



# 1 A New Simplified Parameterization of Secondary Organic Aerosol in

# <sup>2</sup> the Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2; CAM6.3)

- <sup>3</sup> Duseong S. Jo<sup>1</sup>, Simone Tilmes<sup>1</sup>, and Louisa K. Emmons<sup>1</sup>, Siyuan Wang<sup>2,3</sup>, Francis Vitt<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>2</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>3</sup>NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA

Correspondence to: Duseong S. Jo (cdswk@ucar.edu)

8

7

Manuscript submitted to Geoscientific Model Development





9 Abstract. The Community Earth System Model (CESM) community has been providing versatile 10 modeling options, with simple to complex chemistry and aerosol schemes in a single model, in order to 11 support the broad scientific community with various research interests. While different model 12 configurations are available in CESM and these can be used for different fields of Earth system science, 13 simulation results that are consistent across configurations are still desirable. Here we develop a new 14 simple secondary organic aerosol (SOA) scheme in the Community Atmosphere Model version 6.3 15 (CAM6.3), the atmospheric component of the CESM. The main purpose of this simplified SOA scheme 16 is to reduce the differences in aerosol concentrations and radiative fluxes between CAM and CAM with 17 detailed chemistry (CAM-chem) while maintaining the computational efficiency of CAM. CAM 18 simulation results with the current and the new SOA schemes are compared to the CAM-chem results as 19 a reference. More consistent SOA concentrations are obtained globally when using the new SOA 20 scheme, for both temporal and spatial variabilities. Furthermore, the overestimation of other 21 carbonaceous aerosols (black carbon and primary organic aerosol) in CAM is greatly reduced, which 22 results in improved global atmospheric burden and concentrations at the high latitudes of the Northern 23 Hemisphere compared to the full chemistry version (CAM-chem). As a result, the high bias of radiative 24 flux in the Arctic region is significantly reduced for both nudged and free-running simulations. We find 25 that the current SOA scheme in CAM can still be used for radiative forcing calculation as the high 26 biases exist both in pre-industrial and present conditions, but studies focusing on the instantaneous 27 radiative effects would benefit from using the new SOA scheme. The new SOA scheme also has 28 technical advantages including the use of identical SOA precursor emissions as CAM-chem from the 29 online biogenic emissions, instead of pre-calculated emissions that may introduce differences. Future 30 parameter updates on the CAM-chem SOA scheme can be easily translated to the new CAM SOA 31 scheme as it is derived from the CAM-chem SOA scheme.





<sup>32</sup> Short Summary. The new simple secondary organic aerosol (SOA) scheme has been developed for the <sup>33</sup> Community Atmosphere Model (CAM), based on the complex SOA scheme in CAM with detailed <sup>34</sup> chemistry (CAM-chem). The CAM with the new SOA scheme shows better agreements with <sup>35</sup> CAM-chem in terms of aerosol concentrations and radiative fluxes, which ensures more consistent <sup>36</sup> results between different compsets in the Community Earth System Model. The new SOA scheme also <sup>37</sup> has technical advantages for future developments.





# 38 1 Introduction

Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) accounts for a substantial fraction of ambient tropospheric aerosol (Hallquist et al., 2009). Atmospheric models generally use parameterizations to simulate SOA because it is composed of a wide range of different organic molecules (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). The SOA parameterization in atmospheric chemistry models varies from the simple method of multiplying constant yields to emissions, to the complex volatility basis set (VBS) approach, which considers the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and gas-particle partitioning, as shown in the recent model intercomparison study for organic aerosol (OA) (Hodzic et al., 2020).

46 Climate models that have to perform hundreds of years of simulations and many ensemble 47 members often use very simple parameterizations to calculate SOA in the model (Tsigaridis and 48 Kanakidou, 2018), due to the high computational cost associated with chemistry, deposition, and the 49 increased number of model tracers to be transported (Jo et al., 2019). Because SOA affects climate 50 through aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions, and climate also affects SOA through 51 changing biogenic emissions and photochemistry (Gettelman et al., 2019a; Sporre et al., 2019; Tilmes et 52 al., 2019; Jo et al., 2021), the accurate representation of SOA in climate models is important but needs 53 to have low computational cost for long-term simulation purposes.

54 The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2) has two different SOA schemes, one 55 simplified scheme for the Community Atmosphere Model version 6 (CAM6) (Danabasoglu et al., 2020) 56 and the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 6 (WACCM6) with the Middle 57 Atmosphere (MA) chemistry (Gettelman et al., 2019b), and a VBS scheme for the CAM6 with 58 comprehensive chemistry (CAM6-chem) (Emmons et al., 2020) and the WACCM6 with the TSMLT 59 (troposphere, stratosphere, mesosphere, and lower thermosphere) mechanism. For the purpose of 60 climate studies using many ensemble members, CAM6 is generally used for computational efficiency. 61 Models like WACCM6 with TSMLT are used for detailed chemistry and aerosol studies, but in general, 62 only a few ensemble members can be performed. Ideally, the two SOA schemes in simple and complex 63 chemistry configurations should give the same results to maintain model consistency regarding aerosol 64 fields and resulting climate forcings, but the spatial and temporal distributions of SOA between CAM





and CAM-chem (and WACCM TSMLT) are different enough to have a significant effect on black
 carbon (BC) and the Earth's radiation budget (Tilmes et al., 2019).

67 Here we propose a new simplified and computationally affordable SOA scheme for CAM, which is 68 based on the VBS scheme in CAM-chem. We compare three SOA schemes (VBS, current simplified 69 SOA, and new simplified SOA) under a few different CESM2 configurations (specified dynamics and 70 free-running in preindustrial and present conditions). The new approach substantially reduces the 71 differences in aerosol and radiation values between CAM and CAM-chem (Sect. 3). The new SOA 72 scheme also has a technical advantage as it does not need input files for the SOA precursor, but uses the 73 same emissions files as CAM-chem or WACCM for individual SOA precursor species (isoprene, 74 terpenes, toluene, etc.).

77	SOA scheme	CAM-chem	CAM	CAM (NEW)		
78 79	Emissions	Individual VOCs, online biogenic emissions	Pre-calculated, lumped SOAG emissions	Individual VOCs, online biogenic emissions		
80 81	VOCs and chemistry	explicitly simulated	No	Lumped tracer (SOAE) with 1-day lifetime		
82	Number of SOA bins	5	1	1		
83 84	Saturation vapor pressure	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100	1.02	1		
85	Enthalpy of vaporization	153, 142, 131, 120, 109	156	131		
86 87	SOA yield	Based on the VBS	Fixed fraction and scaled up by 50%	Based on the VBS but lumped		
88 89 90	Loss processes	wet & dry deposition of SOAG photolytic loss of SOA	No deposition of SOAG No photolytic loss	wet & dry deposition of SOAG photolytic loss of SOA		
91 92	Computational cost (pe-hrs / simulated_year)	7933 (7783 - 8083)	2398 (2353 - 2448)	2455 (2414 - 2501)		

Table 1. SOA schemes used in this study. Computational costs are estimated on the Cheyenne
 supercomputer at NCAR. Computational cost ranges are given in parentheses with the average value.





## **2 Method**

In this section, we present SOA schemes in CAM-chem and CAM, along with the new simplified SOA scheme, as summarized in Fig. 1 and Table 1. General descriptions for other carbonaceous aerosols (BC and primary organic aerosol (POA)) are also explained as concentrations of those carbonaceous aerosols are affected by SOA concentrations (Tilmes et al., 2019). This section also includes the simulation set-up for comparisons between SOA schemes in Sect 3.



99 Figure 1. Schematic diagrams of SOA parameterizations in CESM2.





#### 100 2.1 SOA scheme in CAM-chem

101 SOA in CAM-chem is simulated using the VBS approach, as described by Tilmes et al. (2019). The 102 VBS scheme in CAM-chem incorporates recent findings such as wall-corrected SOA yields, photolytic 103 removal of SOA, and more efficient removal by dry and wet deposition. Details can be found in Hodzic 104 et al. (2016). Here we briefly describe the characteristics that can be compared to the simple SOA 105 scheme in CAM.

CAM-chem uses a VBS scheme with 5 volatility bins (see Fig. 1) with saturation vapor pressures 106 107 spanning from 0.01 to 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at 300K. Enthalpy of vaporization values are 153, 142, 131, 120, and 108 109 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, at 300K based on Epstein et al. (2010). 109 Traditional SOA precursors such as isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, benzene, toluene, and 110 xylenes are explicitly simulated in the model, and the oxidation of those VOCs with OH, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>3</sub> makes gas phase intermediate precursors of SOA (SOAG) according to the volatility bins. Semi- and 111 intermediate-range volatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs) are also considered with a simple OH 112 113 reaction. Since S/IVOCs are defined by volatility and exact chemical speciation is not available for them, 60% of POA and 20% of total non-methane VOC (NMVOC) emissions are assumed to be 114 SVOCs and IVOCs, respectively (Hodzic et al., 2016). Biogenic VOCs are calculated online using the 115 116 model of emissions of gases and aerosols from nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1) (Guenther et al., 2012) 117 available in the Community Land Model version 5 (CLM5), a component of CESM and coupled to 118 CAM (Lawrence et al., 2019). CAM-chem also supports an extended VBS compset that keeps track of 119 VBS tracers from three sources (anthropogenic, biomass burning, and biogenic), leading to 15 SOA 120 species simulated in total. This option is not generally used except for studies tracking sources of SOA, 121 as total SOA burden and formation are very similar between the two options because the same volatility 122 bins are used (Tilmes et al., 2019).

In terms of aerosol modes, the four-mode version of the Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4) is generally used in recent scientific applications (Liu et al., 2016). MAM4 considers Aitken, accumulation, coarse, and primary carbon modes. SOA is simulated using Aitken and accumulation modes but most of the mass (>99%) is in the accumulation mode (Tilmes et al., 2019). In total, 15





tracers (5 for the gas phase and 10 for the aerosol phase - 5 bins  $\times$  2 modes) are used for the SOA calculation in CAM-chem.

#### 129 **2.2 Current SOA scheme in CAM**

The current simplified SOA scheme in CAM uses 3 tracers (1 for the gas phase and 2 for the aerosol phase). Like the VBS, both gas-phase (SOAG) and aerosol-phase (soa\_a1 and soa\_a2 for accumulation and Aitken modes) are simulated with gas-aerosol partitioning, with the enthalpy of vaporization of 156 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and the saturation vapor pressure of 1.02  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (Liu et al., 2012). SOAG does not undergo dry and wet removal, which is also different from the VBS that calculates dry and wet deposition of gas-phase semivolatiles.

136 Unlike the VBS representation which explicitly simulates parent VOCs, this scheme does not 137 simulate the chemistry of VOCs but uses pre-calculated emissions using fixed mass yields for the following VOC categories: 5% BIGALK (lumped  $\geq$ C4 alkanes), 5% BIGENE (lumped  $\geq$ C4 alkenes), 138 139 15% aromatics, 4% isoprene, and 25% monoterpenes (Liu et al., 2012). For biogenic VOCs, offline emissions are precalculated and provided as an additional input file based on biogenic emissions 140 141 simulated by CLM-MEGAN2.1. Generally, the offline biogenic VOC emission does not have annual 142 variations and is repeated over the simulation period. Note that those SOAG emissions are further 143 increased by 50% after model tuning involving aerosol indirect effect (Liu et al., 2012).

#### 144 **2.3 New SOA scheme in CAM**

145 The new SOA scheme uses a similar approach to the current SOA scheme in CAM, but several 146 modifications have been made to allow more consistent results with the VBS scheme in CAM-chem. First, VOC species that generate SOA are matched to the VBS. In other words, BIGALK and BIGENE 147 are no longer used for the calculation of SOA emissions, and instead, sesquiterpenes and S/IVOCs are 148 considered for calculating the interactive emissions of SOA. This change can be scientifically justified 149 150 because SOA yields increase with the carbon number (Srivastava et al., 2022). BIGALK and BIGENE are mainly composed of C4-C6 alkanes and alkenes (Emmons et al., 2020), but S/IVOCs correspond to 151 152 C12 or higher n-alkanes (Robinson et al., 2007).





Second, SOA yields (used for the interactive emissions) have been calculated based on the VBS 153 154 yields in CAM-chem. SOA yields for the first four bins and 20% of the fifth bin are summed up for each compound. Only 20% of the fifth bin yield is used, as it is the most volatile bin. We consider SOA 155 yields from OH reactions only in this calculation, because reaction with OH is dominant for VOCs. 156 Only low NO<sub>x</sub> yields are used in this study which is consistent with Tilmes et al. (2019), which is 157 appropriate for global climate studies with 1° horizontal resolution of the model grid. For air quality 158 159 studies with high spatial resolution, CAM-chem with NOx-dependent SOA yields can be used (Schwantes et al., 2022). The resulting SOA yields derived from CAM-chem results are 0.28, 0.64, 160 0.04, 0.16, 0.45, 0.35, 0.41, and 0.80 for monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, isoprene, benzene, toluene, 161 xylenes, IVOC, and SVOC, respectively. Those are constants and do not change during the run, like 162 163 SOA yields used in the VBS scheme. It is worth noting that those yields can be easily updated in the CAM run-time namelist file if there is a future update to the CAM-chem VBS scheme. 164

Third, we add a new tracer called "SOAE" (Fig. 1) to consider the time that VOCs and intermediate chemical species undergo oxidation before forming semivolatiles. We assume a constant 1-day e-folding lifetime to convert "SOAE" to "SOAG" which can be partitioned into aerosols so that oxidant fields do not have to be simulated in CAM for computational efficiency. The 1-day lifetime corresponds to the OH reaction rate constant of  $10^{12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecules<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with a global annual mean OH concentration of  $11.6 \times 10^5$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> (Warneck and Williams, 2012).

Fourth, parameters are adjusted for consistency with the VBS scheme. The enthalpy of vaporization is changed from 156 to 131 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is the value used in the third bin of the VBS scheme. This can change SOA in the upper troposphere where temperature dependency becomes important. Deposition of gas phase SOA (SOAG) and the photolytic reaction of SOA are also added, which can affect SOA concentrations in the remote atmosphere. Saturation vapor pressure change with the assumption of 10% of POA as oxygenated (Liu et al., 2012) is not used in this scheme for consistency with the VBS scheme.

Fifth, the same offline emission files (anthropogenic and biomass burning) and online emission (biogenic) are used as the VBS method, via namelist control. As a result, preprocessing for SOAG emission is no longer needed, and annual variability as well as the diurnal cycle for biogenic emission





can be easily considered. Note that biogenic emission is always calculated in CLM, regardless of
 whether the emission is used or not in CAM or CAM-chem. Therefore, using online biogenic emissions
 does not add computational cost.

## 184 **2.4 Other carbonaceous aerosols**

Here we describe BC and POA simulations in CAM and CAM-chem, as those are affected by SOA concentrations through microphysics. Because BC, POA, and SOA precursors are emitted from the same sources (except for the biogenic SOA) and share the same aerosol mode (accumulation mode), changes in one component can significantly affect other components. Tilmes et al. (2019) reported ~20% differences between the simplified SOA and the VBS scheme in terms of the global burden of BC and POA, while the difference for the sulfate burden was very small (< 1%).

Unlike SOA, there is no difference in BC and POA simulation schemes between CAM and CAM-chem, because BC and POA are chemically inert and the standard aerosol module is the same (MAM4) for both CAM and CAM-chem. BC and POA are emitted into the primary carbon mode (bc\_a4 and pom\_a4) and are transferred to the accumulation mode (bc\_a1 and pom\_a1) through microphysical aging (Liu et al., 2016). The aging rate is substantially affected by SOA, through changing internally mixed aerosol numbers (Tilmes et al., 2019).

## 197 **2.5 Simulation set-up**

198 We conduct three types of model experiments for different application scenarios using the development version of CESM2.2 or CAM6.3 (tag name: cam6 3 050). First, a specified dynamics run 199 200 is performed for the analysis of the present condition using the nudged meteorological fields. Temperature and horizontal winds are nudged towards the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for 201 202 Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA2) every 3 hours (Gelaro et al., 2017). In this simulation, we run the model for the year 2013 with a spin-up period of one year. Second, historical runs are 203 204 performed for the 1850s and 2000s with prescribed sea surface temperatures and sea ice conditions. 205 These are free-running simulations for 12 years for each condition with the two years discarded for the 206 spin-up. In this case, the CLM is run with the satellite phenology (SP) option which uses a prescribed





leaf area index (LAI) based on MODIS satellite observations (Lawrence et al., 2019). In this option, the 207 input LAI value for each plant functional type (PFT) is the same between the 1850s and 2000s but the 208 PFT fraction changes with time. As a result, the final LAI used for biogenic emission calculation is 209 slightly different between the two periods. The third is the same as the second experiment, but the 210 vegetation state including LAI is simulated prognostically by CLM (biogeochemistry; BGC) (Lawrence 211 et al., 2019). In addition to absolute values, the difference between the 1850s and 2000s is investigated 212 213 from the historical simulations in Sect. 3.3, to compare simulation results in terms of the radiative 214 forcing. In all simulations, the bi-directional oceanic flux of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) is calculated using 215 the Online Air-Sea Interface for Soluble Species (OASISS) (Wang et al., 2019, 2020) and the 216 climatological surface seawater DMS concentration (Lana et al., 2011), which will be the default DMS 217 emission in the next CESM version (CAM7).

## 218 3 Results

In this section, the current and new SOA schemes in CAM are evaluated against CAM-chem as a reference. As SOA changes can affect the other carbonaceous aerosols and radiation fields in CESM2 (Tilmes et al., 2019), we also compare those simulation fields as shown in Table 2.

## 222 **3.1 Aerosols**

Table 2 shows the global annual mean burden of aerosols by different simulations, including gas-phase SOA or semivolatiles (SOAG). Two CAM cases and CAM-chem are consistent within 10% in terms of global SOA burden, with the new scheme showing better agreement. gas-phase SOA (SOAG) is substantially underestimated in both CAM cases, because high volatility bins (saturation vapor pressure of 10  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and 100  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) are not simulated in the 1-bin simple SOA scheme. However, SOAG does not affect other aerosol concentrations and radiation fields, and therefore it is not an important species in CAM.





Table 2. Global annual mean burden of carbonaceous aerosols (SOA, SOAG (SOA in the gas phase), BC, and POA) and radiation fields (FSNT (net shortwave flux at top of model), FLNT (net longwave flux at top of model), top of the atmosphere (TOA) imbalance, SWCF (shortwave cloud forcing), LWCF (longwave cloud forcing)). Because CAM uses the offline biogenic SOA emissions, SOA in the default CAM is not affected by the CLM option (Sect. 2.5). Units are Gg for aerosols and W m<sup>-2</sup> for radiation fields.

236 237	Simulation	SOA scheme	SOA	SOAG	BC	POA	FSNT	FLNT	TOA imbalance	SWCF	LWCF
238	2013 (Nudged)	CAM-chem	1022	484	117	587	236.7	238.7	-2.0	-50.5	22.2
239 240		CAM	948	118	131	704	237.7	239.2	-1.5	-49.6	21.7
241		CAM (NEW)	1027	129	111	574	237.3	239.3	-2.0	-49.8	21.6
242		CAM-chem	780	367	31	299	232.3	235.0	-2.7	-54.6	26.3
243 244	1850s (SP)	CAM	699	102	43	435	233.1	235.4	-2.3	-53.7	25.7
245	(51)	CAM (NEW)	747	94	30	300	232.7	235.3	-2.7	-54.0	25.7
246		CAM-chem	793	375	89	510	231.3	234.0	-2.7	-56.1	25.7
247 248	2000s (SP)	CAM	796	102	102	635	232.0	234.4	-2.4	-55.3	25.1
249	(~~)	CAM (NEW)	744	105	83	488	231.7	234.4	-2.7	-55.6	25.1
250	1850s (BGC)	CAM-chem	826	357	31	302	232.2	235.0	-2.8	-55.0	26.4
251		CAM (NEW)	770	89	31	304	232.6	235.3	-2.7	-54.3	25.9
252	2000s	CAM-chem	982	411	88	510	231.3	234.0	-2.7	-56.3	25.8
253	(BGC)	CAM (NEW)	952	109	83	490	231.6	234.3	-2.7	-55.8	25.2
254	2000s - 1850s (SP)	CAM-chem	13	8	57	210	-0.98	-0.97	-0.01	-1.47	-0.54
255		CAM	97	0	60	200	-1.15	-1.04	-0.11	-1.67	-0.66
256		CAM (NEW)	-3	11	52	188	-0.98	-0.91	-0.07	-1.58	-0.70
257	2000s -	CAM-chem	156	54	57	208	-0.92	-1.08	0.16	-1.31	-0.59
258 259	1850s (BGC)	CAM (NEW)	182	19	52	185	-0.96	-0.97	0.01	-1.44	-0.75





Although two CAM cases show similar global SOA burdens to CAM-chem, their temporal and 260 spatial distributions are very different. Figure 2 shows the monthly timeseries and mean vertical profile 261 of the global SOA burden simulated by CAM and CAM-chem in 2013. The SOA underestimation 262 during the northern hemisphere winter time and the SOA build-up in the upper atmosphere (< 100 hPa) 263 are greatly improved in the new CAM SOA scheme. There is still a discrepancy between the new CAM 264 and CAM-chem such as SOA at around 500 hPa and at the surface (Fig. 2d), due to the limitation of 265 using only one volatility bin in CAM (to reduce the computational cost). One fixed volatility bin with 266 one enthalpy value cannot fully reproduce gas-phase semivolatiles simulated by five bins and the 267 temperature dependency of volatility changes. 268



Figure 2. Monthly timeseries of global atmospheric burden (first row) and vertical distributions (second row) of annual average SOA, BC, and POA simulated by CESM2.





271 Figure 3 shows the global spatial distribution of SOA at 100 hPa, 500 hPa, 850 hPa, and the surface 272 levels simulated by CAM-chem and CAM. In the current CAM simulation, the main source regions (South America and Africa) are well represented at the surface layer (Fig. 3k) but do not appear in the 273 free troposphere and above (panels b, e, and h). This is because the current CAM SOA scheme 274 generates semivolatiles directly from the surface emissions while the CAM-chem SOA scheme needs 275 276 more time for VOC reactions to make semivolatiles, which can form SOA in the free troposphere. The intermediate tracer (SOAE) in the new CAM implicitly considers this process and successfully captures 277 278 SOA peaks in the free troposphere (panels c, f, and i).

In addition, the current CAM fails to reproduce the sharp gradient of CAM-chem SOA above 200 hPa (Fig. 2d) and simulates too much SOA globally (Fig. 3b). The missing loss processes (deposition of semivolatiles and photolytic loss of SOA) and higher temperature dependency (enthalpy) of saturation vapor pressure result in more SOA in the current CAM simulation. This problem is solved in the new CAM SOA scheme (Fig. 3c).

Significant improvements are also found for BC and POA. The current CAM simulates up to ~45% differences while the new CAM shows up to ~7% differences for BC and POA (Table 2). Unlike SOA, seasonalities of BC and POA are well represented in the current CAM (panels b and c in Fig. 2), since BC and POA schemes are the same between CAM and CAM-chem. Spatial distributions are also similar (Figs. S2–S5) except for the Arctic regions in the upper atmosphere. This difference can significantly affect the radiation budget in the Arctic region (Sect. 3.2), which should be important for climate studies focusing on the Arctic.







Figure 3. Global maps of SOA concentrations in 2013 simulated by CAM-chem (first column), CAM (second column), and CAM (NEW) (third column) at four different vertical levels (surface, 850 hPa, 500 hPa, and 100 hPa). The difference maps between CAM and CAM-chem are available in Fig. S1.





## 294 **3.2 Radiation fields**

As aerosols can affect radiative fluxes through direct and indirect effects, here we investigate the 295 radiation changes with the new SOA scheme in CAM, in terms of the difference between CAM and 296 CAM-chem. Figure 4 shows the zonal averages of net shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) fluxes and 297 cloud forcings in CAM compared to CAM-chem. The most notable differences occur in the high 298 299 latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere, similar to aerosol concentration changes shown in Sect 3.1. Both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions almost equally contribute to the positive bias (panels a 300 and d). This strong positive bias of the SW flux in the current CAM is greatly improved with the new 301 302 SOA scheme.



Figure 4. Zonal averages of the radiation difference in 2013 between CAM and CAM-chem. Radiative fluxes at the top of the model are presented in the first row (a-c) and cloud forcings are shown in the second row (d-f).





Biases are slightly increased over the Tropics when it comes to SW and LW fluxes individually, which are mainly caused by the cloud effects as shown in Figs. 4d and 4e, but those are canceled out in terms of the total radiation (Figs. 4c and 4f). Overall, the new SOA scheme shows slight improvements in other latitudes as well in addition to the Arctic region. The low bias can be further confirmed by global spatial distributions shown in Fig. S6, the new CAM simulation shows fewer biases in most of the locations globally (panels h and i in Fig. S6).

#### 312 **3.3 Historical simulations**

Analogous to the simulation results with nudged meteorology in Sect 3.1 and 3.2, the new SOA scheme produces more consistent results with CAM-chem than the current CAM SOA scheme (Table 2), especially for BC and POA burdens that are affected by SOA through microphysics. The new SOA scheme also captures the increased SOA burden in the 2000s compared to the 1850s when using the BGC option, which is mainly caused by increased biogenic VOC emissions (Fig. S7).

Figure S7 further shows that interannual variability may not be a significant factor for isoprene emissions on a 10-years time scale, but this would be important for climate studies with more than 100 years of simulation time (1850s vs 2000s). The offline emissions used in the default CAM have no interannual variability, thus not accounting for emission response to climate change.

The high bias of the SW + LW flux over the Arctic from the nudged meteorology simulations (Fig. 4c) is also found in all historical simulations as shown in Fig. 5. The default CAM shows the high bias for both 1850s and 2000s simulations. However, in terms of the difference between the 2000s and 1850s, the biases cancel out, and as a result, the difference between CAM and CAM-chem becomes small (Figs. 5c and 5f). This cancellation implies that previous CAM studies focusing on radiative forcing are still valid, as radiative forcing is calculated as present minus preindustrial radiative effects.

In terms of global averages (Table 2), the new CAM also shows improvements, especially for shortwave radiation, not only for absolute values but also for the difference between present and pre-industrial simulations.







Figure 5. Zonal averages of the SW + LW flux difference in historical simulations (1850s (a and d), 2000s (b and e), and 2000s - 1850s (c and f)) between CAM and CAM-chem. Note that the results from the default CAM simulations are the same for SP and BGC because the default CAM uses offline biogenic emissions. Only CAM-chem results affect the difference between SP and BGC simulations (blue lines).

#### **4 Conclusion and possible future developments of the aerosol scheme in CAM**

In this study, we developed a new SOA scheme for use in CAM with simple chemistry. This new SOA scheme was designed to close the gap between CAM and CAM-chem in terms of aerosols and radiative effects while maintaining computational efficiency. The new SOA scheme was derived based on the parameters used in the VBS scheme in CAM-chem, without changing the overall architecture of the simple SOA scheme in the current CAM. As a result, the computational cost remained almost the same with the new SOA scheme (within the range of computing environment variability).

CAM simulation results with the current and new SOA schemes were investigated in terms of carbonaceous aerosols and radiative fluxes. There was no significant bias in terms of the global SOA burden of the current CAM SOA scheme because it was tuned by increasing SOA emissions by 50% (Liu et al., 2012). However, the current CAM SOA scheme was insufficient to reproduce the temporal





and spatial (both horizontally and vertically) variabilities of CAM-chem SOA, and the new SOA
 scheme improved the performance of these variabilities.

The new SOA scheme also improved the simulation of other carbonaceous aerosols (BC and POA) 349 through the microphysics of MAM4. Since BC and POA emissions are the same for all model cases and 350 those aerosols are chemically inert, temporal and horizontal spatial variabilities are generally similar to 351 352 each other but the absolute concentrations were improved when using the new SOA scheme. The overestimation of BC in CAM was greatly reduced compared to CAM-chem, from ~45% in the current 353 354 SOA scheme to ~7% in the new SOA scheme. POA is also improved in the same manner. Major improvements were made in the Arctic region for aerosol concentrations in the free troposphere and 355 356 above.

357 The improvements in simulating aerosol fields led to more consistent radiative fluxes between CAM and CAM-chem, especially over the high-latitude regions in the Northern Hemisphere. The SW + 358 359 LW flux at the top of the model was different by up to 6 W m<sup>-2</sup> and it is persistent regardless of the 360 simulation periods. However, in terms of the radiative forcing which is calculated from the difference between present and pre-industrial conditions, both the current and new CAM simulations showed no 361 362 significant differences. While the studies investigating the instantaneous radiative effects will need to 363 use the new SOA scheme, the current SOA scheme would still be valid for studies focusing on radiative 364 forcing.

On the practical side, the new SOA scheme has advantages in keeping up with the updates, as it uses the same precursor emissions as the VBS scheme in CAM-chem. The new SOA scheme uses online biogenic emissions as CAM-chem does, therefore the difference between SP and BGC options can be calculated for SOA. If there is a future update in the VBS scheme in CAM-chem, the corresponding updates in CAM can be done easily by changing the namelist file.

Although significant advances have been made in SOA concentration simulation in this study, the aerosol module in CAM still has room for further development. Currently, CAM reads the offline monthly oxidant fields simulated by CAM-chem but oxidants such as OH and O<sub>3</sub> have strong diurnal variations. It would not be computationally feasible for CAM to calculate or read oxidants every hour, but applying constant diurnal profile values to the monthly fields would not add significant





375 computational costs. It may be important for SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation and sulfate formation as well. The formation 376 of SOAG from SOAE is calculated using a 1-day lifetime, but future versions could use the reaction 377 rate constant with OH if the diurnal variation of oxidant fields is introduced in CAM.

The new SOA scheme can be further adjusted, for example, for studies focusing on surface aerosol fields, users can easily change SOA yields for different emission sources through namelist changes. Vertical shapes can be also adjusted by changing the parameters such as the enthalpy of vaporization, saturation vapor pressure, and photolysis rates in the future.

Code and data availability. CESM is an open-source community model and is publicly available at: https://github.com/ESCOMP/CESM. The new SOA scheme is included in the development version of the CAM (https://github.com/ESCOMP/CAM, tag name: cam6\_3\_093) and will be publicly available in the next CESM release. The model results used in this study are available on the NCAR Digital Asset Service Hub (DASH) at TBD.

Author contributions. DSJ, ST, and LKE designed the research and developed the SOA scheme. SW developed the OASISS scheme. DSJ, ST, and FV conducted CESM simulations. DSJ wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to editing the manuscript.

390 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977. This research was supported by NASA ACCDAM (award 80NSSC21K1439). We would like to acknowledge high-performance computing support from Cheyenne (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX) provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the NSF. The authors thank Behrooz Roozitalab (NCAR) for valuable comments on the manuscript.





## 398 **References**

- 399 Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J. -F, Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., Emmons,
- 400 L. K., Fasullo, J., Garcia, R., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Holland, M. M., Large, W. G., Lauritzen, P. H.,
- 401 Lawrence, D. M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W. H., Mills, M. J., Neale, R., Oleson, K.
- 402 W., Otto-Bliesner, B., Phillips, A. S., Sacks, W., Tilmes, S., Kampenhout, L., Vertenstein, M., Bertini,
- A., Dennis, J., Deser, C., Fischer, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Kay, J. E., Kinnison, D., Kushner, P. J., Larson,
  V. E., Long, M. C., Mickelson, S., Moore, J. K., Nienhouse, E., Polvani, L., Rasch, P. J. and Strand, W.
- 405 G.: The community earth system model version 2 (CESM2), J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12(2),
- 406 doi:10.1029/2019ms001916, 2020.
- <sup>407</sup> Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamarque, J., Marsh, D.,
- 408 Mills, M. J., Tilmes, S., Bardeen, C., Buchholz, R. R., Conley, A., Gettelman, A., Garcia, R., Simpson,
- 409 I., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S. and Pétron, G.: The chemistry mechanism in the community earth system
- 410 model version 2 (CESM2), J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12(4), e2019MS001882, 2020.
- Epstein, S. A., Riipinen, I. and Donahue, N. M.: A semiempirical correlation between enthalpy of
  vaporization and saturation concentration for organic aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44(2), 743–748,
  2010.
- 414 Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C., Darmenov, A.,
- Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard, V.,
- 416 Conaty, A., da Silva, A., Gu, W., Kim, G.-K., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E.,
- 417 Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert, S. D., Sienkiewicz, M. and Zhao, B.:
- <sup>418</sup> The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), J.
- 419 Clim., 30(Iss 13), 5419–5454, 2017.
- 420 Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Bacmeister, J. T., Neale, R. B., Pendergrass, A. G., Danabasoglu, G.,
- 421 Lamarque, J. -F, Fasullo, J. T., Bailey, D. A., Lawrence, D. M. and Mills, M. J.: High Climate
- Sensitivity in the Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2), Geophys. Res. Lett., 46(14),
  8329–8337, 2019a.
- 424 Gettelman, A., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Marsh, D. R., Tilmes, S., Vitt,
- 425 F., Bardeen, C. G., McInerny, J., Liu, H.-L., Solomon, S. C., Polvani, L. M., Emmons, L. K., Lamarque,
- 426 J.-F., Richter, J. H., Glanville, A. S., Bacmeister, J. T., Phillips, A. S., Neale, R. B., Simpson, I. R.,
- DuVivier, A. K., Hodzic, A. and Randel, W. J.: The whole atmosphere community climate model
  version 6 (WACCM6), J. Geophys. Res., 124(23), 12380–12403, 2019b.
- Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the earth's
  atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41(5), 1514–1521, 2007.
- 431 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K. and Wang, X.:





- 432 The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and
- 433 updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6),
- 434 1471–1492, 2012.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J.,
- 436 Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y.,
- Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T.
  F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The
- 438 F., Monod, A., Flevot, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Suffatt, J. D., Szingleiski, K. and Wildt, J.: The
   439 formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos.
- 440 Chem. Phys., 9(14), 5155–5236, 2009.
- 441 Hodzic, A., Kasibhatla, P. S., Jo, D. S., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S. and Park, R. J.:
- 442 Rethinking the global secondary organic aerosol (SOA) budget: stronger production, faster removal,
- 443 shorter lifetime, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(12), 7917–7941, 2016.
- 444 Hodzic, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Bian, H., Chin, M., Colarco, P. R., Day, D. A., Froyd, K. D., Heinold,
- 445 B., Jo, D. S., Katich, J. M., Kodros, J. K., Nault, B. A., Pierce, J. R., Ray, E., Schacht, J., Schill, G. P.,
- Schroder, J. C., Schwarz, J. P., Sueper, D. T., Tegen, I., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., Yu, P. and Jimenez, J.
  L.: Characterization of organic aerosol across the global remote troposphere: a comparison of ATom
- 448 measurements and global chemistry models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20(8), 4607–4635, 2020.
- Jo, D. S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Marais, E. A., Peng, Z., Nault, B. A., Hu, W., Campuzano-Jost, P.
- 450 and Jimenez, J. L.: A simplified parameterization of isoprene-epoxydiol-derived secondary organic
- 451 aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) for global chemistry and climate models: a case study with GEOS-Chem
- 452 v11-02-rc, Geoscientific Model Development, 12(7), 2983–3000, 2019.
- 453 Jo, D. S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Schwantes, R. H., Mills, M. J., Campuzano-Jost, P.,
- Hu, W., Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Singh, B., Lu, Z., Schulz, C., Schneider, J., Shilling, J. E.,
  Wisthaler, A. and Jimenez, J. L.: Future changes in isoprene-epoxydiol-derived secondary organic
- 456 aerosol (IEPOX SOA) under the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways: the importance of physicochemical
- 457 dependency, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(5), 3395–3425, 2021.
- Lana, A., Bell, T. G., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A. J., Dachs, J., Bopp, L.,
- Saltzman, E. S. and Stefels, J.: An updated climatology of surface dimethlysulfide concentrations and
   emission fluxes in the global ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25(1), 2011.
- Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bonan, G., Collier, N.,
- 462 Ghimire, B., Kampenhout, L., Kennedy, D., Kluzek, E., Lawrence, P. J., Li, F., Li, H., Lombardozzi, D.,
- 463 Riley, W. J., Sacks, W. J., Shi, M., Vertenstein, M., Wieder, W. R., Xu, C., Ali, A. A., Badger, A. M.,
- Bisht, G., Broeke, M., Brunke, M. A., Burns, S. P., Buzan, J., Clark, M., Craig, A., Dahlin, K.,
- 465 Drewniak, B., Fisher, J. B., Flanner, M., Fox, A. M., Gentine, P., Hoffman, F., Keppel-Aleks, G., Knox,
- 466 R., Kumar, S., Lenaerts, J., Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W. H., Lu, Y., Pandey, A., Pelletier, J. D., Perket,
- 467 J., Randerson, J. T., Ricciuto, D. M., Sanderson, B. M., Slater, A., Subin, Z. M., Tang, J., Thomas, R.





- Q., Val Martin, M. and Zeng, X.: The community land model version 5: Description of new features,
  benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 11(12), 4245–4287, 2019.
- 470 Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., Lamarque, J.-F., Gettelman, A.,
- 471 Morrison, H., Vitt, F., Conley, A., Park, S., Neale, R., Hannay, C., Ekman, A. M. L., Hess, P.,
- 472 Mahowald, N., Collins, W., Iacono, M. J., Bretherton, C. S., Flanner, M. G. and Mitchell, D.: Toward a
- 473 minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: description and evaluation in the Community
- 474 Atmosphere Model CAM5, Geoscientific Model Development, 5(3), 709–739, 2012.
- 475 Liu, X., Ma, P.-L., Wang, H., Tilmes, S., Singh, B., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J. and Rasch, P. J.:
- 476 Description and evaluation of a new four-mode version of the Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4) within
- version 5.3 of the Community Atmosphere Model, Geoscientific Model Development, 9(2), 505–522,
  2016.
- 479 Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P.,
- Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R. and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: semivolatile emissions and
- <sup>481</sup> photochemical aging, Science, 315(5816), 1259–1262, 2007.
- 482 Schwantes, R. H., Lacey, F. G., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., Lauritzen, P. H., Walters, S., Callaghan, P.,
- 483 Zarzycki, C. M., Barth, M. C., Jo, D. S., Bacmeister, J. T., Neale, R. B., Vitt, F., Kluzek, E., Roozitalab,
- 484 B., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Warneke, C., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Flocke, F., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco,
- 485 T. F., Keutsch, F. N., Kaiser, J., Bui, T. P. V., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Apel, E. C.,
- 486 Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Yuan, B. and Wisthaler, A.: Evaluating the impact of chemical
- complexity and horizontal resolution on tropospheric ozone over the conterminous US with a global
   variable resolution chemistry model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., doi:10.1029/2021ms002889, 2022.
- 489 Sporre, M. K., Blichner, S. M., Karset, I. H. H., Makkonen, R. and Berntsen, T. K.:
- BVOC–aerosol–climate feedbacks investigated using NorESM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(7),
  4763–4782, 2019.
- Srivastava, D., Vu, T. V., Tong, S., Shi, Z. and Harrison, R. M.: Formation of secondary organic aerosols
  from anthropogenic precursors in laboratory studies, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 5(1), 1–30,
  2022.
- 495 Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Park, M.,
- Lamarque, J. -F, Vitt, F., Shrivastava, M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L. and Liu, X.: Climate
  Forcing and Trends of Organic Aerosols in the Community Earth System Model (CESM2), J. Adv.
- 498 Model. Earth Syst., 18, 17,745, 2019.
- Tsigaridis, K. and Kanakidou, M.: The Present and Future of Secondary Organic Aerosol Direct Forcing
  on Climate, Current Climate Change Reports, 4(2), 84–98, 2018.
- <sup>501</sup> Wang, S., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J., Jimenez, J. L.,





- 502 Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Kim, M., Allen, H., Ryerson, T. B.,
- <sup>503</sup> Thompson, C. R., Peischl, J., Moore, F., Nance, D., Hall, B., Elkins, J., Tanner, D., Huey, L. G., Hall, S.
- 8., Ullmann, K., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., Flocke, F. M., Ray, E., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., St.
- Clair, J., Commane, R., Daube, B., Barletta, B., Blake, D. R., Weinzierl, B., Dollner, M., Conley, A.,
  Vitt, F., Wofsy, S. C., Riemer, D. D. and Apel, E. C.: Atmospheric Acetaldehyde: Importance of Air-Sea
- 507 Exchange and a Missing Source in the Remote Troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46(10), 5601–5613,
- 508 2019.
- 509 Wang, S., Apel, E. C., Schwantes, R. H., Bates, K. H., Jacob, D. J., Fischer, E. V., Hornbrook, R. S.,
- 510 Hills, A. J., Emmons, L. K., Pan, L. L., Honomichl, S., Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J.-F., Yang, M.,
- 511 Marandino, C. A., Saltzman, E. S., de Bruyn, W., Kameyama, S., Tanimoto, H., Omori, Y., Hall, S. R.,
- 512 Ullmann, K., Ryerson, T. B., Thompson, C. R., Peischl, J., Daube, B. C., Commane, R., McKain, K.,
- 513 Sweeney, C., Thames, A. B., Miller, D. O., Brune, W. H., Diskin, G. S., DiGangi, J. P. and Wofsy, S. C.:
- 514 Global atmospheric budget of acetone: Air-sea exchange and the contribution to hydroxyl radicals, J.
- 515 Geophys. Res., 125(15), doi:10.1029/2020jd032553, 2020.
- 516 Warneck, P. and Williams, J.: The Atmospheric Chemist's Companion, Springer Dordrecht., 2012.