



Non-Redfield carbon model for the Baltic Sea (ERGOM version 1.2) – Implementation and Budget estimates

Thomas Neumann¹, Hagen Radtke¹, Bronwyn Cahill¹, and Martin Schmidt¹ ¹Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestr. 15, 18119 Rostock, German **Correspondence:** Thomas Neumann (thomas.neumann@io-warnemuende.de)

Abstract. Redfield stoichiometry based marine biogeochemical models suffer from underestimating carbon fixation by primary production. Most pronounced indication of this is the overestimation of the dissolved inorganic carbon concentration and, consequently, the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in surface waters. The reduced production of organic carbon will impact most biogeochemical processes.

- 5 We propose a marine biogeochemical model allowing for a non-Redfield carbon fixation. The updated model is able to reproduce observed partial pressure of carbon dioxide and other variables of the ecosystem, like nutrients and oxygen, reasonably well. The additional carbon uptake is realized in the model by an extracellular release of dissolved organic matter from phytoplankton. Dissolved organic matter is subject to flocculation and the sinking particles remove carbon from surface waters. This approach is mechanistically different from existing non-Redfield
- 10 models, which allow for flexible element ratios for the living cells of the phytoplankton itself. The performance of the model is demonstrated as an example for the Baltic Sea.

Budget estimates for carbon illustrate that the Baltic Sea acts as a carbon sink. For alkalinity, the Baltic Sea is a source due to internal alkalinity generation by denitrification. Owing to the underestimated model alkalinity, there exists still an unknown alkalinity source or underestimated land based fluxes.

15 1 Introduction

We introduce the non-Redfieldish carbon uptake implemented in the biogeochemical model ERGOM 1.2. In a previous publication (Neumann et al., 2021), the optical model of ERGOM 1.2 is described. In this paper, we focus on the non-Redfieldish carbon uptake in ERGOM 1.2. We decided to split the description of ERGOM 1.2 into two parts because we think both parts could be used separately in other models as well.

20

Models for the marine carbon cycle often fail if carbon fixation by autotrophs is restricted to the elemental Redfield ratio (Redfield et al., 1963). As an example, the surface CO_2 partial pressure $(spCO_2)$ for the Baltic Sea can hardly be represented correctly (Omstedt et al., 2014). This is especially obvious by an elevated simulated $spCO_2$ compared to observations during summer, when primary production is limited by depleted nutrients. Fransner et al. (2018) demonstrated the considerable improvement by introducing non-Redfieldish dynamics. Established methods





25 for implementing a non-Redfieldish carbon fixation in ecosystem models are the cell quota model by Droop (1973) and/or additional carbon uptake due to dissolved organic matter (DOM) production (Fransner et al., 2018).

DOM in the ocean is one of Earth's major carbon reservoirs (Hansell et al., 2009). Many production, degradation and consumption processes control its dynamics. An excellent review of DOM dynamics is given by Carlson and Hansell (2015). We will summarize some facts from this review which we think are important to guide model

- 30 development: Main producer of DOM is phytoplankton within the euphotic zone due to extracellular release (ER). Two common models exist to explain mechanisms for ER: (i) The overflow model and (ii) the passive diffusion model. The overflow model assumes an active DOM release by healthy cells. This process is directly coupled to primary production (PP) and regulates the frequently mismatching availability of irradiation and nutrients. The active ER will be used to dissipate energy from the photosynthetic machinery and protect it from damage. In the
- 35 passive diffusion model, ER is controlled by different concentrations of DOM inside and outside of the cell. The concentration gradient forces an ER across the cell membrane. This process is stronger coupled to phytoplankton biomass instead of primary production. For both models, experimental evidence exists and it is possible that both are valid and depending on environmental conditions, one or the other process is more active.
- Although ER is coupled to PP in the overflow model, there is not a constant fraction of produced DOM. In fact,
 fractionation depends on nutrient availability and phytoplankton composition (Carlson et al., 1998). Phytoplankton ER consists to up to 80% of carbohydrates which are important precursors for the formation of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP). TEP are sticky and aggregate into larger particles which may sink down (Engel et al., 2004) and are methodically often be counted as particulate organic carbon (POC) (Carlson and Hansell, 2015). Therefore, not considering TEP production results in underestimating ER (Wetz and Wheeler, 2007).
- 45 Several studies prove that the stoichiometry of healthy phytoplankton cells follow the Redfield ratio. Ho et al. (2003) show in an experimental setup for marine phytoplankton that the biomass composition is generally close to the Redfield ratio. In situ data of particulate organic matter (POM) by (Martiny et al., 2016) show only moderate deviations from Redfield ratio. Considering that POM constitutes not only of phytoplankton, other particles like heterotrophs or detritus may impact the observed ratios. Sharoni and Halevy (2020) show with the aid of model
- 50 experiments that variations in POM stoichiometry are best explained by taxonomic composition of phytoplankton compared to phenotypic plasticity. That is, phytoplankton with a minimum flexibility of the nutrient cell quota, but a variation between adapted groups, fits best the observed elemental ratio variations on a global scale. Engel (2002) state that "the fundamental need for N and P for biomass synthesis does not allow large deviations from Redfield".

Considering the fact that biogeochemical models for the Baltic Sea with a Redfieldish carbon fixation are not able to reproduce the observed carbon cycle (see also Fig 1) and a strong observational evidence for an ER of DOM, we develop a model able to fix carbon beyond the classical Redfield ratio. In this study, we introduce a non-Redfieldish carbon uptake by maintaining Redfieldish composition of living biomass, but allowing ER of highly carbon-enriched DOM in the model ERGOM 1.2 and show selected budgets derived from the model simulations.







Figure 1. $spCO_2$ in the central Baltic Sea from a previous, Redfield stoichiometry version of ERGOM (blue) and observations (red) as climatology (2003–2016) at station BY15 (Fig.5). Shaded areas show the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Observations are available from SOCAT (see *code and data availability*).

2 Methods and data

60 2.1 Biogeochemical model

We start with explaining the biogeochemical model ERGOM (Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research, 2015), which describes cycles of the elements nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon, oxygen, and partly sulfur.

Primary production, forced by photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), is provided by three functional phytoplankton groups (large cells, small cells, and cyanobacteria). The chlorophyll concentration used in the optical model
65 is estimated from the phytoplankton groups (Neumann et al., 2021). Dead particles accumulate in the detritus state variable. A bulk zooplankton grazes on phytoplankton and is the highest trophic level considered in the model. Phytoplankton and detritus can sink down in the water column and accumulate in a sediment layer. In the water column and in the sediment, detritus is mineralized into dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus. Mineralization is controlled by water temperature and oxygen concentration. Oxygen is produced by primary production and

70 consumed due to all other processes, e.g., metabolism and mineralization.

The stoichiometry in all organic carbon components of the model is confined to the classical Redfield ratio (Redfield et al., 1963). The advantage of this approach is the model's simplicity. However, observations of the carbon cycle in the Baltic Sea reveal the shortcomings of this kind of models (Fransner et al., 2018). A prominent disagreement is the overestimated $spCO_2$ in Redfield ratio based models (e.g. Kuznetsov et al., 2011). We show in Fig. 1 the $spCO_2$

75 climatology in the central Baltic Sea from observations and from a previous, Redfield version of the model ERGOM. There is clear observational evidence that carbon fixation continues after the depletion of nitrate during the spring





bloom period, which has been termed post-nitrate production (Schneider and Müller, 2018). As this production cannot be sustained in a strictly Redfield-defined parameterization, the simulated spCO₂ strongly deviates from the onset of nitrate-depletion, which in the central Gotland Sea usually starts by mid-April (Fig. 1, see also Schneider and Müller (2018), their Figure 5.13). The spCO₂ overestimation vanishes in fall when primary production subsides and deeper mixing occurs. Consequently, the model primary production fixes considerably less carbon compared to *in situ* conditions. The missing organic carbon impacts all biogeochemical processes of the ecosystem. However, the relatively large freedom in calibration allows to tune the models to match observed variables like nutrient concentrations. Based on these findings, specifically the underestimation of carbon fixation, we decided to extend
80 our model by introducing an non-Redfield stoichiometry in carbon fixation. The aim of this extension is to not limit carbon fixation ultimately by the availability of nutrients.

Our basic idea is that the element composition in vegetative phytoplankton cells remains at the Redfield ratio and under certain circumstances, extracellular dissolved organic matter (DOM) is produced. This extracellular DOM has a fairly flexible elemental ratio. The produced DOM is subject to flocculation (TEP formation) with a certain

- 90 rate and eventually sinks down as particulate organic matter (POM). In order to realize the elemental flexibility in DOM, we introduce three different DOM state variables together with the POM counterparts. We call the DOM state variables dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), and dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP). The model considers DOC as polysaccharides (COH₂), and DON and DOP as DOC with additional nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), respectively. In DON and DOP the elemental ratio is fixed to the Redfield ratio and they
- 95 are counted in units of N and P: DON $(COH_2)_{106/16}$ N and DOP $(COH_2)_{106}$ P. Altogether, model DOM has a flexible elemental ratio with the restriction that the carbon fraction never is below the Redfield ratio. That is, DOM usually is enriched by carbon compared to the Redfield ratio. One could also have used one DOM state variable with a completely free elemental ratio. However, we used the different DOM compartments because we may consider a different fate for DOC, DON, and DOP later.
- 100 The production of DOC, DON, and DOP by phytoplankton is controlled by light availability and nutrient concentrations. Under optimal conditions, primary production increases phytoplankton biomass. When nutrients become limiting, DOM will be produced by photosynthesis instead of phytoplankton biomass. A schematic is shown in Figure 2. In case of N limitation, DOP is produced and under P limitation, DON is produced. If both N and P are limiting, DOC is produced. We have to note that only phytoplankton is able to produce DOM. That means,
- 105 if phytoplankton biomass decreases because a net growth is not possible due to e.g. nutrient limitation, the DOM production will decrease as well. In particular, the DOM production is controlled by a reversal of the phytoplankton nutrient limitation. The phytoplankton gross growths in our model is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}PY}{\mathrm{d}t} = r_0 \cdot PY \cdot \min(l_N, l_P, l_L) \cdot l_T \tag{1}$$

PY is the phytoplankton biomass, r_0 the maximum uptake rate, and l_n are limitation functions ranging between 110 zero and one. Subscripts N, P, and L are for nitrogen, phosphorus, and light. l_T is a (possible) temperature impact







Figure 2. Schematic of DOM production. In case of sufficient nitrients nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), phytoplankton biomass is produced. If N becomes exhausted, DOP is produced and by depleated P, DON is produced. If both N and P are depleted, DOC is produced.

on uptake. For nutrient limitation (l_N, l_P) , we use a squared Monod kinetik (Monod, 1949; Neumann et al., 2002). Light limitation (l_L) follows Steele (1974) and for temperature control (l_T) , a Q10 rule is applied (Eppley, 1972) meaning a doubling of rates within a 10 Kelvin temperature increase. For the temporal development of the DOM compartments we formulate:

115
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}DON}{\mathrm{d}t} = r_0 \cdot PY \cdot \min(1 - l_P, l_N, l_L) \, l_T \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}DOT}{\mathrm{d}t} = r_0 \cdot PY \cdot \min(l_P, 1 - l_N, l_L) \, l_T \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}DOC}{\mathrm{d}t} = r_0 \cdot PY \cdot \min(\max(1 - l_P, 1 - l_N), l_L) \, l_T \tag{4}$$

The dependence of nutrients uptake in relation to carbon uptake on nutrient concentrations is shown in Fig. 3. For this purpose, we divide the nutrient assimilation for nutrients N and P by the carbon assimilation. The assimilation

120

1001

0 consists of phytoplankton growth (Redfield ratio) and ER defined in equations 1 to 4. The nutrient concentrations are normalized by the half saturation constant from the Monod kinetics. A value of one in Fig. 3 denotes a carbon uptake in the Redfield ratio while smaller values is an excess carbon uptake. In case of low N concentrations, the







Figure 3. Nutrients (N, P) to carbon uptake ratios in dependence of nutrient concentrations. Nutrient concentrations are normalized by the half saturation constant in the limitation function and the uptake is normalized by the Redfield ratio. A ratio of one means uptake in the classical Redfield ratio and values less then one describe an excess carbon uptake.

N:C uptake ratio declines to zero. The P:C uptake ratio in this case depends on P concentrations and asymptotically approaches 0.5 for high P concentrations. That is, ER consists of DOC and DOP in equal shares.

125

Extracellular DOM eventually forms particles (POC, PON, POP) which constitutes transparent exopolymer particles (TEP). Engel (2002) shows a linear relation between dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) uptake and TEP production implying a direct transfer from DOM to TEP. Therefore, we chose a simple rate equation for DOC flocculation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}POC}{\mathrm{d}t} = rf \cdot DOC \tag{5}$$

130 rf is a constant rate for POC formation. The same equation applies for DON and DOP forming their counterparts PON and POP.

For particle sinking, we apply a Martin curve (Martin et al., 1987) which means a linear increase of the sinking speed with depth.

$$w = a \cdot z \tag{6}$$

135 w is the sinking speed, a a constant, and z the depth. This approach is investigated by e.g. Kriest et al. (2012) and yields good results for the deep ocean. In the Baltic Sea application, we could improve the simulated oxygen concentrations by using the non-constant sinking speed.

A schematic of ERGOM is shown in Fig.4. Ellipses are for state variables and rectangles for processes. The complete set of equations is given in appendix B.







Figure 4. Simplified schematic of the ERGOM model. State variables are shown as ellipses and processes as rectangles. State variables are explained in Tab. 1.

140 The relation between model state variables and observed dissolved organic carbon (DOC_{obs}) in carbon units is:

$$DOC_{obs} = DOC + \frac{106}{16}DON + 116DOP$$
 (7)

Taking into account that model state variables DON and DOP are counted in nitrogen and phosphorus units (see Tab. 1), they correspond to the observed nitrogen and phosphorus in DOM. We have to note that our model DOM (DOC, DON, DOP) constitutes only the labile part of DOM existing in the ocean. Usually, the refractory DOM fraction, not considered in the model, is much larger than the labile fraction.

2.2 Model setup and simulations

145

For model testing, we use a coupled system of circulation and biogeochemical model similar to that in Neumann et al. (2021). The circulation model is MOM5.1 (Griffies, 2004) adapted for the Baltic Sea. The horizontal resolution is 3 nautical miles. Vertically, the model is resolved into 152 layers with a layer thickness of 0.5m at the surface and
gradually increasing with depth up to 2 m. The circulation model is coupled with a sea ice model Winton (2000) accounting for ice formation and drift. The biogeochemical model ERGOM, described in Sec. 2.1, is coupled with the circulation model via the tracer module which is part of the MOM5.1 code.





Symbol	State Variable	Units $<$ element $> [mol kg^{-1}]$
O ₂	dissolved oxygen	dioxygen
N ₂	dissolved nitrogen	dinitrogen
CDOM	colored dissolved organic matter	carbon
DIC	dissolved inorganic carbon	carbon
ТА	total alkalinity	molar equivalent
NH ₄	ammonium	nitrogen
NO ₃	nitrate	nitrogen
PO ₄	phosphate	phosphorus
SO_4	sulfate	sulfur
S	sulfur	sulfur
H_2S	hydrogen sulfide	sulfur
large cells	large cell phytoplankton	nitrogen
small cells	small cell phytoplankton	nitrogen
cyanobacteria	cyanobacteria	nitrogen
zooplankton	bulk zooplankton	nitrogen
detritus	detritus	nitrogen
DOC	dissolved organic carbon	carbon
DON	DOC with additional nitrogen	nitrogen
DOP	DOC with additional phosphorus	phosphorus
POC	particulate organic carbon	carbon
PON	POC with additional nitrogen	nitrogen
POP	POC with additional phosphorus	phosphorus
sediment detritus	detritus accumulated in the sediment layer	nitrogen $[mol m^{-2}]$
$\rm Fe(III) - PO_4$	phosphate adsorbed to iron-3 minerals in the sediment	phosphorus $[mol m^{-2}]$

Table 1. State variables of the biogeochemical model ERGOM shown in Fig. 4.

Sediment state variable units are mol m^{-2} .

155

The code for the biogeochemical model is generated automatically. Fundamentals are a set of text files describing the biogeochemistry independently of programming language and the host system. Code templates describe physical and numerical aspects and are specific for a certain host, e.g., a circulation model. All necessary ingredients (the code generation tool, text files, and templates for several systems) can be downloaded from Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research (2015). The same technique is used for example in Neumann et al. (2021).

We run the model for about 70 years (1948–2019) after a spin-up of 50 years. The long simulation time allows for assessing the model performance under different forcing conditions, as for example the eutrophication of the Baltic Sea in the 1970s and the nutrient load reduction beginning in 1990.







Figure 5. Model domain and bathymetry used for this model study. Red dots denotes stations to which we will refer later in the text. Bathymetry contour lines have a distance of 50 m. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/, last access: 14 December 2021), using published bathymetry data (Seifert et al., 2008).

Table 2. Average alkalinity concentration and loads in runoff for different Baltic Sea basins and from different authors. BP: Baltic Proper, GR: Gulf of Riga, GF: Gulf of Finland, BS: Bothnian Sea, BB: Bay of Bothnia. HS: Hjalmarsson et al. (2008), GS: Gustafsson et al. (2014b), NM: this study.

	Concentration		Load		
Basin	HS	GS	NM	GS	NM
BP	3244	1910	3156	203	340
GR	3117	3140	3638	92	117
GF	835	689	786	73	89
BS	467	271	240	27	17
BB	136	164	174	19	20
total		904	1165	453	606

Alkalinity concentration in μ mol kg⁻¹ and loads in $Gmol a^{-1}$

$\mathbf{2.3}$ Data

The model has been forced by meteorological data from the coastDat-2 dataset (Geyer and Rockel, 2013). Nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea due to riverine discharge and atmospheric deposition have been compiled based on data from HELCOM assessments (e.g. HELCOM, 2018). Riverine alkalinity follows data provided in Hjalmarsson et al. (2008). In Tab. 2, we compare riverine alkalinity concentration and loads with published data from Hjalmarsson et al. (2008)

165







Figure 6. Mean elemental carbon to nitrogen ratio in surface organic matter. The ratio is normalized and a ratio of one refers to the classical Redfield ratio. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/, last access: 14 December 2021), using published topography data (Seifert et al., 2008).

and Gustafsson et al. (2014b). The data are relatively similar with the exception of the Baltic Proper. Gustafsson et al. (2014b) use considerably lower values, which impacts the total load. Our mean concentrations differ slightly form Hjalmarsson et al. (2008). We use the basin-wide and constant concentration values given in Hjalmarsson et al. (2008) and assigned the data to our model rivers which show inter annual runoff variability. This results in mean concentration deviations. Loads given in Tab. 2 result from runoff and river specific concentrations.

 $spCO_2$ for model validation have been extracted from the SOCAT (Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas) data base (https: //www.socat.info/). The majority of data are from the voluntary observing ship (VOS) Finnmaid between Lübeck-Travemünde and Helsinki. VOS Finnmaid is a component of the European ICOS (Integrated Carbon Observation System) research infrastructure. Data processing and quality control follow the SOCAT guidelines (Bakker et al., 2016; Pfeil et al., 2013). Additional observation data used for comparison with model results are available from

175 public data bases. Details are given in section code and data availability.

3 Results

3.1How the non-Redfieldish approach works

180

170

In this section, we demonstrate how a non-Redfield elemental ratio in organic matter (OM) develops due to the above described model extensions. OM involves all forms of model DOM and POM including model phytoplankton, zooplankton, and detritus. We show data averaged over the whole simulation period and seasonal climatologies. The elemental ratios are based on molar concentrations.







Figure 7. Mean elemental carbon to phosphorus ratio in surface organic matter. The ratio is normalized and a ratio of one refers to the classical Redfield ratio. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/ grads/, last access: 14 December 2021), using published topography data (Seifert et al., 2008).

185

In Figs. 6 and 7, the carbon (C) to nitrogen (N) and carbon to phosphorus (P) ratios in organic matter in surface water are shown. In both figures, the elemental ratios are normalized so that a ratio of one is for the classical Redfield ratio. The figures highlight the different nutrient limitation provinces in the Baltic Sea. The C:N ratio is high in the central Baltic Sea where N is a limiting nutrient and consequently the C:P ratio is low. The opposite is in the northern Baltic Sea where P is the limiting nutrient. We have to note that our model approach does not allow for C:N and C:P ratio below Redfield ratios in the DOM and POM fractions. Hence, the elemental ratios in OM are always above one. An exception are river mouths where almost no nutrient limitation keeps the C:N and C:P ratios close to one.

190

We show the N:P ratio in OM and its seasonality in Fig. 8. Again, the figure shows the separation between the nutrient limitation provinces. N limitation is denoted by a low N:P ratio in the central Baltic Sea and a high N:P ratio shows P limitation in the northern Baltic Sea. During the course of the year, the N:P ratio in the central Baltic Sea increases due to nitrogen fixation by cyanobacteria. The temporal development of the DOM fractions can be

- seen in Fig. 9. In the N-limited Gotland Sea (Fig. 9a), surplus phosphate is transferred into DOP after depleted 195 N starts limiting phytoplankton growth. With intensified nutrient limitation also DON and DOC will be produced by phytoplankton. In summer, with a higher demand of phosphorus by cyanobacteria, the DOP pool is depleted. In contrast, in the northern part of the Baltic Sea, the Bothnian Bay, surplus nitrogen is transferred into DON (Fig. 9b). Almost no DOP develops. In Fig. 10, we show the surface climatology of simulated DOC_{obs} (eq. 7) at
- station BY15 together with observations. Observed DOC concentrations constitute to a large extent of refractory 200 fractions. In contrast, in the model we only consider the labile, autochthonous part of DOC. Therefore, we subtracted







Figure 8. Mean elemental nitrogen to phosphorus ratio climatology in surface organic matter. The ratio is normalized and a ratio of one refers to the classical Redfield ratio. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/, last access: 14 December 2021), using published topography data (Seifert et al., 2008).

 $305 \ \mu mol \ kg^{-1}$ from the observations which is the mean winter concentration. The annual DOC cycle in the observed data appears less pronounced compared to the modeled DOC_{obs} cycle.

3.2 Primary production and extracellular production

- 205 We consider primary production (PP) as carbon fixation contributing to phytoplankton biomass while extracellular production (EP) is the carbon fixation resulting in DOM (DOC, DON, and DOP state variables). Figure 11 shows time series and climatology of PP and EP as mean of the whole model domain. The carbon fixation is dominated by EP. With increasing nutrient availability beginning in the 1960s, the fraction of PP increases (Fig. 11a). The PP and EP climatology in Fig. 11b shows that PP dominates in spring and fall, and EP dominates in summer. Figure 11c
- 210 shows PP of the model phytoplankton groups. Most PP occurs in spring mediated by the large cell phytoplankton group LPP. In contrast, the most EP is mediated by the small cell phytoplankton group SPP in summer (Fig. 11d).







Figure 9. Climatology of surface model DOC, DON, and DOP (in carbon units, Tab. 1 and Eq. 7) at two stations. a) Central station in the Eastern Gotland Sea (BY15), and b) Central Station in the Bothnian Bay (BoB, Fig. 5). Model DON and DOP are converted into carbon units to show all variables in a comparable level.



Figure 10. Climatology (1995-2019) of simulated surface DOC_{obs} (eq. 7) at station BY15 (blue line) and observed DOC (red diamonds). The diamond's opacity reflects frequency of observations. The shaded area shows the range between 10th and 90th percentile. From observations, 305 µmol kg⁻¹ have been subtracted. Observed DOC data are available from IOW ODIN database (see *code and data availability*).







Figure 11. Temporal and spatial mean primary and extracelluar carbon fixation by model phytoplankton. a) Time series of annual carbon fixation. b) Climatology of carbon fixation. c) Climatology of primary production related to different uptake processes. LPP-NO3 and LPP-NH4: Carbon fixation by the large cell phytoplankton group related to NO3 and NH4 uptake, respectively. SPP-NO3 and SPP-NH4: The same as for LPP but for the small cell phytoplankton group. CYA-N: Carbon fixation by cyanobacteria related to nitrogen fixation. d) Climatology of extracellular production related to different phytoplankton groups: Red lines are uptake by LPP, blue lines by SPP, and green line by cyanobacteria. Different line styles refer DOC, DON, and DOP. All model variables have been converted into carbon units (Eq. 7).

3.3 Assessment of biogeochemical variables

We especially show model data and observations for sea surface carbon dioxide pressure and alkalinity. Other biogeochemical variables are shown in App. A.







Figure 12. $spCO_2$ observations by VOS Finnmaid between 2003 and 2018 used for model analysis (red line). Opacity refers to frequency of observations. a–f denote regions selected (green rectangles) for comparison with model data. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/, last access: 14 December 2021), using published topography data (Seifert et al., 2008).

215 3.3.1 Sea surface pressure of carbon dioxide $(spCO_2)$

One motivation to introduce a non-Redfieldish carbon fixation into the ecosystem model ERGOM was the mismatch in observed and simulated $spCO_2$ (Kuznetsov et al., 2011, see also Fig. 1). Redfield models are not able to explain the low observed $spCO_2$ during summer. Temperature increase and ongoing mineralization in the surface layer increase the $spCO_2$ to unrealistic values in the simulations. One conclusion was that still after nutrient limitation, a substantial carbon fixation goes on. Consequently, the carbon fixation is not restricted to the classical Redfield

220

ratio.

For the $spCO_2$ benchmark, we use data taken underway from the voluntary observing ship (VOS) Finnmaid regularly traveling between Lübeck-Travemünde and Helsinki. For more details see section 2.3 and Schneider and Müller (2018). Pathway and $spCO_2$ observations taken by VOS Finnmaid and used in this study are shown in

- Fig. 12. From the regions denoted by green rectangles, we have selected data to compare with our model simulation. As can be seen from the pathway's opacity, region f was crossed less frequently than the other regions. The $spCO_2$ climatology is shown in Fig. 13. The non-Redfieldish carbon fixation keeps the $spCO_2$ low during summer as seen in the observations. In the northern regions c and e, the spring bloom seems to be delayed in the model. However, the general picture is a strongly improved $spCO_2$ in the model compared to earlier model versions (e.g. Kuznetsov
- 230 et al., 2011), as can be seen by comparing to Fig. 1.







Figure 13. $spCO_2$ climatology (2003-2018) from observations (red) and from model simulation (blue). Shaded areas show the range between 10th and 90th percentile. The sub-figures a-f refer to the corresponding regions shown in Fig. 12 by green rectangles a-f. Observations are available from SOCAT (see *code and data availability*).

3.3.2 Alkalinity

Alkalinity in the model is estimated after the equation for t_alk in appendix B4. Figure 14 shows the alkalinity climatology from observations (red diamonds) and from the model simulation (blue). We show the climatology for







Figure 14. Surface alkalinity climatology (2013-2018) from Observations (red) and from model simulatuion (blue). Shaded areas show the range between 10th and 90th percentile. The subfigures represent stations AH (a), BY1 (b), BY15 (c), BY31 (d), C3 (e), and F9 (f) (Fig. 5). Observed alkalinity data are available from SHARK database (see *code and data availability*).

six stations from the Kattegat (a) to the Bothnian Bay (f). While in the Kattegat, the simulated alkalinity reflects
observations reasonably well, the model's underestimation amounts to roughly 20% in the central Baltic Sea and increases further towards the northern Baltic Sea. This will also have an effect on the total inorganic carbon (DIC)

 $\mathbf{17}$





content. However, once in a quasi equilibrium with the atmosphere, the air-sea fluxes will be affected marginally only.

3.3.3 Nutrients

240 Nutrient surface concentrations are shown in App. A1. We have chosen 6 stations and regions to cover the whole Baltic Sea. Figures A1–A6 show climatology and time series of simulated nitrate and phosphate together with observations. We find a good model performance for the western Baltic Sea, the central Baltic Sea, and the Gulf of Finland. In the northern Baltic Sea, the Gulf of Bothnia, the model overestimates slightly the nutrient concentrations. Nevertheless, the strong phosphate limitation in this region is well covered.

3.3.4 Oxygen 245

Oxygen concentrations of the near bottom water are shown in App. A2. Especially in the northern Baltic Sea, simulated concentrations are lower compared to observations.

$\mathbf{3.4}$ **Budgets**

In this section, we show selected budgets as estimated from the model simulation and demonstrate that the model 250 closes the budget.

3.4.1Carbon budget

The carbon budget is shown in Fig. 15. The budget considers the inventory change of all carbon containing state variables in the water column and in the sediment. Changes are the result of the boundary fluxes riverine load, air-sea fluxes, transport from and to the North Sea, and burial of carbon in the sediment. The closed budget, which we show with the yellow line, should be zero, a deviation reflects cumulated numerical inaccuracies that are obviously small 255 compared to the simulated signals. In Fig. 15a, annual fluxes and inventory changes are shown. Highest fluxes are the carbon export towards the North Sea and riverine carbon loads followed by air-sea flux and burial. Figures 15b and c show cumulated fluxes and inventory changes. Inventory changes are very small compared to the boundary fluxes. Therefore, we show in Fig. 15c the inventory changes separately. The sediment inventory stays relatively constant. In the water column, carbon inventory increases in response to higher nutrient loads in the 1960s and 70s.

260

3.4.2Alkalinity budget

The alkalinity budget is shown in Fig. 16. The budget considers the inventory change of the alkalinity state variable in the water column. Changes are the result of the boundary fluxes riverine load, and transport from and to the North Sea. In contrast to the carbon budget, the alkalinity budget is not closed (yellow line). The increasing sum 265 of fluxes, including the inventory change, suggests an internal alkalinity source. According to the implemented







Figure 15. Model-domain integrated carbon budget. Shown are riverine loads, air-sea flux, burial, transport from the North Sea, and changes in the inventory of the ocean (water column) and the sediment. a) Fluxes and inventory change, b) cumulated fluxes, and c) detailed view on the cumulated inventory changes in the ocean and sediment. The yellow line is the sum of all fluxes and should be zero in a closed budget. Note: We use negative sign for sinks (burial and export towards North Sea).

processes affecting alkalinity (Eq. for t_alk in ??), we attribute the alkalinity generation mainly to denitrification. The alkalinity generation estimates roughly to 7% of the loads.

3.4.3 Nitrogen budget

270

The nitrogen budget is shown in Fig. 17 with inventory changes, boundary fluxes, loads, transport from and to the North Sea, burial in the sediment, and the internal sinks and sources denitrification and nitrogen fixation by cyanobacteria. The nitrogen load involves riverine, atmospheric, and point source loads. Strongest fluxes are due to loads as nitrogen source and sediment denitrification as sink. A detailed view on cumulated fluxes in Fig. 17c







Figure 16. Model-domain integrated alkalinity budget. Shown are riverine loads, transport from the North Sea, and changes in the inventory of the ocean. a) Fluxes and inventory change, b) cumulated fluxes, and c) residual of the budget which can be attributed to alkalinity generation. The yellow line is the sum of all fluxes and should be zero in a closed budget. Note: We use a negative sign for sinks (export towards North Sea).

demonstrates that nitrogen fixation is nearly balanced by denitrification in the water column and only a small amount nitrogen is exported towards the North Sea.

275 3.4.4 Phosphorus budget

The phosphorus budget in Fig. 18 shows inventory changes, boundary fluxes, loads, transport from and to the North Sea, and burial. The phosphorus load involves riverine, atmospheric, and point source loads. In contrast to nitrogen, no internal sinks and sources exist. The most important sink for phosphorus loads is the burial in the sediment. Similar to nitrogen, a small amount of phosphorus is exported towards the North Sea.







Figure 17. Model-domain integrated nitrogen budget. Shown are loads (riverine, atmospheric, point sources), transport from the North Sea, burial, changes in the inventory of ocean and sediment, denitrification in sediment and ocean, and nitrogen fixation. a) Fluxes and inventory change, b) cumulated fluxes, and c) detailed view without loads and sediment denitrification. The light yellow line is the sum of all fluxes and should be zero in a closed budget. Note: We use negative sign for sinks (burial, denitrification, and export towards North Sea).

280 4 Discussion and conclusion

285

We present a biogeochemical model for the Baltic Sea which is able to reproduce observed $spCO_2$ data. This could be achieved solely by implementing a non-Redfield stoichiometry in carbon fixation. We realize this by introducing ER due to primary production. ER results in DOM with a flexible elemental ratio and eventually flocculates into POM which sinks down. This approach reproduces observed $spCO_2$, nutrients, and oxygen concentrations reasonably well for the whole Baltic Sea. A different approach is used by Fransner et al. (2018). In their model, in addition to a release of DOC, phytoplankton is formulated as a quota model, that is, within the phytoplankton cells, a certain flexibility







Figure 18. Model-domain integrated phosphorus budget. Shown are loads (riverine, atmospheric, point sources), transport from the North Sea, burial in the sediment, and changes in the inventory of ocean and sediment. a) Fluxes and inventory change, and b) cumulated fluxes. The light yellow line is the sum of all fluxes and should be zero in a closed budget. Note: We use negative sign for sinks (burial and export towards North Sea).

of the elemental ratio is allowed. This model is applied for the northern part of the Baltic Sea and reproduces well $spCO_2$ and surface nutrient concentrations. The main difference besides the quota approach is that DOM in our model shows a flexible elemental ratio. This might correspond to the quota flexibility in phytoplankton cells. However, we have chosen the fixed ratio (Redfield ratio) in healthy phytoplankton cells because of some evidence 290 from literature (Sec: 1). We are also convinced that our approach is simpler to handle with respect to higher trophic levels which can rely on a fixed stoichiometry.

A similar model was introduced by Gustafsson et al. (2014a) also using the ER process to increase carbon fixation beyond the Redfield ratio. However, the authors do not show the model's performance with respect to $spCO_2$ which might be due to missing or rare observations during this time. Macias et al. (2019) implemented a non-Redfield 295 nutrient uptake in an ecosystem model for the Mediterranean Sea which results in a fairly flexible elemental ratio in phytoplankton. This model gives good results for nutrients N and P but does not consider carbon. A cell quota model for global Earth system models is proposed by Pahlow et al. (2020); Chien et al. (2020). Also this model shows an advantage over fixed elemental ratio models with respect to nutrient concentration. However, a proof against variables of the carbon cycle is unfortunately missing. 300

First evaluations of the simulation show an alkalinity generation of about 50 Gmol a^{-1} (Fig. 16). Gustafsson et al. (2014b, 2019) estimated an alkalinity generation of 84 Gmol a⁻¹ and 120 Gmol a⁻¹, respectively. Alkalinity river loads in our model are 600 Gmol a^{-1} and higher compared to loads in Gustafsson et al. (2014b, 2019) (470 Gmol a^{-1} , Tab. 2). Altogether, both models underestimate the alkalinity concentration (Fig. 14) and consequently, sources of

305 alkalinity are missing or underrepresented. Gustafsson et al. (2014b) investigate the contribution of a final pyrite





320



Figure 19. Mean atmosphere-ocean carbon dioxide flux. A positive flux is into the Baltic Sea. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/, last access: 14 December 2021).

burial in sediments to the missing alkalinity source with an advanced sediment model. However, pyrite burial can explain the missing source only partly. It remains still an open question whether riverine alkalinity loads are underestimated or an unknown source exists, e.g. groundwater discharge.

The Baltic Sea acts as a sink for carbon due to uptake of atmospheric carbon dioxide. The additional carbon is
partly buried and the remaining fraction is exported towards the North Sea (Fig. 15). However, the northern Baltic Sea emits carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. Figure 19 shows the horizontal pattern of the mean atmosphere-ocean flux. Sources of carbon dioxide for the atmosphere are the northern Baltic Sea and upwelling regions. The latter are caused by prevailing westerly winds with upwelling near the Swedish coast and in the Gulf of Finland. The upwelled, carbon dioxide rich deep water eventually comes in contact with the atmosphere and equilibriates by outgassing
of carbon dioxide. For the northern Baltic Sea, we hypothesize that low primary production due to low phosphate concentrations (Fig. A5) favors outgassing of carbon dioxide, which may be imported in subsurface waters.

We compare our carbon budget with estimates from Gustafsson et al. (2017) in Table 3. The most pronounced difference is the 4-fold burial of carbon in our estimates. It corresponds to a rate of 9 g m⁻² a⁻¹. Leipe et al. (2010, Fig. 7) estimate an observation based carbon burial rate which is similar to our rate. However, uncertainties in such rates are large, specifically due to a strong spatial heterogeneity of the carbon burial.

Observations of the marine carbon cycle and especially the $spCO_2$ provide an additional, independent state variable constraining ecosystem models. Therefore, models able to reproduce the carbon cycle in addition to e.g. nitrogen and phosphorus cycle should be more robust against changes in the forcing conditions (higher predictive capacity). This is especially important if the models will be used for projections or scenario simulations with changing forcing.





Table 3. Total carbon budget for the whole model domain (NM) compared with estimates from Gustafsson et al. (2017,Tab. 6) (GS).

	GS	NM
Riverine loads	10646	7391
Air-sea flux	3878	6525
Export	13416	9614
Burial	909	4077

All carbon fluxes in $kt a^{-1}$

- As a lot of observational effort in the past focused on N- and P-cycling, proper implementation of the carbon system requires additional observational and experimental data addressing the carbon cycle. For instance, the reason for the mismatch between observational and experimental alkalinity inventories needs to be addressed by re-addressing the alkalinity flux from the riverine input. Clear evidence has been provided for trends of increasing alkalinity in the major basins of the Baltic Sea (Müller et al., 2016) particularly pronounced in the Northern Basins, but a concerted effort to better constrain the alkalinity fluxes from the major riverine sources is currently lacking. Additional contributions from groundwater seepage can contribute to the alkalinity flux from land and have been shown to locally enhance alkalinity, but the importance on a basin-wide scale is unclear (e.g. Szymczycha et al., 2014).
- The initial observational finding that the carbon loss during the spring bloom continues after nitrogen depletion 335 had originally let to the hypothesis of N-fixation already in late-April (Schneider et al., 2009), an interpretation which has been revoked by the authors due to a lack of evidence of any known N-fixing organisms during that time of the year (Schneider and Müller, 2018). However, statistical analysis of observational data clearly revealed an increase in total N in the surface waters of the central Baltic Sea during this period (Eggert and Schneider, 2015), which would not be reproduced by our model. The authors speculated on a potential vertical shuttling of nitrate by 340 the mixotroph mesodynium rubrum, a theory later supported by observations in the Gulf of Finland (Lips and Lips, 2017). Recently, anomalous high carbon fixation in the surface layer under extreme sunny and calm spring conditions in 2018 have been also linked to potential vertical nutrient shuttling (Rehder et al., 2020). However, studies on a

process level are needed to explore the mechanism and quantity of a potential nutrient shuttle.

Finally, we present a biogeochemical model for the Baltic Sea reproducing parts of the nutrients and carbon345 cycle reasonable well. This progress allows now for numerical quantitative studies especially with focus on carbon dynamics in the Baltic Sea under different forcing conditions.





Code and data availability. spCO₂ data used are available from https://www.socat.info (last access: 14 January 2022). Oceanographic data nutrients and oxygen used for model validation are available from https://www.ices.dk/data/data-portals/ Pages/default.aspx (last access: 14 January 2022). DOC data used are available from IOW database ODIN https://odin2. io-warnemuende.de/ (last access:18 February 2022). Alkalinity data used are available from SHARK database https:// 350 sharkweb.smhi.se/hamta-data/ (last access: 28 February 2022). The meteorological forcing is archived at https://doi.org/ 10.1594/WDCC/coastDat-2 COSMO-CLM (last access: 14 January 2022, Geyer and Rockel (2013)).

The code of the biogeochemical model is available at https://ergom.net/ (last access: 14 January 2022). The ocean model "Modular Ocean Model MOM 5-1", used in this study, is available from the developers respository https://github.com/ mom-ocean/MOM5 (last access: 14 January 2022).

355

Model data can be accessed via https://thredds-iow.io-warnemuende.de/thredds/catalogs/projects/integral/catalog_pocNP_ V04R25_3nm_agg_time.html (last access: 14 January 2022, Neumann (2021)). All data used in this study for analysis and figures are archived on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6560174 (last access: 10 March 2022, Neumann (2022)).

The version of the model code used to produce the results in this study is archived on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.6560174 (last access: 10 March 2022, Neumann (2022)). In addition to the source code, the archive includes initial

 $\mathbf{25}$

360 fields and boundary conditions except the meteorological forcing.







Figure A1. Surface nutrients concentrations at station BY1 (Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.

Appendix A: Model performance

In this section, we compare model results with observations in order to verify the model performance for biogeochemical variables.

365 A1 Surface nutrients concentrations

We demonstrate the model performance for surface nutrients at 6 stations and regions, respectively in Figs. A1–A6. For the climatology, we have chosen the time range 1990 until 2018 since observations for some stations are sparse for the period before 1990. Data for nutrients and oxygen have been extracted from the ICES database (see *code and data availability*).







Figure A2. Surface nutrients concentrations at station BY5 (Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.

370 A2 Oxygen

In Fig. A7, we show oxygen concentration close to the sea floor at six different stations together with observations. Hydrogen sulfide is represented as negative oxygen equivalents. The simulated oxygen concentration follows reasonable the observations. An exception is the underestimation in the Gulf of Bothnia (Fig. A7d and e). Beginning in 1970, the simulated values start to deviate from the field data.







Figure A3. Surface nutrients concentrations at station BY15 (Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.







Figure A4. Surface nutrients concentrations at station F26 (Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.







Figure A5. Surface nutrients concentrations in the Bothnian Bay (BoB, Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.







Figure A6. Surface nutrients concentrations at station Gulf of Finland (GoF, Fig. 5). Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. The blue shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: Nitrate climatology, b: Nitrate time series, c: Phosphate climatology, d: Phosphate time series.







Figure A7. Bottom oxygen concentration at six stations in the Baltic Sea. Negative values denote the presence of hydrogen sulfide. Blue color are model simulations and observations are shown as red diamonds. Opacity of the red diamonds reflects the frequency of observations. a: BY1,b: BY5, c: BY15, d: F26, e: BoB, f: GoF (Fig. 5).





375 Appendix B: ERGOM Documentation

Co	ontents	
	Appendix B1: Introduction	34
	Appendix B2: Description of model state variables (tracers)	34
	Appendix B3: Description of model processes, ordered by process type	37
	Appendix B3.1: Process type BGC/benthic/bioresuspension	37
	Appendix B3.1: Process type BGC/benthic/bioresuspension	37
	Appendix B3.1: Process type BGC/benthic/bioresuspension	37
	Appendix B3.2: Process type BGC/benthic/mineralisation	40
	Appendix B3.2: Process type BGC/benthic/mineralisation	40
	Appendix B3.2: Process type BGC/benthic/mineralisation	40
	Appendix B3.3: Process type BGC/benthic/P_retention	46
	Appendix B3.3: Process type BGC/benthic/P_retention	46
	Appendix B3.3: Process type BGC/benthic/P_retention	46
	Appendix B3.4: Process type BGC/pelagic/mineralisation	47
	Appendix B3.4: Process type BGC/pelagic/mineralisation	47
	Appendix B3.4: Process type BGC/pelagic/mineralisation	47
	Appendix B3.5: Process type BGC/pelagic/phytoplankton	54
	Appendix B3.5: Process type BGC/pelagic/phytoplankton	54
	Appendix B3.5: Process type BGC/pelagic/phytoplankton	54
	Appendix B3.6: Process type BGC/pelagic/reoxidation	69
	Appendix B3.6: Process type BGC/pelagic/reoxidation	69
	Appendix B3.6: Process type BGC/pelagic/reoxidation	69
	Appendix B3.7: Process type BGC/pelagic/zooplankton	71
	Appendix B3.7: Process type BGC/pelagic/zooplankton	71
	Appendix B3.7: Process type BGC/pelagic/zooplankton	71
	Appendix B3.8: Process type gas_exchange	74
	Appendix B3.8: Process type gas_exchange	74
	Appendix B3.8: Process type gas_exchange	74
	Appendix B3.9: Process type physics/erosion	79
	Appendix B3.9: Process type physics/erosion	79
	Appendix B3.9: Process type physics/erosion	79
	Appendix B3.10: Process type physics/parametrization_deep_burial	82





415	Appendix B3.12: Process type standard 8 Appendix B3.12: Process type standard 8	
	Appendix B3.12: Process type standard	37
	Appendix B3.11: Process type physics/sedimentation	34
	Appendix B3.11: Process type physics/sedimentation	34
	Appendix B3.11: Process type physics/sedimentation	34
410	Appendix B3.10: Process type physics/parametrization_deep_burial	32
	Appendix B3.10: Process type physics/parametrization_deep_burial	32

420

B1 Introduction

This is an automatically generated description of the ecosystem model ERGOM version CDOM 1.2. Model formulation is provided by text files in compliance with the rules of the Code Generation Tool (CGT) by Hagen Radtke (see www.ergom.net).

425

5 The ecosystem state variables are concentrations of several substances and are called tracers. In the host ocean model they undergo physical advection, turbulent diffusion or vertical motion as sinking or rising. The ecosystem model component defines their sources or sinks from element turnover through the ecosystem. They are defined and described in Sec. B2.

The following Sec. B3 is the main part of this model description document. It describes the processes changing 430 the tracer concentrations over time. Analogously to chemical processes, two components describe a process:

- A process equation which describes the transformation from precursors (on the left-hand side) to products (on the right-hand side), and
- a turnover rate, describing how fast the process runs.

The time tendency of a tracer can then easily be determined by multiplying the process turnover rate with the 435 stoichiometric ratio in which it consumes or produces the tracer according to the reaction equation.

The document structure reflects the different process types. All processes of one type (e.g. phytoplankton assimilation) are listed together with all their constants and auxiliary variables they depend on. For readability, some constants, such as stoichiometric ratios, will occur repeatedly. We take this compromise for the sake of readability, keeping all information required to understand a specific process in its own section.

440 For completeness, the tracer equations are given in Sec B4. However, we consider this as a supplementary chapter and suggest to study the model details from Sec. B3 instead.

B2 Description of model state variables (tracers)





	Tracers in the water column only	
t_n2	dissolved molecular nitrogen (mol/kg)	
t_02	dissolved oxygen (mol/kg)	
z_dic	dissolved inorganic carbon, treated as carbon dioxide (mol/kg)	
_nh4	ammonium (mol/kg)	
z_no3	nitrate (mol/kg)	
:_po4	phosphate (mol/kg)	
_spp	small-cell phytoplankton (mol/kg)	
pacity =	$58.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	
_zoo	zooplankton (mol/kg)	
_h2s	hydrogen sulfide (mol/kg)	
_sul	sulfur (mol/kg)	
_alk	total alkalinity (mol/kg)	
_lip	limnic phytoplankton (mol/kg)	
pacity =	$58.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	
_doc	dissolved organic carbon (mol/kg)	
_dop	phosphorus in dissolved organic carbon in Redfield ratio (mol/kg)	
_don	nitrogen in dissolved organic carbon in Redfield ratio (mol/kg)	
pacity =	$12.6 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	





Tracers in the water column only, continued from previous page

t_cdom	colored dissolved organic carbon (mol/kg)	
t_lpp	large-cell phytoplankton (mol/kg)	
vertical speed $=$	-0.5 m/day	
opacity =	$58.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	
t_ipw	suspended iron phosphate (mol/kg)	
vertical speed =	-1.0 m/day	
t_cya	diazotroph cyanobacteria (mol/kg)	
vertical speed $=$	$1.0 \mathrm{~m/day}$	
opacity =	$58.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	
t_det	detritus (mol/kg)	
vertical speed $=$	-4.5 m/day	
opacity =	$53.2 \text{ m}^2/\text{mol}$	
t_poc	particulate organic carbon (mol/kg)	
vertical speed =	w_poc_var m/day	
t_pocp	phosphorus in particulate organic carbon in Redfield ratio (mol/kg)	
vertical speed $=$	-0.1 m/day	
t_pocn	nitrogen in particulate organic carbon in Redfield ratio (mol/kg)	
vertical speed $=$	-0.1 m/day	
	end of table Tracers in the water column only	
	Tracers in water and pore water	
	end of table Tracers in water and pore water	




Tracers in fluff and sediment		
t_sed	$ m sediment \ detritus \ (mol/m^2)$	
t_ips	iron phosphate in sediment (mol/m^2)	
t_sed_poc	sediment particular carbon (mol/m^2)	
t_sed_pocn	sediment particular organic N+C (mol/m^2)	
t_sed_pocp	sediment particular organic P+C (mol/m^2)	
	end of table Tracers in fluff and sediment	

B3 Description of model processes, ordered by process type

5

445 B3.1 Process type BGC/benthic/bioresuspension

Processes bio resuspension of sedimentary detritus (sediment only) [mol/m ² /day]	
p_sed_biores_det =	(r_biores*exp(-0.02*cgt_bottomdepth)*sed_active)*lim_t_o2_6*
	lim_t_sed_21
bio resuspension of i	ron PO4 (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_ips -> t_ipw	
	(r_biores*exp(-0.02*cgt_bottomdepth)*t_ips)*lim_t_o2_6*
p_ips_biores_ipw =	(1_p1010p, cmb(, 0.07, c20, p000, cmm cb, cm, c1, bp), 11m c207 c

t_sed_poc -> t_poc





	Processes, continued from previous page
<pre>p_sed_biores_poc =</pre>	<pre>(r_biores*exp(-0.02*cgt_bottomdepth)*poc_active)*lim_t_o2_6*</pre>
	lim_t_sed_poc_22
bio resuspension of se	edimentary pocn (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed_pocn -> t_pocn	
<pre>p_sed_biores_pocn =</pre>	(r_biores*exp(-0.02*cgt_bottomdepth)*pocn_active)*lim_t_o2_6*
	lim_t_sed_pocn_27
bio resuspension of se	$ m edimentary \ pocp \ (sediment \ only) \ [mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed_pocp -> t_pocp	
<pre>p_sed_biores_pocp =</pre>	(r_biores*exp(-0.02*cgt_bottomdepth)*pocp_active)*lim_t_o2_6*
	lim_t_sed_pocp_28
	end of table Processes
	Auxiliary variables
total carbon in sedim	$ent layer [mol/m^{**}2]$
<pre>sed_tot =</pre>	t_sed*rfr_c + t_sed_poc + t_sed_pocn*rfr_c + t_sed_pocp*rfr_cp
total carbon in active	$e \text{ sediment layer } [mol/m^{**}2]$
<pre>sed_tot_active =</pre>	<pre>max(0.0,min(sed_tot,sed_max*rfr_c))</pre>

detritus in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed/sed_tot

```
poc in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
poc_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed_poc/sed_tot
```

```
pocn in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
pocn_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocn/sed_tot
```

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
pocp in active sedin	nent layer [mol/m**2]
pocp_active =	<pre>sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocp/sed_tot</pre>
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
oxygen half-saturati	on constant for recycling of sediment detritus using oxygen [mol/kg]
o2_min_sed_resp =	0.000064952
bio-resuspension rat	e [1/day]
r_biores =	0.015
redfield ratio C/N	
rfr_c =	6.625
redfield ratio C/P	
rfr_cp =	106.0
maximum sediment	detritus concentration that feels erosion [mol/m**2]
sed_max =	1.0
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_o2_6 =	t_o2*t_o2/(t_o2*t_o2+o2_min_sed_resp*o2_min_sed_resp)
lim_t_sed_21 =	theta(t_sed-0.0)
lim_t_ips_23 =	theta(t_ips-0.0)
	continued on next page
	r r or r





Process limitation factors, continued from previous page lim_t_sed_poc_22 = theta(t_sed_poc-0.0) lim_t_sed_pocn_27 = theta(t_sed_pocn-0.0) lim_t_sed_pocp_28 = theta(t_sed_pocp-0.0) end of table **Process limitation factors**

5

B3.2 Process type BGC/benthic/mineralisation

Processes

recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration) (sediment only) [mol/m²/day] t_sed + 6.625*t_o2 + 0.8125*h3oplus -> t_nh4 + rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c*t_dic + 7.4375*h2o p_sed_resp_nh4 = (lr_sed_rec*sed_active)*lim_t_sed_21*lim_t_o2_2

coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of detritus in oxic sediments
(sediment only) [mol/m²/day]
t_nh4 + 0.75*t_o2 -> 0.5*h2o + h3oplus + 0.5*t_n2

p_nh4_nitdenit_n2 = (frac_denit_sed*(p_sed_resp_nh4+p_sed_pocn_resp)*theta(t_o2-5.0e-6))*lim_t_nh4_11*lim_t_o2_2

```
recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification) (sediment
only) [mol/m<sup>2</sup>/day]
t_sed + 6.1125*h3oplus + 5.3*t_no3 -> rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + t_nh4 + 2.65*t_n2 +
15.3875*h2o
p_sed_denit_nh4 = (lr_sed_rec*sed_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*lim_t_no3_3*lim_t_sed_21
```

recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$





```
t_sed + 3.3125*so4 + 7.4375*h3oplus -> t_nh4 + rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c*t_dic + 3.3125*
t_h2s + 14.0625*h2o
p_sed_sulf_nh4 = (lr_sed_rec*sed_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*(1.0-lim_t_no3_3)*
lim_t_sed_21
```

recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using oxygen (respiration) (sediment only)
[mol/m²/day]
t_sed_poc + t_o2 -> t_dic + h2o
p_sed_poc_resp = (lr_sed_poc_rec*poc_active)*lim_t_sed_poc_22*lim_t_o2_2

recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate (denitrification) (sediment only)
[mol/m²/day]
0.8*t_no3 + 0.8*h3oplus + t_sed_poc -> 2.2*h2o + 0.4*t_n2 + t_dic
p_sed_poc_denit = (lr_sed_poc_rec*poc_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*lim_t_no3_3*
lim_t_sed_poc_22

recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (sediment only)
[mol/m²/day]
h3oplus + 0.5*so4 + t_sed_poc -> 2.0*h2o + 0.5*t_h2s + t_dic
p_sed_poc_sulf = (lr_sed_poc_rec*poc_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*(1.0-lim_t_no3_3)*
lim_t_sed_poc_22

```
recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using oxygen (respiration) (sediment only)
[mol/m<sup>2</sup>/day]
6.625*t_o2 + t_sed_pocn + 0.5*h3oplus -> 6.625*h2o + 6.625*t_dic + t_nh4 + 0.5*ohminus
p_sed_pocn_resp = (lr_sed_rec*pocn_active)*lim_t_o2_2*lim_t_sed_pocn_27
```

recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using oxygen (respiration) (sediment only)
[mol/m²/day]
3*h2o + t_sed_pocp + 106*t_o2 -> 106*h2o + t_po4 + 106*t_dic + 3*h3oplus
p_sed_pocp_resp = (lr_sed_rec*pocp_active)*lim_t_sed_pocp_28*lim_t_o2_2





```
recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using nitrate (denitrification) (sediment
only) [mol/m<sup>2</sup>/day]
t_sed_pocn + 5.3*t_no3 + 5.8*h3oplus -> 6.625*t_dic + t_nh4 + 2.65*t_n2 + 14.575*h2o +
0.5*ohminus
p_sed_pocn_denit = ___(lr_sed_rec*pocn_active)*(1_0-lim_t_o2_2)*lim_t_no3_3*
```

```
p_sed_pocn_denit = (lr_sed_rec*pocn_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*lim_t_no3_3*
lim_t_sed_pocn_27
```

recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using nitrate (denitrification) (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$

```
t_sed_pocp + 3*ohminus + 84.8*h3oplus + 84.8*t_no3 -> 106*t_dic + t_po4 + 42.4*t_n2 +
236.2*h2o
p_sed_pocp_denit = (lr_sed_rec*pocp_active)*(1.0-lim_t_o2_2)*lim_t_no3_3*
lim_t_sed_pocp_28
```

recycling of sedimentary poch to dic and NH4 using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$

recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$

coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of pocn-detritus in oxic sediments (sediment only) $[\rm mol/m^2/day]$

t_nh4 + 0.75*t_o2 -> 0.5*h2o + h3oplus + 0.5*t_n2

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





Processes, continued from previous page

```
(frac_denit_sed*p_sed_pocn_resp*theta(t_o2-5.0e-6))*lim_t_nh4_11*
```

p_nh4_nitdenit_pocn_n2lim_t_o2_2

=

end of table $\mathbf{Processes}$

Auxiliary variables

```
fraction of ammonium that is immediately nitrified and denitrified after remineralization
in oxic sediments
frac_denit_sed = frac_denit_scal*(0.5+0.5*exp(-0.01*cgt_bottomdepth))
total carbon in sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_tot = t_sed*rfr_c + t_sed_poc + t_sed_pocn*rfr_c + t_sed_pocp*rfr_cp
total carbon in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_tot_active = max(0.0,min(sed_tot,sed_max*rfr_c))
```

detritus in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed/sed_tot

recycling rate of sediment detritus, limited by oxygen [1/d]

lr_sed_rec = r_sed_rec*exp(q10_sed_rec*cgt_temp)*(1.0-reduced_rec*theta(2* t_h2s-t_o2))

recycling rate of sediment POC, limited by oxygen [1/d]

poc in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
poc_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed_poc/sed_tot





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
pocn in active sedin	nent layer [mol/m**2]
<pre>pocn_active =</pre>	<pre>sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocn/sed_tot</pre>
pocp in active sedin	nent layer [mol/m**2]
pocp_active =	<pre>sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocp/sed_tot</pre>
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
no3_min_sed_denit =	ion concentration for denitrification in the water column [mol/kg] 1.423E-7
q10 rule factor for o	letritus recycling in the sediment $[1/K]$
q10_sed_rec =	0.175
maximum recycling	rate for sedimentary detritus [1/d]
r_sed_rec =	0.003
	0.003 rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005
maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec =	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d]
maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec = redfield ratio C/N	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005
maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec =	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d]
maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec = redfield ratio C/N	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005
<pre>maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec = redfield ratio C/N rfr_c =</pre>	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005
<pre>maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec = redfield ratio C/N rfr_c = redfield ratio P/N rfr_p =</pre>	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005 6.625
maximum recycling r_sed_poc_rec = redfield ratio C/N rfr_c = redfield ratio P/N	rate for sedimentary POC [1/d] 0.0005 6.625

maximum sediment detritus concentration that feels erosion $[mol/m^{**}2]$

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





	Constants, continued from previous page
sed_max =	1.0
scaling frac_denit_se	ed
<pre>frac_denit_scal =</pre>	1.0
decrease recycling in	sed under anoxia by reduce_rec
reduced_rec =	0.8
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_02_2 =	theta(t_o2-0.0)
11m_t_02_2 -	$\ln e_1 a_1 (1_0 2^{-0.0})$
lim_t_nh4_11 =	theta(t_nh4-0.0)
lim_t_no3_3 =	t_no3*t_no3/(t_no3*t_no3+no3_min_sed_denit*no3_min_sed_denit)
lim_t_sed_21 =	theta(t_sed-0.0)
lim_t_sed_poc_22 =	theta(t_sed_poc-0.0)
<pre>lim_t_sed_pocn_27 =</pre>	theta(t_sed_pocn-0.0)
lim_t_sed_pocp_28 =	theta(t_sed_pocp-0.0)
lim_t_pocp_13 =	<pre>theta(t_pocp-0.0)</pre>
lim_t_pocn_14 =	theta(t_pocn-0.0)
	end of table Process limitation factors





B3.3 Process type BGC/benthic/P_retention

Processes

retention of phosphate in the sediment under oxic conditions (sediment only)
[mol/m²/day]
rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_p*fe3plus -> rfr_p*t_ips
p_po4_retent_ips = (p_sed_resp_nh4*frac_po4retent)*lim_t_o2_4*lim_t_po4_10

liberation of phosphate from the sediment under an oxic conditions (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$

t_ips -> fe3plus + t_po4
p_ips_liber_po4 = (t_ips*r_ips_liber)*lim_t_h2s_5*lim_t_ips_23

end of table $\mathbf{Processes}$

Auxiliary variables

fraction of phosphate which is retained as iron-bound phosphate instead of being released after mineralization in the sediment [1]

frac_po4retent = ret_po4_1 + ret_po4_2*theta(cgt_latitude-60.75) + ret_po4_3*
theta(cgt_latitude-63.75)

end of table Auxiliary variables

 $\operatorname{Constants}$

minimum h2s concentration for liberation of iron phosphate from the sediment [mol/kg] h2s_min_po4_liber = 1.0E-6

oxygen half-saturation concentration for retension of phosphate during sediment denitrification [mol/kg]

o2_min_po4_retent = 0.0000375





	Constants, continued from previous page
PO4 liberation rat	te under anoxic conditions [1/day]
r_ips_liber =	0.1
redfield ratio P/N	1
rfr_p =	0.0625
PO4 retension in a	oxic sediments
ret_po4_1 =	0.1
additional PO4 re	tension in oxic sediments of the Bothnian Sea
ret_po4_2 =	0.5
additional PO4 re	tension in oxic sediments of the Bothnian Sea
ret_po4_3 =	0.13
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_o2_4 =	Process limitation factors t_o2*t_o2/(t_o2*t_o2+o2_min_po4_retent*o2_min_po4_retent)
lim_t_o2_4 = lim_t_po4_10 =	
	t_o2*t_o2/(t_o2*t_o2+o2_min_po4_retent*o2_min_po4_retent)
lim_t_po4_10 =	<pre>t_o2*t_o2/(t_o2*t_o2+o2_min_po4_retent*o2_min_po4_retent) theta(t_po4-0.0)</pre>

450

5

B3.4 Process type BGC/pelagic/mineralisation





	Processes
recycling of POC u	sing nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]
t_poc + 0.8*t_no3 ·	+ 0.8*h3oplus -> t_dic + 2.2*h2o + 0.4*t_n2
p_poc_denit =	<pre>(t_poc*r_poc_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*</pre>
	lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_poc_12
Mineralization of P	OC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]
t_poc + 0.5*so4 + 1	h3oplus -> t_dic + 0.5*t_h2s + 2*h2o
p_poc_sulf =	<pre>(t_poc*r_poc_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*</pre>
	(1.0-lim_t_no3_1)*lim_t_poc_12
respiration of POC	$P \left[mol/kg/day ight]$
106*t_o2 + t_pocp -	+ 3*H20 -> 106*t_dic + t_po4 + 106*H20 + 3*h3oplus
p_pocp_resp =	<pre>(t_pocp * lr_pocp * exp(q10_det_rec * cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0*</pre>
	lim_t_pocp_13
recycling of POC u	sing nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]
3*ohminus + 84.8*h	3oplus + 84.8*t_no3 + t_pocp -> t_po4 + 42.4*t_n2 + 236.2*H20 + 106*
t_dic	
<pre>p_pocp_denit =</pre>	<pre>(t_pocp*r_pocp_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*</pre>
	lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_pocp_13
Mineralization of P	OC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]
t_pocp + 53*so4 + :	106*h3oplus + 3*ohminus -> 106*t_dic + 215*h2o + 53*t_h2s + t_po4
<pre>p_pocp_sulf =</pre>	<pre>(t_pocp*r_pocp_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*</pre>
	(1.0-lim_t_no3_1)*lim_t_pocp_13
respiration of POC	$N \; [mol/kg/day]$

0.5*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_pocn -> 0.5*ohminus + 6.625*H20 + t_nh4 + 6.625*t_dic p_pocn_resp = (t_pocn * lr_pocn * exp(q10_det_rec * cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0* lim_t_pocn_14

recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]





```
5.8*h3oplus + 5.3*t_no3 + t_pocn -> 0.5*ohminus + 14.575*H20 + 2.65*t_n2 + t_nh4 +
6.625*t_dic
p_pocn_denit = (t_pocn*r_pocn_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_pocn_14
```

Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]

recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration) [mol/kg/day]

t_det + 6.625*t_o2 + 0.8125*h3oplus -> t_nh4 + rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c*t_dic + 7.4375*h2o
p_det_resp_nh4 = (t_det*r_det_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0*
lim_t_det_20

```
recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]
t_det + 5.3*t_no3 + 6.1125*h3oplus -> 2.65*t_n2 + 15.3875*h2o + t_nh4 + rfr_p*t_po4 +
rfr_c*t_dic
p_det_denit_nh4 = (t_det*r_det_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_det_20
```





```
Mineralization of DOC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]
t_doc + 0.5*so4 + h3oplus -> t_dic + 0.5*t_h2s + 2*h2o
                      (t_doc*r_doc_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
p_doc_sulf =
                      (1.0-lim_t_no3_1)*lim_t_doc_29
respiration of DOP [mol/kg/day]
3*H20 + t_dop + 106*t_o2 -> 3*h3oplus + 106*H20 + t_po4 + 106*t_dic
                      (t_dop * lr_dop * exp(q10_det_rec * cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0*
p_dop_resp =
                      lim_t_dop_30
recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]
t_dop + 84.8*t_no3 + 84.8*h3oplus + 3*ohminus -> 106*t_dic + 236.2*H20 + 42.4*t_n2 +
t_po4
p_dop_denit =
                      (t_dop*r_dop_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
                      lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_dop_30
Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]
3*ohminus + 106*h3oplus + 53*so4 + t_dop -> t_po4 + 53*t_h2s + 215*h2o + 106*t_dic
p_dop_sulf =
                      (t_dop*r_dop_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
                      (1.0-lim_t_no3_1)*lim_t_dop_30
respiration of DON [mol/kg/day]
0.5*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_don -> 0.5*ohminus + 6.625*H20 + t_nh4 + 6.625*t_dic
                      (t_don * lr_don * exp(q10_det_rec * cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0*
p_don_resp =
                     lim_t_don_31
recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification) [mol/kg/day]
5.8*h3oplus + 5.3*t_no3 + t_don -> 0.5*ohminus + 14.575*H20 + 2.65*t_n2 + t_nh4 +
6.625*t dic
p_don_denit =
                      (t_don*r_don_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*
                     lim_t_no3_1*lim_t_don_31
```





Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) [mol/kg/day]

7.125*h3oplus + 3.3125*S04 + t_don -> 0.5*ohminus + 13.25*H20 + 3.3125*t_h2s + t_nh4 + 6.625*t_dic p_don_sulf = (t_don*r_don_rec*exp(q10_det_rec*cgt_temp))*(1.0-lim_t_o2_0)*

(1.0-lim_t_no3_1)*lim_t_don_31

decay of cdom due to light [mol/kg/day]

t_cdom ->

p_cdom_decay = (t_cdom*r_cdom_decay*cgt_light/r_cdom_light)*lim_t_cdom_32

end of table **Processes**

	Auxiliary variables
dissolved inorga	anic nitrogen [mol/kg]
din =	t_no3+t_nh4
squared DIN [n	nol2/kg2]
din_sq =	din*din
squared phosph	$ate \ [mol^{**}2/kg^{**}2]$
po4_sq =	t_po4*t_po4
modifies pocp r	recycling towards Refield ratio if PO4 is depleted
ref_p_sw =	<pre>(1 - (po4_sq/(rfr_p*din_min_lpp*rfr_p*din_min_lpp+po4_sq)))/(1+</pre>
	<pre>exp(6.0*(1-din/(t_po4/rfr_p+epsilon))))</pre>
modifies pocn r	recycling towards Refield ratio if DIN is depleted
ref_n_sw =	<pre>(1 - (din_sq/(din_min_lpp*din_min_lpp+din_sq)))/(1+exp(6.0*(1-</pre>

t_po4/rfr_p/(din+epsilon))))





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
add an additional P(OCP recycling if PO4 below Redfield but sufficient DIN
<pre>lr_pocp =</pre>	r_pocp_rec*(1 + fac_enh_rec*ref_p_sw)
add an additional D(OP recycling if PO4 is below Redfield but sufficient DIN
lr_dop =	r_dop_rec*(1 + fac_enh_rec*ref_p_sw)
add an additional P(OCN recycling if DIN below Redfield but sufficient PO4
lr_pocn =	r_pocn_rec*(1 + fac_enh_rec*ref_n_sw)
add an additional D(ON recycling if DIN below Redfield but sufficient PO4
lr_don =	r_don_rec*(1 + fac_enh_rec*ref_n_sw)
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
DIN half saturation	constant for large-cell phytoplankton growth [mol/kg]
din_min_lpp =	1.0E-6
no division by 0	
epsilon =	4.5E-17
minimum no3 concer	ntration for recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification)
<pre>no3_min_det_denit =</pre>	1.0E-9
oxygen half-saturatio	on constant for detritus recycling [mol/kg]
o2_min_det_resp =	1.0E-6
q10 rule factor for re	ecycling [1/K]
q10_det_rec =	0.15





	Constants, continued from previous page
r_det_rec =	0.003
redfield ratio C/N	N
rfr_c =	6.625
redfield ratio P/N	Ň
rfr_p =	0.0625
recycling rate (po	be to dic) at 0°C $[1/day]$
r_poc_rec =	0.003
recycling rate (po	pep to dic and po4) at 0°C $[1/day]$
r_pocp_rec =	0.002
recycling rate (po	ocn to dic and nh4) at 0°C $[1/day]$
r_pocn_rec =	0.002
enhance recyclig	of DON,POCN/DOP,POCP in case of limiting DIN/DIP
<pre>fac_enh_rec =</pre>	10.0
recycling rate (do	oc to dic) at 0°C [1/day]
r_doc_rec =	0.001
recycling rate (do	on to dic and NH4) at 0°C $[1/day]$
r_don_rec =	0.001
recycling rate (do	op to dic and PO4) at 0°C $[1/day]$
r_dop_rec =	0.001
decay rate of cdo	m
r_cdom_decay =	0.0035

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 ⓒ Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





PAR intensity controling CDOM decay

r_cdom_light = 40.0

end	of	table	Constants
-----	----	-------	-----------

Process limitation factors		
lim_t_02_0 =	1.0-exp(-t_o2/o2_min_det_resp)	
lim_t_no3_1 =	1.0-exp(-t_no3/no3_min_det_denit)	
lim_t_doc_29 =	theta(t_doc-0.0)	
lim_t_dop_30 =	theta(t_dop-0.0)	
lim_t_don_31 =	theta(t_don-0.0)	
lim_t_cdom_32 =	theta(t_cdom-0.0)	
lim_t_det_20 =	theta(t_det-0.0)	
<pre>lim_t_poc_12 =</pre>	theta(t_poc-0.0)	
lim_t_pocp_13 =	<pre>theta(t_pocp-0.0)</pre>	
<pre>lim_t_pocn_14 =</pre>	theta(t_pocn-0.0)	
	and of table Process limitation footows	

end of table ${\bf Process}$ limitation factors

5

B3.5 Process type BGC/pelagic/phytoplankton



Processes

assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]

assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]

assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]

t_no3 + rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c*t_dic + 6.4375*h2o + 1.1875*h3oplus -> t_spp + 8.625*t_o2
p_no3_assim_spp = (spp_plus_spp0*lr_assim_spp*t_no3/(din+epsilon))*lim_t_no3_9*
lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_dic_8

assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day] 7.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + t_nh4 -> 0.8125*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_spp p_nh4_assim_spp = (spp_plus_spp0*lr_assim_spp*t_nh4/(din+epsilon))*lim_t_dic_8* lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_nh4_11

assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg/day] t_nh4 + rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c*t_dic + 7.4375*h2o -> t_lip + 6.625*t_o2 + 0.8125*h3oplus p_nh4_assim_lip = (lip_plus_lip0*lr_assim_lip*t_nh4/(din+epsilon))*lim_t_nh4_11* lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_dic_8

assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg/day] 1.1875*h3oplus + 6.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + t_no3 -> 8.625*t_o2 + t_lip p_no3_assim_lip = (lip_plus_lip0*lr_assim_lip*t_no3/(din+epsilon))*lim_t_dic_8* lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_no3_9

fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria [mol/kg/day]

```
continued on next page...
```





```
7.9375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + 0.5*t_n2 + 0.1875*h3oplus -> 7.375*t_o2 +
t_cya
                     (cya_plus_cya0*lr_assim_cya)*lim_t_dic_8*lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_n2_7
p_n2_assim_cya =
Production of DOC by LPP [mol/kg/day]
h2o + t_dic \rightarrow t_o2 + t_doc
p_assim_lpp_doc = (rfr_c * t_lpp * lr_assim_lpp_doc)*lim_t_dic_8
Production of DOC by SPP [mol/kg/day]
h2o + t dic \rightarrow t o2 + t doc
p_assim_spp_doc = (rfr_c * t_spp * lr_assim_spp_doc)*lim_t_dic_8
Production of DOC by LPP [mol/kg/day]
t_dic + h2o \rightarrow t_doc + t_o2
p_assim_lip_doc = (rfr_c * t_lip * lr_assim_lip_doc)*lim_t_dic_8
Production of DOC by CYA [mol/kg/day]
t_dic + h2o \rightarrow t_doc + t_o2
p_assim_cya_doc = (rfr_c * t_cya * lr_assim_cya_doc)*lim_t_dic_8
Production of DOP by LPP [mol/kg/day]
3*h3oplus + 106*h2o + t_po4 + 106*t_dic -> 3*h2o + 106*t_o2 + t_dop
p_assim_lpp_dop =
                   (rfr_p * t_lpp * lr_assim_lpp_dop)*lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_dic_8
Production of DOP by SPP [mol/kg/day]
106*t_dic + t_po4 + 106*h2o + 3*h3oplus -> t_dop + 106*t_o2 + 3*h2o
p_assim_spp_dop =
                   (rfr_p * t_spp * lr_assim_spp_dop)*lim_t_dic_8*lim_t_po4_10
Production of DOP by LIP [mol/kg/day]
3*h3oplus + 106*h2o + t_po4 + 106*t_dic -> 3*h2o + 106*t_o2 + t_dop
p_assim_lip_dop = (rfr_p * t_lip * lr_assim_lip_dop)*lim_t_po4_10*lim_t_dic_8
```





Production of DON by LPP [mol/kg/day]

rfr_c*t_dic + t_nh4 + 6.625*H2O + ohminus -> t_don + 6.625*t_o2 + H2O

Production of DON by LPP [mol/kg/day]

h3oplus + 6.625*H2O + t_no3 + rfr_c*t_dic -> 8.625*t_o2 + t_don p_no3_assim_lpp_don = (t_lpp * lr_assim_lpp_don*t_no3/(din+epsilon))*lim_t_no3_9* lim_t_dic_8

Production of DON by SPP [mol/kg/day]

Production of DON by SPP [mol/kg/day]

respiration of POC [mol/kg/day]
t_poc + t_o2 -> t_dic + h2o





Processes, continued from previous page	
p_poc_resp =	(t_poc * r_poc_rec * exp(q10_det_rec * cgt_temp))*lim_t_o2_0*
	lim_t_poc_12
respiration of large	-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]
t_lpp + 6.625*t_o2	+ 0.8125*h3oplus -> don_fraction*t_don + (1-don_fraction)*t_nh4 +
rfr_p*t_po4 + rfr_c	c*t_dic + 7.4375*h2o
p_lpp_resp_nh4 =	(t_lpp*r_lpp_resp)*lim_t_lpp_15*lim_t_o2_2

respiration of small-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]

0.8125*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_spp -> 7.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + (1don_fraction)*t_nh4 + don_fraction*t_don p_spp_resp_nh4 = (t_spp*r_spp_resp)*lim_t_o2_2*lim_t_spp_16

```
respiration of limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]
0.8125*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_lip -> 7.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + (1-
don_fraction)*t_nh4 + don_fraction*t_don
p_lip_resp_nh4 = (t_lip*r_lip_resp)*lim_t_o2_2*lim_t_lip_18
```

```
respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria [mol/kg/day]
0.8125*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_cya -> 7.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 +
don_fraction*t_don + (1-don_fraction)*t_nh4
p_cya_resp_nh4 = (t_cya*r_cya_resp)*lim_t_o2_2*lim_t_cya_17
```

```
mortality of large-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]
t_lpp -> t_det
p_lpp_mort_det = (t_lpp*r_pp_mort*(1+9*theta(5.0e-6-t_o2)))*lim_t_lpp_15
```

```
mortality of small-scale phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]
t_spp -> t_det
p_spp_mort_det = (t_spp*r_pp_mort*(1+9*theta(5.0e-6-t_o2)))*lim_t_spp_16
```

mortality of limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]





t_lip -> t_det
p_lip_mort_det = (t_lip*r_pp_mort*(1+9*theta(5.0e-6-t_o2)))*lim_t_lip_18
mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria [mol/kg/day]
t_cya -> t_det
p_cya_mort_det = (t_cya*r_pp_mort*(1+9*theta(5.0e-6-t_o2)))*lim_t_cya_17

Processes, continued from previous page

mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria due to strong turbulence $\left[\mathrm{mol/kg/day}\right]$

t_cya -> t_det

respiration of DOC [mol/kg/day]

end of table **Processes**

square of positive temperature [°C * °C]
temp_sq = max(0.0,cgt_temp)*max(0.0,cgt_temp)

dissolved inorganic nitrogen [mol/kg]
din = t_no3+t_nh4

squared DIN [mol2/kg2]

din_sq = din*din

squared phosphate $[\mathrm{mol}^{**2}/\mathrm{kg}^{**2}]$

po4_sq = t_po4*t_po4





Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page

large-cell phytoplankton plus seed concentration [mol/kg]			
lpp_plus_lpp0 =	t_lpp+lpp0		
small-cell phytoplankton plus seed concentration [mol/kg]			
<pre>spp_plus_spp0 =</pre>	t_spp+spp0		
limnic phytoplankton	limnic phytoplankton plus seed concentration [mol/kg]		
lip_plus_lip0 =	t_lip+lip0		
diazotroph cyanobacto	eria plus seed concentration [mol/kg]		
cya_plus_cya0 =	t_cya+cya0		
light limitation factor for large-cell phytoplankton growth $[1]$			
temp1 =	<pre>max(cgt_light/2.0,light_opt_lpp)</pre>		
<pre>lim_light_lpp =</pre>	cgt_light/temp1*exp(1-cgt_light/temp1)		
light limitation factor	for small-cell phytoplankton growth [1]		
temp1 =	<pre>max(cgt_light/2.0,light_opt_spp)</pre>		
<pre>lim_light_spp =</pre>	cgt_light/temp1*exp(1-cgt_light/temp1)		
light limitation factor	for limnic phytoplankton growth [1]		
temp1 =	<pre>max(cgt_light/2.0,light_opt_lip)</pre>		
<pre>lim_light_lip =</pre>	cgt_light/temp1*exp(1-cgt_light/temp1)		
light limitation factor	for diazotroph cyanobacteria growth [1]		
temp1 =	<pre>max(cgt_light/2.0,light_opt_cya)</pre>		
lim_light_cya =	cgt_light/temp1*exp(1-cgt_light/temp1)		

growth rate of large-cell phytoplankton, limited by DIN, DIP, light and oxygen [1/day]





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
<pre>lr_assim_lpp =</pre>	r_lpp_assim*theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s)*min(din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_lpp*
	din_min_lpp),min(po4_sq/(po4_sq+din_min_lpp*din_min_lpp*rfr_p*
	<pre>rfr_p),lim_light_lpp))</pre>

growth rate of small-cell phytoplankton, limited by DIN, DIP, light, oxygen and temperature [1/day]

growth rate of limnic phytoplankton, limited by DIN, DIP, light, salt and oxygen [1/day]

growth rate of diazotroph cyanobacteria, limited by DIP, light, oxygen, temperature and salinity [1/day]

```
lr_assim_cya = r_cya_assim*theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s)*min(po4_sq/(po4_sq+dip_min_cya*
dip_min_cya),lim_light_cya)*(1/(1+exp(temp_switch_cya*
(temp_min_cya-cgt_temp))))*(1/(1+exp(cgt_sali-sali_max_cya)))*
(1/(1+exp(sali_min_cya-cgt_sali)))*(1/(1+exp(nit_switch_cya*(din-
nit_max_cya))))
```

production rate of DOC by LPP

production rate of DOC by SPP





Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page		
lr_assim_spp_doc =	<pre>fac_doc_assim_spp * r_spp_assim * theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s) * min(max(1</pre>	
	- din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_spp*din_min_spp),1 -	
	<pre>po4_sq/(din_min_spp*din_min_spp*rfr_p*rfr_p + po4_sq)),</pre>	
	lim_light_spp)*(1+temp_sq/(temp_sq+temp_min_spp*temp_min_spp))	

production rate of DOC by CYA

lr_assim_cya_doc =	<pre>fac_doc_assim_cya * r_cya_assim*theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s)*min(1 -</pre>
	po4_sq/(po4_sq+dip_min_cya*dip_min_cya),lim_light_cya)*(1/(1+
	<pre>exp(temp_switch_cya*(temp_min_cya-cgt_temp))))*(1/(1+</pre>
	<pre>exp(cgt_sali-sali_max_cya)))*(1/(1+exp(sali_min_cya-cgt_sali)))</pre>

production rate of DOC by LPP

production rate of DOP by LPP

production rate of DOP by SPP

production rate of DOP by LPP





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
<pre>lr_assim_lip_dop =</pre>	<pre>fac_dop_assim * r_lip_assim * theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s) * min(min(1 -</pre>
	din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_lip*din_min_lip),po4_sq/(din_min_lip*
	<pre>din_min_lip*rfr_p*rfr_p + po4_sq)), lim_light_lip)*(1/(1+</pre>
	<pre>exp(cgt_sali-sali_max_lip)))</pre>

production rate of DON by LPP

<pre>lr_assim_lpp_don =</pre>	<pre>fac_don_assim * r_lpp_assim * theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s) *</pre>
	<pre>min(min(din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_lpp*din_min_lpp),1 -</pre>
	<pre>po4_sq/(din_min_lpp*din_min_lpp*rfr_p*rfr_p + po4_sq)),</pre>
	lim_light_lpp)

production rate of DON by SPP

lr_assim_spp_don =	<pre>fac_don_assim * r_spp_assim * theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s) *</pre>
	<pre>min(min(din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_spp*din_min_spp),1 -</pre>
	po4_sq/(din_min_spp*din_min_spp*rfr_p*rfr_p + po4_sq)),
	<pre>lim_light_spp)*(1+temp_sq/(temp_sq+temp_min_spp*temp_min_spp))</pre>

production rate of DON by limnic phytoplankton

lr_assim_lip_don =	<pre>fac_don_assim * r_lip_assim * theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s) *</pre>
	<pre>min(min(din_sq/(din_sq+din_min_lip*din_min_lip),1 -</pre>
	<pre>po4_sq/(din_min_lip*din_min_lip*rfr_p*rfr_p + po4_sq)),</pre>
	<pre>lim_light_lip)*(1/(1+exp(cgt_sali-sali_max_lip)))</pre>

end of table Auxiliary variables

$\operatorname{Constants}$

seed concentration for diazotroph cyanobacteria $[\mathrm{mol/kg}]$

cya0 = 9.0E-8

DIN half saturation constant for large-cell phytoplankton growth $[\mathrm{mol/kg}]$

din_min_lpp = 1.0E-6





Constants, continued from previous page

DIN half saturation of	constant for small-cell phytoplankton growth [mol/kg]			
din_min_spp =	1.6E-7			
DIP half saturation constant for diazotroph cyanobacteria growth [mol/kg]				
dip_min_cya =	1.0E-8			
DIN half saturation constant for limnic phytoplankton growth [mol/kg]				
din_min_lip =	1.0E-6			
no division by 0				
epsilon =	4.5E-17			
optimal light for diazotroph cyanobacteria growth [W/m**2]				
light_opt_cya =	50.0			
optimal light for large-cell phytoplankton growth [W/m**2]				
light_opt_lpp =	35.0			
optimal light for small-cell phytoplankton growth [W/m**2]				
light_opt_spp =	50.0			
optimal light for lim	nic phytoplankton growth $[W/m^{**}2]$			
light_opt_lip =	30.0			
soud concentration for	r limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg]			
lip0 =	4.5E-9			
seed concentration fo	r large-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg] 4.5E-9			
oxygen half-saturation constant for detritus recycling [mol/kg]				
continued on next page				

64





Constants, continued from previous page o2_min_det_resp = 1.0E-6 q10 rule factor for recycling [1/K] q10_det_rec = 0.15 q10 rule factor for DOC recycling [1/K] q10_doc_rec = 0.069 maximum rate for nutrient uptake of diazotroph cyanobacteria [1/day] 0.75 r_cya_assim = respiration rate of cyanobacteria to ammonium [1/day] 0.01 r_cya_resp = maximum rate for nutrient uptake of large-cell phytoplankton [1/day] 1.38 r_lpp_assim = respiration rate of large phytoplankton to ammonium [1/day] r_lpp_resp = 0.075 maximum rate for nutrient uptake of limnic phytoplankton [1/day] 1.38 r_lip_assim = respiration rate of limnic phytoplankton to ammonium [1/day] 0.075 r_lip_resp = mortality rate of phytoplankton [1/day] r_pp_mort = 0.03 enhanced cya mortality due to strong turbulence 40.0 r_cya_mort_diff =





	Constants, continued from previous page
diffusivity threshold	for enhanced cyano mortality
r_cya_mort_thresh =	0.02
maximum rate for nu	trient uptake of small-cell phytoplankton [1/day]
r_spp_assim =	0.4
respiration rate of sm	all phytoplankton to ammonium [1/day]
r_spp_resp =	0.0175
redfield ratio C/N	
rfr_c =	6.625
redfield ratio P/N	
rfr_p =	0.0625
upper salinity limit -	diazotroph cyanobacteria [psu]
sali_max_cya =	8.0
lower salinity limit -	diazotroph cyanobacteria [psu]
sali_min_cya =	4.0
limits cyano growth i	n DIN reach environment
nit_max_cya =	5.0E-7
strengs of DIN contro	ol for cyano growth
nit_switch_cya =	8.0
lower salinity limit -	limnic phytoplankton [psu]
<pre>sali_max_lip =</pre>	2.0
seed concentration fo	r small-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg]
spp0 =	4.5E-9





Constants, continued from previous page

lower temperature limit - diazotroph cyanobacteria [°C]
temp_min_cya = 13.5
strengs of temperature control for cyano growth
temp_switch_cya = 4.0
lower temperature limit - small-cell phytoplankton [°C]
temp_min_spp = 10.0

fraction of DON in respiration products
don_fraction = 0.0

recycling rate (poc to dic) at 0°C [1/day] r_poc_rec = 0.003

factor modifying DOC assimilation rate of large phytoplankton LPP
fac_doc_assim_lpp = 1.0

factor modifying DOC assimilation rate of cyanobacteria
fac_doc_assim_cya = 1.0

factor modifying DOC assimilation rate of small phytoplankton SPP
fac_doc_assim_spp = 1.0

factor modifying DOC assimilation rate of limnic phytoplankton LIP
fac_doc_assim_lip = 1.0

factor modifying assimilation rate for POCP production fac_dop_assim = 0.5

factor modifying assimilation rate for POCN production

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





	Constants, continued from previous page		
fac_don_assim =	1.0		
recycling rate (doc	recycling rate (doc to dic) at 0° C [1/day]		
r_doc_rec =	0.001		
	end of table Constants		
	Process limitation factors		
lim_t_n2_7 =	theta(t_n2-0.0)		
lim_t_o2_0 =	1.0-exp(-t_o2/o2_min_det_resp)		
lim_t_o2_2 =	theta(t_02-0.0)		
lim_t_dic_8 =	theta(t_dic-0.0)		
$lim_t_nh4_11 =$	theta(t_nh4-0.0)		
lim_t_no3_9 =	theta(t_no3-0.0)		
lim_t_po4_10 =	theta(t_po4-0.0)		
lim_t_spp_16 =	theta(t_spp-0.0)		
lim_t_lip_18 =	theta(t_lip-0.0)		
lim_t_doc_29 =	theta(t_doc-0.0)		
lim_t_lpp_15 =	theta(t_lpp-0.0)		
lim_t_cya_17 =	theta(t_cya-0.0)		
	continued on next page		





Process limitation factors, continued from previous page

 $lim_t_poc_12 = theta(t_poc-0.0)$

end of table **Process limitation factors**

5

455 B3.6 Process type BGC/pelagic/reoxidation

Processes

oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen [mol/kg/day]

oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate [mol/kg/day]

t_h2s + 0.4*t_no3 + 0.4*h3oplus -> t_sul + 1.6*h2o + 0.2*t_n2
p_h2s_oxno3_sul = (t_h2s*t_no3*k_h2s_no3*exp(q10_h2s*cgt_temp))*lim_t_h2s_24*
lim_t_no3_9

oxidation of elemental sulfur with oxygen [mol/kg/day] t_sul + 1.5*t_o2 + 3*h2o -> so4 + 2*h3oplus p_sul_oxo2_so4 = (t_sul*t_o2*k_sul_o2*exp(q10_h2s*cgt_temp))*lim_t_sul_25* lim_t_o2_2

oxidation of elemental sulfur with nitrate [mol/kg/day]
t_sul + 1.2*t_no3 + 1.2*h2o -> so4 + 0.8*h3oplus + 0.6*t_n2





	Processes, continued from previous page
p_sul_oxno3_so4 =	(t_sul*t_no3*k_sul_no3*exp(q10_h2s*cgt_temp))*lim_t_sul_25*
	lim_t_no3_9
	end of table Processes
	Auxiliary variables
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
reaction constant h	2s oxidation with no3 [kg/mol/day]
k_h2s_no3 =	800000.0
reaction constant h	2 s oxidation with o $2 \ [kg/mol/day]$
k_h2s_o2 =	800000.0
reaction constant s	ul oxidation with no3 [kg/mol/day]
k_sul_no3 =	20000.0
reaction constant s	ul oxidation with o2 [kg/mol/day]
k_sul_o2 =	20000.0
q10 rule factor for	oxidation of h2s and sul $[1/K]$
q10_h2s =	0.0693
q10 rule factor for :	nitrification [1/K]
q10_nit =	0.11
nitrification rate at	0° C $[1/day]$
r_nh4_nitrif =	0.05

end of table **Constants**





Process limitation factors		
lim_t_o2_2 =	theta(t_02-0.0)	
lim_t_nh4_11 =	theta(t_nh4-0.0)	
lim_t_no3_9 =	theta(t_no3-0.0)	
$lim_t_h2s_24 =$	theta(t_h2s-0.0)	
lim_t_sul_25 =	theta(t_sul-0.0)	
end of table Process limitation factors		

5

B3.7 Process type BGC/pelagic/zooplankton

Processes		
grazing of zooplankton eating large-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]		
t_lpp -> t_zoo		
p_lpp_graz_zoo =	((t_zoo+zoo0)*lr_graz_zoo*t_lpp/max(food_zoo,epsilon))*	
	lim_t_lpp_15	

grazing of zooplankton eating small-cell phytoplankton [mol/kg/day]

```
t_spp -> t_zoo
p_spp_graz_zoo = ((t_zoo+zoo0)*lr_graz_zoo*t_spp/max(food_zoo,epsilon))*
lim_t_spp_16
```

grazing of zooplankton eating diazotroph cyanobacteria [mol/kg/day]

t_cya -> t_zoo
p_cya_graz_zoo = ((t_zoo+zoo0)*lr_graz_zoo*(0.5*t_cya)/max(food_zoo,epsilon))*
lim_t_cya_17





Processes, continued from previous page grazing of zooplankton eating limnic phytoplankton [mol/kg/day] t_lip -> t_zoo p_lip_graz_zoo = ((t_zoo+zoo0)*lr_graz_zoo*t_lip/max(food_zoo,epsilon))* lim_t_lip_18 respiration of zooplankton [mol/kg/day] 0.8125*h3oplus + 6.625*t_o2 + t_zoo -> 7.4375*h2o + rfr_c*t_dic + rfr_p*t_po4 + (1don_fraction)*t_nh4 + don_fraction*t_don p_zoo_resp_nh4 = (zoo_eff*r_zoo_resp)*lim_t_o2_2*lim_t_zoo_19 mortality of zooplankton [mol/kg/day] t_zoo -> t_det p_zoo_mort_det = (zoo_eff*r_zoo_mort*(1+9*theta(5.0e-6-t_o2)))*lim_t_zoo_19

end of table $\mathbf{Processes}$

Auxiliary variables

square of positive temperature [°C * °C]

temp_sq = max(0.0,cgt_temp)*max(0.0,cgt_temp)

effectice zooplankton concentration assumed for mortality and respiration process [mol/kg] zoo_eff = t_zoo*t_zoo/zoo_cl

suitable food for zooplankton (weighted with food preferences) $[\mathrm{mol/kg}]$

```
food_zoo = t_lpp+t_spp+t_lip+0.5*t_cya
```

growth rate of zooplankton, limited by food, oxygen and temperature [1/day]

lr_graz_zoo = r_zoo_graz*(1-exp(-food_zoo*food_zoo/(food_min_zoo*food_min_zoo))
)*theta(t_o2-2*t_h2s)*(1.0+temp_sq/(temp_opt_zoo*temp_opt_zoo)*
exp(2.0-cgt_temp*2.0/temp_opt_zoo))

end of table ${\bf Auxiliary\ variables}$




	Constants
no division by 0	
epsilon =	4.5E-17
Ivlev phytoplankto	on concentration for zooplankton grazing [mol/kg]
food_min_zoo =	4.108E-6
maximum zooplan	kton grazing rate [1/day]
r_zoo_graz =	0.5
mortality rate of z	ooplankton [1/day]
r_zoo_mort =	0.03
respiration rate of	zooplankton [1/day]
r_zoo_resp =	0.01
redfield ratio C/N	
rfr_c =	6.625
redfield ratio P/N	
rfr_p =	0.0625
optimal temperatu	re for zooplankton grazing [°C]
temp_opt_zoo =	20.0
seed concentration	for zooplankton [mol/kg]
zoo0 =	4.5E-9
zooplankton closur	re parameter [mol/kg]
zoo_cl =	9.0E-8
fraction of DON ir	respiration products
don_fraction =	0.0





	Constants, continued from previous page
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_02_2 =	$theta(t_o2-0.0)$
lim_t_spp_16 =	theta(t_spp-0.0)
lim_t_zoo_19 =	theta(t_zoo-0.0)
lim_t_lip_18 =	theta(t_lip-0.0)
lim_t_lpp_15 =	theta(t_lpp-0.0)
lim_t_cya_17 =	theta(t_cya-0.0)
	end of table Process limitation factors

5

B3.8 Process type gas_exchange

Processes

end of table **Processes**

Auxiliary variables

absolute temperature [K]

temp_k = cgt_temp + 273.15

temporary value assumed for pH [1]





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
ph_temp =	0.0-log(h3o)/log(10.0)
calculated iterative	ely, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
self-ionization co	onstant of Water [mol2/kg2]
k_water =	exp(-13847.26 / temp_k + 148.96502 - 23.6521 * log(temp_k) +
	(118.67/temp_k - 5.977 + 1.0495 * log(temp_k)) * sqrt(cgt_sali) -
	0.01615 * cgt_sali)
Solubility of CO	$2 \; [m mol/kg/Pa]$
$k0_co2 =$	exp(9345.17 / temp_k - 60.2409 + 23.3585 * (log(temp_k) -
	4.605170186) + cgt_sali*(0.023517 - 0.00023656 * temp_k +
	0.00000047036 *temp_k*temp_k))/101325.0
Acid dissociation	n constant CO2 + 2 H2O <-> HCO3- + H3O+ $[mol/kg]$
k1_co2 =	power(10.0,(-3633.86 / temp_k + 61.2172 - 9.6777 * log(temp_k) +
	0.011555 * cgt_sali - 0.0001152 * cgt_sali * cgt_sali))
Acid dissociation	n constant HCO3- + H2O <-> $[CO3 2-] + H3O+ [mol/kg]$
k2_co2 =	power(10.0,(-471.78 / temp_k - 25.929 + 3.16967 * log(temp_k) +
	0.01781 * cgt_sali - 0.0001122 * cgt_sali * cgt_sali))
Acid dissociation	n constant of boric acid [mol/kg]
k_boron =	exp((-8966.9 - 2890.53*sqrt(cgt_sali) - 77.942*cgt_sali + 1.728*
	cgt_sali*sqrt(cgt_sali) - 0.0996*cgt_sali*cgt_sali) / temp_k +
	148.0248 + 137.1942*sqrt(cgt_sali) + 1.62142*cgt_sali + (-24.4344
	- 25.085*sqrt(cgt_sali) - 0.2474*cgt_sali)*log(temp_k) +
	0.053105*sqrt(cgt_sali)*temp_k)
Acid dissociation	n constant H3PO4 + H2O <-> [H2PO4 -] + H3O+ $[mol/kg]$
k1_po4 =	exp(-4576.752/temp_k + 115.525 - 18.453*log(temp_k) + (0.69171 -
	106.736/temp_k)*sqrt(cgt_sali) - (0.01844 + 0.65643/temp_k)*
	cgt_sali)
	continued on next page





Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page

Acia dissociation of	${\rm onstant} [{\rm H2PO4} \text{-}] + {\rm H2O+} <\text{-}\text{>} [{\rm HPO4} \text{2-}] + {\rm H3O+} [{\rm mol/kg}]$
k2_po4 =	exp(-8814.715/temp_k + 172.0883 - 27.927*log(temp_k) + (1.35660
	- 160.340/temp_k)*sqrt(cgt_sali) - (0.05778 - 0.37335/temp_k)*
	cgt_sali)
Acid dissociation c	${\rm onstant} \ [{\rm HPO4} \ 2\text{-}] + {\rm H2O} <-> \ [{\rm PO4} \ 3\text{-}] + {\rm H3O} + \ [{\rm mol/kg}]$
k3_po4 =	exp(-3070.75/temp_k - 18.141 + (2.81197 + 17.27039/temp_k)*
	<pre>sqrt(cgt_sali) - (0.09984 + 44.99486/temp_k)*cgt_sali)</pre>
Acid dissociation c	$M_{\rm S} = 100 $ onstant H2S + H2O <-> HS- + H3O+ [mol/kg]
k1_h2s =	exp(-3131.42/temp_k + 5.818 + 0.368*(power(max(0.0,cgt_sali)
	,(1.0/3.0))))
total concentration	of boron [mol/kg]
<pre>boron_total =</pre>	0.000416 * cgt_sali/35.0
boron alkalinity [m	ol/kg]
alk_boron =	boron_total * k_boron / (k_boron + h3o)
calculated iteratively,	10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
hydrogen sulfide al	kalinity [mol/kg]
alk_h2s =	t_h2s * k1_h2s / (k1_h2s + h3o)
calculated iteratively,	10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
water alkalinity [m	$\mathrm{ol/kg}]$
alk_water =	k_water / h3o - h3o
calculated iteratively,	10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
denominator in ph	osphate alkalinity formula [mol3/kg3]
alk_po4_denominato	r = (h3o*h3o*h3o + k1_po4*h3o*h3o + k1_po4*k2_po4*h3o + k1_po4*
	k2_po4*k3_po4)
	continued on next page





Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page

calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

phosphate alkalinity [mol/kg]

alk_po4 = t_po4*(k1_po4*k2_po4*h3o + 2.0*k1_po4*k2_po4*k3_po4 - h3o*h3o* h3o) / alk_po4_denominator

calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

denominator in carbonate alkalinity formula [mol2/kg2]

alk_co2_denominator = (h3o*h3o + k1_co2*h3o + k1_co2*k2_co2)
calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

carbonate alkalinity [mol/kg]

alk_co2 = t_dic*k1_co2*(h3o+2*k2_co2)/alk_co2_denominator calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

error in total alkalinity calculation at the assumed pH [mol/kg]

alk_residual = t_alk - alk_co2 - alk_po4 - alk_boron - alk_h2s - alk_water calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

derivative of phosphate alkalinity with respect to h3o [1]

dalkp_dh3o = t_po4*(0.0-k1_po4*h3o*h3o*h3o*h3o-4*k1_po4*k2_po4*h3o*h3o*h3o-(k1_po4*k1_po4*k2_po4+9*k1_po4*k2_po4*k3_po4)*h3o*h3o-4*k1_po4* k1_po4*k2_po4*k3_po4*h3o-k1_po4*k1_po4*k2_po4*k2_po4*k3_po4) /(alk_po4_denominator*alk_po4_denominator)

calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

derivative of carbonate alkalinity with respect to h3o [1]

dalkc_dh3o = t_dic*(0.0-k1_co2*h3o*h3o-k1_co2*k1_co2*k2_co2-4*k1_co2*k2_co2* h3o)/(alk_co2_denominator*alk_co2_denominator)

calculated iteratively, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0

derivative of residual_alk with respect to pH $[\rm mol/kg]$





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
dalkresidual_dpH	= 0.0-log(10.0)*h3o*(alk_boron/(k_boron+h3o)+alk_h2s/(k1_h2s+h3o)+
	k_water/(h3o*h3o)+1-dalkp_dh3o-dalkc_dh3o)
calculated iteratively	y, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
newly determined	l pH value [1]
temp1 =	alk_residual/dalkresidual_dpH
ph =	<pre>ph_temp - temp1 + theta(abs(temp1) - 1)*0.5*temp1</pre>
calculated iteratively	y, 10 iterations, initial value = 0.0
h3o ion concentra	tion $[mol/kg]$
h3o =	power(10.0,0.0-max(1.0,min(13.0,ph)))
calculated iteratively	y, 10 iterations, initial value = $1.0e-8$
co2 partial pressu	re [Pa]
pco2 =	t_dic / k0_co2 / (1 + k1_co2/h3o + k1_co2*k2_co2/h3o/h3o)
oxygen saturation	$n \ concentration \ [mol/kg]$
o2_sat =	(10.18e0+((5.306e-3-4.8725e-5*cgt_temp)*cgt_temp-0.2785e0)*
	cgt_temp+cgt_sali*((2.2258e-3+(4.39e-7*cgt_temp-4.645e-5)*
	cgt_temp)*cgt_temp-6.33e-2))*44.66e0*1e-6
dissolved molecul	ar nitrogen saturation concentration [mol/kg]
temp1 =	log((298.15-cgt_temp)/(273.15+cgt_temp))
temp2 =	temp1*temp1
temp3 =	temp2*temp1
n2_sat =	1e-6*exp(6.42931 + 2.92704*temp1 + 4.32531*temp2 + 4.69149*temp3
	+ cgt_sali*(0.0 -7.44129e-3 - 8.02566e-3*temp1 - 1.46775e-2*

end of table **Auxiliary variables**





	Constants	
atmospheric parti	ial pressure of CO2 [Pa]	
<pre>patm_co2 =</pre>	38.0	
piston velocity for	r co2 surface flux [m/d]	
w_co2_stf =	4.0	
piston velocity for	r n2 surface flux [m/d]	
w_n2_stf =	5.0	
piston velocity for	r oxygen surface flux [m/d]	
w_o2_stf =	5.0	
	end of table Constants	
	Process limitation factors	
lim_t_n2_7 =	theta(t_n2-0.0)	
lim_t_o2_2 =	$theta(t_02-0.0)$	
lim_t_dic_8 =	theta(t_dic-0.0)	
	end of table Process limitation factors	

460

5

B3.9 Process type physics/erosion

	Processes
sedimentary detrit	us erosion (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed -> t_det	
p_sed_ero_det =	(erosion_is_active*r_sed_ero*sed_active)*lim_t_sed_21
	continued on next page





Processes, continued from previous page

```
erosion of iron PO4 (sediment only) [mol/m^2/day]
t_ips -> t_ipw
p_ips_ero_ipw =
                      (erosion_is_active*r_ips_ero*t_ips)*lim_t_ips_23
sedimentary poc erosion (sediment only) [mol/m^2/day]
t_sed_poc -> t_poc
p_sed_ero_poc =
                      (erosion_is_active*r_sed_ero*poc_active)*lim_t_sed_poc_22
sedimentary pocn erosion (sediment only) [mol/m^2/day]
t_sed_pocn -> t_pocn
p_sed_ero_pocn =
                      (erosion_is_active*r_sed_ero*pocn_active)*lim_t_sed_pocn_27
sedimentary pocp erosion (sediment only) [mol/m<sup>2</sup>/day]
t_sed_pocp -> t_pocp
p_sed_ero_pocp =
                      (erosion_is_active*r_sed_ero*pocp_active)*lim_t_sed_pocp_28
```

end of table $\mathbf{Processes}$

Auxiliary variables

total carbon in sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_tot = t_sed*rfr_c + t_sed_poc + t_sed_pocn*rfr_c + t_sed_pocp*rfr_cp

total carbon in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_tot_active = max(0.0,min(sed_tot,sed_max*rfr_c))

detritus in active sediment layer [mol/m**2]
sed_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed/sed_tot

switch (1=erosion, 0=no erosion) which depends on the combined bottom stress of currents and waves





Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page erosion_is_active = theta(cgt_current_wave_stress - critical_stress) poc in active sediment layer [mol/m**2] poc_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed_poc/sed_tot pocn in active sediment layer [mol/m**2] pocn_active = sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocn/sed_tot pocp in active sediment layer [mol/m**2] sed_tot_active * t_sed_pocp/sed_tot pocp_active = end of table Auxiliary variables Constants critical shear stress for sediment erosion [N/m2] critical_stress = 0.016 erosion rate for iron PO4 [1/day] r_ips_ero = 6.0 maximum sediment detritus erosion rate [1/day] r_sed_ero = 6.0 redfield ratio C/N $rfr_c =$ 6.625 redfield ratio C/P $rfr_cp =$ 106.0 maximum sediment detritus concentration that feels erosion [mol/m**2] sed_max = 1.0





Constants, continued from previous page

end of table ${\bf Constants}$

Process limitation factors		
lim_t_sed_21 =	theta(t_sed-0.0)	
lim_t_ips_23 =	theta(t_ips-0.0)	
lim_t_sed_poc_22 =	<pre>theta(t_sed_poc-0.0)</pre>	
<pre>lim_t_sed_pocn_27 =</pre>	<pre>theta(t_sed_pocn-0.0)</pre>	
<pre>lim_t_sed_pocp_28 =</pre>	<pre>theta(t_sed_pocp-0.0)</pre>	
	end of table Process limitation factors	

5

B3.10 Process type physics/parametrization_deep_burial

Processes		
burial of detritus d	leeper than max_sed (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$	
t_sed ->		
<pre>p_sed_burial =</pre>	((sed_tot-sed_tot_burial)/cgt_timestep*t_sed/sed_tot)*	
	lim_t_sed_21	
burial of iron PO4	burial of iron PO4 (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$	
t_ips ->		
p_ips_burial =	(fac_ips_burial*(sed_tot-sed_tot_burial)/cgt_timestep*	
	t_ips/sed_tot)*lim_t_ips_23	





	Processes, continued from previous page
burial of poc deepe	er than max_sed (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed_poc ->	
p_poc_burial =	((sed_tot-sed_tot_burial)/cgt_timestep*t_sed_poc/sed_tot)*
	lim_t_sed_poc_22
burial of pocn deep	per than max_sed (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed_pocn ->	
p_pocn_burial =	((sed_tot-sed_tot_burial)/cgt_timestep*t_sed_pocn/sed_tot)*
	lim_t_sed_pocn_27
burial of pocp deep	per than max_sed (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
t_sed_pocp ->	
p_pocp_burial =	((sed_tot-sed_tot_burial)/cgt_timestep*t_sed_pocp/sed_tot)*
	lim_t_sed_pocp_28
	end of table Processes
	Auxiliary variables
total carbon in sed	iment layer $[mol/m^{**}2]$
<pre>sed_tot =</pre>	<pre>t_sed*rfr_c + t_sed_poc + t_sed_pocn*rfr_c + t_sed_pocp*rfr_cp</pre>
total carbon in sed	iment layer before burial [mol/m**2]
<pre>sed_tot_burial =</pre>	<pre>max(0.0,min(sed_tot,sed_burial*rfr_c))</pre>
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
redfield ratio C/N	
rfr_c =	6.625
	continued on next page
	continued on news page





	Constants, continued from previous page
redfield ratio C/P	
rfr_cp =	106.0
maximum sediment	oad before burial
sed_burial =	1.0
	ips, mimicing resolving iron-P complexes in deeper sediment and
subsequent upward I	
<pre>fac_ips_burial =</pre>	0.5
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_sed_21 =	theta(t_sed-0.0)
lim_t_ips_23 =	theta(t_ips-0.0)
lim_t_sed_poc_22 =	theta(t_sed_poc-0.0)
<pre>lim_t_sed_pocn_27 =</pre>	theta(t_sed_pocn-0.0)
lim_t_sed_pocp_28 =	theta(t_sed_pocp-0.0)
<pre>lim_t_sed_pocn_27 = lim_t_sed_pocp_28 =</pre>	

5

465 B3.11 Process type physics/sedimentation

Processes
detritus sedimentation (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$
continued on next page





	Processes, continued from previous page	
t_det -> t_sed		
p_det_sedi_sed =	((1.0-erosion_is_active)*(0.0-w_det_sedi)*t_det*cgt_density)*	
	lim_t_det_20	
sedimentation of iro	n PO4 (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$	
t_ipw -> t_ips		
p_ipw_sedi_ips =	((1.0-erosion_is_active)*(0.0-w_ipw_sedi)*t_ipw*cgt_density)*	
	lim_t_ipw_26	
poc sedimentation (sediment only) $[mol/m^2/day]$	
t_poc -> t_sed_poc		
p_poc_sedi_sed =	((1.0-erosion_is_active)*(0.0-w_poc_var)*t_poc*cgt_density)*	
	lim_t_poc_12	
pocn sedimentation	(sediment only) [mol/m2/day]	
t_pocn -> t_sed_poc	n	
p_pocn_sedi_sed =	((1.0-erosion_is_active)*(0.0-w_pocn_sedi)*t_pocn*cgt_density)*	
	lim_t_pocn_14	
pocp sedimentation	(sediment only) [mol/m2/day]	
t_pocp -> t_sed_pocp	p	
p_pocp_sedi_sed =	((1.0-erosion_is_active)*(0.0-w_pocp_sedi)*t_pocp*cgt_density)*	
	lim_t_pocp_13	
	end of table Processes	
	Auxiliary variables	
switch (1=erosion, 0	=no erosion) which depends on the combined bottom stress of	
currents and waves		
erosion_is_active =	<pre>theta(cgt_current_wave_stress - critical_stress)</pre>	
	continued on next page	
	command on here halo	





	Auxiliary variables, continued from previous page
depth dependent PO	
w_poc_var =	<pre>martin_fac_poc * cgt_bottomdepth * (-1.0)</pre>
	end of table Auxiliary variables
	Constants
	for sediment erosion $[N/m2]$
critical_stress =	0.016
sedimentation veloc	ity (negative for downward) $[m/day]$
w_det_sedi =	-2.25
sedimentation veloc	ity for iron PO4 [m/day]
w_ipw_sedi =	-0.5
sedimentation veloc	ity (negative for downward) $[m/day]$
w_pocp_sedi =	-0.05
sedimentation veloc	ity (negative for downward) $[m/day]$
w_pocn_sedi =	-0.05
[1/d], depth depend	ence of POC sinking speed
<pre>martin_fac_poc =</pre>	0.01
	end of table Constants
	Process limitation factors
lim_t_ipw_26 =	<pre>theta(t_ipw-0.0)</pre>
lim_t_det_20 =	theta(t_det-0.0)
	continued on next page





Process limitation factors, continued from previous page

lim_t_poc_12 =	theta(t_poc-0.0)
<pre>lim_t_pocp_13 =</pre>	theta(t_pocp-0.0)
lim_t_pocn_14 =	theta(t_pocn-0.0)

end of table $\mathbf{Process}$ limitation factors

5

B3.12 Process type standard

	Processes
particle formation	from DOC [mol/kg/day]
t_doc -> t_poc	
p_doc2pco =	(t_doc * r_doc2poc)*lim_t_doc_29
particle formation	from DOP [mol/kg/day]
t_dop -> t_pocp	
p_dop2pocp =	<pre>(t_dop * r_dop2pocp)*lim_t_dop_30</pre>
particle formation	from DON [mol/kg/day]
t_don -> t_pocn	
p_don2pocn =	(t_don * r_don2pocn)*lim_t_don_31
	end of table Processes
	Auxiliary variables
	end of table Auxiliary variables





	Constants		
POC formation ra	te		
r_doc2poc =	0.01		
POCN formation	rate		
r_don2pocn =	0.01		
POCP formation	rate		
r_dop2pocp =	0.01		
	end of table Constants		
	Process limitation factors		
lim_t_doc_29 =	theta(t_doc-0.0)		
lim_t_dop_30 =	theta(t_dop-0.0)		
lim_t_don_31 =	theta(t_don-0.0)		
	end of table Process limitation factors		

B4 Tracer equations

Tracer equations			
Change of: dissolved molecular nitrogen			
$rac{d}{dt}$ t_n2 =			
	+ (p_poc_denit)*(0.4)	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)	
	+ (p_pocp_denit)*(42.4)	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)	
	+ (p_pocn_denit)*(2.65)	recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification)	
continued on next page			





	+ (p_det_denit_nh4)*(2.65)	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_nh4_nitdenit_n2)*(0.5) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of detritus in oxic sediments
	+ (p_sed_denit_nh4)*(2.65) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_sed_poc_denit)*(0.4) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_h2s_oxno3_sul)*(0.2)	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate
	+ (p_sul_oxno3_so4)*(0.6)	oxidation of elemental sulfur with nitrate
	+ (p_sed_pocn_denit)*(2.65) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_sed_pocp_denit)*(42.4) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_nh4_nitdenit_pocn_n2)*(0.5) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of pocn-detritus in oxic sediments
	+ (p_doc_denit)*(0.4)	recycling of DOC using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_dop_denit)*(42.4)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ (p_don_denit)*(2.65)	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification)
	- (p_n2_assim_cya)*(0.5)	fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria
continued on next page		





Change of: dissolved oxygen

$rac{d}{dt}$ t_o2 =		
	+ (p_no3_assim_lpp)*(8.625)	assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_nh4_assim_lpp)*(6.625)	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_no3_assim_spp)*(8.625)	assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_nh4_assim_spp)*(6.625)	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_nh4_assim_lip)*(6.625)	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton
	+ (p_no3_assim_lip)*(8.625)	assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton
	+ (p_n2_assim_cya)*(7.375)	fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria
	+ p_assim_lpp_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
	+ p_assim_spp_doc	Production of DOC by SPP
	+ p_assim_lip_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
	+ p_assim_cya_doc	Production of DOC by CYA
	+ (p_assim_lpp_dop)*(106)	Production of DOP by LPP
	+ (p_assim_spp_dop)*(106)	Production of DOP by SPP





Tracer ec	luations, continu	ed from previous page
+ (p_assim_lip_dop)*	(106) P	roduction of DOP by LIP
+ (p_nh4_assim_lpp_d	on)*(6.625) P	roduction of DON by LPP
+ (p_no3_assim_lpp_d	on)*(8.625) P	roduction of DON by LPP
+ (p_nh4_assim_spp_d	on)*(6.625) P	roduction of DON by SPP
+ (p_no3_assim_spp_d	on)*(8.625) P	roduction of DON by SPP
+ (p_nh4_assim_lip_d	on)*(6.625) P	roduction of DON by LIP
+ (p_no3_assim_lip_d	on)*(8.625) P	roduction of DON by LIP
- p_poc_resp	re	espiration of POC
- (p_pocp_resp)*(106) re	espiration of POCP
- (p_pocn_resp)*(6.6	25) re	espiration of POCN
- (p_lpp_resp_nh4)*(6.625) re	espiration of large-cell phytoplankton
- (p_spp_resp_nh4)*(6.625) re	espiration of small-cell phytoplankton
- (p_lip_resp_nh4)*(6.625) re	espiration of limnic phytoplankton
- (p_cya_resp_nh4)*(6.625) re	espiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria
- (p_zoo_resp_nh4)*(6.625) re	espiration of zooplankton
- (p_nh4_nit_no3)*(2) ni	itrification





Tracer equations, conti	nued from previous page
- (p_det_resp_nh4)*(6.625)	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration)
- (p_sed_resp_nh4)*(6.625)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	using oxygen (respiration)
- (p_nh4_nitdenit_n2)*(0.75)	coupled nitrification and denitrification after
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	mineralization of detritus in oxic sediments
-	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using oxyge
<pre>p_sed_poc_resp/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)</pre>	(respiration)
- (p_h2s_oxo2_sul)*(0.5)	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen
- (p_sul_oxo2_so4)*(1.5)	oxidation of elemental sulfur with oxygen
- (p_sed_pocn_resp)*(6.625)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 u
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	oxygen (respiration)
<pre>- (p_sed_pocp_resp)*(106)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 $\boldsymbol{\upsilon}$
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	oxygen (respiration)
- (p_nh4_nitdenit_pocn_n2)*	coupled nitrification and denitrification after
(0.75)/(cgt_cellheight*	mineralization of pocn-detritus in oxic sediment
cgt_density)	
- p_doc_resp	respiration of DOC
- (p_dop_resp)*(106)	respiration of DOP
	respiration of DON

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

Change of: dissolved inorganic carbon, treated as carbon dioxide			
$\frac{d}{dt}$ t_dic =	+ p_poc_resp	respiration of POC	
	+ p_poc_denit	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)	
	+ p_poc_sulf	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)	
	+ (p_pocp_resp)*(106)	respiration of POCP	
	+ (p_pocp_denit)*(106)	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)	
	+ (p_pocp_sulf)*(106)	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)	
	+ (p_pocn_resp)*(6.625)	respiration of POCN	
	+ (p_pocn_denit)*(6.625)	recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification)	
	+ (p_pocn_sulf)*(6.625)	Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)	
	+ (p_lpp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton	
	+ (p_spp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton	
	+ (p_lip_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	respiration of limnic phytoplankton	
	+ (p_cya_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria	





Tracer equations, contin	ued from previous page
+ (p_zoo_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	respiration of zooplankton
+ (p_det_resp_nh4)*(rfr_c)	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration)
+ (p_det_denit_nh4)*(rfr_c)	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification
+ (p_det_sulf_nh4)*(rfr_c)	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction
	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration)
-	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification)
-	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using oxygen (respiration)
+ p_sed_poc_denit/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate (denitrification)
	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 us oxygen (respiration)

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





Tracer equations, con	tinued from previous page
+ (p_sed_pocp_resp)*(106)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	oxygen (respiration)
+ (p_sed_pocn_denit)*(6.625)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification)
+ (p_sed_pocp_denit)*(106)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification)
+ (p_sed_pocn_sulf)*(6.625)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ (p_sed_pocp_sulf)*(106)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ p_doc_resp	respiration of DOC
+ p_doc_denit	recycling of DOC using nitrate (denitrification)
+ p_doc_sulf	Mineralization of DOC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
	reduction)
+ (p_dop_resp)*(106)	respiration of DOP
+ (p_dop_denit)*(106)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)
+ (p_dop_sulf)*(106)	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
	reduction)
+ (p_don_resp)*(6.625)	respiration of DON
+ (p_don_denit)*(6.625)	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification)





Tracer equations, continued from previous page	
+ (p_don_sulf)*(6.625)	Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_lpp)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_lpp)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_spp)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_spp)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_lip)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_lip)*(rfr_c)</pre>	assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_n2_assim_cya)*(rfr_c)</pre>	fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria
- p_assim_lpp_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
- p_assim_spp_doc	Production of DOC by SPP
- p_assim_lip_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
- p_assim_cya_doc	Production of DOC by CYA
- (p_assim_lpp_dop)*(106)	Production of DOP by LPP
- (p_assim_spp_dop)*(106)	Production of DOP by SPP





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- (p_assim_lip_dop)*(106)	Production of DOP by LIP	
- (p_nh4_assim_lpp_don)*(rfr_c)	Production of DON by LPP	
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_lpp_don)*(rfr_c)</pre>	Production of DON by LPP	
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_spp_don)*(rfr_c)</pre>	Production of DON by SPP	
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_spp_don)*(rfr_c)</pre>	Production of DON by SPP	
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_lip_don)*(rfr_c)</pre>	Production of DON by LIP	
<pre>- (p_no3_assim_lip_don)*(rfr_c)</pre>	Production of DON by LIP	

Change of: ammonium

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_nh4} =$		
	+ p_pocn_resp	respiration of POCN
	+ p_pocn_denit	recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification)
	+ p_pocn_sulf	Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
	+ (p_lpp_resp_nh4)*((1- don_fraction))	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_spp_resp_nh4)*((1- don_fraction))	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton
	+ (p_lip_resp_nh4)*((1- don_fraction))	respiration of limnic phytoplankton
	continued or	n next page





+ (p_cya_resp_nh4)*((1- don_fraction))	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria
+ (p_zoo_resp_nh4)*((1- don_fraction))	respiration of zooplankton
+ p_det_resp_nh4	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration)
+ p_det_denit_nh4	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification)
+ p_det_sulf_nh4	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ p_sed_resp_nh4/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration)
+ p_sed_denit_nh4/(cgt_cellheight cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium * using nitrate (denitrification)
+ p_sed_sulf_nh4/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ p_sed_pocn_resp/(cgt_cellheight cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using * oxygen (respiration)
+ p_sed_pocn_denit/(cgt_cellheigh cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using t*nitrate (denitrification)





+	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using
p_sed_pocn_sulf/(cgt_cellheight*	sulfate (sulfate reduction)
cgt_density)	
+ p_don_resp	respiration of DON
+ p_don_denit	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification)
+ p_don_sulf	Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
- p_nh4_assim_lpp	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton
- p_nh4_assim_spp	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton
- p_nh4_assim_lip	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton
- p_nh4_assim_lpp_don	Production of DON by LPP
- p_nh4_assim_spp_don	Production of DON by SPP
- p_nh4_assim_lip_don	Production of DON by LIP
- p_nh4_nit_no3	nitrification
-	coupled nitrification and denitrification after
p_nh4_nitdenit_n2/(cgt_cellheigh	numineralization of detritus in oxic sediments
cgt_density)	





- coupled nitrification and denitrification after
p_nh4_nitdenit_pocn_n2/(cgt_celllmineralization of pocn-detritus in oxic sediments
cgt_density)

Change of: nitrate

 $\frac{d}{dt}$ t_no3 = + p_nh4_nit_no3 nitrification assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton - p_no3_assim_lpp - p_no3_assim_spp assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton - p_no3_assim_lip Production of DON by LPP - p_no3_assim_lpp_don - p_no3_assim_spp_don Production of DON by SPP - p_no3_assim_lip_don Production of DON by LIP - (p_poc_denit)*(0.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) - (p_pocp_denit)*(84.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) - (p_pocn_denit)*(5.3) recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification) - (p_det_denit_nh4)*(5.3) recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification) - (p sed denit nh4)*(5.3) recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





Tracer equations, con	tinued from previous page
- (p_sed_poc_denit)*(0.8)	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	(denitrification)
- (p_h2s_oxno3_sul)*(0.4)	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate
- (p_sul_oxno3_so4)*(1.2)	oxidation of elemental sulfur with nitrate
- (p_sed_pocn_denit)*(5.3)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification)
- (p_sed_pocp_denit)*(84.8)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification)
- (p_doc_denit)*(0.8)	recycling of DOC using nitrate (denitrification)
- (p_dop_denit)*(84.8)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)
- (p_don_denit)*(5.3)	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification)

Change of: phosphate

$rac{d}{dt}$ t_po4 =		
+ p_pocp_resp	respiration of POCP	
+ p_pocp_denit	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)	
+ p_pocp_sulf	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate	
	reduction)	
+ (p_lpp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
+ (p_spp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton	
continued on next page		





Tracer equations, cont	inued from previous page
+ (p_lip_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of limnic phytoplankton
+ (p_cya_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria
+ (p_zoo_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of zooplankton
+ (p_det_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration)
+ (p_det_denit_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification)
+ (p_det_sulf_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ (p_sed_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration)
+ (p_sed_denit_nh4)*(rfr_p) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification)
+ (p_sed_sulf_nh4)*(rfr_p) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ p_ips_liber_po4/(cgt_cellheight; cgt_density)	liberation of phosphate from the sediment under * anoxic conditions
+ p_sed_pocp_resp/(cgt_cellheight; cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using * oxygen (respiration)





Tracer equ	nations, continued from previous page
+	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
<pre>p_sed_pocp_denit/(cgt</pre>	_cellheight*nitrate (denitrification)
cgt_density)	
+	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usin
	cellheight* sulfate (sulfate reduction)
cgt_density)	
+ p_dop_resp	respiration of DOP
rrr	
+ p_dop_denit	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)
+ p_dop_sulf	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
	reduction)
- (p_no3_assim_lpp)*(rfr_p) assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton
- (p_nh4_assim_lpp)*(rfr_p) assimilation of ammonium by large-cell
(PPF) (phytoplankton
- (p_no3_assim_spp)*(rfr_p) assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton
<pre>- (p_nh4_assim_spp)*(</pre>	rfr_p) assimilation of ammonium by small-cell
	phytoplankton
- (p_nh4_assim_lip)*(rfr_p) assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton
- (p_no3_assim_lip)*(rfr_p) assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton
(p_noo_assim_iip)*(assimilation of infrate by minic phytoplankton
- (p_n2_assim_cya)*(r	fr_p) fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria
- p_assim_lpp_dop	Production of DOP by LPP
	continued on next page





Tracer equations, continued from previous page	
- p_assim_spp_dop	Production of DOP by SPP
- p_assim_lip_dop	Production of DOP by LIP
<pre>- (p_po4_retent_ips)*(rfr_p) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	retention of phosphate in the sediment under oxic conditions

Change of: small-cell phytoplankton

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_spp} =$	+ p_no3_assim_spp	assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton
	+ p_nh4_assim_spp	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton
	- p_spp_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating small-cell phytoplankton
	- p_spp_resp_nh4	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton
	- p_spp_mort_det	mortality of small-scale phytoplankton
Change of: $\frac{d}{dt} t_{z00} =$	zooplankton	
	+ p_lpp_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating large-cell phytoplankton
	+ p_spp_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating small-cell phytoplankton





	Tracer equations, cont	inued from previous page
+ p_c	ya_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating diazotroph cyanobacteria
+ p_1	ip_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating limnic phytoplankton
- p_z	coo_resp_nh4	respiration of zooplankton
- p_z	coo_mort_det	mortality of zooplankton
Change of: hydro $\frac{d}{dt}$ t_h2s =	ogen sulfide	
at	poc_sulf)*(0.5)	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ (p_	pocp_sulf)*(53)	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ (p_	pocn_sulf)*(3.3125)	Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)
+ (p_	_det_sulf_nh4)*(3.3125)	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
_	sed_sulf_nh4)*(3.3125) c_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
_	sed_poc_sulf)*(0.5)	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
_	sed_pocn_sulf)*(3.3125) c_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using sulfate (sulfate reduction)

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





	Tracer equations, continued from previous page			
	+ (p_sed_pocp_sulf)*(53) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using sulfate (sulfate reduction)		
	+ (p_doc_sulf)*(0.5)	Mineralization of DOC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)		
	+ (p_dop_sulf)*(53)	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)		
	+ (p_don_sulf)*(3.3125)	Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)		
	- p_h2s_oxo2_sul	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen		
	- p_h2s_oxno3_sul	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate		
Change of $\frac{d}{dt}$ t_sul =				
dt –	+ p_h2s_oxo2_sul	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with oxygen		
	+ p_h2s_oxno3_sul	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate		
	- p_sul_oxo2_so4	oxidation of elemental sulfur with oxygen		

- p_sul_oxno3_so4

oxidation of elemental sulfur with nitrate

Change of: total alkalinity

 $rac{d}{dt} \; {\tt t_alk} =$

+ (1)*(p_pocn_resp)*(0.5)

respiration of POCN (produces ohminus)





	Tracer equations, conti	nued from previous page
+	(1)*(p_pocn_denit)*(0.5)	recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification) (produces ohminus)
+	(1)*(p_pocn_sulf)*(0.5)	Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces ohminus)
	<pre>(1)*(p_sed_pocn_resp)*(0.5) (cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin oxygen (respiration) (produces ohminus)
	<pre>(1)*(p_sed_pocn_denit)*(0.5) (cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin nitrate (denitrification) (produces ohminus)
	<pre>(1)*(p_sed_pocn_sulf)*(0.5) (cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces ohminus)
+	(1)*(p_don_resp)*(0.5)	respiration of DON (produces ohminus)
+	(1)*(p_don_denit)*(0.5)	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification) (produces ohminus)
+	(1)*(p_don_sulf)*(0.5)	Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces ohminus)
-	(1)*(p_nh4_assim_lpp_don)	Production of DON by LPP (consumes ohminus)
-	(1)*(p_nh4_assim_spp_don)	Production of DON by SPP (consumes ohminus)
-	(1)*(p_nh4_assim_lip_don)	Production of DON by LIP (consumes ohminus)
-	(1)*(p_pocp_denit)*(3)	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes ohminus)

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-79 Preprint. Discussion started: 2 June 2022 © Author(s) 2022. CC BY 4.0 License.





Tracer equations, continued from previous page			
- (1)*(p_pocp_sulf)*(3)	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes ohminus)		
<pre>- (1)*(p_sed_pocp_denit)*(3) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes ohminus)		
<pre>- (1)*(p_sed_pocp_sulf)*(3) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes ohminus)		
- (1)*(p_dop_denit)*(3)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes ohminus)		
- (1)*(p_dop_sulf)*(3)	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes ohminus)		
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_assim_lpp)* (0.8125)	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton (produces h3oplus)		
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_assim_spp)* (0.8125)	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton (produces h3oplus)		
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_assim_lip)* (0.8125)	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton (produces h3oplus)		
+ (-1)*(p_pocp_resp)*(3)	respiration of POCP (produces h3oplus)		
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_nit_no3)*(2)	nitrification (produces h3oplus)		
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_nitdenit_n2) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of detritus in oxic sediments (produces h3oplus)		




Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
+ (-1)*(p_sul_oxo2_so4)*(2)	oxidation of elemental sulfur with oxygen (produces h3oplus)	
+ (-1)*(p_sul_oxno3_so4)*(0.8)	oxidation of elemental sulfur with nitrate (produces h3oplus)	
+ (-1)*(p_sed_pocp_resp)*(3) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using oxygen (respiration) (produces h3oplus)	
+ (-1)*(p_nh4_nitdenit_pocn_n2) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	coupled nitrification and denitrification after mineralization of pocn-detritus in oxic sediments (produces h3oplus)	
+ (-1)*(p_dop_resp)*(3)	respiration of DOP (produces h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lpp)* (1.1875)	assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_no3_assim_spp)* (1.1875)	assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lip)* (1.1875)	assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_n2_assim_cya)*(0.1875) fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_assim_lpp_dop)*(3)	Production of DOP by LPP (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_assim_spp_dop)*(3)	Production of DOP by SPP (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_assim_lip_dop)*(3)	Production of DOP by LIP (consumes h3oplus)	
continued on next page		



_



 (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lpp_don) Production of DON by LPP (consumes h3oplus) (-1)*(p_no3_assim_spp_don) Production of DON by SPP (consumes h3oplus) (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lip_don) Production of DON by LIP (consumes h3oplus) (-1)*(p_poc_denit)*(0.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus) 		
 (-1)*(p_no3_assim_spp_don) Production of DON by SPP (consumes h3oplus) (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lip_don) Production of DON by LIP (consumes h3oplus) (-1)*(p_poc_denit)*(0.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) 		
 - (-1)*(p_no3_assim_lip_don) Production of DON by LIP (consumes h3oplus) - (-1)*(p_poc_denit)*(0.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) 		
- (-1)*(p_poc_denit)*(0.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)		
 (-1)*(p_poc_sulf) Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus) 		
<pre>- (-1)*(p_pocp_denit)*(84.8) recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)</pre>		
 (-1)*(p_pocp_sulf)*(106) Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus) 		
- (-1)*(p_pocn_resp)*(0.5) respiration of POCN (consumes h3oplus)		
<pre>- (-1)*(p_pocn_denit)*(5.8) recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification)</pre>		
 (-1)*(p_pocn_sulf)*(7.125) Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus) 		
 (-1)*(p_lpp_resp_nh4)*(0.8125) respiration of large-cell phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus) 		
- (-1)*(p_spp_resp_nh4)*(0.8125) respiration of small-cell phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus)		
continued on next page		





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

- (-1)*(p_lip_resp_nh4)*(0.8125)	respiration of limnic phytoplankton (consumes h3oplus)
- (-1)*(p_cya_resp_nh4)*(0.8125)	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria (consumes h3oplus)
- (-1)*(p_zoo_resp_nh4)*(0.8125)	respiration of zooplankton (consumes h3oplus)
- (-1)*(p_det_resp_nh4)*(0.8125)	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration) (consumes h3oplus)
- (-1)*(p_det_denit_nh4)* (6.1125)	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)
- (-1)*(p_det_sulf_nh4)*(7.4375)	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)
<pre>- (-1)*(p_sed_resp_nh4)*(0.8125) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration) (consumes h3oplus)
<pre>- (-1)*(p_sed_denit_nh4)* (6.1125)/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)
<pre>- (-1)*(p_sed_sulf_nh4)*(7.4375) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)
<pre>- (-1)*(p_sed_poc_denit)*(0.8) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
<pre>- (-1)*(p_sed_poc_sulf)</pre>	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using sulfate	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	(sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_h2s_oxno3_sul)*(0.4)	oxidation of hydrogen sulfide with nitrate (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_sed_pocn_resp)*(0.5)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	oxygen (respiration) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_sed_pocn_denit)*(5.8)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_sed_pocp_denit)*(84.8)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usin	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_sed_pocn_sulf)*(7.125)	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 usin	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_sed_pocp_sulf)*(106)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usin	
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_doc_denit)*(0.8)	recycling of DOC using nitrate (denitrification)	
	(consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_doc_sulf)	Mineralization of DOC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate	
	reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_dop_denit)*(84.8)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)	
	(consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_dop_sulf)*(106)	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate	
	reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- (-1)*(p_don_resp)*(0.5)	respiration of DON (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_don_denit)*(5.8)	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification) (consumes h3oplus)	
- (-1)*(p_don_sulf)*(7.125)	Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (consumes h3oplus)	
+ (2)*(p_pocp_resp)	respiration of POCP (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_pocp_denit)	recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification) (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_pocp_sulf)	Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_lpp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_spp_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_lip_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of limnic phytoplankton (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_cya_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_zoo_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	respiration of zooplankton (produces t_po4)	





Tracer equations, contin	nued from previous page
+ (2)*(p_det_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_det_denit_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification) (produces t_po4)
••	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_resp_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	using oxygen (respiration) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_denit_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	using nitrate (denitrification) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_sulf_nh4)*(rfr_p)	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	using sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_ips_liber_po4)	liberation of phosphate from the sediment under
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	anoxic conditions (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_pocp_resp)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usi
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	oxygen (respiration) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_pocp_denit) /(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usi nitrate (denitrification) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_sed_pocp_sulf)	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 usi
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density)	sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces t_po4)
+ (2)*(p_dop_resp)	respiration of DOP (produces t_po4)





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
+ (2)*(p_dop_denit)	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification) (produces t_po4)	
+ (2)*(p_dop_sulf)	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction) (produces t_po4)	
- (2)*(p_no3_assim_lpp)*(rfr_p)	assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_nh4_assim_lpp)*(rfr_p)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_no3_assim_spp)*(rfr_p)</pre>	assimilation of nitrate by small-cell phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_nh4_assim_spp)*(rfr_p)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by small-cell phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_nh4_assim_lip)*(rfr_p)</pre>	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_no3_assim_lip)*(rfr_p)</pre>	assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_n2_assim_cya)*(rfr_p)</pre>	fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_assim_lpp_dop)</pre>	Production of DOP by LPP (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_assim_spp_dop)</pre>	Production of DOP by SPP (consumes t_po4)	
<pre>- (2)*(p_assim_lip_dop)</pre>	Production of DOP by LIP (consumes t_po4)	
continued on next page		





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

- (2)*(p_po4_retent_ips)*(rfr_p) retention of phosphate in the sediment under oxic
/(cgt_cellheight*cgt_density) conditions (consumes t_po4)

Change of: sediment detritus $\frac{d}{dt} t_sed =$			
at	+ p_det_sedi_sed	detritus sedimentation	
	- p_sed_resp_nh4	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using oxygen (respiration)	
	- p_sed_denit_nh4	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using nitrate (denitrification)	
	- p_sed_sulf_nh4	recycling of sedimentary detritus to ammonium using sulfate (sulfate reduction)	
	- p_sed_ero_det	sedimentary detritus erosion	
	- p_sed_biores_det	bio resuspension of sedimentary detritus	
	- p_sed_burial	burial of detritus deeper than max_sed	
Change of: $\frac{d}{dt} t_{ips} =$	iron phosphate in sediment		
	+ (p_po4_retent_ips)*(rfr_p)	retention of phosphate in the sediment under oxic conditions	
	+ p_ipw_sedi_ips	sedimentation of iron PO4	
continued on next page			





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- p_ips_liber_po4	liberation of phosphate from the sediment under anoxic conditions	
- p_ips_ero_ipw	erosion of iron PO4	
- p_ips_biores_ipw	bio resuspension of iron PO4	
- p_ips_burial	burial of iron PO4	

Change of: limnic phytoplankton

$\frac{d}{dt}$ t_lip =		
	+ p_nh4_assim_lip	assimilation of ammonium by limnic phytoplankton
	+ p_no3_assim_lip	assimilation of nitrate by limnic phytoplankton
	- p_lip_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating limnic phytoplankton
	- p_lip_resp_nh4	respiration of limnic phytoplankton
	- p_lip_mort_det	mortality of limnic phytoplankton

Change of: dissolved organic carbon

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_doc} =$		
	+ p_assim_lpp_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
	+ p_assim_spp_doc	Production of DOC by SPP
	+ p_assim_lip_doc	Production of DOC by LPP
	+ p_assim_cya_doc	Production of DOC by CYA
continued on next page		





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- p_doc2pco	particle formation from DOC	
- p_doc_resp	respiration of DOC	
- p_doc_denit	recycling of DOC using nitrate (denitrification)	
- p_doc_sulf	Mineralization of DOC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate	
	reduction)	

Change of: phosphorus in dissolved organic carbon in Redfield ratio

$rac{d}{dt} \; \texttt{t_dop} =$		
	+ p_assim_lpp_dop	Production of DOP by LPP
	+ p_assim_spp_dop	Production of DOP by SPP
	+ p_assim_lip_dop	Production of DOP by LIP
	- p_dop2pocp	particle formation from DOP
	- p_dop_resp	respiration of DOP
	- p_dop_denit	recycling of DOP using nitrate (denitrification)
	- p_dop_sulf	Mineralization of DOP, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)

Change of: nitrogen in dissolved organic carbon in Redfield ratio

 $rac{d}{dt} \; \texttt{t_don} =$

+ p_nh4_assim_lpp_don	Production of DON by LPP
continued on next page	





Tracer equation	s, continued from previous page
+ p_no3_assim_lpp_don	Production of DON by LPP
+ p_nh4_assim_spp_don	Production of DON by SPP
+ p_no3_assim_spp_don	Production of DON by SPP
+ p_nh4_assim_lip_don	Production of DON by LIP
+ p_no3_assim_lip_don	Production of DON by LIP
+ (p_lpp_resp_nh4)* (don_fraction)	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton
+ (p_spp_resp_nh4)* (don_fraction)	respiration of small-cell phytoplankton
+ (p_lip_resp_nh4)* (don_fraction)	respiration of limnic phytoplankton
+ (p_cya_resp_nh4)* (don_fraction)	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria
+ (p_zoo_resp_nh4)* (don_fraction)	respiration of zooplankton
- p_don2pocn	particle formation from DON
- p_don_resp	respiration of DON
- p_don_denit	recycling of DON using nitrate (denitrification





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

- p_don_sulf

Mineralization of DON, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate reduction)

Change of: sediment particular carbon

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_sed_poc} =$	
+ p_poc_sedi_sed	poc sedimentation
- p_sed_poc_resp	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using oxygen (respiration)
- p_sed_poc_denit	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using nitrate (denitrification)
<pre>- p_sed_poc_sulf</pre>	recycling of sedimentary poc to dic using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
- p_sed_ero_poc	sedimentary poc erosion
<pre>- p_sed_biores_poc</pre>	bio resuspension of sedimentary poc
- p_poc_burial	burial of poc deeper than max_sed

Change of: sediment particular organic N+C

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_sed_pocn} =$			
	+ p_pocn_sedi_sed	pocn sedimentation	
	- p_sed_ero_pocn	sedimentary pocn erosion	
	- p_sed_biores_pocn	bio resuspension of sedimentary pocn	



_



Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- p_pocn_burial	burial of pocn deeper than max_sed	
- p_sed_pocn_resp	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using oxygen (respiration)	
- p_sed_pocn_denit	recycling of sedimentary pocn to dic and NH4 using nitrate (denitrification)	

Change of: sediment particular organic P+C

 $rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_sed_pocp} =$

+ p_pocp_sedi_sed	pocp sedimentation
- p_sed_ero_pocp	sedimentary pocp erosion
- p_sed_biores_pocp	bio resuspension of sedimentary pocp
- p_pocp_burial	burial of pocp deeper than max_sed
- p_sed_pocp_resp	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using oxygen (respiration)
- p_sed_pocp_denit	recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using nitrate (denitrification)

Change of: colored dissolved organic carbon

 $rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_cdom} =$

- p_cdom_decay

decay of cdom due to light

Change of: large-cell phytoplankton





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
$rac{d}{dt}$ t_lpp =		
+ p_no3_assim_lpp	assimilation of nitrate by large-cell phytoplankton	
+ p_nh4_assim_lpp	assimilation of ammonium by large-cell	
	phytoplankton	
- p_lpp_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating large-cell	
	phytoplankton	
- p_lpp_resp_nh4	respiration of large-cell phytoplankton	
- p_lpp_mort_det	mortality of large-cell phytoplankton	

Change of: suspended iron phosphate

 $\frac{d}{dt} t_iw =$ + p_ips_ero_ipw/(cgt_cellheight* erosion of iron PO4 cgt_density) bio resuspension of iron PO4 + p_ips_biores_ipw/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density) sedimentation of iron PO4 p_ipw_sedi_ips/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density) Change of: diazotroph cyanobacteria

 $\frac{d}{dt}$ t_cya =

+ p_n2_assim_cya fixation of dinitrogen by diazotroph cyanobacteria





Tracer equations, continued from previous page		
- p_cya_graz_zoo	grazing of zooplankton eating diazotroph cyanobacteria	
- p_cya_resp_nh4	respiration of diazotroph cyanobacteria	
- p_cya_mort_det	mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria	
- p_cya_mort_det_diff	mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria due to strong turbulence	

Change of: detritus

$rac{d}{dt} \texttt{t_det} =$		
	+ p_lpp_mort_det	mortality of large-cell phytoplankton
	+ p_spp_mort_det	mortality of small-scale phytoplankton
	+ p_lip_mort_det	mortality of limnic phytoplankton
	+ p_cya_mort_det	mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria
	+ p_cya_mort_det_diff	mortality of diazotroph cyanobacteria due to strong turbulence
	+ p_zoo_mort_det	mortality of zooplankton
	<pre>+ p_sed_ero_det/(cgt_cellheight* cgt_density)</pre>	sedimentary detritus erosion
	+	bio resuspension of sedimentary detritus
	p_sed_biores_det/(cgt_cellheight	3
	cgt_density)	





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

- p_det_resp_nh4	recycling of detritus using oxygen (respiration)
- p_det_denit_nh4	recycling of detritus using nitrate (denitrification)
- p_det_sulf_nh4	recycling of detritus using sulfate (sulfate reduction)
- p_det_sedi_sed/(cgt_cellheight*	detritus sedimentation
cgt_density)	

Change of: particulate organic carbon

```
\frac{d}{dt} t_poc =
            + p_sed_ero_poc/(cgt_cellheight* sedimentary poc erosion
            cgt_density)
            +
                                                 bio resuspension of sedimentary poc
            p_sed_biores_poc/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
                                                 particle formation from DOC
            + p_doc2pco
                                                 respiration of POC
            - p_poc_resp
                                                 recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)
            - p_poc_denit
            - p_poc_sulf
                                                 Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
                                                 reduction)
```





```
Tracer equations, continued from previous page
            _
                                                poc sedimentation
            p_poc_sedi_sed/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
Change of: phosphorus in particulate organic carbon in Redfield ratio
\frac{d}{dt} t_pocp =
            +
                                                sedimentary pocp erosion
            p_sed_ero_pocp/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
            +
                                                bio resuspension of sedimentary pocp
            p_sed_biores_pocp/(cgt_cellheight
            cgt_density)
                                                particle formation from DOP
            + p_dop2pocp
                                                respiration of POCP
            - p_pocp_resp
            - p_pocp_denit
                                                recycling of POC using nitrate (denitrification)
                                                Mineralization of POC, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
            - p_pocp_sulf
                                                reduction)
                                                pocp sedimentation
            p_pocp_sedi_sed/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
                                                recycling of sedimentary pocp to dic and PO4 using
            p_sed_pocp_sulf/(cgt_cellheight* sulfate (sulfate reduction)
            cgt_density)
```





Tracer equations, continued from previous page

```
Change of: nitrogen in particulate organic carbon in Redfield ratio
\frac{d}{dt} t_pocn =
                                                sedimentary pocn erosion
            +
           p_sed_ero_pocn/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
            +
                                                bio resuspension of sedimentary pocn
            p_sed_biores_pocn/(cgt_cellheight
            cgt_density)
                                                particle formation from DON
            + p_don2pocn
                                                respiration of POCN
            - p_pocn_resp
                                                recycling of POCN using nitrate (denitrification)
            - p_pocn_denit
                                                Mineralization of POCN, e-acceptor sulfate (sulfate
            - p_pocn_sulf
                                                reduction)
                                                pocn sedimentation
            p_pocn_sedi_sed/(cgt_cellheight*
            cgt_density)
                                                recycling of sedimentary poch to dic and NH4 using
            p_sed_pocn_sulf/(cgt_cellheight* sulfate (sulfate reduction)
            cgt_density)
```

end of table ${\bf Tracer}\ {\bf equations}$



475



Author contributions. TN, HR, BC, and MS developed and implemented the model. TN performed the model simulations.470 All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. Computational power was provided by the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN). Financial support by the BONUS INTEGRAL 363 project (Grant No. 03F0773A) is gratefully acknowledged. We wish to thank Bernd Schneider, and Henry Bitting for many advises and discussion. Gregor Rehder very much helped improving the manuscript with many suggestions and careful revision.





References

- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Landa, C. S., Metzl, N., O'Brien, K. M., Olsen, A., Smith, K., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Jones,
 S. D., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Schuster, U., Steinhoff, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C., Wanninkhof,
 R., Alin, S. R., Balestrini, C. F., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Bianchi, A. A., Bonou, F., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Burger, E. F.,
- 480 Cai, W.-J., Castle, R. D., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., Evans, W., Featherstone, C., Feely, R. A., Fransson, A., Goyet, C., Greenwood, N., Gregor, L., Hankin, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Harlay, J., Hauck, J., Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M. P., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ibánhez, J. S. P., Johannessen, T., Keeling, R., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Kozyr, A., Krasakopoulou, E., Kuwata, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Manke, A., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Millero, F. J., Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Paterson,
- K., Pearce, D., Pierrot, D., Robbins, L. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schweitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Sullivan, K. F., Sutherland, S. C., Sutton, A. J., Tadokoro, K., Telszewski, M., Tuma, M., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Ward, B., Watson, A. J., and Xu, S.: A multi-decade record of high-quality fCO₂ data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT), Earth System Science Data, 8, 383–413, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-383-2016, 2016.
- 490 Carlson, C. A. and Hansell, D. A.: Chapter 3 DOM Sources, Sinks, Reactivity, and Budgets, in: Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter, edited by Carlson, D. A. and Hansell, C. A., pp. 65 – 126, Academic Press, Boston, Second edn., https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-405940-5.00003-0, 2015.
- Carlson, C. A., Ducklow, H. W., Hansell, D. A., and Smith Jr., W. O.: Organic carbon partitioning during spring phytoplankton blooms in the Ross Sea polynya and the Sargasso Sea, Limnology and Oceanography, 43, 375–386, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.3.0375, 1998.
 - Chien, C.-T., Pahlow, M., Schartau, M., and Oschlies, A.: Optimality-based non-Redfield plankton–ecosystem model (OPEM v1.1) in UVic-ESCM 2.9 Part 2: Sensitivity analysis and model calibration, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 4691–4712, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4691-2020, 2020.
- Droop, M. R.: SOME THOUGHTS ON NUTRIENT LIMITATION IN ALGAE1, Journal of Phycology, 9, 264–272, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1973.tb04092.x, 1973.
- Eggert, A. and Schneider, B.: A nitrogen source in spring in the surface mixed-layer of the Baltic Sea: Evidence from total nitrogen and total phosphorus data, Journal of Marine Systems, 148, 39–47, https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.01.005, 2015.

Engel, A.: Direct relationship between CO2 uptake and transparent exopolymer particles production in natural phytoplankton,

505 Journal of Plankton Research, 24, 49–53, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/24.1.49, 2002.

Engel, A., Thoms, S., Riebesell, U., Rochelle-Newall, E., and Zondervan, I.: Polysaccharide aggregation as a potential sink of marine dissolved organic carbon, Nature, 428, 929–932, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02453, 2004.

Eppley, R. W.: Temperature and phytoplankton growth, Fish. Bull., 70, 1063–1085, 1972.

Fransner, F., Gustafsson, E., Tedesco, L., Vichi, M., Hordoir, R., Roquet, F., Spilling, K., Kuznetsov, I., Eilola, K., Mörth, C.M., Humborg, C., and Nycander, J.: Non-Redfieldian Dynamics Explain Seasonal pCO2 Drawdown in the Gulf of Bothnia, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123, 166–188, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013019, 2018.



525



Geyer, B. and Rockel, B.: coastDat-2 COSMO-CLM Atmospheric Reconstruction [data set], https://doi.org/10.1594/WDCC/coastDat-2_COSMO-CLM, 2013.

Griffies, S. M.: Fundamentals of Ocean Climate Models, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2004.

- 515 Gustafsson, E., Deutsch, B., Gustafsson, B., Humborg, C., and Mörth, C.-M.: Carbon cycling in the Baltic Sea ? The fate of allochthonous organic carbon and its impact on air-sea CO2 exchange, Journal of Marine Systems, 129, 289–302, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.07.005, 2014a.
- Gustafsson, E., Wällstedt, T., Humborg, C., Mörth, C.-M., and Gustafsson, B. G.: External total alkalinity loads versus internal generation: The influence of nonriverine alkalinity sources in the Baltic Sea, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 28, 1358–1370, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004888, 2014b.
 - Gustafsson, E., Savchuk, O. P., Gustafsson, B. G., and Müller-Karulis, B.: Key processes in the coupled carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycling of the Baltic Sea, Biogeochemistry, 134, 301–317, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-017-0361-6, 2017.

Gustafsson, E., Hagens, M., Sun, X., Reed, D. C., Humborg, C., Slomp, C. P., and Gustafsson, B. G.: Sedimentary alkalinity generation and long-term alkalinity development in the Baltic Sea, Biogeosciences, 16, 437–456, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-437-2019, 2019.

- Hansell, D. A., Carlson, C. A., Repeta, D. J., and Schlitzer, R.: Dissolved Organic Matter in the Ocean: A Controversy Stimulates New Insights, Oceanography, 22, 202–211, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2009.109, 2009.
- HELCOM: Sources and pathways of nutrients to the Baltic Sea., Balt. Sea Environ. Proc. No. 153, 2018.
- Hjalmarsson, S., Wesslander, K., Anderson, L. G., Omstedt, A., Perttilä, M., and Mintrop, L.: Distribution, long-term development and mass balance calculation of total alkalinity in the Baltic Sea, Continental Shelf Research, 28, 593–601, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2007.11.010, 2008.
 - Ho, T.-Y., Quigg, A., Finkel, Z. V., Milligan, A. J., Wyman, K., Falkowski, P. G., and Morel, F. M. M.: The Elemental Composition of Some Marine Phytoplankton, Journal of Phycology, 39, 1145–1159, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.2003.03-090.x, 2003.
- 535 Kriest, I., Oschlies, A., and Khatiwala, S.: Sensitivity analysis of simple global marine biogeochemical models, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004072, 2012.
 - Kuznetsov, I., Neumann, T., Schneider, B., and Yakushev, E.: Processes regulating pCO(2) in the surface waters of the central eastern Gotland Sea: a model study, OCEANOLOGIA, 53, 745–770, https://doi.org/10.5697/oc.53-3.745, 2011.
- Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research: ERGOM: Ecological ReGional Ocean Model, https://ergom.net/, last access: 10 540 March 2022, 2015.
- Leipe, T., Tauber, F., Vallius, H., Virtasalo, J., Uścinowicz, S., Kowalski, N., Hille, S., Lindgren, S., and Myllyvirta, T.: Particulate organic carbon (POC) in surface sediments of the Baltic Sea, Geo-Marine Letters, 31, 175–188, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-010-0223-x, 2010.
 - Lips, I. and Lips, U.: The Importance of Mesodinium rubrum at Post-Spring Bloom Nutrient and Phytoplankton Dynamics
- in the Vertically Stratified Baltic Sea, Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00407, 2017.
 Macias, D., Huertas, I. E., Garcia-Gorriz, E., and Stips, A.: Non-Redfieldian dynamics driven by phytoplankton phosphate frugality explain nutrient and chlorophyll patterns in model simulations for the Mediterranean Sea, Progress in Oceanography, 173, 37–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.02.005, 2019.



2022.

570



Martin, J. H., Knauer, G. A., Karl, D. M., and Broenkow, W. W.: VERTEX: carbon cycling in the northeast Pacific, Deep
Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 34, 267–285, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90086-0, 1987.
Martiny, A. C., Talarmin, A., Mouginot, C., Lee, J. A., Huang, J. S., Gellene, A. G., and Caron, D. A.: Biogeochemical interactions control a temporal succession in the elemental composition of marine communities, Limnology and Oceanography,

61, 531–542, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10233, 2016.

Monod, J.: The growth of bacterial cultures, Ann. Rev. Microbiol., 3, 371–394, 1949.

- 555 Müller, J. D., Schneider, B., and Rehder, G.: Long-term alkalinity trends in the Baltic Sea and their implications for CO2induced acidification, Limnology and Oceanography, 61, 1984–2002, https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1002/lno.10349, 2016.
 - Neumann, T.: ERGOM 1.2 model hindcast 1948-2019 [data set], https://thredds-iow.io-warnemuende.de/thredds/catalogs/projects/integral/catalog_pocNP_V04R25_3nm_agg_time.html, last access: 10 March 2022, 2021.

Neumann, T.: Model code and boundary data for "Non-Redfield carbon model for the Baltic Sea (ERGOM version 1.2) –
Implementation and Budget estimates" paper [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6560174, last access: 19 May 2022,

- Neumann, T., Fennel, W., and Kremp, C.: Experimental Simulations with an Ecosystem Model of the Baltic Sea: A Nutrient Load Reduction Experiment, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16, doi:10.1029/2001GB001450, 2002.
- Neumann, T., Koponen, S., Attila, J., Brockmann, C., Kallio, K., Kervinen, M., Mazeran, C., Müller, D., Philipson, P., Thulin,
 S., Väkevä, S., and Ylöstalo, P.: Optical model for the Baltic Sea with an explicit CDOM state variable: a case study with Model ERGOM (version 1.2), Geoscientific Model Development, 14, 5049–5062, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-5049-2021, 2021.
 - Omstedt, A., Humborg, C., Pempkowiak, J., Perttilä, M., ad Bernd Schneider, A. R., and Smith, B.: Biogeochemical Control of the Coupled CO2?O2 System of the Baltic Sea: A Review of the Results of Baltic-C, Ambio, 43, 49–59, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-013-0485-4, 2014.
 - Pahlow, M., Chien, C.-T., Arteaga, L. A., and Oschlies, A.: Optimality-based non-Redfield plankton–ecosystem model (OPEM v1.1) in UVic-ESCM 2.9 Part 1: Implementation and model behaviour, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 4663–4690, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4663-2020, 2020.
- Pfeil, B., Olsen, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Hankin, S., Koyuk, H., Kozyr, A., Malczyk, J., Manke, A., Metzl, N., Sabine, C. L.,
 Akl, J., Alin, S. R., Bates, N., Bellerby, R. G. J., Borges, A., Boutin, J., Brown, P. J., Cai, W.-J., Chavez, F. P., Chen,
 A., Cosca, C., Fassbender, A. J., Feely, R. A., González-Dávila, M., Goyet, C., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N., Heinze,
 C., Hood, M., Hoppema, M., Hunt, C. W., Hydes, D., Ishii, M., Johannessen, T., Jones, S. D., Key, R. M., Körtzinger,
 A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lourantou, A., Merlivat, L., Midorikawa, T., Mintrop, L.,
 Miyazaki, C., Murata, A., Nakadate, A., Nakano, Y., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Omar, A. M., Padin, X. A., Park, G.-H.,
- 580 Paterson, K., Perez, F. F., Pierrot, D., Poisson, A., Ríos, A. F., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schuster, U., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Suzuki, T., Takahashi, T., Tedesco, K., Telszewski, M., Thomas, H., Tilbrook, B., Tjiputra, J., Vandemark, D., Veness, T., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Weiss, R., Wong, C. S., and Yoshikawa-Inoue, H.: A uniform, quality controlled Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT), Earth System Science Data, 5, 125–143, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-125-2013, 2013.
- 585 Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, B. H.: The influence of organisms on the composition of sea water, in: The Sea, edited by Hill, L., vol. 2, pp. 26 – 77, Interscience, New York, 1963.



590



- Rehder, G., Müller, J., Bittig, H., Kahru, M., Kaitala, S., Schneider, B., Siiriä, S.-M., Tuomi, L., and Wasmund, N.: Extreme productivity patterns during the spring bloom 2018 in the central Baltic Sea suggest vertical nutrient shuttling: Unforeseen surprises for the fight against eutrophication in a warming world?, in: All abstracts for the ICOS Science Conference 2020, ICOS Integrated Carbon Observation System, https://www.icos-cp.eu/sc2020/abstracts#143, 2020.
- Schneider, B. and Müller, J. D.: Surface Water Biogeochemistry as Derived from pCO2 Observations, pp. 49–92, Springer International Publishing, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61699-5 5, 2018.
- Schneider, B., Kaitala, S., Raateoja, M., and Sadkowiak, B.: A nitrogen fixation estimate for the Baltic Sea based on continuous pCO2 measurements on a cargo ship and total nitrogen data, Continental Shelf Research, 29, 1535–1540, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2009.04.001, 2009.
 - Seifert, T., Tauber, F., and Kayser, B.: Digital topography of the Baltic Sea [data set], https://www.io-warnemuende.de/ topography-of-the-baltic-sea.html, last access: 27 July 2020, 2008.

Sharoni, S. and Halevy, I.: Nutrient ratios in marine particulate organic matter are predicted by the population structure of well-adapted phytoplankton, Science Advances, 6, eaaw9371, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw9371, 2020.

600 Steele, J. H.: The structure of marine ecosystems, Cambridge Havard University Press, 1974.

Szymczycha, B., Maciejewska, A., Winogradow, A., and Pempkowiak, J.: Could submarine groundwater discharge be a significant carbon source to the southern Baltic Sea?, Oceanologia, 56, 327–347, https://doi.org/10.5697/oc.56-2.327, 2014.

- Wetz, M. S. and Wheeler, P. A.: Release of dissolved organic matter by coastal diatoms, Limnology and Oceanography, 52, 798–807, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.2.0798, 2007.
- 605 Winton, M.: A Reformulated Three-Layer Sea Ice Model, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 17, 525–531, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2000)017<0525:ARTLSI>2.0.CO;2, 2000.