- A modeling System for Identification of Maize Ideotypes, optimal sowing dates and nitrogen
- 3 fertilization under climate change PREPCLIM
- 4 5

2 3

- Mihaela Caian^{(1*),} Catalin Lazar⁽²⁾, Petru Neague⁽¹⁾, Antoanela Dobre⁽¹⁾, Vlad Amihaesei⁽¹⁾, Zenaida
 Chitu⁽¹⁾, Adrian Irasoc⁽¹⁾, Andreea Popescu⁽¹⁾, George Cizmas⁽²⁾
- 7 Chitu⁽¹⁾, Adrian Irasoc⁽¹⁾, Andreea Popescu⁽¹⁾, George Cizmas⁽²⁾ 8
- 9 ¹⁻ Național Meteorological Administration Romania (NAM), Sos. București-Ploiești nr.97, Sector 1,
 10 013686 București România
- 2 National Agricultural Research and Development Institute (NARDI) Fundulea, 915200 Călărași,
 România
- 12 * Corresponding author: mihaela.caian@gmail.com 13 14 15 16 17 18 **Supplementary Material** 19 20 21 a) 22 mrso: Emean((rcp45-h)/h) 2021-2050, DJF mrso: Emax((rcp45-h)/h) 2021-2050, DJF 48.5N 48N 47.5N 48.5N 48N 47.5N 23 24 47N 46.5N 46N 45.5N 47N 46.5N 46N 45.5N 25 45N 45N 44.5N 44N 45N 44.5N 44N 43.5N 26 27 43.5N 19E 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E 19E 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E 28 2 4 6 8 10 12 2 4 6 8 10 12 29 30 b) 31 mrso rcp45-h, 2021_2050 mrso rcp85-h, 2021 2050 32 48.5N 48.5N 40.5N 48N 47.5N 47N 46.5N 46N 48N 47.5N 33 47N 46.5N 46N 34 35 45.5N 45.5N 45N 45N 44.5N 36 44.5N 44N 44N 43.5N 37 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E 38 -35-30-25-20-15-10-5-2 0 2 5 10 -35-30-25-20-15-10-5-2 0 2 5 10 39 MIN_incert, cexp, rcp45-h, 2021_2050 MIN_incert, cexp, rcp85-h, 2021_2050 40 48.5N 48N 47.5N 48.5N 48N 47.5N 41 47N 46.5N 47N 46.5N 46N 42 46N 45.5N 45N 45.5N 45N 43 44.5N 44.5 44 44N 43.5N 43.5N 45 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E 19F 19E 20E 21E 22E 23E 24E 25E 26E 27E 28E 29E 30E -15-12-9-6-4-2 0 2 4 6 9 -15-12-9-6-4-202469 1

1

46 47

54 55 56

Fig. 1S: Differences in soil water content [kg/m2] in scenario Rcp45 2021-2050 versus Hist, as: a) mean ensemble percentual change (left) and maximum ensemble percentual change (right) RCP45 relative to hist in winter DJF; b) summer agro-season (AMJJAS) changes in soil moisture in scenarios relative to Hist, for: RCP45 (left) and RCP85 (right), for the ensemble mean (top line); the highest decrease in models is shown in the bottom line; red area is centered over the pilot region of simulations.



Fig. 2S: a) Model's spread for the first 50 H-ranked genotypes, treatment TR1. Green lines show differences between Rcp45 and Hist, red lines same for Rcp85-Hist, thick lines are ensemble mean; b): Differences of H ordered from highest (low value on Ox, linear axis) to lowest (high value on Ox) for three models (full, dash, dot lines), between climate scenario and Hist, for RCP45-Hist (green) and RCP85-Hist (red); full thick lines ar ensemble mean values found on Fig. 9 a. From left to right differences are shown for TR1, TR9, TR12.

75

76

77 78

79

80



Fig. 3S: As in Fig. 2S, for anthesis (top) and maturity (bottom) dates ([dap]) differences between scenarios (RCP45 left, RCP85 right) and Hist. First 100 genotypes are shown. Note that booth anthesis and maturity dates are earlier in warmer climate (valid for all genotypes) and the season length is shortening.



Fig. 4S: The G-range (Ox) for the closest 4 genotypes (colors) to the one of the Control simulations, for
12 treatments. Note that best H is obtained for lower P2 and higher P3 as demonstrated in section 3.b.
Dots in the left hand side are the number of the treatment TR for which the G-range (in isolines) is
obtained. (Oy: ordered agro-management from highest H (1) to lowest H (12), each having the TR
number shown by the dot lines.)