Virtual joint field campaign: a framework of synthetic landscapes to assess multiscale measurement methods of water storage

Till Francke¹, Cosimo Brogi², Alby Duarte Rocha³, Michael Förster³, Maik Heistermann¹, Markus Köhli⁴, Daniel Rasche⁵, Marvin Reich⁵, Paul Schattan^{6,7}, Lena Scheiffele¹, and Martin Schrön⁸ ¹Institute of Environmental Science and Geography, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24–25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany ²Agrosphere Institute (IBG-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 Jülich, Germany ³Geoinformation in Environmental Planning Lab, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany ⁴Physikalisches Institut, Heidelberg University, Im Neuenheimer Feld 226, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ⁵GFZ - German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Hydrology, Telegrafenberg, 14473, Potsdam, Germany ⁶Institute of Geography, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52f, 6020, Innsbruck, Austria ⁷Institute of Hydrology and Water Management (HyWa), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Muthgasse 18, 1190, Vienna, Austria ⁸UFZ - Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research GmbH, Dep. Monitoring and Exploration Technologies, Permoserstr. 15, 04318, Leipzig, Germany

Correspondence to: Till Francke (francke@uni-potsdam.de)

Abstract. The major challenge of multiscale measurement methods beyond the point scale is their complex interpretation in the light of landscape heterogeneity. For example, methods like Various methods are available to measure water storage across different landscape compartments, e.g., cosmic-ray neutron sensing, remote sensing, or hydrogravimetry are all able to provide

- 5 an integral value on the water storage, . All these methods provide integral estimates that are representative for their individual corresponding measurement volume. A rigorous assessment of their performance is often hindered by the lack of knowledge about the truth at their corresponding scale, given the high complexity and detail of natural landscapes. However, we typically do not know the true value of water storage in these measurement volumes, which makes it difficult to assess the accuracy of such methods.
- In this study we suggest a synthetic virtual landscape that allows for an exact definition of all variables of interest and, consequently, constitutes the so-called "virtual truth" free of knowledge gaps. Such a landscape can be explored in various "virtual field campaigns" using "virtual sensors" that mimic the response and characteristics of actual devices. We use dedicated physically-based models to simulate the signal a sensor would receive. These model outputs termed "virtual observations" can be explored and also allow the reconstruction of water storage, which can then readily be compared to the "virtual truth".
- 15 Insights from this comparison could help to better understand real measurements and their uncertainties, and to challenge accepted knowledge about signal processing and data interpretation.

The "Virtual Joint Field Campaign" is an open collaborative framework for constructing such landscapes. It comprises data and methods to create and combine different compartments of the landscape (e.g. atmosphere, soil, vegetation). The present study demonstrates virtual observations of water storage with Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensing, Hydrogravimetry, and Remote

20 Sensing in three exemplary landscapes. It enables unprecedented opportunities for the systematic assessment of the sensor's strengths and weaknesses and even their combined use.

1 Introduction

45

Soil moisture (SM) has been acknowledged as one of the key variables governing the partition of water and energy fluxes at the soil-atmosphere interface and being a crucial link between hydrological and biogeochemical processes (Seneviratne et al., 2010;

- 25 Peng et al., 2017b). Consequently, a thorough understanding and measurement of SM dynamics is desirable in a wide range of subjects, including but not limited to climate feedbacks, carbon respiration, agriculture, engineering, ground water recharge and flooding (Daly and Porporato, 2005; Humphrey et al., 2021; Ran et al., 2022; Scanlon et al., 2006). While numerous measurement techniques for measuring SM at the point scale exist, each of them is affected by different specific limitations in accuracy, precision, coverage and measurement volume (Susha Lekshmi et al., 2014). Even larger uncertainties arise whenever
- 30 point-based SM measurements are transferred to a larger spatial scale. Due to the high spatial variability of SM, this requires the use of a large number of sensors and the choice of their optimal locations (Corradini, 2014). Usually, electromagnetically-based sensor networks are employed for this purpose. However, hundreds of (wireless) sensors as suggested by Bogena et al. (2007) come with considerable costs and maintenance efforts, while they are still prone to inter-sensor variability and usually not able to cover areas larger than small catchments. To overcome this lack of point-scale measurements, a number of techniques have
- 35 emerged with larger spatial resolution and measurement volumes (Corradini, 2014), such as:
 - Hydrogeophysical methods: electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) (Samouëlian et al., 2005), electrical impedance spectroscopy and tomography (EIT) (Kanoun, 2018), ground penetrating radar (GPR) (Klotzsche et al., 2018; Vanderborght et al., 2013), and electromagnetic induction (EMI) (Altdorff et al., 2018; Calamita et al., 2015; Martini et al., 2017),
- Remote sensing (RS): passive or active microwave sensors, operated from various remote sensing platforms (Wang and Qu, 2009; Peng et al., 2017a; Mengen et al., 2021; Dorigo et al., 2017; Wigneron et al., 2017; Döpper et al., 2022b),
 - 3. Cosmic-ray neutron sensing (CRNS): taking advantage of natural neutron fluxes and their dependence on ambient hydrogen pools (Zreda et al., 2008),
 - 4. Gamma rays: measuring attenuation of in-situ produced Gamma radiation being inversely related to soil moisture Loijens (1980); Gianessi et al. (2024),
 - 5. Hydrogravimetry (HG): based on its capability to observe (water) mass changes in an integrative way, gravimetry has emerged as a useful tool for hydrological observations (Creutzfeldt et al., 2010a; Kennedy et al., 2016)

All these methods have been developed and were tested against traditional measurements, while the above mentioned uncertainties still remain. The inherent scale mismatch and spatial scale moisture variability (Famiglietti et al., 2008) vastly reduce the reliability of any performance assessments done so far (Franz et al., 2013)(reference welcome). More systematic analyses for specific conditions (e.g. the effect of varying vegetation on sensor signal) are rarely possible, as suitable datasets are still limited and can only be generated with large concerted efforts (e.g., Fersch et al., 2020; Heistermann et al., 2022b).

Thus, we are facing situations when complex and potentially powerful methods meet poor availability of ground truth data at

- 55 their corresponding scale. This dilemma is common, but not exclusive, to the Earth and environmental sciences, as detailed measurements are often unfeasible in natural systems due to large extents, inherent heterogeneity, and local intricacies. In the field of meteorology, so-called "Observing System Simulation Experiments" (OSSEs) (Gauthier et al., 1993; Prive et al., 2021) have been an established approach for creating perfectly known systems and generating specific (but potentially error-prone) observations thereof ("virtual observations"). Examples of similar concepts can also be found for hydrological modelling (Bardossy
- 60 and Singh, 2008), soil erosion assessment (Jetten et al., 1996), nutrient export (Raat et al., 2004), remote sensing (van Leeuwen et al., 2021), ecology (Fernandes et al., 2019), plant physiology (Morandage et al., 2021), hydrometry (Domeneghetti et al., 2012) and also non-Earth sciences such as epidemology (Vasiliauskaite et al., 2022).

An important aspect of these OSSEs is that they allow for free experimentation with sensor configurations to address open questions without physical limits or real investments. For example, with virtual measurements new hypotheses about the

65 topography effect on neutron sensors could be addressed (Schattan et al., 2019) by exactly defining height, steepness, or distance of mountains; or the potential of airborne neutron sensing could be assessed without the need for constructing and navigating real-world airships (Lausch et al., 2019; Heistermann et al., 2022a).

In the context of SM moisture measurements, a suitable OSSE and its corresponding synthetic landscapes would ideally meet requirements in the following aspects:

- Scale: The extent of the synthetic landscape is larger than the support of the involved virtual sensors, i.e. it can accommodate multiple "footprints" of such measurements (e.g. cells of a remote sensing product, CRNS footprints, ...). At the same time, its spatial resolution still should allow for the representation of typical variability at the sub-footprint scale.
 - Variables: The OSSE defines all variables (i.e. physical properties or landscape attributes), which are affecting the virtual observations (e.g., albedo or atmospheric transmissivity for remote sensing products).
- Usability and accessibility: The OSSE provides a large range of optional settings, so new configurations can easily be added. Existing prior data could readily be re-combined and re-analysed. All-For maximum flexibility and potential reuse, all necessary scripts and data are should be freely available.

Compared to testing real sensors in a real environment, such an SM-related OSSE has the following advantages:

80

- It allows to construct landscape realizations in great numbers and variety in a consistent way. Thus, ideal conditions (which potentially cannot be found in the real world) for testing specific hypotheses are available.
- Probing the landscape realizations with the virtual sensors can be performed in an extent and density that would not be
 possible in real world situations. That way, we can systematically explore the impact of spatial measurement density on
 the success of the target variable reconstruction.

- Sensors can be tested in "best case" mode; alternatively, any amount of noise that may arise in real world situations could be imposed.
 - The complete truth of the landscape realizations is known. Hence, any attempt of its reconstruction from the observations can directly be evaluated on the basis of this truth (which would, in real-world contexts, come with considerable uncertainty itself).
- The necessary steps in the OSSE setup and use involve considerable effort and/or computational resources. By standardising workflows and formats, and providing respective templates for data and scripts, studies beyond single case studies are facilitated. Preserving the respective data for later use also allows for novel multi-sensor approaches and more general multi-site analyses.

To our knowledge, such a system does not exist for SM. Therefore, this study aims to

- conceptualize a scalable framework for creating and organizing virtual landscapes,
- 95 implement a toolkit for creating and combining compartments of such landscapes, and operating virtual sensors therein,
 - present selected examples and first applications as case studies,
 - propose a platform for sharing the respective tools and results.

We name this framework "Virtual Joint Field Campaign" (vJFC), underlining its purely synthetic character by mimicking observations. "Joint" refers to both the concomitant use of multiple and interdisciplinary virtual observation methods, and its open data structure for future community applications.

Section –2 describes the concept of the vJFC and its implementation. (2.1) and the details of its implementation (2.2). Section 3 presents three case studies tentatively analyzed with this framework. Section 4 discusses future potential of the framework and its limitations.

2 Methods

85

90

105 2.1 Concept of vJFC framework and terminology

The general idea of the vJFC is to generate a virtual synthetic landscape from modular *compartments*, where the target properties are known (i.e. the *virtual truth*). This landscape is then probed with virtual sensors (i.e. simulation models), which provide *virtual observations*, e.g. on neutron counts, electromagnetic signatures, gravimetric signals, etc. (see Fig. 1). These virtual observations may hold an interest in their own. However, a typical workflow could also include the application of

110 methods for reconstructing the *target variable* (specifically SM, but potentially also biomass). Comparing these to the initially defined virtual truth allows the validation of these methods.



Figure 1. The concept of the vJFC and used terminology: "Compartments" are independent components of the landscape that can be constructed with desired characteristics. Selected compartments are merged to form a specific "realization" of a landscape. This virtual landscape can then be probed with different "virtual sensors" (i.e. models) to yield "virtual observations". These observations can drive methods for reconstructing the target variable of interest. The virtual observations directly and/or the estimates derived thereof can then be analyzed, e.g. compared to the original virtual truth.

The respective steps are explained in more detail in the following sections. At the current state, we considered three techniques for measuring SM (see section 1): Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensing (CRNS), remote sensing (RS) and hydrogravimetry (HG). This selection determines the current choice of scale and variables (see section 2.2.1). The overall idea was initially motivated from within the CRNS context, which explains its current dominance in the present case studies. However, the flexible structure of the framework allows for the integration of other techniques, too, e.g. as a part of future extensions.

2.2 Components of the framework

115

The following subsections describe the individual parts of the framework that are illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.2.1 Construction: extent, resolution, recombination

120 The current choice of virtual sensors (RS, CRNS, HG) requires the following minimum of physical properties to be defined: elementary material composition, density and spectral characteristics. These constitute the properties that effectively determine the response of the simulated virtual sensors, see details in section 2.2.3. The total size of the represented domain is 1000 m by 1000 m horizontally with 1 m resolution. This constitutes a compromise to reflect the typical support volume (i.e., footprint) of the sensors involved (CRNS: $10^1 \dots 10^2$ m, RS: $\frac{10^{-1} \dots 10^2 10^{-2} \dots 10^4}{10^2 10^{-2} \dots 10^4}$ m,

HG: $10^2 \dots 10^3$ m) and the typical scale at which the natural attributes vary horizontally. Vertically, the model can be set up with no fixed limit and flexible resolution to account for the fact that relevant processes may act on a wide range of vertical spatial scales, i.e. from 10^{-2} (e.g. penetration depth of electromagnetic waves in the top soil layers) to 10^3 m (e.g. effects of atmospheric transmission for incoming radiation).

Due to the involved heavy computational demands for some of the virtual sensors, the vJFC does not consider any continuous 130 temporal dimension, i.e. all realizations refer to a single fixed point in time. However, multiple snapshots over time could be represented with different realizations.

For practical reasons in terms of modularization, the physical properties are specified via so-called compartments. These are typical "building blocks" of a landscape traditionally used in environmental sciences, e.g. *relief, vegetation* or *soil properties*. Depending on their role, they carry one or more of the above mentioned physical properties.

135 To represent the most influential landscape properties in an independent manner, the vJFC uses the compartments 'atmosphere', 'relief', 'snow', 'soil_physics', 'SM_distribution', 'groundwater' and 'vegetation' (see Table 1). Each of these compartments defines specific properties in certain parts of the virtual landscape, e.g. 'atmosphere' the material and density of the atmospheric layer.

For each compartment, multiple scenarios can exist, e.g. for 'snow' the scenarios 'none' (no snow) and 'homogeneous_0_5'
(0.5 m of homogeneous snow cover). All available options are listed in the central description table (see section 4). The compartments are mostly independent of each other. However, some compartments have an implicit dependence to prevent implausible values (e.g. 'SM_distribution' depends on 'soil_physics' to ensure that soil moisture does not exceed available pore space).

Finally, some compartments may serve special purposes: 'detector' defines the arrangement of the virtual CRNS-detectors; 'pattern' is a meta-component defining spatial patterns, which can be referred to in the construction of other compartments

145 to create coherent spatial patterns. For example, a pattern defining the extent of grassland and forest may serve as a basis for generating coherent compartments of vegetation ("grass" and "forest"), soil density ("medium" and "low") and soil moisture ("medium" and "low") corresponding to these areal entities.

The compartments constitute the elementary "building blocks" that can be combined freely to compose a realization of the virtual truth, as described in the next section.

150 2.2.2 Combination: Creating the virtual truth

155

Combining the compartments merges a set of these "building blocks" (e.g. relief, vegetation, snow layer) to form a specific realization of the virtual truth, represented by a 3D-datacube of the respective physical properties. Three cases of combination can be distinguished (see also Fig. 2):

 a) no spatial overlap: the compartments are simply combined in the joint datacube without further interaction, e.g. compartment "atmosphere" over a flat "relief".

6

Table 1. Summary of implemented compartments serving as building blocks for assembling realizations of the virtual truth

	Component	number of scenarios available
	atmosphere	1
	relief	5
	snow	2
general	soil_physics	7
C	SM_distribution	11
	groundwater	1
	vegetation	5
	detector	5
meta	pattern	3

- b) stacking: an "uneven" compartment is overlaid with a second one, e.g. "soil_physics" on a hilly "relief", "snow layer" on a layer of heterogeneous "vegetation".
- c) merging: a prior compartment's properties are replaced or modified by overlaying a secondary layer, e.g. voxels of "vegetation" replace voxels of "atmosphere" during their combination, "SM_distribution" alters properties of "soil_physics".
- 160 So far, 17 realizations have been generated based on the available compartments described in the previous section. Among these, the three case studies described in detail (see section 3) can be found.

2.2.3 Virtual observations: Probing the virtual truth with virtual sensors

165

The data cube containing the "virtual truth" specifies all variables that influence the response of the sensor, e.g., the spatial distribution of mass that affects a gravimeter. The virtual sensor response is computed using dedicated models. For this purpose, the respective model input files are generated from the virtual truth (e.g., <u>3d-matrix_3D-matrix</u> of mass distribution for a

- gravimetric model). Please note that model preprocessing might imply to map certain extra variables of the synthetic truth to a comprehensive set of parameters as required by the respective model. E.g. For example, for the radiative transfer model, a simple vegetation type such as 'forest' needs to be mapped to a plethora of vegetation properties such as leaf area index, height, leaf pigment content, etc.
- 170 In addition to the three presented case studies (see section 3), some, but not all of the other realizations have yet been probed with the virtual sensors; the respective availability is listed in the central description table (see section 4). So far, the following models serve to generate the output of the three virtual sensors.





CRNS: Neutron transport model URANOS

The method of Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensing (CRNS) has been introduced by Zreda et al. (2008) and relies on the measurement of ground-albedo neutrons. The density of these cosmogenic neutrons in air is sensitive to the abundance of hydrogen in the vicinity of the neutron detector. Thus, soil moisture can be estimated within a footprint of considerable horizontal (200–500 m) and vertical (20–50 cm) extent (Schrön et al., 2017).

For generating the virtual observations, neutron transport simulations were conducted using the Monte Carlo code URA-NOS (Köhli et al., 2023), which was specifically designed for modeling neutron interactions within a natural environment.

- 180 The standard calculation routine features a ray-casting algorithm for a single neutron propagation and a voxel engine. To simulate the physics of neutron interactions with atoms, URANOS makes use of a combination of different data bases including ENDF/B-VIII (Chadwick et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2018; Watanabe et al., 2011). Instead of extensively propagating particle showers in atmospheric cascades, URANOS uses the analytically described cosmic-ray neutron spectra from Sato (2016). This approach considerably reduces the computational effort while the effect on the accuracy of the results are negligible for
- 185 most CRNS applications. To mimic the response function of real CRNS instruments, URANOS implemented virtual detector characteristics of commonly used sensors (Köhli et al., 2018). This option also serves for representing the virtual CRNS measurements in the presented case studies and for simplicity hereafter denoted here as "epithermal counts". Concerning spatial

discretisation, URANOS uses a voxel concept which transforms the simulation domain into a stack of 3D-3D-stack of pixels, each of which can contain materials like air, soil, rock, or snow with variable densities and porosities. This allows for directly

- 190 transferring one or multiple input matrices of predefined material values to a geometry definition that can be interpreted by the model. With this concept, the model is generated with a stack of layers of variable height, resolution, and material but with common vertical and lateral extent. In a three-dimensional simulation domain, the virtual detector layers are also superimposed over voxels of air. Typical output options are neutron densities in different energy ranges from thermal to high-energy domains, entire neutron tracks through the virtual landscape, or tracks in areas of interest. URANOS can by executed from the com-
- 195 mand line with the setup-specific configuration files to efficiently operate on desktop or high-performance architectures (e.g., Schnicke et al., 2024). A graphical user interface allows to conveniently configure the model parameters and visualise the simulations during runtime. In this study, URANOS version 1.25 was used.

RS: Radiative transfer model

Remote sensing exploits the fact that the presence of water causes distinct changes in the spectra of the reflected electromagnetic radiation. These changes are caused by the direct influence of the water on the surface reflectance, but also indirectly as an 200 effect of the response of vegetation to water stress. Despite the strengths of active microwave sensors, we started with a focus on optical remote sensing in the vJFC. Especially in the face of the limited penetration depth of active microwave sensors, optical imagery can reveal water-induced effects in vegetation vitality, thus potentially integrating over the entire root zone. Corresponding spectral indices are widely applied (e.g. Li et al., 2021), also because of their usually higher spatial and temporal resolution, (e.g. Buitink et al., 2020).

205

For the vJFC, the Soil Canopy Observation, Photochemistry and Energy Fluxes model (SCOPE) was used for simulating reflectance according to soil and vegetation properties (Yang et al., 2021; van der Tol et al., 2009). SCOPE combines seven radiative transfer models to simulate spectra in the optical and thermal domains for soil and vegetation surfaces (leaf and canopy). The simulation starts by calculating soil reflectance using a Brightness Shape Moisture (BSM) model. Then, it calculates leaf

reflectance, transmittance and fluorescence emission. These initial simulations combined with canopy structure parameters 210 are the inputs to resolve the canopy reflectance (RTMo). The model assumes that vegetation canopies are homogeneous and horizontally infinite (1-D).

Our simulations were focused on soil and canopy reflectance in the optical domain (400–2400 nm). SCOPE utilises several input parameters, including soil, leaf, and canopy properties, sun-observer geometry and meteorological conditions. The most

- 215 relevant inputs for this study are leaf biochemical and biophysical parameters such as leaf pigment, water, and dry matter contents. Also, canopy structural parameters such as leaf area index (LAI) and vegetation height (hc) play an essential role in the resultant spectral signal. Geometry parameters such as zenith angles and relative azimuth were kept as default based on the sun incidence angle at noon in the summer as it may strongly affect the remote sensing signals above canopy. We used the R package rSCOPE (Duarte Rocha, 2022) to run the SCOPE 2.0 version (MATLAB codes).
- 220 The soil and vegetation reflectance parameters are based on the landscape properties of the realization *hexland_tracks* (see section 3). The bare soil spectra were simulated at the surface level (z = 0), while the cropland and forest spectral signals are a

function of the root zone SM parameter at different depths (z = -0.3 and z = -1, respectively). The model inputs are presented in Table A1, which shows the utilized SM-induced parameters for the different land cover types. The LAI was defined based on the given land cover and vegetation height for each landuse class, while the dry matter was computed from the specified

225

5 vegetation density. The SM-induced leaf parameters vary according to the volumetric soil moisture to simulate plant water stress. For bare soil surfaces, LAI and vegetation height were set to zero, so their spectral response is the sole function of ground reflectance. For forest hexagons, canopy properties dominate and ground reflectance is practically negligible, as the soil background is not visible through the dense canopy.

For *hexland_tracks*, the SCOPE modelling results in 66 combinations of simulated spectral reflectance with SM-induced input parameters. The output was resampled to the 13 spectral bands of the Sentinel-2 satellite, as this is the most widely applied sensor type for this application. Furthermore, the vegetation indices Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Normalised Difference Water Index (NDWI) were calculated. Additionally, an estimation of Vegetation Water Content (VWC) based on the NDVI and a stem factor per vegetation type was performed. A set of simulated Sentinel-2 bands was mapped onto the respective hexagons , and then aggregated according to the band resolution (10 m for B04/B08 and 20 m for B08A/B11) and then the vegetation indices were calculated before the calculation of vegetation indices.

HG: Hydrogravimetric model

Observing variations in Earth's gravitational acceleration due to changes in Newtonian attraction with relative terrestrial gravimetry (TG) allows for the non-invasive estimation of total water storage changes, including the entire unsaturated soil zone, groundwater and surface water storage. As an integrative measurement, TG is sensitive to all (water) mass changes within a certain footprint around the instrument. The horizontal extent of this footprint highly depends on surrounding relief (Creutzfeldt et al., 2008) but 95 % of the signal is typically generated by mass variations within a radius of approximately 1 km² (Van Camp et al., 2017) while 85 % only depend on the first hundred meters. Gravity changes caused by local hydrological mass variations can mask other geodetic signals of interest (Creutzfeldt et al., 2010b; Mikolaj et al., 2019). For these reasons, gravity time series are often corrected for (local) hydrological influences in order to study geophysical signal compo-

- 245 nents of interest (Kobe et al., 2019). Approaches have been developed to quantify and remove hydrological effects (Creutzfeldt et al., 2010c; Mikolaj et al., 2015; Reich et al., 2019). In turn, gravity measurements can be used for investigating water storage variations from field to landscape-scale (Creutzfeldt et al., 2010a; Pfeffer et al., 2013; Hector et al., 2015; Güntner et al., 2017), studying groundwater dynamics (Tanaka and Honda, 2018), hydrometerological extremes (Creutzfeldt et al., 2012; Delobbe et al., 2019) and even average forest evapotranspiration as demonstrated by Van Camp et al. (2016). However, distinguishing
- 250 between different sources of observed integral gravity variations is difficult (Creutzfeldt et al., 2008, 2010b). This also applies for separating the gravity signals of water storage components such as variations of water in the upper and lower unsaturated zone of the soil and groundwater (Van Camp et al., 2017).

Forward modelling of gravity variations caused by different hydrological and soil physical properties (e.g. soil bulk density) in a virtual environment can therefore provide valuable information on how field measurements of gravity are affected by different kinds of gravity changes within the footprint. A general forward modelling procedure for calculating the gravity signal due to mass changes within a certain spatial domain is to set up a grid of components of Newtonian attraction C which can then later be multiplied by spatially distributed values of mass. Leirião et al. (2009) introduced the nested grid approach, a computationally-low method to generate such a grid, which is adapted in this study. Thus, gravity at each position depends on the magnitude and location of the mass change relative to the position of the virtual instrument. Following Leirião et al.

- 260 (2009), three-dimensional grids of attraction C_i were generated with the spatial extent of the virtual landscape at each of the 1 m-spaced locations of the landscape (with the virtual gravimeter always in its center). This leads to 1000×1000 attraction grids in total. Each attraction grid is then used to calculate the gravity effect of soil moisture and soil density variations for its respective cell by multiplication with the 3D-grid of mass M. Summing over M then yields the respective gravity for the cell. This procedure is carried out for each of the one million grids, resulting in the grid of gravity G. Average values of soil
 - 265 moisture and soil density were used as complementary information for the area outside of the domain of the virtual landscape, to account for the large footprint of the method and avoid edge effects. However, as TG aims at assessing the changes of mass (opposed to the mass itself), the difference of two gravity grids G_1 and G_2 needs to be considered.

2.2.4 Reconstruction: Estimating the target variable

The data obtained from the virtual sensors, i.e. the virtual observations, come in corresponding units, such as "neutron counts
per second" for CRNS, spectral reflectance for RS, gravity for HG. As intended, these observations are proxies for the target variable of interest, i.e. SM or biomass. The procedures required to convert these proxies into estimates of the target variable vary between the sensors. Even for the same sensor, multiple approaches may exist, e.g. SM of bare soil may be derived differently from thermal- or microwave-based indices. Thus, the chosen reconstruction methods are specific to the case study. They are not elaborated for the case studies presented in this paper, but of as it focuses on the presentation of the overall
framework. Nevertheless, they are of high relevance for envisioned follow-up studies.

2.2.5 Exploration: Analysis of virtual observations and reconstructions

The detailed data on the virtual observations allow in-depth analyses of sensor response per se, their resulting spatial resolution or the robustness of their signal when influenced by detrimental conditions (e.g. RS observations by hazy atmospheric conditions). Thus, new insights in the characteristics of the virtual observations described in section 2.2.3 - neutron count rates, gravimetric field, spectral response - can be gained.

280

Similarly, any of such effects propagate to the reconstruction of the target variable (see section 2.2.4). As the target variable is completely known, a comprehensive picture of the error of these estimates can be obtained, potential influential factors can be identified, and correction methods can be tested. This will be conducted on three exemplary cases in the following sections.

To facilitate reproducibility and reusability of all parts of the vJFC, all necessary scripts and data are publicly available. See details in section 4.

3 Example case studies

The framework of the vJFC can accommodate an arbitrary number of landscape realizations to address a wide range of scientific questions. For illustrative purposes, we selected three example case studies that give insight into preliminary results (see Tab. 2): *hexland_tracks* (synthetic landscape with maximised field-scale heterogeneity), *sierra_neutronica* (synthetic landscape with

- 290 high relief) and Agiaagia (realistic Mediterranean landscape with orchards). The following sections describe the generation of the case studies and some example results to demonstrate the potential of the concept of the vJFC. The Our focus was on the presentation of the framework, not on specific case studies. We used one realization (hexland_tracks) to demonstrate the applicability across different sensor types. The other two case studies merely underline the versatility of the framework across different landscape realizations, specifically in representing terrain or landscapes with realistic patterns of spatial heterogeneity.
- 295 The presented insights mainly serve exemplary purposes and are by no means exhaustive.

		generated virtual observations			
realization	description	CRNS	RS	HG	
hexland_tracks	synthetic landscape with max. contrasts	X	$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$	X	
<u>sierra_neutronica</u>	synthetic landscape with high-relief	X	~	$\overline{\sim}$	
agia	realistic Mediterranean landscape	$\stackrel{X}{\sim}$	~	$\overline{\sim}$	

Table 2. Overview of realizations presented as case studies

3.1 *hexland_tracks*: A landscape with maximized field-scale heterogeneity

Soil moisture is mainly governed by meteorological forcing, soil properties, and vegetation. On the scale of small catchments (i.e. 1 km²), its variability is mainly the result of the variability of the latter two. The *hexland_tracks* case aims to compare all three sensor systems in a landscape that maximises landuse and soil heterogeneity at the field scale.

300

hexland_tracks includes pronounced variability of land cover, soil density, soil moisture and the vertical soil moisture gradient. Each of these compartments (or attributes thereof) is implemented in 3–4 levels, covering typical ranges of the respective attribute (see summary in Table 3).

This variability is realized on field-scale sized plots (i.e. units of roughly 1.3 ha). These units are arranged as uniform hexagons with 72.1 m radius (Fig. 3). This pattern exploits the advantages of a hexagonal design suggested by Birch et al.

305

(2007): a low perimeter to area ratio, less neighbours for points at the perimeter (maximum of two), and a higher number of possible combinations of adjacent polygons. Additionally, the borders are formed by three types of tracks (none, gravel, asphalt), assigned to the track orientations SW-NE, NW-SW and N-S, respectively.

Table 3. Summary of landscape attributes implemented in the artificial landscape hexland_tracks

Compartment	land cover	soil density	soil moisture	SM profile gra- dient	tracks	total
	bare agriculture forest	light medium dense	dry 33% sat. 66% sat. saturated	homogeneous increasing decreasing	none gravel asphalt	
# levels	3	3	hinghla	8 *	3	75

* number of combinations results from ["dry"] x ["homogenous"], ["33%", "66%"] x ["homogenous", "increasing", "decreasing"], ["wet"] x ["homogenous"], i.e. 1+6+1=8.

3.1.1 Results: Representation of spatial heterogeneity by neutron sensing, hydrogravimetry and remote sensing

310

The realization *hexland_tracks* has been probed by all three virtual sensors (CRNS, RS and HG), making it a favourable example for exploring the complementary properties of these methods.

The virtual CRNS observations consist of neutron count rates at different energy levels and altitudes. The shown results assume that each voxel at a certain altitude (ground level and multiple altitudes) is a single CRNS sensor. This means, that any interaction between closely-spaced CRNS sensors that would in reality appear are disregarded here. Fig. 4 shows the counts of epithermal neutrons, which is the energy level most commonly used to infer soil moisture. As mentioned before, the term epithermal refers to the neutron flux as would be measured by a CRNS instrument. The spatial pattern of these counts from the ground (i.e. at 1-2 m, Fig. 4 top) is clearly dominated by the hexagonal pattern of the prescribed hydrotopes and their soil moisture. Despite the typical footprint radius (usually considered to range from 130 to 240 m, depending on soil moisture, see Köhli et al., 2015), the results show that the signal of each virtual sensor is dominated by its immediate surroundings. This effect is especially apparent for the 4 m-wide North-South-oriented asphalt roads and, to a lesser degree, the NW-SE-

320 oriented gravel tracks. This local signal change has been called the "road effect" (Schrön et al., 2018) which implies a strong measurement bias when mobile ("roving") CRNS sensors move along a road (unless elaborate corrections are applied) or when stationary sensors were placed at field borders with different landuse (Schrön et al., 2017). The vJFC dataset would allow for a more detailed assessment of this phenomenon.

Beside these near-range effects, the influence of the large CRNS footprint is evident especially at boundaries of hexagons with very contrasting soil moisture: Both high and low count rates are smoothed towards their contrasting neighbour. This smoothing effect is even more pronounced for airborne detectors operated at 30 m altitude (Fig. 4, bottom): mean count rates decrease and smaller features such as tracks can no longer be discerned, while the general pattern of the hexagons is still well



Figure 3. The landscape realization *hexland_tracks* mimics a landscape with large variability in soil, vegetation and soil moisture conditions* on the field scale, implemented on the scale of hexagons. It also features three levels of tracks on polygon boundaries (none, gravel, asphalt). * ">": soil moisture decreasing with depth, "<": soil moisture increasing with depth, "=": homogeneous soil moisture.

preserved. This confirms the potential of airborne CRNS (e.g., Schrön, 2017; Heistermann et al., 2022a), if the accompanying challenges such as stable flight altitude can be resolved. Additionally, land cover effects can apparently alter the CRNS signal

- 330 differently, as attested by the two distinct orange-coloured adjacent hexagons NW of the centre with identical low SM and corresponding high count rates at the ground. At 30 m, however, the more northern hexagon covered by forest (cf. Fig. 3) experiences only intermediate count rates, which also indicates that specific corrections need to be developed for such a constellation. The more extended series of layers of airborne CRNS instruments in Fig. A2 shows directly how the footprint extends with increasing height over ground. While the hexagons are clearly distinguishable for a system typically placed in 1 m
- 335 distance to the soil, at 60 m elevation the contrast between the different soil moisture topologies vanishes. For the 200 m layer, the virtual detector averages over nearly half of the domain. While airborne CRNS increases the footprint, the dynamics of the signal, however, is reduced as with increasing elevation the ground signal also gets damped. More importantly, these results suggest that the near-field effects disappear on a scale equal to the height of the instrument.

Regarding remote sensing, the modelled spectra (Fig. A1 in Annex) clearly indicate that the direct spectral signal of soil 340 moisture is only preserved for bare soil. However, when plant water stress is considered, soil moisture-induced effects in the spectra can also be observed for cropland and forest sites.

The simulated spectral indices and the reconstructed vegetation water content show different aspects of the virtual landscape (Fig. 5). The VWC allows for a clear distinction between the three land cover classes as it is dominated by the underlying assumptions on the stem factor constant per vegetation type. It does not distinguish heterogeneous VWC, which would be

345 expected from different SM. Among the spectral indices, NDVI can surely distinguish vegetation from bare soil, but visual separation between cropland and forest or healthy and water-stressed vegetation is not straightforward. NDWI shows a smoother graduation with its extremes at low soil moisture content (dry) in bare soil to a saturated (wet) soil in the forest.

This confirms that the performance of remote sensing products for SM estimation cannot easily be retrieved from single indices and is strongly affected by the land cover type (Schmidt et al., 2024). Also, plant stress will be detected for different levels of soil water deficit according to the vegetation type, e.g. forest sites showing a much later spectral response induced by soil moisture. Therefore, short-term changes in soil moisture may not be detected by RS in vegetated surfaces. Also, soil moisture above 25% may not provoke visible changes in the spectra on vegetated surfaces Döpper et al. (2022a). The downscaling to 20 m spatial resolution creates mixed pixels, showing a border effect between different land covers and track surfaces.

- For gravimetric modeling, the virtual observations represent absolute gravity values (in nm/s²) resulting from the density information on all points of the virtual landscape (see Fig. 6). Modeling was carried out once for a completely dry soil with heterogeneous density (i.e. *hexland_tracks_dry*, Fig. 6, center: dry) as well as for the same soil with the spatially varying soil moisture (i.e. *hexland_tracks*, Fig. 6, left: wet). The difference between these two grids (wet to dry) reveals gravity changes due to soil moisture information only (Fig. 6, right side). These can be attributed to changes in water content within the upper
- 2 m of the soil. Since the virtual landscape is flat, we can express modeled values in relation to a common assumption within hydrogravimetry: the Bouguer anomaly (Pasteka et al., 2017). This Bouguer effect describes the change in gravity due to mass changes of a horizontally flat and infinite layer. Adding such a layer with a vertical extent of 1 m (entirely filled with water)



Figure 4. Simulated counts of epithermal neutrons near the ground (1-2 m, topleft) and at 30 m (bottomright) in *hexland_tracks*, an artificial landscape with maximized heterogeneity. The black annotations indicate the soil moisture of the tops top layer prescribed to the hexagons.



Figure 5. Simulated vegetation indices mimicking Sentinel 2 images for realization *hexland_tracks*. Vegetation water content (VWC, 10 m, left), Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI, 10 m, middle) and Normalised Difference Water Index (NDWI, 20 m, right).



Figure 6. Simulated gravimetric anomalies for *Hexland_tracks* (left) and the corresponding conditions with fully depleted soil moisture storage *Hexland_tracks_dry* (center). The difference (right) allows the evaluation of static soil moisture pattern.

would cause gravity to increase by 420 nm/s^2 . The highest gravity change in the virtual observations is 320 nm/s^2 , which is clearly below the theoretical change for the equivalent of 2 m of water. As by far not all of the soil is filled with water, this is reasonable and furthermore verifies the magnitude of changes to be expected.

88% of the observed gravity changes are above 50 nm/s^2 , which is the typical instrumental precision to be expected of a device feasible to carry out such mapping surveys (Scintrex, Ltd., 2017). This value corresponds to specifications stated by the manufacturer; depending on operators and post-processing, values as low as 10 nm/s^2 are feasible precision for relative gravity surveys.

370 If only mass changes within the first 50 cm of the soil are considered from the modelling, the portion of distinguishable values decreases to 17% (90%, for the more optimistic threshold of 10 nm/s^2). Nevertheless, in an environment with real relief and well-selected gravity measurement locations, this portion would increase.

The spatial pattern of soil moisture-induced gravity changes is generally dominated by the hexagon structure of the input grids. This underlines the fact that the signal is almost exclusively subject to changes in the close vicinity of the observation

- 375 point. Even the tracks are visible in the observed pattern. Evidently, a snapshot observation of a spatially heterogeneous distributed water and soil density pattern is not informative. Instead, the measurements yield their value when looking at the changes of mass. For real-world gravimeters, this is usually done in the time domain, but the approach here illustrates this effect in the spatial domain: The very wet hexagons at the very Northwest and the Northern border can be clearly identified in the gravimetric pattern (cf. Fig. 3), confirming the usefulness of relative gravimetry. Edge effects of the virtual landscape
- 380 could be effectively mitigated by extending the landscape spatially with average values as there is hardly a perceivable effect along the borders of the domain. Overall, the results of the hydrogravimetric approach show that modeled values could not only be observed realistically with real field surveys but furthermore enable the for the modeled setting, a real-world gravimetric hydrogravimetric survey should be able to measure the respective storage changes and even allow the identification of smallscale spatial patterns of (water) mass changes.

385 3.2 sierra_neutronica: Synthetic mountains to explore topographic effects

Relief exerts an important control on soil moisture patterns, mainly due to its influence on the generation and distribution of overland flow and the distance to the groundwater table. Additionally, altitudinal effects in meteorological forcing and slope- or lithology-related patterns of soil hydraulic properties affect the SM distribution in mountainous areas. Thus, a thorough understanding of such heterogeneous soil moisture patterns is especially important but, unfortunately, coincides

- 390 with Unfortunately, most SM-measurement methods face numerous methodological, technical and practical challenges for SM-measurement methods under these conditions. for instance, all ground-based methods are affected by increased difficulties in accessibility of mountainous areas, which hinders systematic verification. In the context of this study this comprises the following issues the methods included in the vJFC, the following challenges can be identified: for CRNS, altitude-dependent incoming neutron flux and topographic shading effects; for RS, effects of shadowing, variable incidence angles and atmospheric
- 395 thickness; and for HG, potentially complex interactions of storages above and below the sensor, and inhomogeneous lithology. All methods are also generally affected by increased difficulties in accessibility of mountaineous areas, which hinders systematic experimental assessments. Consequently, As the understanding of relief effects on CRNS-signal is especially limited, the *sierra_neutronica* case focuses at on exploring complex topographic effects on neutron intensity at the ground.

The respective results should shed some light on the influence of altitude, slope, shading and exposure on neutron count rates,

400 when all other landscape attributes remain constant.



Figure 7. The landscape realization *sierra_neutronica* combines two gradients of various amplitudes (West-East) and frequencies (radial and tangential) to create a large variety of topographical settings. Apart from a barometric pressure gradient with altitude, all other properties are homogeneous, i.e. soil with 5.5% SM and no vegetation. The right panel shows a vertical cross section (dotted line in left panel) to illustrate the detector layer used in the neutron simulation (ignored otherwise).

For the sake of simplicity, *sierra_neutronica* features homogeneous bare soil of constant thickness and soil moisture (1000 m deep, 5.5% volumetric water content). The relief spans an altitude range of 1000 m, representing an alpine valley. It combines two gradients of various amplitudes (West-East) and frequencies (radial and tangential), so a wide range of topographical conditions is included (see Fig. 7).

405

The simulation of the neutron flux in steep terrain required adjustments compared to those in flat conditions: As illustrated in Fig. 7, the detector layer was created parallel to the ground while avoiding neutron-sensitive voxels in direct or diagonal contact with the terrain surface. This resulted in multiple detector voxels per vertical columns for the steeper parts of this landscape, visible for the left hill depicted in Fig. 7. Consequently, this horizontally-varying number of detector voxels had to be accounted for by normalizing the modelled count rates accordingly.

410 3.2.1 Results: Topographic effects on CRNS

Fig. 8 shows the count rates corrected for the varying number of stacked detector voxels. For large topographic structures (i.e. mountain in the SW), the count rates show a gradual increase with altitude, which corresponds to the expected increase in count rates caused by barometric effects. However, pronounced deviations from this trend can be observed for the more complex parts of the landscape: the wider valleys, hill tops and exposed ridges show higher count rates. Fig. 9 illustrates this

415 effect, by showing how much (in relative terms) the modelled count rates deviate from the expected increase solely explained by simple barometric correction (Zreda et al., 2012): Apparently, deeper valleys, hilltops, and ridges experience additional



Figure 8. Simulated counts of epithermal neutrons in *sierra_neutronica*, an artificial mountainscape.

effects of topographic exposure and shielding (e.g. Dunne et al., 1999; Balco, 2014; Schattan et al., 2019), which are not directly related to pressure or altitude and deserve further detailed examination. Apparently, steep hillslopes show a lower overall neutron flux than expected from flat terrain whereas steep valleys would show an increase in count rate.

420 3.3 agia: A realistic irrigated agricultural landscape in Greece

CRNS has great potential to monitor and inform irrigation in agriculture (Franz et al., 2020; Ragab et al., 2017; Finkenbiner et al., 2019). However, it has been shown that the quality of the information provided by the CRNS strongly depends on the type of sensor that is employed and on environmental factors such as the amount of irrigated water, the dimension of the irrigated field, and the SM conditions (Li et al., 2019; Brogi et al., 2022). The *agia* case aims to investigate how well CRNS could quantify soil moisture on irrigated plots that are embedded on a heterogeneous and rather dry environment by reproducing an agricultural setting found near the village of Agia (39.718°N, 22.741°E), (Greece). This area is characterized by a highly

425

heterogeneous landscape, with small fields that are irrigated at different times and complex spatial variations in SM. Such an



Figure 9. Relative anomaly in simulated counts of epithermal neutrons in sierra_neutronica, compared to expected pressure-corrected counts.

environment is challenging for CRNS applications but can provide precious insights on sub-footprint heterogeneity and on the contribution of different land patches to the CRNS signal (Schrön et al., 2023; Brogi et al., 2022).

Within this area, in 2020, two apple orchards of approximately 1.2 ha and separated by approximately 300 m were equipped with extensive instrumentation to test the use of CRNS in irrigation management (Brogi et al., 2023). A $1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$ domain (see Fig. 10) was centred between the two fields and eight land cover classes were digitised from satellite images (ESRI, 2022). Land cover height was set to 6.0 m for trees, 4.0 m for apple orchards and buildings, 0.6 m for bushes, 0.1 m for grass and asphalt roads, and 0.0 m for bare soil and tracks. The vegetation biomass was set equal to the URANOS material code *tree gas* (3.0 g cm⁻³) for apple orchards and trees, and equal to *plant gas* (5.0 g cm⁻³) for bushes and grass. The soil compartment is represented by three layers of 0.3, 0.3 and 1.0 m thickness (maximum depth of 1.6 m). Soil density is horizontally homogeneous, increasing with depth (1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 g cm⁻³, resp.). In the pilot irrigated fields, bulk density, porosity and other soil hydraulic properties were obtained from soil sampling, laboratory analysis, and the use of pedotransfer functions (Rawls and Brakensiek, 1985). These helped to estimate SM at field capacity (maximum simulated SM) and wilting point (minimum simulated SM)



Figure 10. The landscape realization *agia* represents a realistic setting for a Mediterranean agricultural landscape. This figure shows the common landuse and the four soil moisture realizations, in which the surroundings and the pilot fields are set to low or high soil moisture, respectively.

440 by using the Mualem–van-Genuchten model (Van Genuchten, 1980). The surrounding irrigated fields were assumed to have SM values that are the average of the two irrigated pilot fields. In the non-irrigated areas, maximum and minimum SM were assumed to be those recorded by SM sensors positioned at 5, 20, and 50 cm depth at a single location.

Four different simulation scenarios are available. In these, the vegetation remains constant while the SM distribution varies. The scenarios are a) dry irrigated pilot fields and surrounding (*agia_dryout_dryin*), b) dry pilot fields and wet surroundings

445 (agia_wetout_dryin), c) wet pilot fields and dry surroundings agia_dryout_wetin, and d) wet pilot fields and surroundings (agia_wetout_wetin). The reader is referred to Brogi et al. (2023) for a more in-depth description of the study site and the process behind the production of the data.

3.3.1 Results: Detectability of irrigation in relation to surrounding landscape variability

For the Agia site, four different realizations have been generated from four different scenarios of soil moisture patterns. Two of

450 these, *agia_dryout_dryin* and *agia_dryout_wetin*, are shown in Fig. 11. The first scenario (top row) represents the generally dry SM conditions in which the investigated area can be found during the summer period while the second scenario (bottom row) shows an increased SM in the two pilot apple orchards at the center of the domain. This higher SM is the typical consequence of weekly mini-sprinkler irrigation events that is applied to the entire field and can reach up to 43 mm day⁻¹ in the Western



Figure 11. Simulated counts of epithermal neutrons near the ground (1-2 m, left) and at 30 m (right) in a realistic Mediterranean landscape under conditions of low soil moisture variability (*agia_dryout_dryin*, top row) and with irrigated fields in dry surroundings (*agia_dryout_wetin*, bottom row).

pilot field and 54 mm day^{-1} in the Eastern pilot field. In the simulated case, the irrigation amount in the Western pilot field is smaller than in the East one, but SM after irrigation is higher due to differences in soil properties.

The result of the SM increase in *agia_dryout_wetin* is a lower simulated count of epithermal neutrons near the ground (Fig. 11, bottom left) within the irrigated fields. This has an impact on the signal recorded by a CRNS positioned within the irrigated field. However, the CRNS footprint is larger then the investigated 1.2 ha fields, and neutrons that have soil contact outside the field can strongly affect CRNS measurements, thus reducing or masking the effects of irrigation, especially at the borders of the field. A correction developed by Brogi et al. (2023), which uses the simulated contributions to the CRNS

460 the borders of the field. A correction developed by Brogi et al. (2023), which uses the simulated contributions to the CRNS signal of the irrigated field and of its surroundings, can be applied to exclude the effects of the non-irrigated surroundings. The

simulated realizations can provide detailed information on such contributions for the Agia site, and could be further adapted to any other area of interest via additional realizations.

Based on these results, the contributions to the neutron count of the irrigated field and of the surroundings, which is necessary

465

- for irrigation corrections (Brogi et al., 2023), can be extracted for a CRNS placed in any position within the target fields. This also allows to simultaneously investigate multiple fields and identify a) the most suitable locations within each field, b) the minimum number of CRNS required to adequately monitor irrigation in one field or in a given agricultural area, and c) the most suitable CRNS design in terms of response function and sensitivity. Such results have ramifications for instrument selection and for the estimation of costs and benefits that are tailored to specific areas and irrigation techniques.
- 470 The left panels of Fig. 11 show strongly heterogeneous patterns in epithermal neutron intensities for the ground-based sensor (1-2 m height) that are the effect of both SM and vegetation distribution. The right panels of Fig. 11 show the epithermal neutron counts at 30 m above the surface. As in the *hexland tracks* case study (section 3.1.1), these patterns are much smoother than those recorded at the ground. However, the effect of irrigation in the two pilot apple orchards is still clearly noticeable given the large drop in epithermal neutron intensities 30 m above ground. This has implications for airborne CRNS roving
- 475 applications that are similar to those discussed in the case of the *hexland tracks* realization. A general influence of the landuse, when large areas share similar cover, can be identified at this height. First, the more natural landscape of the north-west part of the domain, consisting of relatively low shrubs and grass, results in a higher density of epithermal neutrons at 30 m height. In contrast, the agricultural areas that are principally made of orchards in the center and south-eastern parts of the domain result in a generally low epithermal density at 30 m altitude. Interestingly, the presence of buildings also results in relatively
- 480 low epithermal densities at 30 m and, more strongly, at 1-2 m height within a few metres around the buildings. The patterns of count rates registered by the ground-based sensors shows their highest count rates for the meadow areas (e.g. crescent-shaped north-south structure). The count rates seem especially increased towards the borders of the meadows. Thin, more linear shapes seem especially affected (e.g. thin meadow are in NW-sector, meadow strip south of Eastern pilot plot). It remains to be analyzed if these patterns are caused by exposure effects from surrounding higher land cover classes (similar to the phenomena observed in *sierra neutronica*, see section 3.2.1), or they need to be attributed to interactions with the neighbouring plots. 485

Despite the relatively high resolution of the domain of 1 m, there are simplifications that can have an impact on the results and on the considerations made so far. Landuse voxels in the current resolution of 1×1 m dimension, and simplify certain structures. For example, a building is represented by an homogeneous gas and this may have a different impact on the result compared to a detailed structure with separated walls and, especially, roof materials. Similarly, a tree is also represented by

490 an homogeneous gas and does not distinguish between different organs. Although it can be expected that a more detailed and complex representation will have an effect on the results, it has to be noted that the presented complexity is higher that that of most studies found in literature, especially given the extent of the domain and the purpose of the vJFC setup. Nonetheless, these considerations further motivates the representation and study of realistic scenarios in an environment such as the vJFC as key insights can be gained for several CRNS applications and beyond.

495 4 Conclusions and Outlook

The "Virtual Joint Field Campaign" represents a framework to design virtual landscapes in which we can deploy virtual sensors (here CRNS, remote sensing and hydrogravimetry). Such a virtual sensor allows us to simulate the measurement of variables (e.g. neutron intensity, reflected spectra, gravimetric anomalies) that have a well-defined relationship to an actual target variable of interest (e.g. soil moisture or biomass). Based on such virtual observation, we can explore potentials and restrictions for the

500

505

520

spatio-temporal retrieval of such target variables at the scale of 1 km², in the presence of different levels of landscape complexity. It represents, to our knowledge, the first effort to define a comprehensive "Observing System Simulation Experiments" in the context of soil moisture and biomass observations.

The presented definitions and conventions allow the reproducible use of existing setups and recombinable creation of new case studies from new or existing components. The Open Data approach, comprising the free availability of all generated data and respective scripts, provides a low barrier for the scientific community, especially when aiming at comparing or combining different sensors.

This paper presented three case studies ("H": *hexland_tracks*, "S": *sierra_neutronica*, "A":*agia*) with different thematic focus, but is not intended to analyse their outcomes in detail. Multiple aspects, which merit further analysis have been identified and outlined in the respective sections or can be perceived, e.g. (abbreviation of case study given in braces):

510 − How good can we estimate soil moisture based on *_

- perfect single-point calibrations *
- (im)perfect knowledge of biomass inventories, bulk density information? (H, A)
- How good can we estimate biomass? (H, A)
- How many CRNS-probes are needed for getting robust SM information at the landscape-scale? Can we give recommen dations for minimum instrument sensitivity and minimum distances of CRNS sensor locations to adjacent physiographic units to obtain unbiased count rates? (H, A)
 - Does the reconstruction of SM (e.g. using inversion methods) based on hydrological units work? Under which premises?
 - How do roving sensor applications perform in different parts of the landscape? How does the road effect (Schrön et al., 2018) affect estimates of adjacent areas? How many and which routing of roving tracks would be needed to capture the landscape-average SM distribution? What auxiliary information is required and can be obtained alongside (e.g. use of counts of thermal neutrons for biomass estimation)? (H)
 - How do relief and vertical structure influence count rates? Are the current corrections (altitude via pressure, (exposure?)) sufficient for our purpose? (S)

- How can a gravity survey be planned with realistic field work times (not too many measurement sites) in order to meet 525 the requirements of capturing spatial (possibly isolated) features relevant for the area of interest? (H, S)
 - What could be the basis of selecting such gravity measurement sites in terms of quantity and spatial representativeness in combination with landscape properties such as topography, landuse, etc.? (H, S)
 - Is it feasible to capture the overall mass change dynamics in a representative way with a more precise but spatially fixed. permanent gravity observation site (given the fact that the installed gravimeter is more precise than field units) and how valuable would this information be in combination with the other methods? (H)
 - For both, CRNS and Microwave remote sensing, SM inversely affects penetration depth, and vegetation density and structure affect the sensor signal. How could the consideration of passive and active microwave responses of different bands (X, C, S, L, P) improve the understanding of both sensors? (H, A)
- 535 The presented case studies assumed perfect measurement conditions, i.e. no instrument error e.g. due to atmospheric conditions. However, as this kind of On the more general level, further scientific questions deserve consideration:

In real-world applications, measurement error or noise often poses severe restrictions on the usability of the sensor signal (e.g. due to short counting intervals for CRNS, atmospheric transmissivity for RS, instrument noise for HG), The presented case studies assumed perfect measurement conditions, i.e. no instrument error e.g. due to atmospheric conditions. This decision

540 was made for the sake of keeping the examples simple. It is, by no means, a limitation of the concept of the vJFC. On the contrary, systematically assessing the limits of applicability or error-affected signals could be greatly facilitated with the vJFC. The analysis of the presented case studies focused on the respective virtual observations, a subsequent reconstruction of the target variable was not yet addressed, but will be of utmost interest in follow-up studies. Additionally, the potential of multisensor use has hardly been investigated: (How) would RS-derived SM-estimates profit from CRNS-derived training locations? 545 Could the robustness of CRNS-signals be increased with additional information from RS?

The neutron simulations, in particular, required an unprecedented total computational effort, as several other realizationwere generated besides the Generating some of the virtual observations (namely running the neutron and hydrogravimetric simulations), requires computation times on the order of several CPU-days per realization. Thus, the results of the presented case studies (plus multiple other realizations simulated alongside) required required a massive computational effort. This wealth of

simulated constellations may provide valuable starting points for exploring the options to find a forward operator with low 550 computational requirements, e.g. by training machine learning methods on the available data.

Whatever the focus, we invite the reuse of the available data and happily accept contributions for further compartments, realizations and simulations to increase the joint value of the data set.

Code and data availability. To facilitate reproducibility and reusability of all parts of the vJFC (data and scripts) are publicly available for 555 the point of writing this paper from https://doi.org/10.23728/b2share.b79db8297f4a463996dc14c0ef897c2c.

530



Figure 12. Simplified view of the folder structure holding the vJFC data

As we envision a further growth of included data and methods, further amendments are constantly added to the more flexible repository at https://b2drop.eudat.eu/s/DoFfxQx6cWFSAPq. Potential users are invited to use, modify and analyse the included data and scripts. We also welcome any substantial contribution in terms of compartments, realizations and related virtual observations. By enriching the pool of available data, even more comprehensive analyses are enabled.

560 Data

This repository uses a hierarchical folder structure corresponding to the described compartments, realization and virtual observations (see section 2.2.1 - 2.2.3 (see Fig. 12):

- The folder "0_compartments" holds subfolders of each compartment (e.g. "atmosphere", "groundwater", etc.). Each of these sub-folders contains the different scenarios for the respective compartment (e.g. "homogeneous" representing a homogeneous atmosphere).
- 565
- The folder "1_realizations" holds the compiled realizations of the virtual landscape, e.g. hexland_tracks as described in section 3.
 - The folders "gravimetric_simulations", "neutron_simulations" and "rtm_simulations" contain subfolders for each realization of the virtual landscape (e.g. *hexland_tracks*) as described in section 3. Each of these subfolders contains the specific model input files to simulate the virtual sensor, and the respective model outputs.

At each folder level, readme-files describe the respective entities in the folder, and other meta-data.

570 The top-level spreadsheet file "overview_vJFC.xlsx" provides central description tables as an overview of all available compartments, realizations, and the status of their simulation. Data are stored in NetCDF format, as this standard is very suitable for large multidimensional data sets and allows for easy interchange with numerous other software. Details on the employed data structure in the NetCDF are described in the top-level readme file.

Scripts and external model code

575 Accordingly, the scripts for the generation of the data are organized at the same three levels evident in the folder structure:

- "compartment" scenarios are generated using scripts and data located in the respective subfolder "raw". These scripts (mostly in Rlanguage, (R Core Team, 2018)) can be used as templates for creating other scenarios of the compartments.
- "realizations" are compiled from the compartments using the Python package YULIA, v1.01. Besides its original functionality of systematically creating numerous sets of URANOS parameterisations, YULIA allows merging selected compartments into a realization following vJFC conventions. YULIA is available from https://gitlab.com/crns4snow/yulia.
- Model input files for simulating the "virtual observations" are generated with dedicated scripts for each virtual sensor. The resulting files reside in the folders with suffix "*_simulations":
 - The Python package YULIA (see previous section) serves for creating the files for neutron modelling with URANOS, stored in "neutron simulations". URANOS, the model used for simulating neutron flux (see section 2.2.3), is available from https://gitlab.com/mkoehli/u
- As basis for the "gravimetric simulations", gravity grids are generated with scripts located in the subfolder "Scripts" of the 585 respective realization. These Python scripts build on the Python package "hygra" (provided as zip-file in the same directory), which is also used to carry out the actual gravimetric modeling.
- For RTM-modelling stored, the scripts can be found under the folder named "rtm simulations/Scripts". The script is written in R (R Core Team, 2018), executing the RTM model from SCOPE (Yang et al., 2021; van der Tol et al., 2009) by running 590 the respective MATLAB code through the R package rSCOPE (see https://github.com/AlbyDR/rSCOPE and https://github.com/ Christiaanvandertol/SCOPE/tree/master). The spectral resampling to Sentinel 2 and the vegetation index calculation were performed using the hsdar R package (e.g., Lehnert et al., 2019). The simulated NDVI, NDWI, and VWC indices for the hexland_tracks are in the same above-cited folder.

"xmisc scripts/" contains an example script for the generation of "hexland tracks". It also contains a script for interactive visual inspection and exploring of the compiled realizations, and another for generating the figures of the case studies. These scripts depend on Corny (https: 595 //git.ufz.de/CRNS/cornish pasdy) and uranostools (https://github.com/cosmic-sense/uranostools).

580

Appendix A

A1 Simulated spectra for realization "hexland_tracks"



Figure A1. Simulated spectra of the three main landcover classes "bare soil" (top left), "cropland" (centre) and "forest" (right) in the *hex-land_tracks* realization. The top row does not consider SM-related water stress in the vegetation, while the bottom row includes this effect.

Author contributions. TF coordinated the study, coded the major scripts for creating and merging the compartments, prepared the first draft of the manuscript and the data repository. CB prepared all necessary data for the Agia example. ADR and MF set up the RTM-model and conducted the RS simulations. TF and MH developed the basic concept of the vJFC; MH and MS supported in programming of scripts and generation of figures. MK implemented essential extensions to the neutron model, guided scientific decisions in the respective parameterizations and conducted the simulations. MK and MS provided computational resources. MR and DR designed and conducted the HG simulations. PS provided the code of YULIA as a basis for the core scripts of the vJFC. LS pushed the development of the concept in its

605 early stages. All authors contributed to the development of the vJFC-framework and edited the final manuscript.

Competing interests. M. Köhli holds a CEO position at StyX Neutronica GmbH. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Table A1. Model input values to simulate spectra according to the land cover and vegetation water stress induced by
soil moisture depletion.

Biochemical and biophysical parameters (canopy and leaf traits)		Land Cover			
		Bare soil	Cropland	Forest	
	Leaf Area Index (LAI, m^2/m^2)	0*	2	6	
LULC	Vegetation height (hc, m)	0*	1	20	
	Dry matter content (Cdm, g/cm ²)	0	0.0015	0.0022	
SM	Root Zone Soil Moisture (SMC, % _{Vol})**	0 to 0.84	0.01 to 0.84	0.01 to 0.84	
SM-induced	Chlorophyll AB content (Cab, μ g/cm ²)	0	11.6 to 72.1	11.6 to 72.1	
	Carotenoid content (Cca, μ g/cm ²)	0	2.3 to 14.4	2.3 to 14.4	
	Leaf water equivalent layer (Cw, cm)	0	0.002 to 0.019	0.002 to 0.019	
	Senescent material fraction (Cs, 0-1 index)	0	0 to 0.45	0 to 0.45	

note: * 0.001 was used instead of 0 (zero) to allow running the SCOPE model. ** SM depth z = 0 for bare soil, z = -0.3 for cropland and z = -1 for forest.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), research unit FOR 2694 "Cosmic Sense", project number 357874777. The authors gratefully acknowledge Lukas Strebel's help. The authors thank Steffen Zacharias (UFZ) for helpful discussions during the preparation of this study. We would also like to thank the administration and support

⁶¹⁰ staff of the HPC cluster "EVE" at UFZ, in particular Ben Langenberg and Toni Harzendorf. We gratefully acknowledge Lisa Angermann's support in graphical issues.



Figure A2. Epithermal counts measured for *hexland_tracks* realization at various sensor altitudes.

References

- Altdorff, D., Galagedara, L., Nadeem, M., Cheema, M., and Unc, A.: Effect of agronomic treatments on the accuracy of soil moisture mapping by electromagnetic induction, Catena, pp. 96–106, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.12.036, 2018.
- 615 Balco, G.: Simple computer code for estimating cosmic-ray shielding by oddly shaped objects, Quaternary Geochronology, 22, 175–182, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2013.12.002, 2014.

- Bardossy, A. and Singh, S. K.: Robust estimation of hydrological model parameters, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 12, 1273–1283, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-1273-2008, 2008.
- Birch, C. P. D., Oom, S. P., and Beecham, J. A.: Rectangular and hexagonal grids used for observation, experiment and simulation in ecology, Ecological Modelling, 206, 347–359, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ecolmodel.2007.03.041, 2007.
- Bogena, H. R., Huisman, J. A., Oberdörster, C., and Vereecken, H.: Evaluation of a low-cost soil water content sensor for wireless network applications, Journal of Hydrology, 344, 32-42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.06.032, 2007.
 - Brogi, C., Bogena, H. R., Köhli, M., Huisman, J. A., Hendricks-Franssen, H.-J., and Dombrowski, O.: Feasibility of irrigation monitoring with cosmic-ray neutron sensors, Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems, 11, 451–469, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-11-451-2022, 2022.
- 625

620

- Brogi, C., Pisinaras, V., Köhli, M., Dombrowski, O., Hendricks-Franssen, H.-J., Babakos, K., Chatzi, A., Panagopoulos, A., and Bogena, H. R.: Monitoring Irrigation in Small Orchards with Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensors, Sensors, 23, 2378, 2023.
- Brown, D., Chadwick, M., Capote, R., Kahler, A., Trkov, A., Herman, M., Sonzogni, A., Danon, Y., Carlson, A., Dunn, M., Smith, D., Hale, G., Arbanas, G., Arcilla, R., Bates, C., Beck, B., Becker, B., Brown, F., Casperson, R., Conlin, J., Cullen, D., Descalle, M.-A., Firestone,
- 630 R., Gaines, T., Guber, K., Hawari, A., Holmes, J., Johnson, T., Kawano, T., Kiedrowski, B., Koning, A., Kopecky, S., Leal, L., Lestone, J., Lubitz, C., Márquez Damián, J., Mattoon, C., McCutchan, E., Mughabghab, S., Navratil, P., Neudecker, D., Nobre, G., Noguere, G., Paris, M., Pigni, M., Plompen, A., Pritychenko, B., Pronyaev, V., Roubtsov, D., Rochman, D., Romano, P., Schillebeeckx, P., Simakov, S., Sin, M., Sirakov, I., Sleaford, B., Sobes, V., Soukhovitskii, E., Stetcu, I., Talou, P., Thompson, I., van der Marck, S., Welser-Sherrill, L., Wiarda, D., White, M., Wormald, J., Wright, R., Zerkle, M., Žerovnik, G., and Zhu, Y.: ENDF/B-VIII.0: The 8th Major Release of the
- 635 Nuclear Reaction Data Library with CIELO-project Cross Sections, New Standards and Thermal Scattering Data, Nuclear Data Sheets, 148, 1-142, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NDS.2018.02.001, 2018.
 - Buitink, J., Swank, A. M., van der Ploeg, M., Smith, N. E., Benninga, H.-J. F., van der Bolt, F., Carranza, C. D. U., Koren, G., van der Velde, R., and Teuling, A. J.: Anatomy of the 2018 agricultural drought in the Netherlands using in situ soil moisture and satellite vegetation indices, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 6021-6031, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-6021-2020, 2020.
- 640 Calamita, G., Perrone, A., Brocca, L., Onorati, B., and Manfreda, S.: Field test of a multi-frequency electromagnetic induction sensor for soil moisture monitoring in southern Italy test sites, Journal of Hydrology, pp. 316–329, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.023, 2015.
 - Chadwick, M., Herman, M., Obložinský, P., Dunn, M., Danon, Y., Kahler, A., Smith, D., Pritychenko, B., Arbanas, G., Arcilla, R., Brewer, R., Brown, D., Capote, R., Carlson, A., Cho, Y., Derrien, H., Guber, K., Hale, G., Hoblit, S., Holloway, S., Johnson, T., Kawano, T., Kiedrowski, B., Kim, H., Kunieda, S., Larson, N., Leal, L., Lestone, J., Little, R., McCutchan, E., MacFarlane, R., MacInnes, M., Mattoon,
- 645 C., McKnight, R., Mughabghab, S., Nobre, G., Palmiotti, G., Palumbo, A., Pigni, M., Pronyaev, V., Sayer, R., Sonzogni, A., Summers, N., Talou, P., Thompson, I., Trkov, A., Vogt, R., van der Marck, S., Wallner, A., White, M., Wiarda, D., and Young, P.: ENDF/B-VII.1 Nuclear Data for Science and Technology: Cross Sections, Covariances, Fission Product Yields and Decay Data, Nuclear Data Sheets, 112, 2887-2996, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NDS.2011.11.002, 2011.
- Corradini, C.: Soil moisture in the development of hydrological processes and its determination at different spatial scales, Journal of Hydrol-650 ogy, 516, 1-5, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jhvdrol.2014.02.051, 2014.
 - Creutzfeldt, B., Güntner, A., Klügel, T., and Wziontek, H.: Simulating the influence of water storage changes on the superconducting gravimeter of the Geodetic Observatory Wettzell, Germany, Geophysics, 73, WA95-WA104, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2992508, 2008.

Creutzfeldt, B., Güntner, A., Thoss, H., Merz, B., and Wziontek, H.: Measuring the effect of local water storage changes on in situ gravity observations: Case study of the Geodetic Observatory Wettzell, Germany, Water Resources Research, 46, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009wr008359, 2010a.

- Creutzfeldt, B., Güntner, A., Vorogushyn, S., and Merz, B.: The benefits of gravimeter observations for modelling water storage changes at the field scale, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 1715—1730, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-14-1715-2010, 2010b.
- Creutzfeldt, B., Güntner, A., Wziontek, H., and Merz, B.: Reducing local hydrology from high-precision gravity measurements: A lysimeterbased approach, Geophysical Journal International, 183, 178–187, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04742.x, 2010c.
- 660 Creutzfeldt, B., Ferré, T. P. A., Troch, P., Merz, B., Wziontek, H., and Güntner, A.: Total water storage dynamics in response to climate variability and extremes: Inference from long-term terrestrial gravity measurement, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016472, 2012.
 - Daly, E. and Porporato, A.: A Review of Soil Moisture Dynamics: From Rainfall Infiltration to Ecosystem Response, Environmental Engineering Science, 22, 9–24, https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2005.22.9, 2005.
- 665 Delobbe, L., Watlet, A., Wilfert, S., and Van Camp, M.: Exploring the use of underground gravity monitoring to evaluate radar estimates of heavy rainfall, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 93–105, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-93-2019, 2019.
 - Domeneghetti, A., Castellarin, A., and Brath, A.: Assessing rating-curve uncertainty and its effects on hydraulic model calibration, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 1191–1202, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-1191-2012, 2012.

Döpper, V., Duarte Rocha, A., Berger, K., Gränzig, T., Verrelst, J., Kleinschmit, B., and Förster, M.: Estimating soil moisture content

- 670 under grassland with hyperspectral data using radiative transfer modelling and machine learning, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 110, 102 817, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2022.102817, 2022a.
 - Döpper, V., Jagdhuber, T., Holtgrave, A.-K., Heistermann, M., Francke, T., Kleinschmit, B., and Förster, M.: Following the cosmic-rayneutron-sensing-based soil moisture under grassland and forest: Exploring the potential of optical and SAR remote sensing, Science of Remote Sensing, 5, 100 056, 2022b.
- 675 Dorigo, W., Wagner, W., Albergel, C., Albrecht, F., Balsamo, G., Brocca, L., Chung, D., Ertl, M., Forkel, M., Gruber, A., Haas, E., Hamer, P. D., Hirschi, M., Ikonen, J., de Jeu, R., Kidd, R., Lahoz, W., Liu, Y. Y., Miralles, D., Mistelbauer, T., Nicolai-Shaw, N., Parinussa, R., Pratola, C., Reimer, C., van der Schalie, R., Seneviratne, S. I., Smolander, T., and Lecomte, P.: ESA CCI Soil Moisture for improved Earth system understanding: State-of-the art and future directions, Remote Sensing of Environment, 203, 185–215, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.001, earth Observation of Essential Climate Variables, 2017.
- 680 Duarte Rocha, A.: AlbyDR/rSCOPE: rSCOPE v1.0, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6204580, 2022.

655

Dunne, J., Elmore, D., and Muzikar, P.: Scaling factors for the rates of production of cosmogenic nuclides for geometric shielding and attenuation at depth on sloped surfaces, Geomorphology, 27, 3–11, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(98)00086-5, 1999.

ESRI: DIgitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, Swisstopo, and the GIS User Com, 2022.

685 Famiglietti, J. S., Ryu, D., Berg, A. A., Rodell, M., and Jackson, T. J.: Field observations of soil moisture variability across scales, Water Resources Research, 44, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006wr005804, 2008.

Fernandes, R. F., Scherrer, D., and Guisan, A.: Effects of simulated observation errors on the performance of species distribution models, Diversity and Distributions, 25, 400–413, https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12868, 2019.

Fersch, B., Francke, T., Heistermann, M., Schrön, M., Döpper, V., Jakobi, J., Baroni, G., Blume, T., Bogena, H., Budach, C., Gränzig,

690 T., Förster, M., Güntner, A., Hendricks-Franssen, H.-J., Kasner, M., Köhli, M., Kleinschmit, B., Kunstmann, H., Patil, A., Rasche, D.,

Scheiffele, L. M., Schmidt, U., Szulc-Seyfried, S., Weimar, J., Zacharias, S., Zreda, M., Heber, B., Kiese, R., Mares, V., Mollenhauer, H., Völksch, I., and Oswald, S. E.: A dense network of cosmic-ray neutron sensors for soil moisture observation in a pre-alpine headwater catchment in Germany, Earth System Science Data, 12, 2289–2309, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2289-2020, 2020.

- Finkenbiner, C. E., Franz, T. E., Gibson, J., Heeren, D. M., and Luck, J.: Integration of hydrogeophysical datasets and empirical orthogonal
 functions for improved irrigation water management, Precis. Agric., 20, 78—100, 2019.
 - Franz, T. E., Zreda, M., Ferré, T. P. A., and Rosolem, R.: An assessment of the effect of horizontal soil moisture heterogeneity on the area-average measurement of cosmic-ray neutrons, Water Resources Research, 49, 6450–6458, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20530, 2013.

Franz, T. E., Wahbi, A., Zhang, J., Vreugdenhil, M., Heng, L., Dercon, G., Strauss, P., Brocca, L., and Wagner, W.: Practical data products from cosmic-ray neutron sensing for hydrological applications, Front. Water, 9, 2020.

- 700 Gauthier, P., Courtier, P., and Moll, P.: Assimilation of Simulated Wind Lidar Data with a Kalman Filter, Monthly Weather Review, 121, 1803–1820, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1993)121<1803:AOSWLD>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
 - Gianessi, S., Polo, M., Stevanato, L., Lunardon, M., Francke, T., Oswald, S. E., Said Ahmed, H., Toloza, A., Weltin, G., Dercon, G., Fulajtar, E., Heng, L., and Baroni, G.: Testing a novel sensor design to jointly measure cosmic-ray neutrons, muons and gamma rays for non-invasive soil moisture estimation, Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems, 13, 9–25, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-13-9-2024, 2024.
- 705 Güntner, A., Reich, M., Mikolaj, M., Creutzfeldt, B., Schroeder, S., and Wziontek, H.: Landscape-scale water balance monitoring with an iGrav superconducting gravimeter in a field enclosure, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 3167–3182, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-3167-2017, 2017.
 - Hector, B., Séguis, L., Hinderer, J., Cohard, J.-M., Wubda, M., Descloitres, M., Benarrosh, N., and Boy, J.-P.: Water storage changes as a marker for base flow generation processes in a tropical humid basement catchment (Benin): Insights from hybrid gravimetry, Water Resources Research, 51, 8331–8361, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015773, 2015.
- Heistermann, M., Bogena, H., Francke, T., Güntner, A., Jakobi, J., Rasche, D., Schrön, M., Döpper, V., Fersch, B., Groh, J., Patil, A., Pütz, T., Reich, M., Zacharias, S., Zengerle, C., and Oswald, S. E.: Soil moisture observation in a forested headwater catchment: combining a dense cosmic-ray neutron sensor network with roving and hydrogravimetry at the TERENO site Wüstebach, Earth System Science Data, 14, 2501–2519, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2501-2022, 2022a.

710

720

715 Heistermann, M., Bogena, H. R., Francke, T., Güntner, A., Jakobi, J., Rasche, D., Schrön, M., Fersch, B., Groh, J., Patil, A., Pütz, T., Reich, M., Zacharias, S., Zengerle, C., and Oswald, S. E.: Soil moisture observation in a forested headwater catchment: combining a dense cosmic-ray neutron sensor network with (airborne) roving and hydrogravimetry at the TERENO site Wüstebach) [pre-review version], https://doi.org/10.23728/b2share.756ca0485800474e9dc7f5949c63b872, 2022b.

Humphrey, V., Berg, A., Ciais, P., Gentine, P., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Seneviratne, S. I., and Frankenberg, C.: Soil moisture–atmosphere feedback dominates land carbon uptake variability, Nature, 592, 65–69, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03325-5, 2021.

Jetten, V., Boiffin, J., and DeRoo, A.: Defining monitoring strategies for runoff and erosion studies in agricultural catchments: A simulation approach, European Journal of Soil Science, 47, 579–592, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1996.tb01857.x, 1996.

Kanoun, O.: Impedance spectroscopy advances and future trends: A comprehensive review, pp. 1—22, De Gruyter, ISBN 9783110558920, https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110558920-001, 2018.

- 725 Kennedy, J., Ferré, T. P. A., and Creutzfeldt, B.: Time-lapse gravity data for monitoring and modeling artificial recharge through a thick unsaturated zone, Water Resources Research, 52, 7244–7261, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016wr018770, 2016.
 - Klotzsche, A., Jonard, F., Looms, M., van der Kruk, J., and Huisman, J.: Measuring Soil Water Content with Ground Penetrating Radar: A Decade of Progress, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 180 052, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.03.0052, 2018.

Kobe, M., Gabriel, G., Weise, A., and Vogel, D.: Time-lapse gravity and levelling surveys reveal mass loss and ongoing subsidence in the

- urban subrosion-prone area of Bad Frankenhausen, Germany, Solid Earth, 10, 599–619, https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-599-2019, 2019.
 Köhli, M., Schrön, M., and Schmidt, U.: Response functions for detectors in cosmic ray neutron sensing, Nuclear Instruments
 - and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 902, 184–189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.06.052, 2018.
- Köhli, M., Schrön, M., Zacharias, S., and Schmidt, U.: URANOS v1.0 the Ultra Rapid Adaptable Neutron-Only Simulation for Environmental Research, Geoscientific Model Development, 16, 449–477, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-449-2023, 2023.
 - Köhli, M., Schrön, M., Zreda, M., Schmidt, U., Dietrich, P., and Zacharias, S.: Footprint characteristics revised for field-scale soil moisture monitoring with cosmic-ray neutrons, Water Resources Research, 51, 5772–5790, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017169, 2015.
 - Lausch, A., Baade, J., Bannehr, L., Borg, E., Bumberger, J., Chabrilliat, S., Dietrich, P., Gerighausen, H., Glässer, C., Hacker, J. M., Haase, D., Jagdhuber, T., Jany, S., Jung, A., Karnieli, A., Kraemer, R., Makki, M., Mielke, C., Möller, M., Mollenhauer, H., Montzka, C., Pause,
- M., Rogass, C., Rozenstein, O., Schmullius, C., Schrodt, F., Schrön, M., Schulz, K., Schütze, C., Schweitzer, C., Selsam, P., Skidmore, A. K., Spengler, D., Thiel, C., Truckenbrodt, S. C., Vohland, M., Wagner, R., Weber, U., Werban, U., Wollschläger, U., Zacharias, S., and Schaepman, M. E.: Linking Remote Sensing and Geodiversity and Their Traits Relevant to Biodiversity—Part I: Soil Characteristics, Remote Sensing, 11, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11202356, 2019.

Lehnert, L. W., Meyer, H., Obermeier, W. A., Silva, B., Regeling, B., and Bendix, J.: Hyperspectral Data Analysis in R: The hsdar Package, Journal of Statistical Software, 89, 1—23, https://doi.org/10.18637/iss.v089.i12, 2019.

- Leirião, S., He, X., Christiansen, L., Andersen, O., and Bauer-Gottwein, P.: Calculation of the temporal gravity variation from spatially variable water storage change in soils and aquifers, Journal of Hydrology, 365, 302–309, 2009.
- Li, D., Schrön, M., Köhli, M., Bogena, H., Weimar, J., Jiménez Bello, M., Han, X., Martínez Gimeno, M., Zacharias, S., Vereecken, H., and Hendricks-Franssen, H.-J.: Can Drip Irrigation be Scheduled with Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensing?, Vadose Zone Journal, 18, 190053, https://doi.org/10.2126/uri2010.05.0052.2010
- 750 https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2019.05.0053, 2019.

745

Li, Z.-L., Leng, P., Zhou, C., Chen, K.-S., Zhou, F.-C., and Shang, G.-F.: Soil moisture retrieval from remote sensing measurements: Current knowledge and directions for the future, Earth-Science Reviews, 218, 103 673, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103673, 2021.

Loijens, H. S.: Determination of soil water content from terrestrial gamma radiation measurements, Water Resources Research, 16, 565–573, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR016i003p00565, 1980.

- 755 Martini, E., Werban, U., Zacharias, S., Pohle, M., Dietrich, P., and Wollschläger, U.: Repeated electromagnetic induction measurements for mapping soil moisture at the field scale: validation with data from a wireless soil moisture monitoring network, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, pp. 495–513, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-495-2017, 2017.
 - Mengen, D., Montzka, C., Jagdhuber, T., Fluhrer, A., Brogi, C., Baum, S., Schüttemeyer, D., Bayat, B., Bogena, H., Coccia, A., Masalias, G., Trinkel, V., Jakobi, J., Jonard, F., Ma, Y., Mattia, F., Palmisano, D., Rascher, U., Satalino, G., Schumacher, M., Koyama, C., Schmidt, M.,
- 760 and Vereecken, H.: The SARSense Campaign: Air- and Space-Borne C- and L-Band SAR for the Analysis of Soil and Plant Parameters in Agriculture, Remote Sensing, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13040825, 2021.
 - Mikolaj, M., Meurers, B., and Mojzeš, M.: The reduction of hydrology-induced gravity variations at sites with insufficient hydrological instrumentation, Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica, 59, 424–437, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11200-014-0232-8, 2015.
- Mikolaj, M., Reich, M., and Güntner, A.: Resolving Geophysical Signals by Terrestrial Gravimetry: A Time Domain Assessment of the
 Correction-Induced Uncertainty, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124, 2153–2165, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb016682, 2019.

- Morandage, S., Laloy, E., Schnepf, A., Vereecken, H., and Vanderborght, J.: Bayesian inference of root architectural model parameters from synthetic field data, Plant and Soil, 467, 67–89, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05026-4, 2021.
 Pasteka, R., Mikuska, J., and Meurers, B.: Understanding the Bouguer Anomaly, Elsevier, ISBN 9780128129135, 2017.
- Peng, J., Loew, A., Merlin, O., and Verhoest, N. E. C.: A review of spatial downscaling of satellite remotely sensed soil moisture, Reviews of Geophysics, 55, 341—366, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016rg000543, 2017a.
 - Peng, J., Loew, A., Merlin, O., and Verhoest, N. E. C.: A review of spatial downscaling of satellite remotely sensed soil moisture: Downscale Satellite-Based Soil Moisture, Reviews of Geophysics, 55, 341–366, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000543, 2017b.
 - Pfeffer, J., Champollion, C., Favreau, G., Cappelaere, B., Hinderer, J., Boucher, M., Nazoumou, Y., Oï, M., Mouyen, M., Henri, C.,
- 775 Le Moigne, N., Deroussi, S., Demarty, J., Boulain, N., Benarrosh, N., and Robert, O.: Evaluating surface and subsurface water storage variations at small time and space scales from relative gravity measurements in semiarid Niger, Water Resources Research, 49, 3276–3291, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20235, 2013.
 - Prive, N. C., Errico, R. M., and McCarty, W.: The importance of simulated errors in observing system simulation experiments, Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 73, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2021.1886795, 2021.
- 780 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, https: //www.R-project.org/, 2018.
 - Raat, K. J., Vrugt, J. A., Bouten, W., and Tietema, A.: Towards reduced uncertainty in catchment nitrogen modelling: quantifying the effect of field observation uncertainty on model calibration, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 8, 751–763, 2004.
- Ragab, R., Evans, J. G., Battilani, A., and Solimando, D.: The cosmic-ray soil moisture observation system (Cosmos) for estimating the crop
 water requirement: New approach, Irrig. Drain., 66, 456–468, 2017.
- Ran, Q., Wang, J., Chen, X., Liu, L., Li, J., and Ye, S.: The relative importance of antecedent soil moisture and precipitation in flood generation in the middle and lower Yangtze River basin, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26, 4919–4931, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-4919-2022, 2022.

Rawls, W. J. and Brakensiek, D. L.: Prediction of soil water properties for hydrologic modeling, Amer Society of Civil Engineers: Reston,

```
790 Virginia, pp. 293—-299, 1985.
```

- Reich, M., Mikolaj, M., Blume, T., and Güntner, A.: Reducing gravity data for the influence of water storage variations beneath observatory buildings, Geophysics, 84, EN15–EN31, https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0301.1, 2019.
- Samouëlian, A., Cousin, I., Tabbagh, A., Bruand, A., and Richard, G.: Electrical resistivity survey in soil science: a review, Soil and Tillage Research, 83, 173—193, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.10.004, 2005.
- 795 Sato, T.: Analytical Model for Estimating the Zenith Angle Dependence of Terrestrial Cosmic Ray Fluxes, PLOS ONE, 11, e0160390, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160390, 2016.
 - Scanlon, B. R., Keese, K. E., Flint, A. L., Flint, L. E., Gaye, C. B., Edmunds, W. M., and Simmers, I.: Global synthesis of groundwater recharge in semiarid and arid regions, Hydrological Processes, 20, 3335–3370, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6335, 2006.

Schattan, P., Köhli, M., Schrön, M., Baroni, G., and Oswald, S. E.: Sensing Area-Average Snow Water Equivalent with Cosmic-Ray Neutrons:
 The Influence of Fractional Snow Cover, Water Resources Research, 55, 10796–10812, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025647, 2019.

Schmidt, T., Schrön, M., Li, Z., Francke, T., Zacharias, S., Hildebrandt, A., and Peng, J.: Comprehensive quality assessment of satelliteand model-based soil moisture products against the COSMOS network in Germany, Remote Sensing of Environment, 301, 113 930, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113930, 2024. Schnicke, T., Langenberg, B., Schramm, G., Krause, C., Harzendorf, T., and Strempel, T.: EVE - High-Performance Computing Cluster, https://wiki.ufz.de/eve/, 2024.

- Schrön, M.: Cosmic-ray neutron sensing and its applications to soil and land surface hydrology, Ph.D. thesis, University of Potsdam, ISBN 978-3-8439-3139-7, https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:kobv:517-opus4-395433, 2017.
 - Schrön, M., Köhli, M., and Zacharias, S.: Signal contribution of distant areas to cosmic-ray neutron sensors implications for footprint and sensitivity, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 27, 723–738, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-723-2023, 2023.
- 810 Schrön, M., Köhli, M., Scheiffele, L. M., Iwema, J., Bogena, H. R., Lv, L., Martini, E., Baroni, G., Rosolem, R., Weimar, J., Mai, J., Cuntz, M., Rebmann, C., Oswald, S. E., Dietrich, P., Schmidt, U., and Zacharias, S.: Improving calibration and validation of cosmic-ray neutron sensors in the light of spatial sensitivity, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 5009–5030, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5009-2017, 2017.
 - Schrön, M., Rosolem, R., Köhli, M., Piussi, L., Schröter, I., Iwema, J., Kögler, S., Oswald, S. E., Wollschläger, U., Samaniego, L., Dietrich,
- P., and Zacharias, S.: Cosmic-ray Neutron Rover Surveys of Field Soil Moisture and the Influence of Roads, Water Resources Research, 54, 6441–6459, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017WR021719, 2018.
 - Scintrex, Ltd.: CG-6 Operation Manual, 2017.

805

 Seneviratne, S. I., Corti, T., Davin, E. L., Hirschi, M., Jaeger, E. B., Lehner, I., Orlowsky, B., and Teuling, A. J.: Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate: A review, Earth-Science Reviews, 99, 125–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.02.004, 2010.

Susha Lekshmi, S., Singh, D. N., and Baghini, M. S.: A critical review of soil moisture measurement, Measurement, 54, 92–105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2014.04.007, 2014.

Tanaka, T. and Honda, R.: Vertical Gravimeter Array Observations and Their Performance in Groundwater-Level Monitoring, Earth and Space Science, 5, 62–74, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017EA000311, 2018.

- 825 Van Camp, M., de Viron, O., Pajot-Métivier, G., Casenave, F., Watlet, A., Dassargues, A., and Vanclooster, M.: Direct measurement of evapotranspiration from a forest using a superconducting gravimeter, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 10225–10231, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070534, 2016.
 - Van Camp, M., de Viron, O., Watlet, A., Meurers, B., Francis, O., and Caudron, C.: Geophysics From Terrestrial Time-Variable Gravity Measurements, Reviews of Geophysics, 55, 938–992, https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1002/2017rg000566, 2017.
- 830 van der Tol, C., Verhoef, W., Timmermans, J., Verhoef, A., and Su, Z.: An integrated model of soil-canopy spectral radiances, photosynthesis, fluorescence, temperature and energy balance, Biogeosciences, 6, 3109–3129, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-3109-2009, 2009.

Van Genuchten, M. T.: A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., p. 892–898, 1980.

- van Leeuwen, M., Frye, H. A., and Wilson, A. M.: Understanding limits of species identification using simulated imaging spectroscopy,
 Remote Sensing of Environment, 259, 112 405, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112405, 2021.
 - Vanderborght, J., Huisman, J., van der Kruk, J., and Vereecken, H.: Geophysical Methods for Field-Scale Imaging of Root Zone Properties and Processes, in: Soil–Water–Root Processes: Advances in Tomography and Imaging, pp. 247–282, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, ISBN 978-0-89118-959-6, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaspecpub61.c12, 2013.

tions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 380, 20210117, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0117, 2022.

Vasiliauskaite, V., Antulov-Fantulin, N., and Helbing, D.: On some fundamental challenges in monitoring epidemics, Philosophical Transac-

Wang, L. and Qu, J. J.: Satellite remote sensing applications for surface soil moisture monitoring: A review, Frontiers of Earth Science in China, 3, 237—247, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11707-009-0023-7, 2009.

Watanabe, Y., Kosako, K., Kunieda, S., Chiba, S., Fujimoto, R., Harada, H., Kawai, M., Maekawa, F., Murata, T., Nakashima, H., Niita, K.,

- 845 Shigyo, N., Shimakawa, S., Yamano, N., and Fukahori, T.: Status of JENDL High Energy File, Journal of the Korean Physical Society, 59, 1040–1045, https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.59.1040, 2011.
 - Wigneron, J.-P., Jackson, T., O'Neill, P., De Lannoy, G., de Rosnay, P., Walker, J., Ferrazzoli, P., Mironov, V., Bircher, S., Grant, J., Kurum, M., Schwank, M., Munoz-Sabater, J., Das, N., Royer, A., Al-Yaari, A., Al Bitar, A., Fernandez-Moran, R., Lawrence, H., Mialon, A., Parrens, M., Richaume, P., Delwart, S., and Kerr, Y.: Modelling the passive microwave signature from land surfaces: A review of recent
- 850 results and application to the L-band SMOS & SMAP soil moisture retrieval algorithms, Remote Sensing of Environment, 192, 238–262, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.01.024, 2017.
 - Yang, P., Prikaziuk, E., Verhoef, W., and van der Tol, C.: SCOPE 2.0: a model to simulate vegetated land surface fluxes and satellite signals, Geoscientific Model Development, 14, 4697–4712, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4697-2021, 2021.

Zreda, M., Desilets, D., Ferré, T. P. A., and Scott, R. L.: Measuring soil moisture content non-invasively at intermediate spatial scale using

- cosmic-ray neutrons, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, L21 402, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035655, 2008.
- Zreda, M., Shuttleworth, W. J., Zeng, X., Zweck, C., Desilets, D., Franz, T. E., and Rosolem, R.: COSMOS: the COsmic-ray Soil Moisture Observing System, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 4079–4099, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-4079-2012, 2012.