Transient climate simulations of the Holocene (version 1) – experimental design and boundary conditions

Zhiping Tian[1], Dabang Jiang[1, 2], Ran Zhang[1], Baohuang Su[3]

[1]Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
5 [2]Collaborative Innovation Center on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China
[3]State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China

10 *Correspondence to*: Zhiping Tian (tianzhiping@mail.iap.ac.cn)

Abstract. The Holocene, started approximately 11.5 thousand years before present (ka), is the latest interglacial period with several rapid climate changes from decades to centuries time scales superimposed on the millennium scale mean climate trend. Climate models provide useful tools to investigate the underlying dynamic mechanisms for the climate change during this well-studied time period. Thanks to the improvements of the climate model and computational power, transient 15 simulation of the Holocene offers an opportunity to investigate the climate evolution in response to time-varying external forcings and feedbacks. Here, we present the design of a new set of transient experiments for the whole Holocene from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period (1850 CE) (HT-11.5ka) to investigate both combined and separated effects of the main external forcing of orbital insolation, atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations, and ice sheets on the climate evolution over the Holocene. The HT-11.5ka simulations are performed with a relatively high-resolution version of the comprehensive 20 Earth system model CESM1.2.1 without acceleration, both fully- and singly-forced by time-varying boundary conditions of orbital configurations, atmospheric GHGs, and ice sheets. Preliminary simulation results show a slight decrease of the global annual mean surface air temperature from 11.5 ka to 7.5 ka due to both changes in orbital insolation and GHG concentrations, with an abrupt cooling at approximate 7.5 ka, which is followed by a continuous warming until the preindustrial period mainly due to increased GHG concentrations. Both at global and zonal mean scales, the simulated annual and seasonal 25 temperature changes at 6 ka lie within the range of the 14 PMIP4 model results and are overall stronger than their arithmetic mean results for the mid-Holocene simulations. Further analyses on the HT-11.5ka transient simulation results will be covered by follow-up studies.

1 Introduction

1.1 Climate evolution over the Holocene

30 Since the end of the Younger Dryas cooling event at ~11.5 thousand years before present (ka), the global climate has entered the Holocene period. The Holocene is the latest interglacial period in the Quaternary glacial–interglacial cycles, which has experienced strong global environmental changes mainly due to the retreat of continental ice sheets, changes in atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations, and variations in the seasonal insolation associated with changes in the Earth's orbital parameters and solar variability (Mayewski et al., 2004). Although previous attempts to subdivide the Holocene still remain

35 inconclusive, the Holocene can roughly be divided into three periods (Nesje and Dahl, 1993): the early Holocene with a high boreal summer insolation and a large remnant ice sheet in North America, the Holocene Hypsithermal with a continuing high boreal summer insolation but a small remnant North American ice sheet, and the late Holocene Neoglacial with a declining boreal summer insolation (Wanner and Ritz, 2011; Wanner et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2012).

The early Holocene, approximately spanning from 11.5 to 9 ka, represents the last transition from full glacial to interglacial

40 conditions, which is characterized by a warming trend mainly in the northern mid- and high-latitudes as revealed from numerous reconstructions (e.g., Koerner and Fisher, 1990; Yuan et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2005; Hald et al., 2007; Vinther et al., 2008; Brooks et al., 2012; Shakun et al., 2012; Birks, 2015). In tropical regions, the change in precipitation was more prominent during the early Holocene, among which the African Humid Period is one typical example (Gasse, 2001; Hoelzmann et al., 2004), in addition to a humidity climate in the Arabian Sea, the Bay of Bengal (Gupta et al., 2003;

45 Staubwasser, 2006), and the northern South America (Haug et al., 2001), which was suggested to be fundamentally affected by precession (e.g., Renssen et al., 2004). However, a drier climate was inferred from multi-evidence in the central and southern South America (Maslin and Burns, 2000; Seltzer et al., 2000; Núñez et al., 2002) and the westerlies-dominated North Asia, whereas the monsoon-influenced East Asia was substantially wetter but with asynchronous peak of precipitation in Northeast, Northwest, and North China (An et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2008, 2019; Zhang et al., 2011).

50 The Holocene Hypsithermal, covering from about 9 ka to 5–6 ka, generally refers to the interval of warmth associated with the peak Holocene temperature, which is also called the Holocene Thermal Maximum, the Holocene Climatic Optimum, Altithermal or Megathermal (Deevey and Flint, 1957; Wanner et al., 2008; Ljungqvist, 2011). The timing and spatial pattern of this period in different regions have long attracted the attention of researchers. Relative to the preindustrial period, the reconstructed Holocene maximum temperature appeared during 10–8 ka in the North Atlantic and the adjacent polar regions,

55 during 7–5 ka in northern Europe and northwestern North America, and between early and middle Holocene at the northern mid- and high-latitudes, e.g., during 8.5–3.0 ka in China (Shi et al., 1994) and to be time-transgressive across the Western Hemisphere of the Arctic (Kaufman et al., 2004), suggesting that these local warm periods were very likely not globally synchronous (Jansen et al., 2007). Different from the Northern Hemisphere, the southern mid- and high-latitudes experienced a drop of temperature during the middle and late Holocene following the early Holocene warming period

60   (Shevenell et al., 2011). Concerning the moisture conditions, paleoenvironmental data consistently showed that the midcontinental extra-tropics were wetter with cooler summers than today during the mid-Holocene (Harrison et al., 2015).

The late Holocene Neoglacial or Neoglaciation, lasting from about 5–6 ka to preindustrial period, is featured by an obvious increase in the number of glacial advances worldwide mainly due to decreasing solar forcing in the boreal summer (Solomina et al., 2015). The global mean surface temperature during this period displayed a consistent cooling trend as

65   indicated from multi-proxy-based reconstructions (Wanner et al., 2008; Ljungqvist, 2011; Marcott et al., 2013; Kaufman et al., 2020a, b; Zhang et al., 2022), which was suggested to be mainly due to the influence of orbital forcing together with the melting ice sheet in North America. In contrast, the late Holocene warming trend was also supported by simulations (e.g., Liu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018) and by several reconstructions from subfossil pollen (Marsicek et al., 2018) and stalagmites records during the winter season (Baker et al., 2017), which was mainly attributed to rising atmospheric GHGs and winter

70   insolation. Therefore, it still remains inconclusive on whether the late Holocene was cooler or warmer. To reconcile this so called "Holocene temperature conundrum", further efforts should be taken to the improvements both in simulations, by including solar irradiance forcing, land-use changes, dust effects, and stratospheric chemistry and dynamics, and in reconstructions with higher spatial and temporal resolutions, a balanced distribution between marine and terrestrial and between the Northern and Southern Hemisphere data, and the representing proxies from both summer and winter seasons

75   (Bova et al., 2021; Wanner, 2021; Zhang et al., 2022), as well as in the model–data assimilation approaches (Osman et al., 2021).

Superimposed on the millennium scale mean climate trend during the above Holocene periods are several rapid cooling events on the time scales from decades to centuries (Wanner et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2012). Most of those events are characterized by cooling at northern mid- and high-latitudes, aridity at low-latitude monsoon regions, and major atmospheric

80   circulation changes (Mayewski et al., 2004), which were generally inferred to relate to the freshwater outbreak caused by ice melting in the North Atlantic before 8.2 ka, the solar variability superimposed on long-term changes in insolation during the mid- and late Holocene, as well as explosive volcanic eruptions and fluctuations of the thermohaline circulation (Mayewski et al., 2004; Magny et al., 2007; Wanner et al., 2011).

1.2 Transient modelling of the Holocene

85   Transient modelling of the Holocene offers an opportunity to examine the climate evolution in response to time-varying external forcings and feedbacks. Combined with proxy data, it is the most useful tool to comprehensively understand the causes for past complex and interrelating climate processes. Since the 1990s, the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP) has served to coordinate paleoclimate simulations and model–data comparisons (Joussaume and Taylor, 1995; Braconnot et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2012), and it now enters its fourth phase (PMIP4; Kageyama et al., 2018)

90   contributing to the current sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6; Eyring et al., 2016) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report (IPCC, 2021). The major focuses in PMIP4 include not only equilibrium (or time-slice) simulations to investigate the impact of changes mainly in orbital forcing, e.g.,

during the mid-Holocene (6 ka), but also transient simulations of the last deglaciation from 21 to 9 ka with time-varying orbital forcing, GHGs, ice sheets, and other geographical changes (Ivanovic et al., 2016) and of the Holocene from 6 to 0 ka with temporal changes in orbital forcing and GHGs (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017).

Up to now, a dozen transient simulations covering the Holocene have been carried out using global climate models with different complexities. For example, FOAM, a fully coupled global atmosphere–ocean general circulation model with a low resolution of 4° × 7.5° (latitude × longitude), was used to run a transient simulation for the past 280 ka to examine the evolutionary response of global monsoons to orbital forcing, which is accelerated by a factor of 100 (Kutzbach et al., 2008). FAMOUS (Smith et al., 2008), a low-resolution (5° × 7.5°) version of the HadCM3 (Gordon et al., 2000) coupled model, was used to conduct a number of accelerated transient experiments (by a factor of 10) for the last 120 ka to investigate the climate of the last glacial cycle (Smith and Gregory, 2012). A set of transient simulations of the last deglaciation for the past 26 ka was performed with a low resolution (~3.75° × 3.75°) Earth system model MPI-ESM1.2 to explore differences in climate response to different underlying ice-sheet reconstructions and methods of meltwater distribution (Kapsch et al., 2020) as suggested within the PMIP4 deglaciation protocol (Ivanovic et al., 2016). The Transient Climate Evolution of the past 21 ka (TraCE-21ka; He, 2011), run with the low-resolution (~3.75° × 3.75°) National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3), is widely used for examining the climate evolution since the last glacial maximum at global and regional scales (e.g., Liu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021). A fully coupled atmosphere–ocean–sea ice model ECBilt-CLIO, with a horizontal resolution of ~5.6° × 5.5°, was also used for transient simulations of the last deglaciation (last 21 ka) to investigate the effect of using accelerated (by a factor of 10) boundary conditions and of uncertainties in the initial state (Timm and Timmermann, 2007); additionally coupled with a vegetation model, ECBilt-CLIO-VECODE3 was performed to investigate the global characterization of the Holocene thermal maximum for the last 9 ka (Renssen et al., 2012); a later developed version LOVECLIM, additionally coupled with carbon cycle and terrestrial ice sheets (Goosse et al., 2010), was employed to examine the early Holocene (11.5–7 ka) warming (Zhang et al., 2016), the Holocene northern temperature and hydroclimate trends (Zhang et al., 2018, 2020), and the obliquity and CO_2 effects on southern climate during the past 408 ka with an acceleration factor of 5 (Timmermann et al., 2014).

More concentrate on the Holocene, transient simulations for the past 12 ka, run with an acceleration factor of 10 with the low-resolution (~3.75° × 3.75°) Community Earth System Model (CESM) version 1.0.3 (CESM1.0.3), showed a cooling trend during 5–0.15 ka by considering the volcanic forcing (Wan et al., 2020) and an increase trend of the northern mid-latitude precipitation during 7–0 ka in response to the orbital forcing (Sun et al., 2020). A transient simulation of the last 8 ka performed with the high-resolution (1.875° × 1.875°) MPI-ESM1.2, additionally forced by solar variability and volcanic forcing, further revealed that both global warming and cooling modes coexisted during the Holocene (Bader et al., 2020), which also captured the global vegetation transitions and the time-transgressive end of the African humid period as revealed in proxy data (Dallmeyer et al., 2020, 2021). Using a low-resolution (~3.75° × 3.75°) atmosphere–ocean–sea ice coupled model KCM with an acceleration factor of 10, a transient climate simulation of the past 9.5 ka was conducted to examine the

summer precipitation across the Asian monsoon region in response to the orbital forcing (Jin et al., 2014). Two versions of the IPSL model were used to run the transient simulations of the last 6 ka, indicating larger impacts of different model versions and experimental setups on the mean state of climate than on the associated interannual-to-decadal variability

130   (Braconnot et al., 2019a, b; Crétat et al., 2020). In addition, the four Holocene transient simulations run with MPI-ESM1.2, two versions of the IPSL model, and AWI-ESM2 (Sidorenko et al., 2019) were compared to support the orbital forcing of ENSO amplitude since the mid-Holocene (Carré et al., 2021) and indicate the importance of the application of calendar transformation in the analysis of climate simulations when we perform multi-model comparisons (Shi et al., 2021).

1.3 A new set of Holocene transient experiments

135   Taken together, among the above mentioned Holocene transient simulations, only seven of them covered the whole Holocene of past 11.5 ka, i.e., simulations with LOVECLIM for past 408 ka, FOAM for past 284 ka, FAMOUS for past 120 ka, MPI-ESM1.2 for past 26 ka, CCSM3 and ECBilt-CLIO for past 21 ka, and CESM1.0.3 for past 12 ka. They were performed with climate system models or Earth system models with intermediate complexity, all of which have relatively low spatial resolutions varying from ~5.6° × 5.5° to ~3.75° × 3.75° and/or use acceleration techniques by a factor from 5 to

140   100 to reduce computational resources. Note that, on the one hand, the model resolution determines the level of detail in its representation of physical processes related with the topography, heat transport, sea ice cover, etc., which may have large effects on the Holocene climate evolution (Zhang et al., 2018). On the other hand, acceleration in transient simulations can also have a significant impact on the local climate history, for example, which will lead to damped and delayed temperature response to the boundary conditions in the North Atlantic (Timm and Timmermann, 2007). In addition, most models used

145   for the Holocene transient simulations are fully-forced by time-varying boundary conditions of orbital configurations, GHGs, and ice sheets, some of which are additionally forced by meltwater flux, land use/land cover, solar variability, or volcanic forcing, making it difficult to isolate their relative contribution to the past climate evolution.

In this context, it is urgent to carry out a new set of unaccelerated transient experiments focusing on the whole Holocene since 11.5 ka using the comprehensive Earth system model with relatively high spatial resolutions, considering both the full-

150   forcing and single-forcing effects of several most important boundary conditions, including the orbital parameters, GHG concentrations, and ice sheets, on the Holocene climate evolution. These set of Holocene transient simulations for the last 11.5 ka will also fill in the gap between the PMIP4 transient Core experiments for the last deglaciation from 21 to 9 ka (Ivanovic et al., 2016) and the PMIP4 Tier 3 transient simulations for the Holocene from 6 to 0 ka (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017).

155   The aim of this paper is to outline the model description (in Section 2), experimental setup, and boundary conditions (in Section 3) for the new Holocene transient climate experiments of the last 11.5 ka (henceforth HT-11.5ka for brevity), with some preliminary results being provided in Section 4. Further analyses on these simulation results will be covered by follow-up studies.

2 Model description

160   The model used to run the HT-11.5ka simulation in this study is the NCAR CESM version 1.2.1 (CESM1.2.1), which was released on December 2013 and was a relatively new version when we started this simulation on 2016. CESM is a fully-coupled global Earth system model that provides state-of-the-art simulations of the Earth's past, present, and future climate states, with an earlier version 1 (CESM1) participated in the CMIP phase 5 (CMIP5; Taylor et al., 2012) and the later version 2 (CESM2) participated in the CMIP phase 6 (CMIP6; Eyring et al., 2016). CESM1.2.1 consists of four components and can

165   be coupled in different configurations. The atmospheric component we used here is the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4; Neale et al., 2010), also used in the CCSM version 4 (CCSM4; Gent et al., 2011), which employs a finite volume dynamical core at a horizontal resolution of approximately 1.9° × 2.5° (latitude 96 × longitude 144 grid points) with 26 vertical layers. It contains notable improvements in the deep convection parameterization with inclusions of more realistic dilution effects through an explicit representation of entrainment and sub-grid scale convective momentum

170   transports, in the cloud fraction parameterization with a freeze-drying process contributing to a greater consistency between polar cloud fraction and water condensate properties, and in the radiation interface and computational scalability (Neale et al., 2010). The land component is the Community Land Model version 4.0 (CLM4; Lawrence et al., 2011) with the carbon nitrogen–dynamic global vegetation model turned off, which is also used in CCSM4 and run on the same horizontal grid as the atmospheric component. The oceanic component is the Parallel Ocean Program version 2 (POP2; Danabasoglu et al.,

175   2012) based on the POP version 2.1 of the Los Alamos National Laboratory (Smith et al., 2010) as utilized in CCSM4, which uses an approximately 1° horizontal grid with 60 vertical levels. Compared to previous model versions, the physical improvements in POP2 include a near-surface eddy flux parameterization, an abyssal tidally mixing parameterization, an overflow parameterization to represent the Denmark Strait, Faroe Bank Channel, Weddell Sea, and Ross Sea overflows, a submesoscale mixing scheme, vertically-varying thickness and isopycnal diffusivity coefficients, and modified and generally

180   reduced horizontal viscosity coefficients (Hurrell et al., 2013). The sea ice component is the Los Alamos Sea Ice Model (or referred to as the Community Ice Code) version 4.0 (CICE 4.0; Hunke and Lipscomb, 2008) with the same horizontal grid as the ocean component. These four components are coupled by the version 7 coupler (CPL7) (Craig et al., 2012). More details on the model are available online at https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/cesm1.2/ and in Hurrell et al. (2013). Further information on the model performance, scalability, and throughput for different model components distinguishing between

185   Input/Output, ocean and atmosphere costs, etc., are documented in the CCSM4/CESM1 special collection of the *Journal of Climate* (see http://journals.ametsoc.org/collection/CCSM4-CESM1), and additional model simulations for the past and present climates can be found, for instance, in Goldner et al. (2014), Song and Zhang (2018, 2019), and Park et al. (2019).

3 Experimental designs for the HT-11.5ka simulations

The HT-11.5ka simulations of the Holocene focus on the period from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period (1850 CE), which

190   will be the supplement for the PMIP4 Core simulations of the last deglaciation (version 1) spanning from 21 to 9 ka

(Ivanovic et al., 2016). Note that 0 ka is 1950 CE, and 1850 CE is equivalent to 0.1 ka. All simulations spin up from the early Holocene at 11.5 ka and all boundary conditions are available from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period. The initialization state at 11.5 ka and boundary conditions since 11.5 ka, including Earth's orbital parameters, atmospheric GHG concentrations, and ice sheets and orography, are summarized in Table 1 and described below. Boundary condition data for the HT-11.5ka transient experiments are provided on the PMIP4 wiki for the transient deglaciation (PMIP Last Deglaciation Working Group, 2016; https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla).

3.1 Early Holocene spin-up

The early Holocene spin-up experiment for the HT-11.5ka simulations is an equilibrium or time slice simulation with boundary conditions of orbital parameters, GHGs, and ice sheets prescribed at 11.5 ka (Table 1). Orbital parameters of eccentricity, obliquity, and longitude of perihelion are set to 0.01957212, 24.17958°, and 270.2132°, respectively, with the date of vernal equinox fixed at the noon on 21 March. The solar constant is fixed to 1365 W m^{-2}, which is consistent with the preindustrial value for the PMIP phase 3 (PMIP3) and CMIP phase 5 (CMIP5) experiments but higher than the value recommended for the transient deglaciation simulation (e.g., 1361.0 ± 0.5 W m^{-2}; Mamajek et al., 2015) and the PMIP4/CMIP6 experiments (e.g., 1360.8 ± 0.5 W m^{-2}; Matthes et al., 2017; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). The atmospheric GHGs are prescribed to 267.4 ppm for carbon dioxide (CO_2), 652.4 ppb for methane (CH_4), 251.1 ppb for nitrous oxide (N_2O), 0 for chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), and the PMIP3/CMIP5 preindustrial (1850 CE) value for ozone (O_3). These values are compatible with the time-evolving boundary conditions for the HT-11.5ka simulations and consistent with the latest ice-core age model (AICC2012; Veres et al., 2013) and records (Loulergue et al., 2008; Schilt et al., 2010; Bereiter et al., 2015). Prescribed ice sheets and the associated topography use the 11.5 ka data from the ICE-6G_C (VM5a) reconstruction (henceforth ICE-6G_C; Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., 2015). The initial ocean condition is taken from an archived 500-yr spinup (CCSM4, 2° resolution in the atmosphere and 1° resolution in the ocean, preindustrial control, case b40.1850.track1.2deg.003). All other boundary conditions, such as the coastlines, bathymetry, vegetation and land cover, and aerosols, are prescribed at the preindustrial levels.

Forced by the above boundary conditions, the early Holocene spin-up is continuously integrated for 1500 years, with the absolute value of the trend in global mean surface air and sea surface temperatures being less than 0.05 °C and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation being stable (approximately 25.4 Sv) for the last 100 years, which means the model has reached a quasi-equilibrium state as suggested by Kageyama et al. (2018). All Holocene transient experiments start from this equilibrium state at 11.5 ka and run transiently until the preindustrial period at 1850 CE.

3.2 Holocene transient simulations

 ### 3.2.1 Orbital parameters, solar constant, and insolation anomalies

The Earth's orbital parameters (eccentricity, obliquity, and longitude of perihelion) are time evolving through the Holocene from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period following Berger (1978), which affect the seasonal and latitudinal distribution and magnitude of solar radiation received at the top of the atmosphere. Here, all orbital parameters are interpolated from the originally temporal resolution of 1,000 years to one year to produce continuous orbital forcings as model inputs. More specifically, the eccentricity declined through the Holocene, indicating a gradual decrease in the seasonal difference of incoming insolation since 11.5 ka. The obliquity was maximal at 9 ka, when the insolation difference was largest between low and high latitudes. The perihelion occurred close to the boreal summer solstice, autumnal equinox, and winter solstice at 11.5 ka, 6 ka, and the preindustrial period, respectively. Due to the above changes, summer (June–July–August) insolation averaged in the Northern Hemisphere slightly increased from 465 W m^{-2} at 11.5 ka to a maximum of 468 W m^{-2} at about 9.3 ka, and then continuously declined until the preindustrial period. June insolation at 60°N gradually decreased through the Holocene, whereas December insolation at 60°S increased since 11.5 ka and was maximal around 2 ka (Fig. 1a). Relative to the preindustrial period, June insolation anomalies generally increased with latitude and decreased with time, with positive maximums of ~50 W m^{-2} occurring at northern high latitudes during the early Holocene (Fig. 2).

The solar constant is fixed to 1365 W m^{-2} throughout the run, which is in line with the PMIP3 experiment set up (PMIP PI Working Group, 2010). As mentioned in Otto-Bliesner et al. (2017), this value is higher than the value for the solar constant used by the models in PMIP4 (1360.7 W m^{-2}), which leads to a global increase of incoming solar radiation compared to the PMIP4 experiments.

3.2.2 Atmospheric GHGs

During the Holocene, CFCs is fixed at 0 and O_3 is set to the PMIP3/CMIP5 preindustrial value as the early Holocene spin-up. Other atmospheric GHGs are time evolving through the Holocene and adjusted to the AICC2012 age model (Veres et al., 2013), with CO_2 following Bereiter et al. (2015), CH_4 following Loulergue et al. (2008) and N_2O following Schilt et al. (2010). The high-resolution atmospheric CO_2 concentrations are revised EPICA Dome C (EDC; Monnin et al., 2001, 2004) and Antarctic composite ice core data built from the Law Dome (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006; Rubino et al., 2013) and West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide (Marcott et al., 2014), which show a slight decrease trend from 11.5 to 7 ka and an increase trend afterward (Fig. 1b). The record of atmospheric CH_4 concentrations is built from the Antarctic EDC (Loulergue et al., 2008), with a decreasing trend from the early- to mid-Holocene followed by a rising trend (Fig. 1c). The low-resolution atmospheric concentrations of N_2O derived from the Talos Dome ice core (Schilt et al., 2010) show large variabilities during the Holocene, with relatively high values around 11 ka and during 6–0 ka but low levels between 11 and 6 ka (Fig. 1d). All above GHG records are interpolated to the temporal resolution of one year to produce continuous forcings as model inputs.

250 Note that the chronologically accurate values of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O from the above reconstructions slightly differ from the defined 6 ka concentrations in PMIP4. The nearest measured CO_2 concentration to 6 ka is 266.7 ppm from Bereiter et al. (2015), which is higher than the 6 ka value of 264.4 ppm in PMIP4 derived from the original EDC ice core (Monnin et al., 2001, 2004) after a smoothing spline (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). In contrast, both the nearest measured CH_4 and N_2O concentrations of 586 ppb (Loulergue et al., 2008) and 252.4 ppb (Schilt et al., 2010) produced on the AICC2012 chronology

255 (Veres et al., 2013) are lower than the 6 ka values of 597 ppb and 262 ppb in PMIP4 (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017), respectively, on the original EDC1 chronology (Spahni et al., 2005).

3.2.3 Ice sheets and topography

The ice sheet extent and topography are time evolving from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period using the ICE-6G_C reconstruction (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., 2015), which is based on Glacial Isostatic Adjustment modelling and

260 constrained by Global Positioning System measurements of vertical motion of the crust, exposure age dating of ice thicknesses, relative sea level histories, and space-based gravity data by satellite system (Peltier et al., 2015). During the Holocene, the most prominent change of ice sheets occurs in the Northern Hemisphere, especially in North America. According to the ICE-6G_C reconstruction, the North American ice sheet retreated gradually from 11.5 ka to 9 ka, with the Laurentide ice sheet holding ~12.5 m global mean sea level rise equivalent ice volume at 10 ka (Matero et al., 2020),

265 retreated sharply from 9 ka to 7 ka, and almost disappeared after 6 ka (Fig. 3) following the data provided by Dyke (2004). The Eurasian ice sheet retreated since 11.5 ka and deglaciated after ~10 ka following Gyllencreutz et al. (2007), whereas the Greenland ice sheet was overall stable from 11.5 ka to 9 ka and slightly retreated at its marginal regions during 8–7 ka (Fig. 3). Averaged over the Northern Hemisphere, the ice area continuously declined from ~1.2×10^7 km^2 at 11.5 ka to ~0.2×10^7 km^2 during the mid-Holocene (~7–5 ka), and remained little changed since then (Fig. 1e). In contrast, there is little variation

270 in the Southern Hemispheric ice sheets during the Holocene, with only a slight decrease in the ice extent from 11.5 ka to 10 ka around the Antarctica (Fig. 3). The orography evolves to be consistent with the ice sheet extent, with the elevation of ice sheet below 2000 m, 2500 m, and 3500 m over Eurasia, North America, and Greenland, respectively, and reaching 4000 m over Antarctica through the Holocene (Fig. 3). Besides, land surface properties are also adjusted for changes in ice extent. Where ice retreats, land surface is modified to the prescribed vegetation at the preindustrial period.

275 The original ICE-6G_C data set is provided at 10 arcmin (~0.17°) horizontal resolution and at intervals of 500 years through the Holocene. Both included ice extent and topography data are interpolated to the time interval of 250 years, and updated every 250 years in the transient run. Considering that coastlines, bathymetry, and land–sea distributions are little varied during the Holocene, they are fixed as the preindustrial states in all runs. In addition, the meltwater flux change is not considered here, which is one of the options provided for the PMIP4 transient deglaciation experiments. All other forcings,

280 such as the vegetation, land surface and dust parameters, are prescribed at the preindustrial levels.

3.2.4 Experimental setup

Four transient experiments are run in total in the HT-11.5ka simulations, one all-forcing experiment and three single-forcing experiments. The orbital parameters, atmospheric GHGs, ice sheets and topography as detailed above are synchronously evolving with time in the all-forcing experiment (hereinafter HT-ALL), while the three single-forcing experiments are forced
285 by only one of the above forcings, with the other two forcings fixed at 11.5 ka (hereinafter HT-ORB, HT-GHG, and HT-ICE, respectively) (Table 2). All four experiments are transiently run from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period at 1850 CE for 11400 years. Monthly output data are provided for each simulation.

4 Preliminary simulation results

On the millennium timescale, the annual global mean surface (2 m) air temperature (GMST) in the HT-ALL simulation
290 slightly decreases from 11.5 ka (~13.20 °C) to 7.5 ka (~12.93 °C), with an abrupt cooling at approximate 7.5 ka, and then continuously increases until the preindustrial period (13.57 °C) (Fig. 4). The warming trend since the mid-Holocene simulated by CESM1.2.1 in our HT-11.5ka transient experiment is similar to that in the CCSM3, LOVECLIM, and FAMOUS transient simulations (Liu et al., 2014), but opposite to the cooling trend as derived from the multi-proxy reconstructions (Marcott et al., 2013; Kaufman et al., 2020a), which is the so called "Holocene temperature conundrum".
295 The abrupt cooling at approximate 7.5 ka is also seen in the LOVECLIM transient experiment (Liu et al., 2014). In addition, the GMST evolution from early- to mid-Holocene in our CESM1.2.1 transient simulation is somewhat different from the warming trend both in reconstructions and other Holocene transient simulations (Marcott et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Kaufman et al., 2020a), and the reasons for the discrepancies need for further investigations.

During the preindustrial period, the annual GMST of 13.57 °C in our HT-ALL simulation is very close to that of 13.62 °C
300 from the arithmetic mean of the 14 PMIP4 models, although with a spread among the individual models ranging from 12.59 °C in IPSL-CM6A-LR to 14.98 °C in MIROC-ES2L (Table 3). At 6 ka, the annual GMST is approximate 13.16 °C, which resembles the value of 13.30 °C from the mid-Holocene simulation in the 14-PMIP4-model arithmetic mean and is within the range from 12.20 °C (IPSL-CM6A-LR) to 14.53 °C (MIROC-ES2L) in the individual model simulations (Table 3). Relative to the average for 1750–1850 CE, there is a global annual mean cooling of about 0.41 °C at 6 ka in HT-ALL
305 simulation, which lies within the range of the results from the PMIP4 models. In the 14 PMIP4 models, the mid-Holocene cooling varied from 0.18 °C in FGOALS-g3 to 0.49 °C in FGOALS-f3-L with respect to the preindustrial period, with a standard deviation of 0.11 °C among the models and an average of 0.32 °C for the arithmetic mean of all models (Fig. 5; Table 3). The geographical distribution of annual mean surface air temperature change at 6 ka in our HT-ALL simulation is further compared with that from the arithmetic mean of the 14 PMIP4 models (Fig. 6). Compared to the preindustrial period,
310 there is an annual cooling worldwide except for a slight warming in the high latitudes and over the western Europe, North Pacific, southern North America, and parts of tropical land at 6 ka in HT-ALL simulation (Fig. 6a). The annual cooling is particularly strong (> 1 °C) over northern Africa and North America, southern Asia, and part of Southern Ocean. This large-

scale distribution is similar to the spatial pattern of the change between the mid-Holocene and preindustrial simulations in the 14-PMIP4-model mean, although some differences exist in magnitude (Fig. 6a, b; Brierley et al., 2020). Quantitatively, the HT-ALL simulation shows an annual cooling of 0.18, 0.40, 0.61, 0.40, 0.31, and 0.20 °C at 6 ka averaged for the zonal band of 60°–90°N, 30°–60°N, 0°–30°N, 30°S–0°, 60°–30°S, and 90°–60°S, respectively. All those values are within the spread of the 14 PMIP4 models, with the largest spread over high latitudes, but are slightly stronger than the cooling in their arithmetic mean by 0.05–0.14 °C, especially in the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 7a). Due to the large seasonal changes in insolation, there are notable seasonal differences in the surface air temperature change at 6 ka, with the December–January–February (DJF) mean change dominating the annual pattern. In response to the decreased insolation in DJF, a strong cooling occurred at 6 ka over the global land regions, especially over the northern continents excluding the Greenland, and a weak cooling appeared over the global oceans except for a warming in the Arctic and Southern Ocean (Fig. 6c). In contrast, the increased June–July–August (JJA) insolation at 6 ka led to a notable warming over the northern mid- and high-latitude continents, a weak warming over the northern mid- and high-latitude oceans and southern continents, and a cooling in the other regions (Fig. 6e). The seasonal difference in the spatial distribution of the surface air temperature changes at 6 ka in the HT-ALL simulation resembles that for the mid-Holocene simulations in most PMIP4 models and their arithmetic mean (Fig. 6d, f; Brierley et al., 2020). In a quantitative manner, the HT-ALL simulation shows a consistent DJF cooling of 0.68 (0.31), 0.95 (0.22), and 0.83 (0.43) °C averaged over the 30° zonal band of northern (southern) high-, mid-, and low-latitudes, respectively, while it displays an average warming of 0.99 and 0.50 °C over the northern high- and mid-latitudes but an average cooling varying from 0.41 to 0.66 °C over the other four zonal bands in JJA, with a global mean cooling of 0.60 for DJF and 0.17 °C for JJA (Fig. 7b, c and Table 3). All those zonal and global mean changes in seasonal temperatures are within the range of the mid-Holocene changes in the 14 PMIP4 models, which are consistent with the changes from the 14-PMIP4-model arithmetic mean in signs but overall larger than the latter in magnitudes (Fig. 7b, c and Table 3). More specifically, both PMIP4 model spreads and differences of zonal mean changes between the HT-ALL and 14-PMIP4-model mean simulations are largest at northern high latitudes in DJF and at high latitudes in both hemispheres in JJA. As a whole, both at global and zonal mean scales, the annual and seasonal mean changes in surface air temperature at 6 ka in our HT-ALL simulation lie within the range of the 14 PMIP4 model results and are overall stronger than their arithmetic means. In particular, the annual, DJF, and JJA mean GMSTs simulated by CESM1.2.1 in the HT-ALL simulation are lower than those by CESM2 in the PMIP4 both for 6 ka and preindustrial simulations, but the mid-Holocene annual and DJF cooling magnitudes for the former (0.41 and 0.60 °C) are nearly double those of the latter (0.20 and 0.38 °C), and the JJA cooling in the former (–0.17 °C) is opposite to and four times the JJA warming in the latter (0.04 °C) (Table 3). There are also some differences, mainly in magnitudes, for the zonal mean changes in annual and seasonal temperatures at 6 ka between the two versions of CESM (Fig. 7).

Fig. 5 further displays the annual GMST evolution since 10 ka in the all-forcing and single-forcing simulations. All time series are the anomalies relative to the last 101 years (1750–1850 CE) of the HT-ALL simulation. In response to the orbital forcing alone, the GMST slightly decreases during the past 10 ka, with an averaged cooling of approximate 0.2 °C in HT-

ORB simulation. The GMST first decreases from 10 ka to 6.5 ka and then steadily increases until now in HT-GHG simulation, which closely follows the evolution of the atmospheric concentration of CO_2 and is also modulated by that of CH_4 and N_2O. In HT-ICE simulation, the GMST increases from 10 ka to 6 ka in response to the retreat of ice sheets in the Northern Hemisphere, and then slightly decreases possibly due to the lag effect of ice sheet melting. Taken together, the weak cooling trend during the early Holocene in HT-ALL simulation is mainly driven by both changes in orbital insolation and GHG concentrations, while the retreat of ice sheets plays an opposite role. On the other hand, the strong warming trend since 7.5 ka is mainly induced by increased concentrations of GHGs, which is largely resulted from that of CO_2, with a simultaneously positive contribution from the retreat of ice sheets during 7.5–6 ka and a negative contribution from the orbital insolation throughout the past 7.5 ka. It is worth mentioning that relative to the average for 1750–1850 CE in the HT-ALL experiment, the annual GMST anomalies since 10 ka in the three single-forcing experiments do not sum to the anomaly in the all-forcing experiment in our HT-11.5ka simulations (Fig. 5), which holds true for the single- and all-forcing experiments in the CCSM3, LOVECLIM, and FAMOUS transient simulations (Smith and Gregory, 2012; Liu et al., 2014) and indicates a level of nonlinearity in the climate system.

5 Summary

The Holocene presents a host of opportunities to study the climate evolution over the latest interglacial period including the mean climate trend on the millennium scale and abrupt changes on the time scales from decades to centuries. The climate model provides a useful tool to investigate the underlying dynamic mechanism for the climate change during this well-reconstructed time period, in particular the improvements of the model and computational power make it possible to run transient simulations to comprehensively understand the climate evolution in response to time-varying external forcings and feedbacks. Several modelling studies have begun this work, but most of them are performed with climate system models or Earth system models with low spatial resolutions and/or acceleration techniques to reduce computational resources. Therefore, we have carried out a new set of unaccelerated transient experiments covering the whole Holocene since 11.5 ka (HT-11.5ka) using a comprehensive Earth system model with relatively high spatial resolutions, considering both the full-forcing and single-forcing effects of the main boundary conditions.

The HT-11.5ka simulations are run with the NCAR CESM1.2.1 with an atmospheric resolution of approximately $1.9° \times 2.5°$ in the horizontal and 26 layers in the vertical and an oceanic resolution of approximately $1°$ and 60 levels in the horizontal and vertical respectively. All simulations are spin up from the early Holocene at 11.5 ka and transiently run from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period at 1850 CE with boundary conditions of Earth's orbital parameters, atmospheric GHGs, and ice sheets and orography that have been provided on the PMIP4 wiki for the transient deglaciation (PMIP Last Deglaciation Working Group, 2016; https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla). Four transient experiments are run in total, one all-forcing experiment forced by synchronously time-evolving orbital parameters, atmospheric GHGs, and ice sheets and three single-forcing experiments forced by only one of the above forcings with the other two forcings fixed at 11.5 ka. The

all-forcing simulation result shows a slight decrease of the annual GMST from 11.5 ka to 7.5 ka due to both changes in orbital insolation and GHG concentrations, with an abrupt cooling at approximate 7.5 ka, which is followed by a continuous warming until the preindustrial period mainly resulted from increased GHG concentrations. Relative to the preindustrial period, both at global and zonal mean scales, the simulated annual and seasonal mean changes in surface air temperature at 6 ka are within the range of values in the 14 PMIP4 model simulations and overall stronger than those from their arithmetic mean. The late Holocene warming trend simulated by CESM1.2.1 in our all-forcing simulation is consistent with that in the CCSM3, LOVECLIM, and FAMOUS transient simulations as shown in Liu et al. (2014), but inconsistent with the reconstructed cooling trend in Marcott et al. (2013) and Kaufman et al. (2020a).

The present HT-11.5ka transient simulations for the whole Holocene, spanning from 11.5 ka to the preindustrial period, are designed to investigate both combined and separated effects of the main external forcing of orbital insolation, atmospheric GHGs, and ice sheets on the climate evolution over the Holocene, which will be the supplement for the PMIP4 transient Core experiments for the last deglaciation from 21 to 9 ka (Ivanovic et al., 2016) and the PMIP4 Tier 3 transient simulations for the Holocene from 6 to 0 ka (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017). Although these new set of transient Holocene simulations are run with a high-resolution version of the comprehensive Earth system model, the meltwater flux change, solar irradiance and volcanic forcing, land-use and vegetation changes, dust and aerosol effects, and stratospheric chemistry and dynamics are not fully considered here, which will have some effects on the results. As a first step, this study provides the detailed model description, experimental design, boundary conditions, and some preliminary results for the new set of HT-11.5ka transient simulations. Further analyses on the simulation results including the mean climate evolution and abrupt climate changes over the Holocene both at global and regional scales, the underlying dynamic mechanisms, and comparisons with other transient simulations covering the Holocene and multi-proxy-based reconstructions, will be carried out by a series of follow-up studies.

6 Code and data availability

All boundary condition data required for running the HT-11.5ka experiments (summarized in Tables 1 and 2) can be downloaded from the PMIP4 last deglaciation wiki (PMIP Last Deglaciation Working Group, 2016; https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla). The CESM1.2.1 source code is available on the CESM official website (https://svn-ccsm-models.cgd.ucar.edu/cesm1/release_tags/cesm1_2_1). The initial ocean condition for the early Holocene spin-up experiment is obtained from NCAR model case "b40.1850.track1.2deg.003" and available from the CCSM4 input data archive (https://svn-ccsm-inputdata.cgd.ucar.edu/trunk/inputdata/ccsm4_init/b40.1850.track1.2deg.003/0501-01-01/). The PMIP4 model output for the mid-Holocene and preindustrial experiments can be downloaded via the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF; https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/). The GMST data from the HT-11.5ka transient simulation shown in this study can be accessed at https://zenodo.org/record/6269566#.Yhg79OhBxLo (last access: 25 February 2022) and are archived at

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6269566. Other HT-11.5ka transient simulation data are available upon reasonable request to the first author and can also be reproduced with the above code and boundary condition data.

Author contributions. D. Jiang conceived the idea and designed the HT-11.5ka experiments. B. Su collected all boundary condition data for the simulations, debugged the CESM1.2.1 model, and run the early Holocene spin-up simulation. B. Su and R. Zhang collaborated to perform the all-forcing and three single-forcing transient simulations. Z. Tian wrote the manuscript, processed the output data from all simulations, and produced the figures with contributions from all authors.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions to improve this manuscript; to the NCAR CESM and PMIP4 climate modeling groups for producing and sharing their model source code and model output; to Jean-Yves Peterschmitt (Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, France) for managing and archiving the boundary conditions for the transient deglaciation experiment, as well as setting up and maintaining the PMIP4 last deglaciation wiki pages. This research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0603404), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA20070103), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41931181, 42075048).

References

An, Z., Porter, S. C., Kutzbach, J. E., Wu, X., Wang, S., Liu, X., Li, X., and Zhou, W.: Asynchronous Holocene optimum of the East Asian monsoon, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 19, 743–762, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(99)00031-1, 2000.

Argus, D. F., Peltier, W. R., Drummond, R., and Moore, A. W.: The Antarctica component of postglacial rebound model ICE-6G_C (VM5a) based on GPS positioning, exposure age dating of ice thicknesses, and relative sea level histories, Geophys. J. Int., 198, 537–563, doi:10.1093/gji/ggu140, 2014.

Bader, J., Jungclaus, J., Krivova, N., Lorenz, S., Maycock, A., Raddatz, T., Schmidt, H., Toohey, M., Wu, C.-J., and Claussen, M.: Global temperature modes shed light on the Holocene temperature conundrum, Nat. Commun., 11, 4726, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18478-6, 2020.

Baker, J. L., Lachniet, M. S., Chervyatsova, O., Asmerom, Y., and Polyak, V. J.: Holocene warming in western continental Eurasia driven by glacial retreat and greenhouse forcing. Nat. Geosci., 10, 430–435, doi:10.1038/ngeo2953, 2017.

Bereiter, B., Eggleston, S., Schmitt, J., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Stocker, T. F., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, S., and Chappellaz, J.: Revision of the EPICA Dome C CO_2 record from 800 to 600 kyr before present, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 542–549, doi:10.1002/2014GL061957, 2015.

Berger, A.: Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes, J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 2362–2367, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1978)035<2362:LTVODI>2.0.CO;2, 1978.

Birks, H. H.: South to north: Contrasting late-glacial and early-Holocene climate changes and vegetation responses between south and north Norway, Holocene, 25, 37–52, doi:10.1177/0959683614556375, 2015.

Bova, S., Rosenthal, Y., Liu, Z., Godad, S. P., and Yan, M.: Seasonal origin for the Holocene and last interglacial thermal maximum, Nature, 589, 548–553, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-03155-x, 2021.

Braconnot, P., Otto-Bliesner, B., Harrison, S., Joussaume, S., Peterchmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Crucifix, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, Th., Hewitt, C. D., Kageyama, M., Kitoh, A., Laîné, A., Loutre, M.-F., Marti, O., Merkel, U., Ramstein, G., Valdes, P., Weber, S. L., Yu, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the mid-Holocene and last glacial maximum – Part 1: experiments and large-scale features, Clim. Past, 3, 261–277, doi:10.5194/cp-3-261-2007, 2007.

Braconnot, P., Crétat, J., Marti, O., Balkanski, Y., Caubel, A., Cozic, A., Foujols, M.-A., Sanogo, S.: Impact of multiscale variability on last 6,000 years Indian and West African monsoon rain, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 14021–14029, doi:10.1029/2019GL084797, 2019a.

Braconnot, P., Zhu, D., Marti, O., and Servonnat, J.: Strengths and challenges for transient mid- to late Holocene simulations with dynamical vegetation, Clim. Past, 15, 997–1024, doi:10.5194/cp-15-997-2019, 2019b.

Brierley, C. M., Zhao, A., Harrison, S. P., Braconnot, P., Williams, C. J. R., Thornalley, D. J. R., Shi, X., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Ohgaito, R., Kaufman, D. S., Kageyama, M., Hargreaves, J. C., Erb, M. P., Emile-Geay, J., D'Agostino, R., Chandan, D., Carré, M., Bartlein, P. J., Zheng, W., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Q., Yang, H., Volodin, E. M., Tomas, R. A., Routson, C., Peltier, W. R., Otto-Bliesner, B., Morozova, P. A., McKay, N. P., Lohmann, G., Legrande, A. N., Guo, C., Cao, J., Brady, E., Annan, J. D., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Large-scale features and evaluation of the PMIP4-CMIP6 midHolocene simulations, Clim. Past, 16, 1847–1872, doi:10.5194/cp-16-1847-2020, 2020.

Brooks, S. J., Matthews, I. P., Birks, H. H., and Birks, H. J. B.: High resolution Lateglacial and early-Holocene summer air temperature records from Scotland inferred from chironomid assemblages, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 41, 67–82, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.03.007, 2012.

Carré, M., Braconnot, P., Elliot, M., d'Agostino, R., Schurer, A., Shi, X., Marti, O., Lohmann, G., Jungclaus, J., Cheddadi, R., di Carlo, I. A., Cardich, J., Ochoa, D., Gismondi, R. S., Pérez, A., Romero, P. E., Turcq, B., Corrège, T., and Harrison, S. P.: High-resolution marine data and transient simulations support orbital forcing of ENSO amplitude since the mid-Holocene, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 268, 107125, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.107125, 2021.

Chen, F., Yu, Z., Yang, M., Ito, E., Wang, S., Madsen, D. B., Huang, X., Zhao, Y., Sato, T., Birks, H. J. B., Boomer, I., Chen, J., An, C., and Wünnemann, B.: Holocene moisture evolution in arid central Asia and its out-of-phase relationship with Asian monsoon history, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 27, 351–364, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.10.017, 2008.

Chen, F., Chen, J., Huang, W., Chen, S., Huang, X., Jin, L., Jia, J., Zhang, X., An, C., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y., Yu, Z., Zhang, R., Liu, J., Zhou, A., and Feng, S.: Westerlies Asia and monsoonal Asia: spatiotemporal differences in climate change and possible mechanisms on decadal to sub-orbital timescales, Earth-Sci. Rev., 192, 337–354, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.03.005, 2019.

Craig, A. P., Vertenstein, M., and Jacob, R.: A new flexible coupler for earth system modeling developed for CCSM4 and CESM1, Int. J. High Perform. C., 26, 31–42, doi:10.1177/1094342011428141, 2012.

Crétat, J., Braconnot, P., Terray, P., Marti, O., and Falasca, F.: Mid-Holocene to present-day evolution of the Indian monsoon in transient global simulations, Clim. Dyn., 55, 2761–2784, doi:10.1007/s00382-020-05418-9, 2020.

Dallmeyer, A., Claussen, M., Lorenz, S. J., and Shanahan, T.: The end of the African humid period as seen by a transient comprehensive Earth system model simulation of the last 8000 years, Clim. Past, 16, 117–140, doi:10.5194/cp-16-117-2020, 2020.

Dallmeyer, A., Claussen, M., Lorenz, S. J., Sigl, M., Toohey, M., and Herzschuh, U.: Holocene vegetation transitions and their climatic drivers in MPI-ESM1.2, Clim. Past, 17, 2481–2513. doi:10.5194/cp-17-2481-2021, 2021.

Danabasoglu, G., Bates, S. C., Briegleb, B. P., Jayne, S. R., Jochum, M., Large, W. G., Peacock, S., and Yeager, S. G.: The CCSM4 ocean component, J. Clim., 25, 1361–1389, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00091.1, 2012.

Deevey, E. S. and Flint, R. F.: Postglacial hypsithermal interval, Science, 125, 182–184, doi:10.1126/science.125.3240.182, 1957.

Dyke, A. S.: An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada, in: Quaternary Glaciations-Extent and Chronology – Part II: North America, vol. 2, Part 2, 371–406, Elsevier, available at: https://www.lakeheadu.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/53/outlines/2014-15/NECU5311/Dyke_2004_DeglaciationOutline.pdf (last access: 20 November 2021), 2004.

Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1937–1958, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016, 2016.

Gasse, F.: Hydrological changes in Africa, Science, 292, 2259–2260, doi:10.1126/science.1061940, 2001.

Gent, P. R., Danabasoglu, G., Donner, L. J., Holland, M. M., Hunke, E. C., Jayne, S. R., Lawrence, D. M., Neale, R. B., Rasch, P. J., Vertenstein, M., Worley, P. H., Yang, Z.-L., and Zhang, M.: The Community Climate System Model version 4, J. Clim., 24, 4973–4991, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1, 2011.

Goldner, A., Herold, N., and Huber, M.: The challenge of simulating the warmth of the mid-Miocene climatic optimum in CESM1. Clim. Past, 10, 523–536, doi:10.5194/cp-10-523-2014, 2014.

Goosse, H., Brovkin, V., Fichefet, T., Haarsma, R., Huybrechts, P., Jongma, J., Mouchet, A., Selten, F., Barriat, P.-Y., Campin, J.-M., Deleersnijder, E., Driesschaert, E., Goelzer, H., Janssens, I., Loutre, M.-F., Morales Maqueda, M. A., Opsteegh, T., Mathieu, P.-P., Munhoven, G., Pettersson, E. J., Renssen, H., Roche, D. M., Schaeffer, M., Tartinville, B.,

505   Timmermann, A., and Weber, S. L.: Description of the Earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM version 1.2, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 603–633, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-603-2010, 2010.

Gordon, C., Cooper, C., Senior, C. A., Banks, H., Gregory, J. M., Johns, T. C., Mitchell, J. F. B., and Wood, R. A.: The simulation of SST, sea ice extents and ocean heat transports in a version of the Hadley Centre coupled model without flux adjustments, Clim. Dyn., 16, 147–168, doi:10.1007/s003820050010, 2000.

510   Gupta, A. K., Anderson, D. M., and Overpeck, J. T.: Abrupt changes in the Asian southwest monsoon during the Holocene and their links to the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 421, 354–357, doi:10.1038/nature01340, 2003.

Gyllencreutz, R., Mangerud, J., Svendsen, J.-I., and Lohne, Ø.: DATED – a GIS-based reconstruction and dating database of the Eurasian deglaciation, Appl. Quaternary Res. Cent. Part Glaciat. Terrain Geol. Surv. Finl. Spec. Pap., 46, 113–120, 2007.

515   Hald, M., Andersson, C., Ebbesen, H., Jansen, E., Klitgaard-Kristensen, D., Risebrobakken, B., Salomonsen, G. R., Sarnthein, M., Sejrup, H. P., and Telford, R. J.: Variations in temperature and extent of Atlantic Water in the northern North Atlantic during the Holocene, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 26, 3423–3440, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.10.005, 2007.

Harrison, S., Bartlein, P., Izumi, K., Li, G., Annan, J., Hargreaves, J., Braconnot, P., and Kageyama, M.: Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections, Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 735–743, doi:10.1038/nclimate2649, 520   2015.

Haug, G. H., Hughen, K. A., Sigman, D. M., Peterson, L. C., and Röhl, U.: Southward migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone through the Holocene, Science, 293, 1304–1308, doi:10.1126/science.1059725, 2001.

He, F.: Simulating transient climate evolution of the last deglaciation with CCSM3, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 161pp., 2011.

525   Hoelzmann, P., Gasse, F., Dupont, L. M., Salzmann, U., Staubwasser, M., Leuschner, D. C., and Sirocko, F.: Palaeoenvironmental changes in the arid and sub arid belt (Sahara-Sahel-Arabian Peninsula) from 150 kyr to present, in: Past Climate Variability through Europe and Africa, Springer, Dordrecht, 219–256, 2004.

Hunke, E. C. and Lipscomb, W. H.: CICE: The Los Alamos Sea Ice Model, Documentation and Software, version 4.0, Los Alamos National Laboratory Tech. Rep. LA-CC-06-012, 76 pp., 2008.

530   Hurrell, J. W., Holland, M. M., Gent, P. R., Ghan, S., Kay, J. E., Kushner, P. J., Lamarque, J.-F., Large, W. G., Lawrence, D., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W. H., Long, M. C., Mahowald, N., Marsh, D. R., Neale, R. B., Rasch, P., Vavrus, S., Vertenstein, M., Bader, D., Collins, W. D., Hack, J. J., Kiehl1, J., and Marshall, S.: The Community Earth System Model: A framework for collaborative research, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 94, 1339–1360, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00121.1, 2013.

535   IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, USA, in press, 2021.

Ivanovic, R. F., Gregoire, L. J., Kageyama, M., Roche, D. M., Valdes, P. J., Burke, A., Drummond, R., Richard Peltier, W., and Tarasov, L.: Transient climate simulations of the deglaciation 21–9 thousand years before present (version1) –

PMIP4 Core experiment design and boundary conditions, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 9045–9102, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-
2563-2016, 2016.

Jansen, E., Overpeck, J., Briffa, K. R., Duplessy, J. C., Joos, F., Masson-Delmotte, V., Olago, D., Otto-Bliesner, B., Peltier, W. R., Rahmstorf, S., Ramesh, R., Raynaud, D., Rind, D., Solomina, O., Villalba, R., and Zhang, D.: Palaeoclimate, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, USA, 435–497, 2007.

Jin, L., Schneider, B., Park, W., Latif, M., Khon, V., and Zhang, X.: The spatial–temporal patterns of Asian summer monsoon precipitation in response to Holocene insolation change: a model-data synthesis, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 85, 47–62, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.11.004, 2014.

Joussaume, S. and Taylor, K. E.: Status of the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project, in: Proceedings of the First International AMIP Scientific Conference, Monterey, USA, 425–430, 1995.

Kageyama, M., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Haywood, A. M., Jungclaus, J. H., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., Brierley, C., Crucifix, M., Dolan, A., Fernandez-Donado, L., Fischer, H., Hopcroft, P. O., Ivanovic, R. F., Lambert, F., Lunt, D. J., Mahowald, N. M., Peltier, W. R., Phipps, S. J., Roche, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Tarasov, L., Valdes, P. J., Zhang, Q., and Zhou, T.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 – Part 1: Overview and over-arching analysis plan, Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 1033–1057, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-1033-2018, 2018.

Kapsch, M. -L., Mikolajewicz, U, Ziemen, F., and Schannwell, C.: Ocean response in transient simulations of the last deglaciation dominated by underlying ice-sheet reconstruction and method of meltwater distribution, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2021GL096767, doi:10.1029/2021GL096767, 2020.

Kaufman, D. S., Ager, T. A., Anderson, N. J., Anderson, P. M., Andrews, J. T., Bartlein, P. J., Brubaker, L. B., Coats, L. L., Cwynar, L. C., Duvall, M. L., Dyke, A. S., Edwards, M. E., Eisner, W. R., Gajewski, K., Geirsdóttir, A., Hu, F. S., Jennings, A. E., Kaplan, M. R., Kerwin, M. W., Lozhkin, A. V., MacDonald, G. M., Miller, G. H., Mock, C. J., Oswald, W. W., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Porinchu, D. F., Rühland, K., Smol, J. P., Steig, E. J., and Wolfe, B. B.: Holocene thermal maximum in the western Arctic (0–180°W), Quaternary Sci. Rev., 23, 529–560, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.09.007, 2004.

Kaufman, D., McKay, N., Routson, C., Erb, M., Dätwyler, C., Sommer, P. S., Heiri, O., and Davis, B.: Holocene global mean surface temperature, a multi-method reconstruction approach, Sci. Data, 7, 201, doi:10.1038/s41597-020-0530-7, 2020a.

Kaufman, D., McKay, N., Routson, C., Erb, M., Davis, B., Heiri, O., Jaccard, S., Tierney, J., Dätwyler, C., Axford, Y., Brussel, T., Cartapanis, O., Chase, B., Dawson, A., de Vernal, A., Engels, S., Jonkers, L., Marsicek, J., Moffa-Sánchez, P., Morrill, C., Orsi, A., Rehfeld, K., Saunders, K., Sommer, P. S., Thomas, E., Tonello, M., Tóth, M., Vachula, R., Andreev, A., Bertrand, S., Biskaborn, B., Bringué, M., Brooks, S., Caniupán, M., Chevalier, M., Cwynar, L., Emile-Geay, J., Fegyveresi, J., Feurdean, A., Finsinger, W., Fortin, M.-C., Foster, L., Fox, M., Gajewski, K., Grosjean, M., Hausmann, S., Heinrichs, M., Holmes, N., Ilyashuk, B., Ilyashuk, E., Juggins, S., Khider, D., Koinig, K., Langdon, P.,

Larocque-Tobler, I., Li, J., Lotter, A., Luoto, T., Mackay, A., Magyari, E., Malevich, S., Mark, B., Massaferro, J., Montade, V., Nazarova, L., Novenko, E., Pařil, P., Pearson, E., Peros, M., Pienitz, R., Płóciennik, M., Porinchu, D.,

575   Potito, A., Rees, A., Reinemann, S., Roberts, S., Rolland, N., Salonen, S., Self, A., Seppä, H., Shala, S., St-Jacques, J.-M., Stenni, B., Syrykh, L., Tarrats, P., Taylor, K., van den Bos, V., Velle, G., Wahl, E., Walker, I., Wilmshurst, J., Zhang, E., and Zhilich, S.: A global database of Holocene paleotemperature records, Sci. Data, 7, 115, doi:10.1038/s41597-020-0445-3, 2020b.

Koerner, R. M. and Fisher, D. A.: A record of Holocene summer climate from a Canadian High Arctic ice core, Nature, 343,

580   630–631, doi:10.1038/343630a0, 1990.

Kutzbach, J. E., Liu, X., Liu, Z., and Chen, G.: Simulation of the evolutionary response of global summer monsoons to orbital forcing over the past 280,000 years, Clim. Dyn., 30, 567–579, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0308-z, 2008.

Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural

585   advances in version 4 of the Community Land Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 3, M03001, doi:10.1029/2011MS000045, 2011.

Liu, S., Lang, X., and Jiang, D: Time-varying responses of dryland aridity to external forcings over the last 21 ka, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 262, 106989, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.106989, 2021.

Liu, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Xia, Y., Huang, Y., Peng, Y., and Zhu, J.: A possible role of dust in resolving the Holocene

590   temperature conundrum, Sci. Rep., 8, 4434, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22841-5, 2018.

Liu, Z., Zhu, J., Rosenthal, Y., Zhang, X., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Timmermann, A., Smith, R. S., Lohmann, G., Zheng, W., and Timm, O. E.: The Holocene temperature conundrum, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 111, E3501–E3505, doi:10.1073/pnas.1407229111, 2014.

Ljungqvist, F. C.: The spatio-temporal pattern of the mid-Holocene thermal maximum, Geografie, 116, 91–110,

595   doi:10.37040/geografie2011116020091, 2011.

Loulergue, L., Schilt, A., Spahni, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Blunier, T., Lemieux, B., Barnola, J.-M., Raynaud, D., Stocker, T. F., and Chappellaz, J.: Orbital and millennial-scale features of atmospheric CH_4 over the past 800 000 years, Nature, 453, 383–386, doi:10.1038/nature06950, 2008.

MacFarling Meure, C., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., van Ommen, T., Smith, A., and Elkins, J.:

600   Law Dome CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L14810, doi:10.1029/2006GL026152, 2006.

Magny, M., Vannière, B., de Beaulieu, J.-L., Bégeot, C., Heiri, O., Millet, L., Peyron, O., and Walter-Simonnet, A.-V.: Early-Holocene climatic oscillations recorded by lake-level fluctuations in west-central Europe and in central Italy, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 26, 1951–1964, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.04.013, 2007.

605 Mamajek, E. E., Prsa, A., Torres, G., Harmanec, P., Asplund, M., Bennett, P. D., Capitaine, N., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Depagne, E., Folkner, W. M., Haberreiter, M., Hekker, S., Hilton, J. L., Kostov, V., Kurtz, D. W., Laskar, J., Mason, B.

D., Milone, E. F., Montgomery, M. M., Richards, M. T., Schou, J., and Stewart, S. G.: IAU 2015 Resolution B3 on Recommended Nominal Conversion Constants for Selected Solar and Planetary Properties, ArXiv151007674 Astro-Ph, available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.07674 (last access: 15 November 2021), 2015.

610 Marcott, S. A., Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., and Mix, A. C.: A reconstruction of regional and global temperature for the past 11,300 years, Science, 339, 1198–1201, doi:10.1126/science.1228026, 2013.

Marcott, S. A., Bauska, T. K., Buizert, C., Steig, E. J., Rosen, J. L., Cuffey, K. M., Fudge, T. J., Severinghaus, J. P., Ahn, J., Kalk, M. L., McConnell, J. R., Sowers, T., Taylor, K. C., White, J. W. C., and Brook, E. J.: Centennial-scale changes in the global carbon cycle during the last deglaciation, Nature, 514, 616–619, doi:10.1038/nature13799, 2014.

615 Marsicek, J., Shuman, B. N., Bartlein, P. J., Shafer, S. L., and Brewer, S.: Reconciling divergent trend and millennial variations in Holocene temperatures, Nature, 554, 92–96, doi:10.1038/nature25464, 2018.

Maslin, M. A. and Burns, S. J.: Reconstruction of the Amazon Basin effective moisture availability over the past 14,000 years, Science, 290, 2285–2287, doi:10.1126/science.290.5500.2285, 2000.

Matero, I. S. O., Gregoire, L. J., and Ivanovic, R. F.: Simulating the Early Holocene demise of the Laurentide Ice Sheet with 620 BISICLES (public trunk revision 3298), Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 4555–4577, doi:10.5194/gmd-13-4555-2020, 2020.

Matthes, K., Funke, B., Anderson, M. E., Barnard, L., Beer, J., Charbonneau, P., Clilverd, M. A., Dudok de Wit, T., Haberreiter, M., Hendry, A., Jackman, C. H., Kretschmar, M., Kruschke, T., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Marsh, D. R., Maycock, A., Misios, S., Rodger, C. J., Scaife, A. A., Seppälä, A., Shangguan, M., Sinnhuber, M., Tourpali, K., Usoskin, I., van de Kamp, M., Verronen, P. T., and Versick, S.: Solar forcing for CMIP6 (v3.2), Geosci. Model Dev., 625 10, 2247–2302, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017, 2017.

Mayewski, P. A., Rohling, E. E., Stager, J. C., Karlén, W., Maasch, K. A., Meeker, L. D., Meyerson, E. A., Gasse, F., van Kreveld, S., Holmgren, K., Lee-Thorp, J., Rosqvist, G., Rack, F., Staubwasser, M., Schneider, R. R., and Steig, E. J.: Holocene climate variability, Quaternary Res., 62, 243–255, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2004.07.001, 2004.

Monnin, E., Indermuhle, A., Dallenbach, A., Fluckiger, J., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T. F., Raynaud, D., and Barnola, J. M.: 630 Atmospheric CO_2 concentrations over the last glacial termination, Science, 291, 112–114, doi:10.1126/science.291.5501.112, 2001.

Monnin, E., Steig, E. J., Siegenthaler, U., Kawamura, K., Schwander, J., Stauffer, B., Stocker, T. F., Morse, D. L., Barnola, J.-M., Bellier, B., Raynaud, D., and Fischer, H.: Evidence for substantial accumulation rate variability in Antarctica during the Holocene, through synchronization of CO_2 in the Taylor Dome, Dome C and DML ice cores, Earth Planet. 635 Sc. Lett., 224, 45–54, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2004.05.007, 2004.

Neale, R. B., Richter, J. H., Conley, A. J., Park, S., Lauritzen, P. H., Gettelman, A., Williamson, D. L., Rasch, P. J., Vavrus, S. J., Taylor, M. A., Collins, W. D., Zhang, M., and Lin, S.: Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 4.0), Tech. Rep. NCAR/TN-485+STR, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, 194 pp., 2010.

Nesje, A. and Dahl, S. O.: Lateglacial and Holocene glacier fluctuations and climate variations in western Norway: a review, 640 Quaternary Sci. Rev., 12, 255–261, doi:10.1016/0277-3791(93)90081-V, 1993.

Núñez, L., Grosjean, M., and Cartajena, I.: Human occupations and climate change in the Puna de Atacama, Chile, Science, 298, 821–824, doi:10.1126/science.1076449, 2002.

Osman, M. B., Tierney, J. E., Zhu, J., Tardif, R., Hakim, G. J., King, J., and Poulsen, C. J.: Globally resolved surface temperatures since the Last Glacial Maximum, Nature, 599, 239–244, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03984-4, 2021.

645 Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Lunt, D. J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., Capron, E., Carlson, A. E., Dutton, A., Fischer, H., Goelzer, H., Govin, A., Haywood, A., Joos, F., LeGrande, A. N., Lipscomb, W. H., Lohmann, G., Mahowald, N., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Pausata, F. S. R., Peterschmitt, J.-V., Phipps, S. J., Renssen, H., and Zhang, Q.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 – Part 2: Two interglacials, scientific objective and experimental design for Holocene and Last Interglacial simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 3979–4003, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-3979-
650 2017, 2017.

Park, H.-S., Kim, S.-J., Stewart, A. L., Son, S.-W., and Seo, K.-H.: Mid-Holocene Northern Hemisphere warming driven by Arctic amplification, Sci. Adv., 5, eaax8203, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax8203, 2019.

Peltier, W. R., Argus, D. F., and Drummond, R.: Space geodesy constrains ice age terminal deglaciation: The global ICE-6G_C (VM5a) model, J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Ea., 120, 450–487, doi:10.1002/2014JB011176, 2015.

655 PMIP Last Deglaciation Working Group: PMIP4 Last Deglaciation Experiment Design Wiki, available at: https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla (last access: 11 November 2021), 2016.

PMIP PI Working Group: PMIP3 Pre-Industrial Control Run Experiment Design, available at: https://wiki.lsce.ipsl.fr/pmip3/doku.php/pmip3:design:pi:index (last access: 16 November 2021), 2010.

Renssen, H., Braconnot, P., Tett, S. F. B., von Storch, H., and Weber, S. L.: Recent developments in Holocene climate
660 modelling, in: Past Climate Variability through Europe and Africa, Springer, Dordrecht, 495–514, 2004.

Renssen, H., Seppä, H., Crosta, X., Goosse, H., Roche, D. M.: Global characterization of the Holocene thermal maximum, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 48, 7–19, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.05.022, 2012.

Rubino, M., Etheridge, D. M., Trudinger, C. M., Allison, C. E., Battle, M. O., Langenfelds, R. L., Steele, L. P., Curran, M., Bender, M., White, J. W. C., Jenk, T. M., Blunier, T., and Francey, R. J.: A revised 1000 year atmospheric δ^{13}C-CO$_2$
665 record from Law Dome and South Pole, Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 8482–8499, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50668, 2013.

Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Schwander, J., Buiron, D., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., Schüpbach, S., Spahni, R., Fischer, H., and Stocker, T. F.: Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last 140 000 years, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 300, 33–43, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.09.027, 2010.

670 Seltzer, G., Rodbell, D., and Burns, S.: Isotopic evidence for late Quaternary climatic change in tropical South America, Geology, 28, 35–38, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<35:IEFLQC>2.0.CO;2, 2000.

Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., He, F., Marcott, S. A., Mix, A. C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B., Schmittner, A., and Bard, E.: Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation, Nature, 484, 49–54, doi:10.1038/nature10915, 2012.

675   Shevenell, A. E., Ingalls, A. E., Domack, E. W., and Kelly, C.: Holocene Southern Ocean surface temperature variability west of the Antarctic Peninsula, Nature, 470, 250–254, doi:10.1038/nature09751, 2011.

Shi, X., Werner, M., Krug, C., Brierley, C. M., Zhao, A., Igbinosa, E., Braconnot, P., Brady, E., Cao, J., D'Agostino, R., Jungclaus, J., Liu, X., Otto-Bliesner, B., Sidorenko, D., Tomas, R., Volodin, E. M., Yang, H., Zhang, Q., Zheng, W., and Lohmann, G.: Calendar effects on surface air temperature and precipitation based on model-ensemble equilibrium

680   and transient simulations from PMIP4 and PACMEDY, Clim. Past Discuss., doi:10.5194/cp-2021-163, in review, 2021.

Shi, Y., Kong, Z., Wang, S., Tang, L., Wang, F., Yao, T., Zhao, X., Zhang, P., and Shi, S.: The climatic fluctuation and important events of Holocene megathermal in China, Sci. China Ser. B, 37, 353–365, doi:10.1360/yb1994-37-3-353, 1994.

Sidorenko, D., Goessling, H. F., Koldunov, N. V., Scholz, P., Danilov, S., Barbi, D., Cabos, W., Gurses, O., Harig, S.,

685   Hinrichs, C., Juricke, S., Lohmann, G., Losch, M., Mu, L., Rackow, T., Rakowsky, N., Sein, D., Semmler, T., Shi, X., Stepanek, C., Streffing, J., Wang, Q., Wekerle, C., Yang, H., and Jung, T.: Evaluation of FESOM2.0 coupled to ECHAM6.3: preindustrial and HighResMIP simulations, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 11, 3794–3815, doi:10.1029/2019MS001696, 2019.

Smith, R. S. and Gregory, J.: The last glacial cycle: transient simulations with an AOGCM, Clim. Dyn., 38, 1545–1559,

690   doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1283-y, 2012.

Smith, R. S., Gregory, J. M., and Osprey, A.: A description of the FAMOUS (version XDBUA) climate model and control run, Geosci. Model. Dev., 1, 53–68, doi:10.5194/gmd-1-53-2008, 2008.

Smith, R., Jones, P., Briegleb, B., Bryan, F., Danabasoglu, G., Dennis, J., Dukowicz, J., Eden, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Gent, P., Hecht, M., Jayne, S., Jochum, M., Large, W., Lindsay, K., Maltrud, M., Norton, N., Peacock, S., Vertenstein, M., and

695   Yeager, S.: The Parallel Ocean Program (POP) reference manual, ocean component of the Community Climate System Model (CCSM) and Community Earth System Model (CESM), Los Alamos National Laboratory Tech. Rep. LAUR-10-01853, 141pp., 2010.

Solomina, O. N., Bradley, R. S., Hodgson, D. A., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jomelli, V., Mackintosh, A. N., Nesje, A., Owen, L. A., Wanner, H., Wiles, G. C., and Young, N. E.: Holocene glacier fluctuation, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 111, 9–34,

700   doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.11.018, 2015.

Song, X. and Zhang, G. J.: The roles of convection parameterization in the formation of double ITCZ syndrome in the NCAR CESM: I. Atmospheric processes, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 10, 842–866, doi:10.1002/2017MS001191, 2018.

Song, X. and Zhang, G. J.: Culprit of the eastern Pacific double ITCZ bias in the NCAR CESM1.2, J. Clim., 32, 6349–6364, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0580.1, 2019.

705   Spahni, R., Chappellaz, J., Stocker, T. F., Loulergue, L., Hausammann, G., Kawamura, K., Flückiger, J., Schwander, J., Raynaud, D., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Jouzel, J.: Atmospheric methane and nitrous oxide of the late Pleistocene from Antarctic ice cores, Science, 310, 1317–1321, doi:10.1126/science.1120132, 2005.

Staubwasser, M.: An overview of Holocene South Asian monsoon records-monsoon domains and regional contrasts, J. Geolog. Soc. India, 68, 433–446, 2006.

710 Sun, W., Liu, J., Wan, L., Ning, L., and Yan, M.: Simulation of Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude precipitation response to different external forcings during the Holocene (in Chinese), Quaternary Sci., 40, 1588–1596, doi:10.11928/j.issn.1001-7410.2020.06.18, 2020.

Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl G. A.: An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 93, 485−498, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2012.

715 Timm, O. and Timmermann, A.: Simulation of the last 21,000 years using accelerated transient boundary conditions, J. Clim., 20, 4377–4401, doi:10.1175/JCLI4237.1, 2007.

Timmermann, A., Friedrich, T., Timm, O. E., Chikamoto, M. O., Abe-Ouchi, A., and Ganopolski, A.: Modeling obliquity and CO_2 effects on Southern Hemisphere climate during the past 408 ka, J. Clim., 27(5), 1863–1875, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00311.1, 2014.

720 Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Rasmussen, S. O., Severi, M., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., and Wolff, E. W.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Clim. Past, 9, 1733–1748, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013, 2013.

Vinther, B. M., Clausen, H. B., Fisher, D. A., Koerner, R. M., Johnsen, S. J., Andersen, K. K., Dahl-Jensen, D., Rasmussen,
725 S. O., Steffensen, J. P., and Svensson, A. M.: Synchronizing ice cores from the Renland and Agassiz ice caps to the Greenland Ice Core Chronology, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D08115, doi:10.1029/2007jd009143, 2008.

Walker, M. J. C., Berkelhammer, M., Björck, S., Cwynar, L. C., Fisher, D. A., Long, A. J., Lowe, J. J., Newnham, R. M., Rasmussen, S. O., and Weiss, H.: Formal subdivision of the Holocene Series/Epoch: a Discussion Paper by a Working Group of INTIMATE (Integration of ice-core, marine and terrestrial records) and the Subcommission on Quaternary
730 Stratigraphy (International Commission on Stratigraphy), J. Quaternary Sci., 27, 649–659, doi:10.1002/jqs.2565, 2012.

Wan, L., Liu, J., Gao, C., Sun, W., Ning, L., and Yan, M.: Study about influence of the Holocene volcanic eruptions on temperature variation trend by simulation (in Chinese), Quaternary Sci., 40, 1597–1610, doi:10.11928/j.issn.1001-7410.2020.06.19, 2020.

Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., He, Y., Kong, X., An, Z., Wu, J., Kelly, M. J., Dykoski, C., and Li, X.: The Holocene
735 Asian monsoon: links to solar changes and North Atlantic climate, Science, 308, 854–857, doi:10.1126/science.1106296, 2005.

Wanner, H.: Late-Holocene: Cooler or warmer? Holocene, doi:10.1177/09596836211019106, 2021.

Wanner, H. and Ritz, S.: A web-based Holocene Climate Atlas (HOCLAT), available at: https://www.oeschger.unibe.ch/research/projects_and_databases/web_based_holocene_climate_atlas_hoclat (last access:
740 31 December 2021), 2011.

Wanner, H., Beer, J., Bütikofer, J., Crowley, T. J., Cubasch, U., Flückiger, J., Goosse, H., Grosjean, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J. O., Küttel, M., Müller, S. A., Prentice, I. C., Solomina, O., Stocker, T. F., Tarasov, P., Wagner, M., and Widmann, M.: Mid- to Late Holocene climate change: an overview, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 27, 1791–1828, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.06.013, 2008.

745 Wanner, H., Solomina, O., Grosjean, M., Ritz, S. P., and Jetel, M.: Structure and origin of Holocene cold events, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 3109–3123, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.07.010, 2011.

Wu, B., Lang, X., and Jiang, D.: Migration of the northern boundary of the East Asian summer monsoon over the last 21,000 years, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126, e2021JD035078, doi:10.1029/2021JD035078, 2021.

Yuan, D., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Dykoski, C. A., Kelly, M. J., Zhang, M., Qing, J., Lin, Y., Wang, Y., Wu, J., Dorale, J.
750 A., An, Z., and Cai, Y.: Timing, duration, and transitions of the last interglacial Asian monsoon, Science, 304, 575–578, doi:10.1126/science.1091220, 2004.

Zhang, J., Chen, F., Holmes, J. A., Li, H., Guo, X., Wang, J., Li, S., Lü, Y., Zhao, Y., and Qiang, M.: Holocene monsoon climate documented by oxygen and carbon isotopes from lake sediments and peat bogs in China: a review and synthesis, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 1973–1987, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.04.023, 2011.

755 Zhang, W., Wu, H., Geng, J., and Cheng, J.: Model-data divergence in global seasonal temperature response to astronomical insolation during the Holocene, Sci. Bull., 67, 25–28, doi:10.1016/j.scib.2021.09.004, 2022.

Zhang, Y., Renssen, H., and Seppä, H.: Effects of melting ice sheets and orbital forcing on the early Holocene warming in the extratropical Northern Hemisphere, Clim. Past, 12, 1119–1135, doi:10.5194/cp-12-1119-2016, 2016.

Zhang, Y., Renssen, H., Seppä, H., and Valdes, P. J.: Holocene temperature trends in the extratropical Northern Hemisphere
760 based on inter-model comparisons, J. Quaternary Sci., 33, 464–476, doi:10.1002/jqs.3027, 2018.

Zhang, Y., Renssen, H., Seppä, H., Valdes, P. J., and Li, J.: Spatial contrasts of the Holocene hydroclimate trend between North and East Asia, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 227, 106036, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.106036, 2020.

Table 1. Summary of model boundary conditions for the early Holocene (11.5 ka) spin-up and Holocene transient (11.5 ka to 1850 CE) experiments; see text for details. Data are available from PMIP4 last deglaciation wiki (PMIP Last Deglaciation Working Group, 2016). Boundary condition group headings are in bold.

Experiment type	Boundary conditions	Description
Early-Holocene (11.5 ka) spin-up	**Orbital parameters**	
	Eccentricity	0.01957212
	Obliquity	24.17958°
	Perihelion–180°	270.2132°
	Date of vernal equinox	Noon on 21 March
	Solar constant	1365 W m^{-2}
	GHGs	
	Carbon dioxide (CO_2)	267.4 ppm
	Methane (CH_4)	652.4 ppb
	Nitrous oxide (N_2O)	251.1 ppb
	Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC)	0
	Ozone (O_3)	Preindustrial (1850 CE)
	Ice sheets and orography	11.5 ka data from ICE-6G_C reconstruction (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., 2015)
	All others	Prescribed preindustrial (1850 CE) levels
Holocene Transient (11.5 ka to 1850 CE; HT-11.5ka)	**Orbital parameters**	All orbital parameters should be transient as per Berger (1978)
	GHGs	Adjusted to the AICC2012 chronology (Veres et al., 2013)
	Carbon dioxide (CO_2)	Transient, as per Bereiter et al. (2015)
	Methane (CH_4)	Transient, as per Loulergue et al. (2008)
	Nitrous oxide (N_2O)	Transient, as per Schilt et al. (2010)
	Ice sheets and orography	Transient with ICE-6G_C reconstruction, updated every 250 years
	All others	As per early Holocene (11.5 ka) spin-up experiment

Table 2. Boundary conditions for HT-11.5ka experiments.

	Orbital parameters	GHGs	Ice sheets	Time span
HT-ALL	Transient	Transient	Transient	11.5 ka to 1850 CE
HT-ORB	Transient	Fixed at 11.5 ka	Fixed at 11.5 ka	11.5 ka to 1850 CE
HT-GHG	Fixed at 11.5 ka	Transient	Fixed at 11.5 ka	11.5 ka to 1850 CE
HT-ICE	Fixed at 11.5 ka	Fixed at 11.5 ka	Transient	11.5 ka to 1850 CE

Table 3. Global annual, DJF, and JJA mean surface air temperatures (units: °C) for the preindustrial period, mid-Holocene, and the difference between the mid-Holocene and preindustrial periods in simulations from the 14 PMIP4 models, their arithmetic mean (MMM), and HT-ALL. In the HT-ALL simulation, the preindustrial and mid-Holocene refers to the average for 1750–1850 CE and 6 ka ± 0.05 ka, respectively.

Model or simulation	Preindustrial			Mid-Holocene			Difference		
	Annual	DJF	JJA	Annual	DJF	JJA	Annual	DJF	JJA
AWI-ESM-1-1-LR	12.98	11.11	14.82	12.54	10.46	14.61	–0.44	–0.65	–0.21
CESM2	14.08	12.40	15.70	13.88	12.02	15.74	–0.20	–0.38	0.04
EC-Earth3-LR	13.29	11.28	15.33	13.09	10.87	15.39	–0.20	–0.41	0.06
FGOALS-f3-L	12.94	11.05	14.87	12.45	10.42	14.58	–0.49	–0.62	–0.29
FGOALS-g3	12.77	10.80	14.72	12.59	10.45	14.84	–0.18	–0.35	0.13
GISS-E2-1-G	13.81	12.25	15.45	13.42	11.73	15.23	–0.40	–0.52	–0.22
INM-CM4-8	13.24	11.27	15.22	12.99	10.85	15.17	–0.25	–0.42	–0.05
IPSL-CM6A-LR	12.59	10.67	14.50	12.20	9.99	14.38	–0.39	–0.67	–0.12
MIROC-ES2L	14.98	13.01	17.03	14.53	12.39	16.79	–0.45	–0.62	–0.24
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	13.52	11.75	15.29	13.13	11.18	15.14	–0.39	–0.58	–0.15
MRI-ESM2-0	13.86	12.25	15.51	13.62	11.81	15.50	–0.24	–0.44	–0.003
NESM3	13.74	11.68	15.74	13.44	11.34	15.49	–0.30	–0.33	–0.25
NorESM1-F	14.43	12.66	16.26	14.10	12.08	16.19	–0.34	–0.58	–0.06
NorESM2-LM	14.45	12.83	16.05	14.21	12.32	16.11	–0.24	–0.51	0.06
MMM	13.62	11.78	15.46	13.30	11.28	15.37	–0.32	–0.51	–0.09
HT-ALL	13.57	11.85	15.38	13.16	11.25	15.21	–0.41	–0.60	–0.17

Figure 1: Temporal evolution of the external forcings in HT-11.5ka simulations since the early Holocene (11.5 ka) for (a) June insolation at 60°N (solid line) and December insolation at 60°S (dashed line) (Berger, 1978), (b) atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration (units: ppm) (recent composite of EPICA Dome C, Law Dome, and West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide records, Antarctica; Bereiter et al., 2015), (c) atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration (EPICA Dome C, Antarctica; Loulergue et al., 2008), (d) Atmospheric N$_2$O concentration (Talos Dome, Antarctica; Schilt et al., 2010), and (e) area of the ice sheets in the Northern Hemisphere according to the ICE-6G_C reconstruction (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., 2015).

Figure 2: Latitude–time anomalies in June insolation relative to the average for the last 1000 years according to Berger (1978).

Figure 3: Northern (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) ice sheet elevation at 11.5, 10, 8, 6 and 0 ka (1950 CE) for the ICE-6G_C reconstruction at 10 arcmin horizontal resolution; elevation is plotted where the fractional ice mask is more than 0.5 (Peltier et al., 2015).

780

785 **Figure 4: Global annual mean surface air temperature since 11.5 ka under the all-forcing simulation. The time series is smoothed by a 101-year moving average. The grey circles at 6 ka and 0.1 ka stand for the mid-Holocene and preindustrial results simulated by the arithmetic mean of the 14 PMIP4 models, with grey vertical error bars representing plus and minus one standard deviation for 14 models.**

790 **Figure 5: Global annual mean surface air temperature anomaly since 10 ka under the all-forcing (HT-ALL, black) and the single-forcing (HT-ORB, blue; HT-ICE, green; and HT-GHG, orange) simulations relative to the last 101 years of the all-forcing simulation. All of the time series are smoothed by a 101-year moving average. The triangle, square, cross, diamond, and circle symbols at 6 ka stand for the mid-Holocene minus preindustrial results simulated by the 14 PMIP4 models and their multi-model arithmetic mean (MMM).**

795

Figure 6: Changes in (a, b) annual, (c, d) DJF, and (e, f) JJA mean surface air temperatures (units: °C) (a, c, e) between the averages for 6 ka ± 0.05 ka and the last 101 years (i.e., 1750–1850 CE) in the HT-ALL simulation and (b, d, f) between the mid-Holocene and preindustrial simulations in the arithmetic mean of the 14 PMIP4 models as listed in Figure 5. The zonal mean changes are shown on the right of each panel. The dotted areas in (b, d, f) represent less than 70% of the PMIP4 models agree with
800 **the sign of multi-model mean change.**

Figure 7: Zonal averaged changes in (a) annual, (b) DJF, and (c) JJA mean surface air temperatures (units: °C) between the mid-Holocene and preindustrial simulations in the 14 PMIP4 models and their arithmetic mean (MMM) as well as between the averages for 6 ka ± 0.05 ka and the last 101 years (i.e., 1750–1850 CE) in the HT-ALL simulation. Red, blue, grey, black, green, and orange bars represent the changes averaged over the zonal bands of 60°–90°N, 30°–60°N, 0°–30°N, 30°S–0°, 60°–30°S, and 90°–60°S, respectively. The error bar for the multi-model mean change represents plus and minus one standard deviation for the 14 PMIP4 models.