A new precipitation emulator (PREMU v1.0) for lower complexity models

Gang Liu1, Shushi Peng1*, Chris Huntingford2, Yi Xi1

¹Sino-French Institute for Earth System Science, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China
²UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire OX10 8BB, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Shushi Peng (speng@pku.edu.cn)

5

Abstract. Precipitation is a crucial component of the global water cycle. Rainfall features (e.g., strength or frequency) strongly affect societal activities and are closely associated with the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. Hence predicting global and

- 10 gridded precipitation under different emission scenarios is an essential output of climate change research, enabling a better understanding of future interactions between land biomes and climate change. Some current lower complexity models (LCMs) are designed to emulate precipitation in a computationally effective way. However, for precipitation in particular, they are known to have large errors, due to their simpler linear scaling of precipitation changes against global warming (e.g., IMOGEN; Zelazowski et al., 2018). Here, to reduce the errors in emulating precipitation, we provide a data-calibrated precipitation
- 15 emulator (PREMU), offering a convenient and computationally effective way to estimate and represent precipitation well, as simulated by different Earth system models (ESMs) and under different user-prescribed emission scenarios, We construct the relationship between global/local precipitation and modes of global gridded temperature and find that the emulator shows a good performance in predicting historically observed precipitation from GSWP3. The ESM-specific emulator also estimates well the simulated precipitation of nine ESMs and under four dissimilar future scenarios of future atmospheric greenhouse
- 20 gases (GHGs). Our ESM-specific emulator also reproduced well interannual fluctuations (R = 0.82–0.93, p < 0.001) of global land average precipitation (GLAP) simulated by the nine ESMs, as well as their trends and spatial patterns. The default configuration of our emulator only requires gridded temperature, also available from lower complexity models, such as IMOGEN (Zelazowski et al., 2018) and MESMER (Beusch et al., 2022; Nath et al., 2022), which themselves are calibrated against ESMs. Therefore, our precipitation emulator can be directly coupled within other LCMs, for instance improving on</p>
- 25 the current emulations of precipitation implicit in IMOGEN. The PREMU model has the opportunity to provide the driving conditions to model well the hydrological cycle, ecological processes, and their interactions with climate change. Critically the efficiency of LCMs allows them to make projections for many more potential future trajectories in atmospheric GHG concentrations than is possible with full ESMs, due to the high computational requirement of the latter. By coupling with PREMU, LCMs will have the ability to emulate gridded precipitation, thus they can be widely coupled with hydrological
- 30 models or land surface models.

设置了格式:字体:(默认)Times New Roman

删除了: better

删除了: estimate and represent precipitation simulated by Earth system models (ESMs) under different user-prescribed emission scenarios.

删除了: (LCMs)

批注 [11]: Nath et al., 2022. https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-851-2022

删除了: simpler linear scaling of precipitation changes against global warming implicit in IMOGEN

删除了: This flexibility makes LCMs an especially valuable tool for policy makers.

40 1 Introduction

Earth system models (ESMs) are the primary tools to study the impact of greenhouse gas emissions on our climate, representing all the important Earth system processes. (IPCC, 2013). However, there is a lack of sufficient computational power to run the most comprehensive, physically complete climate models for every application of interest (Nicholls et al.,2020), or for every potential future emissions scenario. Thus, Lower complexity models (LCMs) are designed as the common approaches to

- 45 improve computational efficiency in climate change research to focus on the most impact relevant variables (Gasser et al., 2017). By describing highly parameterized properties of the climate system, LCMs are many orders of magnitude faster than full complexity ESMs (Nicholls et al., 2020). The simplest LCMs are Energy Balance Models (EBMs) that use multiple parameterized numerical models to estimate the changes in greenhouse gas concentrations, radiative forcing and then global land/ocean average temperature under different emission scenarios (Meinshausen et al., 2011; Nicholls et al., 2020). However,
- 50 such global "box" models do not simulate the spatial pattern of temperature. Some more complex LCMs, such as IMOGEN and OSCAR, additionally emulate the spatial pattern of temperature through the pattern-scaling method. Pattern-scaling multiplies global temperature change by spatial patterns to give regional information (Zelazowski et al., 2018; Gasser et al., 2017; Huntingford et al., 2010; Tebaldi and Arblaster, 2014; Tebaldi and Knutti, 2018). Recently, a spatially resolved emulator (MESMER) coupled with the emission-driven LCM (MAGICC) has been developed, which is found to reproduce the spatial
- 55 pattern of temperature in ESMs better (Beusch et al., 2022; Mckinon and Deser 2018; Mckinon and Deser 2021). Other than temperature, however, it is still a challenge for LCMs to simulate well other climate variables such as precipitation under different emission scenarios (Gasser et al., 2017).

Precipitation has high spatio-temporal variability and is affected by atmospheric dynamics, inter-annual modes of variability (Li et al., 2021; Tsanis and Tapoglou, 2019), making representing within tradition al LCM approaches difficult. Thus, only

- 60 two LCMs (IMOGEN and OSCAR) have tried to emulate precipitation but not well (Zelazowski et al., 2018; Gasser et al., 2017). IMOGEN emulates the gridded precipitation based on the regression relationship (by month and location) between gridded precipitation and global land average temperature (Zelazowski et al., 2018). OSCAR constructs the emulator by establishing the relationship between global average precipitation and global average temperature and radiative forcing, and then using the pattern-scaling method to deduce the gridded precipitation (Gasser et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the gridded
- 65 precipitation estimated by the simple linear method is not reliable either in IMOGEN or in OSCAR; the gridded precipitation predicted by IMOGEN only explains less than 20% of variance of seasonal precipitation in most regions (Zelazowski et al., 2018) and OSCAR cannot capture the interannual variations of regional precipitation across the globe at all (Gasser et al., 2017). This may be because 1) the global average temperature could not fully characterize local temperature and moisture recycling (Prein et al., 2017) and large-scale circulation (Shepherd, 2014; Fereday et al., 2018; Heinze-Deml et al., 2021); 2)
- 70 there is at any given location a nonlinear relationship between local rainfall features and global warming (Allen and Ingram., 2002; Collins et al., 2013; Chadwick and Good, 2013). Hence models such as IMOGEN, that rely on linear pattern-scaling, by definition cannot fully capture expected future precipitation changes. Nevertheless, precipitation is a crucial component of the

^
-

删除了:	Representing all the important Earth system
processe	з,

删除了: our

删除了: more computationally efficient tools are needed for climate change research (Gasser et al., 2017).

删除了: 🗧

删除了: macro-

删除了: Earth System Models (

删除了:)

ftt/± [12]: 1.1ebaldi, C., & Arbiaster, J. M. (2014). Pattern scaling: Its strengths and limitations, and an update on the latest model simulations. Climatic Change, 122(3), 459–471. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-1032-2</u>. 2. Tebaldi, C., & Knutti, R. (2018). Evaluating the accuracy of climate change pattern emulation for low warming targets. Environmental Research Letters, 13(5). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabef2

> 批注 [13]: 1.Mckinnon and Deser 2018: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLL-D-17-0901.1 2.Mckinnon and Deser 2021: DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0251.1

(删除了:

water cycle (Eltahir and Bras, 1996; Trenberth et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2018), has key societal implications and is closely

85 associated with the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. Accurately emulating precipitation change is necessary to determine the climate response to different emission scenarios and to understand feedbacks to global warming via rainfall-dependent vegetation net primary productivity (Gasser et al., 2017).

Previous studies have confirmed the important impact of ocean-atmosphere oscillations on inter-annual and inter-decadal variations of regional precipitation (e.g., Li et al., 2021; Tsanis and Tapoglou, 2019; Dai, 2013). As such, our noted changes

- 90 in gridded surface air temperature likely additionally contain information about sea surface temperature and ocean-atmosphere oscillations, as additional information on the background global land average temperature. To this end, we proposed a computationally efficient precipitation emulator (PREMU), which uses gridded temperature data as independent forcing variables to reconstruct the gridded precipitation. We have designed the presented emulator such that it can act as, for instance, an enhanced precipitation module for the OSCAR and IMOGEN models. Alternatively, PREMU could be coupled directly
- 95 with the MAGICC-MESMER and MESMER-M emulators. When coupling with other LCMs, tracing gridded precipitation under novel trajectories for GHGs will be possible. In Sect. 2 and Sect. 3 we described the datasets (both measurements and ESM-based) and the methods to construct the driving-data specific emulator for historical observation and each ESM in detail. We illustrated the emulator's ability to emulate the historical and future precipitation in Sect. 4 Finally, Sect. 5 discusses the strengths and caveats of our emulator.

100 2 Data

In the analysis, we tested the performance of PREMU for both historical periods (1901-2016) and future periods (2015-2100). For historical periods, we used different time periods of observation data for calibration (1901-1950) and validation (1951-2016). While for the future, we used ESM data under different emission scenarios for calibration (SSP5-8.5) and validation (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP3-7.0).

105 2.1 Observation datasets

To verify the ability to predict historical precipitation using the emulator proposed in this study, we first demonstrated the applicability of the emulator on observational data provided by the Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3_{ψ} (GSWP3) (Kim, 2017a). The GSWP3 dataset is based on the 20th Century Reanalysis (20CR) version 2c (Compo et al., 2011), and has precipitation fields at a resolution of $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$. The GSWP3 data is dynamically downscaled using spectral nudging and bias-

110 correction from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project and Climate Research Unit (Humphrey and Gudmundsson, 2019). This approach successfully keeps the low frequency signal of the two original reanalysis products, yet provides additional high frequency signals that were lacking in previous products but are essential for investigating extreme events (Kim, 2017a). GSWP3 also provides the other seven climate variables including <u>2-m</u> air temperature (Tair), specific humidity, surface down welling long-wave radiation, surface down welling short-wave radiation, surface air pressure, and near-surface wind speed at

删除了: 🗧

Projecting changes in precipitation is important. Precipitation is a crucial component of the water cycle (Eltahir and Bras 1996; Trenberth et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2018), has key societal implications and is closely associated with the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. Accurately emulatingprecipitation change is necessary to determine the climate response to different emission scenarios and to understand feedbacks to global warming via rainfall-dependent vegetation net primary productivity (Gasser et al., 2017). Until now, however, only two LCMs (IMOGEN and OSCAR) can emulate precipitation (Zelazowski et al., 2018; Gasser et al., 2017). IMOGEN emulates the gridded precipitation based on the regression relationship (by month and location) between gridded precipitation and global land average temperature (Zelazowski et al., 2018). OSCARconstructs the emulator by establishing the relationship between global average precipitation and global average temperature and radiative forcing, and then using the patternscaling method to deduce the gridded precipitation (Gasser et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the gridded precipitation estimated by the simple linear method is not reliable either in IMOGEN or in OSCAR; the gridded precipitation predicted by IMOGEN only explains less than 20% of variance of seasonal precipitation in most regions (Zelazowski et al., 2018) and-OSCAR cannot capture the interannual variations of gridded precipitation across the globe at all (Gasser et al., 2017). This may be because 1) the global average temperature could not fully characterize local temperature and moisture recycling-(Prein et al., 2017) and large-scale circulation (Shepherd, 2014; Fereday et al., 2018; Heinze-Deml et al., 2021); 2) there is at any given location a nonlinear relationship between local rainfall features and global warming (Allen and Ingram., 2002; Collins et al., 2013; Chadwick and Good, 2013). Hence models such as IMOGEN, that rely on linear pattern-scaling, by definition cannot fully capture expected future precipitation changes.

	JUTE [14]: 1. Inter-annual variability of spring
	2. North Atlantic Oscillation impact on European
	3. The influence of the inter-decadal Pacific oscillat [1]
	删除了: 3
	删除了: 4
	删除了: a and Methods
ļ	(带格式的: 正文
Ì	删除了: we first tested it against the precipitation dataset from Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3

删除了: which are

a 0.5° × 0.5° spatial resolution from years 1901 to 2016. Here, with an emphasis on precipitation, we used Tair and precipitation from GSWP3 from period 1901 to 1950 to calibrate the emulator and from 1951 to 2016 to verify the emulator's performance in predicting historical precipitation.

2.2 Earth System Model data

To evaluate the emulator's performance in estimating future precipitation, we additionally used monthly precipitation and 2-m_Tair for years 2015 to 2100 from nine ESMs operated under four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs; SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8.5; O'Neill et al., 2016). These ESMs are included in the 6th phase of the Coupled Model

- 165 Intercomparison Project (CMIP6; Table 1). The SSP5-8.5 scenario represents the largest future change of GHG concentrations, compared to the other three SSPs (Hausfather and Peters, 2020), and so is associated with the largest variation in precipitation and Tair changes. <u>Hence</u>, we used the precipitation and Tair from SSP5-8.5 to calibrate the emulator and the other three scenarios to verify the emulator's performance at reproducing ESMs. <u>But note that the emulator based on this extreme warming scenario</u> (SSP5-8.5) may not produce well the precipitation patterns of cooler scenarios (e.g., SSP1-2.6) due to the nonlinearly
- 170 response of the atmosphere to warming. We discussed this further in Sect. 5. In addition, in view of the different responses of precipitation to the changes of Tair in different ESMs, we constructed the emulator for each ESM respectively. For comparison between different models, the precipitation and Tair from all nine ESMs are re-gridded to the resolution of 2.5° × 2.5° using the first-order conservative remapping technique (Jones, 1999). As there remains substantial error and uncertainty in gridded precipitation data, we retained only a first-order re-gridding method for precipitation or Tair (we note second order calculations).
- 175 have been used by others [Brunner et al., 2020])

删除了: Hence

删除了: 2.

WE [15]: Brunner L., M. Hauser, R. Lorenz, and U. Beyerle 2020).The ETH Zurich CMIP6 next generation archive: echnical documentation.

3.1 General Approach

3 Methods

Given the relatively poor linear relationship between global/gridded (i.e. local) precipitation and global land mean temperature (as noted in Zelazowski et al., 2018), we try to build a new emulator for precipitation. We still search for a relationship between
 precipitation change and some function of gridded Tair (Fig. 1), although more complex than simply linear in global temperature. Since precipitation is also not only controlled by local temperature (Zhou et al., 2020), we seek links to features of Tair from all grid cells. There are 10,368 gridboxes considered at a common 2.5° × 2.5° resolution, that we used to for both historical observations and future ESMs, after remapping the latter. It's this Tair data and model simulations that we used across the globe to predict precipitation variation.

185 In this section, we set out underlying methods for our emulation of local precipitation by the principal component regression (PCR) approach, based on relating it to the dominant modes of variability of temperature. PCR is a type of regression analysis, which considers the orthogonal principal components as independent variables. As a method that can be used to overcome the problem of multilinearity on predictor variables, the PCR technique is widely used in forecasting seasonal precipitation (Kim

et al., 2017b) and reconstructing the climatic modes of variability (Jones et al., 2009; Michel et al., 2020). These authors find PCR method perform remarkably well, and the method is explained in detail in Chapter 13 of Storch and Zwiers (1999). As might be expected, therefore, our starting point is deriving the principal components of global gridded temperature. We followed the standard procedure of Principal Component Analysis (PCA), as used in climate research (e.g., Yan et al., 2020;

- 195 Singh, 2006; Jiang et al., 2020), that consist of a set of time-invariant spatial patterns multiplied by timeseries of coefficients. PCA notation can vary between users, but for simplicity, we will simply refer to these as PCA "spatial patterns" (each of which has the dimensions of the spatial grid, or alternatively an array of one dimension with 10,368 points), and PCA "timeseries", which multiply the patterns. These timeseries, derived for each month, contain values applicable for each year, and so for instance, fitting to years 1901-1950 imply they will have 50 numbers. As precipitation may be influenced by the temperature
- 200 of the previous months via large scale circulation (e.g., the lag effects of ENSO on regional precipitation; Li et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2019; Efthymiadis et al., 2007), we used the average Tair of three months (month of interest plus the previous two months) to apply PCA. In addition, considering that ESMs may under-represent the effects of topography and aerosols on modelled precipitation (Samset et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2021), we calibrated the emulator for the historical period and future separately. For the future, we used precipitation and Tair from SSP5-8.5 to calibrate the ESM-specific emulator due to its largest abanges in Tair according the range of other SSPs.
- 205 largest changes in Tair covering the range of other SSPs.

3.2 Framework for PREMU

3.2.1 Calibration

We set out in the Table 2 below our notation for indices and variable quantities.

- 210 Our use of the standard definition of PCAs (sum of patterns × timeseries) implies that the estimate of the principal components is given by the spatial pattern of principal component coefficients $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}(k,i)$ multiplied by the timeseries of three months average gridded temperature. The choice of the 3-month average Tair as independent variables is robust with further details illustrated in Sect. 5. The PCA component coefficients, $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}(k,i)$, are the combination of eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of the anomalies of the gridded 3-month average Tair. However, unlike many limited-area applications that derive a
- 215 single timeseries (to multiply each PCA), we instead determined a timeseries for each location, and hence why $T_{e,m}^{Timeseries}(k, y)$ has a k dependency. We then summed over all locations k, and this gives a global annual timeseries (for each ESM e, month m, year y and PCA i) of:

 $T_{e,m}^{PCA}(y,i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{grid}} U_{e,m}^{Spatial}(k,i) * T_{e,m}^{Timeseries}(k,y)$

Using Tair for years 1901-1950 from the GSWP3 gridded dataset, and for period $2015_{e}2100$ from the nine ESMs forced under 220 the SSP5-8.5 scenario, we determined the principal component coefficient matrix $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}$ for each month *m*, and ESM *e* (or GWSP3) independently. We employed Equation (1), and the top 10 principal components (*i* = 1,...,10) were used in this study,

(1)

	https://www	.google.co.	.uk/books	/edition/Statisti
cal_Analysis	_in_Climate	Research/	VHxE26	QvXgC?hl=en

	批注 [17]: 1.Li et al., 2011 Research on the Relationship
	in China - ScienceDirect
	2.Lu et al., 2019, <u>Impact of El Niño and Southern</u>
	3 Efflymiadis et al. Influence of large-scale atmospheric
	circulation on climate variability in the Greater Alpine
ļ	设置了格式: 英语(美国)
- //	带格式的: 标题 3
- //	设置了格式: 英语(美国)
111	删除了: 2.
////	删除了:
	删除了: ←
11//	冯男子投上, 今休, (胜引) 安休 (由文) 安休 (由
	(文) 中文(中国),(其他)英语(美国)
	between global/gridded (i.e. local) precipitation and global land mean temperature (as noted in Zelazowski et al., 2018), we try to build a new emulator for precipitation. We still search for a relationship between precipitation change and some function of gridded Tair (Fig. 1), although more complex than simply linear in global temperature. Since precipitation is also not controlled by local temperature (Zhou et al., 2020), we seek links to features of Tair from all grid cells (10,368 at $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ resolution) across the globe to predict precipitation variation. e^{i} In this section, we set out underlying methods for our emulation of local precipitation by the principal component regression (PCR) approach, based on relating it to the dominant modes of variability of temperature. PCR is a type of regression analysis, which considers the orthogonal principal components as independent variables. As a method that can be used to overcome the problem of multilinearity on predictor variables, PCR is widely used in forecasting seasonal precipitation (Kim et al., 2017b) and reconstructing the climatic modes of variability (Jones et al., 2009; Michel et al. 2020) and performed remarkabily well. As might be
	expected, therefore, our starting point is deriving the principal components of global gridded temperature. We followed the

standard procedure of Principal Component Analysic

设置了格式: 字体: 倾斜 **设置了格式:** 字体: 倾斜 删除了: 6



which described more than 70% of gridded temperature information (i.e. variation) across the globe (Fig. 2 and Figs. $S_{27}^{2}S_{42}^{2}$. 300 The stability of the component coefficients $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}(k, i)$ of the top 10 PCs, between different scenarios, is discussed in Sect. 5. Otherwise, we found that the 15 occeant atmosphere climate indices can be well reconstructed by multi-linear regression of the top 10 principal components $T_{e,m}^{PCA}(y, 1: 10)$ (Fig. S1). This suggests that the principal components of gridded temperature likely additionally contain information about sea surface temperature and ocean-atmosphere oscillations, which have great impacts on inter-annual and inter-decadal variations of regional precipitation,

- 305 Having established the temperature principal components, via the form presented in Eq (1), we then mapped these onto precipitation both globally and locally. Our mapping is via a standard regression based on 50 datapoints (i.e. year 1901-1950; or 86 datapoints in ESMs for year 2015-2100). The regression has 40 degrees-of-freedom, due to our selection of 10 PCAs, and this relationship links the timeseries of monthly gridded precipitation from the GSWP3 dataset (or the nine ESMs) and the 10 principal components of gridded temperature for each month of the 12 months of the year (January to December). This

3.2.2 Validation

For validation, we set out in the <u>Table 3</u> below the variables that we estimated with our methodology The "overhat" notation represents an estimated quantity we first recalled that we fitted our PCA-based framework to historical temperature and precipitation data for period 1901-1950, and to ESMs for the SSP5-8.5 future atmospheric GHG concentration scenario. Based on the principal component coefficients extracted using these calibration datasets, we then estimated the $T_{e,m}^{pert}(y, y)$ for 1951-2016 using Tair from GSWP3 and for 2015-2100 using Tair from each ESM independently under the other three SSPs weighted by the $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}$ following the Eq. 1. Then we estimated the $P_{e,m}^{globrat}(y)$ and $P_{e,m}^{grid}(s, y)$ individually by the $T_{e,m}^{pert}(y, t)$ and our fitted regression coefficients in Eq. 2.

325 3.3 Evaluating PREMU

Before evaluating the performance of PREMU, we found a slight difference in GLAP between $P_{e,m}^{gtrbal}$ and the spatial average of $\overline{P_{e,m}^{gtrta}}$, brought by setting negative numbers of $\overline{P_{e,m}^{gtrta}}$ to be zero at some grid points. Thus, in a final component to our

1	删除了: 3
(设置了格式: 字体:加粗
Å	删除了: ←
4	删除了: 10
//	删除了: global
()	删除了: GLAP
// /	设置了格式: 字体:倾斜
[]]	删除了:
///	移动了(插入)[1]
//	删除了: 3
//	删除了: ↩
	$\begin{split} & \boxplus \ \hat{k}_{r}^{T} : \stackrel{e}{\leftarrow} \\ & P_{e,m}^{global}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{pCA}} \alpha_{e,m}(i) * T_{e,m}^{PCA}(y,i) + \alpha_{e,m}^{intercept}(i) \\ & (2)^{e} \\ & \text{where } P_{e}^{global} \text{ is the monthly GLAP. } \alpha_{e,m} \text{ represents the } \end{split}$
	regression coefficient of each principal component and $\alpha_{e,m}^{intercept}$ is the intercept term, which are derived from linear correspondent by local courses fitting. To provide the gradial
	regressions by reacting round the spatial particular monthly precipitation, we also constructed the local (i.e. spatial) regression relationship between gridded precipitation and the 10 individual principal components as: $e^{iP} P_{e,m}^{grid}(k, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{PCA}} \beta_{e,m}(k, i) * T_{e,m}^{PeCA}(y, i) + \beta_{e,m}^{intercept}(k, i)$ (3) e^{iP}
	上移了 [1]: $\sum_{i=1}^{NecA} \beta_{e,m}(k,i) * T_{e,m}^{PCA}(y,i) + \beta_{e,m}^{intercept}(k,i)$ (3) ⁽²⁾ and where $P_{e,m}^{grid}(k,y)$ is the precipitation at a specific cell, k. Variable $\beta_{e,m}$ represents the recression coefficient of each
	principal component and $\beta_{e,m}^{intercept}$ is the intercept term, which are derived from linear regressions by least squares method using the calibration time series ϵ^{i}
	删除了: 2.3
	删除了: table
$\left\{ \right\}$	删除了:
	刪除了: ↩
$\langle \rangle$	
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	删除了: <i>i</i>
$\left(\right) ,$	删除了::↩ ([3]
	删除了: and Eq. 3:↩ ([4]

删除了: where the $T_{e,m}^{Timseries,val}$ is the gridded Tay

删除了:1

	calculations, we scale $P_{e,m}^{grad}$ by the ratio of $P_{e,m}^{grad}$ and the monthly GLAP from average over grid points as area-averaged
	$\bar{P}_{e,m}^{grud}$, i.e. with equation:
	$P_{e,m}^{grid, \overline{Adr}}(k, y) = P_{e,m}^{grid}(\overline{k}, y) * \frac{P_{e,m}^{gfibal}}{Mean(P_{e,m}^{gfrid})}, $ (3)
390	where $P_{e,m}^{grat,dd}$ is the adjusted estimation of monthly gridded precipitation and $Mean\left(\overline{P}_{e,m}^{grad}\right)$ represents the area-weighted
	averaged $\bar{P}_{e,m}^{gTrd}$.
	In order to evaluate the advantages of PREMU compared to the emulations of gridded precipitation by other emulators (e.g.
	IMOGEN-based or OSCAR-based method), we simply used a prediction that linearly relates rainfall changes to global
395	temperature changes and variability. We compared this simpler linear approach with the performance of PREMU. To evaluate
	how well the performance of PREMU at describing the historical observations, we compared the MAP and trends of GLAP
	from GSWP3 with the emulated values obtained from PREMU and the simple linear approach (subsection Sect. 4.1). Our
	$\underline{statistic \ to \ compare \ was \ the \ Pearson \ correlation \ coefficients \ between \ the \ GLAP \ from \ observations \ and \ these \ two \ emulations.}$
	For gridded precipitation, we instead calculated the percentage error of MAP during 1987-2016 and compared the changes of
400	\underline{MAP} in the first and last three decades of the validation period (1951-1980 and 1987-2016) for each grid. Similarly, we
	evaluated the performance of PREMU at describing future precipitation by comparing the MAP, and trends of GLAP with
	ESMs, for the four future scenarios (subsection Sect. 4.2). As PREMU is an ESM-specific emulator, we calculated the
	performance of PREMU on each ESM individually. The percentage error at each grid was used to evaluate the emulations of
	PREMU at different locations. We additionally evaluated the performance of PREMU on the seasonal cycle of precipitation
405	(subsections Sect. 4.3.) Here, we compared the land average precipitation in different latitudes from GSWP3 or multi-model
	mean of 9 ESMs with the emulation of PREMU. Also, the error in spatial pattern of seasonal precipitation is used to show that
	PREMU can capture the seasonal cycle of gridded precipitation from both historical observations or ESMs.
	Furthermore, we evaluated the reliability of our assumption of constant spatial part of PCAs in this study in Sect. 5. To test
410	this assumption, we compared the coefficient matric of temperature derived for the SSP5-8.5 scenario with those from the
	SSP1-2.6 scenario. Finally, for the further developments of PREMU, we explored the performance of emulating precipitation
	by other versions of PREMU (e.g., PREMU-mon, PREMU-6mon and PREMU-land), and again our findings are presented in
	Sect. 5

415	4 Results	 【删除了:3
	4.1 Performance of precipitation emulator on historical precipitation	 删除了:3
I	As outlined above, to evaluate the ability of our PREMU to emulate historical precipitation, we first used the precipitation and	
	Tair data from GSWP3, for the period 1901-1951, to calibrate its parameters. We then tested its predictive capability at	
	estimating precipitation data from the period 1951-2016. For the calibration period (1901-1950), our emulator shows a	
420	consistent areally-averaged global annual precipitation value (1002 mm year ⁻¹ and 1002 mm year ⁻¹ for PREMU and GSWP3,	
1	respectively) and trend (0.48 mm year ⁻² and 0.54 mm year ⁻² for PREMU and GSWP3; Table S1). Furthermore, the interannual	
I	variations of GLAP derived from PREMU are found to be significantly correlated with that from GSWP3 ($R = 0.81$, $p < 0.001$).	
	For the validation period (1951-2016), PREMU also captured the observed trend (0.22 mm year ⁻² for both PREMU and	
	GSWP3) and interannual variations (R = 0.67, p < 0.001) of GLAP from GSWP3 (Fig.3a). By contrast, if we simply used a	
425	prediction that linearly relates rainfall changes to global temperature changes and variability, which has similarities to the	
	IMOGEN-based method, we found a much smaller (-0.25 mm year ² , -45%) and larger (+0.47 mm year ² , +213%) trend in	
	GLAP than GSWP3 for the calibration and validation periods, respectively. In addition, the interannual variations of GLAP as	
	estimated by a simple linear regression against global temperature, shows a weaker correlation with GSWP3 in these two	
1	periods ($R = 0.27$, $p = 0.06$ for the calibration period; $R = 0.15$, $p = 0.21$ for validation period; Fig. 3a; Table S1).	
430		
	Spatially, PREMU and a simple linear-based method (similar to the algorithms in IMOGEN) can both emulate similarly the	
	spatial pattern of mean annual precipitation (MAP) during the last three decades in the validation period (1987-2016), as	
	observed in the GSWP3 data (Fig. 4a, c, e). Compared to the simple linear approach, there are fewer grid cells showing more	
1	than 25% error of MAP from GSWP3 in our emulation (17%; Table S1) against a simple linear fit (27%; Fig. 3b,c). The	
435	overestimation of MAP with our PREMU method is mainly found in the Tibetan Plateau and central Africa (~20%), and the	
1	underestimation of MAP mainly in northern Siberia, Greenland Island and northern Australia (-15%30%; Fig. 3c and Fig.	 删除了:)
	<u>\$5</u>). To verify the emulator's ability to predict changes in gridded observation precipitation, we calculated the change of MAP	
I	in the first and last three decades of the validation period (1951-1980 and 1987-2016; Fig. 4b, d, f). For the differences between	
1	these two time periods, PREMU shows consistent changes of precipitation in northern Eurasia, North America and the central	
440	South America and when using GSWP3 data. However, the simple linear method has underestimated the increase or	
	overestimated the decrease of precipitation in these regions (Fig. 4). In some regions of East Asia, Europe, Australia and South	
	America, PREMU underestimates / overestimates the changes in annual precipitation (in range 50-200 mm year-1; Fig. S6),	
	and these values represent little improvement over the simple linear method (Fig. 4). Furthermore, we noted that the changes	 删除了: bo
	in MAP from both PREMU and the simple linear method shows the opposite to the changes in MAP from GSWP3 in some	increase of a America and
445	regions (e.g., Australia and West Africa; Fig. 4b, d, f). This suggests that changes in precipitation in these particular regions	central Sout
	may be driven by the factors such as aerosols, topography and land use changes rather than temperature, which are further	underestima
	discussed in Sect. 5.	

8

: both PREMU and GSWP3 consistently show an f : both PREMU and GSWP3 consistently show an ase of annual precipitation in the northern Eurasia, North rica and the southern South America and a decrease in al South America. However, in some regions of East , Europe, Australia and South America, PREMU restimates / overestimates the changes in annual pitation (50–200 mm year⁻¹; Fig. 4).

设置了格式:字体:加粗

4.2 Performance of precipitation emulator on future precipitation from CMIP6 ESMs

- A key requirement of our PREMU emulator is that it can make projections of precipitation change for different future scenarios of atmospheric GHG concentrations, and potentially for novel trajectories of such gases for which ESMs have not made calculations. To test for this capability, we analysed its performance at predicting changes under the SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP3-7.0 scenarios, and for which ESM-based simulations that are available. Recall that our PREMU calibration was against ESMs operated for the SSP5-8.5 scenario, capturing inter-ESM differences in projections of Tair, and critically, precipitation. Similar to the emulation for the historical period, PREMU shows a good performance in emulating future precipitation (Fig.
- 465 5). For the calibration scenario, the multi-model mean GLAP from PREMU shows consistent trend (0.96 mm year² and 0.96 mm year² for our emulator and ESMs; <u>Table S2</u>) and interannual variation (R = 0.98, p<0.001) with that from ESMs (Fig. 5a). For the three validation scenarios of the different SSPs, PREMU shows a better correlation in interannual variations of GLAP with ESMs than the historical period (R = 0.86, p<0.001 for SSP1-2.6; R = 0.95, p<0.001 for SSP2-4.5; R = 0.95, p<0.001 for SSP3-7.0; Fig. 5c,e,g). Although the trends of global precipitation in our emulation are close to that of ESMs across the three</p>
- 470 scenarios (Table 4), the error of trends by the PREMU increases from high to low emission scenarios (Table 4; Table S3). In addition, the standard deviations of GLAP are underestimated by 2% in SSP5-8.5 and by 43% in SSP1-2.6 (Table. S2), At the individual ESM level, PREMU can capture well both trends and interannual fluctuations of GLAP well under all scenarios for MPI-ESM1-2-LR, MIROC6, EC-Earth3 and CanESM5. For the other five models, there are biases of trends (Table 4) and/or interannual variations of GLAP (Fig. 6 and Figs. S7₅S9) between our emulation and ESMs. These differences could be partly
 475 related to the substantial uncertainties and different features affecting future precipitation projections in ESMs. These factors
- are discussed in Sect. 5.
- For the spatial pattern (Fig. 5b,d,f,h), PREMU can reproduce the projected pattern of MAP from the multi-model mean of ESMs under the calibration scenario and the three validation scenarios (Fig. 7). Compared to the historical period, the error of 480 multi-model MAP between our emulation and ESMs is relatively smaller (~10%; Fig. 7). As for the changes in precipitation during 2015-2100, PREMU shows a consistent canonical pattern of MAP from multi-model mean of ESMs (Fig. 8), with a 50-200 mm year-1 increase of annual precipitation in Eurasia, North America and northeastern of Africa, but a 50-200 mm years⁻¹ decrease in Amazon rainforest from the low to high emission scenarios. However, similar to the simulation for GLAP, the error in spatial pattern of MAP and changes in MAP between our emulation and ESMs increases from high to low emission 485 scenarios (Fig. 5 and Fig. 8). Furthermore, compared to the historical period, PREMU can capture the changes in MAP in west Africa well under all four scenarios, but also emulated the opposite changes of MAP in Australia under SSP3-7.0, which are discussed in Sect. 5. When considering performance at the individual ESM level, PREMU can reproduce the spatial pattern of MAP well. In general, the proportions of grid cells with an error more than 10% is small (8% [6%-14%] for SSP1-2.6; 5% [3%-8%] for SSP2-4.5; 5% [3%-9%] for SSP3-7.0; 0% [0%-0%] for SSP5-8.5; mean [min-max]; Fig. 9 and Figs. S10-S12). 490 However, as for the changes in MAP, we found a relative poor performance in emulating the changes in some ESMs especially

删除了:3

删除了:3

删除了: Hence, we found larger errors when interpolating to colder climates using the emulator constructed under a high emissions scenario (SSP5-8.5).

(删除了:3) (删除了:4)

删除了: These differences could be partly related to the substantial uncertainties in future precipitation projection in ESMs

in SSP1-2.6 (Fig. 10 and Figs. S13-S15). While the spatial pattern of changes in MAP is quite different across ESMs even under the same SSP, the errors by PREMU are much smaller than the inter-ESM differences (Fig. 10 and Figs. S13-S15).

4.3 Seasonal performance of precipitation emulator

505 As a monthly emulator, the performance of PREMU at describing the seasonal cycle of precipitation requires evaluation. For the historical observations, PREMU can capture the seasonal cycle of GLAP in each latitude band (Fig. 11). There are some spatial differences, with little error in boreal regions but with 18-22 mm mon¹ error in tropics (Fig. S16). We found fewer grid cells showing more than 20 mm mon¹ error of seasonal precipitation from GSWP3 in our emulation (18% - 24%) compared to using a simple linear fit (24% - 31%; Fig. S16). As for the future precipitation, PREMU shows a good performance
510 on emulating the monthly land average precipitation in each latitude band under all scenarios (Fig. S17). However, PERMU tends to overestimate the JJA (June, July, August) and SON (September, October, November) precipitation in South Asia and Amazon and underestimates the SON and DJF (December, January, February) precipitation in West Africa under SSP1-2.6, while the error in spatial pattern of seasonal precipitation decreases from low to high emission scenarios (Fig. S18).

5 Discussion

- 515 To our knowledge, this study provides a novel approach to linking local precipitation changes to geographical features (i.e. spatial modes) of gridded temperature in a single emulator chain, which can be further incorporated into existing LCMs. Despite relying on a series of simple assumptions, PREMU can successfully capture the simulated changes in precipitation by ESMs and under a broad range of different emission scenarios. Comparing with the precipitation predicted by a simple linear regression between local rainfall alteration and level of global warming (e.g. as used in other LCMs such as IMOGEN), the
- 520 rainfall in PREMU shows more consistent trends and interannual variations of GLAP and in the spatial pattern of MAP. These improvements are noted in the comparison against the historical precipitation recorded in the GSWP3 dataset, as well as when emulating the predicted future precipitation by ESMs (Figs. 3-6). For a user-prescribed emission scenario, or for a time-evolving global temperature trajectory designed to constrain warming to a level such as two degrees (Huntingford et al., 2017), PREMU can accurately and quickly emulate the related gridded precipitation changes. Our method can utilize the existing
- 525 spatial features of gridded temperature from either ESMs or LCMs, to support studies related to future changes in precipitation. In particular, coupling our precipitation emulator with other LCMs provides the input climate forcing for land surface models to help disentangle hydrological and ecological responses globally to future climate change (Zelazowski et al., 2018; Li et al., 2022; Korell et al., 2021).

5.1 Possible cause for the emulation errors of PREMU

530 We note<u>d</u> that the performance of PREMU at predicting future precipitation from ESMs is much better than that when emulating historical GSWP3 precipitation. This is because PREMU is only based on the ten modes of global gridded

删除了:For the spatial pattern (Fig. 5b,d,f,h), PREMU can reproduce the projected pattern of MAP from the multi-model mean of ESMs under the calibration scenario and the three validation scenarios (Fig. 7). Compared to the historical period, the error of multi-model MAP between our emulation and ESMs is relatively smaller (~10%; Fig. 7). During the prediction period, defined here as years 2015-2100, PREMU predicts a 50-200 mm year-1 increase of annual precipitation in Eurasia, North America and northeastern of Africa, but a 50-200 mm years-1 decrease in Amazon rainforest from the low to high emission scenarios, which is consistent with the canonical pattern from the multi-model mean of ESMs (Fig. 8). Similar to the simulation for GLAP, the error in spatial pattern of MAP and changes in MAP between our emulation and ESMs increases from high to low emission scenarios (Fig. 5 and Fig. 8). Under the SSP3-7.0 scenario, PREMU shows a less than 100 mm year-1 error of change in MAP in 64% of grid cells. While under the lowest emission scenario (SSP1-2.6), PREMU underestimates the increase of MAP in eastern China (100-200 mm year⁻¹) and overestimates the decrease in southern Europe (50-100 mm year⁻¹). When considering performance at the individual ESM level, PREMU can reproduce the spatial pattern of MAP well. In general, the proportions of grid cells with an error more than 10% is small (8% [6%-14%] for SSP1-2.6; 5% [3%-8%] for SSP2-4.5; 5% [3%-9%] for SSP3-7.0; 0% [0%- 0 %] for SSP5-8.5; mean [min-max]; Fig. 9 and Figs. S7-S9). However, as for the changes in MAP, we found a relative poor performance in emulating the changes in some ESMs especially in SSP1-2.6 (Fig. 10 and Figs. S10-S12). While the spatial pattern of changes in MAP is quite different across ESMs even under the same SSP, the errors by PREMU are much smaller than the inter-ESM differences (Fig. 10 and Figs. S10-S12)....

设置了格式: 上标			
设置了格式: 上标			
设置了格式: 字体: (中文)中文(中国)	(中文)	+中文正文	(DengXian),
删除了:4			

带格式的: 🕫	标题 2
设置了格式	: 英语(英国)

temperature, and the effects of aerosols and topography on precipitation are not well represented in our emulator (Austin and Dirks., 2005; Medvigy et al., 2012). For instance, Fig. 3 shows emulated precipitation has large errors in mountainous regions, where orographic precipitation could be dominant. The effect of raised aerosols in the 20th century have been shown as having a role almost as large as greenhouse gases warming effects on features of regional precipitation (e.g. in India; Bollasina et al., 2011). Thus, adding the effects of topography and aerosols on precipitation in to the PREMU could further improve the ability of predicting precipitation. <u>Considering the changes in MAP in west Africa from PREMU are opposite to the changes in MAP in the good performance on predicting precipitation from ESMs by PREMU may suggest that climate models under-represent the effects of topography and aerosols (Samset et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2021).
</u>

Overall, the performance of predicting precipitation from ESMs by our emulator is good, although not for all models and under all scenarios. Both trends and interannual variability of GLAP are well captured under all scenarios for MPI-ESM1-2-LR, MIROC6, EC-Earth3 and CanESM5, but PREMU performs less well at predicting GLAP in the other five ESMs (Fig. 6 and Figs. S7₄S9₂). This may be because different ESMs use alternative atmospheric circulation model and precipitation schemes (e.g., CAM6.3 in CESM2 and AM4 in GFDL-ESM4), which contain different physical processes or parameterizations in their

- simulation of precipitation (Danabasoglu et al., 2020; Horowitz et al., 2020; Hourdin et al., 2020). Notable is that, PREMU
 underestimates 30% (6%–60%) of interannual variations of precipitation in all ESMs and when considering across all four
 GHG concentration scenarios. This is a common "feature" of linear regression models, as they favor bias reduction over
 variance under the bias-variance trade-off (Geman et al.,1992). In addition, we also suggest this may be because of missing
 some modes (out of the 10 modes we used) for interannual variations, or an under-representation of the effect of key climate
 modes such as ENSO in our emulator, or a non-linear response of precipitation to climate modes. It is also worth noting that
- 590 the trend of GLAP in CESM2 and GFDL-ESM4 under SSP3-7.0 are lower than that under SSP2-4.5. We speculate that this may be caused by the most drastic land use changes of that former SSP, and that are account for in ESM simulations, resulting in a slower increase in precipitation under SSP3-7.0 (Riahi et al., 2017). Our emulator predicts precipitation through the temperature gradients and so cannot capture the impact of land-use changes on precipitation via altered land-atmospheric feedbacks impacts the hydrological cycle (Table 4).

5.2 Evaluating the assumptions in methods

595

600

Our emulator is based on the assumption that spatial temperature modes can describe well precipitation. Hence, we assumed implicitly that the coefficient matrix in PCA for global gridded temperature (i.e., the weights of each grid cell for each principal component) are stable, i.e. invariant, with climate change. To test this assumption, we compared the coefficient matrixes of temperature derived for the SSP5-8.5 scenario with those from the SSP1-2.6 scenario, for modelled period 2015-2100 (Figs. S16 and S17). For the future scenarios, we found that most coefficients of PCA, in both January and July, are similar between

· 删除了: 4 · 删除了: 6

删除了:3

带格式的:标题 2 设置了格式:英语(英国)

一 删除了: 3
一 删除了: 4

the SSP5-8.5 scenario and SSP1-2.6 scenario, This is, though, with a different order of PCA coefficients (Fig. S19-S20). This finding suggests that the main features of global temperature are constant across different scenarios. We noted in some instances, the signs of coefficients are opposite for some principal components between different scenarios, but this

- 610 corresponds to regression coefficients also with opposite signs, which combined give the same predictions. Depending on circumstance, we noted that it may not be necessary to use all top ten PCs. For instance, if researchers only require the decadal average or any increasing trend in precipitation, PREMU calibrated by the top 1 PC of Tair under SSP5-8.5 may be sufficient to capture these characteristics of GLAP from ESMs and under all four scenarios (Fig. S21). If the researchers only require the decadal average or increase trend of precipitation, PREMU calibrated by the top 1 PC of Tair under SSP5-8.5 can also 615 capture the trends of GLAP from ESMs under all four scenarios (Fig. S21). Furthermore, we have assumed that the coefficients
- of PCA are linked with the ocean-atmosphere oscillations, but the detailed physical explanations of the coefficient matrix need further study in the future.
- We have confirmed that our ability to reproduce the historical and future trends as well as interannual variabilities in 620 precipitation, is better than with other methods that more simply regression local changes against global temperature variation (e.g. IMOGEN and OSCAR; Zelazowski et al., 2018; Gasser et al., 2017). However, the remaining biases in emulating the other three SSPs with the emulator constructed (i.e. fitted) under SSP5-8.5 imply that the sensitivity of gridded precipitation to temperature modes may have a slight dependence on SSP. There are some studies predict that the variability of precipitation will increase in a warmer world (Zhang et al., 2021; Song et al., 2018), but where such additional variability is not present in
- 625 the spatial temperature modes of variations. Therefore, it could be unwise to use the emulator constructed using the temperature and precipitation during the historical period or under the low emission scenarios to project future precipitation change under a high emission scenario. Constructing the emulator separately for low or high emission scenarios could help reduce uncertainties in emulating future precipitation. When coupling PREMU with other LCMs to emulate the gridded precipitation under a new prescribed emission scenario, we suggest calibrating PREMU against the SSP whose future temperature most 630 closely resembles the temperature in LCMs under that new scenario.

5.3 Other versions of PREMU

5.3.1 PREMU constructed by different temperature lag periods

We tested the effect of different lags of gridded temperature on changes in precipitation. Previous studies noted that "memory 635 effects" may cause precipitation to be affected more by the climate modes in the previous months (An et al., 2020). Here, we used throughout the three-month average temperature (month of interest plus the previous two months) to capture potential lagging effects. However, to quantify the uncertainty based on duration of the lag effect, we also tested one-month temperature and six-month average temperature to construct the emulator respectively ("emulator-1mon" and "emulator-6mon"). For the



删除了:, explaining >95% variations in global temperature)
删除了: for example, the third PCA of SSP5-8.5 in Fig. S3d corresponds to the second PCA of SSP1-2.6 in Fig. S14c; Figs. S2-S3,)
删除了: 3)

nn d	A 71	-		
- ###1	Kr.	r	٠	- 14
1/1/11	1215			17

带格式的:标题 3 **设置了格式:**英语(英国)

- 645 historical period, we found that the emulator-1mon was unable to capture the increase of GLAP after 1950. Predicting the GLAP from emulator-6mon shows a good fitting of the trends and interannual variations of GLAP in GSWP3 similar to that from emulator based on three-month average temperature, but with a lower correlation coefficient (R=0.77, p<0.001 for three-month; R=0.73, p<0.001 for six-month; Fig. S22). While for future precipitation from ESMs, all three emulators with different temperature lag periods (one-month, three-month) can capture well the changes in GLAP and gridded precipitation under different scenarios in the future (Fig. S23, S24). This may due to underrepresenting of topography and aerosol effects in ESMs, which are potential sources of variations in precipitation and could be important for influencing the</p>
- aerosol effects in ESMs, which are potential sources of variations in precipitation and could be important for influencing the <u>lag-differences</u>. Hence, we deduced that the method is highly robust (i.e. invariant) to lag-length when emulating the future precipitation (Fig. 5 and Fig. S23,24).

5.3.2 PREMU constructed by Tair over land,

We constructed our emulator using thermal modes of variation based on the temperature from over both land and ocean grid cells. As a sensitivity study, we also evaluated the performance of the emulator constructed only using Tair over land ("emulator-land") to test its capability at predicting future precipitation. We found that the emulator-land can also reproduce consistent trends and interannual variations of GLAP and changes in gridded precipitation with ESMs (Fig. S25, and S20, while the correlation in interannual variations of GLAP with ESMs is relatively lower than PREMU ((R = 0.71 for SSP1-2.6; R = 0.88 for SSP2-4.5; R = 0.91 for SSP3-7.0; R = 0.96 for SSP5-8.5), Considering that the change of air temperature over ocean could contain additional information that relates to local climate variability (Trenberth and Shea, 2005), we suggest retaining our inclusion of all land and ocean grid cells in PREMU calibration.

5.4 Potential further developments of PREMU

- Our emulator has focused on total precipitation at each location. Future analyses could include testing its performance for individual features or subsets of precipitation patterns, such as convective precipitation, large-scale precipitation and topographic precipitation. We also suggest possibly extending our emulator drivers beyond the modes of variability of air temperature only. For example, considering that the interannual variations of precipitation are mainly caused by large-scale precipitation dominated by ESNO (Cai et al., 2001; Oldenborgh and Burgers, 2005; Gupta and Jain., 2021; Zhou et al., 2020), we could consider additionally entraining sea surface temperature as a forcing variable. Similarly, for convective precipitation, local temperature and energy for uplift could be used for prediction (Berg et al., 2013), Furthermore, PREMU may not have
- good capability to emulate the seasonal cycle of gridded precipitation. We suggest that a future improvement, which will allow projections at sub-yearly timescales, might be to add a residual variability module similar to that in the MESMER-M model via lag-1 autocorrelations or local spatially correlated processes (Nath et al., 2022),

- 删除了: 16	>
删除了: 17	$ \supset $
· 删除了: 16	$\overline{}$
带格式的: 标题 3	\supset
• 设置了格式: 英语(英国)	\square

-(删除	7	:	18
-(删除	7	:	19
-(删除	7	:	
-(删除	7	:	However, c

删除了: 🚽

删除了: 15

一删除了:.

设置了格式:英语(英国)

685 6, Conclusions

删除了:5

In this study, we proposed an algorithm to construct a precipitation emulator, which could estimate gridded precipitation and its changes in a convenient and computationally effective way. We exploit strong discovered linkages between rainfall patterns and natural spatial modes of variability in near-surface temperature. To the best of our knowledge, this is a pioneer emulator that can be directly coupled within existing LCMs, and especially noting that LCMs may perform well for other variables but

- 690 are currently weaker at estimating features of rainfall. This new combination will better predict global and gridded precipitation under different emission scenarios. With illustrative examples, we demonstrated the good performance of our emulator in predicting the absolute value and interannual variations in historical precipitation from GSWP3 as well as predicted future precipitation under four scenarios from ESMs. In addition, we also verified the reliability of our results despite the potential uncertainties in the method (e.g., the assumptions of the stability in coefficient matrix in PCA and sensitivity of gridded
- 695 precipitation to temperature modes). The accurate projection of future precipitation can help analyze not only expected direct climate change under different emission scenarios but also the responses of the hydrological cycle and ecological processes to such future changes. ESMs remain hugely computationally demanding and can be only operated for a limited number of century-timescale projections. Hence robust emulators of full-complexity Earth system models remain an important tool, extrapolating ESM projections to alternative future emissions or GHG concentration scenarios that require investigation and 700 understanding.
- 700 understanding.

Code availability

The MATLAB code used to emulate the precipitation by PREMU is publicly available on GitHub (<u>https://github.com/GangLiulg/PreMU</u>), and the code used here is achieved and available on Zenodo repository 705 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6668938).

Data availability

The GSWP3 data were available at <u>http://search.diasjp.net/en/dataset/GSWP3_EXP1_Forcing</u>. All CMIP6 data can be accessed from the CMIP6 archive (https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/).

710 Author contributions

SP conceived this study. The PREMU model was coded and developed mainly by GL. GL, SP and YX prepared the paper with contributions from CH.



Competing interests

715 The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Data Integration and Analysis System (DIAS), Japan manage publicly availability of GSWP3, and CMIP6 data producers and providers.

Financial support

720 This development has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 41722101 and 41830643).

References

Allen, M. R. and Ingram, W. J.: Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrologic cycle, Nature, 419, 228-232, 10.1038/nature01092, 2002.

An, L., Hao, Y., Yeh, T.-C. J., and Zhang, B.: Annual to multidecadal climate modes linking precipitation of the northern and southern slopes of the Tianshan Mts, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 140, 453-465, 10.1007/s00704-020-03100-y, 2020. Austin, G. L. and Dirks, K. N.: Topographic Effects on Precipitation, in: Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1002/0470848944.hsa033, 2005.

730 Berg, P., Moseley, C., and Haerter, J. O.: Strong increase in convective precipitation in response to higher temperatures, Nat. Geosci., 6, 181-185, 10.1038/ngeo1731, 2013.

Beusch, L., Nicholls, Z., Gudmundsson, L., Hauser, M., Meinshausen, M., and Seneviratne, S. I.: From emission scenarios to spatially resolved projections with a chain of computationally efficient emulators: coupling of MAGICC (v7.5.1) and MESMER (v0.8.3), Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 2085-2103, 10.5194/gmd-15-2085-2022, 2022.

 Bollasina, M. A., Ming, Y., and Ramaswamy, V.: Anthropogenic Aerosols and the Weakening of the South Asian Summer Monsoon, Science, 334, 502-505, doi:10.1126/science.1204994, 2011.
 Brunner L., M. Hauser, R. Lorenz, and U. Beyerle:. The ETH Zurich CMIP6 next generation archive: technical documentation, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3734128, 2020.

Cai, W., Whetton, P. H., and Pittock, A. B.: Fluctuations of the relationship between ENSO and northeast Australian rainfall,
 Clim. Dynam., 17, 421-432, 10.1007/PL00013738, 2001.

Chadwick, R. and Good, P.: Understanding nonlinear tropical precipitation responses to CO2 forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 4911-4915, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50932, 2013.



	Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J. L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W. J., Johns, T.,	
	Krinner, G., Shongwe, M., Tebaldi, C., Weaver, A. J., and Wehner, M.: Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments	
745	and Irreversibility, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth	
	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K.,	
	Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,	
	UK, New York, NY, USA, 2013.	
	Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Matsui, N., Allan, R. J., Yin, X., Gleason, B. E., Vose, R. S., Rutledge,	
750	G., Bessemoulin, P., Brönnimann, S., Brunet, M., Crouthamel, R. I., Grant, A. N., Groisman, P. Y., Jones, P. D., Kruk, M.	
	C., Kruger, A. C., Marshall, G. J., Maugeri, M., Mok, H. Y., Nordli, Ø., Ross, T. F., Trigo, R. M., Wang, X. L., Woodruff, S.	
	D., and Worley, S. J.: The Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 1-28,	
1	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.776, 2011.	
	Dai, A.: The influence of the inter-decadal Pacific oscillation on US precipitation during 1923–2010, Clim. Dynam., 41, 633-	带格式的: 定义网格后不调整右缩进,不调整西文与中
755	<u>646, 10.1007/s00382-012-1446-5, 2013.</u>	(又之间的主格,
	Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, JF., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., Emmons, L. K., Fasullo, J.,	
	Garcia, R., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Holland, M. M., Large, W. G., Lauritzen, P. H., Lawrence, D. M., Lenaerts, J. T. M.,	
	Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W. H., Mills, M. J., Neale, R., Oleson, K. W., Otto-Bliesner, B., Phillips, A. S., Sacks, W., Tilmes,	
	S., van Kampenhout, L., Vertenstein, M., Bertini, A., Dennis, J., Deser, C., Fischer, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Kay, J. E.,	
760	Kinnison, D., Kushner, P. J., Larson, V. E., Long, M. C., Mickelson, S., Moore, J. K., Nienhouse, E., Polvani, L., Rasch, P.	
	J., and Strand, W. G.: The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2), J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12,	
	e2019MS001916, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916, 2020.	
	Efthymiadis, D., Jones, P. D., Briffa, K. R., Böhm, R., and Maugeri, M.: Influence of large-scale atmospheric circulation on	带格式的: 定义网格后不调整右缩进, 不调整西文与中
	climate variability in the Greater Alpine Region of Europe, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 112,	(又之间的王格, 小师整中文和数子之间的王格
765	https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008021, 2007.	
	Eltahir, E. A. B. and Bras, R. L.: Precipitation recycling, Rev. Geophys, 34, 367-378, https://doi.org/10.1029/96RG01927,	
	1996.	
	Fereday, D., Chadwick, R., Knight, J., and Scaife, A. A.: Atmospheric Dynamics is the Largest Source of Uncertainty in	
	Future Winter European Rainfall, J. Climate, 31, 963-977, 10.1175/jcli-d-17-0048.1, 2018.	
770	Gasser, T., Ciais, P., Boucher, O., Quilcaille, Y., Tortora, M., Bopp, L., and Hauglustaine, D.: The compact Earth system	
	model OSCAR v2.2: description and first results, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 271-319, 10.5194/gmd-10-271-2017, 2017.	
	Geman, S., Bienenstock, E., and Doursat, R.: Neural Networks and the Bias/Variance Dilemma, Neural Comput., 4, 1-58,	
	10.1162/neco.1992.4.1.1, 1992.	
	Gupta, V. and Jain, M. K.: Unravelling the teleconnections between ENSO and dry/wet conditions over India using	
775	nonlinear Granger causality, Atmos. Res., 247, 105168, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105168, 2021.	

Heinze-Deml, C., Sippel, S., Pendergrass, A. G., Lehner, F., and Meinshausen, N.: Latent Linear Adjustment Autoencoder v1.0: a novel method for estimating and emulating dynamic precipitation at high resolution, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 4977-4999, 10.5194/gmd-14-4977-2021, 2021.

Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Ginoux, P. A., Dunne, J. P., Mao, J., Schnell, J., Chen, X., He, J., John, J. G., Lin, M.,
Iin, P., Malyshev, S., Paynter, D., Shevliakova, E., and Zhao, M.: The GFDL Global Atmospheric Chemistry-Climate

Model AM4.1: Model Description and Simulation Characteristics, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12, e2019MS002032, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002032, 2020.

Hourdin, F., Rio, C., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Madeleine, J.-B., Cheruy, F., Rochetin, N., Jam, A., Musat, I., Idelkadi, A., Fairhead, L., Foujols, M.-A., Mellul, L., Traore, A.-K., Dufresne, J.-L., Boucher, O., Lefebvre, M.-P., Millour, E., Vignon, E.,

785 Jouhaud, J., Diallo, F. B., Lott, F., Gastineau, G., Caubel, A., Meurdesoif, Y., and Ghattas, J.: LMDZ6A: The Atmospheric Component of the IPSL Climate Model With Improved and Better Tuned Physics, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12, e2019MS001892, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001892, 2020.

Humphrey, V. and Gudmundsson, L.: GRACE-REC: a reconstruction of climate-driven water storage changes over the last century, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1153-1170, 10.5194/essd-11-1153-2019, 2019.

790 Huntingford, C., Yang, H., Harper, A., Cox, P. M., Gedney, N., Burke, E. J., Lowe, J. A., Hayman, G., Collins, W. J., Smith, S. M., and Comyn-Platt, E.: Flexible parameter-sparse global temperature time profiles that stabilise at 1.5 and 2.0 °C, Earth Syst. Dynam., 8, 617-626, 10.5194/esd-8-617-2017, 2017.

Huntingford, C., Booth, B. B. B., Sitch, S., Gedney, N., Lowe, J. A., Liddicoat, S. K., Mercado, L. M., Best, M. J., Weedon, G. P., Fisher, R. A., Lomas, M. R., Good, P., Zelazowski, P., Everitt, A. C., Spessa, A. C., and Jones, C. D.: IMOGEN: an

795 intermediate complexity model to evaluate terrestrial impacts of a changing climate, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 679-687, 10.5194/gmd-3-679-2010, 2010.

IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New

800 York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2013.

Jiang, Y., Cooley, D., and Wehner, M. F.: Principal Component Analysis for Extremes and Application to U.S. Precipitation.

Jones, P. W.: First- and Second-Order Conservative Remapping Schemes for Grids in Spherical Coordinates, Mon. Weather Rev., 127, 2204-2210, 10.1175/1520-0493(1999)127<2204:Fasocr>2.0.Co;2, 1999.

805 Jones, J. M., Fogt, R. L., Widmann, M., Marshall, G. J., Jones, P. D., and Visbeck, M.: Historical SAM Variability. Part I: Century-Length Seasonal Reconstructions, J. Climate, 22, 5319-5345, 10.1175/2009jcli2785.1, 2009 Kim, H. J.: Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3 Atmospheric Boundary Conditions (Experiment 1), Data Integration and

Analysis System (DIAS) [data set], https://doi.org/10.20783/DIAS.501/ (last access: 27 June 2022), 2017a.

17

带格式的: 左, 定义网格后不调整石缩进, 不调整西文 与中文之间的空格, 不调整中文和数字之间的空格 设置了格式: 英语(英国)

	Kim, J., Oh, HS., Lim, Y., and Kang, HS.: Seasonal precipitation prediction via data-adaptive principal component	
810	regression, Int. J. Climatol., 37, 75-86, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4979, 2017b.	
	Korell, L., Auge, H., Chase, J. M., Harpole, W. S., and Knight, T. M.: Responses of plant diversity to precipitation change	
1	are strongest at local spatial scales and in drylands, Nat. Commun., 12, 2489, 10.1038/s41467-021-22766-0, 2021.	
	Li, W., Zhai, P., and Cai, J.: Research on the Relationship of ENSO and the Frequency of Extreme Precipitation Events in	
	China, Adv. Clim. Change. Res., 2, 101-107, https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1248.2011.00101, 2011.	
815	Li, G., Gao, C., Lu, B., and Chen, H.: Inter-annual variability of spring precipitation over the Indo-China Peninsula and its	带格式的: 定义网格后不调整右缩进, 不调整西文与中
	asymmetric relationship with El Niño-Southern Oscillation, Clim. Dynam., 56, 2651-2665, 10.1007/s00382-020-05609-4,	又乙间的空格,个调整中又和数子乙间的空格
	<u>2021.</u>	
I	Liang-Liang, L., Jian, L., and Ru-Cong, Y.: Evaluation of CMIP6 HighResMIP models in simulating precipitation over	
1	Central Asia, Adv. Clim. Change Res., 13, 1-13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2021.09.009, 2022.	
820	Lu, B., Li, H., Wu, J., Zhang, T., Liu, J., Liu, B., Chen, Y., and Baishan, J.: Impact of El Niño and Southern Oscillation on	
	the summer precipitation over Northwest China, Atmos. Sci. Lett, 20, e928, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.928, 2019.	
	McKinnon, K. A. and Deser, C.: Internal Variability and Regional Climate Trends in an Observational Large Ensemble, J.	
	Climate, 31, 6783-6802, 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0901.1, 2018.	
	McKinnon, K. A. and Deser, C.: The Inherent Uncertainty of Precipitation Variability, Trends, and Extremes due to Internal	带格式的:定义网格后不调整右缩进,不调整西文与中
825	Variability, with Implications for Western U.S. Water Resources, J. Climate, 34, 9605-9622, 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0251.1,	又乙间的空格,个调整中又和数子乙间的空格
	<u>2021.</u>	
1	Medvigy, D. and Beaulieu, C.: Trends in Daily Solar Radiation and Precipitation Coefficients of Variation since 1984, J.	
	Climate, 25, 1330-1339, 10.1175/2011jcli4115.1, 2012.	
	Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C. B., and Wigley, T. M. L.: Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean and carbon cycle models	
830	with a simpler model, MAGICC6 - Part 1: Model description and calibration, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1417-1456,	
	10.5194/acp-11-1417-2011, 2011.	
	Michel, S., Swingedouw, D., Chavent, M., Ortega, P., Mignot, J., and Khodri, M.: Reconstructing climatic modes of	
	variability from proxy records using ClimIndRec version 1.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 841-858, 10.5194/gmd-13-841-2020,	
1	2020.	
835	Nath, S., Lejeune, Q., Beusch, L., Seneviratne, S. I., and Schleussner, CF.: MESMER-M: an Earth system model emulator	带格式的:定义网格后不调整右缩进,不调整西文与中
	for spatially resolved monthly temperature, Earth Syst. Dynam., 13, 851-877, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-851-2022,	又之间的空格,木调整中文和数字之间的空格
	<u>2022.</u>	
1	Nicholls, Z. R. J., Meinshausen, M., Lewis, J., Gieseke, R., Dommenget, D., Dorheim, K., Fan, C. S., Fuglestvedt, J. S.,	
	Gasser, T., Golüke, U., Goodwin, P., Hartin, C., Hope, A. P., Kriegler, E., Leach, N. J., Marchegiani, D., McBride, L. A.,	
840	Quilcaille, Y., Rogelj, J., Salawitch, R. J., Samset, B. H., Sandstad, M., Shiklomanov, A. N., Skeie, R. B., Smith, C. J.,	
	Smith, S., Tanaka, K., Tsutsui, J., and Xie, Z.: Reduced Complexity Model Intercomparison Project Phase 1: introduction	

and evaluation of global-mean temperature response, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 5175-5190, 10.5194/gmd-13-5175-2020, 2020.

O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.

- F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G. A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., and Sanderson, B. M.: The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 3461-3482, 10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016, 2016.
 Prein, A. F., Rasmussen, R. M., Ikeda, K., Liu, C., Clark, M. P., and Holland, G. J.: The future intensification of hourly precipitation extremes, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 48-52, 10.1038/nclimate3168, 2017.
 Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R.,
- 850 Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J. C., Kc, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Da Silva, L. A., Smith, S., Stehfest, E., Bosetti, V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogelj, J., Strefler, J., Drouet, L., Krey, V., Luderer, G., Harmsen, M., Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J. C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G., Lotze-Campen, H., Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., and Tavoni, M.: The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview, Glob.
- 855 Environ. Change, 42, 153-168, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009, 2017.
 Samset, B. H., Myhre, G., Forster, P. M., Hodnebrog, Ø., Andrews, T., Faluvegi, G., Fläschner, D., Kasoar, M., Kharin, V.,
 Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Olivié, D., Richardson, T., Shindell, D., Shine, K. P., Takemura, T., and Voulgarakis, A.:
 Fast and slow precipitation responses to individual climate forcers: A PDRMIP multimodel study, Geophys Res. Lett., 43, 2782-2791, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068064, 2016.
- 860 Shepherd, T. G.: Atmospheric circulation as a source of uncertainty in climate change projections, Nat. Geosci., 7, 703-708, 10.1038/ngco2253, 2014.

Singh, C. V.: Pattern characteristics of Indian monsoon rainfall using principal component analysis (PCA), Atmos. Res., 79, 317-326, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2005.05.006, 2006.

Song, F., Leung, L. R., Lu, J., and Dong, L.: Seasonally dependent responses of subtropical highs and tropical rainfall to
 anthropogenic warming, Nat. Clim. Change, 8, 787-792, 10.1038/s41558-018-0244-4, 2018.

Storch, H., & Zwiers, F.: Statistical Analysis in Climate Research. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CB09780511612336, 2011.

Sun, Q., Miao, C., Duan, Q., Ashouri, H., Sorooshian, S., and Hsu, K.-L.: A Review of Global Precipitation Data Sets: Data Sources, Estimation, and Intercomparisons, Rev. Geophys., 56, 79-107, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RG000574, 2018.

870 <u>Tebaldi, C. and Arblaster, J. M.: Pattern scaling: Its strengths and limitations, and an update on the latest model simulations, Clim. Change, 122, 459-471, 10.1007/s10584-013-1032-9, 2014.</u>

Tebaldi, C. and Knutti, R.: Evaluating the accuracy of climate change pattern emulation for low warming targets, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 055006, 10.1088/1748-9326/aabef2, 2018.

Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., Rasmussen, R. M., and Parsons, D. B.: The Changing Character of Precipitation, B. Am. Meteorol.
Soc., 84, 1205-1218, 10.1175/barns-84-9-1205, 2003.

带格式的: 定义网格后不调整右缩进, 不调整西文与中 文之间的空格, 不调整中文和数字之间的空格

¹⁹

	Trenberth, K. E. and Shea, D. J.: Relationships between precipitation and surface temperature, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32,		
	https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022760, 2005.		
	Tsanis, I. and Tapoglou, E.: Winter North Atlantic Oscillation impact on European precipitation and drought under climate	带格式的: 定义网格后不调整右缩进,不调	整西文与中
	change, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 135, 323-330, 10.1007/s00704-018-2379-7, 2019.	又之间的至格,不调整中又和数子之间的至何	Ϋ́Υ
880	van Oldenborgh, G. J. and Burgers, G.: Searching for decadal variations in ENSO precipitation teleconnections, Geophys.		
	Res. Lett., 32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023110, 2005.		
	Yan, Z., Wu, B., Li, T., Collins, M., Clark, R., Zhou, T., Murphy, J., and Tan, G.: Eastward shift and extension of ENSO-		
	induced tropical precipitation anomalies under global warming, Sci. Adv., 6, eaax4177, 10.1126/sciadv.aax4177, 2020.		
•	Yang, X., Yong, B., Yu, Z., and Zhang, Y.: An evaluation of CMIP5 precipitation simulations using ground observations		
885	over ten river basins in China, Hydrol. Res., 52, 676-698, 10.2166/nh.2021.151, 2021.		
	Zelazowski, P., Huntingford, C., Mercado, L. M., and Schaller, N.: Climate pattern-scaling set for an ensemble of 22 GCMs		
	- adding uncertainty to the IMOGEN version 2.0 impact system, Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 541-560, 10.5194/gmd-11-541-		
	2018, 2018.		
	Zhang, W., Furtado, K., Wu, P., Zhou, T., Chadwick, R., Marzin, C., Rostron, J., and Sexton, D.: Increasing precipitation		
890	variability on daily-to-multiyear time scales in a warmer world, Sci. Adv., 7, eabf8021, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abf8021, 2021.		
	Zhou, P., Liu, Z., and Cheng, L.: An alternative approach for quantitatively estimating climate variability over China under		
	the effects of ENSO events, Atmos. Res., 238, 104897, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104897, 2020.		
	۲		
		- 删除了: Model	[6]

... [6]

Model	Modeling Center	Variant ID	(设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
UKESM1-0-LL	Met Office Hadely Centre and National Environmental Research Council, UK	rlilp1f2	格式化表格	
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Germany	<u>rlilplfl</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
- MIROC6	Japan Agency for Marine-Farth Science and Technology Japan	rlilnlfl	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
<u>Anneo co</u>	Jupan rightor Marine Latin Beenee and Ferniology, upan	<u>IIIIpiii</u>	(设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
IPSL-CM6A-LR	Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, France	<u>rlilplfl</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
GFDL-ESM4	Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, USA	<u>rlilplfl</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
EC-Earth3	EC-Earth consortium, Europe	<u>rlilplfl</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
CanESM5	Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis Environment and Climate Change, Canada.	rllilplfl	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
CESM2	National Center for Atmospheric Research, Climate and Global Dynamics Laboratory, USA	<u>rlilplfl</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅
ACCESS-ESM1-5	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia	<u>r10i1p1f1</u>	设置了格式:	字体: 10 磅

_Table 1: List of the 9 employed CMIP6 models and the modeling groups providing them.

Indice Label or Variable Symbol	Variable	Notes		
е	ESM index	Indexes nine ESMs used (see Table 1)		
i	PCA index	$i = 1$ is dominant spatial PCA, $1 \le i \le N_{PCA} = 10$ used		
k	Spatial index	$1 \le k \le N_{grid}$, where $N_{grid} = 10,368$ grids		
m	Month index	All calculations for each month, $1 \le m \le 12$		
у	Year index	Index of years in periods of calibration or prediction		
T ^{Timeseries} (k,y)	Timeseries part of PCAs, for each location, and for temperature	Average gridded Tair of three months (month of interest plus the previous two months). Note, different timeseries calculated for each location indexed by <i>k</i> .		
$U_{e,m}^{Spatial}(k,i)$	Spatial part of PCAs for temperature	A matrix of eigenvectors of the covariance matrix.		
$T_{e,m}^{PCA}(y,i)$	Temporal principal components in PCAs	Timeseries representing the characteristics of global gridded Tair		
$P_{e,m}^{global}(y)$	Monthly global land average precipitation for calibration			
$P_{e,m}^{grid}(k,y)$	Monthly gridded (i.e. local) precipitation for calibration			

Table 2: List of indices labels and variable symbols in the calibration.

	Variable Symbol	Variable	Notes
-	$T_{e,m}^{P \in A}(\overline{y}, \iota)$	Principal components estimated by the Tair	Based on the assumption of constant spatial
	$P_{e,m}^{global}(y)$	Estimation of monthly global precipitation, GLAP	$\underline{\text{part of PCAs}}_{e,m} (\kappa, \iota)_{:}$
	$P_{e,m}^{grtd}(k,y)$	Estimation of monthly gridded (i.e. spatial) precipitation	_
000	Table 3: List of variabl	e symbols in the validation.	

Trend in GLAP	<u>SS</u>	P1-2.6	<u>SS</u>	P2-4.5	<u>SS</u>	P3-7.0	SS	P5-8.5
Unit: mm yr ⁻²	ESM	PREMU	ESM	PREMU	<u>ESM</u>	PREMU	<u>ESM</u>	PREMU
ESMs average	0.29	0.16	<u>0.58</u>	0.45	<u>0.69</u>	0.76	0.96	0.96
UKESM1-0-LL	0.57	0.30	0.67	0.53	0.88	0.87	1.16	1.16
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	0.19	0.06	0.23	0.26	0.63	0.69	0.85	0.85
MIROC6	0.08	0.09	0.54	0.41	0.62	0.74	1.09	1.09
IPSL-CM6A-LR	0.48	0.27	0.73	0.56	1.05	0.90	1.25	1.25
GFDL-ESM4	0.15	-0.06	0.41	0.06	-0.13	0.03	0.04	0.03
EC-Earth3	0.13	0.18	0.63	0.72	1.14	1.21	1.67	1.69
CanESM5	0.51	0.40	1.15	0.90	1.33	1.32	1.48	1.48
CESM2	0.33	0.12	0.69	0.47	0.41	0.81	0.82	0.80
ACCESS-ESM1-5	0.18	0.08	0.21	0.18	0.28	0.25	0.28	0.27
Table 4: Trend of GLAP from ESMs average and each ESM and its corresponding emulation by PREMU during the period 2015-								
2100 under four scenarios. The ESMs Average represents the multi-model mean precipitation predicted by gridded temperature								

905 from 9 ESMs. Note that the unit is mm year⁻²

删除了: ↔ 删除了: 3

删除了: Trend of GLAP from ESMs average and each ESM and its corresponding emulation by PREMU during the period 2015-2100 under four scenarios. The ESMs Average represents the multi-model mean precipitation predicted by gridded temperature from 9 ESMs. Note that the unit is mm year².

915 Appendix 1

Acronym	Meaning
CMIP6	The 6th phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
EBM	Energy Balance Model
ESM	Earth System Model
GLAP	Global land average precipitation
GSWP3	Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3
IMOGEN	Integrated Model Of Global Effects of climatic aNomalies (Zelazowski et al., 2018)
LCM	Lower complexity models
MAP	Mean annual precipitation
MESMER	Modular Earth System Model Emulator with spatially Resolved output (Beusch et al., 2021)
OSCAR	A compact Earth system model (See Gasser et al., 2017)
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PREMU	A computationally efficient precipitation emulator (This study).
SSP	Shared Socioeconomic Pathway
Tair	Surface air temperature

Table A1: Table of acronyms.





Figure 1: Illustration of the precipitation emulator driven by the gridded temperature. Step 1 is to extract the features of gridded temperature through principal component analysis. In step 2, we construct the parameters of the emulator by regression of GLAP/gridded precipitation and principal components of gridded temperature. Then we use the emulator to predict the precipitation for validation period/scenario in Step 3. Finally, we calibrate the precipitation and verify the results with precipitation from GSWP3 and ESMs. Here, T^{pCA}_{e,m}(y, i) is the principal components extracted by PCA. P^{global}_{e,m}(y) is the GLAP and P^{grid}_{e,m}(k, y) is the precipitation a given grid k. α_{e,m}(i)/β_{e,m}(k, i) represents the coefficients of each principal components of temperature to global/gridded precipitation and α^{intercept}_{e,m}(i) /β^{intercept}_{e,m}(k, i) is the intercept term. And U^{Spatial}_{e,m}(k, i) is the PCA coefficients. Note that, the gridded temperature from GSWP3 is area-weighted averaged to 2.5° x 2.5° before PCA.





删除了:

Figure 2: The corresponding results from the PCA of the gridded temperature in January between 1901 and 1950 from GSWP3: a) The cumulative variance explanation rate of the top ten principal components. b-k) Coefficients of the top ten principal components (corresponding to $U_{e,m}^{Spatial}$ (: , *i*), *i* = 1 - 10).

935



Figure 3: The emulation on historical precipitation: a) GLAP of the historical observation precipitation (GSWP3), the prediction precipitation estimated by the simple linear method (Simple linear) and our emulator (PREMU) in 1901–2016. b) The spatial pattern of error of MAP in 1987-2016 between Simple linear and GSWP3. c) The spatial pattern of error of the MAP in 1987-2016 between our emulator and GSWP3. The global land average does not include Antarctica because of no emulation for Antarctica and the arid areas where the MAP from GSWP3 is less than 200 mm year-¹ in 1980-2016 are masked.



Figure 4: Spatial pattern of MAP and change in MAP: a) The MAP in 1987-2016 from GSWP3. b) The spatial pattern of change in MAP between the period of 1951-1980 and 1987-2016 from GSWP3. c-d). Same as a-b) but for the emulation from Simple linear. e-f). Same as a-b) but for the emulation from PREMU.





Figure 5: The emulation on future precipitation: a) multi-model mean GLAP in 9 ESMs from CMIP6 (CMIP (9)), and the precipitation prediction by our emulator (PREMU) in 2015-2100 under the SSP5-8.5 scenario. The shaded area represents the mean±std. b) The spatial pattern of error in MAP during 2071-2100 between multi-model mean and our emulator. c-d) SSP1-2.6; e-f) SSP2-4.5; g-h) SSP3-7.0.



Figure 6: The anomaly of GLAP from each ESM under the SSP1-2.6 scenario: a) UKESM1-0-LL; b) MPI-ESM1-2-LR; c) MIROC6; d) IPSL-CM6A-LR; e) GFDL-ESM4; f) EC-Earth3; g) CanESM5; h) CESM2; i) ACCESS-ESM1-5.



分页符

under SSP5-8.5 scenario. c-d) SSP1-2.6; e-f) SSP2-4.5; g-h) SSP3-7.0.

(删除了: emulation		
带格式的:缩进:左侧:	0 厘米,首行缩进:	0 字符
删除了: our		
删除了:		

Chan

2.6 scenario: a) UKESM1-0-LL; b) MPI-ESM1-2-LR; c) MIROC6; d) IPSL-CM6A-LR; e) GFDL-ESM4; f) EC-Earth3; g) 990 CanESM5; h) CESM2; i) ACCESS-ESM1-5.

 995
 Figure 10: The spatial pattern of change in MAP between the period of 2015/2044, and 2071-2100 from a) UKESM1-0-LL and b) emulation from PREMU under SSP1-2.6 scenario. c-d) MPI-ESM1-2-LR; e-f) MIROC6; g-h) IPSL-CM6A-LR; i-j) GFDL-ESM4; k-l) EC-Earth3; m-n) CanESM5; o-p) CESM2; q-r) ACCESS-ESM1-5.

000

(删除、	r :	6	
\sim	删除、	7 :	4)
)(删除、	7 :	5	
X	删除、	了:	our	

Figure 11: a) The seasonal cycle of 30° N-90° N latitude land average precipitation in 1987-2016 from GSWP3, simple linear method and PREMU; b) Eq.-30° N; c) 30° S-Eq.; d) 90° S-30°S.

第 3 页: [1] 批注 [14] liuga	ang 2022/9/23 11:21:00	
1. <u>Inter-annual variability of sprii</u> asymmetric relationship with I	ng precipitation over the Indo-China Peninsula and its El Niño-Southern Oscillation SpringerLink	
2. North Atlantic Oscillation imp	act on European precipitation and drought under climate	
change SpringerLink		
3. <u>The influence of the inter-deca</u>	dal Pacific oscillation on US precipitation during 1923–2010	
<u>SpringerLink</u>		
		_
第 5 页: [2] 删除了 liuga	ang 2022/8/24 15:53:00	
☆ 궃 _ 페// ↗		-
第6页: [3] 删除∫ liuga	ang 2022/9/16 19:19:00	
笛。百. [4] 删除了——1:	9099/0/16 10:01:00	
わり火: [4] 胴体 J Iluga	ing 2022/9/16 19:21:00	
第6页:[5]删除了 liuga	ang 2022/9/16 19:23:00	
第 20 页: [6] 删除了 liuga	ang 2022/8/24 11:29:00	