# Introducing new lightning schemes into the CHASER (MIROC) chemistry climate model

3 Yanfeng He<sup>1</sup>, Hossain Mohammed Syedul Hoque<sup>1</sup>, Kengo Sudo<sup>1,2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Environment Studies, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8601, Japan

5 <sup>2</sup> Japan Agency for Marine–Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 237-0061, Yokohama, Japan

6 *Correspondence to*: Yanfeng He (hyf412694462@gmail.com)

7 Abstract. The formation of nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x$ ) associated with lightning activities (hereinafter designated as  $LNO_x$ ) is a major source of NO<sub>x</sub>. In fact, it is regarded as the dominant NO<sub>x</sub> source in the middle to upper troposphere. Therefore, 8 9 improving the prediction accuracy of lightning and LNO<sub>x</sub> in chemical climate models is crucially important. This study implemented three new lightning schemes with the CHASER (MIROC) global chemical transport/climate model. The first 10 lightning scheme is based on upward cloud ice flux (ICEFLUX scheme). The second one (the original ECMWF scheme), also 11 12 adopted in the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) forecasting system, calculates lightning flash rates as a function of  $Q_R$  (a quantity intended to represent the charging rate of collisions between graupel and other types 13 14 of hydrometeors inside the charge separation region), convective available potential energy (CAPE), and convective cloud-15 base height. For the original ECMWF scheme, by tuning the equations and adjustment factors for land and ocean, a new lightning scheme named ECMWF-McCAUL scheme was also tested in CHASER. The ECMWF-McCAUL scheme calculates 16 lightning flash rates as a function of CAPE and column precipitating ice. In the original version of CHASER (MIROC), 17 lightning is initially parameterized with the widely used cloud top height scheme (CTH scheme). Model evaluations with 18 19 lightning observations conducted using the Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) and Optical Transient Detector (OTD) indicate 20 that both the ICEFLUX and ECMWF schemes simulate the spatial distribution of lightning more accurately on a global scale than the CTH scheme does. The ECMWF-McCAUL scheme showed the highest prediction accuracy for the global distribution 21 of lightning. Evaluation by atmospheric tomography (ATom) aircraft observations (NO) and tropospheric monitoring 22 23 instrument (TROPOMI) satellite observations (NO<sub>2</sub>) shows that the newly implemented lightning schemes partially facilitated 24 the reduction of model biases (NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) typically within the regions where  $LNO_x$  is the major source of NO<sub>x</sub> when 25 compared using the CTH scheme. Although the newly implemented lightning schemes have a minor effect on the tropospheric mean oxidation capacity compared to the CTH scheme, they led to marked changes of oxidation capacity in different regions 26 27 of the troposphere. Historical trend analyses of flash and surface temperatures predicted using CHASER (2001-2020) show 28 that lightning schemes predicted an increasing trend of lightning or no significant trends, except for one case of the ICEFLUX scheme, which predicted a decreasing trend of lightning. The global lightning rates of increase during 2001–2020 predicted 29 by the CTH scheme were 17.69%/°C and 2.50%/°C, respectively, with and without meteorological nudging. The un-nudged 30 runs also included the short-term surface warming but without the application of meteorological nudging. Furthermore, the 31 32 ECMWF schemes predicted a larger increasing trend of lightning flash rates under the short-term surface warming by a factor 33 of 4 (ECMWF-McCAUL scheme) and 5 (original ECMWF scheme) compared to the CTH scheme without nudging. In 34 conclusion, the three new lightning schemes improved global lightning prediction in the CHASER model. However, further 35 research is needed to assess the reproducibility of trends of lightning over longer periods.

# 36 Keywords

37 lightning, lightning scheme, lightning NOx, chemistry-climate model, lightning under climate change

#### 38 **1** Introduction

39 Nitric oxide (NO) can be formed during lightning activities. Also, NO can be oxidized quickly to nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). An 40 equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> can be reached during daytime. Those gases are known collectively as NO<sub>x</sub> (Finney et al., 2014). Actually, LNO<sub>x</sub> is estimated as contributing approximately 10% of the global NO<sub>x</sub> source. Regarded as the dominant 41 42 NO<sub>x</sub> source in the middle to upper troposphere (Schumann and Huntrieser, 2007; Finney et al., 2016a), NO<sub>x</sub> is associated with many chemical reactions in the atmosphere. Most importantly, NO reacts with peroxy radical to reproduce OH radical. 43 44 Photochemical dissociation of NO<sub>2</sub> engenders the production of ozone (Isaksen and Hov, 1987; Grewe, 2007). The primary 45 oxidants in the atmosphere, which are OH radical and ozone, control the oxidation capacity of the atmosphere. Results of several studies have indicated that global-scale LNOx emissions are an important contributor to ozone and other trace gases, 46 47 especially in the upper troposphere (Labrador et al., 2005; Wild, 2007; Liaskos et al., 2015). Consequently, LNO<sub>x</sub> influences 48 atmospheric chemistry and global climate to a considerable degree (Schumann and Huntrieser, 2007; Murray, 2016; Finney 49 et al., 2016b; Tost, 2017). However, large uncertainties remain in predicting lightning and LNO<sub>x</sub> in chemical climate models 50 (Tost et al., 2007). Therefore, improving lightning prediction accuracy and quantifying LNO<sub>x</sub> in chemical climate models is 51 crucially important for future atmospheric research.

52

53 Global chemical climate models (CCMs) such as CHASER (MIROC) (Sudo et al., 2002; Sudo and Akimoto, 2007;

54 Watanabe et al., 2011) most often use the convective cloud-top height to parameterize the lightning flash rate (Price and

55 Rind, 1992; Lamarque et al., 2013). The Earth System Models (ESMs) recently used in the sixth Coupled Model

Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) all used the convective cloud-top height to calculate the lightning flash rates (Thornhill et 56

57 al., 2021). Not only in global CCMs but the studies of LNO<sub>x</sub> in regional-scale models have also made significant progress in

58 recent years (Heath et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2019a; Kang et al., 2019b; Kang et al., 2020).

59

60 The spaceborne Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) and Optical Transient Detector (OTD) lightning observation data (Cecil et al., 2014) are often utilized to evaluate the performance of different lightning schemes. A new lightning scheme proposed by 61 Finney et al. (2014), which is based on upward cloud ice flux, has shown better spatial and temporal correlation coefficients 62 63 and root mean square errors (RMSEs) than the cloud top height scheme compared against the LIS/OTD lightning 64 observations. Another lightning scheme also showed more accurate lightning prediction than the cloud top height scheme, which is also adopted in the ECMWF forecasting system (Lopez, 2016). This lightning scheme uses  $Q_R$  (a quantity intended 65 to represent the charging rate of collisions between graupel and other types of hydrometeors inside the charge separation 66 region), convective available potential energy (CAPE), and convective cloud-base height to compute the lightning flash rate 67 68 (Lopez, 2016). The two new lightning schemes (Finney et al., 2014; Lopez 2016) mentioned above have only been evaluated in a few chemical transport and climate models. The new lightning schemes are expected to be evaluated and compared in 69 70 more chemical transport and climate models, such as CHASER. To achieve better prediction accuracy for lightning and 71 better quantification of LNOx in chemical climate models, comparing and optimizing the existing lightning schemes and 72 evaluating them with various observation data are also important. 73

74 Lightning simulations are also fundamentally important in chemical climate model studies for predictions of atmospheric 75 chemical fields and climate. Nevertheless, different lightning schemes respond very differently on decadal to multi-decadal 76 time scales under global warming. Some lightning schemes such as those using cloud top height or CAPE × precipitation 77 rate as a proxy for calculating lightning indicate that lightning increases concomitantly with increasing global average 78 temperature. By contrast, other lightning schemes, such as those using convective mass flux or upward cloud ice flux as a 79 proxy of lightning, indicate that lightning will decrease as the global average temperature increases (Clark et al., 2017;

80 Finney et al., 2018). Several studies (Price and Rind 1994; Zeng et al., 2008; Jiang and Liao 2013; Banerjee et al., 2014;

- 81 Krause et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2017) have found 5–16% increases in lightning flashes per degree of increase in global
- 82 mean surface temperatures with the lightning scheme based on cloud top height. Over the contiguous United States
- 83 (CONUS), the CAPE  $\times$  precipitation rate proxy predicted a 12  $\pm$  5% increase in the CONUS lightning flash rate per degree
- 84 of global mean temperature increase (Romps et al., 2014). Compared to the findings reported by Romps et al. (2014), Finney
- 85 et al. (2020) found a relatively small response of lightning to climate change (2 % K<sup>-1</sup>) over Africa using a cloud-ice-based
- 86 parametrisation for lightning. By contrast, Finney et al. (2018) found a 15% global mean lightning flash rate decrease with
- 87 the lightning scheme based on upward cloud ice flux in 2100 under a strong global warming scenario. Furthermore, a 2.0%
- 88 decrease in global mean lightning flashes per degree of increase in the global mean surface temperature with the lightning
- 89 scheme based on convective mass flux has been reported by Clark et al. (2017). Although it remains unclear which lightning
- 90 scheme is best suited to predicting future lightning (Romps, 2019), comparing different lightning schemes in different
- 91 chemical climate models is valuable for consideration of the sensitivity of lightning to global warming.
- 92
- 93 This study introduced three new lightning schemes into CHASER (MIROC). The first lightning scheme (Finney et al., 2014)
- 94 is based on upward cloud ice flux. The second one (Lopez, 2016), also adopted in the ECMWF forecasting system,
- 95 calculates lightning flash rates as a function of  $Q_R$  (defined in Sect. 2.2), CAPE, and convective cloud-base height. In the
- 96 case of the second lightning scheme, by tuning the equations and adjustment factors based on a study reported by McCaul et
- 97 al. (2009), a new lightning scheme named ECMWF-McCAUL scheme was also tested for CHASER (MIROC). The
- 98 ECMWF-McCAUL scheme calculates lightning flash rates as a function of CAPE and column precipitating ice. Our study
- 99 conducted detailed evaluation of lightning and LNOx by LIS/OTD lightning observations, NASA/ATom aircraft
- 100 observations, and TROPOMI satellite observations. The effects of different lightning schemes on the atmospheric chemical
- 101 fields were evaluated. Also, 20-year (2001–2020) historical trend analyses of lightning densities and LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions
- 102 simulated by different lightning schemes were conducted. Based on the results, the effects of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions during 2001-
- 103 2020 on tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> column trends were estimated and discussed.
- 104

105 Research methods, including the model description and experiment setup, are described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3.1, the

106 evaluation of lightning schemes using LIS/OTD lightning observations is explained. In Sect. 3.2, LNO<sub>x</sub> emission simulation

107 by different lightning schemes is evaluated with aircraft and satellite measurements. Section 3.3 presents a discussion of the

108 effects of different lightning schemes on the atmospheric chemical fields. Historical trends of lightning simulated by

- 109 different lightning schemes are analyzed and discussed in Sect. 3.4. Section 3.5 discussed how LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions (2001–2020)
- 110 trends influence the tropospheric  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$  column trends. Section 4 presents the discussions and conclusions of this
- 111 study.

### 112 2 Method

# 113 2.1 Chemistry-climate model

- 114 The model used for this study is the CHASER (MIROC) global chemical transport and climate model (Sudo et al., 2002;
- 115 Sudo and Akimoto, 2007; Watanabe et al. 2011; Ha et al., 2021), which incorporates consideration of detailed chemical and
- 116 transport processes in the troposphere and stratosphere. CHASER calculates the distributions of 94 chemical species and
- 117 reflects the effects of 269 chemical reactions (58 photolytic, 190 kinetic, 21 heterogeneous). Its tropospheric chemistry
- 118 incorporates consideration of Non-Methane Hydrocarbons (NMHC) oxidation and the fundamental chemical cycle of O<sub>x</sub>-
- 119 NO<sub>x</sub>-HO<sub>x</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub>-CO. Its stratospheric chemistry simulates chlorine-containing and bromine-containing compounds,
- 120 chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), carbonyl sulfide (OCS), and N<sub>2</sub>O. Furthermore, it simulates the
- 121 formation of polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) and heterogeneous reactions on their surfaces. CHASER is coupled to the

122 MIROC AGCM ver. 5.0 (Watanabe et al., 2011). Grid-scale large-scale condensation and cumulus convection (Arakawa-

123 Schubert scheme) are used to simulate cloud/precipitation processes. Aerosol chemistry is coupled with the SPRINTARS

- 124 aerosol model (Takemura et al., 2009), which is also based on MIROC.
- 125
- 126 For this study, horizontal resolution used is T42 ( $2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ}$ ), with vertical resolution of 36  $\sigma$ -p hybrid levels from the
- 127 surface to approximately 50 km. The AGCM meteorological fields (u, v, T) simulated by MIROC were nudged towards the
- 128 six-hourly NCEP FNL data (https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2/, last access: 6 December 2021). Anthropogenic precursor
- 129 emissions such as NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and VOCs were obtained from the HTAP-II inventory for 2008
- 130 (https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset\_htap\_v2, last access: 6 December 2021), with biomass burning emissions from
- 131 MACC-GFAS (Inness et al., 2013). The monthly soil NO<sub>x</sub> emissions used in CHASER (MIROC) are constant for each year
- 132 and are derived from Yienger and Levy (1995).

# 133 2.2 Lightning NO<sub>x</sub> emission scheme

- 134 The lightning flash rate in CHASER is originally parameterized by cloud-top height (Price and Rind, 1992, 1993), with a "C-
- 135 shaped" NO<sub>x</sub> vertical profile adopted (Pickering et al., 1998). The equations used to calculate the lightning flash rate by
- 136 cloud-top height are

137 
$$F_l = 3.44 \times 10^{-5} H^{4.9}$$
 (1)  
138  $F_o = 6.2 \times 10^{-4} H^{1.73}$  (2)

- where *F* represents the total flash frequency (fl. min<sup>-1</sup>), *H* stands for the cloud-top height (km), and subscripts *l* and *o* respectively denote the land and ocean (Price and Rind, 1992).
- 141
- 142 For this study, three new lightning schemes are implemented into CHASER (MIROC). One is based on upward cloud ice
- 143 flux. It calculates the lightning flash rate by the following equations, as described by Finney et al. (2014).

144 
$$f_l = 6.58 \times 10^{-7} \phi_{ice}$$
 (3)

145 
$$f_o = 9.08 \times 10^{-8} \phi_{ice}$$
 (4)

146 Therein,  $f_l$  and  $f_o$  respectively represent the flash density (fl. m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) over land and ocean. Also,  $\phi_{ice}$  is the upward cloud ice 147 flux at 440 hPa (kg<sub>ice</sub> m<sup>-2</sup><sub>cloud</sub> s<sup>-1</sup>) as calculated using

148 
$$\phi_{ice} = \frac{q \times \Phi_{mass}}{c},\tag{5}$$

where q denotes the specific cloud ice water content at 440 hPa (kg<sub>ice</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup><sub>air</sub>),  $\Phi_{mass}$  stands for the updraught mass flux at 440 149 hPa (kg<sub>air</sub>  $m_{cell}^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>), and c represents the fractional cloud cover at 440 hPa ( $m_{cloud}^2 m_{cell}^{-2}$ ). The 440 hPa pressure level is chosen 150 because it is a representative pressure level of fluxes in deep convective clouds (Finney et al., 2014). Moreover, Romps 151 (2019) has proposed an alternative approach to applying the ICEFLUX scheme by using the upward cloud ice flux at 260-K 152 153 isotherms instead of at 440 hPa isobars. As suggested by Romps (2019), the isotherm-alternative is more appropriate for 154 climate change simulations because the charge separation zone will follow the isotherms instead of the isobars with climate change. The 260-K isotherm is chosen because it is close to the 440 hPa isobar based on a present-day tropical sounding and 155 it lies within the mixed-phase regions of clouds (Romps, 2019). To distinguish the two different approaches to applying the 156 ICEFLUX scheme, the isobar approach is abbreviated as ICEFLUX\_P and the isotherm-alternative is abbreviated as 157

- 158 ICEFLUX T.
- 159

160 Another new lightning scheme, also adopted in the ECMWF forecasting system, calculates lightning flash rates as a function 161 of the  $Q_R$  (defined in equation 8), *CAPE*, and convective cloud-base height (Lopez, 2016) as

162 
$$f_T = \alpha Q_R \sqrt{CAPE} \min (z_{base}, 1800)^2,$$

(6)

- where  $f_T$  is the total lightning flash density (fl. m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $z_{base}$  is the convective cloud-base height in m,  $\alpha$  (fl. kg<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) is a 163
- 164 constant obtained after calibration against the LIS/OTD climatology, which is set to  $1.11 \times 10^{-15}$  in this study. As explained by
- 165 Lopez (2016), the number 1800 used in equation (6) is a constraint to let the term  $z_{base}$  remains constant after it exceeds
- 1800 m. Note that the equation (6) is standardized on base SI units. CAPE ( $m^2 s^{-2}$ ) is diagnosed from the following 166
- 167 equation.

168 
$$CAPE = \int_{Z_{LEC}}^{Z_{W=0}} \max\left(g \frac{T_{v}^{u} - \overline{T_{v}}}{\overline{T_{v}}}, 0\right) dz$$
 (7)

In that equation, g is the constant of gravity. Also,  $T_v^u$  and  $\overline{T}_v$  respectively denote the virtual temperatures in the updraft and 169

170 the environment. The integral in equation (7) starts at the level of free convection  $Z_{LFC}$  and stops at the level at which 171 negative buoyancy is found (w = 0). Quantity  $Q_R$  (kg m<sup>-2</sup>) is intended to represent the charging rate of collisions between

172 graupel and other types of hydrometeors inside the charge separation region. It is empirically calculated as

173 
$$Q_R = \int_{z_0}^{z_{-25}} q_{graup} (q_{cond} + q_{snow}) \bar{\rho} dz,$$
 (8)

174 where  $z_0$  and  $z_{-25}$  signify the heights (m) of the 0° and -25°C isotherms, and  $q_{cond}$  denotes the mass mixing ratio of cumulus 175 cloud liquid water (kg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The respective amounts of graupel ( $q_{graup}$ ; kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and snow ( $q_{snow}$ ; kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) are computed 176 from the following equations for each vertical level of the model.

177 
$$q_{graup} = \beta \frac{P_f}{\bar{\rho} V_{graup}}$$
(9)

178 
$$q_{snow} = (1 - \beta) \frac{P_f}{\bar{\rho} V_{snow}}$$
(10)

In those equations,  $P_f$  denotes the vertical profile of the frozen precipitation convective flux (kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $\bar{\rho}$  stands for the 179 180 environmental air density (kg m<sup>-3</sup>), and V<sub>araup</sub> and V<sub>snow</sub> respectively express the typical fall speeds for graupel and snow set to 3.0 and 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>. The dimensionless coefficient  $\beta$  is set as 0.7 for land and 0.45 for ocean to account for the observed 181 182 lower graupel contents over oceans.

183

192

For the original ECMWF scheme, by tuning the calculation equations based on findings reported by McCaul et al. (2009), 184

and the adjustment factors for land and ocean, the lightning prediction accuracy is improved further, as explained in Sect. 185

186 3.1. We named the new lightning scheme as ECMWF-McCAUL scheme, and it simulates the lightning flash rate by the

188 
$$f_l = \alpha_l Q_{Ra} CAP E^{1.3}$$
 (11)  
189  $f_l = \alpha_l Q_{Ra} CAP E^{1.3}$  (12)

$$\int_{0} - u_{0} Q_{Ra} CAFE$$
(12)

Therein,  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  respectively denote the total flash density (fl. m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) over land and ocean. Also,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_0$  are constants 190

(fl. s<sup>1.6</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>m<sup>-2.6</sup>) obtained after calibration against LIS/OTD climatology, respectively, for land and ocean. For this 191 study,  $\alpha_l$  and  $\alpha_o$  are set respectively to 2.67  $\times 10^{-16}$  and 1.68  $\times 10^{-17}$ . Then *CAPE* is computed in the same way as the

original ECMWF scheme. In addition,  $Q_{Ra}$  (kg m<sup>-2</sup>) is a proxy for the charging rate resulting from the collisions between 193

194 graupel and hydrometeors of other types inside the charge separation region (from 0° to -25°C isotherm), as reported by

195 McCaul et al. (2009). Also,  $Q_{Ra}$  represents the total volumetric amount of precipitating ice in the charge separation region, 196 calculated as

197 
$$Q_{Ra} = \int_{\tau_{-}}^{z_{-25}} (q_{graup} + q_{snow} + q_{ice})\bar{\rho}dz, \tag{13}$$

where  $q_{graup}$ ,  $q_{snow}$ , and  $q_{ice}$  respectively represent the mass mixing ratios (kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of graupel, snow, and cloud ice. In 198 this study,  $q_{graup}$  and  $q_{snow}$  were computed respectively by equations (9) and (10). For the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme, 199  $V_{graup}$  and  $V_{snow}$  are set respectively to 3.1 and 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Then  $q_{ice}$  was diagnosed using Arakawa–Schubert cumulus 200 201 parameterization.

Table 1: Basic information of all lightning schemes assessed for this study

Abbreviation	Parameter	Remark
CTH (Price, C., & Rind, D., 1994)	Cloud top height	Originally used in CHASER (MIROC)
ICEFLUX (Finney et al., 2014)	Upward cloud ice flux at 440 hPa isobar (ICEFLUX_P) or at 260-K isotherm (ICEFLUX_T)	The 440 hPa level is used as a pressure level representative of fluxes in deep convective clouds
ECMWF-original (Lopez, 2016)	<ul> <li><i>Q<sub>R</sub></i> (Described in equation 8)</li> <li>CAPE</li> <li>Convective cloud-base height</li> </ul>	Also adopted in the ECMWF forecasting system
ECMWF-McCAUL	<ul><li>Column precipitating ice</li><li>CAPE</li></ul>	Equations and adjustment factors are modified from the original ECMWF scheme. Equations are modified based on findings reported by McCaul (McCaul et al., 2009)

Table 1 presents all the lightning schemes examined for this study. As described in this paper, the original ECMWF scheme and the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme are designated collectively as ECMWF schemes. Based on the recent studies, the intracloud (IC) lightning flashes are as efficient as the cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flashes in NO<sub>x</sub> generation and the lightning NO<sub>x</sub> production efficiency (LNO<sub>x</sub> PE) is reported to be 100–400 mol per flash (Ridley et al., 2005; Cooray et al., 2009; Ott et al., 2010; Allen et al., 2019). Therefore, the LNO<sub>x</sub> PE values of IC and CG used in CHASER are set to the same value (250 mol per flash), which is the median of the commonly cited range of 100–400 mol per flash.

211

212 A fourth-order polynomial is used to calculate the proportion of total flashes that are cloud-to-ground (p) based on the cold

213 depth, as described in an earlier report (Price and Rind, 1993).

214 
$$p = \frac{1}{64.09 - 36.54D + 7.493D^2 - 0.648D^3 + 0.021D^4}$$
 (14)

215 In that equation, D represents the depth of cloud above the 0°C isotherms in kilometres.

# 216 2.3 Observation data for model evaluation

# 217 2.3.1 Lightning observations

218 The LIS/OTD gridded climatology datasets are used for this study, consisting of climatologies of total lightning flash rates

219 observed using the Lightning Imaging Sensor (LIS) and spaceborne Optical Transient Detector (OTD): OTD aboard the

220 MicroLab-1 satellite and LIS aboard the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) satellite (Cecil et al., 2014). Both

sensors detect lightning by monitoring pulses of illumination produced by lightning in the 777.4 nm atomic oxygen multiplet

222 above background levels. Both sensors, in low Earth orbit, view an Earth location for about 3 min as OTD passes overhead

223 or for 1.5 min as LIS passes overhead. Actually, OTD and LIS circle the globe 14 times a day and 16 times a day,

224 respectively. OTD collected data between +75 and -75° latitude from May 1995 through March 2000, whereas LIS observed

between +38 and -38° latitude from January 1998 through April 2015. The product used throughout this paper is the

 $226 \quad LIS/OTD \ 2.5 \ Degree \ Low \ Resolution \ Time \ Series \ (LRTS). \ The \ LRTS \ includes \ the \ daily \ lightning \ flash \ rate \ on \ a \ 2.5^{\circ}$ 

227 regular latitude–longitude grid from May 1995 through April 2015.



### 228 229

Figure 1: ATom1 and ATom2 flight tracks.

# 230 2.3.2 Atmospheric tomography (ATom) aircraft observations

231 To evaluate the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions calculated by different lightning schemes, we used NO observation by the atmospheric

232 tomography (ATom) aircraft missions (Wofsy et al., 2018). By deploying an extensive gas and aerosol payload on the

- 233 NASA DC-8 aircraft, ATom is designed to sample the atmosphere systematically on a global scale, performing continuous
- 234 profiling from 0.2 to 12 km altitude. Flights took place in each of the four seasons of 2016 through 2018. Since most of the
- 235 lightning occurs over land regions during summer, ATom1 (July-August 2016) and ATom2 (January-February 2017) were
- 236 used to evaluate LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions (corresponding to summer in the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively). Both
- 237 ATom1 and ATom2 originate from the Armstrong Flight Research Center in Palmdale, California, USA, fly north to the
- 238 western Arctic, south to the South Pacific, east to the Atlantic, north to Greenland, and return to California across central
- 239 North America. Figure 1 exhibits the respective flight tracks of ATom1 and ATom2. To evaluate the model simulated NO
- against the ATom observations, we have sampled the specific flight track and timings from the modelled data.

# 241 2.3.3 TROPOMI satellite observations

- 242 Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) is the payload on-board the Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P) satellite of the
- 243 European Space Agency (ESA), which was launched in October 2017. TROPOMI has been providing observations of
- 244 important atmospheric pollutants (NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>O) with an unprecedented horizontal resolution of approx. 7
- 245  $\times$  3.5 km<sup>2</sup> since August 2017 (changed to 5.5  $\times$  3.5 km<sup>2</sup> after August 2019). The data used in this study is the TROPOMI
- 246 level-2 offline (OFFL) tropospheric NO2 columns in 2019. The product version is 1.0.0 from 2019-01-01 to 2019-03-20 and
- 247 updated to 1.1.0 from 2019-03-21 to 2019-12-31. For the direct comparisons between TROPOMI level-2 products with
- 248 CHASER results, the following procedures were conducted to pre-process the TROPOMI data and CHASER modelled
- 249 fields.
- 250 1. The TROPOMI retrievals with quality assurance (QA) values of  $\geq 0.75$  were selected.
- 251 2. Horizontally, the TROPOMI data (tropospheric NO2 columns, temperatures, pressures, averaging kernels) were
- 252 interpolated to the CHASER  $2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ}$  grid.
- 253 3. The modelled results were sampled based on the TROPOMI overpass time. The CHASER tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns
- 254 were calculated by using the sampled modelled results, the averaging kernels retrieved from the TROPOMI retrievals, and
- 255 the temperature and pressure profiles provided by TROPOMI retrievals. The averaging kernels are applied to each layer of
- the CHASER outputs following the equation (16).
- 257 4. The pre-processed data described above were used to produce the monthly averaged data.

# 258 2.3.4 OMI satellite observations

Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) is a key instrument onboard NASA's Aura satellite for measuring criteria pollutants such as O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and aerosols. OMI has been providing observations with spatial resolution varying from 13 km  $\times$  25 km to 26 km  $\times$ 128 km since October 2004 (Goldberg et al., 2019). The NO<sub>2</sub> product used in this study is the level-3 daily global gridded (0.25°  $\times$  0.25°) Nitrogen Dioxide product (OMNO2d) (Nickolay et al. 2019). The O<sub>3</sub> product used in this study is the monthly mean tropospheric column O<sub>3</sub> product developed from OMI in combination with Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) with the detailed method described by Ziemke et al. (2006).

# 265 2.4 Experiment setup

- 266 For this study, all the introduced lightning schemes were implemented into CHASER (MIROC). Six sets of experiments
- 267 were conducted for this study and the detailed settings of all experiments are presented in Table 2. For each set of
- 268 experiments, the same initial conditions and chemical emissions were used except for LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The set of
- 269 experiments that applied meteorological nudging also has the same meteorological conditions. The monthly varying soil NO<sub>x</sub>
- 270 emissions used are constant each year for all experiments derived from Yienger and Levy (1995). All experiments used the
- 271 "backward C-shaped" LNOx vertical profile (Ott et al., 2010). The LNOx PE values of IC and CG used in all experiments are
- set to the same value (250 mol per flash), which is based on the recent literature (Ridley et al., 2005; Cooray et al., 2009; Ott

- 273 et al., 2010; Allen et al., 2019). It is noteworthy that there still exist large uncertainties in determining the LNO<sub>x</sub> PE values
- 274 (Allen et al., 2019; Bucsela et al., 2019) and the choice of different LNO<sub>x</sub> PE values may influence the simulated LNO<sub>x</sub>
- 275 emissions and chemical fields. A more sophisticated parametrisation of LNOx PE values needs to be implemented and

276 verified in the chemistry-climate models in future research.

- 277
- 278 The first set of experiments was conducted for the years of 2001–2020. It was used to evaluate the distribution of the
- 279 lightning flash rate against LIS/OTD lightning observations and to derive the historical lightning trend. The second set of
- 280 experiments is the same as the first set of experiments, but uses daily mean LNOx emission rates of 2001 calculated using
- 281 lightning schemes for each year. This set of experiments is used to produce results for comparison with those of the first set
- 282 of experiments to estimate the effects of LNO<sub>x</sub> emission trends on tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> column trends. The third set of
- 283 experiments gives results for 2011–2020. These experiments are used to estimate the effects of different lightning schemes
- 284 on atmospheric chemical fields. To normalize the different annual LNO<sub>x</sub> emission amounts by different lightning schemes,
- temporally and spatially uniform adjustment factors were applied to adjust the mean LNO<sub>x</sub> production (2011–2020) to 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. Note the 10-years (2011–2020) mean LNO<sub>x</sub> production was adjusted to 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> but the LNO<sub>x</sub> production in
- 287 each year is not exactly 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. This adjustment was achieved by first conducting the simulations without any
- adjustment and the 2011–2020 mean LNO<sub>x</sub> production ( $P_{LNO_x}$ ) was calculated, then the corresponding adjustment factor
- 289 (*adj\_factor*) can be calculated by using the following equation.

$$290 \quad adj_factor = \frac{5.0}{P_{LNO_X}} \tag{15}$$

Similarly, we also adjusted the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the fourth to the sixth sets of experiments to  $5.0 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1}$ . The fourth set of experiments is for 2016, with the fifth set for 2017. These two sets of experiments were conducted to compare model results with ATom1 and ATom2 aircraft observations. The sixth set of experiments is for 2019. It is conducted to evaluate model results using TROPOMI satellite observations.

2	9	5

		Т	able 2: All exp	eriments in thi	is study			
Number	1	st	2nd		3rd	4th	5th	6th
Period	2001-2020	2001-2020	2001-2020	2001-2020	2011-2020	2016	2017	2019
Nudging	On	Off <sup>a</sup>	On	Off	On	On	On	On
INO amissions	Interactively	Interactively	Eined to 2001	Eined to 2001	Interactively	Interactively	Interactively	Interactively
LINO <sub>x</sub> emissions	calculatedb	calculated	Fixed to 2001	Fixed to 2001	calculated	calculated	calculated	calculated
Adjusted to 5.0 TgN yr <sup>-1</sup>	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	2001-2020	2001-2020	2001-2020	2001-2020	2011-2020	2016	2017	2019
Climate <sup>c</sup>	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)	(RCP4.5)
Anthropogenic			I		I	1	1	1
emissions	HTAP-II (2008) for all years							
Soil NO <sub>x</sub> emissions	Monthly varying values but constant for each year derived from Yienger and Levy (1995)							
Biomass burning	MACC	MACC	MACC	MACC	MACC	MACC	MACC	MACC
emissions	(2001-2020)	(2001-2020)	(2001-2020)	(2001-2020)	(2011-2020)	(2016)	(2017)	(2019)

<sup>296</sup> <sup>a</sup>Nudging off means the meteorological fields (u, v, T) are free-running instead of nudging towards the NCEP FNL data.

<sup>297</sup> <sup>b</sup>LNO<sub>x</sub> is interactively calculated by using different lightning schemes.

- 298 °The climate change is simulated by prescribed SST/sea ice fields and prescribed varying concentrations of GHGs (CO<sub>2</sub>,
- 299 N<sub>2</sub>O, methane, chlorofluorocarbons CFCs and hydrochlorofluorocarbons HCFCs) utilized only in the radiation scheme.
- 300 The SST/sea ice fields are obtained from the HadISST dataset (Rayner et al., 2003).

### 301 3 Results and Discussion

# 302 **3.1 Evaluation of the lightning schemes**

303 As investigated by Finney et al. (2014), 5 years data are necessary and appropriate to produce a lightning climatology.

- 304 Therefore, model results with nudging (2007-2011) were evaluated against the climatological lightning distributions of LIS
- 305 (2007-2011) within  $\pm 38^{\circ}$  latitude and LIS/OTD (1996-2000) within a broader range of  $\pm 75^{\circ}$  latitude. We have evaluated the
- 306 potential uncertainties associated with the inconsistency of the time period of simulated lightning and observed lightning
- 307 (2007-2011 and 1996-2000). The statistical analysis between LIS (2007-2011) and LIS/OTD (1996-2000) within ±38°
- 308 latitude exhibits an extremely high spatial correlation coefficient (R=0.99) and relatively small relative bias (0.65%), which 309 supports the reasonability of comparing model results with the observation data within different time range.
- 310
- 311 The distribution of lightning observed by LIS/OTD and simulated by CHASER (MIROC) with different lightning schemes is
- 312 depicted in Fig. 2. Figure 2 shows that lightning over the ocean is not well reproduced by the original CTH scheme.
- 313 Actually, it is improved considerably by the new lightning schemes. Compared with the CTH scheme, the original ECMWF
- 314 scheme better represents the lightning distribution in South Asia including the Indian region. The ECMWF schemes and the
- 315 ICEFLUX P scheme reduced negative biases in North America compared to the CTH scheme. In Australia, the ECMWF
- 316 schemes better simulate the horizontal distribution of lightning. All lightning schemes failed to capture the worldwide
- 317 maximum value found over the Congo Basin, although all lightning schemes captured the active region in central Africa.
- 318



0.0 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.0 2.0 5.0 10.0 20.0 50.0 Annual flash density (fl. km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)

- 324 To directly estimate the prediction accuracy of all lightning schemes, the Taylor diagrams are displayed in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3a,
- 325 the overall prediction accuracy of the ICEFLUX\_P and original ECMWF schemes evaluated against the LIS 2007-2011
- 326 lightning climatology is slightly improved compared to the CTH scheme. This improvement is more obvious when
- 327 considering land and ocean separately (Figs. 3b-c). In the case of Figs. 3a-c, the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme has shown the
- 328 best prediction accuracy among all lightning schemes. In Fig. 3d, comparison of the annual mean lightning flash rate of
- 329 LIS/OTD 1996–2000 and the CHASER calculation for 2007–2011 yields spatial correlation coefficients of 0.80 and 0.79 for

Annual flash density (fl. km<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)
 Figure 2: Annual mean lightning flash densities from (a) LIS satellite observations spanning 2007–2011, (b) LIS/OTD satellite
 observations spanning 1996-2000 but with a wider range, (c) the CTH scheme in 2007–2011, (d) the ICEFLUX\_P scheme in 2007–
 2011, (e) the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme in 2007–2011, and (f) the original ECMWF scheme in 2007–2011.

- the ICEFLUX P and original ECMWF schemes, respectively, which are slightly higher than that found for the CTH scheme 330
- (0.78). The overall RMSE of the ICEFLUX P scheme is 3.32 fl. km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is slightly less than that of the CTH scheme 331
- of 3.44 fl. km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Among all lightning schemes, the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme exhibits the highest spatial correlation 332
- coefficient (0.83) and the lowest RMSE (3.20 fl. km<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) as depicted in Fig. 3d. As displayed in Fig. 2, the prediction 333
- accuracy of lightning over the ocean is significantly improved, which can also be verified in Fig. 3f. 334



335 336

Figure 3: Taylor diagram showing the prediction accuracy of various lightning schemes in 2007–2011 simulations compared to the 337 LIS 2007-2011 lightning climatology (a-c) and the LIS/OTD 1996-2000 lightning climatology (d-f).

339 To estimate whether the improvement of prediction accuracy discussed in Fig. 3 is significant, a significant test is conducted

340 by considering the uncertainties in the LIS/OTD observations. Based on the uncertainties in the LIS/OTD observations, the

- probability density distributions (PDDs) of spatial correlation coefficients (R) and RMSE between the model and 341 342 observations are derived by using a Monte Carlo method and displayed in Fig. 4. The uncertainties in the LIS/OTD
- observations are determined based on the uncertainties of the instrument bulk flash detection efficiency of LIS ( $88 \pm 9\%$ ) 343
- 344 and OTD ( $54 \pm 8\%$ ) (Boccippio et al., 2002). The R and RMSE shown in Fig. 4 are all normally distributed which is
- determined by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Based on the probability density functions of R and RMSE derived from Fig. 345
- 4, the order of R between the model and observations is estimated to be ECMWF-McCAUL > ICEFLUX P > ECMWF-346
- 347 original > CTH with a confidence limit larger than 99.9%. Moreover, the order of RMSE between the model and
- observations is estimated to be ECMWF-McCAUL < ICEFLUX P < ECMWF-original and CTH with a confidence limit 348
- 349 larger than 95%. According to the significant test described above, we can conclude that the newly implemented lightning
- schemes have improved the lightning prediction accuracy compared to the original CTH scheme. 350



352 Figure 4: The probability density distributions (PDDs) of spatial correlation coefficients (R) and RMSE between the model and

LIS/OTD lightning observations. Figures 4(a–b) show the PDDs obtained between LIS lightning climatology (2007-2011) and the
 model outputs (2007-2011) within ±38° latitude. Figures 4(c–d) show the PDDs obtained between LIS/OTD lightning climatology
 (1996-2000) and the model results (2007-2011) within ±75° latitude.



356 357

Figure 5: Seasonal and annual meridional average lightning flash densities distribution from LIS 2007–2011 climatology (red line)
 and from simulation results (2007–2011) obtained using different lightning schemes. The meridional average is only taken within the
 LIS viewing region of ±38° latitude. The biases (model-obs.) in Fig. 5e are also portrayed in Fig. 5f.

360

361 Figure 5 displays a comparison of seasonal and annual meridional average lightning flash densities from simulations (2007–

362 2011) and LIS satellite observations (2007–2011). As Fig. 5 shows, the pairs of curves are usually in good agreement, even

- 363 though the annual plot (Fig. 5e) highlights the underestimation which occurs for Africa (from 0 degrees to 30 degrees east)
- 364 and North America (from 80 degrees west to 120 degrees west). The ECMWF schemes have made improvements within
- 365 Africa. Also, the ICEFLUX\_P and the original ECMWF schemes have slightly reduced the biases over North America. A

- 366 noticeable underestimation over the Americas in JJA and overestimation in MAM can be observed respectively in Figs. 5c 367 and 5b. Lightning densities over Africa are generally underestimated to varying degrees in different seasons, with the 368 greatest underestimation occurring in JJA (Fig. 5c). Lightning densities over Asia (from 60 degrees east to 120 degrees east) 369 are slightly underestimated in MAM (Fig. 5b). The ICEFLUX P scheme has reduced the biases.
- 370

Figure 6 is the same as Fig. 5, but for the zonal mean distributions. The curves of the model results and the observation

- 372 results in Fig. 6 generally show good agreement. Figure 6f shows that, overall, the ICEFLUX\_P and the ECMWF-McCAUL
- 373 schemes slightly overestimated the lightning densities near the equator  $(10^{\circ}\text{S}-10^{\circ}\text{N})$ . All lightning schemes underestimated 374 the lightning densities within 15°N–38°N and 20°S–38°S. Figure 6f also shows that the ICEFLUX P scheme has reduced
- 57 The fight find the fight find to the field of the fight of the field of the field field field for the field of the fiel
- the biases within 10°N–38°N and 15°S–38°S compared to the CTH scheme. In DJF (Fig. 6a), all lightning schemes
- overestimated the flash densities over the low latitude regions but slightly underestimated the flash densities over the middle
   latitude regions in the Southern Hemisphere. In MAM (Fig. 6b), lightning densities are overestimated near the equator and
- 378 underestimated over 15°N–38°N and 15°S–38°S by all lightning schemes to varying degrees. In JJA (Fig. 6c), noticeable
- 379 overestimation around 10°N by the original ECMWF scheme is apparent. Moreover, the CTH and the original ECMWF
- 380 schemes respectively facilitated reduction of model biases over 15°S–38°S and 15°N–38°N. As Fig. 6d shows, the model-381 predicted lightning maximum value is shifted approximately 15 degrees to the north in SON compared to the lightning
- 382 observations. Figure 6d also shows that all lightning schemes underestimated the lightning densities over 15°N–38°N and



384 385

Figure 6: Seasonal and annual zonal average lightning flash densities distribution from LIS 2007–2011 climatology (red line) and from the simulation results (2007–2011) obtained using different lightning schemes. The biases (model-obs.) in Fig. 6e are also presented in Fig. 6f.

#### 388 3.2 Evaluation of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions

#### 3.2.1 Evaluation of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions by ATom1 and ATom2 observations 389

- 390 To evaluate the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions of different lightning schemes, we used ATom1 and ATom2 aircraft measurements (NO)
- for comparison against model results. All lightning schemes, when implemented in CHASER, produce flash rates 391
- corresponding to global annual LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions within the range estimated by Schumann and Huntrieser (2007) of 2–8 TgN 392
- yr<sup>-1</sup>. To eliminate differences in annual total LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions by different lightning schemes, we chose to adjust the annual 393
- LNOx emissions of all lightning schemes to 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> by applying adjustment factors. The "backward C-shaped" LNOx 394
- 395 vertical profile is applied to all lightning schemes.



Figure 7: Vertical profile of biases/ATom1 observations (a-i) and the vertical profile of biases/ATom2 observations (j-r). The bias 398 the model bias (NO) against ATom observations (NO). Data for each pressure level P are calculated within the range of P±50 hPa. 399 South America is the region of 0°–30°S, 0°–30°W. The Western Pacific is the region of 10°N–30°S, 160°E–160°W.

400 401 Table 3: Model biases (NO) when compared against ATom1 (upper panel) and ATom2 (lower panel). The unit is ppt. The biases 402 within the South America region (0-30°S, 0-30°W) and Western Pacific region (10°N-30°S, 160°E-160°W) are also shown in this 403 table

Lightning scheme	All flight	0°–30°N	30°N–60°N	60°N–80°N	0°–30°S	30°S–60°S	60°S–80°S	South America	Western Pacific
СТН	-6.54	-3.22	-0.50	-13.06	-9.33	-12.32	-7.55	-6.79	-3.03
ICEFLUX_P	-5.18	0.31	1.15	-9.16	-8.21	-16.21	-8.28	-7.00	0.08
ECMWF-McCAUL	-6.99	0.13	-1.05	-14.80	-9.43	-16.42	-8.29	-7.17	-2.24
ECMWF-original	-5.48	7.03	0.28	-16.66	-9.59	-16.38	-8.30	-8.71	-0.72
ZERO	-19.00	-11.02	-20.85	-32.98	-15.91	-17.35	-8.34	-13.77	-8.63
Lightning scheme	All flight	0°–30°N	30°N–60°N	60°N–80°N	0°–30°S	30°S–60°S	60°S–80°S	South America	Western Pacific
СТН	-0.91	-2.57	5.80	-6.18	-11.11	3.61	1.45	-19.16	-4.70
ICEFLUX_P	-1.04	-0.76	3.98	-6.81	-7.45	2.82	-4.88	-22.02	3.01
ECMWF-McCAUL	-1.73	-3.71	2.81	-6.89	-3.71	1.81	-5.33	-12.24	1.20
ECMWF-original	-1.95	-5.26	2.96	-6.87	-2.74	1.58	-5.23	-13.90	3.55
ZERO	-12.66	-15.51	-11.08	-9.77	-28.40	-4.18	-5.94	-47.68	-13.14

404

405 Table 3 presents model biases of different lightning schemes against the ATom1 and ATom2 observations. Figure 7 displays

406 the vertical profile of biases/ATom observations in percentage terms. In Table 3 and Fig. 7, case ZERO is the case with the

lightning flash, with LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions completely switched off. Comparisons between model results and ATom observations 407

were conducted within two specific regions (South America region and Western Pacific region) in which LNOx is the major 408

source of  $NO_x$  (Fig. 8). As Table 3 and Fig. 7 show, the model generally tends to underestimate the NO concentrations. The 409

model biases are reduced considerably by including lightning NOx sources. For ATom1, overall, the ICEFLUX P scheme 410

has the smallest model bias. The original ECMWF scheme also reduced the model biases compared to the CTH scheme 411

- 412 (Table 3). The ICEFLUX\_P and the ECMWF-McCAUL schemes reduced the model biases substantially within  $0^{\circ}$ -30°N
- 413 latitude where the lightning activities are most dominant during the ATom1 observation period ( $2016-07-29 \sim 2016-08-23$ ).
- 414 In the range of 30°S to 80°N in ATom1, overall the ICEFLUX\_P scheme reduced the model biases considerably and the
- 415 ECMWF schemes slightly reduced or extended the model biases compared to the CTH scheme (Table 3, Figs. 7b-e).
- 416 However, in the range of 30°S-80°S, the model biases were extended by the ICEFLUX\_P and the ECMWF schemes
- 417 compared to the CTH scheme (Table 3, Figs. 7f–g).
- 418
- 419 For ATom2, overall, the ECMWF schemes slightly reduced the model biases over the upper troposphere, compared to the 420 CTH scheme (Fig. 7j). During the ATom2 observation period (2017-01-26 ~ 2017-02-21), the lightning activities are most dominant within the range of 0°-30°S, where the model biases were reduced significantly by newly implemented lightning 421 422 schemes. A hotspot of lightning activities during the ATom2 observation period is the South America region, where the model biases were reduced dramatically by the ECMWF schemes. The model biases were mostly reduced by the newly 423 424 implemented lightning schemes within the low latitude and middle latitude regions, but slightly extended within the high 425 latitude regions. The model biases were mostly reduced or extended over the middle to upper troposphere (Fig. 7). This is 426 true because most LNO<sub>x</sub> was distributed over the middle to upper troposphere. Also, NO<sub>x</sub> has a longer lifetime over the 427 middle to upper troposphere. In the Western Pacific region, results obtained from comparisons with ATom1 and ATom2 428 indicate that all lightning schemes overestimated LNOx emissions in the upper troposphere; also, both the ICEFLUX\_P 429 scheme and ECMWF schemes reduced the total model biases considerably more than the CTH scheme did.



Fraction from LNO<sub>x</sub> (%)
 Figure 8: Sensitivity of simulated tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns to LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions using different lightning schemes in 2019. NO<sub>2</sub>
 column because of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions was determined as the difference between the simulation with LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions and a simulation that excludes LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

# 434 3.2.2 Evaluation of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions by TROPOMI satellite observations

- 435 TROPOMI satellite observations of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns were used to evaluate LNO<sub>x</sub> emission results obtained using
- 436 the CHASER model. To eliminate differences in annual total LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions attributable to the different lightning schemes,
- 437 we adjusted the annual LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions of all lightning schemes to 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> using different adjustment factors. For direct
- 438 comparison between CHASER and TROPOMI tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns, the averaging kernel information from
- 439 TROPOMI observations was used. The averaging kernels were applied to CHASER outputs following Eq. (16).

440 
$$X_{chaser} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{tropomi} x_{chaser}$$

(16)

- 441 In that equation, X<sub>chaser</sub> represents the CHASER tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column after averaging kernels applied, A<sub>tropomi</sub>
- 442 denotes the TROPOMI averaging kernels,  $x_{chaser}$  denotes the CHASER NO<sub>2</sub> partial column at layer i, and N denotes the

443 number of tropospheric layers.



 444
 0°E
 120°E
 180°
 120°W
 60°W

 445
 Figure 9: Four target regions for which LNOx is the major source of NOx. The four target regions are North Africa (purple),

 446
 Indian Ocean (orange), Amazon (blue), and South Atlantic (green).





448 449 450

Figure 10: Comparisons of smoothed CHASER and TROPOMI (blue) tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns over four target regions in 2019. Legends show the temporal correlation coefficients.



- 453 underestimate tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns. Overall, the newly implemented lightning schemes have not shown improvements
- 454 of model biases of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns at an annual global scale. To minimize the uncertainties of model biases of
- 455 tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns caused by other factors, we chose to further evaluate the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions by TROPOMI
  - 456 observations over four specific regions (Fig. 9), where LNO<sub>x</sub> is the major source of NO<sub>x</sub> (as shown in Fig. 8).
  - 457 Figure 10 presents a comparison of smoothed CHASER and TROPOMI tropospheric NO2 columns over four target regions
  - 458 in 2019. The spatial average values of each month in 2019 are shown in Fig. 10. That figure shows, generally, the model
  - 459 captured the temporal variation of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns in the four regions. Actually, the temporal variations of
  - 460 modelled tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns are close to each other. For the Amazon region, lightning activities are most dominant

- 461 during MAM and SON, when the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme has shown noticeable improvements in model biases (Fig.
- 462 10a). Figure 10b reveals that all the newly implemented schemes slightly reduced the model biases with the original
- 463 ECMWF scheme showing the smallest model biases during the most prevailing season of lighting (DJF). Figure 10c is for
- 464 the South Atlantic region where the most prevailing season of lighting is also DJF. Figure 10c shows that the ECMWF
- 465 schemes slightly reduced the model biases compared to the CTH scheme. Referring to Fig. 10d, the dominant season of
- 466 lightning is JJA, when the ECMWF-original scheme considerably reduced the model biases and the ECMWF-McCAUL
- 467 scheme also slightly reduced the model biases.

### 468 **3.3 Effects of different lightning schemes on tropospheric chemical fields**

In the tropospheric chemical field,  $LNO_x$  has an important role. The  $LNO_x$  effects on the tropospheric chemical fields vary along with differences in the horizontal distribution of  $LNO_x$  in different lightning schemes. To evaluate the influences of different lightning schemes on the tropospheric chemical fields, several ten-year (2011–2020) experiments were conducted with the ten-year mean  $LNO_x$  production of all lightning schemes adjusted to 5.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (Sect. 2.4). CTH scheme with a "backward C-shaped" profile is regarded as the base scheme. The effects of different lightning schemes on the atmospheric chemistry are calculated as shown in Eq. (17).

$$475 \quad Impact_{ij} = \frac{(LS_{ij} - Base_j)}{Base_j} \tag{17}$$

476 Therein,  $Impact_{ij}$  represents the effects of the *i*-th lightning scheme on the concentrations of target atmospheric component 477 *j*. Also,  $LS_{ij}$  denotes the concentrations of target atmospheric component *j* simulated by the *i*-th lightning scheme.  $Base_j$ 478 stands for the concentrations of target atmospheric component *j* as simulated using the base scheme.

479

480 Figure 11 presents the respective effects of the ECMWF-McCAUL, original ECMWF, and ICEFLUX P schemes on the 481 atmospheric chemical fields (NOx, O3, OH, CO) relative to the base scheme CTH. The ECMWF-McCAUL scheme led to an 482 increase (approximate maximum is 12%) in NO<sub>x</sub> concentration at low latitude regions and a decrease (approximate 483 maximum is 15%) at middle to high latitude regions. In the case of the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme, the concentration of ozone and OH radical mostly increased at low latitude regions and decreased at middle to high latitude regions in the 484 485 Southern Hemisphere, which corresponds to the changing pattern of NOx. The effects of the original ECMWF scheme on the atmospheric chemical fields are similar to that of the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme. However, the original ECMWF scheme 486 487 led to a higher total tropospheric CO burden compared to the ECMWF-McCAUL scheme. As Fig. 11 shows, the three 488 lightning schemes led to a marked decrease in NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and OH radical concentrations over the South Pole region. This 489 decrease occurred because the lightning densities and the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions simulated by the CTH scheme are markedly 490 higher than those simulated using other lightning schemes at this latitude band (Fig. 2). Moreover, NOx can engender the 491 formation of ozone and OH radical. In the case of the ICEFLUX P scheme, the concentrations of NOx, ozone, and OH 492 radical mostly increased in the tropics and decreased at middle to high latitude regions in the Southern Hemisphere.

493

Methane lifetime is an indicator reflecting the tropospheric oxidation capacity. The global mean tropospheric lifetime of methane against tropospheric OH radical spanning 2011–2020 with the CTH, original ECMWF, ECMWF-McCAUL, and ICEFLUX\_P schemes are estimated respectively as 9.226 years, 9.299 years, 9.256 years, and 9.229 years. Compared to the CTH scheme, the ECMWF schemes led to a slight increase in methane's global mean tropospheric lifetime. In contrast, the methane's global mean tropospheric lifetime simulated by the ICEFLUX\_P scheme is almost the same as that simulated by the CTH scheme. Although little difference exists in the total tropospheric oxidation capacity simulated by different lightning schemes, the ECMWF schemes and ICEFLUX\_P scheme led to marked changes of oxidation capacity in different

501 regions of the troposphere.





502 503 Figure 11: Effects of ECMWF-McCAUL scheme, original ECMWF scheme, and ICEFLUX\_P scheme on the atmospheric chemical 504 fields (NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, OH, CO) relative to the CTH scheme on the zonal mean (%).

#### 505 3.4 Historical trend analysis of lightning density

506 The accuracy of predicting the simulated lightning distribution under the current climate is only one aspect of lightning

507 scheme evaluation. The ability of the lightning scheme to reproduce the trend of lightning under climate change is also an

important factor. For this study, 20 years of (2001–2020) experiments were conducted to analyze the historical trends of 508

509 lightning flash rates simulated using different lightning schemes (Sect. 2.4).



510 511 Figure 12: Global anomaly of lightning flash rates calculated from simulation results (2001–2020) using different lightning schemes. 512 Figures 12(a-e) present results without nudging; Figs. 12(f-j) present results with nudging. The grey lines with points represent the 513 monthly time-series data of the global mean lightning flash rate anomaly. The blue curves represent the monthly time-series data of 514 the global mean lightning flash rate anomaly with the 1-D Gaussian (Denoising) Filter applied. The red lines are the fitting curves 515 of the grey lines.

516 517

518 Table 4: Changes in global mean surface temperature (ΔTS), global mean lightning flash rate (ΔLFR), and the rate of change of 519 lightning flash rate corresponding to each degree Celsius increase in global mean surface temperature (ΔLFR/ΔTS). The upper panel 520 shows results obtained without nudging. The lower panel presents results obtained with nudging. Changes were obtained by 521 calculating the difference between the rightmost and leftmost points of the approximating curve for the 2001–2020 time-series data.

Lightning scheme	ΔTS (°C)	ΔLFR (%)	$\Delta LFR/\Delta TS (\%/°C)$
CTH	0.38	0.95	2.50
ECMWF-McCAUL	0.39	3.95	10.13
ECMWF-original	0.40	5.25	13.13
ICEFLUX_P	0.40	-3.55	-8.88
ICEFLUX_T	0.34	-1.45	-4.26
Lightning scheme	∆TS (°C)	ΔLFR (%)	ΔLFR/ΔTS (%/°C)
Lightning scheme CTH	ΔTS (°C) 0.39	ΔLFR (%) 6.90	ΔLFR/ΔTS (%/°C) 17.69
Lightning scheme CTH ECMWF-McCAUL	ΔTS (°C) 0.39 0.39	ΔLFR (%) 6.90 10.33	ΔLFR/ΔTS (%/°C) 17.69 26.49
Lightning scheme CTH ECMWF-McCAUL ECMWF-original	ΔTS (°C) 0.39 0.39 0.39	ΔLFR (%) 6.90 10.33 13.74	ΔLFR/ΔTS (%/°C) 17.69 26.49 35.23
Lightning scheme CTH ECMWF-McCAUL ECMWF-original ICEFLUX_P	ΔTS (°C) 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39	ΔLFR (%) 6.90 10.33 13.74 -0.61	ΔLFR/ΔTS (%/°C) 17.69 26.49 35.23 -1.56

522

523 Figure 12 shows the global anomaly of lightning flash rates calculated from the simulation results. Because nudging to 524 meteorological reanalysis data cannot be used when predicting lightning trends under future climate changes, we also showed the results without nudging. The un-nudged runs also represented the short-term surface warming like the 525 526 experiments with nudging. The only differences between the un-nudged and nudged experiments are whether the meteorological fields are nudged towards the six-hourly NCEP FNL data. We used the Mann-Kendall rank statistic to 527 528 ascertain whether the lightning trends in Fig. 12 are significant (Hussain et al., 2019). From results of the Mann-Kendall rank statistic test (significance set as 5%), all the trends in Fig. 12 were inferred as significant except for the trends shown in 529 Figs. 12a, e, i. As Fig. 12 shows, all lightning schemes predicted increasing trends or no significant trends of lightning except 530 the ICEFLUX P scheme without nudging, which predicted a decreasing lightning trend. The isotherms alternative 531 532 application of ICEFLUX (ICEFLUX T) led to slightly enhanced lightning trends toward positive lighting trends compared 533 to the ICEFLUX P scheme. As explained by Romps (2019), the ICEFLUX P approach is based on a fixed isobar which 534 makes it inappropriate for climate change studies. Therefore, at least the lightning trends simulated by the ICEFLUX T 535 approach are expected closer to the real situation than the ICEFLUX\_P approach.

536

537 As displayed in Fig. 12, the positive lightning trends are generally enhanced by application of meteorological nudging. A 538 further investigation of the trends of CAPE during 2001-2020 discloses that the trends of global averaged CAPE are also enhanced by application of meteorological nudging. Since higher CAPE means higher buoyancy in the updrafts, which led to 539 540 the higher lightning densities calculated by the lightning schemes used in this study. It is worth noting that even though the meteorological fields (u, v, T) of nudged simulations are expected closer to the real situations, we cannot analogously deduce 541 that the lightning trends predicted by the nudged runs are also closer to the real situations. This is because the predicted 542 543 lightning trends are not only controlled by the meteorological fields but also controlled by many other physical processes 544 (e.g., cumulus parameterization).

545

Few studies have specifically examined the lightning trends predicted by the ECMWF schemes under the short-term surface
 warming. When nudging was not applied, the ECMWF schemes predicted the increasing trends of lightning flash rates under

- 548 the short-term surface warming by factors of 4 (ECMWF-McCAUL scheme) and 5 (original ECMWF scheme) compared to
- 549 the CTH scheme (Table 4).



Trend of lightning (% y

550 551 Figure 13: Changes in the lightning flash rate (% yr<sup>-1</sup>) during 2001–2020 on the two-dimensional map. Changes at every point were 552 calculated from the function of approximating curve for the 2001–2020 time-series data at each grid cell. Figures 13(a-e) show results 553 without nudging; Figs. 13(f-j) show results with nudging. There are some missing values in the case of the ICEFLUX scheme because 554 the upward cloud ice flux used is diagnosed as zero by the CHASER model typically within the high latitude regions. 555

556 Figure 13 shows a global map of changes in the lightning flash rate (% yr<sup>-1</sup>) during 2001–2020. In Fig. 13, the area in which the trend was found to be significant by the Mann-Kendall rank statistic test (significance level inferred for 5%) is marked 557 558 with hatched lines. As Fig. 13 shows, the distribution of trends simulated by the same lightning scheme is similar whether 559 meteorological nudging was applied or not. As displayed in Fig. 13, in the Arctic region of the Eastern Hemisphere, both the CTH scheme and the ECMWF schemes showed an increasing trend of lightning. Earlier studies based on the World Wide 560 561 Lightning Location Network (WWLLN) lightning observations have indicated that lightning densities in the Arctic increase concomitantly with increasing global mean surface temperature (Holzworth et al., 2021). Earlier studies indicate that the 562 563 results of the CTH scheme and the ECMWF schemes are reasonable for the Arctic region of the Eastern Hemisphere. In the 564 high latitude region of the Southern Hemisphere (60°S-70°S), both the CTH scheme and the ECMWF schemes showed decreasing lightning trends. Lightning is rarely observed south of 60°S (Kelley et al., 2018). Moreover, the trends of 565 lightning in this region expected to occur with the short-term surface warming remain highly uncertain. In some parts of the 566 Northern Pacific Ocean, the ECMWF schemes and ICEFLUX scheme results showed increasing trends of lightning, which is 567 568 consistent with results obtained from an earlier study (Walter and Buechler, 2008). All schemes show decreasing trends for 569 lightning flash rates in Indonesia, although only the ICEFLUX scheme explicitly passed the significance test. In the North 570 Atlantic, all schemes showed increasing lightning trends. Only the CTH scheme failed the significance test.

#### 3.5 Effects of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions on trends of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> columns 571

The historical trends of lightning densities during 2001–2020 calculated using different lightning schemes have been 572

- discussed in Sect. 3.4. Increasing or decreasing trends of lightning can engender corresponding trends of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions, 573
- 574 which can consequently influence trends of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. To ascertain the extent to which the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions
- influence NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentration trends, the effects of the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions on the trends of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> 575
- 576 columns have been estimated and discussed. We conducted two sets of experiments (Sect. 2.4), one of which interactively
- calculated LNO<sub>x</sub> emission rates, whereas the other one maintained the 2001 LNO<sub>x</sub> emission rates for simulations of the 577
- 578 entire 20 years. The LNO<sub>x</sub> emission effects on the trends of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns can be estimated
- 579 quantitatively by comparing the results of these two sets of experiments. We also conducted the verification of the simulated
- trends of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns by the OMI satellite observations and the results are exhibited in Fig. S1 and Fig. 580
- 581 S2. Generally, the model has well captured the trends of global averaged tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns even though the
- 582 trends of both tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns are underestimated by the model. Overall, it is obvious that the modelled
- 583 trends with interannually varying LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions with nudging are most close to the OMI observations.



584
 585
 586
 586
 586
 587
 587
 587
 588
 588
 588
 589
 589
 580
 580
 580
 581
 581
 582
 583
 584
 584
 585
 585
 586
 587
 587
 588
 588
 588
 589
 589
 580
 580
 581
 581
 581
 582
 583
 584
 584
 585
 586
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 587
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588

589 Figure 14 presents trends of annual global  $LNO_x$  emissions calculated from the simulation results (2001–2020) obtained

- 590 using different lightning schemes. As Fig. 14 shows, the annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emission trends correspond to the trends of
- 591 lightning presented in Fig. 12. Similar to the trends found for lightning, the trends of annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions are also
- 592 increased by application of meteorological nudging. Only the ICEFLUX scheme simulated decreasing trends of annual
- 593 global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions.



594
 595
 Figure 15: Trends of global mean tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> columns calculated from simulation results (2001–2020) using different lightning
 596 schemes. Straight lines in the figure are the fitting curves. The numbers in legends represent trends corresponding to that figure in
 597 the unit of % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Figures 15(a–e) present results obtained without nudging; Figs. 15(f–j) present results obtained with nudging.

- 599 Figure 15 portrays trends of global mean tropospheric NOx columns calculated from the first and second set of experiments
- 600 (Table 2). As Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 depict, when the trends of annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions are not strong (e.g., Fig. 14a),
- 601 their effects on the trends of global mean tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> columns are negligible. The marked increasing trends of annual
- 602 global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions (Figs. 14f, g, h) led to great increases (12.12%–20.59%) of the increasing trends of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub>
- 603 columns (Figs. 15f, g, h). In the case of the ICEFLUX\_P scheme without nudging, because of the decreasing trends of LNO<sub>x</sub>
- 604 emissions, the increasing trends of the tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> columns decreased by around 10%.



Figure 16: Trends of global mean tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> columns calculated from simulation results (2001–2020) using different lightning schemes. Straight lines in the figure are the fitting curves. The number in the legend represents the trend corresponding to that figure in the unit of % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Figures 16(a–e) present results obtained without nudging; Figs. 16(f–j) show results obtained with nudging.

- 610
- 611 Figure 16 is similar to the results shown in Fig. 15, but for tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> columns. Because NO<sub>x</sub> causes the formation of
- 612 O<sub>3</sub> by the fundamental chemical cycle of O<sub>x</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-HO<sub>x</sub>, the trends of the global mean tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> columns are affected
- 613 strongly by trends of the global mean tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> columns. In some cases, the simulated trends of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>
- 614 columns are almost identical as portrayed in Figs. 16 a, b, e, i, j because the trends of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> columns simulated
- 615 by the two sets of experiments are very similar (Figs. 15 a, b, e, i, j). As Fig. 14 and Fig. 16 show, the marked increasing
- 616 trends of annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions led to increases of the increasing trends of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> columns by around 15%
- 617 (Figs. 16f, g, h). In the case of ICEFLUX P without nudging, because of the decreasing trend of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions, the
- 618 increasing trend of the tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> columns decreased by around 10% (Fig. 16d). Note that the tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> or O<sub>3</sub>
- 619 columns in 2001 simulated by the first set of experiments and the second set of experiments are not exactly the same. This is
- 620 because the blue lines show results with interactively calculated LNO<sub>x</sub> emission rates (the time resolution is 10–30 minutes).
- 621 But the orange lines show results calculated by reading daily mean input data for LNO<sub>x</sub> emission rates, which inhibits
- 622 interaction of LNO<sub>x</sub> with meteorology in the model.
- 623

624 In conclusion, because the ICEFLUX scheme predicts the opposite trends of LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the other lightning

- 625 schemes, they simulate opposite effects on the historical trends of global mean tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns.
- 626 Furthermore, an evident trend of annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions has a strong effect on the trend of global mean tropospheric
- 627 NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns.

# 628 4 Discussions and Conclusions

Three new lightning schemes, the ICEFLUX, the original ECMWF, and the ECMWF-McCAUL schemes were implemented 629 into CHASER (MIROC), a global chemical climate model. Using LIS/OTD lightning observations as validation data, both 630 631 the ICEFLUX P and ECMWF schemes simulated the spatial distribution of lightning more accurately on a global scale than the CTH scheme did, and the lightning distribution in the ocean region was especially improved. The ECMWF-McCAUL 632 633 scheme showed the highest prediction accuracy for the spatial distribution of lightning on a global scale. It is noteworthy that 634 whilst the ice-based parametrisations showed superb prediction accuracy of lightning distribution under today's climate, they 635 have greater uncertainties associated with inputs, especially regarding the microphysics scheme used (Charn and Parishani, 636 2021).

637

638 To verify the LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions of different lightning schemes, we used NO observations from ATom1 and ATom2. Overall, both the ICEFLUX P scheme and the ECMWF schemes partially reduced model biases typically over the dominant regions 639 640 of lightning activities compared to the CTH scheme. We also used TROPOMI tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns to verify the LNO<sub>x</sub> 641 emissions of different lightning schemes. Although the ICEFLUX P and the ECMWF schemes have not shown 642 improvements of model biases of tropospheric NO2 columns at an annual global scale, they generally led to an obvious reduction of model biases in the prevailing seasons of lightning within the regions where  $LNO_x$  is a dominant source of  $NO_x$ . 643 644 Several studies have pointed out that the TROPOMI data used in this study biased negatively compared to the airborne or ground-based observation data (Tack et al., 2021; Verhoelst et al., 2021; van Geffen et al., 2022). Since the TROPOMI data 645 used are generally negatively biased and the simulated tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns are underestimated compared to the 646 647 TROPOMI observations. Therefore, the uncertainties that existed in the TROPOMI data have negligible impacts on the conclusions of our study. 648

- 650 Effects of the newly implemented lightning schemes on the tropospheric chemical fields are evaluated compared to the CTH
- 651 scheme. Compared with the CTH scheme, the ECMWF schemes mainly led to a slight increase in NO<sub>x</sub>, ozone, and OH
- 652 radical concentrations at low latitude regions and a decrease at middle-latitude to high-latitude regions. Effects of the
- 653 ICEFLUX P scheme on the tropospheric chemical fields slightly differ from those of the ECMWF schemes. The
- 654 ICEFLUX P scheme mainly causes a slight increase of NO<sub>x</sub>, ozone, and OH radical concentrations from the tropics to the
- 655 Northern Hemisphere and a decrease in the concentrations of the three chemical species in the Southern Hemisphere except
- 656 the tropics. The commonality between the ECMWF schemes and the ICEFLUX P scheme is that they both result in
- 657 decreasing concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub>, ozone, and OH radical at the middle to high latitude regions of the Southern Hemisphere.
- 658 Although the newly implemented lightning schemes have little effect on the total oxidation capacity of the troposphere
- 659 compared to the CTH scheme, they led to marked changes of oxidation capacity in different regions of the atmosphere.
- 660

This study also analyzed the historical trends of lightning simulated by different lightning schemes under the short-term 661 662 surface warming during 2001–2020. The Mann-Kendall rank statistic was used to ascertain whether the lightning trends 663 were significant. Use of Mann-Kendall rank statistic tests revealed that all the simulated historical lightning trends are significant, except the CTH and the ICEFLUX T schemes without nudging and the ICEFLUX P scheme with nudging, for 664 significance at 5%. All the lightning schemes predicted increasing lightning trends or no significant trends except the 665 666 ICEFLUX P scheme without nudging, which predicted a decreasing lightning trend. The ICEFLUX T scheme predicted a decreasing trend without nudging even though the trend failed the significant test. If it's accepted that the non-inductive 667 668 charging mechanism is an appropriate basis for a lightning parametrisation, then the implication is that in the future if cloud ice (and cloud ice fluxes) reduce then electrical charging will reduce too. This provides a line of scientific reasoning to 669 explain why lightning may reduce in the future. Moreover, findings showed that when nudging was not applied, the 670 671 ECMWF schemes predicted an increasing trend of lightning flash rate under the short-term surface warming by factors of 4 (ECMWF-McCAUL scheme) and 5 (original ECMWF scheme) compared to the CTH scheme. Although a considerable 672 673 degree of uncertainty remains in determining the sensitivity of lightning activity to changes in surface temperature on the 674 decadal timescale (Williams 2005), the majority of past estimates show the sensitivity tends average close to 10% K<sup>-1</sup> (Betz et al., 2008, p. 521). This value is most consistent with the lightning increase rate predicted by the ECMWF-McCAUL 675 676 scheme without nudging in this study. Future research should be undertaken for specific examination of development of lightning schemes that both accurately predict the global distribution of LNOx, and which predict the changes in lightning 677 678 that are expected to occur concomitantly with global climate change. Finally, we quantitatively estimated the LNO<sub>x</sub> emission 679 effects on tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> column trends during 2001–2020. Results showed that a marked trend of annual global LNO<sub>x</sub> emissions significantly affects the trend of global mean tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> columns. 680

# 681 Code availability

- 682 The source code for CHASER to reproduce results in this work is obtainable from the repository at
- 683 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5835796 (He et al., 2022)

### 684 Data availability

- 685 The LIS/OTD data used for this study are available from https://ghrc.nsstc.nasa.gov/hydro/?q=LRTS (last access: 11 January
- 686 2022). The ATom data used for this study are available from https://daac.ornl.gov/ATOM/guides/ATom\_merge.html (last
- 687 access: 11 January 2022). The TROPOMI data used for this study are available from
- $688 \quad https://s5phub.copernicus.eu/dhus/\#/home (last access: 11 January 2022). The OMI level-3 daily global gridded (0.25^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ} M_{\odot})$

689 0.25°) Nitrogen Dioxide product (OMNO2d) used for this study is available from

690 <u>https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMNO2d\_003/summary</u> (last access: 25 May 2022). The OMI/MSL tropospheric column 691 ozone data used for this study are available from <u>https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data\_services/cloud\_slice/new\_data.html</u> (last 692 access: 25 May 2022)

692 access: 25 May 2022).

## 693 Author contribution

694 YFH introduced new lightning schemes into CHASER (MIROC) by adding new codes to CHASER (MIROC), conducted all

695 simulations, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. KS developed the model code, conceived of the presented

696 idea, and supervised the findings of this work and the manuscript preparation. HMSH provided the TROPOMI data and the

697 relevant codes to pre-process the TROPOMI data.

# 698 Competing interests

699 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 700 Acknowledgements

- 701 This research was supported by the Global Environment Research Fund (S-12 and S-20) of the Ministry of the Environment
- 702 (MOE), Japan, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers: JP20H04320, JP19H05669, and JP19H04235. This work was
- 503 supported by Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) Support for Pioneering Research Initiated by the Next Generation
- 704 (SPRAING), Grant Number JPMJSP2125. The author (Initial) would like to take this opportunity to thank the
- "Interdisciplinary Frontier Next-Generation Researcher Program of the Tokai Higher Education and Research System." The
   simulations were completed with the supercomputer (NEC SX-Aurora TSUBASA) at NIES (Japan). Thanks to NASA
- 707 scientists and staff for providing LIS/OTD lightning observation data
- 708 (https://ghrc.nsstc.nasa.gov/uso/ds\_docs/lis\_climatology/LISOTD\_climatology\_dataset.html, last access: 9 January 2022),
- ATom data (<u>https://espo.nasa.gov/atom/content/ATom</u>, last access: 9 January 2022), and OMI satellite observation data (<u>https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMNO2d\_003/summary</u>, last access: 25 May 2022; <u>https://acd-</u>
- ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data services/cloud slice/new data.html, last access: 25 May 2022). We are grateful to ESA scientists
- and staff for providing TROPOMI data (http://www.tropomi.eu, last access: 9 January 2022). We thank Yannick Copin for
- 713 software he developed to help us with the Taylor diagram.

# 714 References

- 715 Allen, D. J., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E., Krotkov, N., and Holzworth, R.: Lightning NOx Production in the Tropics as
- 716 Determined Using OMI NO<sub>2</sub> Retrievals and WWLLN Stroke Data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 13498–13518,
  717 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029824, 2019.
  718
- 719 Banerjee, A., Archibald, A. T., Maycock, A. C., Telford, P., Abraham, N. L., Yang, X., Braesicke, P., and Pyle, J. A.:
- 720 Lightning NO<sub>x</sub>, a key chemistry-climate interaction: impacts of future climate change and consequences for tropospheric
- 721 oxidising capacity, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 14, 9871–9881, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9871-2014, 2014.
- 722
- 723 Betz, H. D., Schumann, U., and Laroche, P.: Lightning: Principles, instruments and applications: Review of modern
- 724 lightning research, Springer Netherlands, 1–641 pp., https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9079-0, 2009.
- 725
- 726 Boccippio, Dennis J., William J. Koshak, and Richard J. Blakeslee.: Performance Assessment of the Optical Transient
- 20 Boeeppie, Bennie S., Winnand S. Roshak, and Rienard S. Blakester. Performance Assessment of the optical Hanstein
- 727 Detector and Lightning Imaging Sensor. Part I: Predicted Diurnal Variability, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic
- 728 Technology, 19, 1318-1332, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<1318:PAOTOT>2.0.CO;2</u>, 2002
- 729
- 730 Bucsela, E. J., Pickering, K. E., Allen, D. J., Holzworth, R. H., and Krotkov, N. A.: Midlatitude Lightning NO<sub>x</sub> Production
- 731 Efficiency Inferred From OMI and WWLLN Data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 13475–13497,
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030561, 2019.
- 733
- 734 Cecil, D. J., Buechler, D. E., and Blakeslee, R. J.: Gridded lightning climatology from TRMM-LIS and OTD: Dataset
- 735 description, Atmos. Res., 135–136, 404–414, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.06.028, 2014.
- 736

- 737 Charn, A. B. and Parishani, H.: Predictive Proxies of Present and Future Lightning in a Superparameterized Model, J.
- 738 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, e2021JD035461, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035461, 2021.
- 739
- 740 Clark, S. K., Ward, D. S., and Mahowald, N. M.: Parameterization-based uncertainty in future lightning flash density,
- 741 Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2893–2901, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073017, 2017.
- 742

Cooray, V., Rahman, M., and Rakov, V.: On the NO<sub>x</sub> production by laboratory electrical discharges and lightning, J. Atmos.
Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 71, 1877–1889, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2009.07.009, 2009.

- 745
- Finney, D. L., Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Huntrieser, H., Pumphrey, H. C., and Blyth, A. M.: Using cloud ice flux to
  parametrise large-scale lightning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12665–12682, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12665-2014, 2014.
- 748
- 749 Finney, D. L., Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Young, P. J., and Butler, A.: Response of lightning NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and ozone
- production to climate change: Insights from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 5492–5500, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068825, 2016a.
- 752
- Finney, D. L., Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., and Abraham, N. L.: The impact of lightning on tropospheric ozone chemistry using
  a new global lightning parametrisation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7507–7522, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7507-2016,
  2016b.
- 756
- Finney, D. L., Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Stevenson, D. S., MacKenzie, I. A., and Blyth, A. M.: A projected decrease in
  lightning under climate change, Nat. Clim. Chang., 8, 210–213, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0072-6, 2018.
- Finney, D. L., Marsham, J. H., Wilkinson, J. M., Field, P. R., Blyth, A. M., Jackson, L. S., Kendon, E. J., Tucker, S. O., and
  Stratton, R. A.: African Lightning and its Relation to Rainfall and Climate Change in a Convection-Permitting Model, 47,
  e2020GL088163, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088163, 2020.
- 763

Goldberg, D. L., Saide, P. E., Lamsal, L. N., De Foy, B., Lu, Z., Woo, J. H., Kim, Y., Kim, J., Gao, M., Carmichael, G., and
Streets, D. G.: A top-down assessment using OMI NO<sub>2</sub> suggests an underestimate in the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions inventory in Seoul,
South Korea, during KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 1801–1818, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1801-2019, 2019.

767

Grewe, V.: Impact of climate variability on tropospheric ozone, Sci. Total Environ., 374, 167–181,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.01.032, 2007.

770

Ha, P. T. M., Matsuda, R., Kanaya, Y., Taketani, F., and Sudo, K.: Effects of heterogeneous reactions on tropospheric
chemistry: A global simulation with the chemistry-climate model CHASER V4.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 3813–3841,
https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3813-2021, 2021.

774

He, Y., Hoque, M. S. H., and Sudo, K.: Introducing new lightning schemes into the CHASER (MIROC) chemistry climate
model [Code], Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5835796, 2022.

- 777
- Heath, N. K., Pleim, J. E., Gilliam, R. C., and Kang, D.: A simple lightning assimilation technique for improving
- 779 retrospective WRF simulations, 8, 1806–1824, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000735, 2016.

- Holzworth, R. H., Brundell, J. B., McCarthy, M. P., Jacobson, A. R., Rodger, C. J., and Anderson, T. S.: Lightning in the
  Arctic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL091366, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091366, 2021.
- 783
- Hui, J. and Hong, L.: Projected Changes in NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from Lightning as a Result of 2000–2050 Climate Change,
- 785 Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lett., 6, 284–289, https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1674-2834.13.0042, 2013.
- 786
- Hussain, M. and Mahmud, I.: pyMannKendall: a python package for non parametric Mann Kendall family of trend tests., J.
  Open Source Softw., 4, 1556, https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01556, 2019.
- 789
- 790 Inness, A., Baier, F., Benedetti, A., Bouarar, I., Chabrillat, S., Clark, H., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P., Engelen, R. J., Errera, Q.,
- 791 Flemming, J., George, M., Granier, C., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Huijnen, V., Hurtmans, D., Jones, L., Kaiser, J. W., Kapsomenakis,
- 792 J., Lefever, K., Leitão, J., Razinger, M., Richter, A., Schultz, M. G., Simmons, A. J., Suttie, M., Stein, O., Thépaut, J.-N.,
- 793 Thouret, V., Vrekoussis, M., Zerefos, C., and the MACC team: The MACC reanalysis: an 8 yr data set of atmospheric
- 794 composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4073–4109, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4073-2013, 2013.
- 795
- Isaksen, I. S. A. and Hov, Ø.: Calculation of trends in the tropospheric concentration of O<sub>3</sub>, OH, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>, Tellus B,
  39 B, 271–285, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1987.tb00099.x, 1987.
- 798
- Kang, D., Foley, K. M., Mathur, R., Roselle, S. J., Pickering, K. E., and Allen, D. J.: Simulating lightning NO production in
  CMAQv5.2: Performance evaluations, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4409–4424, https://doi.org/10.5194/GMD-12-4409-2019,
  2019a.
- 802
- Kang, D., Mathur, R., Pouliot, G. A., Gilliam, R. C., and Wong, D. C.: Significant ground-level ozone attributed to
  lightning-induced nitrogen oxides during summertime over the Mountain West States, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci. 2020 31, 3, 1–
  7, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-0108-2, 2020.
- 806
- Kang, D., Pickering, K. E., Allen, D. J., Foley, K. M., Wong, D. C., Mathur, R., and Roselle, S. J.: Simulating lightning NO
  production in CMAQv5.2: evolution of scientific updates, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 3071–3083,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/GMD-12-3071-2019, 2019b.
- 810
- 811 Kelley, O. A., Thomas, J. N., Solorzano, N. N., and Holzworth, R. H.: Fire and Ice: Intense convective precipitation
- observed at high latitudes by the GPM satellite's Dual-frequency Precipitation Radar (DPR) and the ground-based World
  Wide Lightning Location Network (WWLLN), AGU Poster, 2018, H43F-2487, 2018.
- 814
- Krause, A., Kloster, S., Wilkenskjeld, S., and Paeth, H.: The sensitivity of global wildfires to simulated past, present, and
  future lightning frequency, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 119, 312–322, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002502, 2014.
- 817
- 818 Labrador, L. J., von Kuhlmann, R., and Lawrence, M. G.: The effects of lightning-produced NOx and its vertical distribution
- 819 on atmospheric chemistry: sensitivity simulations with MATCH-MPIC, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1815–1834,
- 820 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1815-2005, 2005.
- 821

- 822 Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins,
- 823 W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A.,
- 824 Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S.,
- 825 Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., and Zeng, G.: The atmospheric chemistry and climate model intercomparison Project
- (ACCMIP): Overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 179–206,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013, 2013.
- 828
- 829 Liaskos, C. E., Allen, D. J., and Pickering, K. E.: Sensitivity of tropical tropospheric composition to lightning NOx
- production as determined by replay simulations with GEOS-5, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 8512–8534,
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022987, 2015.
- 832
- Lopez, P.: A lightning parameterization for the ECMWF integrated forecasting system, Mon. Weather Rev., 144, 3057–
  3075, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-16-0026.1, 2016.
- 835
- McCaul, E. W., Goodman, S. J., LaCasse, K. M., and Cecil, D. J.: Forecasting lightning threat using cloud-resolving model
  simulations, Weather Forecast., 24, 709–729, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008WAF2222152.1, 2009.
- 838
- Murray, L. T.: Lightning NO<sub>x</sub> and Impacts on Air Quality, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-016-0031-7, 25 April 2016.
  840
- 841 Nickolay A. Krotkov, Lok N. Lamsal, Sergey V. Marchenko, Edward A. Celarier, Eric J.Bucsela, William H. Swartz, Joanna
- Joiner and the OMI core team: OMI/Aura NO<sub>2</sub> Cloud-Screened Total and Tropospheric Column L3 Global Gridded 0.25
- 844 10.5067/Aura/OMI/DATA3007, 2019
- Ott, L. E., Pickering, K. E., Stenchikov, G. L., Allen, D. J., DeCaria, A. J., Ridley, B., Lin, R. F., Lang, S., and Tao, W. K.:
  Production of lightning NO<sub>x</sub> and its vertical distribution calculated from three-dimensional cloud-scale chemical transport
  model simulations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115, D04301, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011880, 2010.
- 849

- 850 Pickering, K. E., Wang, Y., Tao, W. K., Price, C., and Müller, J. F.: Vertical distributions of lightning NO<sub>x</sub> for use in
- regional and global chemical transport models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 103, 31203–31216,
- 852 https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02651, 1998.
- 853
- Price, C. and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, J. Geophys. Res.,
  97, 9919–9933, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00719, 1992.
- 856
- Price, C. and Rind, D.: What determines the cloud-to-ground lightning fraction in thunderstorms?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20,
  463–466, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL00226, 1993.
- 859
- 860 Price, C. and Rind, D.: Possible implications of global climate change on global lightning distributions and frequencies, J.
- 861 Geophys. Res., 99, 823–833, https://doi.org/10.1029/94jd00019, 1994.
- 862

Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D. P., Kent, E. C., and Kaplan, A.: 863 Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, 108, 864 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003. 865 866 867 Ridley, B. A., Pickering, K. E., and Dye, J. E.: Comments on the parameterization of lightning-produced NO in global chemistry-transport models, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6184-6187, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.06.054, 2005. 868 869 870 Romps, D. M.: Evaluating the Future of Lightning in Cloud-Resolving Models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 14863–14871, 871 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085748, 2019. 872 873 Romps, D. M., Seeley, J. T., Vollaro, D., and Molinari, J.: Projected increase in lightning strikes in the united states due to 874 global warming, Science (80-. )., 346, 851-854, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259100, 2014. 875 876 Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3823–3907, 877 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007, 2007. 878 879 Sudo, K. and Akimoto, H.: Global source attribution of tropospheric ozone: Long-range transport from various source 880 regions, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007992, 2007. 881 882 Sudo, K., Takahashi, M., Kurokawa, J. I., and Akimoto, H.: CHASER: A global chemical model of the troposphere 1. Model description, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, ACH 7-1-ACH 7-20, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001113, 2002. 883 884 885 Tack, F., Merlaud, A., Iordache, M. D., Pinardi, G., Dimitropoulou, E., Eskes, H., Bomans, B., Veefkind, P., and Van 886 Roozendael, M.: Assessment of the TROPOMI tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> product based on airborne APEX observations, Atmos. 887 Meas. Tech., 14, 615-646, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-615-2021, 2021. 888 889 Takemura, T., Egashira, M., Matsuzawa, K., Ichijo, H., O'Ishi, R., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: A simulation of the global 890 distribution and radiative forcing of soil dust aerosols at the Last Glacial Maximum, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3061-3073, 891 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-3061-2009, 2009. 892 893 Thornhill, G., Collins, W., Olivié, D., B. Skeie, R., Archibald, A., Bauer, S., Checa-Garcia, R., Fiedler, S., Folberth, G., 894 Gjermundsen, A., Horowitz, L., Lamarque, J. F., Michou, M., Mulcahy, J., Nabat, P., Naik, V., M. O'Connor, F., Paulot, F., Schulz, M., E. Scott, C., Séférian, R., Smith, C., Takemura, T., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., and Weber, J.: Climate-driven 895 896 chemistry and aerosol feedbacks in CMIP6 Earth system models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 1105–1126, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1105-2021, 2021. 897 898 899 Tost, H.: Chemistry-climate interactions of aerosol nitrate from lightning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1125–1142, 900 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1125-2017, 2017. 901 902 Tost, H., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Lightning and convection parameterisations – Uncertainties in global modelling, 903 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4553–4568, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4553-2007, 2007. 904

- 905 van Geffen, J., Eskes, H., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Verhoelst, T., Lambert, J.-C., Sneep, M., ter Linden, M., Ludewig,
- 906 A., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, J. P.: Sentinel-5P TROPOMI NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval: impact of version v2.2 improvements and
- 907 comparisons with OMI and ground-based data, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 15, 2037–2060, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-2037908 2022, 2022.
- 909
- 910 Verhoelst, T., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Lambert, J. C., Eskes, H. J., Eichmann, K. U., Fjæraa, A. M., Granville, J.,
- 911 Niemeijer, S., Cede, A., Tiefengraber, M., Hendrick, F., Pazmiño, A., Bais, A., Bazureau, A., Folkert Boersma, K., Bognar,
- 912 K., Dehn, A., Donner, S., Elokhov, A., Gebetsberger, M., Goutail, F., Grutter De La Mora, M., Gruzdev, A., Gratsea, M.,
- 913 Hansen, G. H., Irie, H., Jepsen, N., Kanaya, Y., Karagkiozidis, D., Kivi, R., Kreher, K., Levelt, P. F., Liu, C., Müller, M.,
- 914 Navarro Comas, M., Piters, A. J. M., Pommereau, J. P., Portafaix, T., Prados-Roman, C., Puentedura, O., Querel, R.,
- 915 Remmers, J., Richter, A., Rimmer, J., Cárdenas, C. R., De Miguel, L. S., Sinyakov, V. P., Stremme, W., Strong, K., Van
- 916 Roozendael, M., Pepijn Veefkind, J., Wagner, T., Wittrock, F., Yela González, M., and Zehner, C.: Ground-based validation
- 917 of the Copernicus Sentinel-5P TROPOMI NO<sub>2</sub> measurements with the NDACC ZSL-DOAS, MAX-DOAS and Pandonia
- 918 global networks, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 481–510, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-481-2021, 2021.
- 919
- 920 Walter A. Petersen and D. Buechler: Global tropical lightning trends: Has tropical lightning frequency responded to global
- 921 climate change?, in: Third Conference on Meteorological Applications of Lightning Data, New Orleans, USA, 20-28922 January 2008, 2.1, 2008
- 923

Watanabe, S., Hajima, T., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Takemura, T., Okajima, H., Nozawa, T., Kawase, H., Abe, M.,
Yokohata, T., Ise, T., Sato, H., Kato, E., Takata, K., Emori, S., and Kawamiya, M.: MIROC-ESM 2010: Model description
and basic results of CMIP5-20c3m experiments, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 845–872, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-845-2011,
2011.

928

Wild, O.: Modelling the global tropospheric ozone budget: Exploring the variability in current models, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
7, 2643–2660, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-2643-2007, 2007.

931

932 Williams, E. R.: Lightning and climate: A review, Atmos. Res., 76, 272–287,

- 933 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.11.014, 2005.
- 934

- 936 Blake, N., Bourgeois, I., Brock, C. A., Brune, W. H., Budney, J. W., Bui, T. P., Butler, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Chang, C.
- 937 S., Chin, M., Commane, R., Correa, G., Crounse, J. D., Cullis, P. D., Daube, B. C., Day, D. A., Dean-Day, J. M., Dibb, J. E.,
- 938 Digangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Dollner, M., Elkins, J. W., Erdesz, F., Fiore, A. M., Flynn, C. M., Froyd, K., Gesler, D. W.,
- 939 Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T. F., Hannun, R. A., Hills, A. J., Hintsa, E. J., Hoffman, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Huey, L. G., Hughes, S.,
- 940 Jimenez, J. L., Johnson, B. J., Katich, J. M., Keeling, R., Kim, M. J., Kupc, A., Lait, L. R., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, J., McKain,
- 941 K., McLaughlin, R. J., Meinardi, S., Miller, D. O., Montzka, S. A., Moore, F. L., Morgan, E. J., Murphy, D. M., Murray, L.
- 942 T., Nault, B. A., Neuman, J. A., Newman, P. A., Nicely, J. M., Pan, X., Paplawsky, W., Peischl, J., Prather, M. J., Price, D.
- 943 J., Ray, E., Reeves, J. M., Richardson, M., Rollins, A. W., Rosenlof, K. H., Ryerson, T. B., Scheuer, E., Schill, G. P.,
- 944 Schroder, J. C., Schwarz, J. P., St. Clair, J. M., Steenrod, S. D., Stephens, B. B., Strode, S. A., Sweeney, C., Tanner, D.,
- 945 Teng, A. P., Thames, A. B., Thompson, C. R., Ullmann, K., Veres, P. R., Vizenor, N., Wagner, N. L., Watt, A., Weber, R.,
- 946 Weinzierl, B. Wennberg, P, Williamson, C J, Wilson, J C, Wolfe, G M, Woods, C T, Zeng, L H: ATom: Merged
- 947 Atmospheric Chemistry, Trace Gases, and Aerosols, https://doi.org/10.3334/ornldaac/1581, 2018.

<sup>935</sup> Wofsy, S. C., Afshar, S., Allen, H. M., Apel, E., Asher, E. C., Barletta, B., Bent, J., Bian, H., Biggs, B. C., Blake, D. R.,

- 949 Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100,
- 950 https://doi.org/10.1029/95jd00370, 1995.
- 951
- 952 Zeng, G., Pyle, J. A., and Young, P. J.: Impact of climate change on tropospheric ozone and its global budgets, Atmos.
- 953 Chem. Phys., 8, 369–387, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-369-2008, 2008.

- 955 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Froidevaux, L., Bhartia, P. K., Levelt, P. F., and Waters, J. W.: Tropospheric
- 956 ozone determined from Aura OMI and MLS: Evaluation of measurements and comparison with the Global Modeling
- 957 Initiative's Chemical Transport Model, 111, 19303, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007089, 2006.