

Response to comments of the anonymous Referee #1, "RC1"

This paper describes a tool called ISAT (Inventory Spatial Allocation Tool) v2.0, that was developed to configure nested domains, downscale regional emission inventories, allocate local emission inventories, and generate model-ready emission inventories for the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) coupled with Air Quality models. The model is described and freely accessible on a GitHub repository. An application is proposed over a region of China. My general impression is that the paper deserves to be published with some adjustments in the description of the steps in the core manuscript. I appreciate the fact that most of details are reported in appendices but probably more explanations are needed in the core of the paper. Sometimes the description is a bit cryptic or too elliptic. In general, the English is fair and correct, but some part needs to be phrased.

Author's Response:

Thanks for your effort on reviewing our paper and valuable comments, which helped us to improve this paper.

Detailed comments

1. *My first major comment is to reshape the presentation to better identify the 4 steps in the abstract after in the publications in the subsections.*

Author's Response:

Thanks for this valuable comment. In ISAT v2.0, we built four independent modules to help users prepare nested domain configuration and model-ready emission inventories for WRF-AQM. To better identify four modules in main text, we modified the title of subsections as follow:

“2.1 Nested domain configuration in “Prepgrid”

2.2 Sub-grid nearest method in “Downscale”

2.3 User-friendly emission source sector ID in “Prepmodel”

2.4 User-friendly speciation profile structure in “Prepmodel”

3.1 Nested domain configuration by “Prepgrid”

3.2 Downscaled regional emissions inventory by “Downscale”

3.3 Gridded local emission inventory by “Mapinv”

3.4 Model-ready emission inventory by “Prepmodel”

2. *My second major comment is to show that this pre-processing is mass conservative, some numbers and a section dedicated to the test of mass conservation are needed. It can be short, but the author must inform us about the quality of the downscaling in terms of mass fluxes conservation.*

Author’s Response:

Thanks for this valuable comment. Mass conservation is the basic principle for emission inventory preprocessing. In revision, we added relevant description on “2 materials and method” and “3 Case studies”:

- (1) In “2.2 Sub-grid nearest method in “Downscale”:

We downscale the regional emission inventory into target grid based on the principle of mass conservation by ratio of $\frac{SA_{target,i,j,p}}{SA_{region,I_{i,j,p}}}$, please see Eq (12). $SA_{region,I_{i,j,p}}$ should be larger or equal to the summary of $SA_{target,i,j,p}$ in same region grid $I_{i,j,p}$, in order to ensure mass conservation. In revision, we add a description of this constraint condition.

Please see “It should be ensured that $SA_{region,I_{i,j,p}}$ is larger or equal to the sum of $SA_{target,i,j,p}$ in the same region grid $I_{i,j,p}$ based on the principle of mass conservation.” on Line 135.

- (2) In “2.4 User-friendly speciation profile structure in “Prepmodel”

Mass conservation is also important to speciation allocation. Please see “split_factor” represents the fraction of the “pollutant” emission to “species” in the AQM between zero and one, and it must be mass conservative” on Line 159.

(3) In “3.2 Downscaled regional emissions inventory by “Downscale”

We evaluated the quality of the downscaling in terms of mass fluxes conservation.

Please see “Constrained by the spatial surrogate (≤ 1) for each REI grid based on principle of mass conservation, the downscaled emission inventory exhibited good agreement with the REI. Regarding the result of intersect method as the true value, the relative error of total PM_{2.5} emissions under each sub-grid ratio is less than 0.2 %, which reflects the good quality of the downscaling in terms of mass flux conservation.” on Line 207.

3. *Third, the downscaling methods should be compared with an independent bottom-up emission inventory. I know that fine scale emissions database exist over the BTH, it will be relevant to compare this methodology with a reference expected to be the closest as possible of the true. It seems the authors compare two different downscaling methods which is not relevant in my opinion.*

Author’s Response:

Thanks for this comment.

In ISAT, the main purpose for the downscaling regional emission inventory is to provide model-ready emission inventory for outer-domain in WRF-AQM. The simulation results obtained from WRF-AQM in the outer domain provide the boundary condition for the inner domain. Therefore, the accuracy of downscaled emission inventory plays a critical role in the simulation results. However, the downscaling approach, which maps regional emission inventory into user-defined domain with spatial proxy, cannot be comparable to the bottom-up local emission inventory. For instance, the 0.1x0.1° emission inventory for the BTH region in 2017

(<http://meicmodel.org.cn/>) that you mentioned benefits from environmental statistics, pollution source census data, and over 8,000 industrial point sources in the region, resulting in a more detailed and realistic spatial emission characteristics than spatial proxies, such as Landsat data used in this study.

To develop an efficient downscale module in ISAT v2.0, we downscaled $0.25 \times 0.25^\circ$ residential $PM_{2.5}$ emission in MEIC in 2020 into $9 \text{ km} \times 9 \text{ km}$ domain in BTH region. Subsequently, we compared the results generated under various downscaling methods. As existed significant variance in emissions data between the years of 2017 and 2020, and between downscaled method and bottom-up method, we focus on the primary objective of our study, and we refrained comparing our downscaling results with the $0.1 \times 0.1^\circ$ emission inventory in BTH.

We agree with the reviewers on the advantages of fine-scale inventories and sorry for our unclear description of the research's purpose. In the revision, we supplied a detailed explanation of the advantages of local emission inventories and made modifications to this section as follows:

(1) In “2 Materials and methods”

We modified the description of ISAT framework. Please see “For domains without local emission inventory, REIs, such as the MEIC, can be downscaled into domains by Downscale” module.” on Line 71.

(2) In “3.2 Downscaled regional emissions inventory by “Downscale””

“These downscaled REI can be used to produce model-ready emission for domains without local emission inventory. Due to more detailed and realistic emission characteristics, local emission inventory is highly recommended on spatial-temporal emission characteristic research and simulation in WRF-AQM in the innermost domain.” on Line 211.

4. *At last the authors must inform us about the type of proxy that are adequate with precursor pollutants. For instance, for ammonia emissions, crops or other spatial proxy will be more*

appropriated. A table summarizing the proxy for each main precursor should be added in the core of the paper.

Author's Response:

Thanks for this comment. In this study, we have established a user-friendly and integrated framework on preprocessing emission inventory. User can prepare any proxy suitable for their need in this tool. Thanks for your suggestion, we supplied some suggestions on spatial proxy for different emission sectors.

Please see “Users can also prepare any proxy for their needs. For example, land use and POIs data are recommended for agriculture and residential sectors (Wang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2022).” on **Line 168**. And one reference was added.

Huang, C., Zhuang, Q., Meng, X. et al. 2022. A fine spatial resolution modelling of urban carbon emissions: a case study of Shanghai, China. Sci Rep 12, 9255.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13487-5>

5. *L31 to L36: The sentences are not correctly written, please rephrase. Some words are missing!*

Author's Response:

Thanks for this comment. We rephrased this sentence. Please see “Temporal allocation provides hourly emissions based on temporal profiles, such as monthly production statistics, heating degree days and temporal variation in traffic activity” on **Line 35**.

6. *L43: please provide a reference here for the “nearest method”*

Author's Response:

Thanks for this comment. We supplied two references for “nearest method”.

“Nearest method is a popular downscaling method, which locates the closet REI value on the target grid and allocates emissions using spatial surrogates (Zhang et al., 2014; Zhuang et al., 2022).” on Line 44.

Zhang, X., Gurney, K. R., Rayner, P., et al. 2014. Sensitivity of simulated CO₂ concentration to regridding of global fossil fuel CO₂ emissions. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 2867–2874, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2867-2014>.

Zhuang, J., Dussin, R., Huard, D., et al. 2022. xESMF: v0.7.0 (v0.7.0). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7447707>

7. L60-66: *Rephrase this part to describe the content of the paper. The last sentence is not necessary as it is already said before.*

Author’s Response:

Thanks for this comment. We have revised the description of the content of our paper. Please see “Innovatively, a user-friendly and integrated tool, ISAT (Inventory Spatial Allocation Tool) v2.0, was developed from nested domain configuration to model-ready emission inventory for WRF-AQM in this study. We established a nested domain configuration algorithm based on shapefile that ensure domain consistency between the WRF, AQM and emission inventory. We proposed a regional inventory downscaling algorithm called the “sub-grid nearest method,” which improved the computational efficiency and accuracy of the REI downscaling. We provided interfaces for a model-ready emission inventory for CMAQ and CAMx models. In addition, we embedded population- and road-based spatial surrogate data into the ISAT, which supports most spatial allocation workflows for WRF-AQM in China.” on Line 61.

8. L70-71: *I do not understand this statement: “The shapefile for the study area was a basic nested domain configuration file with determinate regional attributes for grids”.*

Author’s Response:

We rephased this sentence. “The shapefiles provide location and extent in each domain and used to configure nested domains in WRF-AQM by “Prepgrid” module” on **Line 70**.

9. L72: *what do the authors mean by “inline”?*

Author’s Response:

Both of CMAQ and CAMx model support in-line plume rise calculations and inline format model ready emission inventory. And this inline format model ready emission inventory can further apply it to CMAQ’s instrumental modules, such as DDM and ISAM.

In revision, we supplied the description of inline format emission and a reference in this study. Please see “Both of CMAQ and CAMx model support inline plume rise calculations and inline format HREI (Guevara et al., 2014). Based on gridded emissions from “Downscale” and “Mapinv”, the inline format HREI for WRF-AQM can be created in “Prepmodel” on **Line 73**.

Guevara M, Soret A, Arévalo G, et al. 2014. Implementation of plume rise and its impacts on emissions and air quality modelling [J]. Atmos. Environ., 99: 618-29.

10. L79 : *which nested rules ? be more specific*

Author’s Response:

This statement was rephased, and one reference was supplied. Please see “Nested domains can be classified into parent or child domains (Daniels et al., 2016)” on **Line 80**.

Daniels, M. H., Lundquist, K. A., Mirocha, J. D., et al. 2016. A New Vertical Grid Nesting Capability in the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model, Monthly Weather Review, 144(10), 3725-3747.

11. L87 : the concept of add_* is a bit difficult to understand, please clarify

Author's Response:

The domains in WRF-AQM were initially configured based on the extent of shapefiles. Considering user's needs and the 3:1 grid-distance nesting ratio, the domain may need to be extended. Therefore, we use " add_* " denote the extend grids in the initial domain. In the revision, we rephased the description of " add_* ".

Please see "Using Arakawa-C grid staggering in WRF model, 3:1 grid-distance nesting ratio between domains is required for nested domain configuration (Skamarock et al., 2019). Nested domains can be classified into parent and child domains and initially configured based on the extent of shapefiles (Daniels et al., 2016). The outermost domain determines the projection in WRF-AQM. The child domains need to be extended based on user needs and 3:1 grid-distance nesting ratio between domains. Users can set the number of extended grids in the east, west, south, and north directions as needed: (add_e, add_w, add_s and add_n). The number of grids that must be expanded under grid-distance nesting rules were also considered: (add_x and add_y). Taking the x-direction as an example, add_e, add_w and add_x were used to extent the domain in the WRF model, as shown in Figure 2." on Line 79.

12. L91 : what LCC means ? In general review all acronyms to make sure they are defined

Author's Response:

We supplied the full name of abbreviations of LCC. Please see "Standard parallels, central meridian, and latitude of the lambert conformal conic (LCC) projection can be obtained from the shapefile in the outmost domain." on Line 91.

13. L94-98 : Please use bullet points to clarify the various steps.

Author's Response:

We rephased this section. Please see “We designed four main steps to accomplish projection configuration: i) **Setting standard parallels**. Standard parallels were defined by users according to the location of the **outmost** domain (for example, Shanxi province configured with 33° and 42°). ii) **Setting extent and number of grids in domain**. Under the LCC projection in the **previous** step and the shapefile, we obtained the number of grids (num_x and num_y) and origin (X_{min} and Y_{min}) as shown in Eqs. (1–4). iii) **Updating LCC projection**. Transferred center of the domain into WGS 84 projection and used to update the center in LCC projection. iv) **Getting final LCC projection parameters**. Looped through steps “iii) –ii) – iii)” until the difference in the center in domain and shapefile in the loops could be ignored.” on **Line 93** .

14. L117 : I my opinion equation 8 can be simplified, the second line should cover the case in the first line.

Author's Response:

If $tmpgrid_x = 0$, $num_{x,tmp}$ obey the grid-distance nesting ratio and does not need to be adjusted. When use the second line in this situation, the num_x will be overestimated. That's why we defined two cases in Eq.8.

15. L118 : int is the « integer part » function, please mention it

Author's Response:

We replaced “int” by “integer” in Eq (9).

$$X_{min} = \text{integer} \left(\frac{xstart_{child} - xstart_{parent}}{dx_{par}} \right) \times dx_{par} + xstart_{parent} - dx_{child} * add_w$$

16. L174 : what do the authors mean by « census » here ?

Author's Response:

We modified this description on data. Please see “Provincial census of pollutant sources in transportation and residential sectors in Beijing (BMEEP, 2020) were allocated into 3km×3km grids by the “Mapinv” module.” on Line 178.

17. L180 : Please provide the meaning of GIS.

Author's Response:

We replaced full name of GIS in this sentence. Please see “Shapefile formatted data can be displayed directly in ArcGIS or other geographic information system to display and check the configuration for nested domains” on Line 184.

18. L185-186 : the last sentence needs to be reformulated, it is difficult to understand the wording « demand »

Author's Response:

We explained the requirement of lateral boundary in AQM and rephased last sentence. Please see “Moreover, due to the outermost cells in WRF are inappropriate to use in AQM(USEPA,2019), “model_clip” was conduct to define lateral boundary for AQM, as shown in Appendix. A. The major steps and key parameters are described below.” on Line 86.

Please see “Due to blend of larger-scale driving data and scale-specific physics, the outermost cells in WRF domain are inappropriate to use and usually removed in AQM (USEPA,2019). The ISAT-configured domains reflect this requirement of lateral boundary in AQM and accurately obtain the WRF-AQM domain.” on Line 189.

USEPA. 2019. CMAQ User's Guide. <https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ/blob/main/DOCS/>

19. L188 : *what is the resolution of MEIC here ?*

Author's Response:

We supplied the spatial resolution in MEIC. Please see “The MEIC regional emission inventory with spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ in 2020 was downscaled to grid level in the “Downscale” module.” on Line 179.

20. L189 : *if I understand well, the authors compare MEIC downscaled by their routine with an independent BTH emission inventory ? right ? It is not clear is this section what the authors are comparing.*

Author's Response:

Sorry for our unclear description in this section. Actually, we compared the gridded BTH emission inventory with different downscaled method, including intersect method, nearest method and sub-grid nearest method, as shown in Figure 6. We have rephased this section below.

“We compared gridded residential emissions in BTH under different downscaled method, including intersect method, nearest and sub-grid nearest methods.” on Line 196.

21. L200 : *what do the authors mean by «uncertainty in the boundary » ?*

Author's Response:

This statement may be inappropriate so we deleted it in the revision.

22. L207 : *could the authors extend the analysis on other precursors emissions like NH3 that have a complete different spatial pattern ?*

Author's Response:

Focus on developing an integrated tool on nested domain configuration and model-ready emission files in WRF-AQM. We haven't display emission characteristic of other pollutant.

I agree with the reviewers' opinion on the spatial pattern on different air pollutant. And we have published 10km*10km gridded CH₄ emission in China in 2020. We supplied a new cited reference in revision.

“Previous studies achieved reliable simulations result using this approach (H. Wang et al., 2021; K. Wang et al., 2022, 2021a, 2021b; Liu et al., 2023; Li, 2021; Tan, 2022).”

Liu S., Liu, K., Wang, K., et al. 2023. Fossil-Fuel and Food Systems Equally Dominate Anthropogenic Methane Emissions in China. Environmental Science & Technology. 2023, 57, 6, 2495–2505. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07933>

23. L237 : *what are « oceanfiles » ?*

Author's Response:

Ocean files are basic input in CMAQ model. We had supplied a new cited reference in this sentence.

“ISAT is highly extendable, including the creation of ocean files in CMAQ models (USEPA,2019),” on Line 250.