Discussion: Segmentation of XCO$_2$ images with deep learning: application to synthetic plumes from cities and power plants
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Comment of the reviewer

My previous review only had a few recommended revisions and the authors have done a good job at addressing them. One minor exception is a suggested citation. In the authors’ response, they state that they would cite https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.1028240 in the conclusion, but have instead cited https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074702. Both are relevant papers, however, the newer paper is a better choice as it deals with European examples with wider-area XCO$_2$ images more similar to CO2M, unlike the earlier paper using OCO-2 examples in the U.S., India and South Africa. I also suggested capitalization of WBCE and NWBCE, which the authors have implemented. Yet “bce” remains lower case. I missed this one, but it only makes sense to capitalize the few instances of BCE as well. This is a very minor point to improve readability.

The paper https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.1028240 has been added in the conclusion (following https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074702 already cited)

The text has been updated to capitalise "BCE" consistently with "WBCE" and "NWBCE".
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