1	Modeling demographic-driven vegetation dynamics and ecosystem biogeochemical cycling
2	in NASA GISS's Earth system model (ModelE-BiomeE v.1.0)
3	
4	Ensheng Weng ^{1,2} , Igor Aleinov ^{1,2} , Ram Singh ^{1,2} , Michael J. Puma ^{1,2} , Sonali S. McDermid ³ ,
5	Nancy Y. Kiang ² , Maxwell Kelley ² , Kevin Wilcox ⁴ , Ray Dybzinski ⁵ , Caroline E. Farrior ⁶ ,
6	Stephen W. Pacala ⁷ , Benjamin I. Cook ²
7	
8	¹ Center for Climate Systems Research, Columbia University, New York, NY 10025, USA
9	² NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025, USA
10	³ Department of Environmental Studies, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA
11	⁴ Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY
12	82071, USA
13	⁵ Institute of Environmental Sustainability, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA
14	⁶ Department of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA
15	⁷ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544,
16	USA
17	
18	Corresponding author: Ensheng Weng (wengensheng@gmail.com; phone: 212-678-5585)
19	
20	Submitted to Geoscientific Model Development
21	

23	Abstract: We developed a new demographic vegetation model, BiomeE, to improve the	
24	representation of vegetation demographic dynamics and ecosystem biogeochemical cycles in the	
25	NASA Goddard Institute of Space Studies' ModelE Earth system model. This model includes the	
26	processes of plant growth, mortality, reproduction, vegetation structural dynamics, and soil	
27	carbon and nitrogen storage and transformations. The model combines the plant physiological	
28	processes of ModelE's original vegetation model, Ent, with minor adaptations to fit the new	
29	allometry and vegetation structure with the plant demographic and ecosystem nitrogen processes	
30	represented in the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory's LM3-PPA. For global applications,	Deleted: from
31	we added a new set of plant functional types to represent global vegetation functional diversity,	
32	including trees, shrubs, and grasses, and a new phenology model to deal with seasonal changes in	
33	temperature and soil water availability. Competition for light and soil resources is individual_	
34	based, which makes the modeling of transient compositional changes and vegetation succession	Deleted:
35	possible. BiomeE will allow ModelE to simulate long-term biogeophysical and biogeochemical	
36	feedbacks between the climate system and land ecosystems. BiomeE simulates, with fidelity	
37	comparable to other models, the dynamics of vegetation and soil biogeochemistry, including leaf	
38	area index, vegetation structure (e.g., height, tree density, size distribution, crown organization),	
39	and ecosystem carbon and nitrogen storage and fluxes. Further, BiomeE also allows for the	
40	simulations of transient vegetation dynamics and eco-evolutionary optimal community	
41	assemblage in response to past and future climate changes by incorporating core ecological	
42	processes, including demography, competition, and community assembly.	
43	Key words: Biogeochemical cycles, Eco-evolutionary optimality, Ecosystem modeling, Plant	
44	traits, Vegetation dynamics	

47 1 Introduction

48	Terrestrial ecosystems play a critical role in climate systems by regulating exchanges of energy,	Deleted: the
49	moisture, and carbon dioxide between the land surface and the atmosphere (Sellers, 1997; Pielke	
50	et al., 1998; Meir et al., 2006). In turn, climate change has significantly affected vegetation	
51	photosynthesis, water use efficiency, mortality, regeneration, and structure through gradual	
52	changes in temperature and atmospheric CO2 concentration ([CO2]) together with shifts in	
53	climate extremes (Keenan et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015; Brando et al., 2019; McDowell et al.,	
54	2020). These responses have triggered structural and compositional shifts in global vegetation.	Deleted: vegetation
55	For example, global forest mortality has increased in recent years (Allen et al., 2010; Anderegg	
56	et al., 2012), tree sizes have decreased (Zhou et al., 2014; McDowell et al., 2020), and species	
57	composition has shifted to more opportunistic species (Clark et al., 2016; Brodribb et al., 2020).	
58	The shifts in vegetation function, composition, and structure can change the boundary conditions	
59	of the land surface and affect the climate system (Nobre et al., 1991; Avissar and Werth, 2005;	
60	Garcia et al., 2016; Green et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2017). Realistic simulation of these processes	
61	is therefore critical for Earth system models (ESMs).	
62	The vegetation dynamics in ESMs are usually simulated using dynamic global vegetation	
63	models (DGVMs) (Prentice et al., 2007), most of which are simplified in their representation of	
64	ecological processes. The core assumptions of many vegetation models are a big-leaf canopy,	
65	vegetation represented by only a few plant functional types (PFTs), single cohort-based	
66	vegetation dynamics ("single-cohort" assumption, where the vegetation community at a land unit	
67	are simulated as a collection of identical plants), lumped-pool-based biogeochemical cycles and	
68	first order decay of soil organic matter. The competition of plant individuals and vegetation types	
69	is approximately simulated as a function of productivity or Lotka-Volterra equations to predict	

72	fractional PFT coverage (e.g., SDVGM, HYBRID, TRIFFID) (Friend et al., 1997; Woodward et
73	al., 1998; Sitch et al., 2003). These simplifying assumptions make it possible to simulate the
74	complex interactions of biological and ecological processes at the global scale.
75	These models are generally successful in reproducing land surface carbon, energy, and
76	water fluxes after extensive tuning against data from sites, observational networks, and satellite
77	remote sensing. However, the uncertainty of model predictions is high, and predictions can
78	diverge substantially across different models (Friedlingstein et al., 2014; Arora et al., 2020).
79	Lack of functional diversity and community assembly processes is one of the key issues in the
80	vegetation modeling of ESMs, which makes the models unable to predict transient dynamics of
81	vegetation composition and structure. A more mechanistic design that uses the fundamental
82	principles of ecology to simulate the emergent properties of ecosystems for predicting ecosystem
0.7	
83	dynamics may therefore be necessary (Scheiter et al., 2013; Weng et al., 2017).
83 84	dynamics may therefore be necessary (Scheiter et al., 2013; Weng et al., 2017). To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient
84	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient
84 85	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances
84 85 86	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances have been made in ecological vegetation modeling: 1) Demographic processes and trait-based
84 85 86 87	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances have been made in ecological vegetation modeling: 1) Demographic processes and trait-based representation of processes have been developed to improve the representation of functional
84 85 86 87 88	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances have been made in ecological vegetation modeling: 1) Demographic processes and trait-based representation of processes have been developed to improve the representation of functional diversity and size (Pavlick et al., 2013; Fisher et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Argles et al., 2020)
84 85 86 87 88 89	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances have been made in ecological vegetation modeling: 1) Demographic processes and trait-based representation of processes have been developed to improve the representation of functional diversity and size (Pavlick et al., 2013; Fisher et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Argles et al., 2020) and 2) eco-evolutionary optimal and game theoretical approaches have been proposed to predict
84 85 86 87 88 89 90	To this end, extensive efforts have been made to improve the representation of transient vegetation dynamics based on ecological theories and conceptual models. Two pivotal advances have been made in ecological vegetation modeling: 1) Demographic processes and trait-based representation of processes have been developed to improve the representation of functional diversity and size (Pavlick et al., 2013; Fisher et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Argles et al., 2020) and 2) eco-evolutionary optimal and game theoretical approaches have been proposed to predict the flexibility of parameters and processes (McNickle et al., 2016; Weng et al., 2017). These

94	and evolutionary principles into ESMs have been summarized in several recent review papers		
95	(Franklin et al., 2020; Harrison et al., 2021; Kyker-Snowman et al., 2022).		
96	There are still major challenges to integrating the more sophisticated ecological modeling		Deleted: se
	J 6 6 6 .		
97	approaches into land models, which explicitly simulate energy, water, and carbon fluxes at high	~	Deleted: the complex
00		$\langle \nabla \rangle$	Deleted: of ESMs
98	frequency time steps for interacting with the atmosphere and climate systems. The details of	())	Deleted: where the
99	vegetation dynamics, including leaf photosynthesis, respiration, plant growth, demographic	\setminus	Deleted: ions
		(/)	Deleted: of
100	processes, community assembly, vegetation structure, and competition output, must be well-	$\left(\right)$	Deleted: ies are required
		$\left \right\rangle$	Deleted: the key functions from
101	organized hierarchically and computed efficiently (Fisher and Koven, 2020; Franklin et al.,)	Deleted: biogeochemical fluxes between pools
102	2020). Representing these processes in ESMs, however, can complicate model structure and		
103	behavior, especially the interaction between physiology and vegetation composition, and cause		Deleted: for
104	large increases in the computational burden. Thus, the implementation of detailed vegetation		
105	demographic processes and population dynamics into ESMs would benefit from more		Deleted: size categories
106	parsimonious approaches.		
107	Including highly complex processes does not necessarily increase model predictive skills		
108	(Forster, 2017; Hourdin et al., 2017; Famiglietti et al., 2021). On the contrary, it may greatly		
109	obscure model transparency and increase uncertainty, positive feedbacks in these processes may		Deleted: , and
110	result in large and unanticipated shifts of vegetation states. Any small differences in model		
111	settings or parameters can result in distinct predictions, especially for vegetation structure, which		Deleted: even
111	settings of parameters can result in distinct predictions, especially tor vegetation surreuter, when	\leq	Deleted: differences
112	is supposed to be predicted by these types of models. These processes make demographic		Deleted: in
113	vegetation models often unreliable when compared to the well-tuned "single-cohort" vegetation		
114	models that simplify the reproduction and mortality as growth and turnover of continuous		
115	biomass pools. Additionally, the long history of land models and the requirements of backward		
116	compatibility (i.e., reversing the model to its previous versions) mean developers must often		Deleted: functions

133	build their new functions on top of previous modeling assumptions and coding structure (Fisher
134	and Koven, 2020), adding up to multiple adjustments of previous processes and making the
135	model untraceable.
136	To explicitly model the transient dynamics of ecosystems in ESMs while preserving model

137 traceability, we need clear assumptions, detailed physical processes, and traceable model 138 structure. For the best chance of accurate predictions outside of the model's testing data, model 139 processes should be based on the fundamental biological and ecological principles to predict 140 ecosystem emergent properties, instead of fitting the emergent patterns directly as many models 141 do currently. To achieve this, we need to properly represent the tradeoffs of plant traits, balance 142 the complexity of the model structure and priority for the processes that are required by the 143 general circulation models (GCM), and also make model assumptions transparent and processes 144 robust. These requirements make it difficult to fully implement the modeling approaches that are 145 well-developed in the ecological modeling community (e.g., Falster et al., 2016; Berzaghi et al., 146 2019; Weiskopf et al., 2022).

147 This paper describes a vegetation demographic and soil organic decomposition model that 148 is incorporated into the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) Earth system model, 149 ModelE (Kelley et al., 2020). Our goal is to develop a parsimonious and transparent terrestrial 150 ecosystem model that 1) allows ModelE to simulate the ecological dynamics of terrestrial 151 ecosystems and vegetation at the global scale and 2) sets up a modeling framework for solving 152 some of the major challenges for incorporating important ecological mechanisms into ESMs. For 153 (1), we have incorporated the core ecosystem processes, including plant growth, demography, community assembly, and ecosystem carbon and nitrogen cycles. For (2), we have defined a set 154 155 of PFTs that are plant trait-based and a competition scheme that is individual-based. In this

Deleted: developed

157 paper, we describe this model in detail, and evaluate its performance compared to both

158 observations and other state-of-the-art DGVMs.

159

160 2 Model Description

161 2.1 GISS ModelE and BiomeE overview

162 ModelE has a land model for representing land surface hydrology (TerraE) (Rosenzweig and 163 Abramopoulos, 1997; Schmidt et al., 2014) and a vegetation biophysics scheme (from the Ent 164 Terrestrial Biosphere Model; TBM) (Kim et al., 2015; Ito et al., 2020; Kelley et al., 2020), with 165 fixed vegetation traits (e.g., leaf mass per area, C:N ratio), fixed biomass, canopy height, and 166 plant density, and seasonal leaf area index prescribed from a satellite-derived data set (Ito et al., 167 2020). The Ent TBM calculates canopy radiative transfer (Friend & Kiang 2005), canopy albedo, 168 canopy conductance, photosynthesis, autotrophic respiration, and phenological behaviors (Kim et 169 al., 2015). The carbon allocation scheme of Kim et al. (2015) is used in ModelE with prescribed 170 canopy structure and leaf area index (LAI), routing the carbon that would otherwise be allocated 171 to plant tissues via growth instead directly as litter into soil carbon pools, thus conserving carbon 172 for fully coupled carbon cycle simulations, but resulting possibly in imbalanced plant carbon 173 reserve pools where the prescribed canopy structure is not in equilibrium with the simulated 174 climate (Ito et al., 2020). 175 The Biome Ecological strategy simulator (BiomeE) is derived from Geophysical Fluid Dynamics 176 Laboratory's vegetation model, LM3-PPA (Weng et al., 2015, 2017, 2019). It simulates plant 177 physiology, vegetation demography, adaptive dynamics (eco-evolutionary adaptation), and 178 ecosystem carbon, nitrogen, and water cycles (Figure 1). In this model, the PFTs are defined by 179 a set of combined plant traits with their values sampled from the observed ranges to represent a

Deleted: some

Deleted: of leaf biophysics

Deleted: Fig.

specific plant type. Individual plants are categorized into cohorts and arranged in different
vertical canopy layers according to their height and crown area following the rules of the Perfect
Plasticity Approximation model (PPA, Strigul et al., 2008). Sunlight is partitioned into canopy
crown layers according to Beer's law_(Beer, 1852; Swinehart, 1962). The cohort is the basic unit
to carry out physiological and demographic activities, e.g., photosynthesis, respiration, growth,
reproduction, mortality, and competition with other individuals.



189 190

Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the coupling of BiomeE into ModelE

Panel A shows the structure of carbon and nitrogen pools and fluxes, and the interactions of
BiomeE with TerraE, the land surface model in ModelE. The lines are the flows of carbon
(green), nitrogen (brown), and coupled carbon and nitrogen (black). The green box is for carbon
only. The brown boxes are N pools. The black boxes are for both carbon and nitrogen pools. The
C:N ratios of leaves, wood, fine roots, and microbes are fixed and those of liters and SOM pools
are dynamic with input and output. Panel b shows the demographic processes of BiomeE and the
key processes of population dynamics.

198

199 The demographic processes generate and remove cohorts and change the size and density

200 of plant individuals in the cohorts. With explicit description of cohort size, organization, and

composition during a model run, the model simulates competition for light and soil resources,
community assembly and vegetation structural dynamics. These processes are hierarchically
organized in this model and run at various time steps: half-hourly or hourly for plant physiology
and soil organic matter decomposition, daily for growth and phenology, and yearly for
demography.

206 We coupled the BiomeE model into ModelE's land model for simulating global dynamics Deleted: standalone 207 of vegetation and biogeochemical cycles and their feedback to the climate system, For extending Deleted: 208 this model to the global scale, we designed a new set of PFTs to represent the functional 209 diversity of global vegetation and a new phenological scheme to deal with temperature and water Deleted: seasonality. Leaf photosynthesis processes are taken from ModelE's existing vegetation model, 210 211 Ent (Kim et al., 2015), and used to calculate the carbon budget that drives vegetation dynamics. 212 Plant growth and demographic processes and the soil organic matter decomposition and nitrogen 213 cycle processes are from BiomeE (Figure 1). The land surface energy and water fluxes are Deleted: Fig. 214 calculated by TerraE with land surface characteristics jointly defined by the vegetation model. 215 Plant functional types 216 In this model, we use a set of continuous plant traits to define plant functional types, so that we 217 can simulate plant emergent properties (such as dominant plant types, vegetation compositional 218 changes, etc.) in response to climate changes based on the underlying plant physiological 219 properties and ecological principles through eco-evolutionary modeling in the future. For 220 example, life forms are defined by the continuums characterized by wood density (woody vs. 221 herbaceous), height growth coefficient (tree vs. shrub), and leaf mass per unit area (LMA, for 222 evergreen vs. deciduous). Deciduousness is defined by cold resistance (evergreen vs. cold 223 deciduous), and drought resistance (evergreen vs. drought deciduous). Grasses are simulated as 9

228 tree seedlings with all stems senescent along with leaves at the end of a growing season. The

229 individuals are reset back to their initial sizes each year and the population density is also reset

230 by conserving current total biomass, The photosynthesis pathway is predefined as C₃ or C₄.

Plant functional types	V _{cmax}	LMA	<u>L_{max,0}</u>	$\rho_{\rm W}$	$\alpha_{\rm Z}$	$T_{\theta,c}$	$\beta_{0,D}$	PS		
		(kg C m ⁻²)		(kg C m ⁻³)				pathway	7	
1. Tropical evergreen	18	0.07	<u>4.8</u>	360	30	15	0	C3	•	Formatted: Right: 0"
broadleaf										
2. Temperate/boreal	18	0.14	<u>4.8</u>	300	30	-80	0	C_3	-	Formatted: Right: 0"
evergreen needleleaf										
3. Temperate/boreal	22	0.025	<u>4.5</u>	350	30	15	0	C_3	-	Formatted: Right: 0"
deciduous broadleaf										
4. Tropical drought	20	0.03	4.5	250	30	15	0.2	C_3		Formatted: Right: 0"
deciduous broadleaf										
5. Boreal deciduous	20	0.03	4.0	300	30	15	0.0	C_3		Formatted: Right: 0"
needleleaf										
6. Cold shrub	18	0.025	3.0	360	20	15	0.1	C_3		Formatted: Right: 0"
7. Arid shrub	18	0.03	3.0	360	20	15	0.1	C_3		Formatted: Right: 0"
8. C3 grass	20	0.025	2.5	90*	10	5	0.2	C_3	-	Formatted: Right: 0"
8. C3 grass 9. C4 grass	20 15	0.025 0.025		90* 90*	10 10	5	0.2 0.2		•	Formatted: Right: 0" Formatted: Right: 0"

Deleted: using the

Deleted: of current cohort and predefined initial size of

232 V_{cmax}: leaf maximum carboxylation rate, LMA: leaf mass per unit area, Lmax, 0: is crown

233 <u>maximum leaf area index</u>, ρ_W : wood density, α_Z : Height coefficient, $T_{\theta,c}$: Critical temperature for

234 phenology offset, $\beta_{0,D}$: critical soil moisture index for the offset of phenology, PS:

235 photosynthesis pathway, E: evergreen, C: cold-deciduous, D: drought-deciduous. *Grass stem

density is calculated as tissue biomass divided by stem volume. The tissue density of grass'sstems is as high as wood.

238

239 We defined 9 PFTs for our test runs in this paper to roughly represent global vegetation

240 functional diversity (Table 1) according to their life form (tree, shrub, and grass), photosynthesis

241 (C₃ and C₄), and leaf phenology (evergreen and deciduous). <u>Crop PFTs were not included</u>

because the purpose of this paper is to describe the baseline processes of natural vegetation and

243 <u>soil biogeochemical cycle.</u> These PFTs have the same physiological and demographical

244 processes with different parameters (except C3 and C4 photosynthesis pathways) representing

248 varied strategies in different environments. Thus, for eco-evolutionary and ecological community

249 assembly simulations, one PFT can switch to another by changing its parameters for searching

250 competitively optimal plant traits in different environments.

251 Phenology

252	The phenology types are defined by two parameters, a critical low temperature and a critical soil Deleted: P
253	moisture index, that are used to trigger leaf fall. These two parameters define 4 phenological
254	types with their possible factorial combinations: evergreen, drought-deciduous, cold-deciduous,
255	and drought-cold-deciduous. Evergreen PFTs have high resistances to cold (i.e., very low critical
256	temperature) and drought (very low soil drought). Cold and drought deciduous PFTs have low
257	critical temperature and soil drought index, respectively. These phenological types represent
258	different strategies of dealing with environmental stresses and pressure of competition. It is
259	possible that the evergreen would be more competitive in high seasonality regions (e.g.,
260	evergreen in boreal regions), though the first response of plants to harsh environments (e.g., cold
261	or dry) is to shed their leaves. Our definition of phenology is designed to <u>allow</u> to evaluate the Deleted : make it possible
262	competitively optimal strategy in future studies.
263	For the cold-deciduous PFTs (temperate/boreal deciduous broadleaf, and cold shrub), we Deleted: 3
264	used the growing degree days above 5 °C (<i>GDD</i> ₅) to trigger phenological onset and a critical low Deleted: 5 Deleted: control the timing of
265	temperature (T_m) for the offset. <i>GDD</i> ₅ is calculated from the days that temperature starts to Deleted: to control
266	increase from the coldest days in the non-growing season. The critical value of GDD that the
267	plants require for growth (GDDc) is defined as a function of chilling days in the non-growing
268	season (Prentice et al., 1992):

(1)

$$GDD_c = a_0 + d \cdot e^{-b \cdot N_{CD}},$$

275 where, $N_{\rm CD}$ is the days of the cold period in nongrowing season before bud burst, a_0 is the

276 minimum GDD_c (50) when the cold period is sufficiently long, d is the maximum addition of

277 GDD_c (800) when there is no cold period (i.e., $N_{CD}=0$), b is a shape coefficient (0.025). These

278 parameters are tunable and should change with acclimation to new climates.

279 The running mean temperature that represents the mean temperatures over a short period of Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.38"
 280 time is calculated as:

(3)

$$\begin{cases} T_m(i) = T_d(i), & \text{when } i = 1 \\ T_m(i) = 0.8T_m(i-1) + 0.2T_d(i), & \text{when } i \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
(2)

281The critical temperature of triggering leaf senescence (T_c) is calculated as a function of the282number of growing days (N_{GD}) .

 $T_{c} = T_{0,c} - s \cdot e^{-c \cdot (\max(0, N_{GD} - L0))},$

where, $T_{0,c}$ is the highest critical temperature when N_{GD} is sufficiently long, *s* is the range that a critical temperature can change, c is a shape parameter, *L0* defines the lowest critical temperature $(T_{0,c} - s)$ when N_{GD} is smaller than *L0*. The rationale in this equation is that when a growing period is not long enough, plants need a lower T_c to trigger leaf fall so that they can have a growing season that is not too short. This setting is based on the thermal adaptation analysis of Yuan *et al.* (2011).

289 For the drought deciduous PFTs (tropical drought deciduous broadleaf, arid shrub, C₄

290 grass), we used a soil moisture index (s_D) to start and end a growing season.

$$s_D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Min\left(1.0, max\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{WP,i}}{\theta_{HC,i} - \theta_{WP,i}}, 0.0\right)\right),\tag{4}$$

Deleted: We used an index of cold condition (accumulative low temperature, ALT) to make sure the low temperature signal is persistent and differentiates the signal of the seasonal temperature changes and the stochastic low temperature stresses in growing seasons.

Deleted: for

297	where <i>i</i> is the soil layer in root zone, θ is soil water content (vol./vol.), θ_{WP} is wilting point, and	
298	$ heta_{ m HC}$ is soil water holding capacity. The critical soil moisture values that trigger new leaf growth	
299	and leaf fall are defined as PFT-specific parameters. We slightly tuned these two parameters	
300	according to the soil moistures where the deciduous PFTs' leaves start to grow or fall. Usually,	
301	the critical soil moisture for starting new leaf growth is higher than the soil moisture levels that	
302	trigger leaf senescence so that the plants can have a stable growing season.	Deleted: fall
302 303	trigger leaf <u>senescence</u> so that the plants can have a stable growing season. Plant demography and biogeochemical cycles	Deleted: fall
		Deleted: fall

306 soil water and nutrients uptake. The allometry equations are the same as those used in LM3-PPA

307 (Farrior et al., 2013; Weng et al., 2015):

$$\begin{cases}
A_{C} = \alpha_{C} D^{\theta_{C}} \\
Z = \alpha_{Z} D^{\theta_{Z}} \\
S = 0.25 \pi \rho \Lambda \alpha_{H} D^{2+\theta_{H}} , \\
A_{FR}^{*} = l_{max} A_{C} \\
A_{FR}^{*} = \varphi_{RL} l_{max} A_{C}
\end{cases}$$
(5)

- 308 where D is tree diameter; $A_{\rm C}$ is crown area; Z is plant height; S is woody biomass (sapwood plus
- heartwood); $\alpha_{\rm C}$ and $\alpha_{\rm Z}$, are the scaling factors for crown area and plant height, respectively; $\theta_{\rm C}$
- 310 and θ_Z are the exponents for crown area and tree height, respectively; π is ratio of a circle's
- 311 circumference to its diameter; ρ is wood density (kg C m⁻³); Λ is the taper factor from a cylinder
- 312 to a tree with the same D; A_L^* and A_{FR}^* are the target surface area of leaves and fine roots,
- 313 respectively; φ_{RL} is the area ratio of leaves to roots. l_{max} is the maximum leaf area per unit crown
- 314 area, defined as a function of plant height (Z):

$$l_{max}(Z) = L_{max,0}(Z+h_0)/(Z+H_0),$$

316	where $L_{\max,0}$ is the maximum crown LAI when a tree is sufficiently tall, Z is tree height, h_0 is a
317	small number that makes a minimum l_{max} ($L_{max,0}$ (h_0/H_0)) when tree height is close to zero, and
210	

(6)

318 H_0 is a curvature parameter.

319 Plant growth and allocation of carbon and nitrogen to plant tissues

The allocation of <u>carbon to</u> wood, leaves, and roots is affected by climate and forest age (Litton
et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2019). However, vegetation models cannot capture these patterns well at

322 large spatial scales, even if the adaptive responses to climate and forest ages are considered (Xia

323 et al., 2019, 2017), partly because of the absence of explicit representation of shifts in species

324 composition and competition between individuals (Franklin et al., 2012; Dybzinski et al., 2015).

325 BiomeE has an optimal growth scheme that drives the allocation of carbon and nitrogen to

326 leaves, fine roots, and stems based on the optimal use of resources and light competition (Weng

327 et al., 2019). In this scheme, the growth of new leaves and fine roots follows the growth of

328 woody biomass (i.e., stems), and the area ratio of fine roots to leaves is kept constant during the

329 growing season. The allocation of available carbon between structural (e.g., stems) and

330 functional (e.g., leaves and fine roots) tissues is optimal for light competition at given nitrogen

331 availability.

332 Mathematically, differentiating the stem biomass allometry in Eq. 5 with respect to time, 333 using the fact that dS/dt equals the carbon allocated for wood growth (G_W), gives the diameter

334 growth equation:

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \frac{G_W}{0.25\pi\Lambda\rho_W\alpha_z(2+\theta_z)D^{1+\theta_z}} \tag{7}$$

Deleted: NPP

336 This equation transforms the carbon gain from photosynthesis to the diameter growth that results 337 from wood allocation and allometry (Eq 5). With an updated tree diameter, we can calculate the 338 new tree height and crown area using allometry equations, and the targets of leaf and fine root 339 biomass (Eq. 5). Generally, the growing-season average allocations of carbon and nitrogen to 340 different tissues are governed by two parameters: the maximum leaf area per unit crown area 341 (l_{max}) and fine root area per unit leaf area (φ_{RL}) (Eq. 5). The optimal-growth allocation scheme 342 combined with explicit competition for light and soil resources in our model makes it possible to 343 simulate the underlying processes that determine emergent allocation patterns (Dybzinski et al.,

344 2011; Farrior et al., 2013; Farrior, 2019; Weng et al., 2019).

345 Reproduction and Mortality

346 At a yearly time-step, the cumulative carbon and nitrogen allocated for reproduction by a canopy

347 cohort over the growing season length, *T*, is converted to seedlings according to the initial plant

biomass (S_0) and germination and establishment probabilities (p_g and p_e , respectively).

349 Generally, the population dynamics can be described by a variant of the von Foerster equation

350 (von Foerster, 1959):

$$N(S_{0},t) = \frac{p_{g}p_{e}}{S_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} N(\tau)G_{F}(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\frac{dN(s,t)}{dt} = -\mu(s,t)N(s,t).$$
(8)

where $N(S_0, t)$ is the spatial density of newly generated seedlings, $N(\tau)$ is the spatial density of this cohort of trees at time τ , G_F is the carbon allocation to seeds, and μ is PFT-specific mortality parameter.

Each PFT has a canopy-layer-specific background mortality rate that is assigned from the literature. These background rates are assumed to be size-independent for the canopy layer trees, but size-dependent for understory trees. Many factors affect tree mortality, such as light, size,
competition crown damage, hydraulic failure, trunk damage etc. (Lu et al., 2021; Zuleta et al.,
2022). These factors result in high mortality rates of seedlings and old trees (i.e., a "U-shaped"
mortality curve). We use the following equation to delineate a mortality rate that varies with
social status (crown layers), shade effects, and tree sizes:

$$\mu(s,t) = \mu_0 (1 + f_L f_s) f_D \tag{9}$$

where f_L is the shade effects on mortality ($f_L = \sqrt{L-1}$), f_S is seedling mortality when a tree is small ($f_S = A_{SD}e^{-B_{SD}\cdot D}$), and f_D represents the size effects on the mortality of adult trees ($f_D = m_s \frac{e^{A_D(D-D_0)}}{1+e^{A_D(D-D_0)}}$). *L* is the layer this plant is in (*L*=1 for the canopy layer and 2 for the second, and so on), A_{SD} is the maximum multiplier of mortality rate for the seedlings in the understory layers, B_{SD} is the rate of mortality decreasing as tree diameter (*D*) increases, m_s is the maximum multiplier of mortality rate for large-sized trees, D_0 is the diameter at which the mortality rate increases by $m_s/2$, and A_D is a shape parameter (i.e., the sensitivity to tree diameter).

368 Crown self-organization and layering

Tree crowns are arranged into different vertical canopy layers according to tree height and crown area if their total crown area is greater than the land area following the rules of the PPA model (Strigul et al., 2008). In PPA, individual tree height is defined as the height at the top of the crown, and all leaves of a given cohort are assumed to belong to a single canopy layer. The height of canopy closure for the top layer is referred to as critical height (Z^* , the height of the shortest tree in the layer) and is defined implicitly by the following equation:

$$k(1-\eta) = \sum_{i} \int_{Z^*}^{\infty} N_i(Z, t) A_{CR,i}(Z^*, Z) dZ$$
(10)

375	where $N_i(Z, t)$ is the density of PFT <i>i</i> trees of height Z per unit ground area; $A_{CR,i}(Z^*, Z)$ is the
376	crown area of an individual PFT <i>i</i> tree of height Z; η is the proportion of each canopy layer that
377	remains open on average due to wind and imperfect spacing between individual tree crowns, and
378	k is the ground area. The top layer includes the tallest cohorts of trees whose collective crown
379	area sums to $1-\eta$ times the ground area; lower layers are similarly defined.

380 All the trees taller than the critical height can get full sunlight and all trees below this 381 height are shaded by the upper layer trees. Trees within the same layer do not shade each other, 382 but there is self-shading among the leaves within individual crowns. Cohorts in a sub-canopy 383 layer are shaded by the leaves of all taller canopy layers. In each canopy layer, all cohorts are 384 assumed to have the same incident radiation on the top of their crowns. Note, the gap fraction η 385 is necessary to allow additional light penetration through each canopy layer for the persistence of 386 understory trees in monoculture forests in which the upper layer crowns build a physiologically-387 optimal number of leaf layers (Farrior et al., 2013). The grasses only form one layer. Those 388 individuals who cannot stay in that layer because of limited space will be killed (i.e., when the 389 total grass crown area is larger than the land area). 390 Ecosystem carbon and nitrogen biogeochemical cycles 391 Ecosystem biogeochemical cycles (carbon and nitrogen in this model) are driven by plant and 392 microbial demographic processes. There are seven pools in each plant: leaves, fine roots, 393 sapwood, heartwood, fecundity (seeds), and non-structural carbohydrates and nitrogen (NSC and 394 NSN, respectively). The carbon and nitrogen in plant pools enter soil pools with the mortality of 395 individual trees and the turnover of leaves and fine roots. Soil has a mineral nitrogen pool for

mineralized nitrogen and five soil organic matter (SOM) pools for carbon and nitrogen:

metabolic litter (x_1) , structural litter (x_2) , microbial (x_3) , and fast (x_4) and slow-turnover (x_5) SOM pools.

The microbial pool plays a central role in the transfer and decomposition of SOM. The decomposition processes are simulated by a model modified from Manzoni et al. (2010). The technical details have been described in Weng et al. (2019, 2017). The decomposition rate of a SOM pool is determined by the basal turnover rate together with soil temperature and moisture following the formulation of the CENTURY model (Parton et al., 1988, 1987). The microbial carbon use efficiency (transfer from litter to microbial matter) is a function of litter nitrogen content, following the model of Mazoni et al. (2010).

406 The N mineralization in decomposition is determined by microbial nitrogen demand,

407 SOM's C:N ratio, and decomposition rate. In the high C:N ratio SOM, microbes must consume

408 excess carbon to get enough nitrogen for growth. By contrast, in the low C:N ratio SOM,

409 microbes must release excess nitrogen to get enough carbon for energy. Depending on the C:N

410 ratios of SOM, soil microbes may be limited by either C or N.

411 The out-fluxes of C and N from the i^{th} pool (dC_i and dN_i , respectively) are calculated by:

 $dC_i = \xi(T, M)\rho_i QC_i,$ $dN_i = \xi(T, M)\rho_i QN_i,$

412 where ξ is the response function of decomposition to soil temperature (*T*) and moisture (*M*), ρ_i is

(11)

413 the basal turnover rate of the i^{th} litter pool at reference temperature and moisture, QC_i is the C

414 content in i^{th} pool, and QN_i is the N content in the i^{th} pool.

415 The new microbial growth (*dM*) is calculated as the co-limit of available carbon and

416 nitrogen mobilized at this step:

$$dM_i = Min(\varepsilon_0 \cdot dC_i, \Lambda_{microbe} \cdot dN_i), \tag{12}$$

where ε_0 is default carbon-use efficiency of litter decomposition (0.4) and Λ_{microbe} is a microbe's

418 C:N ratio, which is a fixed value (10 in this model). The soil heterotrophic respiration (R_h) is the 419 microbial respiration (i.e., the difference between carbon consumption and new microbial 420 growth), and the total N mineralization rate ($N_{mineralized}$) is calculated as the sum of mineralized N 421 in the SOM pools and microbial turnover:

$$R_{h} = \sum_{i=3}^{5} dC_{i} - \sum_{i=4}^{5} M_{i},$$

$$N_{mineralized} = \sum_{i=3}^{5} dN_{i} - \sum_{i=3}^{5} m_{i} / \Lambda_{microbe}$$
(13)

422 The R_h releases to atmosphere as CO₂. Mineralized N enters the mineral N pool for plants to use.

423 The dynamics of the mineral N pool is represented by the following equation:

$$\frac{dN_{mineral}}{dt} = N_{deposition} + N_{mineralized} - U - N_{loss},$$
(14)

424 where $N_{\text{deposition}}$ is N deposition rate, assumed to be constant over the period of simulation; N_{m} is

425 the N mineralization rate of the litter pools (fast and slow SOM and microbes); U is the N uptake

426 rate (Kg N m⁻² hour⁻¹) of plant roots; and N_{loss} includes the loss of mineralized N by

427 denitrification and runoff. The N deposition (N_{deposition}) is the only N input to ecosystems, and we

428 set nitrogen fixation as zero in this version of the model.

429

417

430 3 Model Test runs

431 For our comparison of model performance against observations and other models, we used **Formatted:** Indent: First line: 0.38", Line spacing: Double

432 the full demographic version of BiomeE (described above) and also designed a "single-cohort"

433 version of the model to benchmark our demographic implementations. In the single-cohort

434 model, the mortality of trees is simulated as the turnover of woody biomass, and the fecundity

435 resources (carbon and nitrogen) are used to build the same-sized parent trees, instead of 436 seedlings growing from understory layers. If the total crown area of the trees in this cohort is 437 greater than the land area, the extra trees will be removed to make the total crown area less than 438 or equal to the land area. At equilibrium, the turnover of woody biomass is equal to the new 439 growth each year and the new trees generated from fecundity resources are killed by self-440 thinning. The single-cohort model uses the mean state of the canopy layer trees to represent the 441 characteristics of the whole community. This single-cohort model performs like the traditional 442 biogeochemical models and simplifies vegetation computation. Deleted:



443

446

Tropical evergreen broadleaf Temperate/boreal evergreen needleleaf Temperate/boreal deciduous broadleaf Tropical drought deciduous broadleaf Boreal deciduous needleleaf Cold shrub Arid shrub C3 grass

Figure 2. Prescribed global distribution of plant functional types. Data is from the Ent
 Global Vegetation Structure map.

In the test runs, the distribution of PFTs was <u>obtained from the Ent vegetation map</u> (Ito et
al., 2020), which <u>was</u> derived from 2004 MODIS land cover and PFT data products (Friedl et al.,
2010) and climate data (Figure 2). For these simulations, croplands and pastures were replaced
by the potential natural vegetation types. We slightly tuned leaf maximum carboxylation rate
(V_{emax}) to fit the general pattern of global GPP, while keeping other parameters unchanged.



480	resolution developed by Simard et al. (2011). These authors used the 2005 data from the	
481	Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) aboard ICESat (Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation	
482	Satellite) to derive global forest canopy heights. Biomass data are from a Global 1-degree Maps	
483	of Forest Area, Carbon Stocks, and Biomass, 1950-2010 developed by Hengeveld et al. (2015).	
484	Soil carbon data are from Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Harmonized World Soil	
485	Database (version 1.2), updated by Wieder et al. (2014).	
486	MsTMIP model simulation data	
487	We chose six model simulations (BiomeBGC, CTEM, CLM4, LPJ, Orchidee, VEGAS) from the	
488	Multi-scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project (MsTMIP) (Huntzinger et	
489	al., 2013) to compare against our model simulations. These models are well-developed and	
490	widely used in Earth system models, representing the state-of-art of current land vegetation	
491	model development. MsTMIP provided prescribed land use types for all the participant models.	
492	However, it is up to the participant models to simulate disturbance impacts on ecosystems	Deleted: for
493	(Huntzinger et al., 2013). MsTMIP conducted five sets of experimental runs with different	
494	climate forcing, land-use history, atmospheric CO2 concentration, and nitrogen deposition. In	
495	this study, we <u>compared to</u> the SG1 simulation experiment because it is driven by the 1901~2010	Deleted: used
496	climate forcing data with constant CO ₂ concentration and constant land cover (Huntzinger et al.,	
497	2013), which are the closest to our model runs.	
498	Selected Grid Cells for Comparison	
499	To illustrate model behavior, we selected 8 grid cells that cover boreal forests, temperate	
500	forests, tropical forests, C4 grasslands, and arid shrublands to show the simulated ecosystem	
501	development patterns across the climate zones with different dominant PFTs (Table 2). Brazil	
502	Tapajos (TPJ), Oak Ridge (OKR), Harvard Forest (HF), Manitoba old black spruce site (MNT),	
	22	

505 and Bonanza Creek (BNC) are covered by tree PFTs. Konza long-term ecological research

506 station (LTER) (KZ) is C4 grass. Walnut Gulch Kendall (WGK) and Sevilleta LTER (SV) are

covered by arid shrubs. These sites were chosen because they have extensive data on vegetation 507

and climate conditions for future comparisons. 508

509

Table 2 Sites for simulated ecosystem development illustration

Site	Dominant	Coordination	Mean	Annual
	PFT		Temperature	Precipitation
			(°C)	(mm)
Bonanza Creek (BNC)	Broadleaf deciduous	63.92°, -145.38°	-3.1	269
Manitoba old black	Evergreen needleleaf	55.88°, -98.48°	-3.2	520
spruce site (MNT)				
Harvard Forest (HF)	Broadleaf deciduous	42.54°, -72.17°	8.5	1050
Oak Ridge (OKR)	Broadleaf deciduous	35.96°, -84.29°	13.7	1372
Konza LTER (KZ)	C ₄ grass	39.08°, -96.56°	12.4	835
Sevilleta LTER (SV)	Arid shrub	34.36°, -106.88°	12.7	365
Walnut Gulch Kendall	Arid shrub	31.74°, -109.94°	17.7	350
(WGK)				
Brazil Tapajos (TPJ)	Broadleaf evergreen	-2.86°, -54.96°	26	1820

510

511 4 Results

512 4.1 Simulated vegetation structural and ecosystem carbon dynamics

513	In the forest sites, the simulated vegetation structure by the full demographic model changes with	
514	the growth, regeneration, and mortality processes (Figure 3). The temporal dynamics of the	Deleted: Fig.
515	canopy development can be separated into three stages according to the canopy crown dynamics:	Deleted: It
516	1) open forest stage, 2) self-thinning stage, and 3) stabilizing stage. In the open forest stage, the	
517	crown area index (CAI) is less than 1.0 and all the individuals are in full sunlight. The tree	
518	crowns grow rapidly to occupy the open space (Figure 3: a). In the self-thinning stage, the open	Deleted: Fig.
1	23	

Deleted: K

523	space is filled by the crowns of similar sized trees (i.e., the forest is closed) and canopy trees are
524	continuously pushed to the lower layer(s) (i.e., self-thinning) and the CAI continues to increase
525	due to the limited space with growing tree crowns (i.e., the new spaces vacated from the canopy
526	trees' mortality cannot meet the space demand from crown growth). The sizes of trees in the
527	canopy layer are still similar in this period (Figure 3: b and c) and the critical height (the height Deleted: Fig.
528	of the shortest tree in the canopy layer) keeps increasing in this period. In the stabilizing stage,
529	when the space generated by the mortality of canopy trees is larger than the growth of canopy
530	tree crown area, no trees are pushed to the lower layer and the lower layer trees start to enter the
531	canopy layer and fill the space, leading to a sharp decrease in critical height (Figure 3: b) and the Deleted: Fig.
532	mixing of different sized trees in the canopy layer. The CAI is decreasing as well because of the
533	high mortality rates of the understory layer trees. As time goes on, the growth, regeneration,
534	mortality, and space filling processes are equilibrated, and the forest structure is then stabilized.
535	The tallest plant height (Figure 3: c) shows the height of the trees in the tallest cohort. It Deleted: Fig.
536	keeps growing as this cohort exists. The sharp decreases indicate the replacements by or merging
537	with another shorter cohort because the density of trees in this cohort is very low (0.0001/ha in
538	this case) or the similarity between the tallest and the second tallest is high. The total basal area
539	(Figure 3: d) is an index of the sum of all trees at a site. It keeps increasing during forest Deleted: Fig.
540	development and is equilibrated earlier than height and crown structure.





550

545

Among these sites, at equilibrium, the tropical forest site (TPJ) has the highest crown area index (around 2.2), followed by warm temperate forest at OKR, mixed forest at HF, and boreal forests at BNC and MNT (Figure 3). The shrubs and grasslands in arid regions have the lowest crown area index (CAI), with basal area following similar patterns. For forested sites, tree height is tallest at TPJ, followed by OKR, HF, MNT, and BNC. The shrubs are short according to their allometry parameters and the height of grasses during non-growing season is zero. The critical height, which separates canopy layer trees from the understory layers, follows the same order as

Deleted: Fig.

559 that of tree height with high fluctuations with cohort changes. (More cohort details are in

560 Supplementary Information Figures S1-S8)



400

400

Year

- 572 turnover rate of SOM pools in warm regions. At equilibrium, forested sites have higher LAI,
 - 26

577 biomass, and carbon stocks per area compared to the shrub and grass sites overall. Vegetation

578 biomass is lowest at the grassland site, Konza LTER, because, within the model, grassland

579 ecosystems cannot accumulate persistent woody biomass.





611

612 4.2 Global Comparisons with Observations

613 The simulated LAI roughly capture the spatial pattern of MODIS LAI (Figure 7: a and b), 614 though there are high variations at each grid (Figure 8: a). Generally, the simulated LAI in well 615 vegetated grids, e.g., boreal forest regions, is underestimated by our model because the crown 616 LAI is calculated as a function of tree height and a parameter of maximum crown LAI (Table 1 617 and Eq. 6). The LAI in the grids that were converted to different land use types is overestimated 618 because we assume all terrestrial grids are covered by potential vegetation in our test runs. 619 Compared with SIF GPP (Alemohammad et al., 2017), simulated GPP is higher than the SIF 620 GPP generally, though lower in arid regions (Figure 7: c, d and Figure 8: b). The simulated tree 621 height (Figure 7: e, f and Figure 8: c) is mostly taller compared to observations (Simard et al., 2011) because most forests have been altered by human activities (Pan et al., 2013). However, 622 623 the model and observations cover approximately the same range of tree heights (up to 40 m). 624 Simulated biomass is much higher than the observations (Figure 7: g, h and Figure 8: d) because, 625 in the observations, many forest regions have been transformed to low biomass land use types 626 (such as croplands) or represent earlier successional stages with less accumulated carbon (i.e., 627 not equilibrium states).

Simulated soil carbon does track the observations (Figure 7: <u>i, j and Figure 8: e)</u> better than
biomass, likely because soil carbon stocks are more stable compared to biomass in response to
disturbances and human activities, For areas where the model underpredicts soil carbon, the
difference could arise from the missing biogeochemical processes that may lead to high carbon
accumulation in some regions (e.g., peats) (Davidson and Janssens, 2006; Briones et al., 2014;

Deleted: We tuned the parameter of maximum carboxylation rate (V_{cmax}) to fit the general pattern of global GPP.

Deleted: (Figs. 7 and 8)

Deleted: (Fig. 7)

Deleted: g Deleted: and Deleted: h

Deleted: ; and GPP does not change much compared to the changes in vegetation biomass because leaves can reach to equilibrium much faster than the biomass does (Fu et al., 2017)...

Euskirchen et al., 2014) and the relatively high uncertainties in the soil carbon data (Tifafi et al.,

645 2018).



Deleted: Figure 7. Spatial patterns of BiomeE (full demography) simulations and those from data. "Obs." means different ways retrieved from observations. Obs. Some are mod(....[1])

(a)



which is lower than the real forest LAI.

(a) GPP

Observed (kgC/m²)

SIF GPP (kgC/m²/yr

(c) Plant C



Deleted: Fig.

Deleted: Fig.







733 734

ure 11 Comparison between the simulations of the full demography and cohort settings of BiomeE.

735

736 The demographic processes have significant impacts on the simulations of GPP, biomass, soil

737 carbon, and vegetation structure compared to the single-cohort BiomeE (Figure 11). The full

738 demographic BiomeE includes an understory layer of plants, resulting in higher LAI in high LAI

regions and also slightly higher GPP. However, the total biomass predicted by the two model

Deleted: Higher GPP in the model with full demography leads to a high allocation to leaves and fine roots.

742 versions are similar because of the tradeoffs in allocation between leaves and stem growth and 743 tree size distribution and because most biomass is in woody tissues (Please refer to the Figures 744 S10 and S11 in the Supplementary Information for the single cohort BiomeE simulations). In the 745 full demography model, tree mortality removes all the biomass, including leaves, fine roots, and 746 stems, while in the single-cohort model, the mortality is represented as the turnover of woody 747 biomass. Consequently, the full demography model has higher emergent turnover rate for the 748 whole vegetation.





Figure 12 Spatial patterns of the differences between the simulations of the BiomeE: full
 demography minus the single-cohort simulations.

752

753 Compared to the single-cohort model, the full demography model predicts higher LAI and

GPP in warm and wet regions and lower <u>LAI and GPP in cold and dry regions (Figure 12: a, b)</u>.

755 The full demography model also predicts much lower biomass and soil carbon than the single-

cohort model in cold and dry regions (Figure 12: c). The reduced biomass input from full

Deleted: values
 Deleted: Fig.
 Deleted: Fig.
 Deleted: Because the single cohort model has the same SOM
 pools and turnover/decomposition processes,
 Deleted: t

Deleted: concentrated

Deleted: stems

765	demography alone is causing the difference in SOM dynamics since the two models share the	
766	same SOM pools and turnover/decomposition processes. Demographic processes greatly reduce Deleted: This is consistent with the functions of	\supset
767	model stability because reproduction and survival <u>rates</u> are low in dry and cold regions. By	2
768	contrast, the single-cohort model does not simulate these processes explicitly and instead uses a	5
769	simplified routine turnover of materials that allows plants to stay in extremely dry or cold	
770	conditions.	
771		
772	4.4 Eco-evolutionary simulation and sensitivity test	
773	This model has the potential to predict competitively dominant PFTs in the continuum of plant	
774	traits through succession simulations according to the principles of evolutionarily optimal	
775	competition, We illustrate this with a set of simulations conducted at a series of ecosystem Deleted: strategy	\supset
776	nitrogen content (from 269 to 575 g N/m ²) with five PFTs sampled from the continuums of LMA	
777	(σ , from 0.06 to 0.14) and target root/leaf area ratio (φ_{RL} , from 0.8 to 1.2 corresponding to each	
778	LMA). The differences in ecosystem total nitrogen represent the environmental conditions that Deleted: t	\supset
779	can result from soil and climate conditions. The simulations were set as nitrogen-closed (i.e., no Deleted: s	2
780	input and output of nitrogen). At the lowest ecosystem total nitrogen (Figure 13: a), the PFT with Deleted: Fig.	5
781	highest LMA (0.14 kg C/m ² leaf) wins. With increases in ecosystem nitrogen (Figure 13: b - d), Deleted: Fig.	\supset
782	the winner shifts to lower LMA PFTs. This means that in infertile soils or cold climates with	
783	slower biogeochemical cycles (e.g., tundra and boreal forests), the eco-evolutionarily optimal	
784	PFTs should have high LMA leaves, and vice versa. This pattern is consistent with the	
785	predictions of a theoretical model derived in Weng et al. (2017). This simulation is also a case of	
786	the sensitivity test of vegetation dynamics at different environmental conditions. Vegetation can Deleted: the simulated	\supset

l
⁷⁹⁸ shift their compositions and dominant plant traits to maintain an eco-evolutionarily optimal state,

and thus amplify or attenuate the responses of ecosystem carbon cycle to climate changes.



Formatted: Centered

800

Figure 13. Simulated competitively dominant PFTs at different total ecosystem nitrogen. The simulations are set as nitrogen-closed (i.e., no input and output of nitrogen). The number in the title of each panel is the initial soil nitrogen. We used five PFTs that only differed in their LMA (σ) and target root/leaf area ratio (φ_{RL}) corresponding to each LMA in each simulation.

805

806 5 Discussion

- 807 We developed a parsimonious terrestrial ecosystem model for ModelE to simulate vegetation
- 808 dynamics and ecosystem biogeochemical cycles. This model includes a cohort-based
- 809 representation of vegetation structure, a height structured light competition scheme, demographic
- 810 processes, and coupled carbon-nitrogen biogeochemical cycles. This model has four major

811	modules that organize the hierarchical processes of ecosystems together into a cohesive
812	modeling structure: 1) plant physiology (photosynthesis, respiration), 2) plant phenology and
813	growth, 3) vegetation structural dynamics, and 4) soil biogeochemical cycles (Figure 1). Each
814	module is cohesive and has a minimum set of variables as the input from other modules.

816 5.1 Model formulation

817 In designing this model, we considered the simulation of competitively optimal strategy of plants 818 in different climates based on fundamental ecological rules (Purves and Pacala, 2008; Falster and 819 Westoby, 2003; Franklin et al., 2020). These strategies are mainly related to light competition, 820 water conditions, nutrient use efficiency, and disturbances (e.g., fire), and represented by the 821 traits of wood density, height growth, leaf longevity, and photosynthesis pathways. PFTs are 822 used in this model as an integrative unit representing combinations of plant traits for simulating 823 (1) the spontaneous dynamics of carbon, water, and energy fluxes as the core functions of an 824 ESM-based land model and (2) the transient vegetation structural and compositional dynamics 825 and ecosystem biogeochemical cycles in response to climate variations. 826 We adopted a generic design for the PFTs, Since the PFTs are samples of plant traits in 827 their natural ranges, the numbers of PFTs are flexible, depending on what strategies the users

828 wish to <u>simulate</u> (as the test simulations in Figure 13). This approach substantially simplifies the

parameterization of PFTs because it becomes selection of strategies in different trait values (i.e.,

parameters). Thus, the PFTs are adaptive and variable in different environmental conditions,

831 making it possible to reduce the number of PFTs while representing functional diversity and the

832 optimal adaptation to climate conditions.

Deleted: in the BiomeE

Deleted: test		
Deleted: changes the para	matrizations to the	
	ineurizations to the	
Deleted: s		
Deleted: through choosin	g	
Deleted: can change to ea	ch other	
Deleted: climate zones		

840 To represent the major variations in plant functional diversity, we chose four plant traits as 841 the primary axes to define PFTs: wood density, LMA, height growth parameter, and leaf maximum carboxylation rate, Wood density is relatively conservative (Swenson and Enquist, 842 843 2007; Chave et al., 2009), mostly ranging from 200 to 500 kg C m⁻³, while herbaceous stem density ranges from 400~600 kg C m⁻³ (Niklas, 1995). However, herbaceous stems are usually 844 845 hollow, making the ratio of total biomass to its volume low, and grasses shed their stems each 846 growing season, resulting in faster stem turnover. It is a strategic difference from woody plants, 847 which keep the woody tissues to build up their trunks and thus display their leaves on top of 848 trunks for light competition (Dieckmann et al., 2007; Falster and Westoby, 2003). LMA is the 849 key leaf trait that determines leaf life longevity and leaf types (i.e., evergreen vs. deciduous)) 850 (Osnas et al., 2013), and represents the strategy for the competition in different soil nutrient 851 levels (Tilman, 1988; Reich, 2014; Weng et al., 2017) and resistance to stresses of water and 852 temperature (Oliveira et al., 2021). 853 The phenological type is simulated as an emergent property of plant physiological 854 processes and strategies of dealing with seasonal air temperature and soil water variations, Three 855 parameters - growing degree days, running mean daily temperature, and critical soil moisture -856 are used to define all possible phenological types. These three parameters are widely used in a 857 variety of phenology models (e.g., Sitch et al., 2003; Prentice et al., 1992; Arora and Boer,

- 858 2005). However, phenology is not just a physiological response to the seasonality of climate
- 859 <u>conditions. Evergreen plants are distributed in periodically cold or dry climates. It is a</u>
- 860 <u>competitively optimal strategy in infertile soil conditions</u> (Aerts, 1995; Givnish, 2002; Coomes et
- al., 2005). The benefits and costs of keeping different leaves in cold or dry periods should be

	Deleted: leaf mass per unit area (
(Deleted:)
(Deleted: (V _{cmax})

(Deleted: In this model, t
	Deleted: its
	Deleted: v
	Deleted: to
	Deleted: variations of
	Deleted:
	Deleted: availability
Ì	Deleted: We used t
Ì	Deleted: (GDD)

874	realistically simulated based on eco-evolutionary theories for phenology modeling (e.g., Levine		
875	et al., 2022; Weng et al., 2017)		Deleted:
876	As for soil organic matter decomposition, the CASA model, which has 13 pools with		Deleted: is currently used in ModelE; it
877	different transfer coefficients and turnover rates (Randerson et al., 1997; Potter et al., 1993,		
878	2003), is currently used in ModelE;. The soil biogeochemical cycle models developed thereafter		
879	have more sophisticated processes, especially those of microbial activities and carbon use		
880	efficiency (Manzoni et al., 2010; Wieder et al., 2014; Wang and Goll, 2021), and simplified		
881	carbon pools, mostly following CENTURY model structure (Parton et al., 1987). We chose an		
882	intermediate complexity scheme that has only two SOM pools but a functional microbial pool for		
883	decomposing SOM_(Manzoni et al., 2010; Weng et al., 2017) so that the dynamics of SOM's		
884	C:N ratio, carbon use efficiency, and nitrogen mineralization can be reasonably simulated while		
885	keeping the model structure parsimonious.		
886			
887	5.2 Model predictions and performance		
888	In this paper, we only evaluated the carbon cycle in the model simulations, though the \checkmark		Deleted: This model has four relatively distinctive sets of simulated variables that are critical for model performance
889	nitrogen cycle is also simulated in tandem with the carbon cycle in the model. We did not		and calibration: 1) Stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, and respiration; 2) demographic rates (i.e., allocation,
890	extensively tune model parameters to fit observations because the purpose of this paper is to		structural growth, mortality, and reproduction); 3) LAI, tree size, crown self-organization, and vegetation structure; 4) Soil carbon and nitrogen storage.
891	describe the formulation of the model. The core processes of this model, e.g., photosynthesis,	1	Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.38"
892	respiration, phenology, growth, allocation, demography, soil biogeochemical cycles, are from		
893	well-developed models and have been shown able to capture observational patterns. Data		
894	assimilation approaches can be implemented when parameter tuning becomes essential_(Luo et		
895	al., 2011; MacBean et al., 2016).		

905	The simulations demonstrate that this model can capture the global patterns of LAI, GPP,	
906	tree height, biomass, and soil carbon (Figure 7), even though the parameters are not extensively	Deleted: LAI,
907	tuned. For example, global GPP patterns are consistent with those derived from SIF data	
908	(Figure 7: c, d, and Figure 8: b), and simulated tree heights span the same ranges of those derived	Deleted: Fig.
909	from data. The simulated LAI is segregated by PFTs (Figure 8: a), largely because of the	Deleted: a
		Deleted: b Deleted: Fig.
910	different parameter values of the maximum crown LAI for each PFT. The simulated biomass and	Deleted: a
911	soil carbon is generally higher than those of observations, though simulated soil carbon is lower	Deleted: in
912	in some cold regions.	
913	Several factors likely explain the apparent discrepancies between simulated and observed	Deleted: overestimates
914	LAI, GPP, biomass, and soil carbon in the model. First, the model uses a potential PFT	Deleted: of
914	LAI, GPP, blomass, and soil carbon in the model. First, the model uses a potential PF1	Deleted:
915	distribution and does not account for land cover change and land use history. For example,	
916	carbon dense ecosystems (e.g., forests) have been extensively replaced by croplands and	
917	pastures. Second, while vegetation in the real world reflects a variety of successional stages and	
918	the effect of various disturbance events, our model analyses are based on equilibrium simulations	
919	without explicit disturbances, such as fire, deforestation and regrowth. Third, the model assumes	
920	mineral nitrogen is saturated and can consistently meet demands for plant growth. We did not fix	
921	the land cover mismatches by compromising ecosystem physiological processes because we	
922	cannot put all these effects into current model structure (i.e., mortality) when many processes are	
923	missing.	
924	LAI is an illustrative variable for understanding why compromises are necessary when	
925	integrating ecological, and demographic processes into an ESM, LAI, as a critical prognostic	Deleted: ly based vegetation models
926	variable in vegetation models, links both plant physiology and biogeophysical interactions with	Deleted: s
927	climate systems_(Richardson et al., 2012; Kelley et al., 2020; Park and Jeong, 2021). While LAI	

940	is usually simulated by a fixed allocation scheme, even if the allocation ratios are dynamic with
941	vegetation productivity or environmental conditions (Montané et al., 2017; Xia et al., 2019), the
942	prediction of LAI is often simplified as the balance between <u>leaf</u> growth and turnover.
943	In practice, for ESMs, modelers tend to tune the LAI to fit observations and get the
944	required albedo and water fluxes whatever the parameters of photosynthesis and respirations are.
945	The uniform leaves within a crown would make the lower layer leaves have a negative carbon
946	gain if the LAI was tuned close to that observed in tropical and boreal evergreen forests (around
947	$5\sim7$). Therefore, the photosynthesis rate must be tuned to fit the canopy photosynthesis by
948	keeping these carbon negative leaves. The crown with carbon negative leaves do not affect the
949	ecosystem carbon dynamics in the "single-cohort" models because the whole canopy net carbon
950	gain can be tuned to fit the observations.
951	However, for the demographic models, the trees with different sizes are explicitly
952	represented and placed in different layers. The vegetation community can create an understory
953	condition where seedlings cannot survive because of light limitation and negative carbon gains
954	(Weng et al., 2015), Since the leaf traits in the crown profile are functions of light, water and
955	nitrogen (Niinemets et al., 2015), a more complex crown development module is required to
956	simulate branching and leaf development and deployment processes. A tree should be able to
957	optimize its LAI to maximize its fitness as a result of interactions among crown structure, light
958	interception, and community-level competition (Anten, 2002; Niinemets and Anten, 2009;
959	Hikosaka and Anten, 2012). For balancing the model complexity and computing efficiency, we
960	defined a much small target LAI in this model to avoid carbon negative leaves.
961	The parameter $V_{\rm cmax}$ used in this model is also much lower than measured in young leaves

962 (Bonan et al., 2011) because the aging of leaves is considered in the mean value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ of all

Deleted: in models Deleted: M

Deleted: ir

Deleted: This LAI usually makes the lower layer leaves carbon negative. However, a first principle is that a tree should have an optimal LAI to maximize its carbon gain as a result of crown structure, light interception, and community-level competition(Anten, 2002; Hikosaka and Anten, 2012; Ninemets and Anten, 2009). Thus, in our model, because of the assumption of the uniform leaves within a crown, we defined a much small target LAI to avoid carbon negative leaves....

The "uniform leaf" assumption makes the lower layer leaves carbon negative when LAI is tuned close to that observed in carbon negative when EAT is functed to be to that observed in tropical and boreal evergreen forests (where LAI is around 5~7). Therefore, the photosynthesis rate must be tuned to fit the canopy photosynthesis by keeping the carbon negative leaves. However, t

Deleted: The "uniform leaf" assumption makes the lower layer leaves carbon negative when LAI is tuned close to that obser(...[2])

Deleted: ted to Deleted: observed dynamics Deleted: This contrasts with Deleted: this contrasts with Deleted: which represents Deleted: and Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: This contrasts with Deleted: version of the Deleted: which represents Deleted: and Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: version of the Deleted: which represents Deleted: and Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: which represents Deleted: and Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: and Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: s Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: s Deleted: in the understory
Deleted: in the understory
·
Deleted: balances
Deleted: in some dry and cold regions
Deleted: T
Deleted: should, in reality,
Deleted: be
Deleted: a
Deleted: .
Deleted: A
Deleted: will then be
Deleted: Modelers should
Deleted: e
Deleted: then.
Deleted: leaf maximum carboxylation rate
Deleted: (
(Deleted:)

1017	leaves with different ages. The mean $V_{\rm cmax}$ of the whole canopy leaves is much lower than the	
1018	new leaves that are usually used to measure $V_{\rm cmax}$. If the leaves were not specifically chosen, the	
1019	mean of measured $V_{\rm cmax}$ is much lower than those used in models as shown in Verryckt et al.	
1020	(2022). This also indicates that $V_{\rm cmax}$ in current vegetation models is over-estimated.	
1021	In our model, the formulation of allometry makes the whole-tree's photosynthesis and	D
1022	respiration proportional to crown area, and thus the growth rate of tree diameter independent of	D gr ba
1023	crown area. The allocation scheme between the growth of stems and functional tissues (i.e.,	D
1024	leaves and fine roots) is the strategy of resources foraging for light and soil resources, including	
1025	height-structured competition for light. The vital rates drive vegetation structural changes and	
1026	biogeochemical cycles (Purves et al., 2008). Our model makes it possible to simulate vegetation	
1027	composition and structural dynamics based on the fundamental principles of ecology, and the	
1028	transient changes in terrestrial ecosystems in response to climate change. This model therefore	
1029	has the potential to predict competitively dominant strategies represented by plastic plant traits	
1030	(e.g., competitively dominant LMA in the simulations of Figure 13), and the vegetation structure	D
1031	and composition that will be eco-evolutionarily optimized.	
1032		
1033	5.3 Major uncertainties in BiomeE	
1034	Global vegetation models typically require simplifying assumptions to organize	
1035	ecosystem processes at different scales into a cohesive model structure that balances the	
1036	complexity of ecosystem processes and the limitations of our knowledge (Prentice et al., 1992,	
1037	2007; Harrison et al., 2021). In our model, many processes, including phenology and drought	
1038	effects, are based on phenomenological equations representing the poorly understood links	
1039	between processes needed by the model to simulate the entire system. In the following sections,	

Deleted: The

Deleted: allometry of plant architecture, rules for plant growth, and reproduction and mortality processes form the basis of vegetation structural dynamics. Deleted: T

Deleted: Fig.

we highlight these assumptions and evaluate their relative benefits and costs. Transparency in the
description of a community model such as this one will help future developers understand model
compromises and the processes that should be improved. The following phenomenological
relationships represent the major sources of uncertainty in this model.

1050 Water limitation of photosynthesis is calculated as a function of relative soil moisture1051 following the water stress function from Rodriguez-Iturbe et al. (1999):

$$\beta_D = Min\left(1.0, max\left(\frac{s_D - s_{min}}{s^* - s_{min}}, 0.0\right)\right),\tag{16}$$

1052 The parameters s^* and s_{\min} are PFT-specific, representing different responses of PFTs to soil 1053 water conditions, and S_D is the relative soil moisture ranging from 0 (soil water content at wilting 1054 point) to 1 (at field capacity). This formulation that scales soil moisture to a scalar between zero 1055 to 1 is repeatedly used in both physiological responses of photosynthesis and phenology in 1056 ecosystem models as a simplistic treatment of the central role of water limitation on plant 1057 physiology (Powell et al., 2013; De Kauwe et al., 2015; Harper et al., 2021). This equation does 1058 not include the detailed processes of plant hydraulics and its adaptation to arid environments. 1059 Plants have multiple tradeoffs and strategies to improve their competitiveness under water 1060 stress, such as regulating stomata conductance, shedding leaves, producing more roots, etc. 1061 (Oliveira et al., 2021; Volaire, 2018). At the ecosystem level, competition and evolutionary 1062 processes filter community emergent properties (Franklin et al., 2020; van der Molen et al., 1063 2011). For example, trees in different climate regions have similar hydraulic safety margins 1064 (Choat et al., 2012), partly due to the intense competition for light (height growth) and water 1065 (root allocation) that require optimal use of available resources at any climate conditions 1066 (Gleason et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019). However, in this model, the drought responses are only

Deleted: areas

Deleted: with new information or approaches

1070	delineated by Eq. 16. The parameter choices for s^* and s_{\min} likely explain the amplified water	
1071	stresses and low productivity in arid regions within our model.	
1072	Phenology represents the seasonal rhythms of plant physiological activities as adapted to	
1073	periodic changes in temperature, precipitation, and light availability (Abramoff and Finzi, 2015;	
1074	Caldararu et al., 2014; Chuine, 2010). DGVMs normally simulate leaf onset and senescence	
1075	based on temperature conditions for cold deciduous plants and soil water conditions for drought	
1076	deciduous plants (Arora and Boer, 2005; Caldararu et al., 2014). Phenology modeling is still	
1077	highly empirical, although new models and approaches for cold deciduous and drought	
1078	deciduous strategies have been proposed recently (e.g., Caldararu et al., 2014; Dahlin et al.,	
1079	2015; Manzoni et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016). We used a simple formulation of temperature and	Deleted: (Eqs 1 and 3)
1080	drought responses (Eqs. 1 and 3). For the cold-deciduous strategies, the phenology model	
1081	balances growing season length and frost risks by adjusting critical GDD0 and T0 according to	
1082	chilling days and growing days to reduce frost risk in warm regions and increase growing season	
1083	length in cold regions. In this way, leaf senescence also considers growing season length and leaf	
1084	aging. For example, in areas with longer growing seasons, plants will have a higher T0 and	
1085	initiate senescence at higher temperatures. For the drought phenology, we set different critical	
1086	soil moisture indexes to initiate and terminate a growing season (Table 1). However, these	
1087	relationships are phenomenological, and ecological rules will benefit future model development.	
1088	Mortality is an integrative process of accumulative physiological stresses, structural	Deleted: result
1089	damages, and disturbances in a tree's lifetime. The direct causes can be starvation, structural	Deleted: during
1090	failure, hydraulic failure, etc. (McDowell, 2011; Aakala et al., 2012; Aleixo et al., 2019). We	Deleted: reasons Deleted: In this model,
1091	only consider the background mortality and define its rate as a function of tree diameter and light	Deleted: w

1098 environment (Eq. 10). Hydraulic failure-induced mortality is required for realistically modeling

1099 plant responses to climate changes.

1100 We employed these general phenomenological equations primarily because more

1101 mechanistic equations are not currently known. We are using the key variables that characterize

1102 ecosystem properties to define the basic model structure but have to use less-than-solid

1103 information to link them together by phenomenological relationships, as all the models do. In

1104 addition, our interest is to keep this model as simple as possible to improve interpretability and

1105 transparency and to reduce the computational burden when it is integrated into the ModelE. In

1106 these places where the tradeoff between model complexity and process accuracy is necessary, we

1107 highlight the underlying assumptions clearly, rather than implementing temporary fixes that lack

1108 solid ecological principles.

1109

1 10 5.4 Insights from comparison with MsTMIP model

1111 Most of the MsTMIP participant models have been analyzed by a model traceability method

1112 developed by Xia et al. (2013), which hierarchically decomposes model behavior into some

1113 fundamental processes of ecosystem carbon dynamics, such as GPP, carbon use efficiency

1114 (CUE), allocation coefficients, carbon residence time, carbon storage capacity, and

environmental response functions (Xia et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2021). This

1116 method is based on the assumptions of the linear system and the ecosystem emergent behavior

1117 per se (Eriksson, 1971; Emanuel and Killough, 1984; Luo et al., 2012; Sierra et al., 2018),

1118 making it is consistent with the concepts that are used as the basis of ecosystem carbon cycle

1119 models. The analyses of model traceability found, for the carbon cycle dynamics, the major

1120 uncertainty is from the modeling of the turnover rates (reciprocals of residence time) of

Deleted: studying

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

vegetation and soil carbon pools (Chen et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2017). From CMIP5 to CMIP6,
the modeling of NPP has been greatly improved, while the ecosystem carbon residence time
remains highly biased (Wei et al., 2022).

1125 According to the concepts of this traceability analysis approach (Xia et al., 2013), BiomeE 1126 also has a high uncertainty in the modeling of residence times of vegetation and soil carbon 1127 pools, because the mortality is picked up from the global forest data and the SOC decomposition 1128 processes are highly simplified. These issues have been discussed in the section of "5.3 Major 1129 uncertainties in BiomeE". These concepts (e.g., residence time, allocation coefficients) describe 1130 model emergent properties resulting from the underlying biological and ecological processes 1131 (i.e., micro-dynamics vs. macro-states). Fitting the emergent properties directly to improve 1132 model behavior is natural and convenient because many vegetation models are using these 1133 emergent properties (e.g., CUE, residence time, and allocation coefficients) to describe 1134 ecosystem processes in their formulations as a tradition of ecosystem modeling. 1135 There are a couple of common and long-lasting issues in terrestrial ecosystem modeling, 1136 such as responses to warming, responses to atmospheric CO2, drought stress effects, and 1137 vegetation compositional changes (Luo, 2007; Franklin et al., 2020; Harrison et al., 2021). These 1138 issues represent our knowledge gaps in ecosystem ecology. For modeling vegetation dynamics 1139 eco-evolutionarily, we need to use the fundamental ecological processes and unbreakable 1140 physical rules to simulate the emergent processes (e.g., Scheiter et al., 2013; Weng et al., 2019), 1141 With the design of vegetation modeling in the BiomeE, such as the explicit demographic 1142 processes, individual-based competition for different resources, and flexible trait combinations of 1143 PFTs, this model is able to predict some key emergent dynamics of ecosystems based on the 1144 underlying biological and evolutionary mechanisms (as shown in Figure 13). Data from field

1145	experiments (Ainsworth and Long, 2004; Crowther et al., 2016), observatory networks (e.g.,
1146	Fluxnet, Baldocchi et al., 2001; Friend et al., 2007), and remote sensing (Duncanson et al.,
1147	2020), can provide direct information for modeling the underlying ecological processes and
1148	validating predicted emergent properties.

1150 5.5 Model stability and complexity

1151 Ecosystem demographic processes (e.g., reproduction and mortality) are a source of high 1152 sensitivity and uncertainty in BiomeE. In some environmental conditions, especially in dry or 1153 cold regions, the predefined parameters can lead to high mortality or failure of reproduction, 1154 making ecosystems highly instable. To understand these issues, we developed a "single-cohort" 1155 version of the model to aid in the diagnosis of issues in the full demographic version of the 1156 model. The major issue we identified is the fact that the model formulation is based on functional 1157 processes in highly-productive regions, whereas the model is applied globally and across much 1158 more diverse environmental conditions (e.g., arid environments). The variables and parameters 1159 that work well in highly-productive regions (e.g., initial seedling sizes, default leaf growth, 1160 minimum allocation ratios, etc.) are often unsuitable in regions with higher environmental stress. 1161 And although plants have evolved special features to deal with more extreme conditions (Lloret 1162 et al., 2012; Reyer et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2020), these features have not yet been integrated 1163 into the model. 1164 There is a tendency in current DGVMs to use individual plant physiological trait changes

to represent community shifts. This approach is usually characterized as "parameter dynamics"
or "response functions" (Fisher and Koven, 2020; Luo and Schuur, 2020) for reducing model
processes and complexity. Adding new processes to work around existing problems, instead of

1168	redesigning the fundamental model processes, is common in model development. The approach
1169	is helpful for tracking model development, undoing wrong additions, and improving model
1170	performance. However, work-arounds often increase model complexity without concomitant
1171	improvements in model predictions.
1172	Generally, a model's usefulness is improved by transparent assumptions, a well-defined
1173	model structure, and output that is testable against data (Famiglietti et al., 2021; Forster, 2017;
1174	Hourdin et al., 2017). Data assimilation approaches improve model parameterization more
1175	efficiently and effectively than manually tuning individual parameters (Wang et al., 2009;
1176	Williams et al., 2009; MacBean et al., 2016) and allow for more detailed uncertainty analysis
1177	(Luo et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2011; Weng and Luo, 2011; Xu et al., 2006; Dietze, 2014). It is
1178	important to only include necessary assumptions in a model and to include them in ways that do
1179	not compromise other processes or parameters. Modelers should try their best not to add poor-
1180	understood processes if not necessary. Additionally, many specifications of model formulation
1181	are based on the questions that a user is trying to answer in their research. We should not expect
1182	to develop an all-encompassing model that fits all application scenarios. On the contrary,
1183	maintaining model flexibility and transparency is critical for using this model as a tool to explore
1184	specific science questions. In BiomeE, we have opted for what we consider the most
1185	parsimonious and, at the same time, theoretically sound formulations of allometry, phenology,
1186	and allocation dynamics to allow for computational efficiency in capturing vegetation grown and
1187	ecological dynamics in the context of an ESM.
1188	

5.6 Legacy limitations of ModelE coding and development conventions 1189

1190	The legacy of model coding structure and the history of model development can greatly affect
1191	the functions and the selection of model formulations (Alexander and Easterbrook, 2015).
1192	ModelE was developed as a general circulation model, and vegetation in the model to date has
1193	been represented with a simple set of static biophysics parameterizations to regulate exchanges
1194	of energy and moisture between the land surface and the atmosphere (Hansen et al., 2007;
1195	Schmidt et al., 2014; Kelley et al., 2020). To advance the functionality of the vegetation and the
1196	land surface model within ModelE, increases in complexity must therefore be balanced with the
1197	computational demands of <u>a fully coupled</u> model.
1198	In ModelE, the land model, TerraE, is used to calculate land surface (including vegetation)
1199	water and energy fluxes and soil water dynamics based on the characteristics of vegetation
1200	derived from the vegetation model (e.g., canopy conductance, wetness, etc.) at the grid scale. It
1201	does not calculate each cohort's transpiration and water uptake. In BiomeE, the water limitation
1202	of stomatal conductance is calculated as a function of soil water stress index and root vertical
1203	distribution, instead of the direct plant root water supply (plant hydraulics). This setting works
1204	well for the big leaf model (one canopy at one grid). However, when multiple cohorts of plants
1205	are represented, as we do in BiomeE, it is unable to represent water competition and differentiate
1206	the contribution of each single cohort's contribution to the total transpiration. A structural change
1207	will be required to solve this problem by calculating transpiration from the bottom-up (i.e., from
1208	cohort up to grid cell).
1209	
1210	6 Conclusions

Deleted: the Deleted: fully-coupled

Deleted: our vegetation model

1211 We developed a new demographic vegetation model to improve the representation of terrestrial

1212 vegetation dynamics and ecosystem biogeochemical cycles in the NASA Goddard Institute of

1216	Space Studies' coupled Earth system model, ModelE. This model includes the processes of plant
1217	growth, mortality, reproduction, vegetation structural dynamics, and soil carbon and nitrogen
1218	cycling. To scale this model globally, we added a new set of plant functional types to represent
1219	global vegetation functional diversity and introduced new phenology algorithms to deal with the
1220	seasonality of temperature and soil water availability. Competition for light and soil resources is
1221	individual-based, which makes the modeling of eco-evolutionary optimality possible. This model
1222	predicts the dynamics of vegetation and soil biogeochemistry including leaf area index,
1223	vegetation structure (e.g., height, tree density, size distribution, crown organization), and
1224	ecosystem carbon and nitrogen storage and fluxes. This model will enable ModelE to simulate
1225	long-term biogeophysical and biogeochemical feedbacks between the climate system and land
1226	ecosystems at decadal to century temporal scales. It will also allow for the prediction of transient
1227	vegetation dynamics and eco-evolutionary community assemblage in response to future climate
1228	changes based on the fundamental ecological principles.

1230 Code and data availability

- 1231 The model codes have been coupled with NASA GISS ModelE and will be released with
- 1232 ModelE codes (https://www.giss.nasa.gov/tools/modelE/). The codes of BiomeE module are
- 1233 available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6476152. The simulated data have been archived at
- 1234 Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6480411).

1235

1236 Author contributions

1257 Everence and performed test runs and data analysis. Ever and Die wrote the mist di	1237	EW coded the model and	performed test runs and data analy	vsis. EW and BIC wrote the first draf
---	------	------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

- 1238 of the manuscript. BIC, MJP, SSM, NYK, and EW designed the functional coupling with
- 1239 ModelE and the land module. NYK, IA, RS, and MK contributed to input data, the IO structure
- 1240 and the coupling between BiomeE and ModelE. KW, RD, CE, and SWP contributed to
- 1241 conceptual model development and PFT design. All co-authors contributed to writing or
- 1242 improving the manuscript.
- 1243

1244 (Competing	interests
--------	-----------	-----------

- 1245 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 1246

1247 Acknowledgements

- 1248 This work was supported by NASA Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction (MAP) Program (award
- 1249 numbers: 80NSSC21K1496, NNH10ZDA001N, and 16-MAP16-0149). Computing resources for
- 1250 the model runs were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the
- 1251 NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCCS) at Goddard Space Flight Center. We thank Dr.
- 1252 Pierre Gentine of Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, for
- 1253 his help in GPP data and model validation, and Dr. Anastasia Romanou of NASA Goddard
- 1254 Institute of Space Studies for discussions in ModelE codes structure.
- 1255

1256 Reference

- 1257 Aakala, T., Fraver, S., Palik, B. J., and D'Amato, A. W.: Spatially random mortality in old-
- 1258 growth red pine forests of northern Minnesota, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 42, 899-
- 1259 907, https://doi.org/10.1139/x2012-044, 2012.
- Abramoff, R. Z. and Finzi, A. C.: Are above- and below-ground phenology in sync?, New
 Phytologist, 205, 1054–1061, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13111, 2015.
- Aerts, R.: The advantages of being evergreen, Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 10, 402–407,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)89156-9, 1995.
- 1264 Ainsworth, E. A. and Long, S. P.: What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2
- 1265 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy
- 1266 properties and plant production to rising CO2: Tansley review, New Phytologist, 165, 351–372,
- 1267 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x, 2004.
- Aleixo, I., Norris, D., Hemerik, L., Barbosa, A., Prata, E., Costa, F., and Poorter, L.: Amazonian
 rainforest tree mortality driven by climate and functional traits, Nature Climate Change, 9, 384–
 388, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0458-0, 2019.
- 1271 Alemohammad, S. H., Fang, B., Konings, A. G., Aires, F., Green, J. K., Kolassa, J., Miralles, D.,
- 1272 Prigent, C., and Gentine, P.: Water, Energy, and Carbon with Artificial Neural Networks
- (WECANN): a statistically based estimate of global surface turbulent fluxes and gross primary
 productivity using solar-induced fluorescence, Biogeosciences, 14, 4101–4124,
- 1275 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4101-2017, 2017.
- 1276 Alexander, K. and Easterbrook, S. M.: The software architecture of climate models: a graphical
- 1276 Alexander, K. and Easterbrook, S. M.. The software architecture of chinate models. a graphical 1277 comparison of CMIP5 and EMICAR5 configurations, Geoscientific Model Development, 8,
- 1278 1221–1232, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-1221-2015, 2015.
- 1279 Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M.,
- 1280 Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H. (Ted), Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R.,
- 1281 Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A., and
- 1282 Cobb, N.: A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging 1283 climate change risks for forests, Forest Ecology and Management, 259, 660–684,
- 1284 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001, 2010.
- 1285 Anderegg, W. R. L., Kane, J. M., and Anderegg, L. D. L.: Consequences of widespread tree
- 1286 mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress, Nature Climate Change, 3, 30–36,
- 1287 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1635, 2012.
- Anten, N. P.: Evolutionarily stable leaf area production in plant populations, Journal of
 Theoretical Biology, 217, 15–32, 2002.
- 1290 Argles, A. P. K., Moore, J. R., Huntingford, C., Wiltshire, A. J., Harper, A. B., Jones, C. D., and 1291 Cox, P. M.: Robust Ecosystem Demography (RED version 1.0): a parsimonious approach to

- 1292 modelling vegetation dynamics in Earth system models, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 1293 4067-4089, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4067-2020, 2020.
- 1294 Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem
- 1295 component of climate models, Global Change Biology, 11, 39-59,
- 1296 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00890.x, 2005.
- 1297 Arora, V. K., Katavouta, A., Williams, R. G., Jones, C. D., Brovkin, V., Friedlingstein, P.,
- 1298 Schwinger, J., Bopp, L., Boucher, O., Cadule, P., Chamberlain, M. A., Christian, J. R., Delire,
- 1299 C., Fisher, R. A., Hajima, T., Ilyina, T., Joetzjer, E., Kawamiya, M., Koven, C. D., Krasting, J.
- 1300 P., Law, R. M., Lawrence, D. M., Lenton, A., Lindsay, K., Pongratz, J., Raddatz, T., Séférian,
- 1301 R., Tachiiri, K., Tjiputra, J. F., Wiltshire, A., Wu, T., and Ziehn, T.: Carbon-concentration and
- 1302 carbon-climate feedbacks in CMIP6 models and their comparison to CMIP5 models,
- Biogeosciences, 17, 4173-4222, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-4173-2020, 2020. 1303
- Avissar, R. and Werth, D.: Global Hydroclimatological Teleconnections Resulting from Tropical 1304 1305 Deforestation, J. Hydrometeor., 6, 134-145, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM406.1, 2005.
- 1306 Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer,
- 1307 C., Davis, K., Evans, R., Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X., Malhi, Y.,
- 1308 Meyers, T., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw U, K. T., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H. P., Valentini, R.,
- Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: FLUXNET: A New Tool to Study the 1309
- 1310 Temporal and Spatial Variability of Ecosystem-Scale Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapor, and Energy
- 1311 Flux Densities, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 82, 2415-2434, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 1312 0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.
- 1313 Beer: Bestimmung der Absorption des rothen Lichts in farbigen Flüssigkeiten, Annalen der
- 1314 Physik, 162, 78-88, https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.18521620505, 1852.
- 1315 Berzaghi, F., Wright, I. J., Kramer, K., Oddou-Muratorio, S., Bohn, F. J., Reyer, C. P. O.,
- 1316 Sabaté, S., Sanders, T. G. M., and Hartig, F.: Towards a New Generation of Trait-Flexible
- 1317 Vegetation Models, Trends in Ecology & Evolution, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.11.006, 1318 2019.
- 1319 Bonan, G. B., Lawrence, P. J., Oleson, K. W., Levis, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Lawrence, D.
- 1320 M., and Swenson, S. C.: Improving canopy processes in the Community Land Model version 4
- (CLM4) using global flux fields empirically inferred from FLUXNET data, Journal of 1321
- 1322 Geophysical Research, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001593, 2011.
- 1323 Brando, P. M., Paolucci, L., Ummenhofer, C. C., Ordway, E. M., Hartmann, H., Cattau, M. E.,
- 1324 Rattis, L., Medjibe, V., Coe, M. T., and Balch, J.: Droughts, Wildfires, and Forest Carbon
- Cycling: A Pantropical Synthesis, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 47, 555-581, 1325
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010235, 2019. 1326
- 1327 Briones, M. J. I., McNamara, N. P., Poskitt, J., Crow, S. E., and Ostle, N. J.: Interactive biotic
- 1328 and abiotic regulators of soil carbon cycling: evidence from controlled climate experiments on
- 1329 peatland and boreal soils, GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY, 20, 2971-2982, 1330
 - https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12585, 2014.

- 1331 Brodribb, T. J., Powers, J., Cochard, H., and Choat, B.: Hanging by a thread? Forests and
- 1332 drought, Science, 368, 261–266, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat7631, 2020.
- Caldararu, S., Purves, D. W., and Palmer, P. I.: Phenology as a strategy for carbon optimality: a
 global model, Biogeosciences, 11, 763–778, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-763-2014, 2014.
- 1335 Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S. L., Swenson, N. G., and Zanne, A. E.: Towards a
- 1336 worldwide wood economics spectrum, Ecology Letters, 12, 351–366,
- 1337 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01285.x, 2009.
- 1338 Chen, M., Melaas, E. K., Gray, J. M., Friedl, M. A., and Richardson, A. D.: A new seasonal-
- 1339 deciduous spring phenology submodel in the Community Land Model 4.5: impacts on carbon
- and water cycling under future climate scenarios, Global Change Biology, 22, 3675–3688,
- 1341 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13326, 2016.
- 1342 Chen, Y., Xia, J., Sun, Z., Li, J., Luo, Y., Gang, C., and Wang, Z.: The role of residence time in
- diagnostic models of global carbon storage capacity: model decomposition based on a traceable
 scheme, Sci Rep, 5, 16155, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16155, 2015.
- 1345 Choat, B., Jansen, S., Brodribb, T. J., Cochard, H., Delzon, S., Bhaskar, R., Bucci, S. J., Feild, T.
- 1346 S., Gleason, S. M., Hacke, U. G., Jacobsen, A. L., Lens, F., Maherali, H., Martínez-Vilalta, J.,
- Mayr, S., Mencuccini, M., Mitchell, P. J., Nardini, A., Pittermann, J., Pratt, R. B., Sperry, J. S.,
 Westoby, M., Wright, I. J., and Zanne, A. E.: Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests
- 1349 to drought, Nature, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11688, 2012.
- 1350 Chuine, I.: Why does phenology drive species distribution?, Philosophical Transactions of the
- Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365, 3149–3160, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0142,
 2010.
- 1353 Clark, J. S., Iverson, L., Woodall, C. W., Allen, C. D., Bell, D. M., Bragg, D. C., D'Amato, A.
- 1354 W., Davis, F. W., Hersh, M. H., Ibanez, I., Jackson, S. T., Matthews, S., Pederson, N., Peters,
- 1355 M., Schwartz, M. W., Waring, K. M., and Zimmermann, N. E.: The impacts of increasing
- 1356 drought on forest dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the United States, Global Change
- 1357 Biology, 22, 2329–2352, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13160, 2016.
- 1358 Coomes, D. A., Allen, R. B., Bentley, W. A., Burrows, L. E., Canham, C. D., Fagan, L., Forsyth,
- 1359 D. M., Gaxiola-Alcantar, A., Parfitt, R. L., Ruscoe, W. A., Wardle, D. A., Wilson, D. J., and
- 1360 Wright, E. F.: The hare, the tortoise and the crocodile: the ecology of angiosperm dominance,
- 1361 conifer persistence and fern filtering, Journal of Ecology, 93, 918–935,
- 1362 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2005.01012.x, 2005.
- 1363 Crowther, T. W., Todd-Brown, K. E. O., Rowe, C. W., Wieder, W. R., Carey, J. C., Machmuller,
- 1364 M. B., Snoek, B. L., Fang, S., Zhou, G., Allison, S. D., Blair, J. M., Bridgham, S. D., Burton, A.
- 1365 J., Carrillo, Y., Reich, P. B., Clark, J. S., Classen, A. T., Dijkstra, F. A., Elberling, B., Emmett,
- 1366 B. A., Estiarte, M., Frey, S. D., Guo, J., Harte, J., Jiang, L., Johnson, B. R., Kröel-Dulay, G.,
- Larsen, K. S., Laudon, H., Lavallee, J. M., Luo, Y., Lupascu, M., Ma, L. N., Marhan, S.,
 Michelsen, A., Mohan, J., Niu, S., Pendall, E., Peñuelas, J., Pfeifer-Meister, L., Poll, C., Reinsch,
- S., Reynolds, L. L., Schmidt, I. K., Sistla, S., Sokol, N. W., Templer, P. H., Treseder, K. K.,
- 1309 S., Keynolds, L. L., Schmidt, I. K., Sistia, S., Sokol, N. W., Templer, P. H., Treseder, K. K.,

- 1370 Welker, J. M., and Bradford, M. A.: Quantifying global soil carbon losses in response to
- 1371 warming, Nature, 540, 104–108, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20150, 2016.
- 1372 Cui, E., Huang, K., Arain, M. A., Fisher, J. B., Huntzinger, D. N., Ito, A., Luo, Y., Jain, A. K.,
- 1373 Mao, J., Michalak, A. M., Niu, S., Parazoo, N. C., Peng, C., Peng, S., Poulter, B., Ricciuto, D.
- 1374 M., Schaefer, K. M., Schwalm, C. R., Shi, X., Tian, H., Wang, W., Wang, J., Wei, Y., Yan, E.,
- 1375 Yan, L., Zeng, N., Zhu, Q., and Xia, J.: Vegetation Functional Properties Determine Uncertainty
- 1376 of Simulated Ecosystem Productivity: A Traceability Analysis in the East Asian Monsoon
- 1377 Region, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 668–689, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005909, 1278 2010
- 1378 2019.
- 1379 Dahlin, K. M., Fisher, R. A., and Lawrence, P. J.: Environmental drivers of drought deciduous
- 1380 phenology in the Community Land Model, Biogeosciences, 12, 5061–5074,
- 1381 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5061-2015, 2015.
- 1382Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and1383feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04514, 2006.
- 1384 De Kauwe, M. G., Zhou, S.-X., Medlyn, B. E., Pitman, A. J., Wang, Y.-P., Duursma, R. A., and
- 1385 Prentice, I. C.: Do land surface models need to include differential plant species responses to 1386 drought? Examining model predictions across a mesic-xeric gradient in Europe, Biogeosciences,
- 1387 12, 7503–7518, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-7503-2015, 2015.
- 1388 Dieckmann, U., Brannstrom, A., HilleRisLambes, R., and Ito, H. C.: The Adaptive Dynamics of
- Community Structure, in: Mathematics for Ecology and Environmental Sciences, edited by:
 Takeuchi, Yasuhiro, Iwasa, Yoh, and Sato, Kazunori, Springer, 145–177, 2007.
- 1390 Takeuchi, Yasuniro, Iwasa, Yon, and Sato, Kazunori, Springer, 145–177, 2007.
- 1391 Dietze, M. C.: Gaps in knowledge and data driving uncertainty in models of photosynthesis,
- 1392 PHOTOSYNTHESIS RESEARCH, 119, 3–14, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9836-z, 1393 2014.
- 1394 Duncanson, L., Neuenschwander, A., Hancock, S., Thomas, N., Fatoyinbo, T., Simard, M.,
- 1395 Silva, C. A., Armston, J., Luthcke, S. B., Hofton, M., Kellner, J. R., and Dubayah, R.: Biomass
- 1396 estimation from simulated GEDI, ICESat-2 and NISAR across environmental gradients in
- 1397 Sonoma County, California, Remote Sensing of Environment, 242, 111779,
- 1398 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111779, 2020.
- 1399 Dybzinski, R., Farrior, C., Wolf, A., Reich, P. B., and Pacala, S. W.: Evolutionarily Stable
- 1400 Strategy Carbon Allocation to Foliage, Wood, and Fine Roots in Trees Competing for Light and
- 1401 Nitrogen: An Analytically Tractable, Individual-Based Model and Quantitative Comparisons to
- 1402 Data, American Naturalist, 177, 153–166, https://doi.org/10.1086/657992, 2011.
- 1403 Dybzinski, R., Farrior, C. E., and Pacala, S. W.: Increased forest carbon storage with increased
- 1404 atmospheric CO2 despite nitrogen limitation: a game-theoretic allocation model for trees in
- 1405 competition for nitrogen and light, Global Change Biology, 21, 1182–1196,
- 1406 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12783, 2015.

- 1407 Emanuel, W. R. and Killough, G. G.: Modeling terrestrial ecosystems in the global carbon cycle
- 1408 with Shifts in carbon storage capacity by land-use change, Ecology, 65, 970–983,
- 1409 https://doi.org/10.2307/1938069, 1984.
- 1410 Eriksson, E.: Compartment Models and Reservoir Theory, Annual Review of Ecology and 1411 Systematics, 2, 67–84, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.02.110171.000435, 1971.
- 1412 Euskirchen, E. S., Edgar, C. W., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P., and Harden, J. W.:
- 1413 Differential response of carbon fluxes to climate in three peatland ecosystems that vary in the
- 1414 presence and stability of permafrost, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119,
- 1415 1576–1595, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JG002683, 2014.
- 1416Falster, D. and Westoby, M.: Plant height and evolutionary games, Trends in Ecology &1417Evolution, 18, 337–343, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00061-2, 2003.
- 1418 Falster, D. S., FitzJohn, R. G., Brannstrom, A., Dieckmann, U., and Westoby, M.: plant: A
- package for modelling forest trait ecology and evolution, METHODS IN ECOLOGY AND
 EVOLUTION, 7, 136–146, https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12525, 2016.
- 1421 Falster, D. S., Braennstroem, A., Westoby, M., and Dieckmann, U.: Multitrait successional forest
- 1422 dynamics enable diverse competitive coexistence, PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL
- ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 114, E2719–E2728,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610206114, 2017.
- 1425 Famiglietti, C. A., Smallman, T. L., Levine, P. A., Flack-Prain, S., Quetin, G. R., Meyer, V.,
- 1426 Parazoo, N. C., Stettz, S. G., Yang, Y., Bonal, D., Bloom, A. A., Williams, M., and Konings, A.
- G.: Optimal model complexity for terrestrial carbon cycle prediction, Biogeosciences, 18, 2727–
 2754, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2727-2021, 2021.
- 1120 275 1, https://doi/ofg/10.519/#6g/10/2727/2021, 2021.
- 1429 Farrior, C. E.: Theory predicts plants grow roots to compete with only their closest neighbours,
- 1430 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 286, 20191129,
- 1431 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1129, 2019.
- 1432 Farrior, C. E., Dybzinski, R., Levin, S. A., and Pacala, S. W.: Competition for Water and Light
- 1433 in Closed-Canopy Forests: A Tractable Model of Carbon Allocation with Implications for
- 1434 Carbon Sinks, American Naturalist, 181, 314–330, https://doi.org/10.1086/669153, 2013.
- 1435 Fisher, R. A. and Koven, C. D.: Perspectives on the Future of Land Surface Models and the
- Challenges of Representing Complex Terrestrial Systems, Journal of Advances in Modeling
 Earth Systems, 12, e2018MS001453, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001453, 2020.
- 1438 Fisher, R. A., Muszala, S., Verteinstein, M., Lawrence, P., Xu, C., McDowell, N. G., Knox, R.
- 1439 G., Koven, C., Holm, J., Rogers, B. M., Spessa, A., Lawrence, D., and Bonan, G.: Taking off the
- training wheels: the properties of a dynamic vegetation model without climate envelopes,
- 1441 CLM4.5(ED), GEOSCIENTIFIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT, 8, 3593-3619,
- 1442 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3593-2015, 2015.

- 1443 Forster, P.: Half a century of robust climate models, Nature, 545, 296–297,
- 1444 https://doi.org/10.1038/545296a, 2017.
- 1445 Franklin, O., Johansson, J., Dewar, R. C., Dieckmann, U., McMurtrie, R. E., Brannstrom, A., and
- 1446 Dybzinski, R.: Modeling carbon allocation in trees: a search for principles, Tree Physiology, 32,
 1447 648–666, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpr138, 2012.
- 1448 Franklin, O., Harrison, S. P., Dewar, R., Farrior, C. E., Brännström, Å., Dieckmann, U., Pietsch,
- 1449 S., Falster, D., Cramer, W., Loreau, M., Wang, H., Mäkelä, A., Rebel, K. T., Meron, E.,
- 1450 Schymanski, S. J., Rovenskaya, E., Stocker, B. D., Zaehle, S., Manzoni, S., van Oijen, M.,
- 1451 Wright, I. J., Ciais, P., van Bodegom, P. M., Peñuelas, J., Hofhansl, F., Terrer, C.,
- 1452 Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Midgley, G., and Prentice, I. C.: Organizing principles for vegetation
- 1453 dynamics, Nature Plants, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0655-x, 2020.
- 1454 Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., and
- 1455 Huang, X.: MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization
- 1456 of new datasets, Remote Sensing of Environment, 114, 168–182,
- 1457 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.016, 2010.
- 1458 Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V. K., Jones, C. D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S. K., and
- Knutti, R.: Uncertainties in CMIP5 Climate Projections due to Carbon Cycle Feedbacks, Journal
 of Climate, 27, 511–526, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00579.1, 2014.
- 1461 Friend, A. D., Stevens, A. K., Knox, R. G., and Cannell, M. G. R.: A process-based, terrestrial
- biosphere model of ecosystem dynamics (Hybrid v3.0), Ecological Modelling, 95, 249–287,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(96)00034-8, 1997.
- Friend, A. D., Arneth, A., Kiang, N. Y., Lomas, M., Ogee, J., Roedenbeckk, C., Running, S. W.,
 Santaren, J.-D., Sitch, S., Viovy, N., Woodward, F. I., and Zaehle, S.: FLUXNET and modelling
 the global carbon cycle, Global Change Biology, 13, 610–633, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 1467 2486.2006.01223.x, 2007.
- 1468 Garcia, E. S., Swann, A. L. S., Villegas, J. C., Breshears, D. D., Law, D. J., Saleska, S. R., and
- 1469 Stark, S. C.: Synergistic Ecoclimate Teleconnections from Forest Loss in Different Regions
- 1470 Structure Global Ecological Responses, PLoS One, 11,
- 1471 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165042, 2016.
- Givnish, T.: Adaptive significance of evergreen vs. deciduous leaves: solving the triple paradox,
 Silva Fenn., 36, https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.535, 2002.
- 1474 Gleason, K. E., Bradford, J. B., Bottero, A., D'Amato, A. W., Fraver, S., Palik, B. J., Battaglia,
- M. A., Iverson, L., Kenefic, L., and Kern, C. C.: Competition amplifies drought stress in forests
 across broad climatic and compositional gradients, Ecosphere, 8, e01849,
- 1477 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1849, 2017.
- 1478 Green, J. K., Konings, A. G., Alemohammad, S. H., Berry, J., Entekhabi, D., Kolassa, J., Lee, J.-
- 1479 E., and Gentine, P.: Regionally strong feedbacks between the atmosphere and terrestrial
- 1480 biosphere, Nature Geosci, 10, 410–414, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2957, 2017.

- 1481 Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Kharecha, P., Lacis, A., Miller, R., Nazarenko, L., Lo, K.,
- 1482 Schmidt, G. A., Russell, G., Aleinov, I., Bauer, S., Baum, E., Cairns, B., Canuto, V., Chandler,
- 1483 M., Cheng, Y., Cohen, A., Del Genio, A., Faluvegi, G., Fleming, E., Friend, A., Hall, T.,
- 1484 Jackman, C., Jonas, J., Kelley, M., Kiang, N. Y., Koch, D., Labow, G., Lerner, J., Menon, S.,
- 1485 Novakov, T., Oinas, V., Perlwitz, Ja., Perlwitz, Ju., Rind, D., Romanou, A., Schmunk, R.,
- 1486 Shindell, D., Stone, P., Sun, S., Streets, D., Tausnev, N., Thresher, D., Unger, N., Yao, M., and

1487 Zhang, S.: Climate simulations for 1880-2003 with GISS modelE, CLIMATE DYNAMICS, 29,

1488 661–696, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0255-8, 2007.

- 1489 Harper, A. B., Williams, K. E., McGuire, P. C., Duran Rojas, M. C., Hemming, D., Verhoef, A.,
- 1490 Huntingford, C., Rowland, L., Marthews, T., Breder Eller, C., Mathison, C., Nobrega, R. L. B.,
- 1491 Gedney, N., Vidale, P. L., Otu-Larbi, F., Pandey, D., Garrigues, S., Wright, A., Slevin, D., De
- 1492 Kauwe, M. G., Blyth, E., Ardö, J., Black, A., Bonal, D., Buchmann, N., Burban, B., Fuchs, K.,
- 1493 de Grandcourt, A., Mammarella, I., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Nouvellon, Y., Restrepo-
- Coupe, N., and Wohlfahrt, G.: Improvement of modeling plant responses to low soil moisture in
 JULESvn4.9 and evaluation against flux tower measurements, Geoscientific Model
- 1495 JULESvn4.9 and evaluation against flux tower measurements, Geoscientific Model 1496 Development, 14, 3269–3294, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3269-2021, 2021.
- $1470 \qquad \text{Development, } 14, 3207 3274, \text{ https://doi.org/10.3194/gmd-14-3207-2021, 2021.}$
- 1497 Harrison, S. P., Cramer, W., Franklin, O., Prentice, I. C., Wang, H., Brännström, Å., de Boer, H.,
- 1498 Dieckmann, U., Joshi, J., Keenan, T. F., Lavergne, A., Manzoni, S., Mengoli, G., Morfopoulos,
- C., Peñuelas, J., Pietsch, S., Rebel, K. T., Ryu, Y., Smith, N. G., Stocker, B. D., and Wright, I. J.:
 Eco-evolutionary optimality as a means to improve vegetation and land-surface models, New
- Phytologist, 231, 2125–2141, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17558, 2021.
- 1301 1 hytologist, 231, 2123–2141, https://doi.org/10.1111/hph.1/336, 2021.
- 1502 Hengeveld, G. M., Gunia, K., Didion, M., Zudin, S., Clerkx, A. P. P. M., and Schelhaas, M. J.:
- 1503 Global 1-degree Maps of Forest Area, Carbon Stocks, and Biomass, 1950-2010, ,
- 1504 https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1296, 2015.
- Hikosaka, K. and Anten, N. P. R.: An evolutionary game of leaf dynamics and its consequences
 for canopy structure, Functional Ecology, 26, 1024–1032, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 1506 for canopy structure, Func 1507 2435.2012.02042.x, 2012.
- 1508 Hourdin, F., Mauritsen, T., Gettelman, A., Golaz, J.-C., Balaji, V., Duan, Q., Folini, D., Ji, D.,
- 1509 Klocke, D., Qian, Y., Rauser, F., Rio, C., Tomassini, L., Watanabe, M., and Williamson, D.: The
- Art and Science of Climate Model Tuning, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 98,
 589–602, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00135.1, 2017.
- 1512 Huang, M., Piao, S., Sun, Y., Ciais, P., Cheng, L., Mao, J., Poulter, B., Shi, X., Zeng, Z., and
- 1513 Wang, Y.: Change in terrestrial ecosystem water-use efficiency over the last three decades,
- 1514 GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY, 21, 2366–2378, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12873, 2015.
- 1515 Huntzinger, D. N., Schwalm, C., Michalak, A. M., Schaefer, K., King, A. W., Wei, Y., Jacobson,
- 1516 A., Liu, S., Cook, R. B., Post, W. M., Berthier, G., Hayes, D., Huang, M., Ito, A., Lei, H., Lu, C.,
- 1517 Mao, J., Peng, C. H., Peng, S., Poulter, B., Riccuito, D., Shi, X., Tian, H., Wang, W., Zeng, N.,
- 1518 Zhao, F., and Zhu, Q.: The North American Carbon Program Multi-Scale Synthesis and
- 1519 Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project Part 1: Overview and experimental design,

- 1520 Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 2121–2133, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-2121-2013, 1521 2013.
- 1522 Ito, G., Romanou, A., Kiang, N. Y., Faluvegi, G., Aleinov, I., Ruedy, R., Russell, G., Lerner, P.,
- Kelley, M., and Lo, K.: Global Carbon Cycle and Climate Feedbacks in the NASA GISS 1523
- 1524 ModelE2.1, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS002030,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002030, 2020. 1525
- 1526 Jiang, L., Shi, Z., Xia, J., Liang, J., Lu, X., Wang, Y., and Luo, Y.: Transient Traceability
- Analysis of Land Carbon Storage Dynamics: Procedures and Its Application to Two Forest 1527 1528 Ecosystems, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 9, 2822-2835,
- 1529 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001004, 2017.
- 1530 Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., and
- 1531 Richardson, A. D.: Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise, Nature, 499, 324-327, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12291, 2013. 1532
- 1533 Kelley, M., Schmidt, G. A., Nazarenko, L. S., Bauer, S. E., Ruedy, R., Russell, G. L., Ackerman,
- 1534 A. S., Aleinov, I., Bauer, M., Bleck, R., Canuto, V., Cesana, G., Cheng, Y., Clune, T. L., Cook,
- B. I., Cruz, C. A., Del Genio, A. D., Elsaesser, G. S., Faluvegi, G., Kiang, N. Y., Kim, D., Lacis, 1535
- 1536 A. A., Leboissetier, A., LeGrande, A. N., Lo, K. K., Marshall, J., Matthews, E. E., McDermid,
- S., Mezuman, K., Miller, R. L., Murray, L. T., Oinas, V., Orbe, C., García-Pando, C. P., 1537
- 1538 Perlwitz, J. P., Puma, M. J., Rind, D., Romanou, A., Shindell, D. T., Sun, S., Tausnev, N.,
- 1539 Tsigaridis, K., Tselioudis, G., Weng, E., Wu, J., and Yao, M.-S.: GISS-E2.1: Configurations and
- 1540 Climatology, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS002025,
- 1541 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002025, 2020.
- 1542 Kim, Y., Moorcroft, P. R., Aleinov, I., Puma, M. J., and Kiang, N. Y.: Variability of phenology
- and fluxes of water and carbon with observed and simulated soil moisture in the Ent Terrestrial 1543
- 1544 Biosphere Model (Ent TBM version 1.0.1.0.0), Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 3837-
- 3865, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3837-2015, 2015. 1545
- Kyker-Snowman, E., Lombardozzi, D. L., Bonan, G. B., Cheng, S. J., Dukes, J. S., Frey, S. D., 1546
- Jacobs, E. M., McNellis, R., Rady, J. M., Smith, N. G., Thomas, R. Q., Wieder, W. R., and 1547
- 1548 Grandy, A. S.: Increasing the spatial and temporal impact of ecological research: A roadmap for
- integrating a novel terrestrial process into an Earth system model, Global Change Biology, 28, 1549
- 1550 665-684, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15894, 2022.
- 1551 Levine, J. I., Levine, J. M., Gibbs, T., and Pacala, S. W.: Competition for water and species coexistence in phenologically structured annual plant communities, Ecology Letters, 25, 1110-1552
- 1125, https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13990, 2022. 1553
- 1554 Litton, C. M., Raich, J. W., and Ryan, M. G.: Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems, Global Change Biol, 13, 2089–2109, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01420.x, 2007. 1555
- Liu, H., Gleason, S. M., Hao, G., Hua, L., He, P., Goldstein, G., and Ye, Q.: Hydraulic traits are 1556
- 1557 coordinated with maximum plant height at the global scale, Science Advances, 5, eaav1332, 1558
 - https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav1332, 2019.

- 1559 Lloret, F., Escudero, A., Iriondo, J. M., Martínez-Vilalta, J., and Valladares, F.: Extreme climatic
- 1560 events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes, Global Change Biology, 18, 797-805,
- 1561 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02624.x, 2012.
- 1562 Lu, R., Qiao, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, C., Cui, E., Xu, X., He, Y., Zhao, Z., Du, Y., Yan, L., Shen, G.,
- 1563 Yang, Q., Wang, X., and Xia, J.: The U-shaped pattern of size-dependent mortality and its
- correlated factors in a subtropical monsoon evergreen forest, Journal of Ecology, 109, 2421-1564
- 1565 2433, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13652, 2021.
- 1566 Luo, Y.: Terrestrial carbon-cycle feedback to climate warming, ANNUAL REVIEW OF
- 1567 ECOLOGY EVOLUTION AND SYSTEMATICS, 38, 683-712,
- 1568 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095808, 2007.
- 1569 Luo, Y. and Schuur, E. A. G.: Model parameterization to represent processes at unresolved
- 1570 scales and changing properties of evolving systems, Global Change Biology, 26, 1109-1117, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14939, 2020. 1571
- 1572 Luo, Y., Weng, E., Wu, X., Gao, C., Zhou, X., and Zhang, L.: Parameter identifiability,

constraint, and equifinality in data assimilation with ecosystem models, Ecological Applications, 1573 1574 19, 571-574, https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0561.1, 2009.

- 1575 Luo, Y., Ogle, K., Tucker, C., Fei, S., Gao, C., LaDeau, S., Clark, J. S., and Schimel, D. S.:
- 1576 Ecological forecasting and data assimilation in a data-rich era, Ecological Applications, 21, 1577 1429-1442, https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1275.1, 2011.
- Luo, Y. Q., Randerson, J. T., Abramowitz, G., Bacour, C., Blyth, E., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., 1578
- 1579 Dalmonech, D., Fisher, J. B., Fisher, R., Friedlingstein, P., Hibbard, K., Hoffman, F.,
- 1580 Huntzinger, D., Jones, C. D., Koven, C., Lawrence, D., Li, D. J., Mahecha, M., Niu, S. L.,
- 1581 Norby, R., Piao, S. L., Qi, X., Peylin, P., Prentice, I. C., Riley, W., Reichstein, M., Schwalm, C.,
- 1582 Wang, Y. P., Xia, J. Y., Zaehle, S., and Zhou, X. H.: A framework for benchmarking land
- 1583 models, Biogeosciences, 9, 3857-3874, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-3857-2012, 2012.
- 1584 MacBean, N., Peylin, P., Chevallier, F., Scholze, M., and Schuermann, G.: Consistent
- 1585 assimilation of multiple data streams in a carbon cycle data assimilation system, Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3569-3588, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3569-2016, 2016. 1586
- Manzoni, S., Trofymow, J. A., Jackson, R. B., and Porporato, A.: Stoichiometric controls on 1587 1588 carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus dynamics in decomposing litter, Ecological Monographs, 80, 1589 89-106, 2010.
- 1590 Manzoni, S., Vico, G., Thompson, S., Beyer, F., and Weih, M.: Contrasting leaf phenological
- 1591 strategies optimize carbon gain under droughts of different duration, Advances in Water
- Resources, 84, 37-51, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.08.001, 2015. 1592
- McDowell, N. G.: Mechanisms Linking Drought, Hydraulics, Carbon Metabolism, and 1593
- 1594 Vegetation Mortality, PLANT PHYSIOLOGY, 155, 1051-1059,
- 1595 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.170704, 2011.

- 1596 McDowell, N. G., Allen, C. D., Anderson-Teixeira, K., Aukema, B. H., Bond-Lamberty, B.,
- 1597 Chini, L., Clark, J. S., Dietze, M., Grossiord, C., Hanbury-Brown, A., Hurtt, G. C., Jackson, R.
- 1598 B., Johnson, D. J., Kueppers, L., Lichstein, J. W., Ogle, K., Poulter, B., Pugh, T. A. M., Seidl,
- 1599 R., Turner, M. G., Uriarte, M., Walker, A. P., and Xu, C.: Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics in a
- 1600 changing world, Science, 368, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz9463, 2020.
- 1601 McNickle, G. G., Gonzalez-Meler, M. A., Lynch, D. J., Baltzer, J. L., and Brown, J. S.: The
- 1602 world's biomes and primary production as a triple tragedy of the commons foraging game played
- among plants, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 283, 20161993, 1603
- 1604 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1993, 2016.
- 1605 Meir, P., Cox, P., and Grace, J.: The influence of terrestrial ecosystems on climate, Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 21, 254–260, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.03.005, 2006. 1606
- van der Molen, M. K., Dolman, A. J., Ciais, P., Eglin, T., Gobron, N., Law, B. E., Meir, P., 1607
- Peters, W., Phillips, O. L., Reichstein, M., Chen, T., Dekker, S. C., Doubková, M., Friedl, M. A., 1608
- 1609 Jung, M., van den Hurk, B. J. J. M., de Jeu, R. A. M., Kruijt, B., Ohta, T., Rebel, K. T.,
- 1610 Plummer, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Sitch, S., Teuling, A. J., van der Werf, G. R., and Wang, G.:
- Drought and ecosystem carbon cycling, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151, 765-773, 1611 1612
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.01.018, 2011.
- 1613 Montané, F., Fox, A. M., Arellano, A. F., MacBean, N., Alexander, M. R., Dye, A., Bishop, D.
- 1614 A., Trouet, V., Babst, F., Hessl, A. E., Pederson, N., Blanken, P. D., Bohrer, G., Gough, C. M., Litvak, M. E., Novick, K. A., Phillips, R. P., Wood, J. D., and Moore, D. J. P.: Evaluating the 1615
- 1616 effect of alternative carbon allocation schemes in a land surface model (CLM4.5) on carbon
- fluxes, pools, and turnover in temperate forests, Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 3499-1617
- 1618 3517, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3499-2017, 2017.
- 1619 Niinemets, Ü. and Anten, N. P. R.: Packing the Photosynthetic Machinery: From Leaf to
- 1620 Canopy, in: Photosynthesis in silico: Understanding Complexity from Molecules to Ecosystems, edited by: Laisk, A., Nedbal, L., and Govindjee, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 363-399, 1621
- 1622 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9237-4 16, 2009.
- 1623 Niinemets, Ü., Keenan, T. F., and Hallik, L.: A worldwide analysis of within-canopy variations
- 1624 in leaf structural, chemical and physiological traits across plant functional types, New
- 1625 Phytologist, 205, 973-993, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13096, 2015.
- 1626 Niklas, K.: Plant Height and the Properties of Some Herbaceous Stems, Annals of Botany, 75, 1627 133-142, https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1995.1004, 1995.
- Nobre, C. A., Sellers, P. J., and Shukla, J.: Amazonian Deforestation and Regional Climate 1628
- 1629 Change, J. Climate, 4, 957-988, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 1630 0442(1991)004<0957:ADARCC>2.0.CO;2, 1991.
- 1631 Oliveira, R. S., Eller, C. B., Barros, F. de V., Hirota, M., Brum, M., and Bittencourt, P.: Linking
- 1632 plant hydraulics and the fast-slow continuum to understand resilience to drought in tropical
- 1633 ecosystems, New Phytologist, 230, 904-923, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17266, 2021.

- 1634 Osnas, J. L. D., Lichstein, J. W., Reich, P. B., and Pacala, S. W.: Global Leaf Trait
- 1635 Relationships: Mass, Area, and the Leaf Economics Spectrum, Science, 340, 741–744,
- 1636 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231574, 2013.
- 1637 Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Phillips, O. L., and Jackson, R. B.: The Structure, Distribution, and
- Biomass of the World's Forests, Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 44,
 593–622, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110512-135914, 2013.
- 1640 Park, H. and Jeong, S.: Leaf area index in Earth system models: how the key variable of
- 1641 vegetation seasonality works in climate projections, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 034027,
- 1642 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe2cf, 2021.
- 1643 Parton, W., Schimel, D., Cole, C., and Ojima, D.: Analysis of factors controlling soil organic
- 1644 matter levels in Great Plains grasslands, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 51, 1173-
- 1645 1179, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1987.03615995005100050015x, 1987.
- Parton, W. J., Stewart, J., and Cole, C.: DYNAMICS OF C, N, P AND S IN GRASSLAND
 SOILS A MODEL, Biogeochemistry, 5, 109–131, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02180320, 1988.
- 1648 Pavlick, R., Drewry, D. T., Bohn, K., Reu, B., and Kleidon, A.: The Jena Diversity-Dynamic
- 1649 Global Vegetation Model (JeDi-DGVM): a diverse approach to representing terrestrial
- biogeography and biogeochemistry based on plant functional trade-offs, BIOGEOSCIENCES,
 10, 4137–4177, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-4137-2013, 2013.
- 1652 Pielke, R. A., Sr., Avissar, RonI., Raupach, M., Dolman, A. J., Zeng, X., and Denning, A. S.:
- 1653 Interactions between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems: influence on weather and
- 1654
 climate, Global Change Biology, 4, 461–475, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.t01-1

 1655
 00176.x, 1998.
- 1656 Potter, C., Klooster, S., Myneni, R., Genovese, V., Tan, P., and Kumar, V.: Continental-scale

1657 comparisons of terrestrial carbon sinks estimated from satellite data and ecosystem modeling

- 1658 1982-1998, GLOBAL AND PLANETARY CHANGE, 39, 201–213,
- 1659 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2003.07.001, 2003.
- 1660 Potter, C. S., Randerson, J. T., Field, C. B., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., and
- 1661 Klooster, S. A.: Terrestrial ecosystem production: A process model based on global satellite and
 1662 surface data, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 7, 811–841, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB02725,
 1663 1993.
- 1664 Powell, T. L., Galbraith, D. R., Christoffersen, B. O., Harper, A., Imbuzeiro, H. M. A., Rowland,
- 1665 L., Almeida, S., Brando, P. M., da Costa, A. C. L., Costa, M. H., Levine, N. M., Malhi, Y.,
- 1666 Saleska, S. R., Sotta, E., Williams, M., Meir, P., and Moorcroft, P. R.: Confronting model
- 1667 predictions of carbon fluxes with measurements of Amazon forests subjected to experimental 1668 drought, New Phytologist, 200, 350–365, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12390, 2013.
- arought, New Frytologist, 200, 550–505, https://doi.org/10.1111/hph.12590, 2015.
- 1669 Prentice, I. C., Cramer, W., Harrison, S. P., LEEMANS, R., Monserud, R. A., and Solomon, A.
- 1670 M.: A global biome model based on plant physiology and dominance, soil properties and
- 1671 climate, Journal of Biogeography, 19, 117–134, https://doi.org/10.2307/2845499, 1992.

- 1672 Prentice, I. C., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Harrison, S. P., Hickler, T., Lucht, W., Sitch, S., Smith,
- 1673 B., and Sykes, M. T.: Dynamic Global Vegetation Modeling: Quantifying Terrestrial Ecosystem
- 1674 Responses to Large-Scale Environmental Change, in: Terrestrial Ecosystems in a Changing
- 1675 World, edited by: Canadell, J. G., Pataki, D. E., and Pitelka, L. F., Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
- Berlin, Heidelberg, 175-192, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32730-1 15, 2007. 1676
- Prentice, I. C., Dong, N., Gleason, S. M., Maire, V., and Wright, I. J.: Balancing the costs of 1677
- 1678 carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional
- 1679 ecology, Ecology Letters, 17, 82-91, https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12211, 2014.
- 1680 Purves, D. and Pacala, S.: Predictive models of forest dynamics, SCIENCE, 320, 1452-1453, 1681 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155359, 2008.
- 1682 Purves, D. W., Lichstein, J. W., Strigul, N., and Pacala, S. W.: Predicting and understanding
- 1683 forest dynamics using a simple tractable model, PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL
- ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 105, 17018-17022, 1684
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807754105, 2008. 1685
- 1686 Randerson, J., Thompson, M., Conway, T., Fung, I., and Field, C.: The contribution of terrestrial
- 1687 sources and sinks to trends in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric carbon dioxide, Global 1688 Biogeochemical Cycles, 11, 535-560, https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB02268, 1997.
- 1689 Reich, P. B.: The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto, Journal 1690 of Ecology, 102, 275-301, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211, 2014.
- 1691 Reyer, C. P. O., Leuzinger, S., Rammig, A., Wolf, A., Bartholomeus, R. P., Bonfante, A., de
- 1692 Lorenzi, F., Dury, M., Gloning, P., Abou Jaoudé, R., Klein, T., Kuster, T. M., Martins, M.,
- 1693 Niedrist, G., Riccardi, M., Wohlfahrt, G., de Angelis, P., de Dato, G., François, L., Menzel, A.,
- 1694 and Pereira, M.: A plant's perspective of extremes: terrestrial plant responses to changing
- 1695 climatic variability, Global Change Biology, 19, 75-89, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12023, 2013.
- 1696 Richardson, A. D., Anderson, R. S., Arain, M. A., Barr, A. G., Bohrer, G., Chen, G., Chen, J. M.,
- 1697 Ciais, P., Davis, K. J., Desai, A. R., Dietze, M. C., Dragoni, D., Garrity, S. R., Gough, C. M.,
- Grant, R., Hollinger, D. Y., Margolis, H. A., McCaughey, H., Migliavacca, M., Monson, R. K., 1698
- Munger, J. W., Poulter, B., Raczka, B. M., Ricciuto, D. M., Sahoo, A. K., Schaefer, K., Tian, H., 1699
- 1700 Vargas, R., Verbeeck, H., Xiao, J., and Xue, Y.: Terrestrial biosphere models need better
- representation of vegetation phenology: results from the North American Carbon Program Site 1701
- 1702 Synthesis, GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY, 18, 566-584, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 1703 2486.2011.02562.x, 2012.
- 1704 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Porporato, A., Ridolfi, L., Isham, V., and Coxi, D. R.: Probabilistic
- 1705 modelling of water balance at a point: the role of climate, soil and vegetation, Proceedings of the
- Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 455, 1706
- 3789-3805, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1999.0477, 1999. 1707
- 1708 Rosenzweig, C. and Abramopoulos, F.: Land-Surface Model Development for the GISS GCM,
- Journal of Climate, 10, 2040-2054, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-1709 1710
 - 0442(1997)010<2040:LSMDFT>2.0.CO;2, 1997.

- 1711 Scheiter, S., Langan, L., and Higgins, S. I.: Next-generation dynamic global vegetation models:
- 1712 learning from community ecology, New Phytologist, 198, 957–969,
- 1713 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12210, 2013.
- 1714 Schmidt, G. A., Kelley, M., Nazarenko, L., Ruedy, R., Russell, G. L., Aleinov, I., Bauer, M.,
- 1715 Bauer, S. E., Bhat, M. K., Bleck, R., Canuto, V., Chen, Y.-H., Cheng, Y., Clune, T. L., Del
- 1716 Genio, A., de Fainchtein, R., Faluvegi, G., Hansen, J. E., Healy, R. J., Kiang, N. Y., Koch, D.,
- 1717 Lacis, A. A., LeGrande, A. N., Lerner, J., Lo, K. K., Matthews, E. E., Menon, S., Miller, R. L.,
- 1718 Oinas, V., Oloso, A. O., Perlwitz, J. P., Puma, M. J., Putman, W. M., Rind, D., Romanou, A.,
- 1719 Sato, M., Shindell, D. T., Sun, S., Syed, R. A., Tausnev, N., Tsigaridis, K., Unger, N.,
- 1720 Voulgarakis, A., Yao, M.-S., and Zhang, J.: Configuration and assessment of the GISS ModelE2
 1721 contributions to the CMIP5 archive, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 6, 141–
- 1722 184, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013MS000265, 2014.
- 1723 Sellers, P. J.: Modeling the Exchanges of Energy, Water, and Carbon Between Continents and 1724 the Atmosphere, Science, 275, 502–509, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5299.502, 1997.
- 1725 Sierra, C. A., Ceballos-Núñez, V., Metzler, H., and Müller, M.: Representing and Understanding 1726 the Carbon Cycle Using the Theory of Compartmental Dynamical Systems, Journal of Advances
- in Modeling Earth Systems, 10, 1729–1734, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001360, 2018.
- 1728 Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J. B., and Baccini, A.: Mapping forest canopy height globally with 1729 spaceborne lidar, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 116,
- 1730 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001708, 2011.
- Singh, A. K., Dhanapal, S., and Yadav, B. S.: The dynamic responses of plant physiology and
 metabolism during environmental stress progression, Mol Biol Rep, 47, 1459–1470,
- 1733 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-019-05198-4, 2020.
- 1734 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis,
- 1735 S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics,
- 1736 plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model,
- 1737 Global Change Biology, 9, 161–185, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00569.x, 2003.
- 1738 Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A.,
- 1739 Doney, S. C., Graven, H., Heinze, C., Huntingford, C., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M.,
- 1740 Poulter, B., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., Arneth, A., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G.,
- 1741 Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Ellis, R., Gloor, M., Peylin, P., Piao, S. L., Le Quéré, C., Smith, B., Zhu,
- 1742 Z., and Myneni, R.: Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide,
- 1743 Biogeosciences, 12, 653–679, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-653-2015, 2015.
- Strigul, N., Pristinski, D., Purves, D., Dushoff, J., and Pacala, S.: Scaling from trees to forests:
 tractable macroscopic equations for forest dynamics, Ecological Monographs, 78, 523–545,
- 1746 https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0082.1, 2008.
- 1747 Swenson, N. G. and Enquist, B. J.: Ecological and evolutionary determinants of a key plant
- functional trait: wood density and its community-wide variation across latitude and elevation,
 American Journal of Botany, 94, 451–459, https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.94.3.451, 2007.

- 1750 Swinehart, D. F.: The Beer-Lambert Law, J. Chem. Educ., 39, 333,
- 1751 https://doi.org/10.1021/ed039p333, 1962.
- 1752 Tian, Y., Woodcock, C. E., Wang, Y., Privette, J. L., Shabanov, N. V., Zhou, L., Zhang, Y.,
- Buermann, W., Dong, J., Veikkanen, B., Häme, T., Andersson, K., Ozdogan, M., Knyazikhin, 1753
- 1754 Y., and Myneni, R. B.: Multiscale analysis and validation of the MODIS LAI product: I.
- Uncertainty assessment, Remote Sensing of Environment, 83, 414-430, 1755
- 1756 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00047-0, 2002.
- 1757 Tian, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Knyazikhin, Y., Bogaert, J., and Myneni, R. B.: Radiative
- 1758 transfer based scaling of LAI retrievals from reflectance data of different resolutions, Remote
- 1759 Sensing of Environment, 84, 143-159, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00102-5, 2003.
- 1760 Tifafi, M., Guenet, B., and Hatté, C.: Large Differences in Global and Regional Total Soil
- Carbon Stock Estimates Based on SoilGrids, HWSD, and NCSCD: Intercomparison and 1761
- 1762 Evaluation Based on Field Data From USA, England, Wales, and France, Global
- Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 42-56, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005678, 2018. 1763
- 1764 Tilman, D.: Plant strategies and the dynamics and structure of plant communities, Princeton 1765 University Press, Princeton, N.J, 360 pp., 1988.
- Verryckt, L. T., Vicca, S., Van Langenhove, L., Stahl, C., Asensio, D., Urbina, I., Ogaya, R., 1766
- 1767 Llusià, J., Grau, O., Peguero, G., Gargallo-Garriga, A., Courtois, E. A., Margalef, O., Portillo-
- 1768 Estrada, M., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., Fuchslueger, L., Lugli, L. F., Fernandez-Garberí, P.-R., 1769 Vallicrosa, H., Verlinden, M., Ranits, C., Vermeir, P., Coste, S., Verbruggen, E., Bréchet, L.,
- 1770 Sardans, J., Chave, J., Peñuelas, J., and Janssens, I. A.: Vertical profiles of leaf photosynthesis
- 1771 and leaf traits and soil nutrients in two tropical rainforests in French Guiana before and after a 3-
- year nitrogen and phosphorus addition experiment, Earth System Science Data, 14, 5-18, 1772
- https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-5-2022, 2022. 1773
- 1774 Volaire, F.: A unified framework of plant adaptive strategies to drought: Crossing scales and disciplines, Global Change Biology, 24, 2929–2938, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14062, 2018. 1775
- Wang, H., Prentice, I. C., Keenan, T. F., Davis, T. W., Wright, I. J., Cornwell, W. K., Evans, B. 1776
- J., and Peng, C.: Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants, Nature Plants, 1777 1778 3, 734-741, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0006-8, 2017.
- 1779 Wang, Y.-P. and Goll, D. S.: Modelling of land nutrient cycles: recent progress and future 1780 development, Fac Rev, 10, 53, https://doi.org/10.12703/r/10-53, 2021.
- 1781 Wang, Y.-P., Trudinger, C. M., and Enting, I. G.: A review of applications of model-data fusion
- 1782 to studies of terrestrial carbon fluxes at different scales, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,
- 149, 1829-1842, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.07.009, 2009. 1783
- Wei, N., Xia, J., Zhou, J., Jiang, L., Cui, E., Ping, J., and Luo, Y.: Evolution of Uncertainty in 1784
- Terrestrial Carbon Storage in Earth System Models from CMIP5 to CMIP6, Journal of Climate, 1785 1786 1, 1-33, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0763.1, 2022.

- 1787 Weiskopf, S. R., Myers, B. J. E., Arce-Plata, M. I., Blanchard, J. L., Ferrier, S., Fulton, E. A.,
- 1788 Harfoot, M., Isbell, F., Johnson, J. A., Mori, A. S., Weng, E., HarmáC ková, Z. V., Londoño-
- 1789 Murcia, M. C., Miller, B. W., Pereira, L. M., and Rosa, I. M. D.: A Conceptual Framework to
- 1790 Integrate Biodiversity, Ecosystem Function, and Ecosystem Service Models, BioScience,
- 1791 biac074, https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biac074, 2022.
- Weng, E. and Luo, Y.: Relative information contributions of model vs. data to short- and long term forecasts of forest carbon dynamics, ECOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS, 21, 1490–1505,
- 1794 2011.
- 1795 Weng, E., Luo, Y., Gao, C., and Oren, R.: Uncertainty analysis of forest carbon sink forecast
- 1796 with varying measurement errors: a data assimilation approach, Journal of Plant Ecology, 4,
- 1797 178–191, https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtr018, 2011.
- 1798 Weng, E., Farrior, C. E., Dybzinski, R., and Pacala, S. W.: Predicting vegetation type through
- 1799 physiological and environmental interactions with leaf traits: evergreen and deciduous forests in 1800 an earth system modeling framework, Global Change Biology, 23, 2482–2498,
- 1801 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13542, 2017.
- 1802 Weng, E., Dybzinski, R., Farrior, C. E., and Pacala, S. W.: Competition alters predicted forest
- carbon cycle responses to nitrogen availability and elevated CO2: simulations using an explicitly
 competitive, game-theoretic vegetation demographic model, Biogeosciences, 16, 4577–4599,
- 1805 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-4577-2019, 2019.
- 1806 Weng, E. S., Malyshev, S., Lichstein, J. W., Farrior, C. E., Dybzinski, R., Zhang, T.,
- 1807 Shevliakova, E., and Pacala, S. W.: Scaling from individual trees to forests in an Earth system
- modeling framework using a mathematically tractable model of height-structured competition,
 Biogeosciences, 12, 2655–2694, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2655-2015, 2015.
- 1810 Wieder, W. R.: Regridded Harmonized World Soil Database v1.2, ,
- 1811 https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1247, 2014.
- 1812 Wieder, W. R., Grandy, A. S., Kallenbach, C. M., and Bonan, G. B.: Integrating microbial
- 1813 physiology and physio-chemical principles in soils with the MIcrobial-MIneral Carbon
- 1814 Stabilization (MIMICS) model, BIOGEOSCIENCES, 11, 3899–3917,
- 1815 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3899-2014, 2014.
- 1816 Williams, M., Richardson, A. D., Reichstein, M., Stoy, P. C., Peylin, P., Verbeeck, H.,
- 1817 Carvalhais, N., Jung, M., Hollinger, D. Y., Kattge, J., Leuning, R., Luo, Y., Tomelleri, E.,
- 1818 Trudinger, C. M., and Wang, Y.-P.: Improving land surface models with FLUXNET data,
- 1819 Biogeosciences, 6, 1341–1359, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-1341-2009, 2009.
- Woodward, F. I., Lomas, M. R., and Betts, R. A.: Vegetation-climate feedbacks in a greenhouse
 world, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B, 353, 29–39, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0188, 1998.
- 1822 Xia, J., Luo, Y., Wang, Y.-P., and Hararuk, O.: Traceable components of terrestrial carbon
- 1823 storage capacity in biogeochemical models, Global Change Biology, 19, 2104–2116,
 - https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12172, 2013.

- 1825 Xia, J., Yuan, W., Wang, Y.-P., and Zhang, Q.: Adaptive Carbon Allocation by Plants Enhances
- 1826 the Terrestrial Carbon Sink, Sci Rep, 7, 3341, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03574-3,
 1827 2017.
- 1827 2017.
- 1828 Xia, J., Yuan, W., Lienert, S., Joos, F., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Wang, Y., Wang, X., Zhang, H.,
- 1829 Chen, Y., and Tian, X.: Global Patterns in Net Primary Production Allocation Regulated by
- 1830 Environmental Conditions and Forest Stand Age: A Model-Data Comparison, Journal of
- 1831
 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124, 2039–2059, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004777,

 1832
 2019.
- 1833 Xu, T., White, L., Hui, D., and Luo, Y.: Probabilistic inversion of a terrestrial ecosystem model:
- 1834 Analysis of uncertainty in parameter estimation and model prediction, Global Biogeochemical
- 1835 Cycles, 20, GB2007, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002468, 2006.
- 1836 Yuan, W., Luo, Y., Liang, S., Yu, G., Niu, S., Stoy, P., Chen, J., Desai, A. R., Lindroth, A.,
- 1837 Gough, C. M., Ceulemans, R., Arain, A., Bernhofer, C., Cook, B., Cook, D. R., Dragoni, D.,
- 1838 Gielen, B., Janssens, I. A., Longdoz, B., Liu, H., Lund, M., Matteucci, G., Moors, E., Scott, R.
- 1839 L., Seufert, G., and Varner, R.: Thermal adaptation of net ecosystem exchange, Biogeosciences,
- 1840 8, 1453–1463, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1453-2011, 2011.
- 1841 Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Li, L. Z. X., Zhou, L., Ciais, P., Wang, T., Li, Y., Lian, X., Wood, E. F.,
- Friedlingstein, P., Mao, J., Estes, L. D., Myneni, R. B., Peng, S., Shi, X., Seneviratne, S. I., and
 Wang, Y.: Climate mitigation from vegetation biophysical feedbacks during the past three
- 1844 decades, Nature Climate Change, 7, 432–436, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3299, 2017.
- 1845 Zhou, G., Houlton, B. Z., Wang, W., Huang, W., Xiao, Y., Zhang, Q., Liu, S., Cao, M., Wang,
- 1846 X., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Yan, J., Liu, J., Tang, X., and Zhang, D.: Substantial reorganization of
- 1847 China's tropical and subtropical forests: based on the permanent plots, Global Change Biology,
- 1848 20, 240–250, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12385, 2014.
- Zhou, J., Xia, J., Wei, N., Liu, Y., Bian, C., Bai, Y., and Luo, Y.: A traceability analysis system
 for model evaluation on land carbon dynamics: design and applications, Ecol Process, 10, 12,
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-021-00281-w, 2021.
- 1852 Zuleta, D., Arellano, G., Muller-Landau, H. C., McMahon, S. M., Aguilar, S., Bunyavejchewin,
- 1853 S., Cárdenas, D., Chang-Yang, C.-H., Duque, A., Mitre, D., Nasardin, M., Pérez, R., Sun, I.-F.,
- 1854 Yao, T. L., and Davies, S. J.: Individual tree damage dominates mortality risk factors across six
- 1855 tropical forests, New Phytologist, 233, 705–721, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17832, 2022.
- 1856

Page 30: [1] Deleted 11:34:00 PM	Weng, Ensheng (GISS-6110)[TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY]	9/10/22
Page 30: [1] Deleted 11:34:00 PM	Weng, Ensheng (GISS-6110)[TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY]	9/10/22
Page 30: [1] Deleted 11:34:00 PM	Weng, Ensheng (GISS-6110)[TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY]	9/10/22
Page 30: [1] Deleted 11:34:00 PM	Weng, Ensheng (GISS-6110)[TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY]	9/10/22
Page 42: [2] Deleted 4:07:00 PM	Weng, Ensheng (GISS-6110)[TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY]	9/8/22

L

T

1

L

▲....