 2016). Summer upwelling conditions are evident in the map of vertical velocity (Fig. 24) with, on average, a predominantly positive/upward signal across the approximate mixed layer depth (30m) over March through August similar to that reported in Jacox et al., (2018). Monthly climatologies of NEP10k simulated of vertical transport across 30m demonstrates high





Correlations between the Jacox et al., (2018) monthly CUTI anomaly timeseries and corresponding NEP10k vertical transport are also significant but the relationship is strongest at more northern latitudes (R=0.76 at 45°N) and drops off at more southerly latitudes (R=0.30 at 35°N). It is important to note, however, that the NEP10k and the ROMS

790 off at more southerly latitudes (R=0.30 at 35°N). It is important to note, however, that the NEP10k and the ROMS model in Jacox et al., (2018) are forced by different atmospheric reanalysis products, thus it may not be surprising that they differ in high frequency variability. Additionally, the differences in methodologies such as approximating using a constant reference depth of 30 meters for NEP10k could contribute to departures.



Figure 24: CCS upwelling indices. Spring/summer (Mar-Aug) vertical velocity (map) at 30m depth. 1 degree bins are indicated in black outline, which are used for integrating vertical transport. This (blue line) is compared against the Jacox et al., (2018) ROMS CUTI metric (orange line) at several latitudes, decomposing the timeseries into monthly climatology (left) and anomalies (right). Pearson correlations (R) are reported in the upper right corner of each time series panel; all correlations are significant (p<0.001).</p>





NEP10k trends in dissolved oxygen reproduce offshore CalCOFI trends (Fig. 25), with strongest declines occurring at around 300m and becoming less pronounced with depth. In the California Bight, however, NEP exhibits positive trends (most pronounced at 100m depth) where the CalCOFI timeseries exhibit declining trends in dissolved oxygen levels. Many of the stations exhibiting discrepancies in the NEP10k are not statistically significant (p<0.05) and, it should also be noted that some of the timeseries are quite variable, with linear trends being sensitive to the timeframe analyzed.



Figure 25: CCS trends in dissolved oxygen at CalCOFI stations. Linear trends in subsurface dissolved oxygen (O_2) at CalCOFI stations for NEP10k (left) and the CalCOFI dataset (right) calculated over the timeframe of the NEP10k hindcast (1993-2019). Black markers indicate where station trends are significant (p<0.05), following Bograd et al., (2008).





3.3 Computational performance and scalability

- As described in Section 1, the goal of the NEP10k configuration is to provide a simulation capable of skillfully 810 resolving fisheries-critical features with manageable computational cost to allow for ensemble predictions and projections. Our baseline simulation averaged just over 5.3 hours of wall clock time per hindcast year while distributing the 342 x 816 grid (cross-shore x along-shore) across a 32 x 80 decomposition (Fig. 26, green circle) and using a 400 second baroclinic time step and a 1200 second thermodynamic and tracer time step. After land masking, the run uses 2036 PEs, yielding roughly 10,800 PE hours per simulation year on the c5 partition of
- 815 NOAA's Gaea supercomputer. The 27 year hindcast produced herein thus requires ~292,000 PE hours, while 1200 years of retrospective seasonal forecasts (e.g., Ross et al., 2024) would require approximately 13 million PE hours.



Figure 26: Computational scalability efficiency. Amount of computer wall clock time used for completing 1 year of NEP10k simulation with a given number and configuration of processing elements (PEs). Markers indicate a given simulation's PE decomposition for diving in the horizontal model domain prior to omitting PEs that do not contain any ocean grid cells. The diagonal lines indicate constant computational cost (processes × time) relative to the 40 × 40 (blue square) reference simulation. The two hollow markers represent simulations wherein the thermodynamics time step was set to dynamics time step (i.e. reduced from 1200 to 400).

The NEP10k computational cost is comparable to the recently published Northwest Atlantic regional MOM6 configuration (NWA12) of Ross et al. (2023), which used a 40x40 layout (1200 PEs after land masking) to generate 1 simulation year in about 9 hours (about 10,800 PE hours per simulation year). While NWA12 was a larger domain, NEP10k required smaller baroclinic and thermodynamic time steps for stability (400 versus 600 seconds and 1200 versus 1800 seconds, respectively). The instability at longer time steps in the NEP10k configuration





primarily occurred in the vicinity of the Aleutian Island chain where strong currents could be generated within tight channels.

- 830 Computational scaling tests showed that increases in throughput were achievable but returns fell considerably below the ideal 1:1 scaling between the processor count and the wall clock time (Fig. 26). An approximate doubling of PEs from 2038 to nearly 4000, for example, only decreased the wall clock time for a simulation year from ~5.3 hours to ~4.2 hours (compare the green circle and the purple diamond in Fig. 26). The decreased scaling is not unexpected as higher processor counts decompose the model grid into increasingly granular tiles, taxing communication across
- PEs. This effect can also be seen when comparing the performance of the 32x80 baseline setting, which maximizes the number of interior to exterior cells on a PE by decomposing the 342x816 grid into squares, versus the approximately 10% slower 50x50 decomposition that relies on rectangular elements. Scaling from the base configuration to lower processor counts, in contrast, is relatively strong, supporting the viability of running simulations on smaller supercomputing systems.
- 840 Consistent with the findings of Ross et al. (2023), we found considerable computational benefit from leveraging MOM6's capacity to have a longer thermodynamic and tracer time step than the baroclinic time step (closed versus open symbols in Fig. 26). Throughput was nearly doubled when the thermodynamics and tracer time step was three times longer than the baroclinic time step.

4 Discussion

- 845 There were three primary design criteria for the NEP10k model. The first was that a "coastwide" configuration was needed to address coastwide challenges arising from climate change, such as shifting fisheries distributions across state and international boundaries. The second was that the model must resolve and accurately reproduce enough of the physical and biogeochemical drivers of ocean change in and across the disparate ecosystems within the domain to support ecosystem and fisheries applications. The third was that the model must be suited, both computationally
- and in terms of model skill, for ensemble predictions and projections. The comprehensive model evaluation herein suggests that the NEP10k configuration meets these design criteria sufficiently to provide a basis for initial applications and a robust foundation for further model improvement. Comparison against large-scale physical and biogeochemical patterns in Section 3.1 showed that a single physical-biogeochemical modeling framework could robustly capture the primary physical and biogeochemical contrasts between the EBS, GoA and CCE (Figs 2-5, 7-8,
- 855 12-15). Simulation fidelity extended to seasonal patterns in most quantities (Fig. 17-18, Figs. S1-S15) and robust matches to interannual variations for many, even within limited regions of the domain (Figs. 16, 19, 21, 24-25). While biases were present, and at times prominent, the skill achieved supports NEP10k's current utility. The Discussion will focus on model characteristics contributing to successes, and on further model developments that may ameliorate current limitations.
- 860 A central challenge for NEP10k was the representation of physical and biogeochemical processes governing a large range of ecosystems, from subtropical to polar and oligotrophic to eutrophic. Success in this regard requires model





formulations and parameterizations that are robust across regimes. For ocean physics, one advance that led to notable improvement was the replacement of the submesoscale restratification parameterization of Fox-Kemper et al. (2011) with that of Bodner et al. (2023). The Fox-Kemper parameterization requires a single choice for the

- 865 submesoscale front length while Bodner diagnoses the front length from the ocean state, revealing considerable variability with season and latitude. Smaller front lengths at high latitudes proved critical to limiting deep mixing biases in the western Bering Sea, while longer front lengths further south were critical in limiting shallow mixed layer biases in the Gulf of Alaska and California Current (Fig. 4). Though the more dynamic Bodner scheme did not eliminate MLD biases, we did find that it improved them considerably relative to the Fox-Kemper et al. (2011) parameterization, where a single characteristic submesoscale frontal length scale forced one to exacerbate one bias
- parameterization, where a single characteristic submesoscale frontal length scale forced one to exacerbate one bias or the other (Fig. S18).
 For biogeochemistry, starting with a model designed for global applications provided a sound starting point for achieving cross-system skill. Evaluation of the shelf-scale fidelity of global models, however, is generally limited by their often coarse resolution (e.g., Stock et al., 2014; 2020). A key addition to extend skill in NEP10k to coastal
- regions was an additional phytoplankton size class, which allowed the model to better resolve the coastal diatoms responsible for high chlorophyll concentrations along the coast. This expanded formulation was initially developed by Van Oostende et al., (2018) for use in the California Current, where it was shown to improve resolution of both very high coastal chlorophyll concentrations and the biogeochemical signals that can be associated with them (e.g., coastal hypoxia). These benefits can be seen in the small (and generally high) coastal chlorophyll biases along the
- U.S. West Coast (Fig. 10) and the robust depiction of the hypoxic boundary layer depth (Fig. 13). The most glaring chlorophyll bias is the model's tendency to underestimate winter/fall OC-CCI-estimated chlorophyll in the nearshore EBS (Fig. 10), which degrades the seasonal chlorophyll fidelity for this region (Fig. 17). Satellite-based estimates in shallow regions of the EBS actually peak during these months despite cold, dark and vigorously mixed conditions, suggesting potential contamination of chlorophyll estimates in turbid coastal waters (Dierrson, 2010; Schofield et
- al., 2013). A recent study in the Arctic, for example, suggests that global satellite chlorophyll algorithms may overestimate chlorophyll by over a factor of 2 (Li et al., 2024).
 Other chlorophyll and plankton misfits require additional scrutiny. The tendency to overestimate offshore spring and summer chlorophyll along the margin separating the Gulf of Alaska and the California Current, for example, may reflect biases in dust delivery, dust solubility or iron scavenging in this iron-limited region. The relatively persistent
- and strong iron limitation in the offshore waters of the California Current in NEP10k, however, may already exceed the "mosaic" of alternating N and Fe limitation suggested by some prior studies (Messie and Chavez, 2015; Moore et al., 2013; Till et al., 2019). A spatially indiscriminate iron tuning is thus unlikely to resolve these biases. They may also arise, however, from misrepresented grazing controls. NEP10k skill in simulating mesozooplankton biomass is limited to capturing first-order cross-ecosystem and seasonal biomass contrasts (Fig. 11) with the
- 895 patchiness in mesozooplankton biomass in net tow data being under-represented. There are also some systematic biases, such as the tendency for mesozooplankton populations to be displaced offshore and biased low relative to observations during the summer upwelling season in the California Current. Previous work (e.g., Batchelder et al.,





2002) has suggested that zooplankton may enlist diurnal vertical migration to avoid being swept offshore, alternating between surface feeding in offshore currents at night and predator avoidance in inshore flowing currents

900 during the day. Such behavior is not included in NEP10k, but could increase mesozooplankton biomass and shift the distribution inshore.

Capturing mean spatial and seasonal patterns is a critical starting point for any model intended for ecosystem/fisheries science and management applications. Many applications, however, require the capacity to anticipate change across seasonal to multi-decadal management time horizons (Tommasi et al., 2017). The robust

- 905 representation of surface and bottom temperature variability (Fig. 16) provides a promising start in this regard. Temperature anomalies are a first-order indicator of ecosystem conditions and a primary determinant of habitat viability (e.g., Deutsch et al., 2015), and temperature extremes are a primary source of ecosystem stress in a changing climate (e.g., Frölicher et al., 2018). The robust representation of surface and bottom water anomalies at a regional scale and for shallower waters (< 500m), combined with the growing capacity of global prediction systems</p>
- 910 to anticipate fluctuations in large-scale climate drivers (e.g., ENSO) supports the potential viability of predictive applications. Retrospective forecast experiments are underway to assess this. NEP10k was less successful, however, in capturing coastal chlorophyll anomalies (Fig. 17). The correlation with monthly chlorophyll anomalies was only marginally significant in most systems, approaching useful levels (i.e., R~0.6) in the NCCS. This was not necessarily surprising, given the volatile and patchy nature of coastal chlorophyll and observing challenges in such
- 915 environments, but points to the need for further scrutiny of both the model and observations before predictive chlorophyll applications can be realized in most systems. Possibly the most critical metrics for ecosystems and fisheries applications considered herein were the region-specific quantities considered in Figs. 18-25. These were drawn from existing management-linked documents, such as the "State of the Ecosystem" reports created by NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service to strategically
- 920 inform management decisions. Evaluations against the admittedly limited set of region-specific fisheries metrics herein was generally positive. Perhaps the most striking of these successes is the fidelity with which NEP10k reproduces the Bering Sea cold pool relative to over 2 decades of Alaska Fisheries Science Center bottom trawl data (Figs. 19-20). The model's representation of these metrics was improved during the course of development when an excess of shear-driven mixing on the Bering shelf was identified and addressed with an adjustment of Jackson et al.
- 925 (2008) shear mixing parameterization. The addition of a simple scaling factor for the geometric limitation imposed by this formulation was found to be the most effective way to pragmatically calibrate the shear driven mixing to better produce observed values for both mixing and bottom temperature. A more comprehensive analysis of this parameterization and its impact on Bering Sea dynamics is currently underway (Seelanki et al., in prep) and will inform regional MOM6 shear mixing parameterization for mixed turbulence regimes.
- 930 While NEP10k's overall representation of variations in Bering Sea cold pool extent was excellent, the model did underestimate the summer extent of the coldest bottom water (< -1°C, darkest blue in Fig. 19). This seemingly conflicts with NEP10k's overrepresentation of seasonal sea ice extent (Figs. 20 & S16) since greater sea ice extent and coverage tends to be associated with a more extensive cold pool (e.g., Wyllie-Echeverria & Wooster, 1998). The





model does, however, achieve substantial winter levels of cold bottom water (Fig. S19), they just erode more
quickly than observed in May and June, just prior to the trawl season. This coincides with a dramatic monthly
reduction in NEP10k's SEBS sea ice extent relative to satellite estimates (Fig. S20, May - April and June - May).
The drivers of this bias will be explored. We emphasize, however, that simulated Bering Sea ice variations in
NEP10k are highly correlated with observations (Fig. S16) suggesting the potential for predictive applications
despite the mean sea ice bias.

- 940 NEP10k reproduction of localized modes of low-frequency climate variability in the Gulf of Alaska (NGAO and GOADI, correlation with satellite-derived PCs > 0.65, Fig. 22) holds promise for potential for multi-year to decadal fisheries applications in the GoA. These modes of variability map on to important ecosystem drivers such as bottom temperature and saturation state (Fig. 22, Fig. S17) and can contribute to extreme compound events that can have severe consequences for marine ecosystems (Hauri et al., 2024). Understanding of the relationships between SSH
- 945 variability and shelf ecosystem conditions will be aided by the growing availability of physical and biogeochemical observations of GoA bottom conditions. Increasing horizontal resolution of the NEP10k configuration may further improve representations of important regional GoA ecosystem features. For example, sea surface heights south of the Aleutian Island Chain, central to the Alaska Gyre, are lower than observed in reference datasets (Fig. 5) and could improve with better resolution of opposing horizontal flows, specifically the southwestward Alaska stream
- 950 and eastward Subarctic or Aleutian Current. Higher resolution may also improve representation of transports through the Aleutian Island chain, which can significantly impact water mass properties in the Bering Sea (Stabeno et al.,1999).

Finally, in the California Current system, our regional assessment focused on ecosystem-critical seasonal upwelling and source water trends. NEP10k's climatological vertical transport at 30m along the continental U.S. west coast is

- 955 highly correlated (i.e., R values ≥ 0.93, Fig. 24) with the CUTI metric published by Jacox et al., (2018). Similarly, reproduction of multi-decadal trends in dissolved O₂ (Fig. 25) observed in the CalCOFI record was an important benchmark, indicative of the model's ability to capture processes driving ecologically consequential deoxygenation in the southern CCE (Bograd et al., 2008). While these findings further support the suitability of the current NEP10k configuration for ecological applications, continued model development will seek to understand and improve
- 960 localized performance. For example, warm/cold biased climatological surface/bottom temperatures in both CCCS and SCCS (Fig. 17), underrepresentation of climatological upwelling and low correlation in upwelling monthly anomalies (33N in Fig. 24), and underrepresentation of deoxygenation trends in the Southern California Bight (200m, 300m depth in Fig. 25) suggests we may not be adequately representing the physical processes that influence these conditions due to excessive stratification in the southern CCE. Given the complex bathymetry and circulation
- 965 that impacts these processes in southern California Bight (e.g., Hickey 1992), this is another instance where increased spatial resolution may improve model performance. However, while higher resolution (i.e., ~5km) simulations are currently underway, any benefits of doubling resolution will need to be balanced against the roughly eight-fold increase in computational cost.





5 Conclusions

- 970 The results presented herein demonstrate that NEP10k is "fit to purpose" in terms of both model skill and computation cost for numerous living marine resource management applications across multiple time horizons. The model also establishes a basis for community evaluation to assess against a much broader set of fisheries and ecosystem metrics, and a basis for co-development with fisheries scientists and managers to address identified limitations and maximize model utility. As part of NOAA's Climate, Ecosystems and Fisheries Initiative, the
- 975 community contributing to this effort has grown tremendously, facilitated by the open development of MOM6, COBALT, as well as pre-processing and analytical scripts made available via the CEFI GitHub. With increasing input from collaborators and co-development with end-users, ongoing model development will prioritize NEP10k representation of key ecosystem indicators to maximize utility of climate change projections and forecasts for living marine resource management.

980 Appendix A

Table A1. Notable parameters, their current names and associated values used in the physical ocean (MOM6)

 component of the model and relevant references. BGC denotes biogeochemistry; SAL denotes self-attraction and





loading. Bold text indicates where parameter choices differ from Ross et al. (2023). Comprehensive documentation of physical MOM6 parameters can be found in MOM_parameter_doc.all (supplemental materials).

Parameter (as appears in MOM_parameter_doc.all)	Value (as appears in MOM_parameter_doc.all if differs)	Reference
Vertical coordinate (REGRIDDING_COORDINATE_MODE, ALE_COORDINATE_CONFIG)	75-layer z* (Z*, FILE:vgrid_75_2m.nc,dz)	Adcroft et al. (2019)
Baroclinic time step (DT)	400 seconds	
Thermodynamics and BGC time step (DT_THERM)	1200 seconds	
Planetary boundary layer parameterization (EPBL_MSTAR_SCHEME, EPBL_VEL_SCALE_SCHEME)	Energetics based planetary boundary layer (ePBL) (REICHL_H18, REICHL_H18)	Reichl and Hallberg (2018)
Mixed-Layer Restratification (USE_BODNER23)	Bodner et al. (2023) formulation (TRUE)	Bodner et al. (2023)
Biharmonic viscosity (SMAGORINSKY_AH) Smagorinsky coefficient (SMAG_BI_CONST) Resolution-dependent (AH_VEL_SCALE)	Maximum of Smagorinsky and resolution-dependent viscosities (TRUE) 0.015 0.01 $\Delta_x^3 m^4 s^{-1} (0.01)$	Griffies and Hallberg (2000) Adcroft et al. (2019)
Bottom boundary layer mixing efficiency (BBL_EFFIC)	0.0	
Background kinematic viscosity (KV) *NOTE: this term is additive to the viscosity calculated internally	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \ m^2 \ s^{-1} \\ (0.0) \end{array}$	
Background diapyenal diffusivity (KD)	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	
Boundary conditions (example for open boundary 001) Sea level and barotropic velocity Baroclinic velocity (OBC_SEGMENT_001) (OBC_SEGMENT_001_VELOCITY_NUDGING_TIMESC ALES)	Flather scheme (FLATHER,ORLANSKI,NUDGED,ORLANSKI_TAN,NUDGED_TA N) Radiation and nudging scheme (3 day inflow, 360 day outflow timescales) (3.0, 360.0)	Flather (1976) Marchesiello et al. (2001), Orlanski (1976)
Tracers (OBC_TRACER_RESERVOIR_LENGTH_SCALE_OUT) (OBC_TRACER_RESERVOIR_LENGTH_SCALE_IN)	Reservoirs with 9000 meter length scales (9000.0) (9000.0)	
Tidal SAL coefficient (SAL_SCALAR_VALUE)	0.01	Irazoqui Apecechea et al. (2017), Stepanov and Hughes (2004)
Opacity scheme (OPACITY_SCHEME, PEN_SW_NBANDS)	three-band with chlorophyll (MANIZZA_05, 3)	Manizza (2005)

Table A2. Ocean diagnostics used for evaluating the NEP10k hindcast





Diagnostic NEP101 (Fig. #) (origin		Sampling		Reference Dataset			Comparison Timeframe
	NEP10k Variable (original units)	Time	Depth	Name reference	Variable (original units)	Horizontal Resolution	if blank: 1993-01-01 to 2019-12-31
Temperature (Fig. 2) thetao (°C)	Annual and seasonal mean climatology	Surface	OISSTv2.1 Huang et al., 2021	sst (°C)	1/4°		
		Surface, 100m, 200m	GLORYS12 Jean-Michel et al., 2021	thetao (°C)	1/12°		
Salinity (Fig. 3) so	Annual and seasonal mean climatology Surf	Surface, 100m, 200m	NCEI NNP and NEP Regional Climatologies Seidov et al., 2023, 2017	s_an	1/10°	1995-01-01 to 2014-12-31 (nnp) 2012-12-31 (nep)	
			GLORYS12 Jean-Michel et al., 2021	so	1/12°		
Mixed Layer Depth	MLD 003	LD 003 Annual and seasonal mean		de Boyer Montégut, 2024	mld_dr003 (m)	1°	
(Fig. 4) (m)	climatology	-	GLORYS12 Jean-Michel et al., 2021	thetao (°C), so, deptho (m)	1/12°		
Mean Sea Level (Fig. 5) ssh (m)	Annual and seasonal mean		GLORYS12 Jean-Michel et al., 2021	zos (m)	1/12°		
	ssh (m)	climatology	Surface	Gridded satellite altimetry CMEMS, 2023	adt (m)	1/40	
GoA EOF & PCA (Fig. 22)		Monthly means					
Tidal amplitude and phase (Fig. 6)	ssh (m)	Hourly means	Surface	TPXO9 Egbert & Erofeeva, 2002	ha (m), hp (°GMT)	1/6°	1993-02-01 to 1993-02-28
Inorganic Nutrients (Figs. 7,8)	no3, po4 (mol kg ⁻¹)	Annual and seasonal mean climatology	Surface, 100m, 200m	WOA23 Garcia et al., 2023a	n_an , p_an (µmol kg ⁻¹)	1°	
Surface Chlorophyll (Fig. 10)	chlos (kg m³)	Seasonal Mean Climatologies	Surface	OC-CCI v6.0 Sathyendranath et al., 2023	chlor_a (mg m ⁻³)	4km	1998-01-01 to 2019-12-31
Regional Surface Chlorophyll Variability (Fig. 18)		Monthly mean climatology and anomalies					
Zooplankton Biomass (Fig. 11)	mesozoo_200 (mol m ⁻² C)	Seasonal Mean Climatologies	0-200m integrated	COPEPOD Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013	cmass (mg C m ⁻³)	site locations	
Dissolved Oxygen (Fig. 12)	o2 (μmol kg ⁻¹)	Annual and seasonal mean climatology	Surface, 100m, 200m	WOA23 Garcia et al., 2023b			
Hypoxic Boundary Layer Depth (Fig. 13)		Monthly means	-		o_an	1°	
Total Alkalinity, Dissolved Inorganic Carbon, Aragonite Saturation State (Figs. 14,15,16)	talk, dissic (mol m ⁻³) omega_arag	Annual and seasonal mean climatology	Surface, 100m, 200m	CODAP-NA Jiang et al., 2022	TA_an, DIC_an (μmol kg ⁻¹) OmegaA_an	1°	2004-01-01 to 2018-12-31

Table A2. (continued)





Regional Surface & Bottom Temperature Variability (Fig. 17)	tos, tob (°C)	Monthly mean climatology and anomalies	Surface, Bottom	GLORYS12 Jean-Michel et al., 2021	Thetao, bottomT (°C)	1/12°	
Bering Sea Bottom Temperature (Figs. 19, 20)	tob (°C)	Daily means	Bottom	AFSC Bottom Trawl Survey Rohan et al., 2022	gear_temperature (°C)	stations	
Bering Sea Sea Ice Extent (Fig. 21)	siconc	Monthly mean climatologies	-	NASA Satellite Sea Ice Concentration DiGirolamo et al., 2022		25km	
Upwelling Index/ Vertical transport (Fig. 24)	umo, vmo (kg s ⁻¹)	Monthly mean climatology and anomalies	30m	CUTI Jacox et al., 2018	$\begin{array}{c} CUTI\\ (m^2 \ s^{-1}) \end{array}$	1°	
CalCOFI O2 trends (Fig. 25)	o2 (µmol kg ⁻¹)	Monthly means	50m, 100m, 200m, 300m, 400m, 500m	CalCOFI https://calcofi.org/data/ oceanographic-data/bottle- database/	Oxy_µmol/Kg (µmol kg ⁻¹)	stations	

Code availability

The source code for each component of the model has been archived at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936294 (Drenkard et al., 2023a). The GitHub repositories for MOM6 can be found at https://github.com/mom-ocean/MOM6 (last access: 2 August 2024) and https://github.com/NOAA-GFDL/MOM6 (last access: 2 August 2024).

- 985 Repositories for other model components are also available at https://github.com/NOAA-GFDL (last access: 2 August 2024). Codes for generating regional MOM6 initial conditions, boundary conditions and other necessary model inputs as well as diagnostic scripts are maintained on the NOAA CEFI GitHub Repository: https://github.com/NOAA-GFDL/CEFI-regional-MOM6/. Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) R code base used for the Bering Sea Cold Pool Analyses can be found on github: https://github.com/afsc-gap-products/coldpool,
- 990 which utilizes the AFSC akgfmaps toolset, also on github: <u>https://github.com/afsc-gap-products/akgfmaps</u>.

Data availability

995

All model output and that was analyzed and the corresponding analysis codes used in preparing this paper has been published at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936240</u> (Drenkard et al., 2023b). Model parameter, forcing, and initial condition files are published at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936479 (Drenkard et al., 2023c). The datasets used for model validation and comparison are tabulated in Appendix Table 2 with associated URL or DOI

where the data can be downloaded are listed as follows: OISSTv2.1 (<u>https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/optimum-interpolation-sst</u>, Huang et al., 2021); GLORYS12 reanalysis (<u>https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00021</u>, Jean-Michel et al., 2021); NCEI Northern North Pacific Regional Climatology Version 2





(https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/northern-north-pacific-regional-climatology, Seidov et al., 2023); NCEI Northeast Pacific Regional Climatology (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/northeast-pacific-regional-climatology; Seidov et al., 2017); de Boyer Montégut Mixed layer depth over the global ocean (https://doi.org/10.17882/98226, de Boyer Montégut, 2024); Global Ocean Gridded L 4 Sea Surface Heights And Derived Variables (https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00148; CMEMS, 2023); OSU TPXO9 Tide Model (https://www.tpxo.net/home, Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002); World Ocean Atlas 2023 Nitrate, Phosphate, and Oxygen output (https://ncei.noaa.gov/access/world-ocean-atlas-2023/, Garcia et al., 2023a,b); ESA Ocean Colour Climate
1005 Change Initiative (Ocean_Colour_cci): Global chlorophyll-a data products gridded on a geographic projection at the set of t

- 4kmresolution,Version6.0(https://www.oceancolour.org/,https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/b0ec72a28b6a4829a33ed9adc215d5bc/,Sathyendranath et al., 2019); COPEPOD-2012 (https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/copepod/biomass/biomass-fields.html,Moriarty and O'Brien, 2013); CODAP-NAtotalalkalinity,DIC,andaragonitesaturation(https://doi.org/10.25921/g8pb-zy76,
- 1010 https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/oceans/ncei/ocads/metadata/0270962.html, Jiang et al., 2022); NOAA NCEP Ocean Niño Index (https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ detrend.nino34.ascii.txt); AFSC bottom trawl gear temperature data (https://github.com/afsc-gap-products/coldpool/tree/main/data, Rohan et al., 2022); NASA NSIDC Sea Ice Concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS Passive Microwave Data, Version 2
- 1015 (https://doi.org/10.5067/MPYG15WAA4WX, DiGirolamo et al., 2022); Coastal Upwelling Transport Index (CUTI; https://oceanview.pfeg.noaa.gov/products/upwelling/dnld; Jacox et al., 2018); California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) Bottle Database (https://calcofi.org/data/oceanographic-data/bottle-database/). The datasets used to create the model forcing and the URL or DOI where the data can be downloaded are listed as follows: GLORYS12 reanalysis (https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00021, Jean-Michel et al., 2021); OSU TPXO9 Tide
- Model (https://www.tpxo.net/home, Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002); World Ocean Atlas 2018 (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/NCEI-WOA18); GloFAS (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.a4fdd6b9); ERA5 (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47, Hersbach et al., 2023), Carter et al. (2021) alkalinity and DIC estimation algorithm (ESPER; <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5512697</u>); RC4USCoast (https://doi.org/10.25921/9jfw-ph50, Gomez et al., 2022); Global River Chemistry database (GLORICH,
- 1025 https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.902360, Hartmann et al.,2019); GlobalNEWS2 2010); (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.01.007, Mayorga et al., ArcticGro (https://www.arcticgreatrivers.org/data, Holmes et al., 2012); Meinshausen et al. (2017) atmospheric CO₂ (https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/input4MIPs.1118, Meinshausen and Vogel, 2016; https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/input4MIPs.9866,
- 1030 Meinshausen and Nicholls, 2018); GFDL ESM4.1 model output model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical (https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.8597).





Author contribution

ACR, CAS, AA, WC, RD, RH, KH, TM, and NZ contributed source code for regional MOM6, COBALT, SIS2, and/or other components of the model framework. EJD, CAS, and ACR contributed to preparation of model input

1035 files. EJD, CAS, ACR, and EC contributed to evaluation and interpretation of the model results. EJD and CAS prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. All coauthors participated in discussions during various stages of the model development and evaluation and read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

1040 Acknowledgements

This paper is a contribution of NOAA's Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative. The authors extend their thanks to Gabriela Negrete and Matthew Harrison for their constructive feedback during the NOAA internal review process and to Samantha Siedlecki for contributions to ongoing regional MOM6 efforts. Funding for MPB was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Modeling, Analysis, Predictions and Prejections and Exercise Present (NA2004) and for CUL & PB through the Narth Pacific Present (NPBP).

1045 Projections Program (NA20OAR4310447) and for CH & RP through the North Pacific Research Board (NPRB 2109).

References

Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., Dunne, J. P., Griffies, S. M., Hallberg, R., Harrison, M. J., Held, I. M., Jansen, M. F., John, J. G., Krasting, J. P., Langenhorst, A. R., Legg, S.,

1050 Liang, Z., McHugh, C., Radhakrishnan, A., Reichl, B. G., Rosati, T., Samuels, B. L., Shao, A., Stouffer, R., Winton, M., Wittenberg, A. T., Xiang, B., Zadeh, N., Zhang, R.: The GFDL global ocean and sea ice model OM4.0: Model description and simulation features. J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 3167-3211. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001726, 2019.

Alexander, M. A., I. Bladé, M. Newman, J. R. Lanzante, N. Lau, and J. D. Scott, 2002: The Atmospheric Bridge:

- The Influence of ENSO Teleconnections on Air–Sea Interaction over the Global Oceans, J. Climate, 15, 2205–2231, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<2205:TABTIO>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
 Amaya, D. J., Alexander, M. A., Scott, J. D., and Jacox, M. G.: An evaluation of high-resolution ocean reanalyses in the California current system, Prog. Oceanogr., 210, 102951, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2022.102951. 2023.
 Anderson, C. R., Kudela, R. M., Kahru, M., Chao, Y., Rosenfeld, L. K., Bahr, F. L., Anderson, D. M., and Norris, T.
- 1060 A.: Initial skill assessment of the California Harmful Algae Risk Mapping (C-HARM) system, Harmful Algae, 59, 1-18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.08.006, 2016.

https://www.arcticgreatrivers.org/data, 2024.



1065



Anderson, C. R., Moore, S. K., Tomlinson, M. C., Silke, J., and Cusack, C.K.: Living with harmful algal blooms in a changing world: strategies for modeling and mitigating their effects in coastal marine ecosystems, in: Coastal and Marine Hazards, Risks, and Disasters, edited by: Shroder, J. F., Ellis, J. T., and Sherman, D. J., Elsevier, 495–561, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396483-0.00017-0, 2015.

- Anderson, P. J. and Piatt, J. F.: Community reorganization in the Gulf of Alaska following ocean climate regime shift. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 189, 117-123, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps189117, 1999. The Arctic Great Rivers Observatory, Water Quality Dataset [data set], Version 20240402,
- 1070 Bailey, K.M., Macklin, S.A., Reed, R.K., Brodeur, R. D., Ingraham, W. J., Piatt, J. F., Shima, M., Francis, R. C., Anderson, P. J., Royer, T. C., Hollowed, A., Somerton, D.A., and Wooster, W.S.: ENSO events in the northern Gulf of Alaska, and effects on selected marine fisheries. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) Report, 36, 78–96, 1995.

Batchelder, H. P., Edwards, C. A., and Powell, T. M.: Individual-based models of copepod populations in coastal

1075 upwelling regions: implications of physiologically and environmentally influenced diel vertical migration on demographic success and nearshore retention, Prog. Oceanogr., 53, 307–333, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(02)00035-6, 2002.

Beamer, J. P., Hill, D. F., Arendt, A., and Liston, G. E.: High-resolution modeling of coastal freshwater discharge and glacier mass balance in the Gulf of Alaska watershed, Water Resour. Res., 52, 3888–3909, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018457, 2016.

Bodner, A. S., Fox-Kemper, B., Johnson, L., Van Roekel, L. P., McWilliams, J. C., Sullivan, P. P., Hall, P. S., and Dong, J.: Modifying the Mixed Layer Eddy Parameterization to Include Frontogenesis Arrest by Boundary Layer Turbulence, Journal of Phys. Oceanogr., 53, 323–339, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-21-0297.1, 2023.
Bograd, S. J., Castro, C. G., Di Lorenzo, E., Palacios, D. M., Bailey, H., Gilly, W., and Chavez, F. P.: Oxygen

- declines and the shoaling of the hypoxic boundary in the California current: hypoxia in the California current. Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L12607, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034185</u>, 2008.
 Bograd, S. J., Jacox, M. G., Hazen, E. L., Lovecchio, E., Montes, I., Pozo Buil, M., Shannon, L. J., Sydeman, W. J., and Rykaczewski, R. R.: Climate change impacts on eastern boundary upwelling systems, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 15, 303–328, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-021945, 2023.
- Bograd, S. J., Schroeder, I., Sarkar, N., Qiu, X., Sydeman, W. J., and Schwing, F. B.: Phenology of coastal upwelling in the California Current, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L01602, doi:10.1029/2008GL035933, 2009.
 Boyer, T. P., Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Zweng, M. M., Mishonov, A. V., Reagan, J. R., Weathers, K. A., Baranova, O. K., Seidov, D., and Smolyar, I. V.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information [dataset]: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/NCEI-WOA18, 2019.
- 1095 Briegleb, B. P. and Light, B.: A Delta-Eddington Multiple Scattering Parameterization for Solar Radiation in the Sea Ice Component of the Community Climate System Model (No. NCAR/TN-472+STR). University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/D6B27S71, 2007.



1115



Brodie, S., Pozo Buil, M., Welch, H., Bograd, S. J., Hazen, E. L., Santora, J. A., Seary, R., Schroeder, I. D., and Jacox, M. G.: Ecological forecasts for marine resource management during climate extremes, Nat. Commun., 14, 7701, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43188-0, 2023.

- 1100 7701, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43188-0, 2023.
 Brown, Z. W. and Arrigo, K. R.: Sea ice impacts on spring bloom dynamics and net primary production in the Eastern Bering Sea, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, 43–62, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008034, 2013.
 Browning, T., Achterberg, E., Rapp, I., Engel, A., Bertrand, E. M., Tagliabue, A., and Moore, C. M.: Nutrient co-limitation at the boundary of an oceanic gyre, Nature, 551, 242–246, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24063, 2017.
- Browning, T.J. and Moore, C.M.: Global analysis of ocean phytoplankton nutrient limitation reveals high prevalence of co-limitation. Nat. Commun., 14, 5014, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40774-0, 2023.
 Carter, B. R., Bittig, H. C., Fassbender, A. J., Sharp, J. D., Takeshita, Y., Xu, Y.-Y., Álvarez, M., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Barbero, L.: New and updated global empirical seawater property estimation routines. Limnol Oceanogr Methods, 19, 785–809, https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10461, 2021.
- 1110 Cavalieri, D. J., Parkinson, C. L., Gloersen, P., and Zwally, H. J.: Sea Ice Concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS Passive Microwave Data, Version 1, National Snow and Ice Data Center [data set], https://doi.org/10.5067/8GQ8LZQVL0VL, 1996. Chasco, B. E., Hunsicker, M. E., Jacobson, K. C., Welch, O. T., Morgan, C. A., Muhling, B. A., and Harding, J. A.:

Evidence of Temperature-Driven Shifts in Market Squid *Doryteuthis opalescens* Densities and Distribution in the California Current Ecosystem, Mar. Coast. Fish., 14, e10190, https://doi.org/10.1002/mcf2.10190, 2022.

- Cheng, W., Hermann, A. J., Coyle, K. O., Dobbins, E. L., Kachel, N. B., and Stabeno, P. J.: Macro- and micronutrient flux to a highly productive submarine bank in the Gulf of Alaska: A model-based analysis of daily and interannual variability, Prog. Oceanogr., 101, 63–77, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2012.01.001, 2012. Cheng, W., Curchitser, E., Stock, C., Hermann, A., Cokelet, E., Mordy, C., Stabeno, P., Hervieux, G., and
- 1120 Castruccio, F.: What processes contribute to the spring and fall bloom co-variability on the Eastern Bering Sea shelf?, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 134, 128–140, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.07.009, 2015. Clement Kinney, J., Maslowski, W., Osinski, R., Lee, Y. J., Goethel, C., Frey, K., and Craig, A.: On the variability of the Bering Sea Cold Pool and implications for the biophysical environment, PLoS One, 17, e0266180, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266180, 2022.
- Cordero-Quirós, N., Miller, A. J., Pan, Y., Balitaan, L., Curchitser, E., and Dussin, R.: Physical-Ecological Response of the California Current System to ENSO events in ROMS-NEMURO. Ocean Dynam., 72, 21–36, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-021-01490-9, 2022.
 Codiga, D. L.: Unified Tidal Analysis and Prediction Using the UTide Matlab Functions, Technical Report 2011-01, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI, 59 pp.,
- 1130 https://www.po.gso.uri.edu/~codiga/ utide/2011Codiga-UTide-Report.pdf (last access: 23 November 2023), 2011. Compton, T. J., Leathwick, J. R., and Inglis, G. J.: Thermogeography predicts the potential global range of the invasive European green crab (*Carcinus maenas*). Divers, Distrib., 16, 243–255, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00644.x, 2010.





Coyle, K. O., Cheng, W., Hinckley, S. L., Lessard, E. J., Whitledge, T., Hermann, A. J., and Hedstrom, K.: Model
and field observations of effects of circulation on the timing and magnitude of nitrate utilization and production on the northern Gulf of Alaska shelf. Prog. Oceanogr., 103, 16–41, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2012.03.002, 2012.
Coyle, K. O., Hermann, A. J., and Hopcroft, R. R.: Modeled spatial-temporal distribution of productivity, chlorophyll, iron and nitrate on the northern Gulf of Alaska shelf relative to field observations, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 165, 163–191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.05.006, 2019.

- Danielson, S., Curchitser, E., Hedstrom, K., Weingartner, T., and Stabeno, P.: On ocean and sea ice modes of variability in the Bering Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C12034, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007389, 2011.
 Danielson, S. L., Hill, D. F., Hedstrom, K. S., Beamer, J., and Curchitser, E.: Demonstrating a high-resolution Gulf of Alaska ocean circulation model forced across the coastal interface by high-resolution terrestrial hydrological models, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 125, e2019JC015724, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015724, 2020.
- de Baar, H. and de Jong, J.: Distributions, Sources and Sinks of Iron in Seawater, in: Biogeochemistry of Iron in Seawater, edited by: Turner, D. and Hunter, K. A., Wiley, New York, 123–253, ISBN 978-0-471-49068-5, 2001.
 de Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, A. S., Lazar, A., and Iudicone, D.: Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: an examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C12003, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002378, 2004.
- 1150 de Boyer Montégut, C.: Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: a climatology computed with a density threshold criterion of 0.03 kg/m3 from the value at the reference depth of 5 m, SEANOE [dataset], https://doi.org/10.17882/98226, 2024.

Deutsch, C., Ferrel, A., Seibel, B., Pörtner, H,-O., and Huey, R. B.: Climate change tightens a metabolic constraint on marine habitats, Science, 348, 1132–1135, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1605, 2015.

- Desmet, F., Gruber, N., Köhn, E. E., Münnich, M., and Vogt, M.: Tracking the space-time evolution of ocean acidification extremes in the California current system and northeast Pacific, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans,127, e2021JC018159, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC018159, 2022.
 Desmet, F., Münnich, M., and Gruber, N.: Spatiotemporal heterogeneity in the increase in ocean acidity extremes in the northeastern Pacific, Biogeosciences, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-5151-2023, 2023.
- Di Lorenzo, E., Schneider, N., Cobb, K. M., Franks, P. J. S., Chhak, K., Miller, A. J., McWilliams, J. C., Bograd, S. J., Arango, H., Curchitser, E., Powell, T. M., Rivière, P.: North Pacific Gyre Oscillation links ocean climate and ecosystem change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L08607, doi:10.1029/2007GL032838, 2008.
 Drenkard, E. J., Stock, C. A., Ross, A. C., Dixon, K. W., Adcroft, A., Alexander, M., Balaji, V., Bograd, S. J., Butenschön, M., Cheng, W., Curchitser, E., Di Lorenzo, E., Dussin, R., Haynie, A. C., Harrison, M., Hermann, A.,
- 1165 Hollowed, A., Holsman, K., Holt, J., Jacox, M. G., Jang, C. J., Kearney, K. A., Muhling, B. A., Pozo Buil, M., Saba, V., Sandø, A. B., Tommasi, D., and Wang, M.: Next-generation regional ocean projections for living marine resource management in a changing climate, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 78, 1969–1987, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsab100, 2021.



1200



Drenkard, E., Stock, C. A., Ross, A. C., Teng, Y.-C., Morrison, T., Cheng, W., Adcroft, A., Curchitser, E., Dussin,

- 1170 R., Hallberg, R., Hauri, C., Hedstrom, K., Hermann, A., Jacox, M. G., Kearney, K. A., Pages, R., Pilcher, D. J., Pozo Buil, M., Seelanki, V., and Zadeh, N.: Model source code for initial submission of "A regional physicalbiogeochemical ocean model for marine resource applications in the Northeast Pacific (MOM6-COBALT-NEP10k v1.0)" to GMD, Zenodo [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936294, 2024a.
- Drenkard, E., Stock, C. A., Ross, A. C., Teng, Y.-C., Morrison, T., Cheng, W., Adcroft, A., Curchitser, E., Dussin, 1175 R., Hallberg, R., Hauri, C., Hedstrom, K., Hermann, A., Jacox, M. G., Kearney, K. A., Pages, R., Pilcher, D. J.,
- Pozo Buil, M., Seelanki, V., and Zadeh, N.: Model input for initial submission of "A regional physical-biogeochemical ocean model for marine resource applications in the Northeast Pacific (MOM6-COBALT-NEP10k v1.0)" to GMD, Zenodo[dataset], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936479, 2024b.
 Drenkard, E., Stock, C. A., Ross, A. C., Teng, Y.-C., Morrison, T., Cheng, W., Adcroft, A., Curchitser, E., Dussin,
- 1180 R., Hallberg, R., Hauri, C., Hedstrom, K., Hermann, A., Jacox, M. G., Kearney, K. A., Pages, R., Pilcher, D. J., Pozo Buil, M., Seelanki, V., and Zadeh, N.: Model output and analysis codes for initial submission of "A regional physical-biogeochemical ocean model for marine resource applications in the Northeast Pacific (MOM6-COBALT-NEP10k v1.0)" to GMD, Zenodo [dataset], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13936240, 2024c.

Deutsch, C., Frenzel, H., McWilliams, J. C., Renault, L., Kessouri, F., Howard, E., Liang, J-H., Bianchi, D., and 1185 Yang, S.: Biogeochemical variability in the California Current System, Prog. Oceanogr., 196, 102565, 2021.

- Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Adcroft, A. J., Ginoux, P., Held, I. M., John, J. G., Krasting, J. P., Malyshev, S., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Shevliakova, E., Stock, C. A., Zadeh, N., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Dunne, K. A., Dupuis, C., Durachta, J., Dussin, R., Gauthier, P. P. G., Griffies, S. M., Guo, H., Hallberg, R. W., Harrison, M., He, J., Hurlin, W., McHugh, C., Menzel, R., Milly, P. C. D., Nikonov, S., Paynter, D. J., Ploshay, J., Radhakrishnan, A., Rand, K.,
- 1190 Reichl, B. G., Robinson, T., Schwarzkopf, D. M., Sentman, L. T., Underwood, S., Vahlenkamp, H., Winton, M., Wittenberg, A. T., Wyman, B., Zeng, Y., and Zhao, M.: The GFDL Earth System Model Version 4.1 (GFDL-ESM4.1): Overall Coupled Model Description and Simulation Characteristics, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 12, e2019MS002015, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002015, 2020.
- Dussin, R., Curchitser, E.N., Stock, C.A., and Van Oostende, C.A.: Biogeochemical drivers of changing hypoxia in
 the California Current Ecosystem, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 169–170, 104590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.05.013, 2019.
 Egbert, G. D. and Erofeeva, S, Y.: Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech. 19,

183–204, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0183:EIMOBO>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information (CMEMS): Global Ocean Gridded L 4 Sea Surface Heights And Derived Variables Reprocessed 1993 Ongoing, Marine Data Store [data set], 10.48670/moi-00148, 2023.

Evans, W., Mathis, J. T., and Cross, J. N.: Calcium carbonate corrosivity in an Alaskan inland sea, Biogeosciences, 11, 365–379, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-365-2014, 2014.

Ferriss, B. E.: Ecosystem Status Report 2023: Gulf of Alaska, Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report, North Pacific Fishery Management Council, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, 2023.





1205	Flather, R.: A Tidal Model of the North-West European ContinentalShelf, Mem. Soc. R. Sci. Liege., 10, 141–164, 1976.
	Frischknecht, M., Münnich, M., and Gruber, N.: Remote versus local influence of ENSO on the California Current
	System, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 120, 1353–1374, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010531, 2015.
	Froelich, P. N.: Kinetic Control of Dissolved Phosphate in Natural Rivers and Estuaries: A Primer on the Phosphate
1210	Buffer Mechanism, Limnol. Oceanogr., 33, 649–668, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0649, 1988.
	Frölicher, T. L., Fischer, E. M., and Gruber, N.: Marine heatwaves under global warming, Nature, 560, 360–364, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0383-9, 2018.
	García, H. E., Weathers, K., Paver, C. R., Smolyar, I., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Zweng, M. M., Mishonov, A.
	V., Baranova, O. K., Seidov, D., and Reagan, J. R.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 3: Dissolved Oxygen,
1215	Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and Oxygen Saturation, in NOAA Atlas NESDIS 83, edited by: Mishonov, A., 38pp,
	2019a.
	García, H. E., Weathers, K., Paver, C. R., Smolyar, I., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Zweng, M. M., Mishonov, A.
	V., Baranova, O. K., Seldov, D., and Reagan, J. K.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 4: Dissolved inorganic
1000	Nutrients (phosphate, nitrate and nitrate+nitrite, silicate), in NOAA Atlas NESDIS 84, edited by: Mishonov, A.,
1220	35pp, 20196.
	Garcia, H.E., Bouchard, C., Cross, S. L., Paver, C. R., Wang, Z., Reagan, J. R., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A.,
	Mishonov, A. V., Baranova, O., Seidov, D., and Dukhovskoy, D.: World Ocean Atlas 2023, Volume 4: Dissolved
	Inorganic Nutrients (phosphate, nitrate, silicate), NOAA Atlas NESDIS 92 [dataset], https://doi.org/10.25923/39qw-
	7j08, 2023a.
1225	Garcia, H. E., Wang, Z., Bouchard, C., Cross, S. L., Paver, C. R., Reagan, J. R., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A.,
	Mishonov, A. V., Baranova, O., Seidov, D., and Dukhovskoy, D.: World Ocean Atlas 2023, Volume 3: Dissolved
	Oxygen, Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and Oxygen Saturation, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 91 [dataset],
	https://doi.org/10.25923/rb67-ns53, 2023b.
	GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group: The GEBCO_2020 Grid - a continuous terrain model of the global oceans
1230	and land, British Oceanographic Data Centre, National Oceanography Centre, NERC [dataset],
	https://doi.org/10.5285/a29c5465-b138-234d-e053-6c86abc040b9, 2020.
	Gomez, F. A., Lee, SK., Stock, C. A., Ross, A. C., Resplandy, L., Siedlecki, S. A., Tagklis, F., and Salisbury, J. E.:
	RC4USCoast: a river chemistry dataset for regional ocean model applications in the US East Coast, Gulf of Mexico,
	and US West Coast, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 2223–2234, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2223-2023, 2023.
1235	Griffies, S. M. and Hallberg, R. W.: Biharmonic Frictionwith a Smagorinsky-Like Viscosity for Use in LargeScale
	Eddy-Permitting Ocean Models, Mon. Weather Rev., 128, 2935–2946, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
	0493(2000)128<2935:BFWASL>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
	Grimaldi, S., Salamon, P., Disperati, J., Zsoter, E., Russo, C., Ramos, A., Carton De Wiart, C., Barnard, C.,
	Hansford, E., Gomes, G., and Prudhomme, C.: River discharge and related historical data from the Global Flood





- Awareness System, v4.0, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), [data set], https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.a4fdd6b9, 2022.
 Grosholz, E. D., Ruiz, G. M., Dean, C. A., Shirley, K. A., Maron, J. L. and Connors, P. G.: The impacts of a nonindigenous marine predator in a California bay, Ecology, 81, 1206–1224, https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[1206:TIOANM]2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- Gruber, N.: Warming up, turning sour, losing breath: ocean biogeochemistry under global change, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A., 369, 1980–1996, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0003, 2011.
 Gruber, N., Frenzel, H., Doney, S. C., Marchesiello, P., McWilliams, J. C., Moisan, J. R., Oram, J. J., Plattner, G.-K., and Stolzenbach, K. D.: Eddy-resolving simulation of plankton ecosystem dynamics in the California Current System, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 53, 1483–1516, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.06.005, 2006.
- 1250 Gruber, N., Hauri, C., Lachkar, Z., Loher, D., Froelicher, T. L., and Plattner, G.-K.: Rapid progression of ocean acidification in the California Current System, Science, 337, 220–223, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216773, 2012.

Hallberg, R., 1997. Stable Split Time Stepping Schemes for Large-Scale Ocean Modeling. J. Comput. Phys., 135, 54–65, https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1997.5734, 1997.

- Hallberg, R., and Adcroft, A.: Reconciling estimates of the free surface height in Lagrangian vertical coordinate ocean models with mode-split time stepping, Ocean Model., 29, 15–26, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.02.008, 2009.
 Hare, S. R. and Mantua, N. J.: Empirical evidence for North Pacific regime shifts in 1977 and 1989, Prog. Oceanogr., 47, 103–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(00)00033-1, 2000.
- Harrigan, S., Zsoter, E., Alfieri, L., Prudhomme, C., Salamon, P., Wetterhall, F., Barnard, C., Cloke, H., and Pappenberger, F.: GloFAS-ERA5 operational global river discharge reanalysis 1979–present, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 2043–2060, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2043-2020, 2020.
 Hartmann, J., Lauerwald, R., and Moosdorf, N.: A Brief Overview of the GLObal RIver Chemistry Database, GLORICH, Proced. Earth Plan. Sc., 10, 23–27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeps.2014.08.005, 2014.
- Hartmann, J., Lauerwald, R., and Moosdorf, N.: GLORICH Global river chemistry database, PANGAEA [dataset], https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.902360, 2019.
 Hauri, C., Gruber, N., Plattner, G.-K., Alin, S., Feely, R. A., Hales, B., and Wheeler, P. A.: Ocean acidification in the California Current system, Oceanography, 22, 60–71, http://www.jstor.org/stable/24861024, 2009.
 Hauri, C., Hurst, T., Irving, B., Long, C., and Pages, R.: Ocean acidification in the Gulf of Alaska, in: Ecosystem
- status report 2023: Gulf of Alaska, stock assessment and fishery evaluation report, edited by: Ferriss, B. E., North Pacific Fishery Management Council, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, 2023.
 Hauri, C., Irving, B., and Norgaard, A.: Inorganic Carbon data from water samples collected during CTD casts at stations during the Northern Gulf of Alaska LTER seasonal cruises, 2018-2021, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Research Workspace, 10.24431/rw1k45g, version: 10.24431_rw1k45g_20230203T202101Z, 2021a.





1275 Hauri, C., Schultz, C., Hedstrom, K., Danielson, S., Irving, B., Doney, S. C., Dussin, R., Curchitser, E. N., Hill, D. F., and Stock, C. A.: A regional hindcast model simulating ecosystem dynamics, inorganic carbon chemistry, and ocean acidification in the Gulf of Alaska, Biogeosciences, 17, 3837–3857, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3837-2020, 2020.

Hauri, C., Pagès, R., Hedstrom, K., Doney, S. C., Dupont, S., Ferriss, B., and Stuecker, M. F.: More than marine

- heatwaves: A new regime of heat, acidity, and low oxygen compound extreme events in the Gulf of Alaska, AGU Adv., 5, e2023AV001039, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3837-2020, 2024.
 Hauri, C., Pagès, R., McDonnell, A. M. P., Stuecker, M. F., Danielson, S. L., Hedstrom, K., Irving, B., Schultz, C., and Doney, S. C.: Modulation of ocean acidification by decadal climate variability in the Gulf of Alaska, Nat. Commun. Earth Env., 2, 191, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00254-z, 2021b.
- Hermann, A. J., Hinckley, S., Dobbins, E. L., Haidvogel, D. B., Bond, N. A., Mordy, C., Kachel, N., and Stabeno, P. J.: Quantifying cross-shelf and vertical nutrient flux in the Coastal Gulf of Alaska with a spatially nested, coupled biophysical model, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 56, 2474–2486, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.02.008, 2009.
 Hermann, A. J., Gibson, G. A., Bond, N. A., Curchitser, E. N., Hedstrom, K., Cheng, W., Wang, M., Stabeno, P. J., Eisner, L., and Cieciel, K. D.: A multivariate analysis of observed and modeled biophysical variability on the Bering
- Sea shelf: Multidecadal hindcasts (1970-2009) and forecasts (2010-2040), Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 94, 121–139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.04.007, 2013.
 Hermann, A. J., Gibson, G. A., Bond, N. A., Curchitser, E. N., Hedstrom, K., Cheng, W., Wang, M., Hollowed, A. B., and Holsman, K. K.: Projected future biophysical states of the Bering Sea, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 134: 30–47, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz043, 2016.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J-N.: The
- ERA5 global reanalysis, Q J R Meteorol Soc., 146, 1999–2049, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Dee, D., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present, Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS) [data set], https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47, 2023.
- Hibler, W. D.: A dynamic thermodynamic sea ice model, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 9, 815–846, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1979)009, 1979
 Hickey, B.M.: Circulation over the Santa Monica-San Pedro Basin and Shelf, Prog. Oceanogr., 30, 37–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6611(92)90009-O, 1992.
 Hill, C., DeLuca, C., Balaji, V., Suarez, M., and Da Silva, A.: The architecture of the earth system modeling
- 1310 framework, Comput. Sci. Eng., 6, 18, https://doi.org/10.1109/MCISE.2004.1255817, 2004.



1325



Hill, D. F., Bruhis, N., Calos, S. E., Arendt, A., and Beamer, J.: Spatial and temporal variability of freshwater discharge into the Gulf of Alaska, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 120, 634–646, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010395, 2015.

Hill, A. E., Hickey, B. M., Shillington, F. A., Strub, P., Brink, K. H., Barton, E. D., and Thomas, A.C.: Eastern

- 1315 ocean boundaries, in: The Sea, edited by: Robinson, A. R. and Brink, K. H., The Sea, Vol. 11, The Global Coastal Ocean: Regional Studies and Syntheses, New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 11, 29–68, 1998.
 Hinckley, S., Coyle, K. O., Gibson, G., Hermann, A. J., and Dobbins, E. L.: A biophysical NPZ model with iron for the Gulf of Alaska: Reproducing the differences between an oceanic HNLC ecosystem and a classical northern temperate shelf ecosystem, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 56, 2520-2536, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.03.003, 2009.
- 1320 Holsman, K. K., Aydin, K., Sullivan, J., Hurst, T., and Kruse, G. H.: Climate effects and bottom-up controls on growth and size-at-age of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in Alaska (USA), Fish Oceanogr., 28, 345–358, https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12416, 2019.

Holsman, K. K., Haynie, A. C., Hollowed, A. B., Reum, J. C. P., Aydin, K., Hermann, A. J., Cheng, W., Faig, A., Ianelli, J. N., Kearney, K. A., and Punt, A.: Ecosystem-based fisheries management forestalls climate-driven collapse, Nat. Commun., https://doi-org.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/10.1038/s41467-020-18300-3, 2020.

- Hollowed, A. B., Holsman, K. K., Wise, S. P., Haynie, A. C., Cheng, W., Evans, D. C. K., Hermann, A. J., Ianelli, J. N., Kearney, K. A., Punt, A. E., Reum, J. C. P., Stram, D. L., and Szuwalski, C. S.: Development of climate informed management scenarios for fisheries in the eastern Bering Sea. ICES J. of Mar. Sci., https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsae034, 2024.
- Holmes, R. M., Coe, M. T., Fiske, G. J., Gurtovaya, T., McClelland, J. W., Shiklomanov, A. I., Spencer, R. G. M., Tank, S. E., and Zhulidov, A. V.: Climate Change Impacts on the Hydrology and Biogeochemistry of Arctic Rivers, in: Climatic Change and Global Warming of Inland Waters, edited by: Goldman, C.R., Kumagai, M., and Robarts, R.D., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118470596.ch1, 2012.
 Howard, E. M., Frenzel, H., Kessouri, F., Renault, L., Bianchi, D., McWilliams, J. C., and Deutsch, C.: Attributing
- causes of future climate change in the California Current System with multimodel downscaling, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 34, e2020GB006646, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006646, 2020.
 Huang, B., Liu, C., Banzon, V., Freeman, E., Graham, G., Hankins, B., Smith, T., and Zhang, H.-M.: Improvements of the Daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (DOISST) Version 2.1, J. Climate, 34, 2923-2939, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0166.1, 2021.
- 1340 Hunt Jr., G. L., Coyle, K. O., Eisner, L. B., Farley, E. V., Heintz, R. A., Mueter, F., Napp, J. M., Overland, J. E., Ressler, P. H., Salo, S., and Stabeno, P. J.: Climate impacts on eastern Bering Sea foodwebs: a synthesis of new data and an assessment of the Oscillating Control Hypothesis, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 68, 1230–1243, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr036, 2011.
- Hunt Jr., G. L., Stabeno, P., Walters, G., Sinclair, E., Brodeur, R. D., Napp, J. M., Bond, N. A.: Climate change and 1345 control of the southeastern Bering Sea pelagic ecosystem, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 49, 5821–5853, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00321-1, 2002.





Hunt Jr., G.L., Yasumiishi, E. M., Eisner, L. B., Stabeno, P. J., and Decker, M.B.: Climate warming and the loss of sea ice: the impact of sea-ice variability on the southeastern Bering Sea pelagic ecosystem, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 79, 937–953, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa206, 2022.

- Hutchins D. A., DiTullio G. R., Zhang Y., and Bruland K. W.: An iron limitation mosaic in the California upwelling regime, Limnol. Oceanogr., 6, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.6.1037, 1998.
 Irazoqui Apecechea, M., Verlaan, M., Zijl, F., Le Coz, C., and Kernkamp, H.: Effects of Self-Attraction and Loading at a Regional Scale: A Test Case for the Northwest European Shelf, Ocean Dynam., 67, 729–749, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-017-1053-4, 2017.
- Jackson, L., Hallberg, R., and Legg, S.: A parametrization of shear-driven turbulence for ocean climate models, J. Phys. Oceanogr., https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JPO3779.1, 2008.
 Jacox, M. G., Bograd, S. J., Fiechter, J., Pozo Buil, M., Alexander, M., Amaya, D., Cordero Quiros, N., Ding, H., and Rykaczewski, R. R.: Linking upwelling dynamics and subsurface nutrients to projected productivity changes in the California Current System. Geophys. Res. Lett., 51, p.e2023GL108096, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL108096,
- 1360 2024. Jacox M. G., Pozo Buil, M., Brodie, S., Alexander, M. A., Amaya, D. J, Bograd, S. J., Edwards, C. A., Fiechter, J., Hazen, E. L., Hervieux, G., Tommasi, D.: Downscaled seasonal forecasts for the California Current System: Skill assessment and prospects for living marine resource applications, PLOS Clim., 2, e0000245, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000245, 2023.
- 1365 Jacox, M. G., Edwards, C. A., Hazen, E. L, and Bograd, S. J.: Coastal upwelling revisited: Ekman, Bakun, and improved upwelling indices for the U.S. west coast, J. Geophys. Res., 123, 7332–7350, doi:10.1029/2018JC014187, 2018.

Jacox, M. G., Fiechter, J., Moore, A. M., and Edwards, C. A.: ENSO and the California Current coastal upwelling response, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 120, 1691–1702, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010650, 2015.

- 1370 Jacox, M., Hazen, E., and Bograd, S.: Optimal Environmental Conditions and Anomalous Ecosystem Responses: Constraining Bottom-up Controls of Phytoplankton Biomass in the California Current System, Sci. Rep., 6, 27612, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27612, 2016. Jean-Michel, L., Greiner, E., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Garric, G.,Melet, A., Drévillon, M., Bricaud, C., Hamon, M., Le
- Galloudec, O., Regnier, C., Candela, T., Testut, C.-E., Gasparin, F., Ruggiero, G., Benkiran, M., Drillet, Y., and Le
 Traon, P.-Y.: The Copernicus global 1/12 oceanic and sea ice GLORYS12 reanalysis, Front. Earth Sci., 9, 698876, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.698876, 2021.
 Jiang, L.-Q., Boyer, T. P., Paver, C. R., Reagan, J. R., Alin, S. R., Barbero, L., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., and Wanninkhof, R.: Climatological distribution of ocean acidification indicators from surface to 500 meters water depth on the North American ocean margins from 2003-12-06 to 2018-11-22 (NCEI Accession 0270962), NOAA
- 1380 National Centers for Environmental Information, [data set], https://doi.org/10.25921/g8pb-zy76, 2022. Jiang, L.-Q., Feely, R. A., Wanninkhof, R., Greeley, D., Barbero, L., Alin, S., Carter, B. R., Pierrot, D., Featherstone, C., Hooper, J., Melrose, C., Monacci, N., Sharp, J. D., Shellito, S., Xu, Y.-Y., Kozyr, A., Byrne, R. H.,





Cai, W.-J., Cross, J., Johnson, G. C., Hales, B., Langdon, C., Mathis, J., Salisbury, J., and Townsend, D. W.: Coastal Ocean Data Analysis Product in North America (CODAP-NA) – an internally consistent data product for discrete

1385 inorganic carbon, oxygen, and nutrients on the North American ocean margins, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 2777– 2799, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-2777-2021, 2021.

Johnson, K., Chavez, F., and Friederich, G.: Continental-shelf sediment as a primary source of iron for coastal phytoplankton, Nature, 398, 697–700, https://doi.org/10.1038/1951, 1999.

Kearney, K.: Temperature Data from the Eastern Bering Sea Continental Shelf Bottom Trawl Survey as Used for
Hydrodynamic Model Validation and Comparison. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo., NMFS-AFSC-415,
40 pp, https://doi.org/10.25923/e77k-gg40, 2021.
Kearney, K., Hermann, A., Cheng, W., Ortiz, I., and Aydin, K.: A coupled pelagic-benthic-sympagic

Kearney, K., Hermann, A., Cheng, W., Ortiz, I., and Aydin, K.: A coupled pelagic-benthic-sympagic biogeochemical model for the Bering Sea: documentation and validation of the BESTNPZ model (v2019.08.23) within a high-resolution regional ocean model, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 597–650, 2020.

- Kearney, K. A., Tommasi, D., and Stock, C.: Simulated ecosystem response to volcanic iron fertilization in the subarctic Pacific ocean. Fish. Oceanogr., 24, 395–413, https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12118, 2015.
 Koul, V., Ross, A. C., Stock, C., Zhang, L., Delworth, T., and Wittenberg, A.: A predicted pause in the rapid warming of the Northwest Atlantic Shelf in the coming decade, Geophys. Res. Lett., 51, e2024GL110946. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GL110946, 2024.
- 1400 Krasting, J. P., John, J. G., Blanton, C., McHugh, C., Nikonov, S., Radhakrishnan, A., Rand, K., Zadeh, N. T. Balaji, V. Durachta, J., Dupuis, C., Menzel, R., Robinson, T., Underwood, S., Vahlenkamp, H., Dunne, K. A., Gauthier, P. P. G.; Ginoux, P., Griffies, S. M.; Hallberg, R., Harrison, M., Hurlin, W., Malyshev, S., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Paynter, D. J., Ploshay, J., Reichl, B. G., Schwarzkopf, D. M., Seman, C. J.; Silvers, L., Wyman, B., Zeng, Y., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Dussin, R., Guo, H., He, J., Held, I. M, Horowitz, L. W., Lin, P., Milly, P. C. D.
- 1405 Shevliakova, E., Stock, C., Winton, M., Wittenberg, A. T., Xie, Y., and Zhao, M.: NOAA-GFDL GFDL-ESM4 model output prepared for CMIP6 CMIP historical, Earth System Grid Federation [dataset], https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.8597, 2018.
 Ladd, C. and Stabeno, P.J.: Stratification on the Eastern Bering Sea shelf revisited, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 65-70, 72–

83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.02.009, 2012.
1410 Ladd, C., Stabeno, P.J., and Cokelet, E.D.: A note on cross-shelf exchange in the northern Gulf of Alaska, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 52: 667–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.12.022, 2005.
Large, W. G. and Yeager, S.: Diurnal to decadal global forcing for ocean and sea-ice models: The data sets and flux climatologies, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, https://doi.org/10.5065/D6KK98Q6, 2004.

Leising, A., Hunsicker, M., Tolimieri, N., Williams, G., and Harley, A.: 2023-2024 California Current Ecosystem 1415 Report, Non-series Report, NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, https://doi.org/10.25923/vxen-pf76, 2024.

Lellouche, J.-M., Greiner, E., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Gilles, G., Melet, A., Drévillon, M., Bricaud, C., Hamon, M., Le Galloudec, O., Regnier, C., Candela, T., Testut, C.-E., Gasparin, F., Ruggiero, G., Benkiran, M., Drillet, Y., and Le





Traon, P.-Y.: The Copernicus Global 1/12° Oceanic and Sea Ice GLORYS12 Reanalysis, Front. Earth Sci., 9, 698876, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.698876, 2021.

1420 Li, J., Matsuoka, A., Pang, X., Massicotte, P., and Babin, M.: Performance of Algorithms for Retrieving Chlorophyll a Concentrations in the Arctic Ocean: Impact on Primary Production Estimates. Remote Sens.-Basel, 16, 892, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs16050892, 2024.

Manizza, M.: Bio-Optical Feedbacks among Phytoplankton, Upper Ocean Physics and Sea-Ice in a Global Model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L05603, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020778, 2005.

- Marchesiello, P., McWilliams, J. C., and Shchepetkin, A.: Open Boundary Conditions for Long-Term Integration of Regional Oceanic Models, Ocean Model., 3, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1463-5003(00)00013-5, 2001.
 Mathis, J. T., Cooley, S. R., Lucey, N., Colt, S., Ekstrom, J., Hurst, T., Hauri, C., Evans, W., Cross, J. N., and Feely, R. A.: Ocean acidification risk assessment for Alaska's fishery sector, Prog. Oceanogr., 136, 71–91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.001, 2015.
- 1430 Mayorga, E., Seitzinger, S. P., Harrison, J. A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A. H., Bouwman, A., Fekete, B. M., Kroeze, C., and Van Drecht, G.: Global Nutrient Export from WaterSheds 2 (NEWS 2): Model Development and Implementation, Environ. Model. Softw., 25, 837–853, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.01.007, 2010. McDougall, T. J. and Barker, P. M.: Getting started with TEOS-10 and the Gibbs Seawater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox, SCOR/IAPSO WG127, 28pp., ISBN 978-0-646-55621-5, 2011.
- McKinnell, S.: Challenges for the Kasatoshi volcano hypothesis as the cause of a large return of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) to the Fraser River in 2010, Fish. Oceanogr., 22, 337–344, 2013.
 McPherson, M. L., Finger, D. J., Houskeeper, H. F., Bell, T. W., Carr, M. H., Rogers-Bennett, L., and Kudela, R. M.: Large-scale shift in the structure of a kelp forest ecosystem co-occurs with an epizootic and marine heatwave, Commun. Biol., 4, 298, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01827-6, 2021.
- Meinshausen, M. and Nicholls, Z. R. J.: UoM-MESSAGEGLOBIOM-ssp245-1-2-1 GHG concentrations, Earth System Grid Federation [data set], https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/input4MIPs.9866, 2018.
 Meinshausen, M. and Vogel, E.: input4MIPs.UoM.GHGConcentrations.CMIP.UoM-CMIP1-2-0, Earth System Grid Federation [data set], https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/input4MIPs.1118, 2016.
 Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M., Fraser, P. J., Montzka,
- S. A., Rayner, P. J., Trudinger, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Beyerle, U., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., Enting, I. G., Law,
 R. M., Lunder, C. R., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Reimann, S., Rubino, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K.,
 Wang, R. H. J., and Weiss, R.: Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate modelling (CMIP6), Geosci.
 Model Dev., 10, 2057–2116, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017, 2017.
 Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels,
- A., Bauer, N., and Canadell, J. G.: The shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500. Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 3571–3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020. Messié, M. and Chavez, F. P.: Seasonal regulation of primary production in eastern boundary upwelling systems, Prog. Oceanogr., 134, 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.10.011, 2015.



1455



Met Office: Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Matplotlib interface, v0.21.1, Zenodo [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1182735, 2022.

Monacci, N. M., Cross, J. N., Danielson, S. L., Evans, W., Hopcroft, R. R., Mathis, J. T., Mordy, C., Naber, D., Shake, K. L., Trahanovsky, K., Wang, H., Weingartner, T. J., Whitledge, T. E.: Marine carbonate system discrete profile data from the Gulf of Alaska (GAK) Seward Line cruises between 2008 and 2017 (NCEI Accession 0277034), NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, [data set], https://doi.org/10.25921/x9sg-9b08, 2023.

- 2023.
 Moore, C., Mills, M., Arrigo, K., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W., Galbraith, E. D., Geider, R. J., Guieu, C., Jaccard, S. L., Jickells, T. D., La Roche, J., Lenton, T. M., Mahowald, N. M., Marañón, E., Marinov, I., Moore, J. K., Nakatsuka, T., Oschlies, A., Saito, M. A., Thingstad, T. F., Tsuda, A., and Ulloa, O.: Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation. Nat. Geosci., 6, 701–710, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1765, 2012.
- Moriarty, R. and O'Brien, T. D.: Distribution of mesozooplankton biomass in the global ocean, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 5, 45–55, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-45-2013, 2013.
 Mueter, F.J. and Litzow, M.A.: Sea ice retreat alters the biogeography of the Bering Sea continental shelf, Ecol, Appl., 18, 309–320, https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0564.1, 2008.
 National Marine Fisheries Service: Fisheries of the United States, 2020, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA
- 1470 Current Fishery Statistics No. 2020, https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/ national/sustainable-fisheries/fisheries-united-states, 2022.
 National Research Council, Division on Earth, Life Studies, Polar Research Board, Commission on Geosciences, &

Committee on the Bering Sea Ecosystem: The Bering Sea Ecosystem: Report of the Committee on the Bering Sea Ecosystem, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 324 pp, 1996.

- 1475 Neveu, E., Moore, A. M., Edwards, C. A., Fiechter, J., Drake, P., Crawford, W. J., Jacox, M. G., and Nuss, E.: An historical analysis of the California Current circulation using ROMS 4D-Var: System configuration and diagnostics. Ocean Model., 99, 133–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.11.012, 2016.
 NOAA Climate Prediction Center, Description of Changes to Ocean Niño Index (ONI): https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis monitoring/ensostuff/ONI change.shtml, last access: 6
- 1480 December, 2023. Ohman, M. D., Mantua, N., Keister, J., Garcia-Reyes, M., and McClatchie, S.: ENSO impacts on ecosystem indicators in the California Current System, Variations: CLIVAR & OCB Newsletter, 15, 8–15, 2017. Orlanski, I.: A Simple Boundary Condition for Unbounded Hyperbolic Flows, J. Comput. Phys., 21, 251–269, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(76)90023-1, 1976.
- 1485 Punt, A. E., Dalton, M. G., Cheng, W., Hermann, A. J., Holsman, K. K., Hurst, T. P., Ianelli, J. N., Kearney, K. A., McGilliard, C. R., Pilcher, D. J., and Véron, M.: Evaluating the impact of climate and demographic variation on future prospects for fish stocks: an application for northern rock sole in Alaska, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 189, 104951, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2021.104951, 2021.





Pilcher, D. J., Cross, J. N., Hermann, A. J., Kearney, K. A., Cheng, W., and Mathis, J. T.: Dynamically downscaled
projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 198, 105055, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105055, 2022.

Pilcher, D. J., Naiman, D. M., Cross, J. N., Hermann, A. J., Siedlecki, S. A., Gibson, G. A., and Mathis, J. T.: Modeled Effect of Coastal Biogeochemical Processes, Climate Variability, and Ocean Acidification on Aragonite Saturation State in the Bering Sea. Front. Mar. Sci., 5, 2296–7745, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00508, 2019.

- Pinsky, M. L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M. J., Sarmiento, J. L., and Levin, S. A.: Marine Taxa Track Local Climate Velocities, Science, 341, 1239–1242, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239352, 2013.
 Pozo Buil, M., Jacox, M. G., Fiechter, J., Alexander, M. A., Bograd, S. J., Curchitser, E. N., Edwards, C. A., Rykaczewski, R. R., and Stock, C. A.: A Dynamically Downscaled Ensemble of Future Projections for the California Current System. Front. Mar. Sci. 8, 612874, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.612874, 2021.
- 1500 Reisdorph, S. C. and Mathis, J. T.: The dynamic controls on carbonate mineral saturation states and ocean acidification in a glacially dominated estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 144, 8–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.03.018, 2014.

Reichl, B. G. and Hallberg, R.: A simplified energetics based planetary boundary layer (ePBL) approach for ocean climate simulations, Ocean Model., 132, 112–119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2018.10.004, 2018.

1505 Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Kessouri, F., Jousse, A., Frenzel, H., Chen, R., and Deutsch, C.: Evaluation of highresolution atmospheric and oceanic simulations of the California Current System, Prog. Oceanogr., 195, 102564, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102564, 2021. Rogers-Bennett, L. and Catton, C. A.: Marine heat wave and multiple stressors tip bull kelp forest to sea urchin

Rogers-Bennett, L. and Catton, C. A.: Marine heat wave and multiple stressors tip bull kelp forest to sea urchin barrens, Sci. Rep., 9, 15050, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51114-y, 2019.

- 1510 Rohan, S. K., Barnett, L. A. K., and Charriere, N.: Evaluating approaches to estimating mean temperatures and cold pool area from Alaska Fisheries Science Center bottom trawl surveys of the eastern Bering Sea, NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Technical Memorandum, NMFS-AFSC-456, 2022. Ross, A. C., Stock, C. A., Koul, V., Delworth, T. L., Lu, F., Wittenberg, A., and Alexander, M. A.: Dynamically downscaled seasonal ocean forecasts for North American East Coast ecosystems, EGUsphere [preprint],
- https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-394, 2024.
 Rykaczewski, R. R. and Dunne, J. P.: Enhanced nutrient supply to the California Current Ecosystem with global warming and increased stratification in an earth system model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L21606, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045019, 2010.

Rykaczewski, R. R., Dunne, J. P., Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Black, B. A., and Bograd, S. J.: Poleward
displacement of coastal upwelling-favorable winds in the ocean's eastern boundary currents through the 21st century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 6424–6431, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064694, 2015.
Sathyendranath, S., Brewin, R. J. W., Brockmann, C., Brotas, V., Calton, B., Chuprin, A., Cipollini, P., Couto, A. B., Dingle, J., Doerffer, R., Donlon, C., Dowell, M., Farman, A., Grant, M., Groom, S., Horseman, A., Jackson, T.,

Krasemann, H., Lavender, S., Martinez-Vicente, V., Mazeran, C., Mélin, F., Moore, T. S., Müller, D., Regner, P.,





- Roy, S., Steele, C. J., Steinmetz, F., Swinton, J., Taberner, M., Thompson, A., Valente, A., Zühlke, M., Brando, V.
 E., Feng, H., Feldman, G., Franz, B. A., Frouin, R., Gould, Jr., R. W., Hooker, S. B., Kahru, M., Kratzer, S., Mitchell, B. G., Muller-Karger, F., Sosik, H. M., Voss, K. J., Werdell, J., and Platt, T.: An ocean-colour time series for use in climate studies: the experience of the Ocean-Colour Climate Change Initiative (OC-CCI), Sensors, 19, 4285, https://doi.org/10.3390/s19194285, 2019.
- Sathyendranath, S., Jackson, T., Brockmann, C., Brotas, V., Calton, B., Chuprin, A., Clements, O., Cipollini, P., Danne, O., Dingle, J., Donlon, C., Grant, M., Groom, S., Krasemann, H., Lavender, S., Mazeran, C., Mélin, F., Müller, D., Steinmetz, F., Valente, A., Zühlke, M., Feldman, G., Franz, B., Frouin, R., Werdell, J., and Platt, T.: ESA Ocean Colour Climate Change Initiative (Ocean_Colour_cci): Version 6.0, 4km resolution data. NERC EDS Centre for Environmental Data Analysis [data set],
- https://dx.doi.org/10.5285/5011d22aae5a4671b0cbc7d05c56c4f0, 2023.
 Schofield, O., Moline, M., Cahill, B., Frazer, T., Kahl, A., Oliver, M., Reinfelder, J., Glenn, S., and Chant, R.: Phytoplankton productivity in a turbid buoyant coastal plume, Cont. Shelf Res., 63, S138–S148, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.02.005, 2013.
- Seidov, D., Baranova, O. K., Boyer, T. P., Cross, S. L., Mishonov, A. V., and Parsons, A. R.: Northeast Pacific
 Regional Climatology (NCEI Accession 0163799), NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information [data set], https://doi.org/10.7289/V5NC5ZDN, 2017.

Seidov, D., Mishonov, A. V., Baranova, O. K., Boyer, T. P., Nyadjro, E., Bouchard, C., Cross, S. L.: Northern North Pacific Regional Climatology, v2 (NCEI Accession 0283566). NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information [data set], https://doi.org/10.25921/dym6-q737, 2023.

- Shropshire, T. A., Morey, S. L., Chassignet, E. P., Bozec, A., Coles, V. J., Landry, M. R., Swalethorp, R., Zapfe, G., 1545 and Stukel, M. R.: Quantifying spatiotemporal variability in zooplankton dynamics in the Gulf of Mexico with a physical-biogeochemical model, Biogeosciences, 17, 3385-3407, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3385-2020, 2020. Sieburth, J. M., Smetacek, V., and Lenz, J.: Pelagic ecosystem structure: Heterotrophic compartments of the plankton and their relationship to plankton size fractions, Limnol. Oceanogr., 23, 1256-1263,
- https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1978.23.6.1256, 1978.
 Siddon, E.: Ecosystem Status Report 2023: Eastern Bering Sea, Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report, North Pacific Fishery Management Council, Anchorage, Alaska, 2023.
 Siedlecki, S., Kaplan, I., Hermann, A. et al. Experiments with Seasonal Forecasts of ocean conditions for the Northern region of the California Current upwelling system. Sci. Rep., 6, 27203, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27203,
- 1555 2016.
 - Sigler, M. F., Stabeno, P. J., Eisner, L. B., Napp, J. M., and Mueter, F. J.: Spring and fall phytoplankton blooms in a productive subarctic ecosystem, the eastern Bering Sea, during 1995–2011. Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 109, 71–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.12.007, 2014.





Skjoldal, H. R., Wiebe, P. H., Postel, L., Knutsen, T., Kaartvedt, S., and Sameoto, D. D.: Intercomparison of
Zooplankton (Net) Sampling Systems: Results from the ICES/-GLOBEC Sea-Going Workshop, Prog. Oceanogr.,
108, 1–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.10.006, 2013.
Smith, J. A., Muhling, B., Sweeney, J., Tommasi, D., Pozo Buil, M., Fiechter, J., and Jacox, M. G.: The potential

impact of a shifting Pacific sardine distribution on U.S. West Coast landings, Fish. Oceanogr., 30, 437–454, https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12529, 2021.

- Smith, J. A., Pozo Buil, M., Muhling, B., Tommasi, D., Brodie, S., Frawley, T. H., Fiechter, J., Koenigstein, S., Himes-Cornell, A., Alexander, M.A., Bograd, S.J., Cordero Quirós, N., Crowder, L. B., Curchitser, E., Green, S. J., Hardy, N. A., Haynie, A. C., Hazen, E.L., Holsman, K., Le Fol, G., Lezama-Ochoa, N., Rykaczewski, R. R., Stock, C. A., Stohs, S., Sweeney, J., Welch, H., and Jacox, M.G.: Projecting climate change impacts from physics to fisheries: A view from three California Current fisheries, Prog. Oceanogr., 211, 102973.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2023.102973, 2023.
- Stabeno, P.J., Bond, N. A., Hermann, A. J., Kachel, N. B., Mordy, C. W., Overland, J. E.: Meteorology and oceanography of the Northern Gulf of Alaska, Cont. Shelf Res., 24, 859–897, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2004.02.007, 2004.

Stabeno, P. J., Schumacher, J. D., and Ohtani, K. The physical oceanography of the Bering Sea, in: Dynamics of the

1575 Bering Sea: A Summary of Physical, Chemical, and Biological Characteristics, and a Synopsis of Research on the Bering Sea, edited by: Loughlin, T. R. and Ohtani, K., North Pacific Marine Science Organization (PICES), University of Alaska Sea Grant, 1–28, AK-SG-99-03, 1999. Stepanov, V. N. and Hughes, C. W.: Parameterization of Ocean Self-Attraction and Loading in Numerical Models of the Ocean Circulation, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 109, C03037, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002034, 2004.

- 1580 Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., and John, J. G.: Global-scale carbon and energy flows through the marine planktonic food web: An analysis with a coupled physical-biological model. Progress in Oceanography, 120, 1–28, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.07.001, 2014. Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Fan, S., Ginoux, P., John, J., Krasting, J. P., Laufkötter, C., Paulot, F., and Zadeh, N.:
- Ocean biogeochemistry in GFDL's Earth System Model 4.1 and its response to increasing atmospheric CO₂. J. Adv. 1585 Model. Earth Sys., 12, e2019MS002043, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002043, 2020.
- Stewart, K. D., Kim, W. M., Urakawa, S., Hogg, A. McC., Yeager, S., Tsujino, H., Nakano, H., Kiss, A. E., and, Danabasoglu, G.: JRA55-do-based repeat year forcing datasets for driving ocean-sea-ice models, Ocean Model., 147, 101557, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101557, 2020.
- Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Schoeman, D. S., Rykaczewski, R. R., Thompson, S. A., Black, B. A., and
 Bograd, S. J.: Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling ecosystems, Science, 345, 77–80, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251635, 2011.
 - Szuwalski, C. S., Aydin, K., Fedewa, E. J., Garber-Yonts, B., and Litzow, M. A.: The collapse of eastern Bering Sea snow crab, Science, 382, 306–310, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf6035, 2023.





Till, C. P., Solomon, J. R., Cohen, N. R., Lampe, R. H., Marchetti, A., Coale, T. H., and Bruland, K.W.: The iron
limitation mosaic in the California Current System: Factors governing Fe availability in the shelf/near-shelf region, Limnol. Oceanogr., 64, 109–123, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11022, 2019.
Tommasi, D., Stock, C. A., Hobday, A. J., Methot, R., Kaplan, I. C., Eveson, J. P., Holsman, K., Miller, T. J., Gaichas, S., Gehlen, M., and Pershing, A.: Managing living marine resources in a dynamic environment: the role of seasonal to decadal climate forecasts, Prog. Oceanogr., 152, 15–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.12.011, 2016.

Turi, G., Alexander, M., Lovenduski, N., Capotondi, A., Scott, J., Stock, C., Dunne, J., John, J., Jacox, M.: Response of oxygen and PH to ENSO of the California Current System in a high-resolution global climate model, Ocean Sci., 14, 69–86, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-69-2018, 2018.

Van Oostende, N., Dussin, R., Stock, C. A., Curchitser, E., Barton, A. D., Dunne, J. P., and Ward, B. B.: Simulating
the ocean's chlorophyll dynamic range from coastal upwelling to oligotrophy, Prog. Oceanogr., 168, 232–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.10.009, 2018.
Veneziani, M., Edwards, C. A., Doyle, J. D., and Foley, D.: A central California coastal ocean modeling study: 1. Forward model and the influence of realistic versus climatological forcing, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 114, C04015,

https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004774, 2009.
Wiegner, T. N., Seitzinger, S. P., Glibert, P. M., and Bronk, D. A.: Bioavailability of Dissolved Organic Nitrogen and Carbon from Nine Rivers in the Eastern United States, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 43, 277–287, https://doi.org/10.3354/ame043277, 2006.
Whitney, F. A. and Welch, D.: Impact of the 1997–1998 El Niño and 1999 La Niña on nutrient supply in the Gulf of

Alaska, Prog. Oceanogr, 54, 405–421, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(02)00061-7, 2002.

1615 Wolfe, W. H., Martz, T. R., Dickson, A. G., Goericke, R., and Ohman, M. D.: A 37-year record of ocean acidification in the Southern California current. Nat. Commun. Earth. Env., 4, 406, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01065-0, 2023.

Wyllie-Echeverria, T. and Wooster, W.S.: Year-to-year variations in Bering Sea ice cover and some consequences for fish distributions. Fish. Oceanogr., 7, 159–170, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2419.1998.00058.x, 1998.

I620 Zhuang, J., Dussin, R., Huard, D., Bourgault, P., Banihirwe, A., Raynaud, S., Malevich, B., Schupfner, M., Fernandes, F., Levang, S., Gauthier, C., Jüling, A., Almansi, M., Scott, R., Rondeau-Genesse, G., Rasp, S., Smith, T. J., Stachelek, J., Plough, M., Manchon, P., Bell, R., Caneill, R., and Li, X.: xESMF: v0.8.2 (v0.8.2), Zenodo [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8356796, 2023.

Zeidberg, L.D., and Robison, B., H.: Invasive range expansion by the Humboldt squid, *Dosidicus gigas*, in the eastern North Pacific. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 104 (31) 12948-12950, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702043104,

1625 eastern North Pacific. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 104 (31) 12948-12950, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702043104, 2007.