Referred to Track changes' Manuscript:

Teddy-Tool v1.1 performed satisfactorily for all variables except precipitation and windspeed. Considering the limitations of the methodology, I recommend a minor revision. I encourage authors to include the following comments and suggestions.

Comments & Suggestions:

a) Comparison of absolute error (AE) of each variable between the reference/observed and climate model datasets seems not enough for choosing "the most similar meteorological day". For instance, AE compared only the rainfall amount between daily reference/observed and climate model datasets, but it ignores the characteristics such as intensity and frequency such as heavy (in ISIMP) and light (aggregated daily WFDE5) rainfall events.

b) How do authors justify with a minimum sum of ranks has the "most similar meteorological day"?

L134 add the sentence to the previous paragraph. It's confusing with Table 1.
L223-228- justify. Why filtration of statistical population based on precipitation state based on three days (i.e., 8 options)?
L232- Why do we consider an equal weightage for each variable? Does the correlation among each variable as indicated in L345-346 have any significant effects on it?

L234- elaborate on the term statistical similarity meant.
L508-509- paraphrase
Fig1: check whether the chart needs to include the portion of L305-307
Fig: 9- r value between which parameters? (Same as Fig 5 & 6)
Table 1- check the subscripts & include the full name of variables such as Precipitation (pr)

- include the ISIMIP data description in the manuscript's data section as provided in the response section.
- Rename the 4.3 section
- L562- "Discussion and summary" seems appropriate.