## Development and evaluation of an advanced National Air Quality Forecast Capability using the NOAA Global Forecast System version 16

Patrick C. Campbell, Youhua Tang, Pius Lee, Barry Baker, Daniel Tong, Rick Saylor, Ariel Stein, Jianping Huang, Ho-Chun Huang, Edward Strobach, Jeff McQueen, Li Pan, Ivanka Stajner, Jamese Sims, Jose Tirado-Delgado, and Youngsun Jung, Fanglin Yang, Tanya L. Spero, and Robert C. Gilliam

Supporting Information



**Figure S1.** Left: Spatial comparison of October average MODIS-IGBP LAI in 2010 and VIIRS LAI in 2020 (top) and their absolute and relative differences (bottom). Right: Time series comparisons of October average MODIS-IGBP LAI in 2010 and VIIRS LAI 8-day product in 2020 for the northeast, southeast, west, and northwest U.S. regions defined by the U.S. EPA geographic regions.



**Figure S2.** Same as in Figure S1, but for VEG fraction comparisons between GFSv16 and VIIRS average 8-day product.





**Figure S3.** Spatial comparison plots of average October 2020 NACC-CMAQ simulation tests with an LAI=4 (left) and relative difference plots (right) with inclusion of VIIRS rapid-refresh (8-day product) of LAI and GVF for isoprene emissions (row 1), terpene emissions (row 2), ozone dry deposition (row 3), fine particulate (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) ammonium dry deposition (row 4), ozone concentration (row 5), and total PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration.



**Figure S4.** Average September 2020 regional diurnal patterns of PBLH (m) for ACM2/NAQFC (blue) and GFSv16 (red) over the CONUS. The regions are based on <u>https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/regional-and-geographic-offices</u>.



Figure S5. Same as Figure S4, but for January 2021.



**Figure S6**. Average day 1 (0-24 hr) forecasted WDIR10 MB (degrees) and RMSE (degrees) for NMMB and GFSv16 during a)-d) September 2020 and e)-h) January 2021 compared to METAR-MADIS observations.











**Figure S11.** September 2020 and January 2021 spatial average plots for NMMB, and the absolute differences for GFSv16 - NMMB for the top layer soil temperature (SOIT1) and soil moisture (SOIM1).



**Figure S12a.** September 2020 average diurnal TEMP2 statistics (red = standard deviation; blue = mean absolute error; green = bias) for day 1, 2, and 3 (GFSv16) forecasts in the east (< 100° W) and west CONUS (> 100° W).



Figure S12b. Same as in Figure S12a, but for January 2021.



**Figure S13a.** September 2020 average diurnal Q2 statistics (red = standard deviation; blue = mean absolute error; green = bias) for day 1, 2, and 3 (GFSv16) forecasts in the east (<  $100^{\circ}$  W) and west CONUS (>  $100^{\circ}$  W).



Figure S13b. Same as in Figure S13a, but for January 2021.



**Figure S14a.** September 2020 average diurnal WSPD10 statistics (red = standard deviation; blue = mean absolute error; green = bias) for day 1, 2, and 3 (GFSv16) forecasts in the east (< 100° W) and west CONUS (> 100° W).



Figure S14b. Same as in Figure S14a, but for January 2021.

























**Figure S21.** September 2020 spatial mean shortwave radiation bias, mean absolute error (MAE), root mean square error (RMSE), and standard deviation (SDEV) for early afternoon (left) and late afternoon (right) hours for NMMB (top) and GFSv16 (bottom) against the available eight BSRN-SURFRAD sites in CONUS. The SURFRAD site locations are labeled in the top left panel.



**Figure S22.** September 2020 diurnal mean shortwave radiation (top), evaluation metrics (middle), and variability (bottom) for the NMMB (solid) and GFSv16 (dashed) compared to the SURFRAD TBL and BON sites. Site locations are shown in Figure S21.



**Figure S23.** Average September 2020 NOx, total VOC, hourly O3, and MDA8 O3 and January 2021 PM2.5\_TOT, PM2.5\_SO4, PM2.5\_NO3, and PM2.5\_NH4 spatial plots for the operational NAQFC, and the relative (%) differences for NACC-CMAQ - NAQC.



**Figure S24.** NAQFC simulated daytime (estimated using 17 - 23 UTC hours only) average O<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>Y</sub> indicator ratio for September 2020, and the absolute and relative (5) change for NACC-CMAQ – NAQFC.



**Figure S25.** Average January 2021 PM25\_EC and PM25\_OC spatial plots for operational NAQFC and the absolute and relative (%) differences for NACC-CMAQ-NAQFC.



Day 1 Mean Bias (Model-AirNow) Plots and Domain-Wide Statistics

**Figure S26.** Day 1 forecast mean bias plots (model-AirNow) for the current operational NAQFC (left) and NACC-CMAQ (right) MDA8  $O_3$  (top) and 24-hr average PM<sub>2.5</sub> (bottom) in a)-b) September 2020 and c)-d) January 2021. Average domain-wide statistics are shown in the tables on the bottom left of each panel.



Day 2 Mean Bias (Model-AirNow) Plots and Domain-Wide Statistics

Figure S27. Same as in Figure S26, but for Day 2 forecasted hourly O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>



Day 2 Mean Bias (Model-AirNow) Plots and Domain-Wide Statistics

Figure S28. Same as in Figure S27, but for MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> and 24-hr average PM<sub>2.5</sub>.



## Day 3 Mean Bias (Model-AirNow) Plots and Domain-Wide Statistics

Figure S29. Same as in Figure S27, but for Day 3 forecasts (NACC-CMAQ only).



## Day 3 Mean Bias (Model-AirNow) Plots and Domain-Wide Statistics

Figure S30. Same as in Figure S29, but for MDA8 O<sub>3</sub> and 24-hr average PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

**Table S1a.** December 2020 average statistical performance of hourly ozone for the base simulation without vegetation frost switch (BASE), with frost switch and BELD3 (FROST3), and with frost switch and BELD5 (FROST5). The regions are defined by the U.S. EPA geographic regions (https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/regional-and-geographic-offices).

Regions	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	<b>R</b> 8	R9	R10		
			Nor	malized	Mean E	Bias (%	)					
BASE	+11.3	+14.2	+11.5	+14.6	+23.5	+4.3	+16.3	+11.1	+5.1	-6.6		
FROST3	+10.7	+13.4	+10.5	+14.3	+22.2	+3.8	+14.9	+9.9	+5.0	-6.8		
FROST5	+9.8	+11.8	+8.4	+13.2	+19.3	+1.6	+12.2	+8.0	+2.3	-7.5		
Normalized Mean Error (%)												
BASE	25.6	27.5	26.9	28.9	32.8	28.8	34.9	29.2	37.1	31.3		
FROST3	25.4	27.1	26.5	28.8	31.9	28.6	34.0	28.8	37.1	31.3		
FROST5	25.1	26.7	26.3	28.4	31.0	28.7	33.9	28.2	36.8	31.3		
				Cor	relation	Ì						
BASE	0.65	0.70	0.64	0.69	0.66	0.71	0.64	0.56	0.64	0.73		
FROST3	0.66	0.71	0.64	0.69	0.66	0.71	0.65	0.57	0.64	0.73		
FROST5	0.66	0.71	0.64	0.69	0.65	0.70	0.63	0.57	0.64	0.73		
			I	ndex of	<sup>;</sup> Agreer	nent						
BASE	0.78	0.81	0.78	0.79	0.75	0.83	0.77	0.74	0.80	0.85		
FROST3	0.78	0.82	0.78	0.79	0.76	0.83	0.78	0.74	0.80	0.85		
FROST5	0.79	0.82	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.83	0.78	0.75	0.80	0.85		

Table S1b. Same as in Table S1a, but for hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Regions	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10		
			Nor	malized	Mean	Bias (%	)	_	-	_		
BASE	+30.0	+55.3	+28.9	+7.9	+21.1	-1.1	+28.5	-17.4	-14.9	-6.2		
FROST3	+27.4	+53.3	+26.9	+7.0	+19.1	-1.9	+26.7	-19.1	-15.3	-7.0		
FROST5	+24.4	+50.2	+23.1	+0.8	+15.6	-9.0	+21.6	-27.4	-24.3	-14.1		
Normalized Mean Error (%)												
BASE	67.9	88.3	60.7	50.9	53.1	61.2	59.5	66.1	63.7	81.5		
FROST3	66.6	86.9	59.3	50.5	52.1	60.8	58.5	65.7	63.6	81.1		
FROST5	65.1	85.0	57.7	48.2	50.6	58.6	56.4	64.6	61.7	79.9		
				Cor	relatior	1 I						
BASE	0.61	0.53	0.63	0.49	0.62	0.32	0.57	0.34	0.39	0.30		
FROST3	0.61	0.53	0.63	0.49	0.63	0.32	0.57	0.34	0.39	0.30		
FROST5	0.61	0.52	0.62	0.49	0.62	0.32	0.56	0.34	0.40	0.28		
			I	ndex of	f Agreei	ment						
BASE	0.72	0.54	0.72	0.68	0.75	0.56	0.71	0.57	0.61	0.54		
FROST3	0.73	0.55	0.72	0.68	0.76	0.56	0.71	0.57	0.61	0.54		
FROST5	0.73	0.55	0.72	0.68	0.76	0.55	0.71	0.56	0.60	0.53		

**Table S2.** Operational NAQFC (NMMB-CMAQv5.0.2) model components and configurations (Adapted from Lee et al., 2017). References are found in the main text.

Model Attribute	Configuration	Reference
Domain	Contiguous U.S.; Center = 33°N;97°W	n/a
Horizontal Resolution	12 km	n/a
Vertical Resolution	35 Layers from near-surface to about 14 km (~ 60 hPa)	n/a
Meteorological ICs and BCs	NMMB	Black, 1994; Janjic and Gall, 2012
Chemical ICs and BCs	2006 GEOS-Chem Simulation & NGAC Dust Ony CLBCs	http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/
Anthropogenic Emissions	NFI2014v2	FPA (2014)
Biogenic Emissions	Inline BEISv3 1 4 & BEI D3	Vukovich and Pierce (2002): Schwede et al. (2005)
Wildfire Emissions (Plume Bice	NOAA (NESDIS Hazard Manning	Ruminski at al. 2008: Schroadar at al. 2008:
	System-Bluesky Methodology/	Ruminski et di., 2008, Schröeder et di., 2008, Ruminski and Kondragunta, 2006; O'Neill et al. (2009); Pan et al. (2020)
	Inline Briggs	Briggs (1965)
Microphysics	Ferrier-Aligo	Aligo et al. (2014)
PBL Physics Scheme	Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (MYJ) ACM2 (CMAQ recalculated)	Janjic et al. (2001) Pleim (2007a;2007b)
Shallow/Deep Cumulus Parameterization	Betts-Miller-Janjic	Janjic (2000)
Shortwave and Longwave Radiation	RRTMg	Mlawer et al. (1997); Clough et al. (2005); Iacono et al. (2008)
Land Surface Model	Noah Land Surface Model	Chen and Dudhia (2001), Ek et al. (2003),Tewari et al. (2004)
Surface Layer	Monin-Obukhov	Monin-Obukhov (1954); Grell et al. (1994); Jimenez et al. (2012)
Gas-phase Chemistry	CB05	Yarwood et al., 2005
Aqueous-phase Chemistry	CMAQ AQCHem Updates	Martin and Good (1991); Alexander et al. (2009); Sarwar et al. (2011)
Aerosol Module/Size	AERO4	Binkowski and Roselle (2003)
Other Model Attributes	-In-line Photolysis -Offline FENGSHA Wind-Blown Dust Emissions -In-line Sea-salt Emissions	Binkowski et al. (2007) Fu et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2016 Kelley et al. (2010)

TEMP2: September 2020-East U.S. TEMP2: September 2020-West U.S. Day 1 MB (K) \*NMB (%) RMSE (K) R Day 1 MB (K) \*NMB (%) RMSE (K) R NMMB NMMB 0.33 0.69 1.94 0.96 0.03 0.04 3.1 0.93 GFSv16 GFSv16 0.1 0.2 1.92 0.96 0.93 1.46 3.34 0.92 \*NMB (%) RMSE (K) \*NMB (%) RMSE (K) Day 2 MB (K) R Day 2 MB (K) R NMMB NMMB 0.24 0.5 2.2 0.95 0.04 0.06 3.39 0.91 GFSv16 GFSv16 0.24 0.48 0.95 1.58 2.15 1.08 3.5 0.92 NMB (%) RMSE (K) MB (K) NMB (%) RMSE (K) Day 3 MB (K) R Day 3 R NMMB NMMB n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a GFSv16 GFSv16 2.34 0.94 1.15 1.68 0.35 0.71 3.6 0.92 Q2: September 2020-East U.S. Q2: September 2020-West U.S. MB (g/kg) \*NMB (%) RMSE (g/kg) R MB (g/kg) \*NMB (%) RMSE (g/kg) R Day 1 Day 1 NMMB NMMB -0.2 -0.68 1.25 0.96 -0.07 -0.26 1.27 0.9 GFSv16 GFSv16 -4.85 1.97 0.95 -0.89 1.64 -1.35 -3.19 0.88 Day 2 \*NMB (%) RMSE R MB (g/kg) \*NMB (%) RMSE (g/kg) R MB Day 2 NMMB NMMB 1.46 1.47 -0.33 -1.12 0.95 -0.17 -0.61 0.86 GFSv16 GFSv16 -1.33 -4.79 2.05 0.94 -0.94 -3.34 1.72 0.86 Day 3 MB (g/kg) \*NMB (%) RMSE (g/kg) R Day 3 MB (g/kg) \*NMB (%) RMSE (g/kg) R NMMB NMMB n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a GFSv16 GFSv16 -4.34 0.93 -0.94 -3.36 -1.21 2.09 1.76 0.86 WSPD10: September 2020-East U.S. WSPD10: September 2020-West U.S. Day 1 MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R Day 1 MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R NMMB NMMB 0.7 1.6 0.7 -1.33 1.88 0.36 -0.47 0.69 GFSv16 GFSv16 0.69 -0.59 1.69 -0.21 0.69 0.52 1 1.96 MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R Day 2 Day 2 MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R NMMB NMMB 0.36 0.69 1.71 0.65 -0.46 -1.3 1.98 0.66 GFSv16 GFSv16 0.96 1.79 0.65 -0.66 2.05 0.67 0.49 -0.23 Day 3 MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R MB (m/s) \*NMB (%) RMSE (m/s) R Day 3 NMMB NMMB n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a GFSv16 GFSv16 0.46 0.9 0.62 -0.26 -0.74 2.15 1.87 0.63 \* NMB stats are normalized by observation range.

**Table S3.** September 2020 average statistical summary of TEMP2, Q2, and WSPD10 for NMMB and GFSv16 against the MADIS-METAR network for day 1, 2, and 3 (GFSv16) forecasts in the east ( $< 100^{\circ}$  W) and west CONUS ( $> 100^{\circ}$  W).

	TEMP2:	January 20	21-East U.S.			TEMP2: January 2021-West U.S.					
Day 1	MB (K)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R	Day 1	MB (K)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R		
		N	ММВ				NI	ИМВ			
	-0.03	-0.04	2.0	8 0.9	7	-0.68	-0.95	3.05	0.93		
		GI	-Sv16				GF	Sv16			
	-0.19	-0.27	2.2	.7 0.9	7	-0.3	-0.41	3.33	0.92		
Day 2	MB (K)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R	Day 2	MB (K)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R		
		N	ММВ				N	MMB			
	-0.16	-0.23	2.4	8 0.9	6	-0.84	-1.19	3.37	0.92		
		GI	-Sv16				GF	Sv16			
	-0.04	-0.06	2.4	4 0.9	6	-0.27	-0.36	3.45	0.91		
Day 3	MB (K)	NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R	Day 3	MB (K)	NMB (%)	RMSE (K)	R		
		N	ММВ				NI	ИМВ			
	n/a	n/a	n/	a n,	а	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
		G	-Sv16	-			GF	Sv16			
	0.14	0.19	2.	6 0.9	5	-0.28	-0.39	3.62	0.91		
	Q2: Ja	nuary 2021	-East U.S.			Q2: Jar	uary 2021-	West U.S.			
Day 1	MB (g/kg)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (g/kg	) R	Day 1	MB (g/kg)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (g/kg)	R		
		N	ММВ	-			NI	ИМВ			
	0.1	0.51	0.5	9 0.9	7	0.14	0.87	0.67	0.92		
		GI	-Sv16	-			GF	Sv16			
	-0.05	-0.28	0.6	2 0.9	7	-0.16	-0.98	0.69	0.91		
Day 2	MB	*NMB (%)	RMSE	R	Day 2	MB (g/kg)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (g/kg)	R		
		N	ММВ				NI	ИМВ	1		
	0.06	0.3	0.6	8 0.9	6	0.11	0.71	0.73	0.89		
		GI	-Sv16				GF	Sv16			
	0.01	0.05	0.7	1 0.9	6	-0.16	-1.03	0.73	0.9		
Day 3	MB (g/kg)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (g/kg	) R	Day 3	MB (g/kg)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (g/kg)	R		
		N	ММВ				N/	ИМВ			
	n/a	n/a	n/	a n,	а	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
		Gi	-Sv16	_	_		GF	-Sv16			
	0.09	0.48	0.8	2 0.9	5	-0.16	-1	0.78	0.88		
-	WSPD10:	January 2	021-East U.S			WSPD10:	January 20	21-West U.S.	, 		
Day 1	MB (m/s)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (m/s	R	Day 1	MB (m/s)	*NMB (%)	RMSE (m/s)	R		
		N	ИМВ		_		N/	имв			
	0.11	0.18	1.6	01 0	/	-0.56	-1.14	2.23	0.72		
		GI	-5v16		_		GF	-SV16			
	0.13	0.22	1.6	6 0	/	-0.68	-1.38	2.33	0.72		
Day 2	MB (m/s)	*NIVIB (%)	RIVISE (m/s	R	Day 2	MB (m/s)	*NMB (%)	RIVISE (m/s)	К		
	0.46	N.			_	0.52	N/		0.00		
	0.16	0.27	1.7	2 0.0	/	-0.53	-1.08	2.34	0.68		
	0.14	GI 0.22	-5V16		_	0.74	GF	-SV16	0.00		
Day 2	0.14	0.23	1./	5 0.6	/   Day 2	-0.71	-1.44	2.43	0.69		
Day 3	IVIB (m/s)	*NIVIB (%)	RIVISE (m/s	К	Day 3	IVIB (m/s)	*NIVIB (%)	RIVISE (m/s)	К		
		N	IVIIVIB				NI 				
	n/a	n/a	n/	a n,	d	n/a	i n/a	n/a	n/a		
	0.45	G	-2010		-	0.74		010	0.05		
* NIAD	U.15	U.24	1.8		4	-0.74	-1.48	2.59	0.65		
	ials are norr	nanzeu Dy	UNSELVALIO	i i alige.	1						

**Table S4.** Same as in Table S3, but for January 2021.

**Table S5.** Average September 2020 hourly  $O_3$  evaluation of the operational NAQFC and NACC-CMAQ Day 2 forecasts against the AirNow network in different CONUS regions (based on https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/regional-and-geographic-offices). Statistical benchmark values based on Emery et al. (2017) are also shown for comparison. Following Emery et al., a >40 ppb (i.e., daytime) cutoff for hourly  $O_3$  is applied for the mean observations, mean models, mean bias, and the calculated values of NMB and NME, but not for the correlation value (r) or index of agreement (IOA). Total # of obs-model pairs are based on all values (i.e., no cutoff). **Bold** indicates statistical values outside of the Emery et al. criteria. Blue (red) shading indicates improved (degraded) NACC-CMAO performance.

Day 2	Total	Mean	Mean	Mean	NMB	NME	Corr	IOA			
Forecasts	# of	Obs	Mod	Bias	(%)	(%)	(r)				
	Pairs	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)							
Benchmark	-	-	-	-	Goal:	Goal:	Goal:	-			
Emery et al.					<±5%	<15%	>0.75				
(2017)					Criteria:	Criteria:	Criteria:				
					<±15%	<25%	>0.50				
Region 1 (Northeast)											
NAQFC	35975	46.85	42.11	-4.74	-10.12	16.69	0.59	0.70			
NACC-CMAQ			43.14	-3.71	-7.92	15.45	0.70	0.81			
			Regio	on 2 (NY-N	NJ)						
NAQFC	22920	46.63	42.37	-4.26	-9.14	17.12	0.56	0.71			
NACC-CMAQ			44.50	-2.14	-4.58	15.35	0.71	0.81			
			<b>Region</b> 3	3 (Mid-Atl	antic)						
NAQFC	88924	46.62	44.01	-2.60	-5.59	12.89	0.64	0.72			
NACC-CMAQ			45.83	-0.79	-1.69	13.88	0.74	0.82			
			Region	n 4 (Southe	east)						
NAQFC	105832	44.52	45.62	1.10	2.46	13.53	0.61	0.65			
NACC-CMAQ			47.50	2.98	6.68	15.68	0.72	0.75			
		F	Region 5	(Upper M	idwest)						
NAQFC	109589	46.57	43.26	-3.31	-7.10	13.86	0.67	0.77			
NACC-CMAQ			46.57	0.00	0.01	11.29	0.76	0.83			
			Regi	on 6 (Sout	h)						
NAQFC	83955	48.16	46.98	-1.18	-2.44	13.77	0.67	0.76			
NACC-CMAQ			48.21	0.05	0.11	13.37	0.74	0.81			
		]	Region 7	(Central ]	Plains)						
NAQFC	27120	45.10	44.63	-0.47	-1.05	10.64	0.77	0.83			
NACC-CMAQ			47.40	2.31	5.11	9.74	0.81	0.85			
		R	Region 8	(Northern	Plains)						
NAQFC	51728	48.97	44.52	-4.45	-9.09	14.43	0.69	0.81			
NACC-CMAQ			45.03	-3.93	-8.03	14.13	0.72	0.84			
			Reg	ion 9 (Wes	t)						
NAQFC	124039	55.59	49.48	-6.11	-11.00	19.47	0.68	0.78			
NACC-CMAQ			46.73	-8.87	-15.95	21.82	0.71	0.83			
			Region	10 (North	west)						
NAQFC	14128	48.31	39.21	-9.10	-18.83	22.03	0.60	0.71			

NACC-CMAQ	41.46	-6.84	-14.17	19.86	0.66	0.81

**Table S6.** Same as in Table S5, but for MDA8 O<sub>3</sub>. Note: As discussed in Emery et al. (2017), there are no cutoff values applied for MDA8 O<sub>3</sub>.

Day 2	Total	Maan	Moon	Maan	NMR	NMF	Corr	IOA			
Earecasts	# of	Obs	Mod	Rias	(%)	(%)	$(\mathbf{r})$				
1 01 ccasts	Pairs	(nnh)	(nnh)	(nnh)	(/0)	( / 0 )	(1)				
Bonchmark	-		(ppo)		Goal	Goal	Goal				
Emory of al	-	-	-	-	$\leq \pm 5\%$	< 15%	>0.75	-			
(2017)					Criteria:	<1370 Criteria:	Criteria				
(2017)					$<\pm 15\%$	<25%	>0.50				
<±15%   <25%   >0.50 Region 1 (Northeast)											
NAOFC	1680	33.22	38.12	4.89	14.73	21.68	0.62	0.71			
NACC-CMAO			38.50	5.28	15.90	20.65	0.73	0.76			
	1	1	Reg	ion 2 (NY-N	NJ)						
NAQFC	1160	33.13	37.37	4.24	12.81	19.95	0.66	0.75			
NACC-CMAO	-		39.03	5.90	17.81	23.09	0.70	0.75			
			Region	3 (Mid-Atl	antic)	1		1			
NAQFC	4250	34.05	39.43	5.38	15.81	20.52	0.72	0.76			
NACC-CMAQ	-		41.25	7.19	21.13	23.72	0.76	0.76			
			Regio	on 4 (South	east)		·				
NAQFC	5077	31.02	39.94	8.92	28.75	30.76	0.65	0.65			
NACC-CMAQ	-		40.90	9.88	31.84	33.22	0.71	0.66			
Region 5 (Upper Midwest)											
NAQFC	5210	34.34	37.68	3.34	9.73	18.24	0.74	0.82			
NACC-CMAQ			40.36	6.01	17.51	20.50	0.80	0.81			
			Reg	gion 6 (Sout	th)						
NAQFC	3900	35.84	42.23	6.39	17.83	23.60	0.72	0.77			
NACC-CMAQ			43.28	7.43	20.74	24.33	0.77	0.78			
			Region	7 (Central ]	Plains)						
NAQFC	1255	33.69	37.50	3.81	11.30	16.54	0.81	0.85			
NACC-CMAQ			40.00	6.31	18.72	20.50	0.84	0.83			
			Region 8	8 (Northern	Plains)						
NAQFC	2378	44.12	43.56	-0.56	-1.27	13.23	0.73	0.84			
NACC-CMAQ			44.91	0.79	1.79	11.84	0.79	0.88			
			Re	gion 9 (Wes	st)						
NAQFC	5754	51.18	50.73	-0.45	-0.88	18.85	0.67	0.79			
NACC-CMAQ			48.60	-2.59	-5.05	18.84	0.68	0.79			
			Regio	n 10 (North	west)						
NAQFC	695	33.14	35.62	2.49	7.51	25.12	0.61	0.70			
NACC-CMAQ			36.71	3.58	10.80	24.95	0.59	0.74			

**Table S7.** Same as in Table S5, but for 24-hr average  $PM_{2.5}$ . Note: As discussed in Emery et al. (2017), there are no cutoff values applied for 24-hr average  $PM_{2.5}$ .

Day 2	Total	Mean	Mean	Mean	NMB	NME	Corr	ΙΟΑ			
Forecasts	# 01 Pairs	Obs (nnh)	Mod (nnh)	Bias (nnh)	(%)	(%)	(r)				
Benchmark		(ppb) -	(ppb) -	- -	Goal:	Goal:	Goal:	_			
Emery et al.					<±10%	<35%	>0.70				
(2017)					Criteria:	Criteria:	Criteria:				
					<±30%	<50%	>0.40				
Region 1 (Northeast)											
NAQFC	1261	7.43	8.34	0.91	12.25	41.43	0.76	0.85			
NACC-CMAQ			9.36	1.93	25.98	46.93	0.73	0.82			
			Reg	ion 2 (NY-N	NJ)			1			
NAQFC	598	8.54	14.37	5.83	68.29	79.48	0.73	0.60			
NACC-CMAQ			10.95	2.41	28.21	47.05	0.77	0.74			
	1	1	Region	3 (Mid-Atl	lantic)	1	1	1			
NAQFC	1897	9.16	11.12	1.96	21.39	38.03	0.78	0.85			
NACC-CMAQ			10.17	1.01	10.99	32.62	0.83	0.89			
			Regio	on 4 (South	east)		1				
NAQFC	3621	8.45	9.33	0.89	10.53	40.10	0.39	0.61			
NACC-CMAQ			7.92	-0.53	-6.23	37.61	0.47	0.66			
Region 5 (Upper Midwest)											
NAQFC	3270	9.61	8.67	-0.94	-9.74	39.45	0.49	0.70			
NACC-CMAQ			9.41	-0.20	-2.05	32.51	0.68	0.81			
		1	Reg	gion 6 (Sout	th)	1	1	1			
NAQFC	2101	8.39	7.71	-0.68	-8.12	46.83	0.26	0.55			
NACC-CMAQ			6.27	-2.12	-25.27	44.98	0.33	0.57			
			Region	7 (Central	Plains)						
NAQFC	926	8.67	8.78	0.11	1.29	45.72	0.30	0.57			
NACC-CMAQ			8.86	0.19	2.22	35.69	0.61	0.77			
			Region 8	8 (Northern	Plains)	<b>60 -0</b>					
NAQFC	1790	7.66	3.98	-3.68	-48.01	62.79	0.29	0.52			
NACC-CMAQ			5.11	-2.55	-33.24	55.73	0.43	0.64			
NAODC	4110	10.00	Re	gion 9 (Wes	st)	40.56	0.50	0.70			
NAQFC	4118	10.09	6.77	-3.32	-32.94	48.56	0.59	0.72			
NACC-CMAQ			1/.81	-2.28	-22.62	52.08	0.54	0.72			
	2000	7.02	Kegio	n IU (North	west)	<b>75</b> 40	0.10	0.47			
NAQFC	3922	7.93	6.08	-1.85	-23.31	75.40	0.19	0.47			
NACC-CMAQ			6.02	-1.91	-24.10	70.44	0.23	0.50			

**Table S8.** Same as in Table S5, but for the Day 3 forecast hourly O<sub>3</sub>.

Day 3	Total	Moon	Moon	Moon		NMF	Corr	IOA				
Day J Forocosts	10tai # of	Obs	Mod	Rias	(0/2)	(0/2)	$(\mathbf{r})$	IOA				
I'UI CLASIS	# UI Dairs	(nnh)	(nnh)	Dias (nnh)	(70)	(70)	(1)					
Danahmanlı	1 411 5	(hhn)	(hhn)	(ppp)	Casle	Caal	Casle					
Benchmark	-	-	-	-	Goal:			-				
Emery et al.					<±5%	<15%	>0./5					
(2017)					Criteria:	Criteria:	Criteria:					
				1.01.01	< <u>15%</u>	<25%	>0.50					
Region 1 (Northeast)												
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	35903	46.72	43.66	-3.06	-6.55	15.97	0.68	0.79				
Region 2 (NY-NJ)												
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	23116	46.60	44.53	-2.07	-4.45	14.64	0.71	0.81				
			Region	3 (Mid-Atla	antic)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	88703	46.62	45.74	-0.89	-1.90	13.94	0.72	0.81				
Region 4 (Southeast)												
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	105520	44.68	47.21	2.53	5.67	15.69	0.70	0.75				
Region 5 (Upper Midwest)												
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	108852	46.62	46.34	-0.28	-0.60	11.48	0.74	0.82				
			Reg	ion 6 (Sout	h)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	83883	48.18	48.30	0.13	0.26	13.50	0.74	0.81				
			Region 7	/ (Central I	Plains)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	27070	45.11	46.98	1.87	4.15	11.04	0.79	0.84				
		l	Region 8	(Northern	Plains)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	51645	48.99	44.89	-4.10	-8.36	14.04	0.71	0.84				
			Reg	ion 9 (Wes	t)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	123816	55.68	46.60	-9.09	-16.32	22.38	0.71	0.83				
			Region	10 (Northy	west)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	14065	48.41	41.64	-6.77	-13.99	19.59	0.65	0.80				

**Table S9.** Same as in Table S5, but for Day 3 forecast MDA8 O<sub>3</sub>. Note: As discussed in Emery et al. (2017), there are no cutoff values applied for MDA8 O<sub>3</sub>.

Day 3	Total	Mean	Mean	Mean	NMB	NME	Corr	IOA			
Forecasts	# of	Obs	Mod	Bias	(%)	(%)	(r)				
	Pairs	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)							
Benchmark	-	-	-	-	Goal:	Goal:	Goal:	-			
Emery et al.					<±5%	<15%	>0.75				
(2017)					Criteria:	Criteria:	Criteria:				
					<±15%	<25%	>0.50				
Region 1 (Northeast)											
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	1680	33.40	39.21	5.80	17.37	22.09	0.68	0.73			
			Reg	ion 2 (NY-N	NJ)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	1161	33.11	38.83	5.72	17.26	21.61	0.73	0.77			
			Region	3 (Mid-Atl	antic)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	4253	34.13	40.90	6.77	19.84	22.97	0.73	0.76			
			Regio	on 4 (South	east)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	5077	31.00	40.24	9.24	29.82	31.97	0.69	0.68			
Region 5 (Upper Midwest)											
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	5159	34.50	40.54	6.04	17.49	20.86	0.77	0.79			
			Reg	gion 6 (Sout	t <b>h)</b>						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	3900	35.66	43.18	7.52	21.08	25.10	0.75	0.77			
			Region	7 (Central ]	Plains)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	1256	33.64	39.69	6.05	17.98	20.86	0.79	0.82			
			<b>Region 8</b>	8 (Northern	Plains)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	2379	44.23	44.86	0.63	1.42	11.66	0.78	0.88			
			Re	gion 9 (Wes	st)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	5758	51.25	48.64	-2.61	-5.10	19.22	0.66	0.78			
			Regio	n 10 (North	west)						
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
NACC-CMAQ	697	33.22	37.03	3.81	11.46	25.09	0.57	0.73			

**Table S10.** Same as in Table S5, but for Day 3 forecast 24-hr average PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Note: As discussed in Emery et al. (2017), there are no cutoff values applied for 24-hr average PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Day 3	Total	Mean	Mean	Mean	NMB	NME	Corr	IOA				
Forecasts	# 01 Pairs	Obs (nnh)	Mod (nnb)	Bias (nnh)	(%)	(%)	(r)					
Benchmark		(ppb) -	(ppb) -	- -	Goal:	Goal:	Goal:	_				
Emery et al.					<±10%	<35%	>0.70					
(2017)					Criteria:	Criteria:	Criteria:					
					<±30%	<50%	>0.40					
	Region 1 (Northeast)											
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	1261	7.43	9.41	1.98	26.60	50.07	0.73	0.81				
			Reg	ion 2 (NY-N	NJ)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	598	8.54	10.80	2.26	26.42	45.71	0.79	0.78				
			Region	3 (Mid-Atl	antic)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	1897	9.16	10.10	0.94	10.24	32.91	0.82	0.89				
			Regio	on 4 (South	east)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	3621	8.45	8.09	-0.36	-4.25	40.40	0.45	0.64				
Region 5 (Upper Midwest)												
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	3270	9.61	9.23	-0.37	-3.87	34.63	0.63	0.79				
			Reg	gion 6 (Sout	th)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	2101	8.39	6.24	-2.15	-25.58	46.79	0.31	0.56				
			Region	7 (Central ]	Plains)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	926	8.67	8.65	-0.02	-0.25	37.08	0.57	0.74				
			<b>Region</b> 8	8 (Northern	Plains)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	1790	7.66	5.12	-2.53	-33.09	55.27	0.44	0.64				
			Re	gion 9 (Wes	st)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	4118	10.09	7.55	-2.54	-25.15	52.82	0.52	0.70				
			Regio	n 10 (North	west)							
NAQFC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
NACC-CMAQ	3922	7.93	5.90	-2.03	-25.60	70.57	0.23	0.49				