



- 1 A new temperature-photoperiod coupled phenology module in LPJ-
- 2 GUESS model v4.1: optimizing estimation of terrestrial carbon and

3 water processes

- 4 Shouzhi Chen¹, Yongshuo H. Fu^{1,2*}, Mingwei Li¹, Zitong Jia¹, Yishuo Cui¹, Jing
- 5 $Tang^{3*}$
- ⁶ ¹College of Water Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China.
- 7 ²Plants and Ecosystems, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Antwerp,
- 8 Belgium.
- ⁹ ³ Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 10
- 11 *Corresponding author*:
- 12 Yongshuo Fu (<u>yfu@bnu.edu.cn</u>); Jing Tang (jing.tang@bio.ku.dk).

13 Abstract

14 Vegetation phenological shifts impact the terrestrial carbon and water cycle, and affects local climate system through biophysical and biochemical processes between biosphere 15 and atmosphere. Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs), serving as pivotal 16 simulation tools for investigating terrestrial ecosystem carbon and water cycles, 17 typically incorporate representations of vegetation phenological processes. 18 Nevertheless, it is still a challenge to achieve accurate simulation of vegetation 19 phenology in the DGVMs. Here, we developed and coupled the spring and autumn 20 21 phenology models into one of the DGVMs, LPJ-GUESS. These process-based phenology models driven by temperature and photoperiod, and are parameterized for 22 deciduous trees and shrubs using remote sensing-based phenological observations and 23 reanalysis dataset ERA5 land. The results show that the developed LPJ-GUESS with 24





25	new phenology modules substantially improved the accuracy in capturing start and end
26	dates of growing seasons. For the start of growing season, the simulated RMSE for
27	deciduous tree and shrubs decreased by 8.04 and 17.34, respectively. For the autumn
28	phenology, the simulated RMSE for deciduous tree and shrubs decreased by 22.61 and
29	17.60, respectively. Interestingly, we have also found that differences in simulated start
30	and end of growing season can largely alter the ecological niches and competitive
31	relationships among different plant functional types (PFTs), and subsequentially impact
32	the community structure and in turn influence the terrestrial carbon and water cycles.
33	Hence, our study highlights the importance getting accurate of phenology estimation to
34	reduce the uncertainties in plant distribution and terrestrial carbon and water cycling.
35	Keywords: LPJ-GUESS, phenology model, model modification, ecological processes





36 **1. Introduction**

Vegetation plays a pivotal role within the terrestrial ecosystem, as the interplay 37 between vegetation and climate exerts significant influence on the mass and energy 38 39 cycles across a broad range of temporal and spatial scales (Zhu et al., 2016; Piao et al., 40 2019; Chen et al., 2022a). In recent years, with the increase of carbon dioxide concentration and land surface temperature, significant vegetation greening has been 41 reported world widely, and the annual growth dynamics of vegetation have undergone 42 significant changes, especially the spring and autumn phenological changes (Zhu et al., 43 2016). A large amount of research evidences have indicated that climate change results 44 in the advancement of spring phenology and the postponement of autumn phenology, 45 46 exerting a profound influence on the carbon and water cycles within terrestrial ecosystems (Piao et al., 2019; Badeck et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2020), and the 47 geographic distribution of species (Chuine, 2010; Fang and Lechowicz, 2006; Huang 48 et al., 2017). Under conditions of sufficient water supply and no radiation constraints, 49 50 the extension of the growing season resulting from vegetation phenological shifts will contribute additional carbon sinks to terrestrial ecosystems (Zhang et al., 2020; Keenan 51 et al., 2014). Longer growing seasons also lead to greater evapotranspiration, mainly in 52 early spring and autumn, which in turn reduces watershed runoff (Huang et al., 2017; 53 Kim et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2022b; Geng et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it is still a 54 challenge to achieve accurate simulation of vegetation phenology in dynamic global 55 vegetation models (DGVMs), especially in the context of climate change (Richardson 56 57 et al., 2012). We urgingly caution that improving the vegetation phenology module of





58 DGVMs, and taking the response of vegetation phenology to climate change into 59 consider comprehensively, which is a necessary development to improve model 60 simulation accuracy and reduce model uncertainty.

61 The State-of-the-art DGVMs generally include phenology modules in vegetation submodels, but the implementations vary widely, which include: 1) using fixed and 62 prescribed seasonal dynamics to characterize phenology, and the models using this 63 method include SiB model, SiBCASA model, ISAM model, etc. (Sellers et al., 1986; 64 Schaefer et al., 2008; Jain and Yang, 2005); 2) using remote sensing data or in-situ 65 observations directly describing the vegetation growth dynamics instead of process-66 67 based simulation, SiB2, BEPS and ED2 are all based on this method to describe the 68 vegetation growth dynamics (Sellers et al., 1996; Deng et al., 2006; Medvigy et al., 2009); 3) using vegetation phenology model which take the response of vegetation 69 biophysiology to environment factors into account to simulate vegetation growth 70 71 dynamics. In comparison to the first two methods, the third approach offers the 72 advantage of depicting the responses of vegetation to the external environment grounded in plant physiological processes, and can trace the dynamics of vegetation 73 growth amidst changing environment conditions, so it is adopted by several DGVMs, 74 75 e.g. Biome-BGC, ORCHIDEE and LPJ-GUESS (Thornton et al., 2002; Krinner et al., 2005; Sitch et al., 2003). With the evolving comprehension of the intricate response 76 mechanisms of vegetation to external environment, vegetation phenological models 77 have experienced substantial advancements in recent decades, which encompass shifts 78 79 from single-process to multi-process mechanisms and from single-variable to multi-





80	factor model constraints. (Liu et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2020; Piao et al., 2019). For spring
81	phenological models, in the early stage, temperature was the only factor considered,
82	resulting in relatively simplistic model processes, which was also commonly adopted
83	by DGVMs (GDD and Unified etc.) (Sarvas, 1972; Chuine, 2000). With the deepening
84	of the understanding of spring phenological mechanism, factors such as radiation and
85	photoperiod have been introduced into the phenological model, and the corresponding
86	complex regulatory mechanisms have also been perfected, e.g. Sequential model,
87	Parallel model and DROMPHOT model etc. (Hänninen, 1990; Kramer, 1994; Caffarra
88	et al., 2011). As for the autumn phenological model, the early model form was also
89	relatively simple (cold temperature-driven CDD model) but widely used in DGVMs,
90	and some DGVMs used fixed leaf longevity for determination of autumn phenological
91	dates. The development of relatively complex autumn phenological mechanism models
92	is relatively late, and these advanced autumn phenological models take photoperiod and
93	carbon accumulation into account in the model process, such as DM model,
94	photosynthesis-influenced autumn phenology (PIA) model (Zani et al., 2020; Delpierre
95	et al., 2009). Many researches have pointed out that early phenological models tend to
96	be overly simplistic and result in biased predictions, which indicates that the vegetation
97	phenological models of DGVMs need to be updated urgently (Kucharik et al., 2006;
98	Ryu et al., 2008). The use of more accurate phenological models covering more
99	complex mechanisms is of great significance to reduce the simulation errors of DGVMs
100	and improve the simulation reliability under future climate warming.

101

In this study, we used the remote sensing-based phenology data together with





102	threshold and maximum rate of change method to parameterize the spring
103	DROMPHOT model and autumn DM model for boreal needle leaved summergreen tree
104	(BNS), Shade-intolerant broadleaved summergreen tree (IBS), shade-tolerant
105	temperate broadleaved summergreen tree (TeBS) and summergreen shrubs plant
106	function types (PFTs). The new phenology module with these parameters were coupled
107	into the LPJ-GUESS model. The objectives of this study are as follows:1) to couple
108	more mechanistic phenology modules into LPJ-GUESS to improve the accuracy of
109	spring and autumn phenology simulations; (2) to assess the impacts of different
110	vegetation phenological algorithms on the carbon and water process simulations.

- 111 **2. Materials and methods**
- 112 2.1 Datasets

113 2.1.1 GIMMS NDVI4g

Normalized differential vegetation index (NDVI) is commonly used as a proxy for 114 vegetation canopy greenness and growth condition. In the study, we used the forth-115 generation NDVI dataset of GIMMS, which provides biweekly NDVI records with a 116 117 spatial resolution of 1/12° (~8 km), during 1982-2017 to extract the start and end of growing season (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014; Tucker et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2023). This 118 NDVI dataset has been refined and corrected for orbital drift, calibration, viewing 119 geometry, and volcanic aerosols, which can accurately reflect the accurate growth 120 dynamics of surface vegetation (Kaufmann et al., 2000). 121

122 2.1.2 ERA5-land daily air temperature





123	The ERA5-Land daily air temperature dataset has been used to parameterize spring
124	and autumn phenological algorithms and force LPJ-GUESS model. The dataset is a
125	global reanalysis dataset developed by the European Centre for Medium-Range
126	Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), which utilises advanced data assimilation techniques
127	combining observations from various sources, such as satellites, weather stations, and
128	weather balloons, with numerical weather prediction models. We downloaded the
129	ERA5 land daily air temperature at 0.5° spatial resolution (consistent with CRU NCDP
130	data, from 1982-2015) from their official website
131	(https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-land?tab=form).
132	Due to possible bias between different data sets, we calculated the monthly average of
133	ERA5 land daily air temperature and calculated its climatology, as well as climatology
134	of CRU NCEP monthly air temperature data, and corrected the bias of ERA5 land data

135 according to the deviation.

136 **2.1.3 GLC 2000 land cover data**

Satellite remote sensing can capture the collective information from mixed pixels 137 comprised of various plants and also information from dominant vegetation. The data 138 139 acquired through satellite remote sensing can be regarded as representative of a particular vegetation type only when the plant functional types within a gridcell exhibit 140 a relatively homogeneous composition. Based on GLC2000 land cover types data, 141 which are designated according to PFTs ascribed to satellite images and ground-truth 142 by regional analysts with 1 km spatial resolution (Bartholome and Belward, 2005), we 143 calculated the proportion of different PFTs in the $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ gridcell to identify pixels 144





- 145 dominated by a specific plant functional type (the proportion of a specific plant function
- 146 type is greater than 50%, Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).



Figure 1. The spatial distributions of 11 detailed regional land-cover types in the
 GLC2000 products. BNS: Deciduous needle forest, IBS&TeBS: Open deciduous
 broadleaf forest and closed deciduous broadleaf forest, Shrubs: Sparse herbaceous or
 sparse shrub cover and Deciduous shrub.

151 2.2 Phenology dates extraction

We used five phenological extraction methods, which includes three threshold-152 based methods (i.e. Gaussian-Midpoint, Spline-Midpoint and Timesat-SG Methods) 153 and two change rate-based methods (i.e. the HANTS-Maximum and Polyfit-Maximum 154 155 methods) following previous studies (Cong et al., 2012; Savitzky and Golay, 1964; Chen et al., 2023), to retrieval spring (start of growing season, SOS) and autumn (end 156 of growing season, EOS) phenological events (Fig.S2). Phenological extraction based 157 on multiple methods consists of three steps: 1) smoothing and interpolating the NDVI 158 date to obtain the smooth and continuous NDVI daily time series; 2) using the threshold 159 value (0.5 for SOS and 0.2 for EOS) or the maximum rate of change to extract the 160





161	vegetation phenology from each single method (Reed et al., 1994; White et al., 1997;
162	White et al., 2009; Piao et al., 2006); 3) averaging the phenological results obtained by
163	different extraction methods to reduce uncertainties associated with a single method
164	(Due to the different fitting methods, interpolation methods and threshold settings of
165	different extraction methods) (Fu et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2023).

166 2.3 Model description

LPJ-GUESS is a process-based dynamic global vegetation model that can 167 simulate vegetation dynamics and soil biogeochemical processes across different 168 terrestrial ecosystems. At gridcell level, the model simulates vegetation growth, 169 170 allometry competition, mortality and disturbances (Sitch et al., 2003; Morales et al., 2005; Hickler et al., 2004). The PFTs within the framework of the LPJ-GUESS model 171 172 encapsulate the extensive spectrum of structural and functional attributes characteristic of potential plant species. Within a given area (patch, corresponding in 173 size approximately to the maximum area of influence of one large adult individual on 174 its neighbors), plant growth is governed by the synergistic interplay of bioclimatic 175 constraints and interspecific competition for spatial dominance, access to light, and 176 177 vital resources. In a gridcell (stand), it's typically simulating multiple such patches to represent different disturbance histories within a landscape, and across these 178 patches, the modeled properties tend to coalesce towards a singular, overarching 179 average value. 180

181In LPJ-GUESS model, spring phenology is calculated based on spring heat and182winter cold requirements (Sykes et al., 1996). Plants have certain energy





183	requirements for budburst, which are expressed by using growing degree days above
184	5 degrees (GDD5), while growing degree days to budburst is also related to the length
185	of the chilling period. An increase in chilling periods can reduce the requirement for
186	growing degree days to budburst, in other words, budburst can be delayed long
187	enough to minimize the risk that the emerging buds will be damaged by frost
188	(Equation 1):

$$GDD = a + b \times e^{-k \times C} \tag{1}$$

Where a, b and k are PFT-specific constants, and C is the length of chilling period. GDD represents the growing degree days requirement of a specific PFT at a chilling period length of C. Growing degree days are defined as the accumulation of temperatures above the base temperature (generally 5 °C), and the length of chilling period is defined as the days that daily mean temperature below 5 °C.

For autumn phenology, leaf longevity was used as a threshold in the LPJ-GUESS model for the simple prediction of senescence. It is assumed in the model that autumn phenology occurs when the cumulative complete leaf longevity is greater than 210 days or the daily average temperature below 5°C in autumn.

Within each stand, 50 different patches (in this study) were applied to represent different disturbance histories within a landscape. The simulations over the study areas included 23 PFTs, which consist of five grass, three bryophytes, eight shrubs and seven tree PFTs, and the summergreen PFTs involved in the improvement of vegetation phenological simulation contain BNS, IBS, TeBS and deciduous shrubs (hereafter called Shrubs), see detailed description in Tang et al. (2023) and Rinnan et





al. (2020).

205 2.4 LPJ-GUESS phenology module modification

- 206 We improved the spring and autumn phenological modules of the LPJ-GUESS
- 207 model by coupling DROMPHOT model and DM model into LPJ-GUESS according to
- 208 the phenological module improvement flow chart (Fig.2).



Figure 2 Flowchart of spring and autumn phenological module modification in
 LPJ-GUESS. Dotted boxes represent independent work, gray boxes represent different
 data sets or intermediate process results, and yellow boxes represent different
 calculation methods or model modules. CDD, cold degree days.

The spring phenological model in LPJ-GUESS was replaced by DROMPHOT model, which introduces the effect of photoperiod on dormancy, and further refined the spring phenological model into three stages: dormancy induction, dormancy release and growth resumption (Caffarra et al., 2011). The dormancy induction process is triggered by a short photoperiod (DR_P) and a low temperature (DR_T), and finishes when the cumulant of the product of DR_P and DR_T reaches a specific threshold (DS > D_{crit},





219 Equation 2, 3 and 4):

$$DS = \sum_{t_0}^{t} DR_T \times DR_P \tag{2}$$

$$DR_T = \frac{1}{1 + e^{aD \times (T - bD)}} \tag{3}$$

$$DR_{p} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{10 \times (DL - DL_{crit})}}$$
(4)

Where t_0 is the start date of dormancy induction, which defined at September 1st of the year preceding budburst, DS represents the state of dormancy induction (the cumulant of daily photoperiod, i.e. DR_P, and temperature, i.e. DR_T, effect), T is the daily mean temperature, and DL is day length on day t. aD, bD and DL_{crit} are model parameters that regulate the effect of photoperiod and temperature.

Dormancy release and growth resumption start after dormancy induction is complete (t_d) , which represent a parallel chilling and forcing process, respectively. The total daily rate of chilling (S_C) is defined as the accumulation of daily chilling (R_C) as Equation 5, and the daily forcing (R_f) is determined by both photoperiod and S_C (Equation 6, 7 and 8), that the effect of photoperiod and chilling on R_f counteracts each other. The increase of photoperiod will decrease R_f while the increase of chilling will reverse the effect:

$$S_{C} = \sum_{t_{d}}^{t} R_{C} = \sum_{t_{d}}^{t} \frac{1}{1 + e^{aC \times (T - cC)^{2} + (T - cC)}}$$
(5)





$$DL_{50} = \frac{24}{1 + e^{hDL \times (S_c - C_{crit})}}$$
(6)

$$T_{50} = \frac{60}{1 + e^{gT \times (DL - DL_{50})}}$$
(7)

$$S_f = \sum_{t_d}^{t} R_f = \sum_{t_d}^{t} \frac{1}{1 + e^{dF \times (T - T_{50})}}$$
(8)

Where aC, cC and C_{crit} are the model parameters of chilling process, and hDL, gT and dF are the model parameters of forcing process. When the total daily rate of forcing (S_f) reaches a critical value F_{crit}, vegetation completely resumes growth and spring phenological events occurred. Note that gT and hDL must be greater than zero to limit the monotonicity of Equation 6 and 7.

237 Since the lack of process based submodule to simulate autumn phenology in LPJ-GUESS model, and only a fixed leaf longevity is used to define occurrence date of 238 autumn phenology, we introduced autumn phenology process that considers 239 photoperiod and cold temperature effects by coupling the DM model into the LPJ-240 GUESS model (Delpierre et al., 2009). The DM model assumes that plants will respond 241 242 to low temperature (below base temperature, T_b) only when the photoperiod is below a critical value (DLcrit), and the daily rate of senescence (Rsen) on that day is determined 243 by cold temperature and photoperiod (Equation 9,10 and 11): 244

$$f(DL) = \alpha_{pn} \times \frac{DL}{DL_{crit}} + (1 - \alpha_{pn}) \times \left(1 - \frac{DL}{DL_{crit}}\right), \ \alpha_{pn} \in \{0, 1\}$$
(9)





$$R_{sen} = \begin{cases} 0, & DL \ge DL_{crit} \\ 0, & DL < DL_{crit} \& T \ge T_b \\ (T_b - T)^x \times f(DL)^y, & DL < DL_{crit} \& T < T_b \end{cases}$$
(10)
$$S_{sen} = \sum_{t_0}^t R_{sen}$$
(11)

Where α_{pn} is a parameter determines that photoperiod shorter than the DL_{crit} threshold weaken (α_{pn} equal to 1) or strength (α_{pn} equal to 0) the cold-degree sum effect. x and y are the indices of the temperature and photoperiod terms in the formula, which are used to adjust the degree of influence of temperature and photoperiod on Rsen, respectively.

250 2.5 Phenological model parameterization

251 Utilizing the spatial distribution of predominantly homogeneous pixels corresponding to distinct vegetation types, we partitioned the remote sensing 252 phenological dataset, and finally obtained the phenological dataset of BNS, IBS, TeBS 253 and Shrubs for the parameterization of DORMPHOT and DM models. We divided the 254 phenology dataset into two parts according to the odd or even number of years, the odd-255 numbered years for model parameter calibration and the even-numbered years for 256 model validation. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm was applied to 257 parameterize the DROMPHOT and DM model for different PFTs, which used the 258 mixed function that comprehensively considers multiple evaluation indicators as the 259 objective function (f(mixed), Equation 12), and sets the upper limit of iteration to 260 5000 times to find the global optimal parameter (Marini and Walczak, 2015; Poli et al., 261





262 2007). The parameters of DROMPHOT model and DM model applicable to BNS,

263 IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs were found by PSO algorithm (Table S1 and S2).

$$f(mixed) = 100*(1-R^2) + 100*(1-NSE) + 10*RMSE$$
(12)

Where R^2 is coefficient of determination, NSE is Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency, and RMSE is Root mean square error. The coefficients in front of each term of the formula are used to adjust the weights of different evaluation indicators. The smaller the objective function, the closer the simulated value of the model is to the observed value.

268 2.6 Simulation set-up

To compare the simulation performance of LPJ-GUESS which employing original 269 phenological module and modified phenological module (the extended LPJ-GUESS). 270 We first ran the model using CRU NCEP v7 gridded climate data 271 272 (https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds314.3/) which includes monthly air temperature, precipitation, wind speed, wet days, incoming shortwave radiation and relative 273 humidity over the period 1901-1978 with a 500 year spin up and saved all model state 274 275 variables at the end of 1978 (used the original phenological module, and the status variables associated with the modified phenological module were also updated and 276 saved concurrently) to avoid the differences in the simulated vegetation and soil state 277 278 variables outside the study period, i.e. 1979-2015 (Viovy, 2018). Then we restarted the model simulations (applying the original phenological module and modified 279 phenological module, respectively) with the saved model state variables at the last day 280 of 1978 and ERA5 land daily air temperature, note that other forcing data were still 281





- from CRU NCEP v7 data set, and printed start (end) of growing season of summer green PFTs, monthly grid level gross primary productivity (GPP) and actual evapotranspiration (AET) of each PFT and foliar projection cover (FPC), for investigating the simulation difference which induced by phenological simulation differences.
- 287 3. Results

288 **3.1 Phenology simulation performance**

289	For spring phenology, DROMPHOT model has the best simulation performance
290	in the IBS&TeBS region ($R^2 = 0.62$ & NSE = 0.62), followed by in the regions
291	dominated by BNS ($R^2 = 0.52$ & NSE = 0.52) and Shrubs ($R^2 = 0.47$ & NSE = 0.47)
292	(Table 1). For autumn phenology the simulation performance was generally worse than
293	that of spring phenology. The DM model has the best simulation performance in the
294	Shrubs region, ($R^2 = 0.39$ & NSE = 0.39), followed by in the regions dominated by
295	BNS ($R^2 = 0.33$ & NSE = 0.32) and IBS&TeBS ($R^2 = 0.47$ & NSE = 0.47) (Table 1).

296 Table 1 Model performances of DROMPHOT and DM models.

	Plant	Calibration				Validatio	n
Model	function	\mathbb{R}^2	R ² NSE	RMSE	\mathbb{R}^2	NSE	RMSE
	type						
	BNS	0.54	0.53	7.71	0.52	0.52	7.96
DROMPHOT	IBS&TeBS	0.61	0.61	7.92	0.62	0.62	7.91
	Shrub	0.45	0.44	11.3	0.47	0.47	11.1
	BNS	0.28	0.28	10.7	0.33	0.32	10.7
DM	IBS&TeBS	0.29	0.28	14.9	0.32	0.31	14.4
	Shrub	0.42	0.42	10.4	0.39	0.39	10.5

R², coefficient of determination, NSE, Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency, RMSE, Root mean
square error. BNS, boreal needle leaved summergreen tree, IBS, Shade-intolerant
broadleaved summergreen tree, TeBS, shade-tolerant temperate broadleaved





300	summergreen tree and Shrubs, summergreen shrubs plant function types).
301	

302	Compared with remote sensing-based vegetation phenological indices, LPJ-
303	GUESS with the original phenological module estimated earlier spring onset and
304	autumn leaf senescence. The simulated spring phenology matches better than that of
305	autumn phenology. The extended LPJ-GUESS model has greatly improved the
306	estimation accuracy in regions dominated by BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs (Fig.
307	3 and Fig. S3). For spring phenology, the simulated R^2 (RMSE) of the extended LPJ-
308	GUESS model for regions dominated by BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs were 0.53
309	(7.84), 0.46 (11.21) and 0.61 (7.92), respectively, which increased (decreased) by 0.26
310	(5.55), 0.25 (10.53) and 0.12 (17.34) compared with the original phenological module.
311	We found that PFTs with larger R^2 increase in spring phenological simulation also
312	had smaller RMSE reductions for the extended model, indicating the improvements in
313	capturing interannual change and the multi-year mean value. The autumn phenology
314	simulation performance with was greatly improved by integrating DM model for
315	regions dominated by BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs, the simulated R ² (RMSE) of
316	the extended LPJ-GUESS model were 0.31 (10.70), 0.41 (10.42) and 0.31 (14.69),
317	respectively, which increased (decreased) by 0.11 (15.66), 0.30 (29.56) and 0.29 (17.60).
318	By comparing the LPJ-GUESS simulated daily LAI before and after coupling the DM
319	model, we also found that the autumn LAI values simulated by the extended LPJ-
320	GUESS no longer suddenly decrease to 0 over a day, but rather smoothly decrease with
321	the sigmoid function according to the control of cold temperature and photoperiod (Fig.
322	S4).







Figure 3 Comparison of the simulated performance of spring (SOS) and autumn 323 (EOS) phenology between the original (left blue panels) and the extended (right 324 red panels) LPJ-GUESS. (a-d) Simulation performance of SOS using the original 325 LPJ-GUESS, (e-h) Simulation performance of SOS using the extended LPJ-GUESS, 326 (i-l) Simulation performance of EOS using the original LPJ-GUESS, (m-p) Simulation 327 performance of EOS using the extended LPJ-GUESS. Blue and red boxes represent 328 spring and autumn phenological simulations. The spatial geographic map showed the 329 difference between the simulation results of LPJ-GUESS model and the remote sensing 330 331 phenology, with blue representing the model underestimation and red representing the model overestimation. The dotted lines in the subgraph are 1:1 line. 332

333 3.2 Gross primary productivity simulation

334 Since the PFTs simulated in LPJ-GUESS model include not only BNS, IBS&TeBS

and Shrubs, but also evergreen plants and grass (no development was made to its

336 phenological simulation in the present study), we found that clear differences between





337	two versions of the model mainly appeared in the regions dominated by these deciduous
338	PFTs with improved phenological modules. We only found small differences in the
339	regions dominated by evergreen or grassland (Fig. 4c). It is also clear that the original
340	LPJ-GUESS generally simulated higher GPP than the extended one over the study
341	period, except for the IBS&TeBS dominated regions, where higher GPP from the
342	original model can be only found from 1979 to 2000 (Fig. 4d-f). By comparing multiple
343	years' monthly mean GPP values, it becomes evident that the modified phenology also
344	influences the seasonal dynamics of GPP. In regions dominated by BNS, the differences
345	in monthly GPP are primarily noticeable during spring (using modified phenological
346	module resulted in a -34.9% lower GPP in May compared to original phenological
347	module, when not specifically stated, the value is that the extended model differs from
348	the original model, Fig. 4g). In regions dominated by IBS&TeBS, GPP differs in both
349	spring (-2.8%) and autumn (-6.3%) and the difference is larger in autumn, which mainly
350	contribute to annually GPP difference (Fig. 4h). In Shrubs dominate regions, we found
351	differences in GPP in all months (-43.9%), especially in the non-growing season,
352	indicating that some evergreen plants still exist in the region when the original
353	phenological module is used, and that changes in vegetation phenology seems
354	substantially affect vegetation composition in this region (Fig. 4i). Compared with
355	VPM GPP products, we also found that extended LPJ-GUESS model could simulate
356	GPP more accurately during transition periods (Fig. S5).

357

19







358

Figure 4 Comparison of gross primary productivity simulations between scenarios 359 which used original phenological module and modified (DROMPHOT and DM) 360 phenological module. (a) Scenario used original phenological module, (b) scenario 361 used modified phenological module, and (c) the difference between the two scenario 362 mentioned above, blue represents a larger simulation value for the LPJ-GUESS model 363 using the original phenological module, and red is smaller. (d-f) Annual average GPP 364 for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs from 1979 to 2015. (g-i) Multi-year mean 365 monthly GPP for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs from 1979 to 2015. 366

367

The potential natural plant distribution also confirmed that the gridcells with large differences in phenological simulations between original and extended LPJ-GUESS has also large differences in dominant vegetation types (Fig. S3). We selected typical gridcells in BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs region, and compared their multi-year variation pattern of FPC, it was found that phenological changes had a significant





- influence on FPC changes in BNS and Shrubs region (Fig. 5). However, in the
 IBS&TeBS region (the gridcell dominated by IBS was selected here), although we
 found that the difference in phenological simulation effects little on FPC components,
 due to the close proportion of IBS and BNE (fierce competition), small changes in FPC
- 377 components could also lead to changes in dominant vegetation types (Fig. 5c, d).



Figure 5. Shifts of foliage projection coverage (FPC) of typical gridcell in the
regions dominated by BNS, IBS & TeBS and Shrubs PFTs over the period 1979 2015. (a) BNS, (c) IBS&TeBS and (e) Shrubs typical gridcells used original LPJGUESS model, (b) BNS, (d) IBS&TeBS and (f) Shrubs typical gridcells used extended
LPJ-GUESS model.

383 3.3 Evapotranspiration simulation

384 By comparing the spatial pattern, we found that BNS dominated the regions with

385 large differences in the modelled AET under the two runs, and the simulation result

396





386 using the original phenological module were significantly larger (3.9%) compared with that using the modified module (Fig.6c). In the IBS&TeBS dominated region, like GPP, 387 we found that the scenario using the original phenological module presented a larger 388 AET during the period 1979-2000, and the two scenarios simulated AET in the Shrubs 389 390 dominated region were very close (Fig. 6e-f). The seasonal dynamic patterns of AET in BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs dominated regions are similar. The AET in spring is 391 392 higher than in summer and autumn when the original phenology module is used. This 393 is because using the original phenology module result in earlier spring phenology. The 394 increase of AET in spring will exacerbates the water stress in summer and autumn 395 through legacy effect, and then reduce AET.



Figure 6 Comparison of actual evapotranspiration simulations between scenarios
 which used original phenological module and modified (DROMPHOT and DM)
 phenological module. (a) Scenario used original phenological module, (b) scenario





used modified phenological module, and (c) the difference between the two scenario
mentioned above, blue represents a larger simulation value for the LPJ-GUESS model
using the original phenological module, and red is smaller. (d-f) Annual average AET
for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs from 1979 to 2015. (g-i) Multi-year mean
monthly AET for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs from 1979 to 2015.

405 4. Discussion

406 4.1 Remote Sensing Phenology Facilitates Mixed-Pixel Phenology Modeling

Whether through dynamic global vegetation model simulation or satellite remote 407 sensing extraction, a key issue in large-scale vegetation phenology research is the scale 408 transformation of phenology data in mixed pixels. For phenological extraction based 409 410 on satellite remote sensing, which is a top-down approach, the spring phenology extracted from the mixed pixel (without specific dominant vegetation types) is the 411 412 information about the dates when the earliest plant leaf-out occurs in the pixel, while 413 the autumn phenology is the last one to senescence (Chen et al., 2018; Reed et al., 1994; White et al., 2009; Fu et al., 2014). In addition, the greenness of understory phenology 414 (low shrub or grass in forest) further complicates the detecting of overstory signal (Ahl 415 et al., 2006; Tremblay and Larocque, 2001). It is challenging to separate remote sensing 416 signals into different components by filtering or decoupling methods. The more feasible 417 method is to detect phenological changes with few mixed species at a small spatial scale 418 and conducting climate-controlled experiments (Wolkovich et al., 2012). 419

DGVM-based phenological simulation is based on a bottom-up method, different from phenological extraction based on remote sensing. Through simulating plant individuals' growth, development and senescence in the gridcell, which represents different signals in the mixed pixels, and finally synthesizes the vegetation signals of





424	the whole gridcell. In this study, based on top-down remote sensing phenology and
425	parameter calibrations for several relatively pure pixels with clear dominance of BNS,
426	IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs, we integrated these newly calibrated phenology module
427	at PFT level into the LPJ-GUESS to reproduce the gridcell-level vegetation phenology
428	for the mixed pixels. The simulation of vegetation phenology for mixed pixels enables
429	the capture of phenological variability arising from dynamic vegetation changes, as
430	opposed to the predefined approach reliant on specific pixel vegetation types, which
431	also partly explains why phenological models based on predefined vegetation types are
432	difficult to generalize spatially. Leveraging the advantages of wide-ranging remote
433	sensing phenological monitoring and stable monitoring frequencies, analyzing the
434	relationship between pixel constituents and vegetation signals, especially in cases
435	where pixel constituents are relatively uniform, can enhance the accuracy of
436	phenological simulation for mixed pixels.

437 **4.2 Influence of phenological shifts on ecosystem structure**

Our results showed that LPJ-GUESS model which using original phenological 438 module estimated earlier SOS in BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs dominant regions than 439 440 that using the modified phenological module (Fig.3). Earlier spring phenology, which is closely related to plant growth and development and has a strong influence on 441 interspecific competition (Roberts et al., 2015; Rollinson and Kaye, 2012), also lead to 442 a larger dominant area (Fig. S3). In high latitude regions, plants gain a competitive 443 niche when spring phenology advances, which is mediated by the early snowmelt 444 synergistic changes of soil temperature and soil water content, and is manifested in a 445





446	wider window of high resource availability and low competition (Zheng et al., 2022).
447	During this window period, plants can get more light, water and nutrient resources, and
448	then carry out vegetative growth earlier, and finally increase the leaf area in the spring.
449	As the community develops, changes in competitive relations at the species or
450	functional group level in the spring will induce to changes in community composition
451	(Morisette et al., 2009; Forrest et al., 2010). In the context of climate change,
452	differences in the phenological responses of different species may further affect the
453	distribution of species, and the inaccuracy of future phenological dynamic simulations
454	of different vegetation types in DGVMs will introduce great uncertainty to the
455	estimation of future potential natural plant distribution (Dijkstra et al., 2011).

..

456 **4.3 Further development of phenological models**

Although we have substantially improved the LPJ-GUESS' accuracy of simulating 457 vegetation phenology by coupling calibrated spring (DROMPHT) and autumn (DM) 458 phenological algorithms at PFT levels, we still see the discrepancy in the grass 459 dominated regions, which owing to we did not employ the temperature and photoperiod 460 phenological model for grassland phenology simulation, because many studies indicate 461 that grassland phenology is also regulated by precipitation (Fu et al., 2021). 462 Furthermore, the current phenology algorithms only consider the synergistic effects of 463 temperature and photoperiod, but can be further linked to plant growth and physiology 464 (Fu et al., 2020; Zohner et al., 2023). In different regions (under different external 465 conditions), the driving mechanism and effective driving factors of vegetation 466 phenology process can be different. Temperature is an important factor regulating 467





468	phenology in energy limited regions, while water supply (precipitation, soil moisture
469	etc.) control cannot be ignored in water limited regions (Prevéy et al., 2017; Fu et al.,
470	2022). For further developing phenological module in DGVMs, on the one hand, it is
471	necessary to carry out mechanism research of phenology of different species through
472	controlled experiments, to the end of improving the existing mechanism model. On the
473	other hand, it is necessary to introduce new methods, such as machine learning, for the
474	accurate generalization of some complex key nonlinear processes (Fu et al., 2020; Dai
475	et al., 2023). Through the above two aspects of work, a comprehensive phenological
476	module can be provided for further improving the accuracy of DGVM models in
477	simulating the phenological dynamics of different PFTs in different environments.

478 5. Conclusion

In this study, we parameterized and constructed spring (DROMPHOT) and autumn 479 (DM) phenology models for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs PFTs based on the remote 480 sensing-extracted phenology data. These parameterized DROMPHOT and DM 481 algorithms were further coupled into the LPJ-GUESS model, and the results showed 482 that LPJ-GUESS using the modified phenological module substantially improved in 483 484 accuracy of spring and autumn phenology compared to the original phenological module. Furthermore, we found that differences in phenological estimations can have 485 significant effects on carbon and water cycling processes by influencing plant annual 486 growth dynamics and ecosystem structure functions. For the carbon cycle, the influence 487 488 of phenological differences on BNS- and Shrubs-dominated regions was greater than that of IBS&TeBS dominated regions, and there were differences in the seasonality of 489





490	monthly GPP simulations with different PFTs. For the water cycle, in the BNS-
491	dominant region, the earlier spring phenology leads to an increase in spring AET,
492	leading water stress in summer and autumn through legacy effect, and then reducing
493	AET. We highlighted the importance of phenology estimation and its process
494	interactions in DGVMs and propose further developments in vegetation phenology
495	modeling to improve the accuracy of DGVM models in simulating the phenological
496	dynamics and terrestrial carbon and water cycles.





497 Code and data availability

498	The code version used for this study is stored in a central code repository and will
499	be made accessible upon request. Details of relevant meteorological driving data and
500	measured verification data can be obtained from the data description section in this
501	paper. VPM GPP product can be download from
502	https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/global-moderate-resolution-dataset-gross-primary-
503	production-vegetation-2000%E2%80%932016.
504	Declaration of Competing Interest
505	The authors declare that there are no known competing financial interests or
506	personal relationships that influenced the work reported in this paper.
507	

508 Acknowledgments

509 This study was supported by the International Cooperation and Exchanges NSFC-STINT (42111530181), the Distinguished Young Scholars (42025101) and the 111 510 511 Project (B18006). J.T. is supported by Villum Young Investigator (Grant No. 53048), 512 Swedish FORMAS (Forskningsråd för hållbar utveckling) mobility Grant (2016-01580) Lund University strategic research area MERG and European Union's Horizon 2020 513 research and innovation programme under Marie Sklodowska-Curie (Grant 707187). 514 S.C., J.T. and Y.H.F. thank the Joint China-Sweden Mobility Program (Grant No. 515 CH2020-8656) 516

517 Author contributions





- 518 YHF and JT conceived the ideas and designed methodology; JT provided the
- 519 modelling help for the LPJ-GUESS and participated in result interpretation and writing;
- 520 SZC modified the LPJ-GUESS model and analyzed the data and YHF led the writing
- 521 of the manuscript in corporation with SZC and JT; All authors contributed critically to
- 522 the drafts and gave final approval for publication.
- 523





524 Reference

- 525 Ahl, D. E., Gower, S. T., Burrows, S. N., Shabanov, N. V., Myneni, R. B., and Knyazikhin, Y.: Monitoring
- spring canopy phenology of a deciduous broadleaf forest using MODIS, Remote Sensing of Environment,
 104, 88-95, 2006.
- 528 Badeck, F. W., Bondeau, A., Böttcher, K., Doktor, D., Lucht, W., Schaber, J., and Sitch, S.: Responses of
- 529 spring phenology to climate change, New Phytol., 162, 295-309, 2004.
- Bartholome, E. and Belward, A. S.: GLC2000: a new approach to global land cover mapping from Earth
 observation data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 1959-1977, 2005.
- 532 Caffarra, A., Donnelly, A., and Chuine, I.: Modelling the timing of Betula pubescens budburst. II.
- Integrating complex effects of photoperiod into process-based models, Climate research, 46, 159-170,2011.
- Cao, S., Li, M., Zhu, Z., Zha, J., Zhao, W., Duanmu, Z., Chen, J., Zheng, Y., and Chen, Y.:
 Spatiotemporally consistent global dataset of the GIMMS Leaf Area Index (GIMMS LAI4g) from 1982
 to 2020, Earth System Science Data Discussions, 1-31, 2023.
- 538 Chen, S., Fu, Y. H., Hao, F., Li, X., Zhou, S., Liu, C., and Tang, J.: Vegetation phenology and its 539 ecohydrological implications from individual to global scales, Geography and Sustainability, 2022a.
- Chen, S., Fu, Y. H., Geng, X., Hao, Z., Tang, J., Zhang, X., Xu, Z., and Hao, F.: Influences of Shifted
 Vegetation Phenology on Runoff Across a Hydroclimatic Gradient, Front. Plant Sci., 12, 802664,
 10.3389/fpls.2021.802664, 2022b.
- Chen, S., Fu, Y. H., Wu, Z., Hao, F., Hao, Z., Guo, Y., Geng, X., Li, X., Zhang, X., and Tang, J.: Informing
 the SWAT model with remote sensing detected vegetation phenology for improved modeling of
 ecohydrological processes, J. Hydrol., 616, 128817, 2023.
- Chen, X., Wang, D., Chen, J., Wang, C., and Shen, M.: The mixed pixel effect in land surface phenology:
 A simulation study, Remote Sensing of Environment, 211, 338-344, 2018.
- 548 Chuine, I.: A unified model for budburst of trees, Journal of theoretical biology, 207, 337-347, 2000.
- 549 Chuine, I.: Why does phenology drive species distribution?, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
 550 Society B: Biological Sciences, 365, 3149-3160, 2010.
- Cong, N., Piao, S., Chen, A., Wang, X., Lin, X., Chen, S., Han, S., Zhou, G., and Zhang, X.: Spring
 vegetation green-up date in China inferred from SPOT NDVI data: A multiple model analysis, Agric. For.
 Meteorol., 165, 104-113, 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.009, 2012.
- Dai, W., Jin, H., Zhou, L., Liu, T., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Z., Fu, Y. H., and Jin, G.: Testing machine learning
 algorithms on a binary classification phenological model, Global Ecology and Biogeography, 32, 178190, 2023.
- Delpierre, N., Dufrêne, E., Soudani, K., Ulrich, E., Cecchini, S., Boé, J., and François, C.: Modelling
 interannual and spatial variability of leaf senescence for three deciduous tree species in France, Agric.
 For. Meteorol., 149, 938-948, 2009.





- 560 Deng, F., Chen, J. M., Plummer, S., Chen, M., and Pisek, J.: Algorithm for global leaf area index retrieval
- using satellite imagery, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 2219-2229, 2006.
- 562 Dijkstra, J. A., Westerman, E. L., and Harris, L. G.: The effects of climate change on species composition,
- succession and phenology: a case study, Global Change Biol., 17, 2360-2369, 2011.
- Fang, J. and Lechowicz, M. J.: Climatic limits for the present distribution of beech (Fagus L.) species in
 the world, J. Biogeogr., 33, 1804-1819, 2006.
- Forrest, J., Inouye, D. W., and Thomson, J. D.: Flowering phenology in subalpine meadows: Does climate
 variation influence community co-flowering patterns?, Ecology, 91, 431-440, 2010.
- Fu, Y., Li, X., Zhou, X., Geng, X., Guo, Y., and Zhang, Y.: Progress in plant phenology modeling under
 global climate change, Science China Earth Sciences, 63, 1237-1247, 2020.
- 570 Fu, Y. H., Piao, S., Op de Beeck, M., Cong, N., Zhao, H., Zhang, Y., Menzel, A., and Janssens, I. A.:
- Recent spring phenology shifts in western C entral E urope based on multiscale observations, Global
 Ecol. Biogeogr., 23, 1255-1263, 2014.
- 573 Fu, Y. H., Geng, X., Chen, S., Wu, H., Hao, F., Zhang, X., Wu, Z., Zhang, J., Tang, J., and Vitasse, Y.:
- 574 Global warming is increasing the discrepancy between green (actual) and thermal (potential) seasons of 575 temperate trees, Global Change Biology, 29, 1377-1389, 2023.
- Fu, Y. H., Li, X., Chen, S., Wu, Z., Su, J., Li, X., Li, S., Zhang, J., Tang, J., and Xiao, J.: Soil moisture
 regulates warming responses of autumn photosynthetic transition dates in subtropical forests, Global
 Change Biol., 28, 4935-4946, 2022.
- 579 Fu, Y. H., Zhou, X., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Geng, X., Hao, F., Zhang, X., Hanninen, H., Guo, Y., and De
- Boeck, H. J.: Decreasing control of precipitation on grassland spring phenology in temperate China,
 Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 30, 490-499, 2021.
- Geng, X., Zhou, X., Yin, G., Hao, F., Zhang, X., Hao, Z., Singh, V. P., and Fu, Y. H.: Extended growing
 season reduced river runoff in Luanhe River basin, J. Hydrol., 582, 124538, 2020.
- 584 Hänninen, H.: Modelling bud dormancy release in trees from cool and temperate regions, 1990.
- Hickler, T., Smith, B., Sykes, M. T., Davis, M. B., Sugita, S., and Walker, K.: Using a generalized
 vegetation model to simulate vegetation dynamics in northeastern USA, Ecology, 85, 519-530, 2004.
- 587 Huang, M., Piao, S., Janssens, I. A., Zhu, Z., Wang, T., Wu, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R. B., Peaucelle, M.,
- and Peng, S.: Velocity of change in vegetation productivity over northern high latitudes, Nat. Ecol. Evol.,
 1, 1649-1654, 2017.
- Jain, A. K. and Yang, X.: Modeling the effects of two different land cover change data sets on the carbon
 stocks of plants and soils in concert with CO2 and climate change, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, 2005.
- 592 Kaufmann, R. K., Zhou, L., Knyazikhin, Y., Shabanov, V., Myneni, R. B., and Tucker, C. J.: Effect of
- orbital drift and sensor changes on the time series of AVHRR vegetation index data, IEEE Trans. Geosci.
 Remote Sens., 38, 2584-2597, 2000.
- 595 Keenan, T. F., Gray, J., Friedl, M. A., Toomey, M., Bohrer, G., Hollinger, D. Y., Munger, J. W., O'Keefe,
- 596 J., Schmid, H. P., SueWing, I., Yang, B., and Richardson, A. D.: Net carbon uptake has increased through





- warming-induced changes in temperate forest phenology, Nat. Clim. Change, 4, 598-604,
 10.1038/Nclimate2253, 2014.
- 599 Kim, J. H., Hwang, T., Yang, Y., Schaaf, C. L., Boose, E., and Munger, J. W.: Warming-induced earlier
- greenup leads to reduced stream discharge in a temperate mixed forest catchment, J. Geophys. Res.:Biogeosci., 123, 1960-1975, 2018.
- Kramer, K.: Selecting a model to predict the onset of growth of Fagus sylvatica, J. Appl. Ecol., 172-181,1994.
- 604 Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch,
- S., and Prentice, I. C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere biosphere system, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, 2005.
- 607 Kucharik, C. J., Barford, C. C., El Maayar, M., Wofsy, S. C., Monson, R. K., and Baldocchi, D. D.: A
- multiyear evaluation of a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model at three AmeriFlux forest sites: Vegetation
 structure, phenology, soil temperature, and CO2 and H2O vapor exchange, Ecol. Modell., 196, 1-31,
 2006.
- Liu, Q., Fu, Y. H., Liu, Y., Janssens, I. A., and Piao, S.: Simulating the onset of spring vegetation growth
 across the Northern Hemisphere, Global Change Biol., 24, 1342-1356, 2018.
- Marini, F. and Walczak, B.: Particle swarm optimization (PSO). A tutorial, Chemometrics and Intelligent
 Laboratory Systems, 149, 153-165, 2015.
- 615 Medvigy, D., Wofsy, S., Munger, J., Hollinger, D., and Moorcroft, P.: Mechanistic scaling of ecosystem
- function and dynamics in space and time: Ecosystem Demography model version 2, J. Geophys. Res.:Biogeosci., 114, 2009.
- 618 Morales, P., Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., Smith, P., Smith, B., Bugmann, H., Zierl, B., Friedlingstein, P.,
- 619 Viovy, N., and Sabaté, S.: Comparing and evaluating process-based ecosystem model predictions of
- 620 carbon and water fluxes in major European forest biomes, Global change biology, 11, 2211-2233, 2005.
- 621 Morisette, J. T., Richardson, A. D., Knapp, A. K., Fisher, J. I., Graham, E. A., Abatzoglou, J., Wilson, B.
- 622 E., Breshears, D. D., Henebry, G. M., and Hanes, J. M.: Tracking the rhythm of the seasons in the face
- 623 of global change: phenological research in the 21st century, Front. Ecol. Environ., 7, 253-260, 2009.
- Piao, S., Fang, J., Zhou, L., Ciais, P., and Zhu, B.: Variations in satellite-derived phenology in China's
 temperate vegetation, Global Change Biol., 12, 672-685, 2006.
- 626 Piao, S., Liu, Q., Chen, A., Janssens, I. A., Fu, Y., Dai, J., Liu, L., Lian, X., Shen, M., and Zhu, X.: Plant
- phenology and global climate change: Current progresses and challenges, Global Change Biol., 25, 19221940, 2019.
- Pinzon, J. E. and Tucker, C. J.: A non-stationary 1981–2012 AVHRR NDVI3g time series, Remote Sens.,
 6, 6929-6960, 2014.
- Poli, R., Kennedy, J., and Blackwell, T.: Particle swarm optimization: An overview, Swarm Intell., 1, 3357, 2007.
- 633 Prevéy, J., Vellend, M., Rüger, N., Hollister, R. D., Bjorkman, A. D., Myers-Smith, I. H., Elmendorf, S.





- C., Clark, K., Cooper, E. J., and Elberling, B.: Greater temperature sensitivity of plant phenology at
 colder sites: implications for convergence across northern latitudes, Global Change Biol., 23, 2660-2671,
- 636 2017.
- 637 Reed, B. C., Brown, J. F., VanderZee, D., Loveland, T. R., Merchant, J. W., and Ohlen, D. O.: Measuring
- 638 phenological variability from satellite imagery, J. Veg. Sci., 5, 703-714, 1994.
- 639 Richardson, A. D., Anderson, R. S., Arain, M. A., Barr, A. G., Bohrer, G., Chen, G., Chen, J. M., Ciais,
- 640 P., Davis, K. J., and Desai, A. R.: Terrestrial biosphere models need better representation of vegetation
- phenology: results from the N orth A merican C arbon P rogram S ite S ynthesis, Global Change Biology,
 18, 566-584, 2012.
- Rinnan, R., Iversen, L. L., Tang, J., Vedel-Petersen, I., Schollert, M., and Schurgers, G.: Separating direct
 and indirect effects of rising temperatures on biogenic volatile emissions in the Arctic, Proceedings of
- 645 the National Academy of Sciences, 117, 32476-32483, 10.1073/pnas.2008901117, 2020.
- Roberts, A. M., Tansey, C., Smithers, R. J., and Phillimore, A. B.: Predicting a change in the order of
 spring phenology in temperate forests, Global Change Biol., 21, 2603-2611, 2015.
- Rollinson, C. R. and Kaye, M. W.: Experimental warming alters spring phenology of certain plant
 functional groups in an early successional forest community, Global Change Biol., 18, 1108-1116, 2012.
- 650 Ryu, S.-R., Chen, J., Noormets, A., Bresee, M. K., and Ollinger, S. V.: Comparisons between PnET-Day
- and eddy covariance based gross ecosystem production in two Northern Wisconsin forests, Agric. For.
 Meteorol., 148, 247-256, 2008.
- Sarvas, R.: Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. Active period,
 Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. Active period., 76, 1972.
- Savitzky, A. and Golay, M. J.: Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares
 procedures, Anal. Chem., 36, 1627-1639, 1964.
- 657 Schaefer, K., Collatz, G. J., Tans, P., Denning, A. S., Baker, I., Berry, J., Prihodko, L., Suits, N., and
- 658 Philpott, A.: Combined simple biosphere/Carnegie-Ames-Stanford approach terrestrial carbon cycle
- 659 model, J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci., 113, 2008.
- Sellers, P., Mintz, Y., Sud, Y. e. a., and Dalcher, A.: A simple biosphere model (SiB) for use within general
 circulation models, J. Atmos. Sci., 43, 505-531, 1986.
- 662 Sellers, P., Randall, D., Collatz, G., Berry, J., Field, C., Dazlich, D., Zhang, C., Collelo, G., and Bounoua,
- L.: A revised land surface parameterization (SiB2) for atmospheric GCMs. Part I: Model formulation, J.
 Clim., 9, 676-705, 1996.
- 665 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis, S., Lucht,
- 666 W., and Sykes, M. T.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling
- in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, Global Change Biol., 9, 161-185, 2003.
- Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., and Cramer, W.: A bioclimatic model for the potential distributions of north
 European tree species under present and future climates, Journal of Biogeography, 203-233, 1996.
- Tang, J., Zhou, P., Miller, P. A., Schurgers, G., Gustafson, A., Makkonen, R., Fu, Y. H., and Rinnan, R.:





- 671 High-latitude vegetation changes will determine future plant volatile impacts on atmospheric organic
- aerosols, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 6, 147, 2023.
- 673 Thornton, P. E., Law, B. E., Gholz, H. L., Clark, K. L., Falge, E., Ellsworth, D. S., Goldstein, A. H.,
- 674 Monson, R. K., Hollinger, D., and Falk, M.: Modeling and measuring the effects of disturbance history
- and climate on carbon and water budgets in evergreen needleleaf forests, Agric. For. Meteorol., 113, 185-222, 2002.
- Tremblay, N. O. and Larocque, G. R.: Seasonal dynamics of understory vegetation in four eastern
 Canadian forest types, International Journal of Plant Sciences, 162, 271-286, 2001.
- 679 Tucker, C. J., Pinzon, J. E., Brown, M. E., Slayback, D. A., Pak, E. W., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E. F., and
- 680 El Saleous, N.: An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation
- 681 NDVI data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 4485-4498, 2005.
- 682 Viovy, N.: CRUNCEP version 7-atmospheric forcing data for the community land model, 2018.
- White, M. A., Thornton, P. E., and Running, S. W.: A continental phenology model for monitoring
 vegetation responses to interannual climatic variability, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 11, 217-234, 1997.
- 685 White, M. A., de Beurs, K. M., Didan, K., Inouye, D. W., Richardson, A. D., Jensen, O. P., O'keefe, J.,
- 686 Zhang, G., Nemani, R. R., and van Leeuwen, W. J.: Intercomparison, interpretation, and assessment of
- spring phenology in North America estimated from remote sensing for 1982–2006, Global Change
 Biology, 15, 2335-2359, 2009.
- 689 Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, T., Betancourt, J. L., Travers, S. E., Pau, S.,
- Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., and Kraft, N. J.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological
 responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 494-497, 2012.
- 692 Zani, D., Crowther, T. W., Mo, L., Renner, S. S., and Zohner, C. M.: Increased growing-season
- productivity drives earlier autumn leaf senescence in temperate trees, Science, 370, 1066-1071, 2020.
- 694 Zhang, Y., Commane, R., Zhou, S., Williams, A. P., and Gentine, P.: Light limitation regulates the
- response of autumn terrestrial carbon uptake to warming, Nat. Clim. Change, 10, 739-743, 2020.
- Zheng, J., Jia, G., and Xu, X.: Earlier snowmelt predominates advanced spring vegetation greenup in
 Alaska, Agric. For. Meteorol., 315, 108828, 2022.
- 698 Zhou, X., Geng, X., Yin, G., Hänninen, H., Hao, F., Zhang, X., and Fu, Y. H.: Legacy effect of spring
- phenology on vegetation growth in temperate China, Agric. For. Meteorol., 281, 107845, 2020.
- 700 Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein,
- P., and Arneth, A.: Greening of the Earth and its drivers, Nat. Clim. Change, 6, 791-795, 2016.
- 702 Zohner, C. M., Mirzagholi, L., Renner, S. S., Mo, L., Rebindaine, D., Bucher, R., Palouš, D., Vitasse, Y.,
- 703 Fu, Y. H., and Stocker, B. D.: Effect of climate warming on the timing of autumn leaf senescence reverses
- after the summer solstice, Science, 381, eadf5098, 2023.
- 705