

Figure S1. Monthly mean concentrations of observed (ICOS) and simulated (BGD and BGD+ANT) CH<sub>4</sub> at 10, 50 and 100 m above ground level for all stations. BGD and ANT represent the simulated concentrations from background and anthropogenic sources, respectively. The mean concentrations were computed based on quality-controlled ICOS CH<sub>4</sub> data. Contributions from natural sources (wetlands and termites) and biomass burning were not relevant during the study period.



Figure S2. Monthly (and daily) mean values of observed and simulated temperature, wind speed (and wind direction) at 10 m
 above ground level. The mean concentrations were computed based on quality-controlled ICOS data.



**Figure S3.** Correlation coefficients and standard deviation (SD) model to observation ratios for CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations, temperature, wind speed and wind direction. The numbers in red, blue and green represent ICOS stations with data with sampling heights between 8.0–16.8 m, 40–50 m, and 100 m, respectively (see Table 3 for station details).



Figure S4. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRFGHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
averaged over the period from April 1 to April 30, 2018.

- 14,

- ....



Figure S5. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRFGHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
averaged over the period from May 1 to May 31, 2018.

- 1.0

- 1/2



Figure S6. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRFGHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
averaged over the period from June 1 to June 30, 2018.

- 10-



Figure S7. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRF GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
 averaged over the period from July 1 to July 31, 2018.



Figure S8. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRF GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
 averaged over the period from August 1 to August 31, 2018.

- 2 10



Figure S9. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRFGHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
averaged over the period from September 1 to September 30, 2018.



Figure S10. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and
 WRF-GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c
 and g), averaged over the period from October 1 to October 31, 2018.

- 27.





Figure S11. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and WRF GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c and g),
 averaged over the period from November 1 to November 30, 2018.



Figure S12. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and
WRF-GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c
and g), averaged over the period from December 1 to December 20, 2018.





Figure S13. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and
WRF-GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c
and g), averaged over the period from January 15 to January 31, 2019.



- 55.



Figure S14. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and
 WRF-GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c
 and g), averaged over the period from February 1 to February 28, 2019.



Figure S15. Temporal mean spatial distributions of XCH<sub>4</sub> concentration from SRON RemoTeC-S5P (panels a and e) and
WRF-GHG estimates with and without smoothing (panels b and f, respectively), along with their relative differences (panels c
and g), averaged over the period from March 1 to March 31, 2019.