1	Improved Runoff Simulations for a Highly Varying Soil Depth and Complex Terrain
2	Watershed in the Loess Plateau with Community Land Model Version 5
3	Jiming Jin <sup>1†</sup> , Lei Wang <sup>2,3†</sup> , Jie Yang <sup>2,3</sup> , Bingcheng Si <sup>4</sup> , and Guoyue Niu <sup>5,6</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> College of Resources and Environment, Yangtze University, Wuhan 430100, Hubei,
5	China
6	<sup>2</sup> College of Water Resources and Architectural Engineering, Northwest A & F
7	University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China
8	<sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Agricultural Soil and Water Engineering in Arid and Semiarid Areas,
9	Ministry of Education, Northwest A & F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China
10	<sup>4</sup> Department of Soil Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5A8,
11	Canada
12	<sup>5</sup> Biosphere 2, the University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85623, USA
13	<sup>6</sup> Department of Hydrology and Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ
14	85721, USA
15	Correspondence: Jiming Jin (jimingjin99@gmail.com)
16	† These authors contributed equally to this study

Abstract. This study aimed to improve runoff simulations and explore deep soil 17 18 hydrological processes for a watershed in the center of the Loess Plateau (LP), China. This watershed, the Wuding River Basin (WRB), has very complex topography, with 19 soil depths ranging from 0 to 197 m. The hydrological model used for our simulations 20 was Community Land Model version 5 (CLM5) developed by the National Center for 21 22 Atmospheric Research. Actual soil depths and river channels were incorporated into CLM5 to realistically represent the physical features of the WRB. Through sensitivity 23 24 tests, CLM5 with 150 soil layers with the observed variable soil depths produced the most reasonable results and was adopted for this study. Our results showed that CLM5 25 with actual soil depths significantly suppressed unrealistic variations of the simulated 26 sub-surface runoff when compared to the default simulations. In addition, when 27 28 compared with the default version with 20 soil layers, CLM5 with 150 soil layers slightly improved runoff simulations, but generated simulations with much smoother 29 30 vertical water flows that were consistent with the uniform distribution of soil textures in our study watershed. The runoff simulations were further improved by the addition 31 of river channels to CLM5, where the seasonal variability of the simulated runoff was 32 reasonably captured. Moreover, the magnitude of the simulated runoff remarkably 33 decreased with increased soil evaporation by lowering the soil water content threshold, 34 which triggers surface resistance. The lowered threshold was consistent with the loess 35 soil, which has a high sand component. Such soils often generate stronger soil 36 evaporation than soils dominated by clay. Finally, with the above changes in CLM5, 37 the simulated total runoff matched very closely with observations. When compared with 38 those for the default runoff simulations, the correlation coefficient, root-mean-square 39 error, and Nash Sutcliffe coefficient for the improved simulations changed dramatically 40 from 0.02, 10.37 mm, and -12.34 to 0.62, 1.8 mm, and 0.61. The results in this study 41

42 provide strong physical insight for further investigation of hydrological processes in

43 complex terrain with deep soils.

44 Key words: CLM5, complex terrain, soil depth, runoff

45

46 1 Introduction

Understanding runoff processes in regions with very complex topography is important 47 to managing and predicting water resources. Such an understanding can assist in 48 49 quantifying the allocation of water resources (Chen et al., 2013; Camacho et al., 2015), evaluating surface and groundwater vulnerability to natural and anthropogenic 50 processes (Uhlenbrook et al., 2002), improving drought and flood management 51 (Camacho et al., 2015), and predicting the amount and spatiotemporal distribution of 52 53 water resources (Saraiva Okello et al., 2018). However, complex topography leads to intricate runoff processes (Jencso et al., 2011), causing uncertain estimation of water 54 resources. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate runoff processes for the well-being of 55 topographically complex regions. 56

57

As the largest area covered by continuous loess soils in the world (Fu et al., 2017; Zhu 58 et al., 2018), the Loess Plateau (LP) in China has complicated hydrological processes 59 because of its extremely complex topography and unique soil types. Due to an arid and 60 semi-arid climate and a population of more than 100 million (Zhang et al., 2018), this 61 region experiences severe water shortages (Xiao et al., 2019). It is essential to 62 accurately estimate the spatiotemporal distribution of water resources in this region of 63 complex terrain. Soil depth in the LP can reach 350 m (Zhu et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019), 64 making it difficult to measure deep soil hydrological processes and understand runoff 65 generation (Shao et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2012). In addition, terrain in the LP includes 66

loess tablelands, ridges, hills, gullies, and river channels (Fu, 1989), all of which have 67 68 quite different runoff generation processes (Liu et al., 2012). In loess tablelands with deep water tables (Huang et al., 2013; Shao et al., 2018), the soils store most infiltrated 69 water, generate insignificant surface runoff, and remarkably delay sub-surface runoff. 70 Areas in the LP with gullies and river channels usually have high water tables (Liu et 71 72 al., 2012) and can easily be saturated during precipitation events, generating a large amount of surface runoff. Especially, extreme rainfall events that mostly occur over the 73 74 summer monsoon season (Tian et al. 2020) produce strong soil erosion and a large amount of fast infiltration-excess surface runoff to the river channels in hillslope areas, 75 sometimes causing severe flooding. In the meantime, the loess soils that dominate the 76 LP have a large capillary porosity, with loose and homogeneous textures due to a high 77 78 sand component, often resulting in high evaporation (Li et al., 1985; Lei, 1987; Han et al., 1990; Wang and Shao, 2013). A better understanding of the hydrological processes 79 within the complex terrain and special soil types of the LP is vital to improving the 80 prediction of water resources in this region. 81

82

Numerical hydrological models are essential tools to investigate runoff processes in the 83 LP. Field measurements such as those from tracer techniques (Huang et al., 2011; Li et 84 al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019; Xiang et al., 2019) have been made to 85 quantify the hydrological processes in the LP, but these measurements have significant 86 limitations, including short temporal and small spatial coverage, which cannot account 87 for the processes at watershed scales. Hydrological models based on mass and energy 88 equations are effective in simulating the long-term spatiotemporal variability of runoff 89 at watershed scales (Döll and Fiedler, 2007; Turkeltaub et al., 2015; Shao et al., 2018). 90 91 Hydrological models can also simulate the quantity of different components in the

water budget (e.g., surface runoff, subsurface, etc.) that are difficult or impossible to be 92 93 measured directly. Based on detailed soil information at a depth of 98 m at a research site on the Changwu tableland in the LP, Shao et al. (2018) used a hydrological model 94 to generate reasonable simulations for deep soil percolation and groundwater level. 95 Their study provides important clues (e.g., high-resolution soil layering) for exploring 96 deep soil hydrological processes and producing reliable runoff simulations at a 97 watershed scale in the LP. Therefore, it is apparent that hydrological models can 98 99 overcome the drawbacks of field experiments.

100

However, in hydrological models, soil depth and river channels are very important in 101 102 simulating soil water movement and storage and runoff processes, especially in regions with complex topography (Tesfa et al., 2009; Fu et al., 2011). Soil depth is set to a 103 constant in most hydrological models (Shangguan et al., 2017). For example, the Noah 104 (Ek et al., 2003) and Noah MP (Niu et al., 2011) models have a fixed soil depth of 2 m, 105 which cannot represent the realistic spatial distribution of soil depth in the LP, which 106 ranges from 0 to 350 m. In addition, soil depth in most river channels with exposed 107 bedrock in the LP is close to zero (Jing and Cheng, 1983; Li et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 108 2018), and areas dominated by these channels are very important in generating runoff. 109 Some hydrological models such as CLM5 and the Soil and Water Assessment Tool 110 (Neitsch et al., 2011) have embedded river routing schemes. In these schemes, the river 111 channels described based on elevation differences still have the same soil depth as other 112 places without these channels, which cannot reflect the actual conditions in the LP and 113 many other regions where soil depth changes significantly across rivers. Thus, soil 114 depth variations and river channels need to be considered in hydrological models for 115 116 better soil water flow and runoff simulations.

The objective of this study was to use CLM5 to improve runoff simulations and better 117 118 understand the hydrological processes with varying soil depths for a very complex 119 topography watershed in the LP. To achieve this objective, the highly varying soil depths and river channels were incorporated into CLM5 to realistically represent the 120 features of the watershed. In fact, Brunke et al. (2016) have conducted a study with 121 122 CLM version 4.5 by including varying soil depths at a global scale where the runoff simulations are focused at grid cell scales, which cannot be evaluated with actual 123 124 streamflow data. However, evaluating hydrological simulations at watershed scales is 125 essential to improving our understanding of runoff processes. In this study, the most important finding was that river channels where the soil depth is often equal or close to 126 127 zero played a vital role in runoff simulations especially in complex topography areas. 128 According to our extensive literature search, river channels are not configured in most of existing land surface and hydrological models. In addition, although this study 129 130 focused on a relatively small watershed, our runoff simulation methods and science ideas can be easily transferred to investigate the hydrological processes in other 131 watersheds across the world with observed soil depth and river channel information. 132 The text is laid out as follows: Sections 2 and 3 introduce the study area and data, 133 respectively, Section 4 provides the model description, Section 5 describes the 134 methodology, Section 6 includes the results, and the conclusions are in Section 7. 135

136

## 137 2 Study Area

The Wuding River Basin (WRB) was selected as the study area. This basin, with an area of about 30,261 km<sup>2</sup>, is in the center of the LP (Figure 1a), which is the largest continuous loess area in the world (~640,000 km<sup>2</sup>) (Fu et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018). The WRB shows complex geomorphic characteristics including tablelands, ridges, hills,

gullies, and river channels (Liu et al., 2012). The main land use types in the WRB are 142 143 bare ground, grassland, and sparse forest. Across the basin, soil thickness generally ranges from 0 to 200 m (Liu, 2016), and the loess, consisting mainly of silt and sand 144 (Li et al., 1985), is relatively homogeneous in the vertical direction (Huang et al., 2013; 145 Xiang et al., 2019). The WRB has a continental monsoon climate with mean annual 146 147 precipitation of around 400 mm, about 70% of which falls during the flood season from June through September, based on observations over the period of 1956-2010 148 149 (http://data.cma.cn/). Figure 1b shows the geographic distribution of the observed soil 150 depth for the WRB, which is discussed again in Section 3.2.





155 3 Data

154

156 3.1 Meteorological and runoff data

High-quality meteorological and runoff data for the WRB were used to force and evaluate CLM5, respectively. The Global Soil Wetness Project phase 3 (GSWP3) meteorological dataset (<u>http://hydro.iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp/GSWP3/index.html</u>) was selected to drive the model for this study. The GSWP3 dataset contains seven climate forcing variables, including precipitation, air temperature, downward shortwave and longwave radiation, specific humidity, surface pressure, and wind speed. These data cover the period of 1901-2010 with a spatial resolution of 0.5 ° at a 3-hour time step. Meanwhile, we obtained the observed monthly runoff data of the Baijiachuan (BJC) hydrological station from the Data Sharing Network of Earth System Science (<u>http://loess.geodata.cn/index.html</u>). The BJC station is located at the WRB outlet and its drainage area covers ~98% of the basin. These runoff data were used to assess CLM5 output.

169

170 3.2 Soil data

Soil depth data for the WRB as shown in Figure 1b were obtained from different sources. 171 We first collected and recorded 61 soil depths for the WRB and nearby areas from ~15 172 173 published papers and books (not cited here). In addition, two soil depth maps for the 174 WRB were obtained from Qi et al. (1991) and Wang (2016) and were digitized. Soil depth data for model grids with gullies and rivers were derived based on digital 175 elevation model (DEM) data. Soil depth in gullies and rivers was assumed to be 0 due 176 to the exposure of bedrock (Jing and Cheng, 1983; Li et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018). 177 The elevations of these gully and river channels were retrieved from a DEM at a 178 resolution of 90 m. The differences between these elevations and those at a 5 km 179 resolution were used to represent the soil depth in model grids with gullies and rivers. 180 This is different from river routing that is based only on one DEM. The proportion of 181 the total gully and river area to the entire WRB area (defined as  $P_{\rm gr}$  hereafter) was 182 183 determined with the Cressman method (Cressman, 1959). A value of 0.3 is suggested by Qi et al. (1991) for the LP. In this study, we identified the optimal Pgr value through 184 sensitivity tests by setting different interpolation radii in the Cressman method. The soil 185 depth data from these sources were then combined and interpolated into a 5 km 186 187 resolution, still based on the Cressman method.

Soil texture data for the WRB were necessary input into CLM5. These data were derived from a soil type map for the LP (<u>http://loess.geodata.cn</u>) and included three soil layers: 0-20, 20-76, and 76-180 cm. For soil layers deeper than 180 cm, the texture data for the 76-180 cm layer were applied.

192

193 4 Model description

CLM5 was used in this study for runoff simulations. This model was developed by the 194 195 National Center for Atmospheric Research. The CLM5 includes one vegetation layer, up to five snow layers, and 20 soil layers. In the model, each grid cell is split into 196 different land units including vegetated surface, lake, urban, glacier, and cropland. The 197 198 spatial distribution and seasonal climatology of the plant functional types for CLM5 are derived from MODIS satellite land-surface data products (Lawrence and Chase, 2007). 199 200 CLM5 uses the simplified TOPMODEL (Niu et al., 2005) to parameterize runoff, which is partitioned into surface and sub-surface runoff. Surface runoff is calculated based on 201 202 the saturation-excess mechanism. Sub-surface runoff is produced when saturated conditions occur within the soil column. CLM5 is attached with a river routing module 203 204 for runoff simulations. However, in this study, we focused our simulations on a monthly 205 time scale at which the river flow should be able to travel from the farthest point to the 206 outlet of the WRB with an area of 30,261 km<sup>2</sup> that can easily fit into a 200 km by 200 km box. Thus, we turned off the river routing module during our simulations and used 207 208 the total runoff over the entire watershed to compare with observations.

209

 $210 \qquad \text{In CLM5, soil evaporation is affected by soil resistance, which is associated with a dry}$ 

211 surface layer (DSL) (Swenson and Lawrence, 2014). A DSL forms near the soil surface

212 in the model when the soil water content in the top layer is below a threshold value

9

Deleted: simulations and

(SWC<sub>th</sub>), which is set to 80% of the soil porosity of the top layer (SWC<sub>sat,1</sub>). The formation of the DSL generates soil resistance, limiting soil evaporation. Meanwhile, CLM5 uses Richard's equation and Darcy's law to describe changes in soil water content (SWC) and soil water flux. The soil hydraulic conductivity and retention used in these equations are determined by the soil texture and the SWC of the previous time step, based on Clapp and Hornberger (1978), Cosby et al. (1984), and Lawrence and Slater (2007).

221

222 5 Methodology

223 5.1 Soil layering

224 As aforementioned, actual soil depth in the WRB is strongly variable, with a range of 225 ~0-197, m (Figure 1b), In our default run, the soil depth in CLM5 was set to a constant 226 of 8.6, m (see Table, 2.2.3, in Lawrence et al. 2018) and is discretized into 20 layers defined as hydrological active layers (HALs) to distinguish them from the five bedrock 227 layers set in the model. In this study, we compared the simulations with a default fixed 228 229 soil depth to those with the observed variable soil depths for the WRB based on the soil depth data shown in Figure 1b, Eight sensitivity tests were conducted with soil layer 230 231 numbers (SLNs) of 20, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, and 200 to determine the optimal 232 soil layering method for runoff simulations in the WRB (Tables 1a and 1b). In each sensitivity test, the SLN is the same for the entire WRB, and the HAL number is 233 234 identified based on the input soil depth for each soil column. Layers that are not HALs are treated as bedrock layers and are not used in the hydrology calculations in the model. 235 236 These sensitivity simulations were compared to those with the default options of CLM to examine how the vertical resolution with observed variable soil depths affected the 237 238 runoff simulations for the WRB.

Deleted: 200
Deleted:
<b>Deleted:</b> Although CLM5 is configured with variable soil depth
Deleted: default
Deleted: is
Deleted: 03
Deleted: Section
Deleted: 2.1
Deleted: .

Saguanaa	SLN				Saguanaa	SLN			
Sequence	20	50	75	100	Sequence	20	50	75	100
1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	18-20	40.00	2.00	1.00	0.64
2	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	21-25		4.00	1.00	0.84
3	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	26-35		4.00	2.00	1.04
4	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	36-40		6.00	2.00	1.04
5	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	41-45		8.00	2.50	1.44
6	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	46-50		10.00	3.00	1.44
7	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	51-55			3.00	1.44
8	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	56-65			4.00	2.00
9	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	66			5.14	2.40
10	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	67-70			6.00	2.40
11	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	71-75			8.00	2.40
12	2.00	1.00	0.80	0.64	76-85				2.80
13	4.84	1.00	0.80	0.64	86-89				4.00
14	12.00	1.04	0.80	0.64	90				4.68
15	16.00	1.80	0.80	0.64	91-95				5.00
16-17	20.00	2.00	1.00	0.64	96-100				6.00

249 Table 1a. Thickness (m) of each soil layer for different SLNs (20, 50, 75, and 100)

250

251

252 Table 1b. Thickness (m) of each soil layer for different SLNs (125, 150, 175, and 200)

Saguanaa	SLN				Saguanaa	SLN			
Sequence	125	150	175	200	Sequence	125	150	175	200
1	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	51-70	1.14	1.14	1.14	1.04
2	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	71	1.58	1.40	1.20	1.04
3	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	72-79	2.00	1.40	1.20	1.04
4	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	80-85	2.00	1.50	1.20	1.04
5	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	86-100	2.40	1.60	1.20	1.04
6	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	101	2.40	1.60	1.20	1.02
7	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	102-104	2.40	1.60	1.20	1.14
8	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	105	2.40	1.60	1.28	1.14
9	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	106-120	2.80	1.80	1.30	1.14
10	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	121-125	4.00	1.80	1.30	1.14
11-25	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	126-130		2.00	1.30	1.14
26-30	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.64	131-150		2.00	1.40	1.14
31-40	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	151-155			1.40	1.14
41	1.04	1.02	1.04	0.84	156-175			1.50	1.14
42-45	1.04	1.04	1.04	0.84	176-200				1.14
46-50	1.14	1.14	1.14	0.84					

253

254 5.2 Model spin-up and simulations

255 All runs in this study needed model spin-up to ensure that the soil moisture of each

HAL reached equilibrium. We found that the spin-up period could last for more than  $50_{e}$ 

Deleted: -400

258	years for different initial SWC conditions and soil depths in the WRB. The initial SWC
259	was set to 0.2 mm <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> , and we performed two cycles of continuous simulations over
260	the period of 1901-2010. The first cycle was discarded as spin-up, and the second cycle
261	was retained for analysis. Through these spin-up runs, the SWC at all model grids can
262	reach the equilibrium state (an example given in Figure 5 where the soil has the deepest
263	depth of 197 m in our simulation domain). In this study, each sensitivity run had its own
264	spin-up cycles.
265	τ
266	6 Results and Analysis
267	6.1 Default runoff simulation

268 We conducted a default run to evaluate the performance of the original CLM5 in simulating runoff in the WRB. The model remarkably overestimated monthly total and 269 sub-surface runoff when compared with observations from the BJC hydrological station 270 over 1956-1969, a period with minimal human activity (Jiao et al., 2017). The 271 correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>), root mean square error (RMSE), and Nash Sutcliffe 272 efficiency (NSE) were 0.02, 10.37 mm, and -12.34, respectively. We can see that the 273 overestimation was due mainly to the unrealistic simulations of sub-surface runoff. The 274 reasons for these erroneous simulations are discussed in detail in the following sections. 275



Figure 2. Observed monthly precipitation and runoff (black line) and simulated total runoff, surface runoff, and sub-surface runoff in the default run from 1956 to 1969. The observed monthly precipitation is for the entire WRB, and the OBS and simulations are for the BJC hydrological station.

with observed soil depths, the spin-up period was about 50 years, which was adopted for production simulations in this study. We performed two cycles of continuous simulations for 1901-2010. The first cycle was discarded for spin-up, and the second cycle was retained for analysis. In this study, each sensitivity run had its own spin-up.

Deleted: When the initial SWC was set to 0.2 mm<sup>3</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>

289

## 290 6.2 Effects of soil depth on runoff simulations

We examined how the simulated runoff for the WRB was affected by the actual soil 291 depths (40-197 m) that were inputted into CLM5 with a default SLN of 20. As shown 292 in Figure 3, CLM5 with deep soils greatly suppressed the seasonal variability of sub-293 294 surface runoff and reduced the magnitude of surface runoff when compared with the CLM5 simulations with a uniform soil depth of 8 m. The R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and NSE between 295 296 observations and the simulations with actual soil depths were 0.04, 9.8 mm, and -10.96, 297 respectively. Although the actual soil depth data for the WRB were included in CLM5, the runoff simulations were still remarkably different from observations in both 298 299 variability and magnitude. Hence, the runoff simulations for the WRB need to be further 300 explored and understood.



30119561957195819591960196119621963196419661967196819691970302Figure 3. Observed and simulated total runoff, surface runoff, and sub-surface runoff303from 1956 to 1969 by the run with actual soil depths. The SLN was set to 20.

304

305 6.3 Effects of soil layering on runoff simulations

The eight soil layering methods mentioned in Section 3.2 were applied to CLM5 with the actual soil depths for the WRB to investigate the effects of soil layering on the runoff simulations. We can see that all the CLM5 runs generated similar temporal patterns of simulated total runoff, as shown in Figure 4a. Obviously, the soil layering methods had almost no effect on the surface runoff simulations (Figure 4b), while these methods did affect the sub-surface runoff simulations to some extent (Figure 4c). When the vertical spatial resolution increased from 20 to 200 soil layers, the RMSE of the simulated total runoff decreased until the SLN was equal to 75, and then the errors reached a minimum for SLN ranging from 100 to 200 (Figure 4d). Although the model with 75 soil layers seemed to be an efficient case, the soil layering method was further examined with vertical soil moisture profile simulations.



320 SLN
321 Figure 4. (a) Observed and simulated monthly runoff for the BJC hydrological station;
322 (b) simulated surface runoff; (c) simulated sub-surface runoff; (d) RMSEs of the
323 simulated total runoff. All simulations were produced with different SLN values.
324

325 We selected a point (37.53 °N, 109.33 °E) with the deepest soil depth of 197 m in the

WRB to study the soil layering method based on vertical soil moisture profile 326 327 simulations. As shown in Figure 5, the coarser-resolution simulations (SLN  $\leq 125$ ) resulted in alternating persistent wet-dry layers throughout our study period, and this 328 alternation gradually weakened with increasing SLN. When the SLN was equal to 150, 329 the wet-dry alternation almost disappeared. We examined the model numerical method 330 331 and found that the coarser resolution numerically caused smaller soil matric potential (SMP) gradients between the soil layers, leading to the wet-dry alternation. These 332 333 vertical soil moisture simulations indicated that CLM5 could produce smooth soil water 334 flow simulations with at least 150 soil layers at a soil depth of 197 m to avoid these numerical issues, although the RMSE of the simulated total runoff reached the 335 336 minimum value with SLN equal to 75. Therefore, in the following simulations, we set the model soil layers to 150. With this soil layering, the R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and NSE for the 337 total runoff simulations were 0.07, 9.3 mm, and -9.71, respectively. 338



Figure 5. Simulated vertical monthly SWC profiles for the selected point in the WRB
 during both the spin-up (left of the black dashed line) and simulation (right of the black

345 dashed line) periods. All simulations were conducted with different SLN values.

## 346

 $347 \qquad 6.4 \ Effects \ of \ P_{gr} \ on \ runoff \ simulations$ 

In addition to the actual soil depth and high-resolution soil layering, we prescribed the 348 river channels for the WRB in CLM5 to explore the effects of those channels on runoff 349 simulations. Figure 6 shows the spatial distribution of the river channels for the WRB 350 with different values of Pgr, a proportion of the total river channel area to the entire 351 352 WRB area, as previously defined. The larger the Pgr, the denser the river channels. Our results showed that CLM5 dramatically improved the simulations of the seasonal 353 354 variability of total runoff (Figure 7a), and the R<sup>2</sup> increased to 0.41-0.56 from 0.07 for 355 the previous simulations. These improvements resulted mainly from the surface runoff simulations with a much higher seasonal variability (Figure 7b). The sub-surface runoff 356 357 simulations did not show significant changes with the addition of the river channels to 358 CLM5 (Figure 7c). We can see that CLM5 with Pgr equal to 0.15 produced the lowest RMSE (9.3 mm) and the highest NSE (-9.78), although the R<sup>2</sup> was not the highest (0.52) 359 360 with this Pgr value. Moreover, we found that the seasonal peak values of the simulated 361 surface runoff with  $P_{gr}$  values of 0.22 and 0.26 were higher than the observed peak 362 values (Figure not shown), which was not realistic. Thus, we selected 0.15 for  $P_{gr}$  for the rest of our simulations. 363













R <sup>2</sup>	0.41	0.52	0.54	0.56
RMSE (mm)	9.4	9.3	9.4	9.5
NSE	-9.90	-9.78	-10.06	-10.23

376

## 377 6.5 Water balance analysis

378 We looked into the water balance for the WRB and attempted to further reduce the 379 biases of the runoff simulations. In the previous sections, the more realistic conditions of the WRB (actual soil depths, high-resolution soil layering, and river channels) were 380 381 incorporated into CLM5 to improve the runoff simulations, but the simulations were still far away from observations. Tian et al. (2018) indicated that the change in water 382 storage in the WRB approached zero over a period of 13 years. Our study focused on a 383 384 period of 14 years (1956-1969). Thus, we estimated the mean evapotranspiration (ET) with observed precipitation and runoff over our study period by assuming a water 385 storage change of zero in the WRB as follows: 386

387

$$ET_{\rm avg} = P_{\rm avg} - R_{\rm avg} \tag{1}$$

where  $ET_{avg}$ ,  $P_{avg}$ , and  $R_{avg}$  are mean ET (mm), precipitation (mm), and runoff (mm) 388 389 over 1956-1969, respectively. Here, Pavg is 454.7 mm, Ravg is 53.2 mm, and the estimated ET<sub>avg</sub> is 401.5 mm. However, the simulated mean ET over the study period 390 was 267.8 mm, which was far below the estimated value. According to the soil 391 392 evaporation parameterization in CLM5, when the SWC of the top soil layer (SWC1) was less than SWCth, a DSL formed to resist soil evaporation. In CLM5, the SWCth is 393 394 defined as 80% of SWCsat,1. However, previous studies (Lee and Pielke, 1992; Sakaguchi and Zeng, 2009; Flammini et al., 2018) found that soil evaporation starts to 395 396 decrease significantly when the surface SWC is less than the field capacity. Yang et al. 397 (1985) also found that soil evaporation in the LP slows down when the surface SWC becomes lower than a stable capacity that is close to the field capacity. Thus, in this 398

study, we changed the SWCth to the SWCfc,1 to conduct one additional simulation. With 399 400 this modification, the simulated annual ET fluctuated around the estimated mean ET for our study period (401.5 mm), and the simulated 14-year mean value was 392.5 mm, 401 which was close to the estimated mean. Very importantly, the simulated total runoff 402 drastically reduced to match observations by increasing ET (Figure 8). When compared 403 404 with those for the simulations in the last section, R<sup>2</sup> increased from 0.52 to 0.62, RMSE decreased from 9.3 to 1.8 mm, and NSE increased dramatically from -9.78 to 0.61. 405 406 Therefore, we remarkably improved runoff simulations with more accurate ET 407 simulations in addition to the more realistic WRB features.



40819561957195819591960196119621963196419661967196819691970409Figure 8. Time series of observed monthly runoff (black line) for the BJC hydrological410station and simulated monthly total (red line), surface (blue line), and sub-surface411runoff (pink line).

414 This study was intended to improve runoff simulations with CLM5 for the complex topography of the WRB and to improve our understanding of deep soil hydrological 415 416 processes. In CLM5, we included actual soil depths for the WRB ranging from 0 to 197 m and added the river channels for this watershed. We tested eight soil layering methods 417 and found that CLM5 with at least 150 soil layers could produce rational simulations 418 for both runoff and the vertical soil moisture profile. Different values of river channel 419 density were examined with CLM5, showing that a ratio of 15% of the total river 420 channel area to the entire WRB area generated the most reasonable results. 421

<sup>412</sup> 

<sup>413 7</sup> Conclusions and discussion

With the above model settings, our simulations showed that CLM5 with actual soil 423 424 depths greatly suppressed the seasonal variability of simulated sub-surface runoff and reduced the simulated surface runoff when compared with the default simulations with 425 a uniform soil depth of 8 m. In addition, CLM5 with finer-resolution soil layering (SLN 426  $\geq$  150) led to more accurate runoff and smoother vertical soil water flow simulations 427 428 than that with coarser-resolution layering, and the latter was consistent with the homogeneous distribution of vertical soil texture in the WRB. The addition of river 429 430 channels for the WRB to CLM5 significantly increased the seasonal variability of simulated surface runoff, remarkably improving the seasonal variability of simulated 431 total runoff. Moreover, more accurate simulations of soil evaporation in the WRB 432 433 dramatically reduced the simulated sub-surface runoff and improved the total runoff 434 simulations.

435

Limitations still exist in this study. We used atmospheric forcing data at a 5 km 436 resolution to drive CLM5, but for our study region with very complex terrain, this 437 resolution may not be sufficient and could potentially have generated errors in our 438 simulations. In the meantime, it is very important to expand this study to a larger or 439 even global scale, and accurate soil depth and detailed soil texture data would be vital 440 to such an expanded study. In addition, soil hydraulic properties may change with depth, 441 but this study did not consider such changes, and this needs to be tested in future studies. 442 Despite these limitations, it is clear that our final runoff simulations with an improved 443 CLM5 were highly accurate, and our understanding of deep soil hydrological processes 444 has advanced. 445

- 447 Author contributions. JJ and LW designed the research; LW conducted the simulations;
- 448 LW and JY collected the soil depth data; JJ and LW analyzed the data; JY was involved
- 449 in several sensitivity simulation tests; JJ and LW wrote the paper; BS and GN edited
- 450 the paper and provided substantial comments for scientific clarification.
- 451 Code and data availability. Our improved model and data are available at
- 452 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5044541.
- 453 Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 454 Acknowledgments. This research was funded by the National Natural Science
- 455 Foundation of China (No. 41571030, No. 91637209, and No. 91737306).
- 456
- 457 References
- Camacho, V. V., Saraiva Okello, A. M. L., Wenninger, J. W., and Uhlenbrook, S.:
  Understanding runoff processes in a semi-arid environment through isotope and
  hydrochemical hydrograph separations, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 19,
  4183-4199, https://doi.org/10.5194/hessd-12-975-2015, 2015.
- Chen, L., Sela, S., Svoray, T., and Assouline, S.: The role of soil-surface sealing,
   microtopography, and vegetation patches in rainfall-runoff processes in semiarid
   areas, Water Resour. Res., 49, 5585-5599, 2013.
- Clapp, R. B. and Hornberger, G. M.: Empirical equations for some soil hydraulic
   properties, Water Resour. Res., 14, 601-604, 1978.
- 467 Cosby, B. J., Hornberger, G. M., Clapp, R. B., and Ginn, T. R.: A statistical exploration
   468 of the relationships of soil moisture characteristics to the physical properties of
   469 soils, Water Resour. Res., 20, 682-690, 1984.
- 470 Cressman, G. P.: An operational objective analysis system, Mon. Weather Rev., 87, 367 471 374, 1959.
- Döll, P. and Fiedler, K.: Global-scale modeling of groundwater recharge, Hydrol. Earth
  Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, 863-885, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-863-2008,
  2008.
- Ek, M. B., Mitchell, K. E., Lin, Y., Rogers, E., Grunmann, P., Koren, V., Gayno, G., and
  Tarpley, J., D.: Implementation of Noah land surface model advances in the
  National Centers for Environmental Prediction operational mesoscale Eta model,
  J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8851, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003296, 2003.
- Flammini, A., Corradini, C., Morbidelli, R., Saltalippi, C., Picciafuoco, T., and Giráldez,
  J. V.: Experimental analyses of the evaporation dynamics in bare soils under
  natural conditions, Water Resour. Manag., 32, 1153-1166,
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-017-1860-x, 2018.
- Fu, B.: Soil erosion and its control in the Loess Plateau of China, Soil Use Manage., 5,
   76-82, 1989.
- 485 Fu, B., Wang, S., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Liang, W., and Miao, C.: Hydrogeomorphic Ecosystem

- Responses to Natural and Anthropogenic Changes in the Loess Plateau of China,
  Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 45, 223-243, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth063016-02055, 2017.
- Fu, Z., Li, Z., Cai, C., Shi, Z., Xu, Q., and Wang, X.: Soil thickness effect on hydrological and erosion characteristics under sloping lands: A hydropedological perspective, Geoderma, 167-168, 41-53, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.08.013, 2011.
- Han, S., Li, Y., Shi, Y., Yang, X., Zhang, X., and Shi, Z.: The characteristic of soil moisture resources on the Loess Plateau, Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation, 10, 36-43, 1990.
- Huang, T. and Pang, Z.: Estimating groundwater recharge following land-use change
  using chloride mass balance of soil profiles: A case study at Guyuan and Xifeng
  in the Loess Plateau of China, Hydrogeology J., 19, 177-186,
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-010-0643-8, 2011.
- Huang, T., Pang, Z., and Edmunds, W. M.: Soil profile evolution following land-use
   change: Implications for groundwater quantity and quality, Hydrol. Process., 27,
   1238-1252, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9302, 2013.
- Huang, T., Pang, Z., Liu, J., Yin, L., and Edmunds, W. M.: Groundwater recharge in an
   arid grassland as indicated by soil chloride profile and multiple tracers, Hydrol.
   Process., 31, 1047-1057, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11089, 2017.
- Huang, Y., Evaristo, J., and Li, Z.: Multiple tracers reveal different groundwater
  recharge mechanisms in deep loess deposits, Geoderma, 353, 204-212,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.06.041, 2019.
- Jencso, K. G. and Mcglynn, B. L.: Hierarchical controls on runoff generation:
   Topographically driven hydrologic connectivity, geology, and vegetation, Water
   Resour. Res., 47, W11527, 2011.
- Jiao, Y., Lei, H., Yang, D., Huang, M., Liu, D., and Yuan, X.: Impact of vegetation dynamics on hydrological processes in a semi-arid basin by using a land surfacehydrology coupled model, J. Hydrol., 551, 116-131, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.060, 2017.
- Jing, K. and Cheng, Y.: Preliminary study of the erosion environment and rates on the
   Loess Plateau, Geogr. Res., 2, 1-11, 1983.
- Lawrence, D., Fisher, R., Koven, C., Oleson, K., Swenson, S., Vertenstein, M., Andre,
  B., Bonan, G., Ghimire, B., Kampenhout, L. V., Kennedy, D., Kluzek, E., Knox,
  R., Lawrence, P., Li, F., Li, H., Lombardozzi, D., Lu, Y., Perket, J., Riley, W., Sacks,
  W., Shi, M., Wieder, W., Xu, C., Ali, A., Badger, A., Bisht, G., Broxton, P., Brunke,
  M., Buzan, J., Clark, M., Craig, T., Dahlin, K., Drewniak, B., Emmons, L., Fisher,
  J., Flanner, M., Gentine, P., Lenaerts, J., Levis, S., Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W.,
- Pelletier, J., Ricciuto, D. M., Sanderson, B., Shuman, J., Slater, A., Subin, Z., Tang,
- J., Tawfik, A., Thomas, Q., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., and Zeng, X.: Technical Description
   of version 5.0 of the Community Land Model (CLM5), National Center for
   Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, 2018.
- Lawrence, D. M. and Slater, A. G.: Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model, Clim. Dynam., 30, 145-160, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0278-1, 2008.
- Lawrence, P. J. and Chase, T. N.: Representing a new MODIS consistent land surface
   in the Community Land Model (CLM5 3.0), J. Geophys. Res., 112, G01023, 2007.
- Lee, T. J. and Pielke, R. A.: Estimating the soil surface specific humidity, J. Appl.
   Meteorol., 31, 480-484, 1992.
- 535 Lei, X.: Pore types and collapsibility of the loess in China, Science China Press, 1203-

536 1208, 1987.

- Li, Y., Han, S. and Wang, Z.: Soil water properties and its zonation in the Loess Plateau.
   Res. Soil Water Conserv., 1-17, 1985.
- Li, Z., Chen, X., Liu, W., and Si, B.: Determination of groundwater recharge mechanism in the deep loessial unsaturated zone by environmental tracers, Sci Total Environ, 586, 827-835, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.061, 2017.
- Li, Z., Jasechko, S., and Si, B.: Uncertainties in tritium mass balance models for
  groundwater recharge estimation, J. Hydrol., 571, 150-158,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.01.030, 2019.
- Liu, D., Tian, F., Hu, H., and Hu, H.: The role of run-on for overland flow and the characteristics of runoff generation in the Loess Plateau, China, Hydrol. Sci. J., 57, 1107-1117, 2012.
- Liu, Z.: The Study on the Classification of Loess Landscape and the Characteristics of
   Loess Stratum, M.S. thesis, College of Geological Engineering and Geomatics,
   Chang'an University, China, 2016.
- Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R., and Williams, J. R.: Soil and Water
  Assessment Tool Theoretical Documentation Version 2009. Texas Water
  Resources Institute Technicial Report, Texas, 2011.
- Niu, G. Y., Yang, Z. L., Dickinson, R. E., and Gulden, L. E.: A simple TOPMODELbased runoff parameterization (SIMTOP) for use in global climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21106, 2005.
- Niu, G., Yang, Z., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., Kumar, A.,
  Manning, K., Niyogi, D., Rosero, E., Tewari, M., and Xia, Y.: The community
  Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1.
  Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements, J. Geophys. Res.,
  116, D12109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139, 2011.
- Qi, C., Gan, Z., Xi, Z., Wu, C., Sun, H., Chen, W., Liu, T., and Zhao, G.: The research
   of the relations between erosion landforms and soil erosion of the Loess Plateau,
   Shaanxi People's Education Publishing House, Shaanxi, China, 1991.
- Sakaguchi, K. and Zeng, X.: Effects of soil wetness, plant litter, and under-canopy
   atmospheric stability on ground evaporation in the Community Land Model
   (CLM53. 5), J. Geophys. Res., 114, 2009.
- Saraiva Okello, A. M. L., Uhlenbrook, S., Jewitt, G. P. W., Masih, I., Riddell, E. S., and
   Van der Zaag, P.: Hydrograph separation using tracers and digital filters to quantify
   runoff components in a semi-arid mesoscale catchment, Hydrol. Process., 32,
   1334-1350, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11491, 2018.
- Shangguan, W., Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Yuan, H., and Dai, Y.: Mapping the
  global depth to bedrock for land surface modeling, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 9,
  65-88, https://doi.org/0.1002/2016MS000686, 2017.
- Shao, J., Si, B., and Jin, J.: Extreme precipitation years and their occurrence frequency
   regulate long-term groundwater recharge and transit time, Vadose Zone J., 17, 1 9, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.04.0093, 2018.
- Swenson, S. C. and Lawrence, D. M.: Assessing a dry surface layer-based soil
   resistance parameterization for the Community Land Model using GRACE and
   FLUXNET-MTE data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 10,299-10,312, 2014.
- Tesfa, T. K., Tarboton, D. G., Chandler, D. G., and McNamara, J. P.: Modeling soil
   depth from topographic and land cover attributes, Water Resour. Res., 45, W10438,
   2009.

- Tian, L., Jin, J., Wu, P., and Niu, G.: Assessment of the effects of climate change on 586 evapotranspiration with an improved elasticity method in a nonhumid area, 587 588 Sustainability, 10, 4589, https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124589, 2018.
- Tian, L., Jin, J., Wu, P., Niu, G.-Y., and Zhao, C.: High-resolution simulations of mean 589 and extreme precipitation with WRF for the soil-erosive Loess Plateau. Clim. 590 Dynam., 54. 10.1007/s00382-020-05178-6, 2020. 591
- 592 Turkeltaub, T., Kurtzman, D., Bel, G., and Dahan, O.: Examination of groundwater recharge with a calibrated/validated flow model of the deep vadose zone, J. 593 Hydrol., 522, 618-627, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.026, 2015. 594
- Uhlenbrook, S., Frey, M., Leibundgut, C., and Maloszewski, P.: Hydrograph 595 596 separations in a mesoscale mountainous basin at event and seasonal timescales, Water Resour. Res., 38, 1096, 2002. 597
- Wang, S.: Study on geological engineering of loess in North Shaanxi, M. S. thesis, 598 599 College of Geological Engineering and Geomatics, Chang'an University, China, 600 2016.
- Wang, Y. and Shao, M.: Spatial variability of soil physical properties in a region of the 601 Loess Plateau of pr China subject to wind and water erosion, Land Degrad. Dev., 602 603 24, 296-304, https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.1128, 2013.
- 604 605
- Xiang, W., Si, B., Biswas, A., and Li, Z.: Quantifying dual recharge mechanisms in 606 607 deep unsaturated zone of Chinese Loess Plateau using stable isotopes, Geoderma, 608 337, 773-781, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.10.006, 2019.
- 609 Xiao, J., Wang, L., Deng, L., and Jin, Z.: Characteristics, sources, water quality and health risk assessment of trace elements in river water and well water in the 610 611 Chinese Loess Plateau, Sci. Total Environ., 650, 2004-2012. 612 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.322, 2019.
- Yang, W., Shi, Y., and Fei, W.: Water evaporation from soils under unsaturated condition 613 and evaluation for drought resistance of soils on Loessal Plateau, Acta Pedologica 614 615 Sinica, 22, 13-23, 1985.
- 616 Zhang, F., Zhang, W., Qi, J., and Li, F.: A regional evaluation of plastic film mulching for improving crop yields on the Loess Plateau of China, Agric. For. Meteorol., 617 618 248, 458-468, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.030, 2018.
- Zhu, Y., Jia, X., and Shao, M.: Loess thickness variations across the Loess Plateau of 619 China, Surv. Geophys., 39, 715-727, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-018-9462-6, 620 2018.
- 621