Inter-comparison of multiple two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models 1

(WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-Chem v4.1.1, and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1) 2

- in eastern China 3
- 4

Chao Gao<sup>1, 2</sup>, Xuelei Zhang<sup>1, 2, \*</sup>Aijun Xiu<sup>1, 2, \*</sup>, Qingqing Tong<sup>1, 2</sup>, Hongmei Zhao<sup>1, 2</sup>, Shichun Zhang<sup>1, 2</sup>, 5 Guangyi Yang<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Mengduo Zhang<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, and Shengjin Xie<sup>1, 2, 4</sup> 6

7

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Environment, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese 8 9 Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130102, China

10<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Environment, State Key Laboratory of Black Soils Conservation and

11 Utilization, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130102, 12 China

13 <sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

14 <sup>4</sup>School of Environment, Harbin Institute of Technology, 150000, Harbin, China

- 15 Correspondence to: X.L. Zhang (zhangxuelei@iga.ac.cn) & A.J. Xiu (xiuaijun@iga.ac.cn)
- 16

### 17 Abstract

18 In the eastern China region, two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models have been applied aiming to more realistically simulate meteorology and air quality by 19 20 accounting for the aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions. There have been numerous 21 related studies being conducted, but the performances of multiple two-way coupled models simulating meteorology and air quality have not been compared in this region. 22 In this study, we systematically evaluated annual and seasonal meteorological and air 23 quality variables simulated by three open-source and widely used two-way coupled 24 models (i.e., WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE) by validating the model 25 26 results with surface and satellite observations for eastern China during 2017. Note that 27 although we have done our best to keep the same configurations, this study is not aiming to screen which model is better or worse since different setups are still presented in 28 simulations. Our evaluation results showed that all three two-way coupled models 29 30 reasonably well simulated the annual spatiotemporal distributions of meteorological and air quality variables. The impacts of aerosol-cloud interaction (ACI) on model 31 32 performances' improvements were limited compared to aerosol-radiation interaction (ARI), and several possible improvements on ACI representations in two-way coupled 33 models are further discussed and proposed. When sufficient computational resources 34 35 become available, two-way coupled models should be applied for more accurate air quality forecast and timely warning of heavy air pollution events in atmospheric 36 environmental management. The potential improvements of two-way coupled models 37 are proposed in future research perspectives. 38 39 40

1

- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44

Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font	color:	Blue
Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font	color:	Blue
Formatted:	Font:	Italic			
Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font	color:	Blue
Formatted:	Font:	Italic			

Deleted: In the eastern China region, Numerous two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models have been applied aiming to more realistically simulateinvestigate meteorology and air quality during severe air pollution periods in eastern China by accounting for the aerosolradiation-cloud interactions. However, comprehensive assessments of There have been numerous related studies being conducted, but the performances of multiple two-way coupled models simulating long-term meteorology and air quality under equivalent configurations have not been compared conducted in this region. In this studyHere, we systematically evaluated annual and seasonal meteorological and air quality variables simulated by three open-source and widely used two-way coupled models (i.e., WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE) by validating the model results with surface and satellite observations for eastern China during 2017. Our comprehensiveThe model evaluations showed that all three two-way coupled models simulated the annual spatiotemporal distributions of meteorological and air quality variables reasonably well, especially the surface temperature (with R up to 0.97) and fine particular matter (PM2.5) concentrations (with R up to 0.68). The model results of winter PM2.5 and summer ozone compared better with observations. The aerosol feedbacks affected model results of meteorology and air quality in various ways and turning on aerosol-radiation interactions made the PM2.5 and surface shortwave radiation simulations better, but worse for T2 and Q2. The impacts of aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI) on model performances' improvements were limited and several possible improvements on ACI representations in two-way coupled models are further discussed and proposed. When sufficient computational resources become available, two-way coupled models including the aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions should be applied for more accurate air quality prediction and timely warning of air pollution events in atmospheric environmental management.

Formatted: Font color: Blue

# 82 1 Introduction

83 Aerosols in the atmosphere due to anthropogenic and nature emissions not only cause air pollution but also induce climate and meteorological impacts through aerosol-84 85 radiation interaction (ARI) and aerosol-cloud interaction (ACI) (Carslaw et al., 2010; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2016; IPCC, 2021). The feedbacks of aerosols to 86 87 meteorology have been widely investigated by two-way coupled meteorology and air 88 quality models in the past two decades (Jacobson, 1994, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2002; Grell et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2016; Briant et al., 2017; 89 90 Feng et al., 2021). In these models, two-way interactions between meteorology and aerosols are enabled by including all the processes involving ARI or/and ACI (Grell 91 92 and Baklanov, 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Briant et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021). The 93 fundamental theories, modeling technics, developments, and applications of two-way 94 coupled meteorology and air quality models in North America, Europe and Asia have 95 been systemically reviewed (Zhang, 2008; Baklanov et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2022).

As pointed out by these review papers, the treatments and parameterization 96 97 schemes of all the physiochemical processes involving ARI and ACI can be very different in two-way coupled models, so that the simulation results from these models 98 could vary in many aspects. At the same time, the configurations of coupled models, 99 such as meteorological and chemical initial and boundary conditions (ICs and BCs), 100 101 horizontal and vertical resolutions, and emission inventories and processing tools, etc., play important roles in models' simulations. In the past, model inter-comparison 102 projects have been carried out targeting various two-way coupled meteorology and air 103 104 quality models. For example, the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative Phase II focused on the performance of multiple two-way coupled models and the 105 effects of aerosol feedbacks in Europe and the United States (Brunner et al., 2015; Im 106 et al., 2015a, b; Makar et al., 2015a, b). In Asia, the Model Inter-Comparison Study for 107 Asia Phase III was conducted to evaluate ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and other gaseous pollutants, fine 108 particular matter (PM2.5), and acid and reactive nitrogen deposition with various models 109 with/out ARI or/and ACI (Li et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Itahashi et al., 2020; Ge et 110 111 al. al., 2020; Kong et al., 2020). With respect to this project, Gao et al. (2018, 2020) have reviewed in detail the model performance of seven two-way coupled models from 112 different research groups in simulating a heavy air pollution episode during January 113 2010 in North China Plain and how aerosol feedbacks affected simulations of 114 115 meteorological variables and PM2.5 concentrations. Targeting the heavy polluted India 116 region, Govardhan et al. (2016) compared aerosol optical depth (AOD) and various aerosol species (black carbon, mineral dust, and sea salt) modeled by WRF-Chem (with 117 118 ARI) and Spectral Radiation-Transport Model for Aerosol Species (with both ARI and ACI), but under different model configurations. 119

120 So far, there is no comprehensive comparisons of multiple coupled models under 121 the same model configuration with respect to the high aerosol loading region over 122 eastern China, where has experienced rapid growth of economy, urbanization, 123 population, as well as severe air quality problems in the past decades (He et al., 2002;

Wang and Hao, 2012; Gao et al., 2017; Geng et al., 2021). In the eastern China region(ECR), several open-source and proprietary two-way coupled models have been applied

# Deleted:

to investigate the ARI and/or ACI effects, yet most studies have focused on certain 127 short-term episodes of heavy air pollution without any year-long simulations (Xing et 128 al., 2017; Ding et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2021). The commonly used open-source models 129 130 in ECR are WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ (Grell et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2012), but there is no any application of the two-way coupled WRF-CHIMERE model that has 131 132 been applied to examine aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions in Europe and Africa (Briant et al., 2017; Tuccella et al., 2019). At the same time, model simulations should 133 be compared not only against surface measurement data but also satellite data (Zhao et 134 al., 2017; Hong et al., 2017; Campbell et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Even though the 135 136 running time of an individual modeling system (e.g., WRF-CMAQ and WRF-137 CHIMERE) was evaluated by considering its online and offline versions and under various computing configurations (Wong et al., 2012; Briant et al., 2017), the 138 139 computational efficiencies of multiple two-way coupled models need to be accessed 140 under the same computing conditions as well.

In this paper, a comparative evaluation of three open-sourced two-way coupled
meteorology and air quality models (WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE)
in ECR is conducted. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2
describes the study methods including model configurations and evaluation protocols.
Sections 3 and 4 presents the analyses and intercomparisons of simulations from these
three two-way coupled models with regard to meteorology and air quality, respectively.
The major findings of this work are summarized in Section 5.

148

# 149 2 Data and methods

# 150 <u>2.1 Model configurations and data sources</u>

151 One-year long-term simulations in eastern China were examined using the twoway coupled WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE models, with and 152 153 without enabling ARI and/or ACI, and with 27-km horizontal grid spacing (there were 154 110, 120, and 120 grid cells in the east-west direction, and 150, 160, and 170 in the 155 north-south direction for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE, respectively). All the three coupled models used in this study have 30 levels (i.e., 29 156 157 layers) from the surface to 100 hPa with 11 layers in the bottom 1 km and the bottomlayer thickness being 23.2 m. The anthropogenic emissions of Multi-resolution 158 Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Li et al., 2017) and the Fire INventory from 159 NCAR verision 1.5 (FINN v1.5) biomass burning emissions (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011) 160 161 were applied in our simulations, and their spatial, temporal, and species allocations were performed using Python language (Wang et al., 2023). Biogenic emissions were 162 163 calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 164 3.0 (MEGAN v3.0) (Gao et al., 2019). Dust and sea-salt emissions were both used with calculations of inline modules, as shown in Table 1. The meteorological ICs and lateral 165 BCs were derived from the National Center for Environmental Prediction Final 166 167 Analysis (NCEP-FNL) datasets (http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2), with a 168 horizontal resolution of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  at 6-hour intervals for each of the three coupled models, 169 and the flux in model-top boundary is set zero. To improve the long-term accuracy of

**Commented [g1]:** Wiedinmyer C, Akagi S K, Yokelson R J, et al. The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning[J]. Geoscientific Model Development, 2011, 4(3): 625-641.

**Commented [g2]:** Wang K, Gao C, Wang H, et al. ISAT v2. 0: An integrated tool for nested domain configurations and model-ready emission inventories for WRF-AQM[J]. Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 2023: 1-18.

170 meteorological variables when using the WRF model, options of observational and grid 171 four-dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) were turned on, and pressure, station height, 172 relative humidity, wind speed, and wind direction were observed four times per day at 173 00:00. 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UTC from 2168 stations 174 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6975602, Gao et al., 2022). Turing on FDDA in two-way 175 coupled models could dampen the simulated aerosol feedbacks (Wong et al., 2012; Forkel et al., 2012; Hogrefe et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). To reduce the effects of 176 177 enabling FDDA on aerosol feedbacks in long-term simulations, here the nudging coefficients for u/v wind, temperature, and water vapor mixing ratio above the 178 179 planetary boundary layer were set to 0.0001 s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.0001 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.00001 s<sup>-1</sup>, 180 respectively. The chemical ICs/lateral BCs were downscaled from the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) for WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem 181 via the mozart2camx and mozbc tools, respectively. WRF-CHIMERE used the 182 183 climatology from a general circulation model developed at the Laboratoire de 184 Météorologie Dynamique (LMDz) coupling a global chemistry and aerosol model INteractions between Chemistry and Aerosols (INCA) (Mailler et al., 2017). For 185 chemical model-top BCs, WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem models both take into account 186 187 the impacts of stratosphere-troposphere O3 exchange using the parameterization of O3-188 potential vorticity (Safieddine et al., 2014; Xing et al., 2016), the related options for the 189 two models were used in this study. In WRF-CHIMERE, the climatology from LMDz-190 <u>INCA data was utilized (Mailler et al., 2017).</u> 191 The options of parameterization schemes of aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions 192 are listed in Table 1. To keep the consistency of physical schemes, the same RRTMG 193 shortwave and longwave radiation schemes and Morrison microphysics schemes are adopted in both WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ. WRF-CHIMERE applied the same 194 195 radiation schemes and Thompson microphysics scheme. The different other schemes 196 (cumulus, surface, and land surface) in WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem were chosen 197 according to widely used options outlined in Table S1 of Gao et al. (2022). The other 198 schemes used in WRF-CHIMERE are the same as with WRF-Chem. To consider the 199 effects of clouds on radiative transfer calculations, the fractional cloud cover and cloud optical properties were included in the RRTMG shortwave/longwave radiation schemes 200 used by all three coupled models (Xu and Randall, 1996; Jacono et al., 2008). The 201 coupled WRF-CMAQ model with the Kain-Fritsch cumulus scheme included the 202 203 cumulus cloud fraction impacts on RRTMG radiation (Alapaty et al., 2012), but not the 204 WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE models with the Grell-Freitas cumulus scheme. In the 205 Fast-JX photolysis scheme used by the three coupled models, the impacts of clouds are 206 included by considering cloud cover and cloud optical properties. However, the 207 calculations of cloud cover and cloud optical properties are different in these models 208 and all the relevant information is listed in Table S1. As illustrated in Tables 1 and S2 for aerosol size distribution, we used modal approach with Aitken, accumulation and 209 210 coarse modes in WRF-CMAQ, and the 4-bin and 10-bin sectional approaches in WRF-211 Chem and WRF-CHIMERE models, respectively (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003; Zaveri 212 et al., 2008; Nicholls et al., 2014; Menut et al., 2013, 2016). 213 To demonstrate the capabilities of the three two-way coupled models with/without

**Commented [g3]:** Wong D C, Pleim J, Mathur R, et al. WRF-CMAQ two-way coupled system with aerosol feedback: software development and preliminary results[J]. Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 2011, 4(3): 2417-2450.

**Commented [g4]:** Hogrefe C, Pouliot G, Wong D, et al. Annual application and evaluation of the online coupled WRF–CMAQ system over North America under AQMEII phase 2[J]. Atmospheric Environment, 2015, 115: 683-694.

**Commented [g5]:** Zhang Y, Zhang X, Wang K, et al. Application of WRF/Chem over East Asia: Part II. Model improvement and sensitivity simulations[J]. Atmospheric Environment, 2016, 124: 301-320.

**Commented [g6]:** Mailler S, Menut L, Khvorostyanov D, et al. CHIMERE-2017: From urban to hemispheric chemistrytransport modeling[J]. Geoscientific Model Development, 2017, 10(6): 2397-2423.

**Commented [g7]:** Safieddine S, Boynard A, Coheur P F, et al. Summertime tropospheric ozone assessment over the Mediterranean region using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder and the WRF-Chem model[J]. Atmospheric

**Commented [g8]:** Xing J, Mathur R, Pleim J, et al. Representing the effects of stratosphere–troposphere exchange on 3-DO 3 distributions in chemistry transport models using a potential vorticity-based parameterization<sup>[11]</sup>

**Commented [g9]:** Mailler S, Menut L, Khvorostyanov D, et al. CHIMERE-2017: From urban to hemispheric chemistrytransport modeling[J]. Geoscientific Model Development, 2017, 10(6): 2397-2423.

**Commented [g10]:** Xu K M, Randall D A. A semiempirical cloudiness parameterization for use in climate models[J]. Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 1996, 53(21): 3084-3102.

Commented [g11]: Iacono M J, Delamere J S, Mlawer E J, et al. Radiative forcing by long - lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models[J]. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 2008,

**Commented [g12]:** Alapaty K, Herwehe J A, Otte T L, et al. Introducing subgrid - scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling[J]. Geophysical Research Letters, 2012, 39(24).

214 feedbacks in simulating meteorology and air quality, we undertook comprehensive 215 evaluations of the strengths and weaknesses each coupled model, validated against 216 extensive ground-based and satellite measurements. Ground-based data included 572 217 hourly ground-based meteorological observations (air temperature (T2) and relative 218 humidity (RH2) air temperature at 2m above the surface, wind speed at 10m above the 219 surface (WS10), and precipitation (PREC)) (http://data.cma.cn), 327 hourly national 220 environmental observations (fine particulate matter (PM2.5), ozone (O3), nitrogen 221 dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and carbon monoxide (CO)) 222 (http://106.37.208.233:20035), 109 hourly surface shortwave radiation (SSR) measurements (Tang et al., 2019) and 74 radiosonde sites retrieved twice per day (Guo 223 224 et al., 2019); the locations of these data are depicted in Fig. 1. Because there were no 225 observed water vapor mixing ratio (w) data, this parameter was calculated via the <u>formula</u>  $w = \frac{rh}{w_s}$ , where rh is the relative humidity and  $w_s$  is the saturation mixing ratio 226 (Wallace and Hobbs, 2006). 227 228 Satellite data included the following: monthly average downwelling short-/long-229 wave flux at the surface and short-/long-wave flux at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) 230 from the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) 231 (https://ceres.larc.nasa.gov); precipitation from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring 232 Mission (TRMM); cloud fraction, liquid water path (LWP), and aerosol optical depth 233 (AOD) from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS); 234 tropospheric NO2 column and SO2 column in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) from 235 the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI); total CO column from the Measurements of 236 Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) (https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni); 237 total column ozone (TCO) from the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer-238 Meteorological Operational Satellite-A (IASI-METOP-A) (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/satellite-ozone?tab=form); and 239 total ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) column from IASI-METOP-B (https://cds-240 241 espri.ipsl.fr/iasibl3/iasi\_nh3/V3.1.0). These data were downloaded and interpolated to 242 the same horizontal resolution as the model results using Rasterio library (Gillies et al., 2013), then the model and observed values at each grid point were extracted. 243





Figure 1. Modeling domains (WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE), and solar
 radiation, meteorology, air quality, and radiosonde stations.

248

249 <u>Table 1. Model setups and inputs for the two-way coupled models (WRF-CMAQ, WRF-</u>
 250 <u>Chem and WRF-CHIMERE).</u>

		WRF-CMAQ	WRF-Chem	WRF-CHIMERE
<u>Domain</u>	Horizontal grid spacing	<u>27 km (110 × 150)</u>	<u>27 km (120 × 160)</u>	<u>27 km (120 × 170</u>
configuration	Vertical resolution	<u>30 levels</u>	<u>30 levels</u>	<u>30 levels</u>
Physics	Shortwave radiation	RRTMG	RRTMG	<u>RRTMG</u>
parameterization	Longwave radiation	<u>RRTMG</u>	<u>RRTMG</u>	<u>RRTMG</u>
	Cloud microphysics	Morrison	Morrison	Thompson
	PBL	ACM2	<u>YSU</u>	<u>YSU</u>
	Cumulus	Kain-Fritsch	Grell-Freitas	Grell-Freitas
	Surface	Pleim-Xiu	Monin-Obukhov	Monin-Obukhov
	Land surface	Pleim-Xiu LSM	Noah LSM	Noah LSM
	<u>Icloud</u>	Xu-Randall method	Xu-Randall method	Xu-Randall metho
<u>Chemistry</u>	Aerosol mechanism	<u>AERO6</u>	<u>MOSAIC</u>	<u>SAM</u>
<u>scheme</u>	Aerosol size distribution	<u>Modal (3 modes)</u>	Sectional (4 bins)	Sectional (10 bins
	Aerosol mixing state	Core-Shell	Core-Shell	Core-Shell
	Gas-phase chemistry	<u>CB6</u>	<u>CBMZ</u>	MELCHIOR2
	Photolysis	Fast-JX with cloud effects	Fast-JX with cloud effects	Fast-JX with clou
<u>Emission</u>	Anthropogenic emission	MEIC 2017	MEIC 2017	MEIC 2017
	Biogenic emission	MEGAN v3.0	MEGAN v3.0	MEGAN v3.0
	Biomass burning emission	FINN v1.5	FINN v1.5	<u>FINN v1.5</u>
	Dust emission	Foroutan	<u>GOCART</u>	Menut
	Sea-salt emission	Gong	Gong	<u>Monahan</u>
<u>Input data</u>	Meteorological ICs and BCs	<u>FNL</u>	<u>FNL</u>	<u>FNL</u>
	Chemical ICs and BCs	MOZART	MOZART	LMDZ-INCA
251				
<b>*</b>				/ /
252 2.2	Scenario set up			/ //
1				

# Deleted:

Moved (insertion) [1]: 2.22 Scenario set up

To thoroughly assess the performance of WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-Chem v4.1.1, and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 and its affected by aerosol feedbacks over eastern during 2017, Eeight sets of annual hindcast WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE simulations with/without aerosol feedbacksARI and/or ACI were carried outconducted to investigate the performance of each coupled model over eastern China during 2017, as presented in Table 22. Compared to WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1 and WRF-Chem v4.1.1, this version of WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 can be officially obtained and the higher version of WRF-CHIMERE has not been developed. It should be noted that the officially released WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE are capable of simulating ARI and ACI, but WRF-CMAQ is not. In all of the simulations performed in this study, a month of spin-up time was set up to reduce the influence of the initial conditions. We calculated mMultiple model evaluationstatistical metrics between each scenario simulation and relevantgroundbased/satellite-borne observations were used includingto assess the model performance; these included the correlation coefficient (R), mean bias (MB), normalized mean bias (NMB), normalized gross error (NGE), and root mean square

# Deleted: 2

Deleted: E

Deleted: WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE

Deleted: aerosol feedbacks...RI and/or ACI were carried to investigate the performance of each coupled model over-

Deleted: carried out

**Deleted:** to investigate the performance of each coupled model over eastern China during 2017

# Deleted: 2

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: We calculated m...ultiple model

between each scenario simulation and relevant...round-

Deleted: model evaluation

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: to assess the model performance; these included Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

253	To thoroughly assess the performance of WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-Chem
254	v4.1.1, and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 and its affected by aerosol feedbacks over
255	eastern during 2017, eight sets of annual hindcast simulations with/without ARI and/or
256	ACI were conducted, as presented in Table 2. Compared to WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1
257	and WRF-Chem v4.1.1, this version of WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 can be
258	officially obtained and the higher version of WRF-CHIMERE has not been developed.
259	It should be noted that the officially released WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE are
260	capable of simulating ARI and ACI, but WRF-CMAQ is not. In all of the simulations
261	performed in this study, a month of spin-up time was set up to reduce the influence of
262	the initial conditions. Multiple statistical metrics between each scenario simulation and
263	ground-based/satellite-borne observations were used including the correlation
264	coefficient (R), mean bias (MB), normalized mean bias (NMB), normalized gross error
265	(NGE), and root mean square error (RMSE). The mathematical definitions of these

392 <u>metrics are provided in Supplement S1.</u> To compare simulations by three coupled

393 models, the respective model configurations of physics and chemistry routines are set 394 as consistent as possible. We systemically analyzed the annual and seasonal statistical

metrics of meteorological and air quality variables including simulations by all three

396 two-way coupled models with/without enabling ARI and/or ACI effects. We then

397 quantified the respective contributions of the ARI and ACI effects to model

398 performance.

399

# Table 2. Summary of scenarios setting in three coupled models.

Model	Scenario	Configuration option	Description
WRF-CMAQ	(1) WRF-CMAQ_NO	DO SW CAL=F	Without aerosol feedbacks
	(2) WRF-CMAQ_ARI	DO SW CAL=T	ARI
WRF-Chem	(3) WRF-Chem_NO	aer ra feedback=0	Without aerosol feedbacks
		wetscav_onoff=0	
		cldchem_onoff=0	
	(4) WRF-Chem ARI	aer ra feedback=1	ARI
		wetscav_onoff=0	
		<u>cldchem_onoff=0</u>	
	(5) WRF-Chem BOTH	aer ra feedback=1	ARI and ACI
		wetscav_onoff=1	
		<u>cldchem_onoff=1</u>	
WRF-CHIMERE	(6) WRF-CHIMERE_NO	direct_feed_chimere=0	Without aerosol feedbacks
		indirect_feed_chimere=0	
	(7) WRF-CHIMERE ARI	direct_feed_chimere=1	ARI
		indirect_feed_chimere=0	
	(8) WRF-CHIMERE BOTH	direct_feed_chimere=1	ARI and ACI
		indirect feed chimere=1	

400

# 403 3 Multi-model meteorological evaluations,

404 This section presents annual and seasonal (March–April–May, Spring; June–July– 405 August, Summer; September–October–November, Autumn; and December–January– 406 February, Winter) statistical metrics of simulated meteorological variables and air 407 quality when compared with ground-based and satellite observations, as well as a 408 discussion of the running times of the eight scenario simulations.

409 3.1 Ground-based observations

Figures 2 and S1–S7 illustrate the spatial distributions of R, MB, and RMSE for hourly SSR, T2, Q2, RH2, WS10, PREC, PBLH00, and PBLH12 from WRF-CMAQ,

412 WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE with/without turning on aerosol feedbacks against

413 ground-based observations from each site across the whole of 2017. The calculated

414 annual model evaluation metrics for all sites in eastern China are summarized in Table

415 S1, and the related seasonal R and MB values are presented in Fig. 3. <u>Here, we mainly</u>

416 focused on the comparisons of SSR, T2, RH2, and WS10, and the analysis of PREC,

417 *PBLH00, and PBLH12 are presented in Section 1.1 of Supplement.* 

# Deleted: comprehensively

# Deleted:

Table 2.... Summary of scenarios setting in three coupled models.

# Deleted: 2

Formatted: Normal

# Moved up [1]

Deleted: 2.1 Model configurations and data sources One-year long-term simulations in eastern China were examined using the two-way coupled WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-Chem v4.1.1, and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 models, with and without enabling ARI and/or ACI, and with 27-km horizontal grid spacing (there were 110, 120, and 120 grid cells in the east-west direction, and 150, 160, and 170 in the north-south direction for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE, respectively). The vertical resolution for all simulations consisted of 30 levels from the surface (~20 m) to 100 hPa. The anthropogenic emissions of Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Li et al., 2017) and FINN v1.5 biomass burning emissions were applied in our simulations, and their spatial, temporal, and species allocations were performed using Python language. Biogenic emissions were calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 3.0 (MEGAN v3.0) (Gao et al., 2019). Dust and sea-salt emissions were both used with calculations of inline modules. as shown in Table 1. The meteorological ICs and BCs were derived from the National Center for Environmental Prediction Final Analysis (NCEP-FNL) datasets (http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2), with a horizontal resolution of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  at 6-hour intervals for each of the three coupled models. To improve the long-term accuracy of meteorological variables when using the WRF model, options of observational and grid four-dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) were turned on, and pressure, station height, relative humidity, wind speed, and wind direction were observed four times per day at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UTC from-

# Deleted: M

Deleted: and intercomparisons
Deleted: comparisons of
Deleted: ure
Formatted: Font: Italic

519 The accuracy of radiation predication is of great significance in evaluating ARI. 520 Yearly and seasonal average simulated SSR data were compared with ground-based 521 observations (Figs. 3 - 4 and Table S3), and SSR over eastern China was simulated 522 reasonably well by all models with R values in the range of 0.61-0.78. All simulated 523 results were overestimated at both annual and seasonal scales (MBs in spring and 524 summer were larger than those in autumn and winter). The overestimations of annual SSR were 19.98, 14.48, and 9.24 W m<sup>-2</sup> for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-525 CHIMERE, respectively. Overestimations of SSR by most two-way coupled models 526 were also reported for Europe and North America in the comparative study conducted 527 528 by Brunner et al. (2015). Such overestimations could be explained by multiple factors, 529 namely, the uncertainties in cloud development owing to PBL and convection parameterizations (Alapaty et al., 2012), and the diversity in treatment of land surface 530 531 processes (Brunner et al., 2015), which appear to play more important roles than does 532 the enabling of two-way aerosol feedbacks on SSR through ARI and ACI effects in the models. When the three models considered ARI effects, the simulation accuracy of SSR, 533 534 over both the whole year and in the four seasons were improved, but the enabling of ACI effects resulted in relatively limited improvement. In addition, the MB variations 535 536 of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem simulations were higher in spring and winter than those in summer and autumn, while the MB of WRF-CHIMERE simulations showed a 537 538 maximum in summer (-10.33 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and minimum in autumn (-7.64 W m<sup>-2</sup>). Both the annual and seasonal reductions in SSR simulated by WRF-Chem and WRF-539 CHIMERE with ACI effects enabled were much smaller than those with ARI effects 540 541 enabled. 542 In general, the simulated magnitudes and temporal variations of air temperature at 543 2 m above the ground showed a high order of consistency with observations (R = 0.88-544 0.97). Looking at annual and seasonal T2, models tended to have a negative bias, and 545 T2 underestimations in spring and winter were greater than those in summer and 546 autumn (Figs. 3 and 4). As pointed out by Makar et al. (2015a), WRF-CHEM and 547 GEM-MACH gave negative MBs in summer and positive MBs in winter when both 548 ACI and ARI effects were enabled, and WRF-CMAQ with only ARI effects enabled also produced negative MBs in summer over North America during 2010; note that the 549 Makar et al (2015a) study lacked evaluations of meteorology in winter using WRF-550 551 CMAQ. The comparison results of MBs indicated that WRF-CHIMERE > WRF-552 CMAQ > WRF-Chem. The annual and seasonal MBs of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem were approximately -1 °C, while those of WRF-CHIMERE ranged from -2 to -1 °C. 553 The RMSEs were approximately equal for WRF-CMAQ (2.71-3.05 °C) and WRF-554 Chem (2.82-3.27 °C), and larger for WRF-CHIMERE (3.39-4.53 °C), at both annual 555 and seasonal scales. It is noteworthy that underestimations of annual and seasonal T2 556 557 were mitigated in eastern China in the three coupled models when ARI effects were enabled. When ACI effects were enabled, the MBs for T2 simulated by WRF-558 559 Chem BOTH showed no significant changes compared with those of WRF-Chem NO;

 

 Deleted: explicitly

 Deleted: ure

 Deleted: S1

 Deleted: ;

 Deleted: the

 Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

 Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

 Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

 Deleted: The overall model performances of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem were better than that of WRF-CHIMERE, while

 Deleted: a

Deleted: they effectively improved

-[	<b>Deleted:</b> and water vapor mixing ratio										
-[	Deleted: (co										
-{	Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font	color:	Blue					

Deleted: (BOTH)

Deleted:

8

WRF-CHIMERE BOTH further enhanced the underestimations of T2 in the full year

(-1.30 °C), spring (-0.12 °C), and winter (-0.40 °C) compared with WRF-

560 561

562

CHIMERE NO.

577 Looking at RH2, annual and seasonal simulations using WRF-CMAQ had the highest correlation with the observed values, followed by WRF-Chem, and WRF-578 CHIMERE, and the smallest correlation coefficients for all three models occurred in 579 580 autumn ( $\sim 0.5$ ). The spatial MBs between simulations by the three models and observations showed a general converse trend compared with T2 (i.e., RH2 was 581 582 overestimated where T2 was underestimated, and vice versa). This can be explained by the calculation of RH2 being based on T2 in the models (Wang et al., 2021). The annual 583 and seasonal MBs were approximately 0.65%-71.03% and -21.30% to 60.00%, 584 585 respectively (Fig. 4 and Table S3), and only WRF-Chem produced negative MBs in 586 summer. The magnitude of RMSE showed an inverse pattern compared with R for all 587 three models, with maximum (28.48%-29.52%) and minimum (12.57%-16.07%) values shown in autumn and summer, respectively. As shown in Figs. 3-4 and Table S3. 588 589 WRF-CMAQ ARI further reduced the overestimations of annual and seasonal RH2 in eastern China, while WRF-Chem ARI (except for summer) and WRF-CHIMERE ARI 590 591 showed the opposite trend. Moreover, variations in annual and seasonal RH2 MBs simulated by WRF-Chem BOTH and WRF-CHIMERE BOTH were further reduced 592 compared with WRF-Chem ARI (except for summer) and WRF-CHIMERE ARI, 593 594 respectively. Similar analyses were also performed for WS10, and revealed that WRF-CMAQ 595 596 performed better in capturing WS10 patterns compared with WRF-Chem and WRF-597 CHIMERE. The R values for all three models ranged from 0.47 to 0.60; WRF-CMAQ 598 and WRF-Chem overestimated wind speed by approximately 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, while WRF-CHIMERE overestimated it by approximately 1.0 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Table S3 and Figs. 3-4). The 599 overestimation of WS10 under real-world low wind conditions is a common 600 phenomenon of current weather models, which is mainly caused by outdated 601 geographic data, coarse model resolution, and a lack of a good physical representation 602

603 of the urban canopy (Gao et al., 2015, 2018). All three models presented lower 604 correlations (0.31–0.54) and MBs (0.20–0.86 m s<sup>-1</sup>) in summer compared with other 605 seasons, and the RMSEs were approximately 2.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>. When ARI effects were enabled, 606 the overestimations of the three models were alleviated, especially for WRF-607 CMAQ ARI.

9

# 607 C

Deleted: For Q2, WRF-CMAQ showed the best performance, followed by WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE (Table S1 and Figure S2), all with RMSEs of less than 3 g kg-1. Most models tended to underestimate annual and seasonal Q2 (-0.57 to -0.18 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and -1.16 to +0.20 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), and the underestimations were most significant in summer. However, multiple two-way coupled models produced slightly positive values for Q2 during January 2010 over the North China Plain in the MICS-Asia III project (Gao et al., 2018). Compared with simulations that did not have aerosol feedbacks enabled, WRF-CMAQ\_ARI and WRF-CHIMERE ARI increased the negative biases of annual and seasonal Q2, with the former being more significant (Figure 3 and Table S1). The changes in annual, summer, and autumn MBs for WRF-Chem ARI were consistent with the trend of WRF-CMAQ ARI, except for spring and winter.

# Deleted: Figure Deleted: 3 Deleted: S1 Deleted: S1 Deleted: S1 Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Gao et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2018 Deleted: The annual and seasonal correlation coefficients of

precipitation were 0.56–0.69, 0.46–0.63, and 0.25–0.55 for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE, respectively (Table S1 and Figure S5). All simulated results had the highest correlations in winter and the lowest in summer, because the convective activity was enhanced in summer and the models struggle to effectively capture this. WRF-CMAQ and WRF-CHIMERE (WRF-Chem except for autumn) underestimated and overestimated annual and seasonal precipitation, respectively. At the annual and seasonal scales, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE overestimated the magnitude of daily precipitation by more than 1 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, while WRF-CMAQ underestimated it bu-



698

Figure 2. Statistical metrics (R, MB, and RMSE) between annual simulations and observations ofsurface shortwave radiation in eastern China.



104 Jeedbacks over Eastern China during the year of 201



# Deleted:

705



To identify and quantify how well our results compare with previous studies using 709 two-way coupled models, we here discuss comparisons between our work and earlier 710 research in terms of the evaluation results of meteorology and air quality; meteorology 711 712 is discussed in this section and air quality is discussed in Section 4.1. Box-and-whisker 713 plots were used and the 5th, 25th, 75th, and 95th percentiles were used as statistical 714 indicators. In the plots, the dashed lines in the boxes are the mean values, and the circles represent outliers. Previous studies mainly used WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ to 715 evaluate meteorology and air quality, while applications of WRF-NAQPMS and 716 717 GRAPES-CUACE were scarce. As mentioned in Section 1, investigations of 718 meteorology and air quality using WRF-CHIMERE with/without aerosol feedbacks 719 have not previously been conducted in eastern China. Therefore, only evaluation results involving WRF-Chem and WRF-CMAQ to study aerosol feedbacks are analyzed herein. 720

721 The statistical metrics of T2, RH2, Q2, and WS10 in this study compared with the 722 evaluation results of previous studies are presented in Fig. S8. According to the number 723 of samples (NS) in the statistical metrics of each meteorological variable, most previous studies mainly involved the simulation and evaluation of T2, WS10, and RH2, with 724 relatively few studies focusing on Q2. Compared with the evaluation results of previous 725 726 studies, the ranges of statistical metrics in our study were roughly similar, but there were some important differences. The R values of the WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem 727 728 models in our study were higher than those of previous studies; the MBs of T2 simulated via WRF-CMAQ were smaller, but those of T2 simulated via WRF-Chem were larger; 729 730 and the RMSEs of the WRF-CMAQ simulation were larger, but those of the WRF-Chem simulation were smaller. For RH2, the R values for WRF-CMAQ and WRF-731 732 Chem in this study were all larger than the average level of previous studies, while the MBs and RMSEs for WRF-CMAQ were larger, and those for WRF-Chem were smaller 733

Deleted: 3

**Deleted:** 

Deleted: Figure 4 illustrates t

than the average of previous studies. For Q2, the model performance of WRF-CMAQ in this study was generally better than the average level of previous studies, but the R between WRF-Chem simulation results and observed values was higher (and MB and RMSE were lower) than the average level of previous studies. We also conclude that the simulation results of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem in our study better reproduced variations in WS10 compared with previous studies.

745

746 3.2 Satellite-borne observations

To further evaluate the performance of WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-747 CHIMERE against satellite observations, we analyzed the annual and seasonal 748 749 statistical metrics of short- and long-wave radiation at the surface, precipitation, cloud cover, and liquid water path simulated by the three coupled models with and without 750 aerosol feedbacks, via comparisons between simulations and satellite-borne 751 observations (Table 3; Figures 5, S9, S12-S14). In addition, the evaluations of short-752 and long-wave radiation at top of the atmosphere (TOA) are presented in Section 1.2 753 754 of Supplement.

As shown in Table 3 and Fig. 5, the three coupled models showed relative poor. 755 756 performance for the shortwave radiation variables at the surface (SSR) annual MBs of 8.21-30.74 W m<sup>-2</sup> and correlations ranging from 0.61 to 0.78. A similar poor 757 758 performance for shortwave radiation was also reported in the USA using the coupled 759 WRF-CMAQ and offline WRF models (Wang et al., 2021). The overall seasonal characteristics of SSR were successfully reproduced by the three coupled models (Fig. 760 S10). Meanwhile, no matter whether aerosol feedbacks were enabled or not, all three 761 models overestimated seasonal SSR (except for WRF-Chem ARI in winter), and 762 763 showed higher MBs in spring and summer than in autumn and winter. The seasonal 764 SSR overestimations may be a direct result of the underestimation of calculated AOD when considering ARI effects (Wang et al., 2021). Compared to SSR, the three coupled 765 models predicted the longwave radiation variables at the surface (SLR) well (R values 766 up to 0.99), with annual domain-average MBs of -9.97 to -6.05 W m<sup>-2</sup>, Significant 767 768 seasonal differences in simulated longwave radiation were also present among the three coupled models; all WRF-CMAQ and WRF-CHIMERE 769 scenarios gave 770 underestimations, with maximum and minimum values of SLR in winter and summer, respectively, while the maximum underestimations of WRF-Chem occurred in autumn, 771 772 especially for WRF-Chem\_BOTH (Fig. <u>\$9</u>),

773 As all three coupled models adopted the same grid resolution ( $27 \times 27$  km) and 774 short- and long-wave radiation schemes (RRTMG), the above analysis demonstrated 775 that the representation differences for aerosol components, size distributions and 776 mechanisms contributed to the diversity of seasonal MBs (Tables 1 and S2), Moreover, the three two-way coupled models with ARI feedbacks enabled effectively improved 777 778 the performances of annual and seasonal SSR; however, for SLR, performance 779 improvements were much more variable across the three coupled models and across 780 different scenarios with and without ARI and/or ACI feedbacks enabled (Table \$4). When ARI effects are enabled, the diversities of refractive indices of aerosol species 781

782 groups lead to the discrepancies of online calculated aerosol optical properties in



Figure 4. Comparisons of model capacities between our study (red stars) and previous literature (box plots) in terms of the surface T2, RH2, Q2, and WS10 in eastern China. Note that red stars in the fifth column of each subgraph represent the statistical metrics of WRF-CHIMERE in this study.

Formatted: Font: Italic

**Moved (insertion) [2]:** Compared with longwave radiationAs shown in Table 3 and Fig. 5, the three coupled models showed relative poorer performance for the shortwave radiation variables at the surface (SSR) and top of the atmosphere (TSR) with annual MBs of 8.21–30.74 W m<sup>-2</sup> and -4.40 to +5.42 W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, and correlations

**Deleted:** To further evaluate the wider spatial performance of WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE, we analyzed the annual and seasonal statistical metrics of shortand long-wave radiation at the surface and top of the

**Deleted:** er...performance for the shortwave radiation variables at the surface (SSR) and top of the atmosphere (TSR) with ...nual MBs of 8.21–30.74 W m<sup>-2</sup> and -4.40 to +5.42 W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively... and correlations ranging from

# Moved up [2]

Deleted: s...that the representation differences for aerosol components, size distributions and mechanisms contributed to the diversity of seasonal MBs (Tables 1 and S2).the configurations of different aerosol/gas chemical mechanians Formatted

different shortwave and longwave (SW and LW) bands in the RRTMG SW/LW radiation 059 schemes of WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE (Tables S5-S6). The online 060 calculated cloud optical properties induced by aerosol absorption in the RRTMG 061 062 radiation schemes are different in treatments of aerosol species groups in the three coupled models. With enabling ACI effects, the activation of cloud droplets from 063 aerosols based on the Köhler theory is taken into account in WRF-Chem and WRF-064 CHIMERE, in comparison to simulations without aerosol feedbacks (Table S7). The 065 treatments of prognostic ice nucleating particles (INP) formed via heterogeneous 066 nucleation of dust particles (diameters  $> 0.5 \mu m$ ) and homogeneous freezing of 067 068 hygroscopic aerosols (diameters  $> 0.1 \ \mu$ m) are only considered in WRF-CHIMERE, 069 but the prognostic ice nucleating particles are not included in WRF-CMAQ and WRF-070 Chem. These discrepancies eventually contribute to the differences of simulated 071 radiation changes caused by aerosols.

From IPCC 2007 to IPCC 2021, the effects of aerosol feedbacks (especially for ACI effects) on precipitation and cloud processes remain under debate. Here, we further assessed annual and seasonal simulated precipitation, cloud cover, and liquid water pathways in eastern China with high aerosol loadings against satellite observations (Table 3 and Figs. \$12-S14), and attempted to provide new insights from a yearly

perspective into enabling online feedbacks in two-way coupled modeling simulations. 1077 1078 The results illustrated those correlations of precipitation via WRF-CMAQ (0.51-0.89) were larger than those of WRF-Chem (0.61-0.73) and WRF-CHIMERE (0.54-1079 0.70). WRF-CMAQ had the best correlation in winter, while WRF-Chem and WRF-1080 CHIMERE had the best correlation in spring; all three models showed their worst 1081 correlation in summer. The reason for this is that numerical models struggle to 1082 1083 effectively capture enhanced convective activity in summer. Huang and Gao (2018) also pointed out that accurate representations of lateral boundaries are crucial in 1084 improving precipitation simulations during summer over China. WRF-CMAQ 1085 1086 underestimated annual precipitation, with MBs of -76.49 to -51.93 mm, while WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE produced large precipitation overestimations ranging from 1087 1088 +108.04 to +207.05 mm (Table 3), especially in regions of southern China (Fig. S11). WRF-CMAQ also produced negative biases (-27.89 to +42.08 mm) of seasonal 1089 1090 precipitation, excluding WRF-CMAQ ARI in winter. WRF-Chem and WRF-1091 CHIMERE only underestimated seasonal precipitation in autumn (-31.39 to -26.89 1092 mm) and winter (-7.12 to -4.43 mm), respectively (Fig. \$12). The variations in annual and seasonal MBs of precipitation were consistent with changes in cloud fraction and 1093 LWP (Zhang et al., 2016), which will be discussed in more detail below. 1094

When aerosol feedbacks were considered, the ARI-induced reductions in the 1095 annual MBs of precipitation for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE were 1096 24.56, 12.11, and 4.70 mm, respectively. WRF-Chem BOTH (24.9 mm) and WRF-1097 CHIMERE BOTH (3.41 mm) enhanced the overestimation of annual precipitation 1098 compared with WRF-Chem ARI and WRF-CHIMERE ARI, respectively. Significant 1099 increases (+53.15 mm) and decreases (-6.3 to -3.41 mm) in MBs in winter and summer, 1100 1101 respectively, were produced by WRF-CMAQ and the other two models with ARI effects enabled compared with no feedbacks. WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE with both ARI 1102

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Deleted: Figures	
Deleted: S11	
Deleted: 3	
Deleted: that	

Deleted: ure

Deleted: Figure

Deleted: S11

and ACI effects enabled led to larger enhancements of MBs (+3.54 to +7.46) at the
seasonal scale (Fig. \$12). It must be noted that the discrepancies in simulated
precipitation could mainly be attributed to the selection of different microphysics and
cumulus schemes in WRF-CMAQ (Morrison and Kain-Fritsch), WRF-Chem (Morrison
and Grell-Freitas), and WRF-CHIMERE (Thompson and Grell-Freitas),

1115	Cloud fraction (CF) and LWP can significantly influence the spatiotemporal
1116	distributions of precipitation; our simulated results of annual and seasonal CF over
1117	eastern China are presented in Table 3 and Fig. \$13. Overall, WRF-CMAQ performed
1118	best in simulating CF. The R values for WRF-Chem during summer (0.69) and winter
1119	(0.70) were larger than those of WRF-CMAQ (0.59 and 0.64) and WRF-CHIMERE
1120	(0.56 and 0.66), while WRF-CMAQ and WRF-CHIMERE showed better simulation
1121	results in winter and autumn with correlations of up to 0.89 and 0.67, respectively. All
1122	three coupled models underestimated annual and seasonal CF with MBs that ranged
1123	from -16.83% to -6.18% and -21.13% to -4.13%, respectively; these were consistent
1124	with previous two-way coupled modeling studies using WRF-CMAQ (-19.7%) and
1125	WRF-Chem (-32% to -9%) in China (Hong et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017). All models
1126	reasonably simulated annual LWP in eastern China, with R values above 0.55 and
1127	negative biases varying from $-57.36$ to $-31.29$ g m <sup>-2</sup> . The underestimations were
1128	closely related to missing cloud homogeneity (Wang et al., 2015; Dionne et al., 2020)
1129	and excessive conversion of liquid to ice in all selected cloud microphysics schemes
1130	(Klein et al., 2009). As shown in Fig. \$14, all models showed their best performance in
1131	simulating LWP in spring ( $R = 0.51-0.79$ ) and exhibited the largest underestimations
1132	in winter (MBs of $-54.82$ to $-40.89$ g m <sup>-2</sup> ), except for WRF-Chem, which had its
1133	maximum bias in autumn,

In terms of quantitatively determining the functions of aerosol feedbacks on CF 1134 and LWP, all simulated scenarios revealed that WRF-CMAQ ARI overwhelmingly 1135 decreased annual and seasonal underestimations of CF (0.48%-1.05%) and LWP (3.03-1136 1137 4.29 g m<sup>-2</sup>), while there were slightly increased underestimations (CF: 0.02%–0.39%; LWP: 0.03-0.58 g m<sup>-2</sup>) in WRF-Chem\_ARI and WRF-CHIMERE\_ARI. Larger 1138 variations in annual and seasonal MBs of CF (0.23%-0.93%) and LWP (-2.96 g m<sup>-2</sup> to 1139 7.38 g m<sup>-2</sup>) were produced by WRF-CHIMERE BOTH compared with WRF-1140 CHIMERE ARI. WRF-Chem BOTH showed equivalent variations (CF: 0.03%-1141 0.71%; LWP: 0.02-2.89 g m<sup>-2</sup>) to those of WRF-Chem ARI. This may be explained as 1142 143 the different parameterization treatments of cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) simulated by the three coupled models with/without enabling ACI effects. The 144 145 cloud condensation nuclei activated from aerosol particles can increase CDNC and impact on LWP and CF. Without enabling any aerosol feedbacks or only enabling ARI, 146 147 the CDNC is default prescribed as a constant value of 250 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the Morrison scheme of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem and 300 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the Thompson scheme of WRF-148 CHIMERE. When only ACI or both ARI and ACI are enabled, the online calculating of 149 150 prognostic CDNC is performed in WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE by using the 151 method of maximum supersaturation (Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2002; Chapman et al., 2009; Tuccella et al., 2019). Although we have obtained preliminary quantitative results 152 of the ACI effects on regional precipitation, CF, and LWP, it should be kept in mind that 1153

Deleted: S11 Deleted: .
Deleted: .
Deleted: Figure
Deleted: S12

Deleted: Figure

_	Deleted: Figure						
	Deleted: S13						
	Deleted:						

1163	several limitations in representing ACI effects still exist in state-of-the-art two-way
1164	coupled models; these include a lack of consideration of the responses of convective
1165	clouds to ACI (Tuccella et al., 2019), and a lack of numerical descriptions of giant cloud
1166	condensation nuclei (Wang et al., 2021) and heterogeneous ice nuclei (Keita et al.,
1167	2020).
1168	

169Table 3. Statistical metrics (R, MB, NMB, NGE, and RMSE) between annual1170simulations and satellite retrievals of surface shortwave and longwave radiation, TOA1171shortwave and longwave radiation, precipitation, cloud fraction, and liquid water path1172in eastern China. The best results are in bold, while mean simulations and observations1173are in italics.

	1/5 alt	e in manes.								Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
Variables	Statistics	WRF-CMAQ_NO	WRF-CMAQ_ARI	WRF-Chem_NO	WRF-Chem_ARI	WRF-Chem_BOTH	WRF-CHIMERE_NO	WRF-CHIMERE_ARI	₩ <i>ख-</i> сн	Formatted
Surface	Mean_sim	197.15	180.94	203.48	194.52	201.45	197.39	191.34	195.58	Formatted
shortwave	R	0.76	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.75	0.61	0.64	6.66	Formatted
radiation	MB	24.41	8.21	30.74	21.78	28.71	24.75	18.71	.2.94	Formatted
(172.74 W m <sup>-2</sup> )	NMB (%)	14.13	4.75	17.79	12.61	16.62	14.34	10.84	13.29	Formatted
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	15.13	8.66	18.61	13.53	17.38	17.44	14.42	15.83	Formatted
	RMSE	30.25	20.37	35.34	26.88	32.80	34.70	29.60	31 45	Formatted
Surface	Mean sim	316.25	315.83	312.96	312.60	312.32	313.33	314.60	3 4 4 7	Formatted
longwave	R –	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
radiation	MD	6.05	6.16	0.34	0.70	0.07	0.66	8 30		Formatted
(322.3		-0.05	-0.40	-9.54	-9.70	-9.97	-9.00	-8.39		Formatted
w m ~)	NMB (%)	-1.88	-2.00	-2.90	-3.01	-3.09	-2.99	-2.60	2.64	Formatted
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	3.22	3.46	3.70	3.77	3.84	3.96	3.60	3.66	Formatted
	RMSE	13.65	14.13	14.81	14.97	15.17	15.47	14.52	14.72	Formatted
TOA	Mean_sim	107.76	112.68	110.38	110.95	107.16	114.33	116.62	113.09	Formatted
radiation	R	0.81	0.79	0.69	0.68	0.62	0.65	0.65	0.65	Formatted
(111.56	MB	-3.80	1.13	-1.18	-0.61	-4.40	3.12	5.42	1 89	Formatted
W m <sup>-2</sup> )	NMB (%)	-3.40	1.01	-1.05	-0.55	-3.94	2.81	4.87	10	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	10.19	10.45	<u>11.52</u>	10.96	11.69	<u>14.43</u>	<u>14.36</u>	<u>12.93</u>	Formatted
I	RMSE	15.75	16.04	17.07	16.10	17.21	20.85	20.67	18.96	Formatted
TOA	Mean_sim	231.54	232.26	234.34	233.96	234.39	232.52	232.17	233,18	Formatted
longwave	R	0.88	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.74	0.74	0.76	Formatted
radiation	MB	-2.14	-1.42	0.66	0.28	0.71	-0.61	-0.96	0.05	Formatted
(255.00 W m <sup>-2</sup> )	NMB (%)	-0.92	-0.61	0.28	0.12	0.30	-0.26	-0.41	002	Formatted
Í	NGF (%)	2.28	2.04	1 79	1 70	1 74	3.02	2.08	hos	Formatted
	DMCE	6.04	( 20	( 00	5.04	5.94	10.10	10.07	0.70	Formatted
<b>D</b>	RMSE	0.94	6.20	6.00	5.94	5.86	10.10	10.07	9.70	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
(948.91 m	m Mean_sim	8/2.42	890.98	1069.06	1056.95	1081.84	1165.06	1160.35	1163./	Formatted
y <sup>-1</sup> )	R	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.69	0.69	0.69	Formatted
	MB	-76.49	-51.93	120.15	108.04	132.94	207.05	202.35	205,76	Formatted
i	NMB (%)	-9.23	-8.40	12.66	11.39	14.01	21.61	21.12	21 48	Formatted
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	32.46	34.36	44.54	43.38	45.13	<u>42.54</u>	<u> 42.52</u>	12.58	Formatted
I	RMSE	573.14	595.76	675.91	668.92	693.74	776.60	786.36	790.73	Formatted
Cloud cov	er Mean_sim	52.51	53.32	48.18	47.80	47.46	58.12	57.98	58.55	Formatted
(64.09 %)	R	0.68	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.68	0.66	0.66	0.64	Formatted

Deleted:

Formatted Formatted Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

[....

(...

<u>...</u>

<u>...</u>

<u>...</u>

(...

<u>...</u>

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

[... [... [... [... [...

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

 ....

[... [... [... [... [...

	MB	-11.58	-10.77	-16.12	-16.50	-16.83	-6.60	-6.74	-6.1
	NMB (%)	-18.07	-16.80	-25.07	-25.66	-26.18	-10.20	-10.41	-9.5
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	19.48	18.87	26.01	26.56	26.97	16.74	16.92	16.7
	RMSE	16.47	16.28	20.17	20.48	20.73	15.28	15.33	15.3
liquid water	Mean_sim	53.50	57.15	32.29	31.87	31.08	56.23	56.21	54.0
path (88.44	R	0.61	0.58	0.47	0.46	0.28	0.55	0.55	0.51
g m -)	MB	-34.94	-31.29	-56.16	-56.58	-57.36	-32.37	-32.40	-34.0
	NMB (%)	-39.51	-35.38	-63.49	-63.97	-64.86	-36.54	-36.56	-39.0
	NGE (%)	<u>57.05</u>	57.99	66.88	67.25	67.91	53.15	53.33	568
	RMSE	54.35	54.31	63.54	63.92	67.21	53.39	53.42	55.8



1178

1177

1179 Figure 5. Spatial distributions of seasonal SSR between CERES observations and simulations from WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE with and without 1180 aerosol feedbacks in eastern China. 1181

1182

## 4 Multi-model air quality evaluations, 1183

1184 In a similar way to meteorology, to further determine the quantitative effects of enabling aerosol feedbacks on the simulation accuracy of air quality variables in eastern 1185 China, ground-based and satellite-borne observations were adopted as comparisons in 1186 the following evaluation analysis. The usage status of computing resources during each 1187 simulation process is also assessed in Section 4.3. 1188

1189

### 1190 4.1 Ground-based observations

1191 Table 4 and Fig. 7 present the statistical metrics of annual and seasonal air pollutant 1192 concentrations (PM2.5, O3, NO2, SO2, and CO) simulated by each of the three coupled

3/	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
ľ	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
2 4	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
$\delta$	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
51	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
)6	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
$\mathbb{H}$	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
110	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
IL	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Italic, Font co	: Times New Roman, 六号, Bold, lor: Blue
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
IIL	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
	Formatted: Font Blue	: Not Bold, Italic, Font color:
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blue	: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, e
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blu	: limes New Roman, 六弓, ltalic, e
	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Formatted: Font Font color: Blu	: limes New Roman, 六亏, ltalic,
ŀ	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
k	Formatted	· Pold Italia Fort color: Plus
ŀ	Formatted. Font	
k	Formatted: Font	· Italic Font color: Blue
k	Formatted	
k	Formatted: Font	· Italic Font color: Blue
\}	Formatted: Font	: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Deleted: A	
Ŷ	Deleted: and inte	rcomparisons
-	Deleted: Figure	
1	Deleted: 6	

# models. <u>The evaluations between surface measurements and simulations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and</u> <u>O<sub>3</sub> are presented below, and the performance assessments of other gaseous pollutants</u>

199 *are in Section 2 of Supplement.* 

1200 The R values of annual PM2.5 concentrations for WRF-CMAQ (0.68) were the highest, followed by WRF-Chem (0.65-0.68), and WRF-CHIMERE (0.52-0.53). All 1201 1202 three models showed higher correlations in winter compared with those in other seasons (Fig. 7). As shown in Table 4 and Figs. 6-7, WRF-CMAQ underestimated annual and 203 204 seasonal (except for autumn)  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations with NMBs ranging from -9.78%to -6.39% and -17.68% to +5.17%, respectively. WRF-Chem generated both 205 206 overestimations and underestimations of  $PM_{2.5}$  at the annual and seasonal scales, with related NMBs varying from -39.11% to +24.72%, respectively. Meanwhile, WRF-207 1208 CHIMERE excessively overestimated annual and seasonal PM2.5 concentrations (NMB: 209 +19.51% to +75.47%). These biases could be related to different aerosol and gas phase 210 mechanisms, dust and sea salt emission schemes, chemical ICs and BCs, and aerosol 211 size distribution treatments applied in the three two-way coupled models, Based on the 1212 differences in NMBs between simulations with ARI and those with no aerosol feedbacks, ARI-induced annual and seasonal NMB variations of WRF-CMAQ ARI 1213 1214 and WRF-Chem ARI ranged from +3.01% to +4.21% and +3.07% to +5.02%, 1215 respectively, indicating that the enabling of ARI feedbacks slightly reduced annual and 1216 seasonal (except for autumn) underestimations of PM2.5 concentrations. Note that WRF-CHIMERE ARI further overestimated the annual and seasonal PM2.5, with an 1217 increase in NMB of up to 10.04%. The increases in PM2.5 concentrations caused by ARI 1218 1219 effects can be attributed to synergetic decreases in SSR, T2, WS10, and PBLH, and increases in RH2. With ACI feedbacks further enabled, WRF-Chem BOTH largely 1220 1221 underestimated the annual and seasonal PM2.5, with NMBs varying from -24.15% to -14.44% compared with WRF-Chem\_ARI. WRF-CHIMERE\_BOTH tended to 1222 1223 decrease (-2.1% to -0.51%) annual and autumn–winter NMBs, and increase (+0.35%1224 to +3.04%) spring-summer NMBs. Further comparison between ARI- and ACIinduced NMB variations demonstrates the key point that ARI-induced variations in 1225 PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were smaller than those induced by ACI in WRF-Chem, but this 1226 pattern was reversed in WRF-CHIMERE. This may be explained by WRF-CHIMERE 1227 incorporating the process of dust aerosols serving as IN, which was not included in 1228 1229 WRF-Chem in this study.

For  $O_3$ , WRF-CHIMERE (R = 0.62) exhibited the highest correlation, followed by 230 231 WRF-CMAO (R = 0.55), and WRF-Chem (R = 0.45) (Table 4 and Fig. S16). WRF-CMAQ slightly underestimated annual O<sub>3</sub>, with NMBs and NGEs of -12.57% to 232 1233 -11.52%, but WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE both significantly overestimated it, with NMBs of 47.82%-48.10% and 29.46%-29.75%, respectively. The seasonal results 1234 1235 of statistical metrics showed patterns that were consistent with annual simulations, and summer O<sub>3</sub> pollution levels were better simulated than those in other seasons (Fig. 6). 236 All models with ARI feedbacks enabled resulted in slight decreases in annual and 237 238 seasonal  $O_3$  NMBs and NGEs, ranging from -3.02% to +0.85% (the only positive value 239 of +0.85% was produced by WRF-CMAQ in summer) and from -1.42% to -0.75%, respectively. Meanwhile, for ACI effects, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE had 240

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

# Deleted: Figure

Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font cold	or: Blue
Formatted:	Font:	Italic		
Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Font cold	or: Blue

**Deleted:** These biases were produced by the configurations of different aerosol and gas phase mechanisms, online dust emission schemes, and chemical ICs and BCs in the two-way coupled models....

-	Deleted: best
1	Deleted: model performance
Ì	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
	Deleted: Figure
	Deleted: <i>S15</i>
	Deleted: 7.83
	Deleted: , but
	Deleted: Figure
Y	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

253 increased annual O3 NMBs and NGEs of 0.12% 0.65% and 0.40% 0.55%, 1254 respectively. ACI-induced seasonal NMB variations were different for WRF-Chem compared with WRF-CHIMERE; WRF-Chem increased in spring-summer and 1255 1256 decreased in autumn-winter, while WRF-CHIMERE increased in all seasons except for 257 winter (Fig. 7). Such diversity in NMB and NGE variations can be explained by two aspect differences. For model-top boundary conditions, the WRF-CMAQ and WRF-258 259 Chem models employed the parameterization scheme of  $O_3$ -potential vorticity and WRF-CHIMERE used the climatological data from LMDz-INCA. For gas-phase 260 chemistry mechanisms, three coupled models incorporate a variety of photolytic 261 1262 reactions, with a more comprehensive explanation provided in Section 4.2. 1263 A comprehensive assessment of the effects of seven gas-phase chemical

mechanisms (RADM2, RADMKA, RACM-ESRL, CB05Clx, CB05-TUCL, CBMZ, 1264 1265 and MOZART-4) on O3 simulations via three two-way coupled models (WRF-Chem, WRF-CMAQ, and COSMO-ART) was conducted by Knote et al. (2015); they 1266 concluded that the O3 concentrations simulated via WRF-Chem with the CBMZ 1267 mechanism were closest to the mean values of multiple models over North America and 1268 Europe in spring and summer. However, in contrast to North America and Europe, the 1269 1270 two-way coupled WRF-Chem with CBMZ had the poorest performance during spring in eastern China. In addition, ARI and/or ACI effects contribute to atmospheric 1271 272 dynamics and stability (as mentioned in the PBLH evaluation part of Section 1.1 in 273 Supplment), as well as photochemistry and heterogeneous reactions, and, in turn, they will eventually influence O3 formation (Xing et al., 2017; Qu et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 1274 1275 2021).

276

277Table 4. Statistical metrics (R, MB, NMB, NGE, and RMSE) between annual1278simulations and observations of surface PM2.5, O3, NO2, SO2, and CO in eastern China.1279The best results are in bold, while mean simulations and observations are in italics.

**Deleted:** *of*...+...*12%* ...*and* +....*65% and* 0.40%-0.55%, *respectively*. ACI-induced seasonal NMB variations were different for WRF-Chem compared with WRF-CHIMERE; WRF-Chem increased in spring–summer and decreased in autumn–winter, while WRF-CHIMERE increased in all seasons except for winter (Figure ...ig. 6

Deleted: Such diversity in NMB variation can be explained by configuration differences in gas-phase chemistry mechanisms, which involve various photolytic reactions (a more detailed explanation can be found in Section 4.2). Formatted: Font: (Asian) 等线, Font color: Blue, Ligatures: Standard + Contextual

# Deleted: Section 3.1

**Deleted:** According to the annual statistical results (Table 4 and Figure S16), the NO<sub>2</sub> simulated by all three models had comparable correlations (0.50–0.60) with ground-based observations. WRF-CMAQ slightly overestimated NO<sub>2</sub> (MBs of +2.74 to +3.26  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and NMBs of +8.77% to +10.44%), but WRF-Chem (MBs of -10.03 to -9.22  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and NMBs of -32.14% to -29.55%) and WRF-CHIMERE (MBs of -9.35 to -8.96  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and NMBs of -29.96% to -28.73%) tended to largely underestimate NO<sub>2</sub> in eastern China. For seasonal variations (Figure 6), WRF-CMAQ had the best performance in winter, and generally overestimated NO<sub>2</sub> in all seasons (NMBs of -2.21% to 34.34%). Both WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE had

(... (... (...

(... (...

(... (... (... (... (...

141	// 1110	oobt rebuild	are moora	,	an onnatati		or varions are	III Italieb.		
Variables	Statistics	WRF-CMAQ_NO	WRF-CMAQ_ARI	WRF-Chem_NO	WRF-Chem_ARI	WRF-Chem_BOTH	WRF-CHIMERE_NO	WRF-CHIMERE_ARI	WRF-CH	Deleted: For CO (Table 4), WRF-CHIMERE (0.47–0.48
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Mean_sim	40.59	42.12	44.45	46.65	38.33	62.17	65.36	65.13	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
(44.99)	R	0.68	0.68	0.65	0.65	0.69	0.52	0.53	0.53	Formatted
µg/m <sup>+</sup> )	MB	-4.40	-2.87	-0.54	1.66	-6.66	17.18	20.37	20.14	Formatted
	NMB (%)	-9.78	-6.39	-1.21	3.69	-14.81	38.19	45.27	44.76	Formatted
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	<u>46.41</u>	47.08	57.82	59.91	52.10	89.85	<u>94.10.</u>	\$4.01	Formatted
I	RMSE	27.62	27.69	32.58	34.64	32.48	55.13	60.25	59.41	Formatted
O3	Mean_sim	55.06	54.41	88.53	87.81	87.89	76.92	76.48	76.89	Formatted
(62.23	R	0.54	0.55	0.46	0.45	0.45	0.62	0.62	0.62	Formatted
μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	MD	7.17	7.92	26.20	25.59	25.65	14.60	14.25	14.66	Formatted
	MB	-/.1/	-7.85	20.50	25.58	25.65	14.09	14.23	14.00	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
1	NMB (%)	-11.52	-12.57	42.26	41.10	41.22	23.60	22.90	23.55	Formatted
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	<u>41.02</u>	<u>41.40.</u>	<u>87.02</u>	<u>86.17.</u>	86.57.	58.17	57.63	\$8.18	Formatted
I	RMSE	28.32	28.68	48.10	47.99	47.82	29.65	29.46	29.75	Formatted
NO <sub>2</sub>	Mean_sim	33.94	34.46	21.17	21.98	21.40	21.85	22.20	22.24	Formatted
(31.2	R	0.59	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.56	0.56	Formatted
µg/m³)	MB	2.74	3.26	-10.03	-9.22	-9.80	-9.35	-9.00	-8.96	Formatted
	NMB (%)	8.77	10.44	-32.14	-29.55	-31.40	-29.96	-28.84	-28.73	Formatted
										Formatted



(...

(...

( . . .

(...

( . . .

( . . .

 $(\ldots$ 

( . . .

( . . .

( . . .

 $(\ldots$ 

(...

( . . .

( . . .

(...

(...

[... [...

<u>...</u>

( . . .

(...

<u>...</u>

(...

**...** 

Formatted

472 2017-01-01 2017-02-01 2017-03-01 2017-04-01 2017-04-01 2017-06-01 2017-06-01 2017-06-01 2017-06-01 2017-10-01 2017-10-01 2017-11-01 2017-12-01

473 Figure 6. Time series of observed and simulated hourly  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  concentrations

- 474 <u>by WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE with/without aerosol feedbacks over</u>
   475 <u>Eastern China during the year of 2017.</u>
- 1476







1482 In a similar manner to the meteorological variables presented above, we aimed to 1483 conduct quality assurance for the statistical metrics by making further comparisons with PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> results from previous model evaluations (summarized in Fig. S20). The 1484 performances of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem in simulating PM2.5 in this study were 1485 better than the average levels of previous studies from eastern China. For O3, WRF-1486 Chem simulations performed worse than the average level of previous studies. 1487 Although the R values of O3 simulated by WRF-CMAQ in this study were lower than 1488 the average level of previous studies, the RMSEs in this study were smaller. 489

490 4.2 Satellite-borne observations

In this section, we further investigate the discrepancies among different models in
terms of the calculated AOD and column concentrations of gases (O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO,
and NH<sub>3</sub>), and compare them with various satellite observations. For NH<sub>3</sub>, owing to not
setting the output of simulated NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations in WRF-CHIMERE, the discussion
here only includes the results from WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem.

As shown in Table 5, annual AOD at 550 nm, TCO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and CO simulated by all 20





three models agreed most closely with satellite observations, with correlation 1508 coefficients of 0.80-0.98; these were followed by NH<sub>3</sub> (0.75-0.76), and SO<sub>2</sub> (0.50-1509 0.53). WRF-CMAQ presented negative biases for annual AOD (-0.01), TCO (-5.92 1510 Dobson Units (DU)), SO<sub>2</sub> (-0.03 to -0.02 DU), CO ( $-1.25 \times 10^{17}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>), and 1511 NH<sub>3</sub> (-2.95  $\times$  10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>), but a positive bias for NO<sub>2</sub> (1.09–1.21 1512 petamolecules cm<sup>-2</sup>). For AOD, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE produced positive 1513 and negative MBs of +0.09 and -0.06, respectively. Both WRF-Chem and WRF-1514 CHIMERE overestimated NO<sub>2</sub> (0.28–0.63 petamolecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) and CO (0.93–1.21 × 1515  $10^{17}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>), and underestimated O<sub>3</sub> (-10.99 to -3.63 DU) and SO<sub>2</sub> (-0.03 to -1516 0.02 DU). Similar to WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem also underestimated NH<sub>3</sub> by 1517 approximately  $-3.14 \times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>. 1518

For seasonal variations, relatively high correlation relationships (0.71-0.88) of 1519 1520 AOD were present in autumn, with lower values (0.53-0.84) in other seasons (Fig. 8). 1521 WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem tended to underestimate AOD in summer (MBs of -0.1 to -0.4) and overestimate it in other seasons (MBs of 0.01-0.05). WRF-CHIMERE had 1522 positive biases (0.03-0.04) in winter and negative biases (-0.10 to -0.01) in other 1523 seasons. For TCO (Fig. S24), the model performances of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem 1524 1525 in spring and winter were slightly better than those in summer and autumn, but all seasonal R values were greater than 0.89. Both WRF-CMAQ (-9.53 to -0.72 DU) and 1526 1527 WRF-Chem (-24.62 to +10.57 DU) had negative biases in all seasons (note: WRF-Chem except for autumn). WRF-CHIMERE was better at capturing TCO in spring and 1528 summer (overestimations of +9.19 to +29.20 DU) than in autumn and winter 1529 1530 (underestimations of -33.75 to -19.40 DU). The R values of NO<sub>2</sub> columns for all three models were slightly higher in autumn and winter (0.82-0.91) than in spring and 1531 1532 summer (0.76–0.84). The seasonal  $NO_2$  columns were generally underestimated in WRF-CMAQ (-0.68 to -0.16 DU), WRF-Chem (-1.40 to -0.44 DU), WRF-CHIMERE (-533 1.31 to -0.19 DU) (Fig. \$22). All models overestimated SO<sub>2</sub> column concentrations in 534 1535 winter (by approximately 0.01-0.03 DU) but underestimated them in other seasons 1536 (-0.05 to -0.001 DU) (Fig. \$23). For NH<sub>3</sub>, the only primary alkaline gas in the atmosphere, better model performances of WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem occurred in 1537 summer (R: 0.81–0.87; MB: -3.42 to  $2.07 \times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) (Fig. S25). Ammonia 1538 emissions from fertilizer and livestock have been substantially underestimated in China 1539 1540 (Zhang et al., 2017), and peak values occur in spring and summer (Huang et al., 2012). 1541 In addition, bidirectional exchanges of fertilizer-induced NH<sub>3</sub> were not considered in 542 our simulations. Compared to above column variables, WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE showed relatively poor performances (R: 0.68-0.79) in simulating 1543 CO columns during spring, summer, and autumn, respectively, compared with other 1544 1545 seasons (Fig. <u>\$24</u>). WRF-CMAQ and WRF-CHIMERE respectively underestimated and overestimated CO columns in other seasons except for summer and spring, with 1546 MBs of -3.29 to  $0.31 \times 10^{17}$  and -0.62 to  $2.09 \times 10^{17}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. 1547 WRF-Chem had positive MBs in summer and autumn (4.03–5.12  $\times$  10<sup>17</sup> molecules 1548 cm<sup>-2</sup>) and negative MBs in spring and winter (-3.15 to  $-2.10 \times 10^{17}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>). 1549 Moreover, after comparing the performance results for each pollutant between 1550 sections 4.1 and 4.2, the only disparity found between evaluations with ground-based 1551

-[ -	Deleted: Figure
	Deleted: 9
-[-	Deleted:
	Deleted: Figure
ſ	Deleted: S19
-	Deleted:
1	Deleted: simulation accuracies of
ſ	Deleted: via WRF-CHIMERE were significantly better than
	those using WRF-CMAQ or WRF-Chem in all seasons except
j	for winter
C	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
ſ	Deleted: Figure
ſ	Deleted: S20
	Deleted: Figure
ſ	Deleted: S21
	Deleted:
	Deleted: Figure
Ē	Deleted: S22
	Deleted:
	Deleted: Figure
ſ	Deleted: S23
-	

observations compared with those with satellite-borne observations was for CO. The 1572 1573 formation of CO via the oxidation of methane, an important source of CO emissions (Stein et al., 2014), is not considered in the three coupled models, and methane 1574 1575 emissions are not included in the MEIC inventory. In addition, the contribution of CO to atmospheric oxidation capacity (OH radicals) was non-negligible (e.g., values were 1576 1577 approximately 20.54%-38.97% in Beijing (Liu et al., 2021), and 26%-31% in Shanghai (Zhu et al., 2020). Also, these discrepancies in the model performances for simulating 578 AOD and column concentrations of gases can be explained by differences in the 1579 representations of aerosol species groups, Fast-JX photolysis scheme, and gas-phase 580 581 mechanisms in the three coupled models. More detailed interpretations, were grouped 582 into, four, aspects: (1) AODs, are calculated via, Mie theory using, refractive indices of different numbers (5, 6 and 10) of aerosol species group in different coupled models 583 584 (WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE), (Tables S5-S6); (2) 7 (294.6, 303.2) 585 310.0, 316.4, 333.1, 382.0 and 607.7 nm), 4 (300, 400, 600 and 999 nm), and 5 (200, 300, 400, 600, and 999 nm) effective wavelengths, are used in calculating actinic fluxes 586 and photolysis rates in Fast-JX photolysis modules of WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and 587 WRF-CHIMERE, respectively; (3) Different calculating methods of aerosol and cloud 588 589 optical properties exist in the Fast-JX schemes of three coupled models (Tables S1 and S5-S6); (4) 77, 52 and 40 gas-phase species involve 218, 132, 120 gas-phase reactions 590 591 in CB6, CBMZ and MELCHIOR2 mechanisms, respectively. When all three models enabled just ARI effects, improvements in annual AOD and 1592 1593 NO2 columns simulated by these models were relatively limited. The AOD simulations 1594 improved in spring and summer, but worsened in autumn and winter (Table 4 and Fig. 9). Larger variations in seasonal MBs of NO2 columns induced by ARI effects occurred 1595 in WRF-CMAQ (-0.18 to 0.13 petamolecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) compared with WRF-Chem and 1596 WRF-CHIMERE (0-0.01 petamolecules cm<sup>-2</sup>). When both ARI and ACI effects were 1597 enabled in WRF-Chem, the model performance for seasonal AOD simulations 1598 1599 worsened considerably. The annual and seasonal NO2 simulations via WRF-Chem became slightly worse, while those using WRF-CHIMERE became slightly better. In 1600 contrast to AOD and NO2 column concentrations, improvements in annual and seasonal 1601 column simulations of total ozone, PBL SO2, and NH3 via all two-way coupled models 1602

were limited when one or both of ARI and ACI were enabled. 1603

1604

Table 5. Statistical metrics (R, MB, NMB, NGE, and RMSE) of simulated and satellite-1605 retrieved AOD, total column ozone, tropospheric column NO2, PBL column SO2, total 1606 column CO, and total column density of NH3 in eastern China. The best results are in 1607

160	1608 bold, while annual mean simulations and observations are in italics.											
Variables	Statistics	WRF-CMAQ_NO	WRF-CMAQ_ARI	WRF-Chem_NO	WRF-Chem_ARI	WRF-Chem_BOTH	WRF-CHIMERE_NO	WRF-CHIMERE_ARI	WRF-			
AOD (0.27)	Mean_sim	0.26	0.27	0.35	0.36	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.22			
	R	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.75	0.87	0.87	0.86			
	MB	-0.01	-0.01	0.09	0.09	-0.01	-0.05	-0.05	-0.04			
	NMB (%)	-3.99	-2.93	34.14	35.03	-4.92	-18.72	-17.37	-16.2			
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	<u>34.90</u>	34.82	<u>58.21</u>	58.89	<u>41.46</u>	<u>32.15</u>	32.11	32.0			
I	RMSE	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10			

# Deleted: Т

/(	Deleted: mec	hanism	L
ĺ	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
ĺ	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
ĺ	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
λ	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Å	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
1	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
-{	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
-(	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
-(	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
-{	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
-{	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
1	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y,	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
ľ	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y,	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
1	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
I	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
Y	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
	Deleted: Figu	ıre	
	Formatted:	Font:	Italic
/(	Formatted:	Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
12	Formatted:	Font:	Times New Roman, 六号, Italic,
	Font color:	Blue	
	Font color: Formatted:	Blue Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Font color:	Font: Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic,
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue	Font: Font: Blue Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color:
	Font color: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Font color:	Font: Font: Blue Font: Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic,
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Formatted:	Font: Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Font color:	Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic,
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Fort color:	Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Fo	Font: Font: Blue Font: Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic,
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted:	Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted:	Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Forma	Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue C Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted	Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Blue	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue 
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Font color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted:	Blue Font: Font: Blue Font: Font: Blue Font: Font: Font: Font: Font: Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue  Italic, Font color: Blue
	Font color: Formatted: Formatted: Fort color: Formatted: Blue Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted: Formatted Formatted Formatted: Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted	Blue Font: Font: Blue Font: Font: Blue Font: Blue Font: Font: Font: Font:	Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Not Bold, Italic, Font color: Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue Times New Roman, 六号, Italic, Italic, Font color: Blue  Bold, Italic, Font color: Blue

O3	Mean_sim	306.15	306.15	300.77	300.73	300.46	307.69	307.47	307.3	75	
VCDs	R	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.65	0.65	0.65		
(312.07	MB	-5.92	-5.92	-10.68	-10.72	-10.99	-3.69	-3.91	-3.63	i	
DU)	NMB (%)	-1.90	-1.90	-3.43	-3.44	-3.53	-1.19	-1.26	-1.17	,	
	<u>NGE (%)</u>	2.46	2.46	25.02	25.02	25.08	10.95	10.89	10.9	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue	
	RMSE	8.91	8.91	83.72	83.73	83.94	39.88	39.71	39.7	Formatted	$\neg$
Tropospheric	Mean_sim	3.80	3.91	3.07	3.08	3.06	2.62	2.63	2.63	Formatted	
NO <sub>2</sub>	R	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	Formatted	
VCDs	MB	1.09	1.21	0.62	0.63	0.61	0.28	0.29	0.29	Formatted	
molecules	NMB (%)	40.35	44.64	25.27	25.52	24.89	12.03	12.47	12.4	Formatted	
cm <sup>-2</sup> )	<u>NGE (%)</u>	52.80	55.08	46.01	46.05	45.17	46.06	<u>46.31.</u>	46.2	Formatted	<u></u>
	RMSE	3.18	3.33	2.27	2.27	2.27	1.65	1.67	1.68	Formatted	
PBL SO <sub>2</sub>	Mean_sim	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	2.06	Formatted	
VCDs (0.09	R	0.53	0.53	0.56	0.56	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.50	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue	
DU)	MB	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0,02	Formatted	
	NMB (%)	-27.32	-25.48	-32.50	-21.50	-35.08	-28.64	-27.31	-27.5	Formatted	
1	NGE (%)	57.45	58.26	67.55	68.07	64.83	68.31	68.61	68.8	Formatted	
	RMSE	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	Formatted	
Total CO	Mean sim	20.34	20.35	22.20	22.20	22.21	22.34	22.36	22.3	Formatted	
VCDs	R –	0.83	0.83	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.86	0.86	0.86	Formatted	
(21.60×10 <sup>17</sup>	MB	-1.26	-1.24	0.93	0.93	0.94	1.19	1.21	1.19	Formatted	
cm <sup>-2</sup> )	NMB (%)	-5.83	-5.75	4.35	4.37	4.44	5.64	5.70	5 65	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue	
,	NGE (%)	9.33	9.31	10.30	10.28	10.32	11.02	11.06		Formatted	<u></u>
	RMSE	2.54	2.54	2.69	2.68	2.69	2.57	2.58	2.58	Formatted	
Total NH3	Mean sim	13.06	13.15	12.31	12.27	8.63	NA	NA	NA	Formatted	
VCDs	R –	0.76	0.76	0.73	0.73	0.76	NA	NA	ХA	Formatted	
(16.05×10 <sup>15</sup>	MB	-3.00	-2.90	-3.27	-3.32	-3.34	NA	NA	XA	Formatted	
cm <sup>-2</sup> )	NMB (%)	-18.66	-18.08	-21.01	-21.28	-21.41	NA	NA	1 A	Formatted	
,	NGE (%)	47.69.	48.09	50.84	50.80	50.99	NA	NA		Formatted	
	RMSE	9.26	9.47	9.48	9.46	9.61	NA	NA	NA	Formatted Font: Italic Font color: Blue	
1613	3 NA i	ndicates the	at outputs of	NH2 column	concentratio	ons were not	extracted fron	WRF-CHIMERE	2	Formatted	
1614	4 with/	without aer	osol feedback	simulations	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					Formatted	
101										Formatted	
										Formatted	
										Formatted	
										Formatted	
										Formatted	
										Ecomottod	

Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted

Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

....





1619

Figure 8. Spatial distributions of seasonal AOD between MODIS observations and
simulations from WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE with and without
aerosol feedbacks in eastern China.

1620 4.3 Computational performance

Table 5 summarizes the comparative results of central processing unit (CPU) time 1621 consumption for one day simulations via WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-1622 CHIMERE with and without aerosol feedbacks in 2017. The results show that 1623 regardless of whether aerosol feedbacks were enabled, the CPU time consumed by 1624 WRF-CMAQ simulating one-day meteorology and air quality was shortest, followed 1625 1626 by WRF-CHIMERE, and WRF-Chem. Compared with simulations without aerosol feedbacks, the processing time of WRF-CMAQ with ARI enabled increased by 0.22-1627 0.34 hours per day, while increases in the running time of WRF-Chem and WRF-1628 CHIMERE were not significant (0.02-0.03 hours per day). The CPU time for both 1629 WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE with both ARI and ACI effects enabled was slightly 1630 increased, and the increase in CPU time for the former (0.25 hours per day) was larger 1631 than that for the latter (0.11 hours per day). Compared with WRF-CMAQ and WRF-1632 Chem, the CPU time of WRF-CHIMERE showed obvious seasonal differences, with 1633 the time in winter and spring being significantly longer than that in summer and autumn. 1634 These differences can be partially explained by the choice of main configurations, 1635 including model resolution, model version, and parametrization schemes (cloud 1636 microphysics, PBL, cumulus, surface layer, land surface, gas-phase chemistry, and 1637 638 aerosol mechanisms).

	~		~
	6	.4	n
L,	υ	-	υ

Table 5. Summary	of running	time for	different c	oupled models.
•				

Dolotodi
Deleteu:

Month	WRF-CMAQ (hour)		WRF-C	Chem (hou	r)	WRF-CHIMERE (hour)				
	NO	ARI	NO	ARI	BOTH	NO	ARI	BOTH		
Jan.	0.37	0.59	0.69	0.71	0.96	0.67	0.70	0.77		
Feb.	0.35	0.60	0.68	0.70	0.93	0.64	0.67	0.73		
Mar.	0.39	0.65	0.70	0.72	1.00	0.59	0.62	0.72		
Apr.	0.37	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.92	0.54	0.57	0.65		
May	0.39	0.71	0.61	0.66	0.86	0.52	0.55	0.62		
June	0.40	0.74	0.66	0.67	0.95	0.48	0.51	0.63		
July	0.36	0.69	0.65	0.67	0.86	0.49	0.50	0.58		
Aug.	0.38	0.68	0.66	0.68	0.90	0.49	0.52	0.61		
Sept.	0.37	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.89	0.48	0.52	0.63		
Oct.	0.38	0.62	0.66	0.68	0.94	0.53	0.56	0.69		
Nov.	0.36	0.58	0.68	0.70	0.91	0.64	0.67	0.72		
Dec.	0.35	0.57	0.63	0.66	0.87	0.67	0.70	0.74		

1641

# 1642 5 Conclusions

Applications of two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models have been 643 644 performed in eastern China in recent years, but no research focused on the 645 comprehensive assessments of multiple coupled models in this region. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time to conduct comprehensive inter-comparisons among the 646 647 open-sourced two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models (WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE). This study systemically evaluated the hindcast 648 649 simulations for 2017 and explored the impacts of ARI and/or ACI on model and computational performances in eastern China. 650 After detailed comparisons with ground-based and satellite-borne observations, the 651

652 evaluation results showed that three coupled models perform well for meteorology and air quality, especially for surface temperature (with R up to 0.97) and PM2.5 653 654 concentrations (with R up to 0.68). The effects of aerosol feedbacks on model performances varied depending on the two-way coupled models, variables, and time 655 scales. There were around 20%-70% increase of computational time when these two-656 way coupled models enabled aerosol feedbacks against simulations without aerosol-657 658 radiation-cloud interactions. It is noteworthy that all three coupled models could well 659 reproduce the spatiotemporal distributions of satellite-retrieved CO column concentrations but not for ground-observed CO concentrations. 660

661 With inter-comparisons, some uncertainty sources can be ascertained in evaluating aerosol feedback effects. As numerous schemes can be combined in 662 configurations of different coupled models, here we only evaluated simulations with 663 specific settings. Future comparison works with considering more combinations of 664 665 multiple schemes within the same or different coupled models need to be conducted. Among the three coupled models, the numerical representations for specific variable in 666 667 same scheme are diverse, e.g., treatments of cloud cover and cloud optical properties 668 <u>in the Fast-JX photolysis scheme. More accurate representations of photolysis</u>

Deleted: In this study, we comprehensively evaluated the annual hindcast simulations for 2017 by the two-way coupled WRF-CMAO, WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE models with/without aerosol feedbacks and explored the impacts of ARI and/or ACI on model and computational performances in eastern China. All three two-way coupled models effectively reproduced the spatiotemporal distributions of meteorology and air quality, but some variables (SSR and PM2.5) in specific regions showed significant discrepancies. Among meteorological variables at the annual scale, T2 and Q2 were better simulated by the three models than SSR, RH2, WS10, PBLH, and PREP. The SSR, RH2, and WS10 were overestimated with MBs around 15.91-42.65 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 2.53-3.55% and 0.42-1.04 m s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while T2 and Q2 were underestimated with MBs ranged from -0.57 to -0.18 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and -2.00 to 0.68 °C, respectively. For PREP, the WRF-CMAQ's underestimation was 0.5 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, but WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE overestimated PREP about 1 mm day<sup>-1</sup>. The seasonal variations of simulated meteorological variables in eastern China were also well matched with observations. Overall, the MBs of every meteorological variable simulated by the three models in spring and winter were significantly smaller than those in summer and autumn In terms of air quality, all three models presented generally acceptable performance for annual surface PM2.5, O3, and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, but not for SO<sub>2</sub> and CO. The overall performances of WRF-CMAQ were best, followed by WRF-Chem, and WRF-CHIMERE. The WRF-CMAQ and WRF-Chem simulations had positive biases for NO2 (2.74-3.26 µg  $m^{-3}$ ) and  $O_3$  (25.58–26.30 µg  $m^{-3}$ ), but negative biases for other pollutants, while WRF-CHIMERE simulations had positive biases for PM2.5 (17.18-20.37 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and O<sub>3</sub> (14.25-14.69  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>). The seasonal simulations of surface air quality variables showed better correlations of PM2.5, NO2, SO2, and CO in winter, and  $O_3$  in summer than those in other seasons. Further compared with satellite observations, all coupled models well captured radiation, precipitation, cloud fraction, AOD, and column concentrations of O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and NH<sub>3</sub> both at annual and seasonal scales, but not for LWP and Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font color: Blue

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Indent: Left 0 ch 749processes should be taken into account to reduce the evaluation uncertainties. In750addition, FDDA nudging technique can attenuate the ARI effects during severe air751polluted episodes, and optimal nudging coefficients among different regions need to be752determined. Last but not least, the actual mechanisms underlying ACI effects are still753unclear, and the new advances in the measurements and parameterizations of CCN/IN754activations and precipitation need to be timely incorporated in coupled models.

# 1756 Code availability

The source codes of the two-way coupled WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-1757 Chem v4.1.1, and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1 models are obtained from 1758 1759 https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ, https://github.com/wrf-model/WRF, and https://www.lmd.polytechnique.fr/chimere, respectively (last access: November 2020). 760 761 The related source codes, configuration information, namelist files and automated run scripts of these three two-way coupled models are archived at Zenodo with the 762 associated DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7901682 (Gao et al., 2023a; link: 763 764 https://zenodo.org/record/7901682). 1765

# 1766 Data availability

767 The meteorological ICs and BCs used for three coupled models can be obtained https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7925012 (Gao et al., 2023b; 768 link: at https://zenodo.org/record/7925012). The Chemical ICs and BCs used for WRF-CMAQ, 769 770 WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE are available at 771 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932390 (Gao et al., 2023c: link: 772 https://zenodo.org/record/7932390), https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932936 (Gao et 773 2023d; https://zenodo.org/record/7932936), al., link: and https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7933641 (Gao et al., 774 2023e; link: 775 https://zenodo.org/record/7933641), respectively. The emission data used for WRF-776 CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE can be downloaded <u>from</u> 777 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932430 (Gao et al., 2023f; link: https://zenodo.org/record/7932430), https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932734 (Gao et 778 779 2023g; link: https://zenodo.org/record/7932734), al.. and https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7931614 (Gao et al., 2023h; 780 link: https://zenodo.org/record/7931614), respectively. The DOIs and links regarding the 781 output data of each simulation scenario are presented in Table S9, All data used to 782 783 create figures and tables in this study are provided in an open repository on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7750907, Gao et al., 2023i; link: 784 785 https://zenodo.org/record/7750907).

1786

1787 Author contributions

1788 CG, ZX, AX performed the majority of the source code configuration of WRF1789 CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE, designed the numerical simulations to
1790 carry them out, related analysis, figure plotting, and paper writing. QT, HZ, SZ, GY,
1791 MZ and XS were involved with the original research plan and made suggestions for the

**Commented [g13]:** Prather M J. Photolysis rates in correlated overlapping cloud fields: Cloud-J 7.3 c[J]. Geoscientific Model Development, 2015, 8(8): 2587-2595.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Our evaluations showed that the effects of aerosol feedbacks on model performances varied depending on the two-way coupled models, variables, and time scales. In general, all three two-way coupled models enabling ARI improved the simulation accuracy of annual and seasonal SSR. However, simulation accuracy of SSR was reduced in WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE with only considering ACI, with slightly improved results after enabling both ARI and ACI. Aerosol feedbacks induced various changes of MB for different variables. For example, MBs decreased for SSR from -19.98  $W m^{-2}$  to -9.24  $W m^{-2}$ , T2 from -0.20 °C to -0.15 °C, Q2 from -0.17 g kg<sup>-1</sup> to -0.02 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, WS10 from -0.03 m s<sup>-1</sup> to -0.01 m s<sup>-1</sup> and PBLH from -25.25 m to -1.93 m. MBs increased for PM2.5 from 1.53 to 3.19 µg m<sup>-3</sup> and other gaseous pollutants (NO2, SO2 and CO) as well. In addition, there were computational costs (around 20%-70% increase) involved with turning on aerosol-radiation-cloud effects in two-way coupled models.

Although many progresses in the developments and enhancements of two-way coupled models have been made and these models are widely applied worldwide, several limitations still exist. As comparison studies of offline models' performances affected by various chemical mechanisms were conducted (Kim et al., 2011; Balzarini et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015), relevant assessments targeting two-way coupled models are still lacking. Recently, Wu et al. (2018) and Womack et al. (2021) demonstrated that the nonspherical morphology of BC particles could significantly enhance light absorption and the spherical core–shell mixima .... Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

**Deleted:** The model inputs and outputs in this study for WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and WRF-CHIMERE with/without enabling ARI or/and ACI effects are available upon request. All simulation and observational data of

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: 10 pt, Italic, Font color: Blue, (Asian) Chinese (Simplified, Mainland China)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

1883	paper writing.	
1884		
1885	Competing interests	
1886	The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any	
1887	competing interests.	
1888		
1889	Acknowledgements	
1890	The authors are very grateful to David Wong, Chun Zhao and Laurent Menut who	
1891	provided detailed information on the two-way coupled WRF-CMAQ, WRF-Chem and	
1892	WRF-CHIMERE models, respectively.	
1893		
1894	Financial support	
1895	This study was financially sponsored by the Youth Innovation Promotion	
1896	Association of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China (grant nos. 2022230), the National	
1897	Key Research and Development Program of China (grant nos. 2017YFC0212304 &	
1898	2019YFE0194500), the Talent Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences	
1899	(Y8H1021001), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos.	
1900	42171142 & 41771071).	
1901		
1902	References	
903	Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
1903 1904	Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483,	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905	Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S.,</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional data and the second scale of the second scale of</li></ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://line.climate.</li></ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Arahan Nichelle, S. Lawa, D., Utemba, S. Allan, L. Zavari, P. A. Fast, J. D. Hadnehrog, O.</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Deniar Van Der Gon H. and Mcfingans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism.</u></li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model. WRF-Chem. Geosci. Model Dev.</u></li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael,</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model. WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7.2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398,</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918	<ul> <li><u>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</u></li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li><u>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</u></li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar,
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918 919	<ul> <li>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7.2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San José, R., Tuccella,
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918 919 920	<ul> <li>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San José, R., Tuccella, P., and Grell, G. A.: WRF-Chem model sensitivity to
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918 919 920 921	<ul> <li>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-0027, 2017.</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San José, R., Tuccella, P., and Grell, G. A.: WRF-Chem model sensitivity to chemical mechanisms choice in reconstructing aerosol
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918 919 920 921 922 922	<ul> <li>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-927-2017, 2017.</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San José, R., Tuccella, P., and Grell, G. A.: WRF-Chem model sensitivity to chemical mechanisms choice in reconstructing aerosol optical properties, Atmos. Environ., 115, 604–619,
903 904 905 1906 1907 1908 909 910 911 912 913 1914 1915 1916 1917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924	<ul> <li>Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, AAC-1, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.</li> <li>Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Otte, T. L., Nolte, C. G., Bullock, O. R., Mallard, M. S., Kain, J. S., and Dudhia, J.: Introducing subgrid-scale cloud feedbacks to radiation for regional meteorological and climate modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL054031, 2012.</li> <li>Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø., Denier Van Der Gon, H., and Mcfiggans, G.: Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem, Geosci. Model Dev., 7.2557–2579, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., and Forkel, R.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, 2014.</li> <li>Balzarini Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-927-2017, 2017.</li> <li>Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Menut, L., Mailler, S., and Turquety, S.: Aerosol-radiation interaction modelling using online coupling between the WRF 3.7.1 meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-927-2017, 2017.</li> </ul>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Deleted: Balzarini, A., Pirovano, G., Honzak, L., Žabkar, R., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., San José, R., Tuccella, P., and Grell, G. A.: WRF-Chem model sensitivity to chemical mechanisms choice in reconstructing aerosol optical properties, Atmos. Environ., 115, 604–619, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.033, 2015.

- meteorological model and the CHIMERE 2016 chemistry-transport model, through the
   OASIS3-MCT coupler, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 927–944, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10 927-2017, 2017.
- Brunner, D., Savage, N., Jorba, O., Eder, B., Giordano, L., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baro, R.,
  Bianconi, R., and Chemel, C.: Comparative analysis of meteorological performance of coupled
  chemistry-meteorology models in the context of AQMEII phase 2, Atmos. Environ., 115, 470–
  498, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.032, 2015.
- Campbell, P., Zhang, Y., Wang, K., Leung, R., Fan, J., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Evaluation
  of a multi-scale WRF-CAM5 simulation during the 2010 East Asian Summer Monsoon, Atmos.
  Environ., 169, 204–217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.008, 2017.
- Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V, Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S., and
  Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the Earth system,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1701–1737, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1701-2010, 2010.
- *Chapman, E. G., Jr, W. I. G., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., Pekour, M. S., and Fast, J. D.: and Physics Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the radiative impact of elevated point sources, 945–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, 2009.*
- Chen, L., Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Fu, J. S., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Li, J., Huang, K., Ge, B., and Wang, X.:
  MICS-Asia III: Multi-model comparison and evaluation of aerosol over East Asia, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 19, 11911–11937, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11911-2019, 2019.
- Ding, Q. J., Sun, J., Huang, X., Ding, A., Zou, J., Yang, X., and Fu, C.: Impacts of black carbon on
  the formation of advection-radiation fog during a haze pollution episode in eastern China,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7759–7774, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7759-2019, 2019.
- Dionne, J., von Salzen, K., Cole, J., Mahmood, R., Leaitch, W. R., Lesins, G., Folkins, I., and Chang,
   R. Y.-W.: Modelling the relationship between liquid water content and cloud droplet number
   concentration observed in low clouds in the summer Arctic and its radiative effects, Atmos.
   Chem. Phys., 20, 29–43, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-29-2020, 2020.
- Fan, J., Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X.: Review of aerosol-cloud interactions: Mechanisms,
  significance, and challenges, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 4221–4252, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D16-0037.1, 2016.
- Feng, X., Lin, H., Fu, T.-M., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhuang, J., Jacob, D. J., Tian, H., Ma, Y., Zhang, L.,
  and Wang, X.: WRF-GC (v2.0): online two-way coupling of WRF (v3.9.1.1) and GEOS-Chem
  (v12.7.2) for modeling regional atmospheric chemistry-meteorology interactions, Geosci.
  Model Dev., 14, 3741–3768, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3741-2021, 2021.
- Forkel, R., Werhahn, J., Hansen, A. B., McKeen, S., Peckham, S., Grell, G., and Suppan, P.: Effect
   of aerosol-radiation feedback on regional air quality–A case study with WRF/Chem, Atmos.
   Environ., 53, 202–211, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.009,
- Gao, C., Zhang, X., Xiu, A., Huang, L., Zhao, H., Wang, K., and Tong, Q.: Spatiotemporal distribution of biogenic volatile organic compounds emissions in China, Acta Sci.
  Circumstantiae, 39, 4140–4151, https://doi.org/10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2019.0243, 2019.
- 1971 Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., and Zhang, M.: Two-way
  1972 coupled meteorology and air quality models in Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
  1973 impacts of aerosol feedbacks on meteorology and air quality, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 5265–
  1974 5329, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-5265-2022, 2022.

**Deleted:** Brüggemann, M., Xu, R., Tilgner, A., Kwong, K. C., Mutzel, A., Poon, H. Y., Otto, T., Schaefer, T., Poulain, L., and Chan, M. N.: Organosulfates in ambient aerosol: state of knowledge and future research directions on formation, abundance, fate, and importance, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 3767–3782,

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06751, 2020.

Deleted: Cantrell, W., Shaw, R., and Mazzoleni, C.: Final Technical Report for "Laboratory Measurements of Cloud Scavenging of Interstitial Aerosol in a Turbulent Environment", DE-SC0018931, Michigan Technological Univ., Houghton, MI (United States), 2022.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

988	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X.: Oservational data for sfdda nudging analysis in WRF model over China	Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
1989	during 2017, Zenodo [Data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6975602, 2022.	
1990	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Source	
1991	codes of WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1, WRF-Chem v4.1.1 and WRF v3.7.1-	
1992	CHIMERE v2020r1, Zenodo [software]. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7901682,	
1993	<u>2023a.</u>	
1994	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: FNL	
1995	data used for producing meteorological ICs/BCs of WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ v5.3.1,	
1996	<u>WRF-Chem v4.1.1 and WRF v3.7.1-CHIMERE v2020r1, Zenodo [data set].</u>	
1997	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7925012, 2023b.	
1998	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Chemical	
1999	<u>initial and boundary conditions for WRF-CMAQ. Zenodo [data set].</u>	
2000	<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932390, 2023c.</u>	
2001	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Chemical	
2002	<u>initial and boundary conditions for WRF-Chem. Zenodo [data set],</u>	
2003	<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932936, 2023d.</u>	
2004	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Chemical	
2005	<u>initial and boundary conditions for WRF-CHIMERE, Zenodo [data set],</u>	
2006	<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7933641, 2023e.</u>	
2007	Chao Gao, Xuelei Zhang, Aijun Xiu, Qingqing Tong, Hongmei Zhao, Shichun Zhang,	
2008	Guangyi Yang, Mengduo Zhang, Shengjin Xie: Emission input data for WRF-	
2009	CMAQ, Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932430, 2023f.	
2010	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Emission	
2011	<u>input data for WRF-Chem, Zenodo [data set],</u>	
2012	<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7932734, 2023g.</u>	
2013	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Emission	
2014	input data for WRF-CHMIERE, Zenodo [data set],	
2015	<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7931614, 2023h.</u>	
2016	Gao, C., Xiu, A., Zhang, X., Tong, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, S., Yang, G., Zhang, M., Xie, S.: Data	
2017	used to create figures and tables in the GMD manuscript "Inter-comparison of	
2018	multiple two-way coupled meteorology and air quality models (WRF v4.1.1-CMAQ	
2019	<u>v5.3.1, WRF-Chem v4.1.1 and WRF v3./.1-CHIMERE v2020r1) in eastern China",</u>	
2020	Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.//5090/, 2023i.	
2021 2022	pao, J., woodward, A., Vardoulakis, S., Kovats, S., Wilkinson, P., Li, L., Xu, L., Li, J., Yang, J.,	Deleted:
2022	and Cao, L.: Haze, public health and mitigation measures in China: A review of the current	
2023	evidence for further policy response, Sci. Iotal Environ., 5/8, 148–15/,	
2024	nups://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.251, 2017.	
2025	Utao, IVI., Hain, Z., Liu, Z., Li, Mi, Ain, J., 1ao, Z., Li, J., Kang, J. E., Huang, K., Dong, X., Zhuang,	
2026	D., LI, S., Ge, B., WU, Q., Uneng, Y., Wang, Y., Lee, H. J., Kim, C. H., FU, J. S., Wang, I.,	

- 2027Chin, M., Woo, J. H., Zhang, Q., Wang, Z., and Carmichael, G. R.: Air quality and climate2028change, Topic 3 of the Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia Phase III (MICS-Asia III)- Part20291: Overview and model evaluation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 4859–4884,
- 2030 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-4859-2018, 2018.
- 2031 Gao, M., Han, Z., Tao, Z., Li, J., Kang, J.-E., Huang, K., Dong, X., Zhuang, B., Li, S., and Ge, B.:

- Air quality and climate change, Topic 3 of the Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia Phase
  III (MICS-Asia III)–Part 2: aerosol radiative effects and aerosol feedbacks, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 20, 1147–1161, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1147-2020, 2020.
- Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Wang, P., Xia, X., Tao, M., and Zhu, L.: Modeling the
  feedback between aerosol and meteorological variables in the atmospheric boundary layer
  during a severe fog-haze event over the North China Plain., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4279–
  4295, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4279-2015, 2015.
- 2040 Ge, B., Itahashi, S., Sato, K., Xu, D., Wang, J., Fan, F., Tan, Q., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., and Yamaji,
  2041 K.: Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia (MICS-Asia) phase III: multimodel comparison
  2042 of reactive nitrogen deposition over China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10587–10610,
  2043 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10587-2020, 2020.
- Geng, G., Zheng, Y., Zhang, Q., Xue, T., Zhao, H., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Li, M., Liu, F., and Hong,
   C.: Drivers of PM2.5 air pollution deaths in China 2002–2017, Nat. Geosci., 14, 645–650,
   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00792-3, 2021.
- Gillies, S., Ward, B., and Petersen, A. S.: Rasterio: Geospatial raster I/O for Python programmers,
   URL https//github.com/mapbox/rasterio, 2013.
- Govardhan, G. R., Nanjundiah, R. S., Satheesh, S. K., Moorthy, K. K., and Takemura, T.: Inter comparison and performance evaluation of chemistry transport models over Indian region,
   Atmos. Environ., 125, 486–504, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.065, 2016.
- 2052Grell, G. and Baklanov, A.: Integrated modeling for forecasting weather and air quality: A call for2053fully coupled approaches, Atmos. Environ., 45, 6845–6851,2054https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.017, 2011.
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., and Eder,
  B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957–6975,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
- Guo, J., Li, Y., Cohen, J. B., Li, J., Chen, D., Xu, H., Liu, L., Yin, J., Hu, K., and Zhai, P.: Shift in
  the temporal trend of boundary layer height in China using long-term (1979-2016) radiosonde
  data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 6080–6089, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082666, 2019.
- 2061He, K., Huo, H., and Zhang, Q.: Urban air pollution in China: current status, characteristics, and2062progress, Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour., 27, 397,2063https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.27.122001.083421, 2002.
- Hogrefe, C., Bash, J. O., Pleim, J. E., Schwede, D. B., Gilliam, R. C., Foley, K. M., Appel, K. W.,
  and Mathur, R.: An Analysis of CMAQ Gas Phase Dry Deposition over North America
  Through Grid-Scale and Land-Use Specific Diagnostics in the Context of AQMEII4, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys. Discuss., 1–52, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2023-10, 2023.
- Hong, C., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Tang, Y., Tong, D., and He, K.: Multi-year downscaling application
  of two-way coupled WRF v3.4 and CMAQ v5.0.2 over east Asia for regional climate and air
  quality modeling: model evaluation and aerosol direct effects., Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2447–
  2470, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2447-2017, 2017.
- Huang, D. and Gao, S.: Impact of different reanalysis data on WRF dynamical downscaling over
   China, Atmos. Res., 200, 25–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.09.017, 2018.
- Huang, X., Song, Y., Li, M., Li, J., Huo, Q., Cai, X., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zhang, H.: A highresolution ammonia emission inventory in China, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 26, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004161, 2012.

**Deleted:** Guo, J., Miao, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, H., Li, Z., Zhang, W., He, J., Lou, M., Yan, Y., and Bian, L.: The climatology of planetary boundary layer height in China derived from radiosonde and reanalysis data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13309–13319, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-13309-2016, 2016.

**Deleted:** He, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, K., Chen, Y., Leung, L. R., Fan, J., Li, M., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and Duan, F.: Multi-year application of WRF-CAM5 over East Asia-Part I: Comprehensive evaluation and formation regimes of O3 and PM2.5, Atmos. Environ., 165, 122–142, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.015, 2017.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

1			
2089	Jacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W. D.:		Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
2090	Radiative forcing by long - lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative		
2091	transfer models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944, 2008.		
2092	Jm, U., Bianconi, R., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bellasio, R.,		Deleted:
2093	Brunner, D., and Chemel, C.: Evaluation of operational on-line-coupled regional air quality	U	
2094	models over Europe and North America in the context of AQMEII phase 2. Part I: Ozone,		
2095	Atmos. Environ., 115, 404-420, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.042, 2015a.		
2096	Im, U., Bianconi, R., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bellasio, R.,		
2097	Brunner, D., and Chemel, C.: Evaluation of operational online-coupled regional air quality		
2098	models over Europe and North America in the context of AQMEII phase 2. Part II: Particulate		
2099	matter, Atmos. Environ., 115, 421–441, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.072,		
2100	2015b.		
2101	IPCC: Climate change 2007: Synthesis Report, Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the		
2102	Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007.		
2103	IPCC: Climate change 2021: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I. II and III to the		
2104	Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2021		
2105	Itahashi, S., Ge, B., Sato, K., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Yamaji, K., Nagashima, T., Li, J., Kajino, M., and		
2105	Liao H : MICS-Asia III: overview of model intercomparison and evaluation of acid denosition		
2100	over Asia Atmos Chem Phys. 20 2667–2693 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2667-2020		
108	2020		
109	Jacobson M. 7 · Developing coupling and applying a gas derosal transport and radiation model		Formatted: Font: Italic Font color: Blue
2110	to study urban and regional air pollution 1994	(	Tormovous, Tone: Tearlo, Tone color. Dide
111	Jacobson $M$ Z · Development and application of a new air pollution modeling system—Part III		
2112	Aerosol-phase simulations Atmos Environ 31 587–608 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-		
2113	2310/96)00201-4 1997		
114	Jacobson $M$ , $Z$ . Studying the effects of aerosols on vertical photolysis rate coefficient and		
2115	temperature profiles over an urban airshed I Geophys Res 4tmos 103 10503-10604		
116	https://doi.org/10.1020/08id00287_1008		
117	Jacobson M. 7 · GATOR-GCMM· 4 global-through urban-scale air pollution and weather forecast		
118	model: 1 Model design and treatment of subgrid soil vegetation roads rooftons water sea		
110	ica and snow I Gaophys Ras Atmos 106 5385-5401		
2120	<u>ice, una snow, J. Geophys. Res. Annos., 100, 5505–5401.</u>		
2120	Jacobson M Z : Analysis of aerosol interactions with numerical techniques for solving coagulation	_	
2122	nucleation condensation dissolution and reversible chemistry among multiple size		Deleted:
2122	distributions I Geophys Res Atmos 107 AAC-2 https://doi.org/10.1029/20011D002044	ſ	
2123	2002		Deleted: Kärcher, B. and Marcolli, C.: Aerosol-cloud
125	Keita S. A. Girard F. Raut L-C. Leriche M. Blanchet L-P. Pelon I. Onishi T. and Cirisan		interactions: the representation of heterogeneous ice
2126	A : A new parameterization of ice heterogeneous nucleation counled to aerosol chemistry in		activation in cloud models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21,
2120	WRF-Chem model version 3.5.1: evaluation through ISDAC measurements. Geosci Model		15213–15220, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-15213-2021,
128	Dev 13 5737-5755 https://doi.org/10.5194/amd-13-5737-2020 2020	l	2021.
120	Klein S A McCov R B Morrison H Ackerman A S Avramov A Roer G de Chen M		Deleted:
1 <sup>12</sup> 2130	Cole INS Del Genio A D and Falk M Intercomparison of model simulations of mixed		Kim, Y., Sartelet, K., and Seigneur, C.: Formation of
2130	nhase clouds observed during the ARM Mixed-Phase Arotic Cloud Experiment I: Single lover		secondary aerosols over Europe: comparison of two gas-
2131	cloud O I R Meteorol Soc A I Atmos Sci Appl Meteorol Phys Oceanogr 135 970-		phase chemical mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 583-
2132	eroue, x. o. R. Incherton, boo. A o. Annos, ben Appl. inchestor. 1 mys. Occanogl., 155, 7/7-		598, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-583-2011, 2011.

2145 1002, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.416, 2009.

- Knote, C., Tuccella, P., Curci, G., Emmons, L., Orlando, J. J., Madronich, S., Baró, R., JiménezGuerrero, P., Luecken, D., and Hogrefe, C.: Influence of the choice of gas-phase mechanism
  on predictions of key gaseous pollutants during the AQMEII phase-2 intercomparison, Atmos.
  Environ., 115, 553–568, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.066, 2015.
- Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Itahashi, S., Yamaji, K., Nagashima, T.,
  and Lee, H.-J.: Evaluation and uncertainty investigation of the NO<sub>2</sub>, CO and NH<sub>3</sub> modeling
  over China under the framework of MICS-Asia III, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 181–202,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-181-2020, 2020.
- Li, J., Nagashima, T., Kong, L., Ge, B., Yamaji, K., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Fan, Q., Itahashi, S., and Hyo-Jung, L.: Model evaluation and intercomparison of surface-level ozone and relevant species in East Asia in the context of MICS-Asia Phase III–Part 1: Overview, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 19, 12993–13015, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12993-2019, 2019.
- Li, M., Liu, H., Geng, G., Hong, C., Liu, F., Song, Y., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Cui, H., and Man, H.:
  Anthropogenic emission inventories in China: a review, Natl. Sci. Rev., 4, 834–866,
  https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx150, 2017.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Hu, B., Lu, K., Tang, G., Ji, D., Yang, X., Gao, W., Xie, Y., and Liu, J.:
  Elucidating the quantitative characterization of atmospheric oxidation capacity in Beijing,
  China, Sci. Total Environ., 771, 145306, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145306,
  2021.
- Ma, Y., Jin, Y., Zhang, M., Gong, W., Hong, J., Jin, S., Shi, Y., Zhang, Y., and Liu, B.: Aerosol optical properties of haze episodes in eastern China based on remote-sensing observations and WRF-Chem simulations, Sci. Total Environ., 757, 143784, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143784, 2021.
- Mailler, S., Menut, L., Khvorostyanov, D., Valari, M., Couvidat, F., Siour, G., Turquety, S., Briant,
   R., Tuccella, P., and Bessagnet, B.: CHIMERE-2017: from urban to hemispheric chemistry transport modeling, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2397–2423, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10 2397-2017, 2017.
- Makar, P. A., Gong, W., Milbrandt, J., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Curci, G., Žabkar, R., Im, U.,
  Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Cheung, P., Forkel, R., Gravel, S., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L.,
  Hou, A., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Langer, M., Moran, M. D., Pabla, B., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G.,
  San José, R., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zhang, J., and Galmarini, S.: Feedbacks between air
  pollution and weather, Part 1: Effects on weather, Atmos. Environ., 115, 442–469,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.003, 2015a.
- Makar, P. A., Gong, W., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Curci, G., Žabkar, R., Milbrandt, J., Im, U.,
  Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Cheung, P., Forkel, R., Gravel, S., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L.,
  Hou, A., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Langer, M., Moran, M. D., Pabla, B., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G.,
  San José, R., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zhang, J., and Galmarini, S.: Feedbacks between air
  pollution and weather, part 2: Effects on chemistry, Atmos. Environ., 115, 499–526,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.021, 2015b.
- Menut, L., Bessagnet, B., Khvorostyanov, D., Beekmann, M., Blond, N., Colette, A., Coll, I., Curci,
   G., Foret, G., and Hodzic, A.: CHIMERE 2013: a model for regional atmospheric composition
   modelling, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 981–1028, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-981-2013, 2013.
- 2188 Qu, Y., Voulgarakis, A., Wang, T., Kasoar, M., Wells, C., Yuan, C., Varma, S., and Mansfield, L.:

**Deleted:** Li, D., Xue, L., Wen, L., Wang, X., Chen, T., Mellouki, A., Chen, J., and Wang, W.: Characteristics and sources of nitrous acid in an urban atmosphere of northern China: Results from 1-yr continuous observations, Atmos. Environ., 182, 296–306,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.033, 2018.

Deleted: Liu, X.-H., Zhang, Y., Cheng, S.-H., Xing, J., Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Jang, C., Wang, W.-X., and Hao, J.-M.: Understanding of regional air pollution over China using CMAQ, part I performance evaluation and seasonal variation, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2415–2426, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.035, 2010. Liu, Y., Lu, K., Li, X., Dong, H., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Zou, Q., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., and Hu, M.: A comprehensive model test of the HONO sources constrained to field measurements at rural North China Plain, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 3517–3525, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06367, 2019.

Deleted: López-Romero, J. M., Montávez, J. P., Jerez, S., Lorente-Plazas, R., Palacios-Peña, L., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: Precipitation response to aerosol–radiation and aerosol–cloud interactions in regional climate simulations over Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 415–430, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-415-2021, 2021.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue
Deleted:

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Deleted:

2214	A study of the effect of aerosols on surface ozone through meteorology feedbacks over China,
2215	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 5705–5718, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5705-2021, 2021.

Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Asmi, A., Chin, M., de Leeuw, G., Donovan, D. P., Kahn, R., Kinne,
 S., Kivekäs, N., and Kulmala, M.: Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate

2218 interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750–808, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000441, 2014.

- Safieddine, S., Boynard, A., Coheur, P.-F., Hurtmans, D., Pfister, G., Quennehen, B., Thomas, J. L.,
   Raut, J.-C., Law, K. S., and Klimont, Z.: Summertime tropospheric ozone assessment over the
   Mediterranean region using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder and the WRF-Chem
   model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10119–10131, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10119-2014,
   2014.
- Stein, O., Schultz, M. G., Bouarar, I., Clark, H., Huijnen, V., Gaudel, A., George, M., and Clerbaux,
  C.: On the wintertime low bias of Northern Hemisphere carbon monoxide found in global
  model simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9295–9316, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-92952014, 2014.
- Tang, W., Yang, K., Qin, J., Li, X., and Niu, X.: A 16-year dataset (2000–2015) of high-resolution
  (3 h, 10 km) global surface solar radiation, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1905–1915, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1905-2019, 2019.
- 2231 Tuccella, P., Menut, L., Briant, R., Deroubaix, A., Khvorostyanov, D., Mailler, S., Siour, G., and Turquety, S.: Implementation of aerosol-cloud interaction within WRF-CHIMERE online 2232 2233 coupled model: Evaluation and investigation of the indirect radiative effect from anthropogenic 2234 emission reduction on the Benelux Union, Atmosphere (Basel)., 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10010020, 2019. 2235
- 2236 Wallace, J. M. and Hobbs, P. V: Atmospheric science: an introductory survey, Elsevier, 2006.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Yahya, K., Wu, S.-Y., and Grell, G.: Implementation and initial application
  of new chemistry-aerosol options in WRF/Chem for simulating secondary organic aerosols and
  aerosol indirect effects for regional air quality, Atmos. Environ., 115, 716–732,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.007, 2015.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Fine-scale application of WRF-CAM5 during a dust storm episode over East Asia: Sensitivity to grid resolutions and aerosol activation parameterizations, Atmos. Environ., 176, 1–20, https://doi.org/0.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.12.014, 2018.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Yu, S., Wong, D. C., Pleim, J., Mathur, R., Kelly, J. T., and Bell, M.: A
  comparative study of two-way and offline coupled WRF v3.4 and CMAQ v5.0.2 over the
  contiguous US: performance evaluation and impacts of chemistry-meteorology feedbacks on
  air quality, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 7189–7221, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7189-2021,
  2021.
- Wang, K., Gao, C., Wu, K., Liu, K., Wang, H., Dan, M., Ji, X., and Tong, Q.: ISAT v2. 0: an
   integrated tool for nested-domain configurations and model-ready emission inventories for
   WRF-AQM, Geosci. Model Dev., 16, 1961–1973, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-1961-2023,
   2023.
- Wang, S. and Hao, J.: Air quality management in China: Issues, challenges, and options, J. Environ.
   Sci., 24, 2–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(11)60724-9, 2012.
- Wang, Z., Wang, Z., Li, J., Zheng, H., Yan, P., and Li, J.: Development of a meteorology-chemistry
   two-way coupled numerical model (WRF-NAQPMS) and its application in a severe autumn

Deleted: Ryu, Y. and Min, S.: Improving Wet and Dry Deposition of Aerosols in WRF - Chem: Updates to Below - Cloud Scavenging and Coarse - Particle Dry Deposition, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 14, e2021MS002792, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002792, 2022.

Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P. J., Dunlea, E. J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S., Guenther, A. B., and Kahn, R.: Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol-cloud interactions in the climate system, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 113, 5781–5790, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514043113, 2016.

**Deleted:** Spataro, F., Ianniello, A., Esposito, G., Allegrini, I., Zhu, T., and Hu, M.: Occurrence of atmospheric nitrous acid in the urban area of Beijing (China), Sci. Total Environ., 447, 210–224,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.065, 2013.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

**Deleted:** 

2276	haze simulation over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area, China. Clim, Environ. Res, 19, 153–163,
2277	https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1006-9585.2014.13231, 2014.

- Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model to estimate
   the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625–641, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.
- Wong, D. C., Pleim, J., Mathur, R., Binkowski, F., Otte, T., Gilliam, R., Pouliot, G., Xiu, A., Young, J. O., and Kang, D.: WRF-CMAQ two-way coupled system with aerosol feedback: software development and preliminary results, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 299–312, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-299-2012, 2012.
- Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Wang, J., Gan, C.-M., Sarwar, G., Wong, D. C., and McKeen, S.: Representing the effects of stratosphere-troposphere exchange on 3-D Q<sub>3</sub> distributions in chemistry transport models using a potential vorticity-based parameterization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10865–10877, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10865-2016, 2016.
- Xing, J., Wang, J., Mathur, R., Wang, S., Sarwar, G., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Jiang, J.,
   and Wong, D. C.: Impacts of aerosol direct effects on tropospheric ozone through changes in
   atmospheric dynamics and photolysis rates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9869–9883,
   https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9869-2017, 2017.
- <u>Xu, K.-M. and Randall, D. A.: A semiempirical cloudiness parameterization for use in climate</u>
   <u>models, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 3084–3102, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
   <u>0469(1996)053<3084:ASCPFU>2.0.CO;2, 1996.</u>
- Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for simulating aerosol interactions
   and chemistry (MOSAIC), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.
- Zhang, X., Wu, Y., Liu, X., Reis, S., Jin, J., Dragosits, U., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Whitburn,
   S., and Coheur, P.-F.: Ammonia emissions may be substantially underestimated in China,
   Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 12089–12096, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02171, 2017.
- Zhang, Y.: Online-coupled meteorology and chemistry models: history, current status, and outlook,
   Atmos. Chem. Phys, 8, 2895–2932, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2895-2008, 2008.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, K., Zhang, Q., Duan, F., and He, K.: Application of WRF/Chem over
   East Asia: Part II. Model improvement and sensitivity simulations, Atmos. Environ., 124, 301–
   320, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.023, 2016.
- Zhao, B., Liou, K., Gu, Y., Li, Q., Jiang, J. H., Su, H., He, C., Tseng, H.-L. R., Wang, S., and Liu,
  R.: Enhanced PM2.5 pollution in China due to aerosol-cloud interactions, Sci. Rep., 7, 1–11,
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04096-8, 2017.
- Zhou, C., Zhang, X., Gong, S., Wang, Y., and Xue, M.: Improving aerosol interaction with clouds
  and precipitation in a regional chemical weather modeling system, 16, 145–160,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-145-2016, 2016.
- Zhu, J., Wang, S., Wang, H., Jing, S., Lou, S., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Zhou, B.: Observationally
  constrained modeling of atmospheric oxidation capacity and photochemical reactivity in
  Shanghai, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 1217–1232, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12172020, 2020.
- Zhu, J., Chen, L., Liao, H., Yang, H., Yang, Y., and Yue, X.: Enhanced PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreases and O<sub>3</sub>
   increases in China during COVID-19 lockdown by aerosol-radiation feedback, Geophys. Res.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Deleted: Womack, C. C., Manfred, K. M., Wagner, N. L., Adler, G., Franchin, A., Lamb, K. D., Middlebrook, A. M., Schwarz, J. P., Brock, C. A., and Brown, S. S.: Complex refractive indices in the ultraviolet and visible spectral region for highly absorbing non-spherical biomass burning aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 7235–7252, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7235-2021, 2021. Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue, Subscript Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

# Deleted:

Wu, Y., Cheng, T., Liu, D., Allan, J. D., Zheng, L., and Chen, H.: Light absorption enhancement of black carbon aerosol constrained by particle morphology, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 6912–6919,

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00636, 2018.

Xie, B., Fung, J. C. H., Chan, A., and Lau, A.: Evaluation of nonlocal and local planetary boundary layer schemes in the WRF model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017080, 2012.

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

Deleted: Xu, D., Ge, B., Chen, X., Sun, Y., Cheng, N., Li, M., Pan, X., Ma, Z., Pan, Y., and Wang, Z.: Multi-method determination of the below-cloud wet scavenging coefficients of aerosols in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15569–15581, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15569-2019, 2019.

Zhang, S., Sarwar, G., Xing, J., Chu, B., Xue, C., Sarav, A., Ding, D., Zheng, H., Mu, Y., and Duan, F.: Improving the representation of HONO chemistry in CMAQ and examining its impact on haze over China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 15809–15826, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-15809-2021, 2021.

**Deleted:** Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., He, K. B., Wang, K., Zheng, G. J., Duan, F. K., Ma, Y. L., and Kimoto, T.: Heterogeneous chemistry: a mechanism missing in current models to explain secondary inorganic aerosol formation during the January 2013 haze episode in North China,

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 2 ch, First line: -2 ch, Don't adjust right indent when grid is defined, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers 2361 Lett., 48, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090260, 2021