A Regional multi-Air Pollutant Assimilation System (RAPAS v1.0)

2	for emission estimates: System development and application
3	Shuzhuang Feng ¹ , Fei Jiang ^{1,2} , Zheng Wu ³ , Hengmao Wang ^{1,2} , Wei He ¹ , Yang Shen ¹ ,
4	Lingyu Zhang ¹ , Yanhua Zheng ¹ , Chenxi Lou ¹ , Ziqiang Jiang ⁴ , Weimin Ju ^{1,2}
5	
6	¹ Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science and Technology, International
7	Institute for Earth System Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210023, China
8	² Jiangsu Center for Collaborative Innovation in Geographical Information Resource Development and
9	Application, Nanjing, 210023, China
10	³ Chongqing Institute of Meteorological Sciences, Chongqing, 401147, China
11 12 13 14 15	⁴ Jiangsu Environmental Monitoring Center, Nanjing, 210019, China
16	Correspondence to: Fei Jiang (jiangf@nju.edu.cn)
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Abstract

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

Top-down atmospheric inversion infers surface-atmosphere fluxes from spatially distributed observations of atmospheric compositions, which is a vital means for quantifying anthropogenic and natural emissions. In this study, we developed a Regional multi-Air Pollutant Assimilation System (RAPAS v1.0) based on the Weather Research and Forecasting/Community Multiscale Air Quality Modelling System (WRF/CMAQ) model, the three-dimensional variational (3DVAR) algorithm, and the ensemble square root filter (EnSRF) algorithm. This system can simultaneously assimilate hourly in situ CO, SO2, NO2, PM2.5 and PM10 observations to infer gridded emissions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, primary PM_{2.5} (PPM_{2.5}), and coarse PM₁₀ (PMC) on a regional scale. In each data assimilation window, we use a "two-step" scheme, in which the emission is inferred first, and then input into the CMAQ model to simulate initial condition (IC) of the next window. The posterior emission is transferred to the next window as the prior emission, and the original emission inventory is only used in the first window. Additionally, a "super-observation" approach is implemented to decrease the computational costs, observation error correlations, and influence of representative errors. Using this system, we estimated the emissions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC in December and July 2016 over China using nationwide surface observations. The results showed that compared to the prior emissions (MEIC 2016), the posterior emissions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC in December 2016 increased by 129%, 20%, 5%, 95%, and 1045%, respectively, and the emission uncertainties decreased by 44%, 45%, 34%, 52%, and 56%, respectively. With the inverted emissions, the RMSE of simulated concentrations decreased by 40-56%. Sensitivity tests were conducted with different prior emissions, prior uncertainties, and observation errors. The results showed that the "two-step" scheme employed in RAPAS is robust in estimating emissions using nationwide surface observations over China. This study offers a useful tool for accurately quantifying multi-species anthropogenic emissions at large scales and in near real time.

1. Introduction

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Owing to rapid economic development and pollution control legislation, there is an increasing demand to provide updated emission estimates, especially in areas where anthropogenic emissions are intensive. Accurately estimating source emission quantities and spatiotemporal changes resulting from various regulations is imperative and valuable for understanding air quality responses and is crucial for providing timely instructions for the design of future emission regulations. However, most inventories were developed based on a bottom-up approach and are usually updated with a delay of a few years owing to the complexity of gathering statistical information on activity levels and sector-specific emission factors (Ding et al., 2015). The large uncertainty associated with the low temporal and spatial resolutions of these datasets also greatly limits the assessment of emission changes. Some studies (Bauwens et al., 2020; Shi and Brasseur, 2020) evaluated emission changes indirectly through concentration measurements; however, air pollution changes are not only dominated by emission changes, but also highly affected by meteorological conditions (Shen et al., 2021). Top-down atmospheric inversion infers surface-atmosphere fluxes from spatially distributed observations of atmospheric compositions. Recent efforts have focused on developing air pollution data assimilation (DA) systems to conduct top-down inversions, which can integrate model and multi-source observational information to constrain emission sources. Two major methods are widely used in those DA systems: 4D-variational data assimilation (4DVAR) and ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF). 4DVAR provides a global optimal analysis by minimizing a cost function. It shows an implicit flow-dependent background error covariance and can reflect complex nonlinear constraint relationships (Lorenc, 2003). Additionally, a weak constraint 4DVAR method can partly account for the model error by defining a systematic error term in a cost function (Derber, 1989). For example, the GEOS-Chem and TM5 4DVAR frameworks have been used to estimate CH₄ (Alexe et al., 2015; Monteil et al., 2013; Schneising et al., 2009; Stanevich et al., 2021; Wecht et al., 2014) and CO₂ fluxes (Basu et al., 2013; Nassar et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2019a) from different satellite retrieval

products. Additionally, Jiang et al. (2017) and Stavrakou et al. (2008) also used the 88 4DVAR algorithm to estimate global CO and NO_x emission trends using MOPITT and 89 GOME/SCIAMACHY retrievals, respectively. Using NIES LiDAR observations, 90 Yumimoto et al. (2008) applied the 4DVAR DA to infer dust emissions over eastern 91 Asia and the results agreed well with various satellite data and surface observations. 92 Based on surface observations, Meirink et al. (2008) developed a 4DVAR system to 93 optimize monthly methane emissions, which showed a high degree of consistency in 94 95 posterior emissions and uncertainties when compared with an analogous inversion 96 based on the traditional synthesis approach. Although considerable progress has been made to reduce large uncertainties in emission 97 98 inventories, the drawback of the 4DVAR method is the additional development of 99 adjoint models, which are technically difficult and cumbersome for complex chemical transport models (Bocquet and Sakov, 2013). Instead, EnKF uses flow-dependent 100 101 background error covariance generated by ensemble simulations to map deviations in 102 concentrations to increments of emissions, which is more flexible and easier to 103 implement. Many previous studies used EnKF techniques to assimilate single- or dual-104 species observations to optimize the corresponding emission species (Chen et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2017; Schwartz et al., 2014; Sekiyama et al., 2010). Miyazaki et al. (2017) 105 improved NO_x emission estimates using multi-constituent satellite observations, and 106 107 further estimated global surface NO_x emissions from 2005 to 2014. Feng et al., (2020b) used surface observations of NO₂ to infer the NO_x emission changes in China during 108 the COVID-19, and quantitatively evaluate the impact of the epidemic on economic 109 activities from the perspective of emission change. Tang et al. (2011) adjusted the 110 emissions of NO_x and VOCs through assimilating surface O₃ observations and achieved 111 an better performance in O₃ forecasts. However, such a revision may encounter the 112 problem of model error compensation rather than a retrieval of physically meaningful 113 quantities, which should be avoided from overfitting for emission inversion purposes 114 (Bocquet, 2012; Navon, 1998; Tang et al., 2011). The EnKF has also been widely 115 applied to optimize emissions of carbon dioxide (Jiang et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2019), 116

carbon monoxide (Feng et al., 2020a; Mizzi et al., 2018), sulfur dioxide (Chen et al.,

118 2019), ammonia (Kong et al., 2019), etc.

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

Multi-species data assimilation can efficiently reduce the uncertainty in emission inventories and has led to improvements in air quality forecasting (Ma et al., 2019; Miyazaki et al., 2012b) as it offers additional constraints on emission estimates through improvements in related atmospheric fields, chemical reactions, and gas-particle transformations (Miyazaki and Eskes, 2013). Barbu et al. (2009) updated sulfur oxide (SO_x) emissions with SO_2 and sulfate aerosol observations and found that the simultaneous assimilation of both species performed better than assimilating them separately. Muller and Stavrakou (2005) also found that the simultaneous optimization of the sources of CO and NO_x led to better agreement between simulations and observations compared to the case where only CO observations are used.

The deviation in the chemical initial condition (IC) is an important source of error that affects the accuracy of emission inversion because atmospheric inversion fully attributes the biases in simulated and observed concentrations to deviations in emissions (Meirink et al., 2006; Peylin et al., 2005). The biases of concentrations would be compensated by the unreasonable adjustment of pollution emissions without the optimization of ICs (Tang et al., 2013). Simultaneously optimizing chemical ICs and emissions has been applied to constrain emissions in many previous studies (Ma et al., 2019; Miyazaki et al., 2012a; Peng et al., 2018). For example, Elbern et al. (2007) adjusted O₃ ICs, NO_x ICs and emissions, VOCs ICs and emissions jointly through assimilating surface O₃ and NO_x observations. Although the forecast skills of O₃ were improved, due to the coarse model resolution and the strong nonlinear relationship between O₃ and NO_x, the assimilation of O₃ observation worsened emission inversion and forecast of NO_x. Peng et al. (2018) assimilated near-surface observations to simultaneously optimize the ICs and emissions. In the 72-hr forecast evaluation, their resultant emission succeeded in improving SO₂ forecast while having little influence on CO and aerosol forecast and even degrading the forecast of NO₂. Ma et al. (2019) also found that the DA benefits for forecast almost disappeared after 72 hr using optimized ICs and emissions. Although a large improvement has been achieved, this method has significant limitations in emission inversion as the contributions from the emissions and chemical ICs to the model's biases are difficult to distinguish (Jiang et al., 2017). In addition, the constraints of the chemical ICs with observations in each assimilation window make the emission inversions between the windows independent. This means that if the emission in one window is overestimated or underestimated, it cannot be transferred to the next window for further correction and compensation. Considering the importance of emissions in chemical field prediction (Bocquet et al., 2015), the rapid disappearance of the DA benefits seems unrealistic, indicating that simultaneously optimizing chemical ICs and emissions may result in a systematic bias in the inverted emissions (Jiang et al., 2021). Since 2013, China has deployed an air pollution monitoring network that publishes nationwide and real-time hourly surface observations. This dataset provides an opportunity to improve emission estimates using the DA. In this study, a regional multiair pollutant assimilation system using 3DVAR and EnKF DA techniques was constructed to simultaneously assimilate various surface observations (e.g. CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀). We adopted a "two-step" method in this system, in which the ICs of each DA window were simulated using the posterior emissions of the previous DA window. The capabilities of RAPAS for reanalysis field generation and emission inversion estimation were also evaluated. The robustness of the system was investigated with different prior inventories, uncertainty settings of prior emissions, and observation errors. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the DA system and observation data, Section 3 describes the experimental design, Section 4 presents and discusses the results of the system performance and

2. Method and data

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

173

2.1 System description

2.1.1 Procedure of the assimilation system

sensitivity tests, and Section 5 concludes the paper.

A regional air pollutant assimilation system has been preliminarily constructed and successfully applied in our previous studies to optimize the gridded CO and NO_x emissions (Feng et al., 2020a; Feng et al., 2020b). Herein, the system was further extended to simultaneously assimilate multiple species (e.g. CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀) and officially named the Regional multi- Air Pollutant Assimilation System (RAPASv1.0). The RAPAS has three components: a regional chemical transport model (CTM), which is coupled offline and used to simulate the meteorological fields and atmospheric compositions, and the 3DVAR and ensemble square root filter (EnSRF) modules, which are used to optimize chemical ICs (Feng et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2013b) and anthropogenic emissions (Feng et al., 2020a; Feng et al., 2020b), respectively. 3DVAR was introduced considering its excellent performance in our previous study and the lower computational cost during the spin-up period in optimizing ICs. Additionally, the 3DVAR method can obtain a better IC than the EnKF method (Schwartz et al., 2014). Based on the above three components, the RAPAS was divided into two subsystems: the IC assimilation (IA) subsystem (CTM plus 3DVAR) and the emission inversion (EI) subsystem (CTM plus EnSRF). As shown in Figure 1, the IA subsystem was first run to optimize the chemical ICs (Kleist et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2002) for the subsequent EI subsystem. Distinguish the source type of model-observation mismatch error was not required for the IA subsystem. The EI subsystem runs cyclically with a "two-step" scheme. In the first step, the prior emissions (X^b) are perturbed and input into the CTM model to simulate chemical concentration ensembles. The simulated concentrations of the lowest model level were then interpolated to the observation space according to the locations and times of the observations using the nearest-neighbor interpolation method. Prior emissions (X^b) , simulated observations and real observations were entered into the EnSRF module to generate optimized emissions (X^a) . In the second step, the optimized emissions were re-entered into the CTM model to generate the ICs of the next DA window. Meanwhile, the optimized emissions were transferred to the next window as prior emissions. Unlike joint adjustment of ICs and emissions ("one-step" scheme) in emission inversion (Chen et al., 2019), the "two-step" scheme needs to run

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

the CTM model twice, which is time consuming but can transfer the potential errors of the inverted emissions in one DA window to the next for further correction.




Figure 1. Composition and flow chart of RAPAS. $\mathbf{x_a}$ and $\mathbf{x_b}$ represent the prior and posterior emissions. The 3DVAR assimilation stage lasts five days with data input frequency of six hours and the DA window in the EI subsystem is set to one day.

2.1.2 Atmospheric transport model

The regional chemical transport model of Weather Research and Forecasting/Community Multiscale Air Quality Modelling System (WRF/CMAQ) was adopted in this study. CMAQ is a regional 3-D Eulerian atmospheric chemistry and transport model with a "one-atmosphere" design developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). It can simultaneously address the complex interactions among multiple pollutants/air quality issues. The CMAQ was driven by the WRF model, which is a state-of-the-art mesoscale numerical weather prediction system designed for

both atmospheric research and meteorological field forecasting. In this study, WRF version 4.0 and CMAQ version 5.0.2 were used. The WRF simulations were performed with a 36-km horizontal resolution on 169 × 129 grids, covering all of mainland China (Figure 2). This spatial resolution has been widely adopted in regional simulations as it can provide good simulations of spatiotemporal variations in air pollutants (Mueller and Mallard, 2011; Sharma et al. 2016). In the vertical direction, there were 51 sigma levels on the sigma-pressure coordinates extending from the surface to 100 hPa. The underlying surface of the urban and built-up land was replaced by the MODIS land cover retrieval of 2016 to adapt to the rapid expansion of urbanization. The CMAQ model was run with the same domain but with three grid cells removed from each side of the WRF domain. There were 15 layers in the CMAQ vertical coordinates, which were interpolated from 51 WRF layers. The meteorological initial and lateral boundary conditions were both provided by the Final Operational Global Analysis data of the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) with a 1° × 1° resolution at 6-h intervals. The chemical lateral boundary conditions and chemical ICs in the IA subsystem originate from background profiles. As mentioned above, in the EI subsystem, the chemical IC in the first window is provided by the IA subsystem and in the following windows, it is forward simulated using optimized emissions from the previous window. Carbon Bond 05 with updated toluene chemistry (CB05tucl) and the 6th generation aerosol module (AERO6) were chosen as the gas-phase and aerosol chemical mechanisms, respectively (Appel et al., 2013; Sarwar et al., 2012). The detailed physical and chemical configurations are listed in Table 1.

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238




Figure 2. Model domain and observation network. The red dashed frame depicts the CMAQ computational domain; the black squares represent the surface meteorological measurement sites; the navy triangles represent the sounding sites; and the red and blue dots represent the air pollution measurement sites. Observations from all sites were assimilated in the 3DVAR subsystem, while observations of city sites where red dots were averaged are used for assimilation and where blue dots were averaged are used for independent evaluation in the EI subsystem; the boxed subregions are the North China Plain (NCP) and Yangtze River Delta (YRD); and the shaded area depicts the topography.

Table 1. Configuration options of WRF/CMAQ

WRF		CMAQ			
Parameter	Scheme	Parameter	Scheme		
Microphysics	WSM6	Horizontal/Vertical advection	yamo/wrf		
Longwave	RRTM	Horizontal/Vertical diffusion	multiscale/acm2		
Shortwave	Goddard	Deposition	m3dry		
Boundary layer	ACM	Chemistry solver	EBI		
Cumulus	Kain-Fritsch	Photolysis	phot_inline		
Land-surface	Noah	Aerosol module	AERO6		
Surface layer	Revised	Cloud module	cloud_acm_ae6		
Urban canopy	No	Gas-phase chemistry	CB05tucl		

2.1.3 3DVAR assimilation algorithm

Grid-point Statistical Interpolation (GSI) developed by the US NCEP was utilized in this study. Building on the work of Liu et al. (2011), Jiang et al. (2013b) and Feng et al. (2018), we extended GSI to simultaneously assimilate multiple species (including CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀) and first used individual aerosol species of PM_{2.5} as analysis variables within the GSI/WRF/CMAQ framework. Additional work includes the construction of surface air pollutant observation operators, the updating of observation errors, and the statistics of background error covariance for the analysis variables. Moreover, the data interface was modified to read/write the CMAQ output/input file directly, which was easy to implement.

In the sense of minimum analysis error variance, the 3DVAR algorithm optimizes the analysis fields with observations by iterative processes to minimize the cost function (J(x)) defined below:

²⁶⁹
$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x_a} - \mathbf{x_b})^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x_a} - \mathbf{x_b}) + \frac{1}{2} [H(\mathbf{x_a}) - \mathbf{y}]^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} [H(\mathbf{x_a}) - \mathbf{y}],$$
(1)

where $\mathbf{x_a}$ is a vector of the analysis field, $\mathbf{x_b}$ is the background field, \mathbf{y} is the vector of observations, \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{R} are the background and observation error covariance matrices,

272 respectively, representing the relative contributions to the analysis, and H is the 273 observation operator that maps the model variables to the observation space.

The analysis variables were the 3D mass concentrations of the pollution components 274 (e.g. CO and sulfate) at each grid point. Hourly mean surface pollution observations 275 within a one-hour window of the analysis were assimilated. To assimilate the surface 276 pollution observations, model-simulated compositions were first diagnosed at 277 observation locations. For gas concentrations to be directly used as analysis variables, 278 the units need to be converted from ppm and ppb to mg m⁻³ and µg m⁻³, respectively, to 279 match the observations. The model-simulated PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations at the 280 ground level were diagnosed as follows: 281

282
$$PM_{2.5} = f_i \times PM_i + f_j \times PM_j + f_k \times PM_k = OC + EC + SO_4^{2-} + NO_3^{-} + NH_4^{+} + PM_4^{-}$$

$$283 \quad SEAS + AP_{2.5} \tag{2}$$

$$284 PM_{10} = PM_i + PM_i + PM_k = PM_{2.5} + PMC (3)$$

where f_i , f_j , and f_k are the PM_{2.5} fractions of the Aitken, accumulation, and coarse 285 modes, respectively. These ratios are recommended as the concentrations of PM_{2.5} and 286 fine mode aerosols (i.e. Aitken plus accumulation) can differ because PM_{2.5} particles 287 include small tails from the coarse mode in the CMAQ model (Binkowski and Roselle, 288 2003; Jiang et al., 2006). PM_i , PM_j , and PM_k are the mass concentrations of the three 289 modes in the CMAQ model, respectively. Seven aerosol species of PM2.5 (organic 290 carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) , nitrate (NO_3^{-}) , ammonium (NH_4^{+}) , 291 sea salt (SEAS), and fine-mode unspeciated aerosols $(AP_{2.5})$) and additional coarse 292 293 PM₁₀ (PMC) were extracted as analysis variables and were updated using the PM_{2.5} and PMC observations. Before calculating equation (1) within the GSI, the analysis 294 variables were bilinearly interpolated in the horizontal direction to the observation 295 locations. 296

Calculating background error covariance (**B**) is generally costly and difficult when a high-dimensional numerical model is used. For simplification, **B** was represented as a

299 product of spatial correlation matrices and standard deviations (SDs).

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}^T \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}} \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}} \tag{5}$$

where **D** is the background error SD matrix; **C** is the background error correlation matrix; \otimes is the Kronecker product; and C_x , C_y , and C_z denote three one-dimensional correlation submatrices in the longitude, latitude, and vertical coordinate directions, respectively. C_x and C_y are assumed to be horizontally isotropic such that they can be represented using a Gaussian function. The correlation between any two points x_i and x_j in the horizontal direction is expressed as follows:

308
$$c(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\frac{(x_i - x_j)^2}{2L^2}}$$
 (6)

309

310

311

where L is the horizontal correlation scale estimated using the proxy of the background error (Figure 3). The vertical correlation matrix C_z is directly estimated from the model background field as C_z is only an $n_z \times n_z$ (here, n_z =15) matrix.




Figure 3. Vertical profiles of standard deviations (top, μg m⁻³), horizontal (middle, km) and vertical (bottom, km) length scales for CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, EC, OC, sea salt, unspeciated aerosols (AP2.5), PMC, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀.

To estimate these matrices, the "NMC" method was used to compute **B** for each variable by taking the differences between forecasts of different lengths valid at the same time (Parrish and Derber, 1992; Rabier et al., 1998). Differences between the 24- and 12-h WRF/CMAQ forecasts of 60 pairs (two pairs per day) of analysis variables valid at either 0000 or 1200 UTC over November 2016 were used. The horizontal and vertical length scales of the correlation matrices were estimated using recursive filters (Purser et al., 2003). The vertical distribution of the background error SDs, which varies with height and species, is shown in Figure 3. The vertical profile of the background error

SDs corresponds to the vertical concentration distribution. This means that higher concentrations tend to have larger background error SDs (e.g., CO and nitrate). These SDs exhibit a common reduction as the height increases, especially at the top of the boundary layer. The horizontal correlation of the background error determines the propagation of observation information in this direction, whereas the vertical correlation determines the vertical extension of such increments. For gaseous pollutants and most individual aerosol components, the horizontal length scales increased with height, whereas for the total particulate matter (i.e. PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀), the scales increased with height in the boundary layer and decreased with height in the free troposphere. The ground-level scale generally spread 40–45 km for all control variables. The vertical length scale of most species first increased and then decreased with height, which may be related to vertical mixing (Kahnert, 2008) and stack emissions at approximately 200 m height.

2.1.4 EnKF assimilation algorithm

In EnKF, the time-dependent uncertainties of the state variables are estimated using a Monte Carlo approach through an ensemble. Uncertainty can be propagated using linear or nonlinear dynamic models (flow-dependent background error covariance) by simply implementing ensemble simulations. The EnSRF algorithm introduced by Bierman (1977) and Maybeck (1979) was used to constrain pollution emissions in this study. EnSRF is a deterministic EnKF that obviates the need to perturb observations, which has a higher computational efficiency and a better performance (Sun et al., 2009).

The perturbation of the prior emissions represents the uncertainty. We implemented additive emission adjustment methods, which were calculated using the following function:

348
$$X_i^b = X_0^b + \delta X_i^b, i = 1, 2, ..., N$$
 (7)

where b is the background (prior) state, i is the identifier of the perturbed samples, and N is the ensemble size, which was set to 40 considering the trade-off between computational cost and inversion accuracy (Figure S1). In contrast to the estimation of

parameters based on the augmentation of the conventional state vector (e.g. concentrations) with the parameter variables, X only comprises emissions in this study (similarly hereafter). δX_i^b is the randomly perturbed samples added to the prior emissions X_0^b to produce ensemble samples of the inputs X_i^b . δX_i^b is drawn from Gaussian distributions with a mean of zero and standard deviation of the prior emission uncertainty in each grid. The state variables of the emissions include CO, SO₂, NO_x, primary PM_{2.5} (PPM_{2.5}) and PMC. We used variable localization to update the analysis, which means that the covariance among different state variables was not considered, and the emission of one species was constrained only by its corresponding air pollutant observation. This method has been widely used in chemical data assimilation systems to avoid spurious correlations between species (Ma et al., 2019; Miyazaki et al., 2012b). After obtaining an ensemble of state vectors (prior emissions), ensemble runs of the CMAQ model were conducted to propagate the errors in the model with each ensemble sample of state vectors. Combined with the observational vector y, the state vector \overline{X}^b was updated by minimizing the analysis variance.

$$\overline{X^a} = \overline{X^b} + K(y - H\overline{X^b})$$
 (8)

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{H}^{T} (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{R})^{-1}$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{P}^{b} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{X}_{i}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}^{b}}) (\mathbf{X}_{i}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}^{b}})^{T}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

$$\delta X_i^a = \delta X_i^b - \widetilde{K} H \delta X_i^b \tag{11}$$

While employing sequential assimilation and independent observations, $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ is calculated as follows:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}} = \left(1 + \sqrt{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^b\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R})}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{K}$$
 (12)

where $\overline{X^b}$ is the mean of the ensemble samples X_i^b ; H is the observation operator that maps the model space to the observation space, consisting of the model integration

process converting emissions into concentrations and spatial interpolation matching the model concentration to the locations of the observations; $y - H\overline{X^b}$ reflects the differences between the simulated and observed concentrations; P^b is the ensemble-estimated background (a priori) error covariance; P^bH^T contains the response of the uncertainty in the simulated concentrations to the uncertainty in emissions; K is the Kalman gain matrix of the ensemble mean depending on the P^b and observation error covariance R, representing the relative contributions to analysis; and \widetilde{K} is the Kalman gain matrix of the ensemble perturbation, which is used to calculate emission perturbations after inversions δX_i^a . The ensemble mean $\overline{X^a}$ of the analyzed state was considered the best estimate of the emissions.

When large volumes of site observations are at a much higher resolution than the model grid spacing, many correlated or fully consistent model-data mismatch errors can appear in one cluster, resulting in excessive adjustments and deteriorated model performance (Houtekamer and Mitchell, 2001). To reduce the horizontal observation error correlations and influence of representativeness errors, a "super-observation" approach combining multiple noisy observations located within the same grid and assimilation window was developed based on optimal estimation theory (Miyazaki et al., 2012a). Previous studies demonstrated the necessity for data-thinning and dealiasing errors (Feng et al., 2020b; Zhang et al., 2009a). The super-observation y_{new} , super-observation error r_{new} , and corresponding simulation $x_{new,i}$ of the ith sample are calculated as follows:

$$\frac{1}{r_{new}^2} = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{r_j^2} \tag{13}$$

398
$$y_{new} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_j / \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j$$
 (14)

399
$$x_{new,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \, x_{ij} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j$$
 (15)

where j is the identifier of m observations within a super-observation grid; r_j is the observational error of the actual jth observation y_j ; x_{ij} is the simulated concentration

using the *i*th prior emission sample corresponding to the *j*th observation; and $w_i =$ 402 $\frac{1}{r_i^2}$ is the weighting factor. The super-observation error decreased as the number of 403 observations used within a super-observation increased. This method was used in our 404 previous inversions using surface-based (Feng et al., 2020b) and satellite-based (Jiang 405 et al., 2021) observations. 406 407 In this study, the DA window was set to one day because the model requires a longer time to integrate the emission information into the concentration ensembles (Ma et al., 408 2019). Due to the "super-observation" approach, only one assimilation is needed in one 409 assimilation window. In addition, owing to the complexity of hourly emissions, it is 410 411 difficult to simulate hourly concentrations that match the observations well. Although a longer DA window would allow more observations to constrain the emission change 412 of one grid, the spurious correlation signals of EnKF would attenuate the observation 413 information over time (Bruhwiler et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2021). Kang et al. (2012) 414 415 conducted OSSEs and demonstrated that owing to the transport errors and increased spurious correlation, a longer DA window (e.g. 3 weeks) would cause the analysis 416 system to blur essential emission information away from the observation. Therefore, 417 daily mean simulations and observations were used in the EnSRF algorithm and daily 418 419 emissions were optimized in this system. 420 EnKF is subject to spurious correlations because of the limited number of ensembles 421 when it is applied in high-dimensional atmospheric models, which can cause rank 422 deficiencies in the estimated background error covariance and filter divergence and 423 further degrade analyses and forecasts (Wang et al., 2020). Covariance localization is 424 performed to reduce spurious correlations caused by a finite ensemble size 425 (Houtekamer and Mitchell, 2001). Covariance localization preserves the meaningful 426 impact of observations on state variables within a certain distance (cutoff radius) but 427 limits the detrimental impact of observations on remote state variables. The localization 428 function of Gaspari and Cohn function (Gaspari and Cohn, 1999) is used in this system,

which is a piecewise continuous fifth-order polynomial approximation of a normal distribution. The optimal localization scale is related to the ensemble size, assimilation window, dynamic system, and lifetime of the chemical species in the atmosphere. CO, SO₂ and PM_{2.5} are rather stable in the atmosphere, with a lifetime of more than one day. According to the average wind speed (3.3 m/s, Table 4) and length of the DA window, the localization scales of CO, SO₂ and PM_{2.5} were set to 300 km. In addition, the localization scales of NO₂, which is rather reactive and has a lifetime of approximately 10 hours in winter (de Foy et al., 2015), and PMC, which mainly from local sources and has a short residence time in the atmosphere owing to the rapid deposition rate (Clements et al., 2014; Clements et al., 2016; Hinds, 1982), were set to 150 and 250 km, respectively.

2.2 Prior emissions and uncertainties

Anthropogenic emissions over China were obtained from the 2016 Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC 2016) (Zheng et al., 2018), while those over the other regions of East Asia were obtained from the mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory (MIX) (Li et al., 2017). The spatial resolutions of the MEIC and MIX inventories were both $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ and they are downscaled to match the model grid spacing of 36 km. The spatial distributions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC emissions are shown in Figure 11. The daily emission inventory, which was arithmetically averaged from the combined monthly emission inventory, was directly used in the EI subsystem and was employed as the prior emission of the first DA window in the EI subsystem (Figure 1). During the simulations, daily emissions were further converted to hourly emissions. All species emitted from area sources were converted to hourly emissions using the same diurnal profile (Figure S2) and for the point source, we assumed that there was no diurnal change. MEIC 2012 was used as an alternative a priori over China to investigate the impact of different prior emissions on optimized emissions. The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2012) was used to calculate time-dependent biogenic emissions, which was driven by the WRF model. Biomass burning emissions were not included because they have little impact across China during the study period (Zhang et al., 2020).

During the inversion cycles, inverted emissions of different members converge gradually, and the ensemble-estimated error covariance matrix is likely to be underestimated. To avoid this, considering the compensation of model errors and comparable emission uncertainties from one day to the next, we imposed the same uncertainty on emissions at each DA window. As mentioned above, the optimized emissions of the current DA window were transferred to the next DA window as prior emissions. The technology-based emission inventory developed by Zhang et al. (2009b), using the same method as MEIC, showed that the emissions of PMC and PPM_{2.5} had the largest uncertainties, followed by CO, and finally SO₂ and NO_x. Therefore, the uncertainties of PMC, PPM_{2.5}, CO, SO₂, and NO_x in this study were set as 40%, 40%, 30%, 25%, and 25%, respectively. However, previous studies have shown that inversely estimated CO and PMC emissions can exceed 100% higher than the bottom-up emissions (MEIC) in certain areas (Feng et al., 2020b; Ma et al., 2019). Therefore, according to the extent of underestimation, we set an uncertainty of 100% for both the CO and PMC emissions at the beginning of the three DA windows to quickly converge the emissions. Mean emission analysis is generally minimally sensitive to the uncertainty setting in the assimilation cycle method (Feng et al., 2020; Gurney et al., 2004; Miyazaki et al., 2012a) as the inversion errors of the current window can be transferred to the next window for further optimization (Section 4.3).

2.3 Observation data and errors

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

Hourly averaged surface CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ observations from 1504 national control air quality stations were assimilated into this system, which were obtained from the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China (http://106.37.208.233:20035/, last access: 25 June 2020). These sites are distributed over most of central and eastern China and become denser near metropolitan areas (see Figure 2). To ensure data quality, value-range checks were performed to eliminate unrealistic or unrepresentative observations and only the observations within

the subjectively selected threshold range were assimilated (Table 2). In additionally, a time-continuity check was performed to eliminate gross outliers and sudden anomalies using the function of $max(|O(t) - O(t \pm 1)|) \le f(t)$, where O(t) and $O(t \pm 1)$ represent observations at time t and $t \pm 1$, respectively, and $f(t) = T_a + T_b \times O_t$. This means that the concentration difference between time t and time t+1 and t-1 should be less than f(t). T_b was fixed at 0.15 and the section of T_a is given in Table 2, which was determined empirically according to the time series change of concentration at each site. To avoid potential cross-correlations, we assimilated PM_{2.5} and PMC. Additionally, in the EI subsystem, the observations within each city were averaged to reduce the data density, reduce the error correlation, and increase spatial representation (Houtekamer and Mitchell, 2001; Houtekamer and Zhang, 2016). Finally, 336 city sites were available across mainland China, in which data from 311 cities were selected for assimilation and the remaining 25 were selected for independent validation (Figure 2). In the IA subsystem, owing to the small horizontal correlation scale (Figure 3), all site observations were assimilated to provide a good IC for the next emission inversion to obtain more extensive observation constraints.

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

509

510

511

513

The observation error covariance matrix (R) includes both the measurement and representation errors. The measurement error ε_0 is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_0 = ermax + ermin \times \Pi_0 \tag{16}$$

where ermax is the base error and Π_0 denotes the observed concentration. These parameters for different species are listed in Table 2 and were determined according to Chen et al. (2019), Feng et al. (2018), and Jiang et al. (2013b).

The representative error depends on the model resolution and characteristics of the observation locations, which were calculated using the equations of Elbern et al. (2007), defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_r = \gamma \varepsilon_0 \sqrt{\Delta l/L} \tag{17}$$

where γ is a tunable parameter (here, γ =0.5), Δl is the grid spacing (36 km), and L

is the radius (3 km for simplification) of the influence area of the observation. The total observation error (r) was defined as follows:

$$516 r = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0^2 + \varepsilon_r^2} (18)$$

Table 2. Parameters of quality control and measurement error

Parameter	CO mg m ⁻³	SO ₂ μg m ⁻³	NO ₂ μg m ⁻³	O ₃ μg m ⁻³	PM _{2.5} μg m ⁻³	PMC μg m ⁻³
value-range	0.1-12	1-800	1-250	1-250	1-800	1-900
time-continuity (T_a)	2.5	160	70	80	180	180
ermax	0.05	1	1	1	1.5	1.5
ermin	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.75%	0.75%

3 Experimental design

RAPAS was conducted according to the procedure and settings described in Section 2. December is one of the months with the most severe air pollution, whereas July is one of the least polluted months in China. Therefore, this study mainly tested the performance of the RAPAS system over these two months. For December, the IA subsystem was run from 26 November to 31, 2016, with a 6-hour interval cycling assimilation to optimize ICs (ICDA). A better IC at 0000 UTC on 1 December could be obtained by a five-day high-frequency cycling assimilation and atmospheric mixing. The EI subsystem was then run for December 2016 with a one-day assimilation window to optimize emissions (EMDA). In July, the system operated identically to that of December. It should be noted that owing to the stronger atmospheric oxidation, the lifetime of NO₂ in July was significantly shorter than that in December; thus, we adopted a smaller localization scale for NO₂ (80 km). Both assimilation experiments used the combined prior emission inventories of 2016, as described in Section 2.2, and the emission base year coincided with the research stage. An Observing Systems Simulation Experiment (OSSE) was conducted to evaluate the performance of the

RAPAS system, which has been widely used in previous assimilation systems development (Daley, 1997). In the OSSE experiment, we used the MEIC 2016 inventory as a "true" emission and reduced by 30% over mainland China as a prior emission. The simulations were simulated using the "true" emission and sampled according to the locations and times of the real observations used as artificial observations. The observation errors were the same as those in EMDA. To evaluate the IC improvements from the IA subsystem, an experiment without 3DVAR (NODA) was conducted with the same meteorological fields and physical and chemistry parameterization settings as those of the ICDA. To evaluate the posterior emissions of the EI subsystem, two parallel forward modelling experiments were performed for December 2016: a control experiment (CEP) with prior (MEIC 2016) emissions and a validation experiment (VEP) with posterior emissions. Both experiments used the same IC at 0000 UTC on December 01 generated through the IA subsystem. The only difference between CEP and VEP were emissions. Table 3 summarizes the different emission inversion experiments conducted in this study. To investigate the robustness of our system, eight sensitivity tests (from EMS1 to EMS7; see Table 3) were performed. These experiments were all based on EMDA. EMS1 used MEIC 2012 as the original prior emission in China, aiming to investigate the impact of different prior inventories on the estimates of emissions. The other experiments (EMS2-5) aimed to test the impact of different prior uncertainty settings, in which the prior uncertainties were reduced by -50% and -25%, and increased by 25% and 50%, respectively. EMS6 aimed to evaluate the impact of observation errors on emission estimates, in which all observation errors are magnified twice. EMS7 aimed to evaluate the impact of IC optimization of the first window on emission estimates, in which the ICs were taken from a five-day spin-up simulation. Eight forward modelling

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

experiments (VEP1, VEP2, ..., VEP7) were also performed with the posterior

emissions of EMS1 to EMS7 to evaluate their performance.

Table 3. Emission inversion and sensitivity experiments conducted in this study

Exp. Type	Exp. Name	Period	IC of the first DA Window	ICs of the subsequent DA window	Emission
Assimilation	EMDA	1–31 December	0000 UTC on December 1, taken from ICDA	Forecast with posterior emissions in the previous window	MEIC 2016 for December (the first DA window), optimized emissions of the previous window (other DA windows)
	OSSE	1–31 December	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA but with a decrease of 30% for CO, SO ₂ , NO _x , PPM _{2.5} , and PMC
	EMS1	1–31 December	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA but for EMIC 2012
	EMS2-5	1–31 December	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA but with a \pm 25% or \pm 50% of default uncertainty
Sensitivity	EMS6	1–31 December	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA but with a +100% of default observation errors
	EMS7	1–31 December	0000 UTC on December 1, taken from ICNO	Same as EMDA	Same as EMDA

4 Results

4.1 Evaluations

4.1.1 Simulated meteorological fields

In the RAPAS system, the inversion approach attributes all biases between the simulated and observed concentrations to emissions. Meteorological fields dominate the physical and chemical processes of air pollutants in the atmosphere, and thus their

simulation accuracy would significantly affect the estimates of emissions in this study. To quantitatively evaluate the performance of the WRF simulations, the mean bias (BIAS), root mean square error (RMSE), and correlation coefficient (CORR) were calculated against the surface meteorological observations measured at 400 stations and the planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) was calculated using the sounding data at 92 sites. Surface observations were obtained from the National Climate Data Center integrated surface database (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html, last access: 25 October 2021) and sounding data were obtained from the website of the University of Wyoming (http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html, last access: 10 March 2022). The sounding data had a 12 hour interval. The observed PBLH was calculated using sound data via the bulk Richardson number method (Richardson et al., 2013). The spatial distribution of meteorological stations is shown in Figure 2. The simulated temperature at 2 m (T2), relative humidity at 2 m (RH2), wind speed at 10 m (WS10), and PBLH from 26 November to 31 December 2016 were evaluated against the observations. Table 4 summarizes the statistical results of the evaluation of the simulated meteorological parameters. Overall, T2, RH2 and PBLH were slightly underestimated, with biases of -0.1 °C, -3.8%, and -41.1 m, respectively. CORRs were approximately 0.98 for T2, 0.94 for RH2, and 0.90 for PBLH, showing good consistency between the observations and simulations. WS10 was overestimated, with a bias of 0.7 m/s and an RMSE of 0.8 m/s, but were better than the simulations from many previous studies (Chen et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2012a; Jiang et al., 2012b). Therefore, the WRF can generally reproduce meteorological conditions sufficiently in terms of their temporal variation and magnitude over China, which is adequate for our inversion estimation.

595

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

596

597

Table 4. Statistics comparing the simulated and observed 10-m wind speed (WS10), 2-m temperature (T2), and 2-m relative humidity (RH2), and planetary boundary layer height (PBLH).

Variable Met.	No. of sites	Mean Obs.	Mean Sim.	BIAS	RMSE	CORR
WS10 (m/s)	400	2.6	3.3	0.7	0.8	0.72
T2 (°C)	400	2.9	2.8	-0.1	0.7	0.98
RH2 (%)	400	66.3	62.6	-3.8	5.2	0.94
PBLH (m)	92	267.5	226.4	-41.1	50.4	0.90

^{*} BIAS, mean bias; RMSE, root mean square error; CORR, correlation coefficient

4.1.2 Initial conditions

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

Figure 4 shows an evaluation of the analyzed concentrations of the six species against surface observations. For comparison, the evaluations of the simulations without 3DVAR (NODA) are also shown in Figure 4. The simulations of the NODA experiment (red dots) are scattered on both sides of the central line, as large systematic biases remain across many measurement sites. Conversely, the ICDA experiment (blue dots) showed a much better agreement with the observations than those from NODA. The statistics show that there are large systematic biases in the NODA simulations, with large RMSEs and small CORRs for all species, particularly for CO and PMC. After the assimilation of surface observations, the RMSE of CO decreased to 0.7 mg m⁻³, and those of SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PMC decrease to 22.0, 12.0, 9.6, 20.5, and 19.6 µg m⁻³, respectively, with respective reductions of 50.0%, 73.1%, 61.0%, 64.7%, 69.5%, and 60.8% compared to those of the NODA (Table 5). The CORRs of ICDA increased by 290.0%, 291.3%, 55.4%, 87.2%, 130.0%, and 214.8% to 0.78, 0.90, 0.87, 0.88, 0.92, and 0.85, respectively. These statistics indicate that the ICs of the ground level improved significantly. However, owing to the lack of observations, we still do not know the simulation bias in the upper-middle boundary layer. Although concentrations at high altitudes can be constrained by ground-based observations through vertical

621 correlations, the effect is limited; therefore, the bias remains non-negligible.




Figure 4. Scatter plots of simulated versus observed (a) CO, (b) SO₂, (C) NO₂, (d) O₃, (e) PM_{2.5}, and (f) PMC mass concentrations at 0000 UTC on December 1 initializations from the background (red) and analysis (blue) fields.

Table 5. Comparisons of the surface CO, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PMC mass concentrations from the control and assimilation experiment against observations aggregated over all analysis times. CO unit: mg m⁻³; others units: μg m⁻³.

Species	Exp. Name	Mean Obs.	Mean Sim.	BIAS	RMSE	CORR
СО	NODA	1.5	0.8	-0.7	1.4	0.20
	ICDA	1.3	1.5	-0.1	0.7	0.78
SO_2	NODA	36.3	56.0	19.7	81.7	0.23
SO ₂	ICDA	30.3	37.8	1.5	22.0	0.90
NO_2	NODA	45.8	51.1	5.3	30.8	0.56
NO ₂	ICDA	43.6	47.0	1.1	12.0	0.87
O_3	NODA	20.5	30.8	10.4	27.2	0.47
	ICDA	20.3	23.3	2.8	9.6	0.88
DM.	NODA	70.9	82.2	11.3	67.3	0.40
$PM_{2.5}$	ICDA	70.9	71.8	0.9	20.5	0.92
DMC	NODA	12.5	8.5	-35.0	50.0	0.27
PMC	ICDA	43.5	41.6	-1.9	19.6	0.85

^{*} BIAS, mean bias; RMSE, root mean square error; CORR, correlation coefficient

4.1.3 Posterior emissions

Owing to the mismatched spatial scales, it is difficult to directly evaluate the optimized emissions against observations. Generally, we indirectly validated the optimized emissions by comparing the forward simulated concentrations using the posterior emissions against atmospheric measurements (e.g., Jiang et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2018; Peters et al., 2007). Figure 5 shows the spatial distributions of the mean biases between the gaseous pollutants simulated using prior and posterior emissions and assimilated observations. In the CEPs, for each species, the distribution of biases was similar to the increments in background fields constrained through 3DVAR, as shown in Figure S3. For example, almost all sites had large negative biases for CO, while for SO₂ and NO₂, positive biases were mainly distributed over the North China Plain (NCP), Yangtze River Delta (YRD), Sichuan Basin (SCB), and Central China and negative biases were distributed over remaining areas. After constraining with observations, the biases of all

three gaseous air pollutants were significantly reduced at most sites. For CO, the biases at 62% of the sites decreased to absolute values less than 0.2 mg m⁻³ and for SO₂ and NO_2 , the biases at 52% and 47% of the sites were within $\pm 4 \mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$. However, large negative biases were still observed in western China, indicating that the uncertainties of the posterior emissions are still large in western China, which may be attributed to the large biases in prior emissions and the relatively limited observations. Overall, the statistics show that there are different levels of improvement at the 311 assimilation sites of 92%, 85%, and 85% for CO, SO₂, and NO₂, respectively. The small number of sites with worse performance may be related to over-adjusted emissions by EI or contradictory adjustments caused by opposite biases in adjacent areas. Table 6 lists the statistical results of the evaluations averaged over the whole mainland of China. For CO, the mean bias was -0.8 mg m⁻³ with the prior emissions, while it substantially reduced to -0.1 mg m⁻³ (reduction rate of 89.6%) when simulating with the posterior emissions. Additionally, the RMSE decreased by 48.1% from 1.08 to 0.56 mg m⁻³, and the CORR increased by 76.1% from 0.46 to 0.81. For SO₂ and NO₂, the regional mean biases slightly increased as the positive/negative biases among different sites might be offset. However, the RMSEs decreased to 17.7 and 12.3 µg m⁻³, respectively, which were 58.3% and 50.8% lower than those of CEPs, and the CORRs increased by 125.6% and 35.4%, both reaching up to 0.88, indicating that EI significantly improved the NO_x and SO_2 emission estimates.

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666




Figure 5. Spatial distribution of the BIAS of the simulated (a, b) CO, (c, d) SO₂, and (e, f) NO₂ with prior (left, CEP) and posterior (right, VEP) emissions. CO unit: mg m⁻³; SO₂ and NO₂ units: μg m⁻³.

Figure 6 shows the spatial distributions of the mean biases of simulated PM_{2.5} and PMC evaluated against assimilated observations. Similarly, the CEP simulations did not perform well. There were widespread underestimations across the country, with mean biases of -24.0 and -32.4 μ g m⁻³. After data assimilation, the performance of the VEP simulations significantly improved. The biases decreased by 72.1% and 90.4% to -6.7

and -3.1 μg m⁻³, the RMSEs decreased by 41.2% and 40.7% to 29.6 and 24.6 μg m⁻³, and the CORRs increased by 35.9% and 176.0% to 0.87 and 0.69 for PM_{2.5} and PMC, respectively. Overall, 89.6% and 97.2% of the assimilation sites were improved for PM_{2.5} and PMC, respectively. However, compared with the results for the three gaseous pollutants, there were sites with large biases scattered throughout the entire domain. In addition to the potential over-adjusted or contradictory adjustments of emissions as in the three gas species, the sites with large biases may be related to the complex precursors and complex homogeneous and heterogeneous chemical reactions and transformation processes of secondary PM_{2.5}, and the fact that we did not simulate the time variation of dust blowing caused by wind speed for PMC owing to the lack of land cover data that is compatible with the CMAQ dust module and agricultural activity data to identify dust source regions.




Figure 6. Same as in Figure 5 but for PM_{2.5} and PMC.

Figures 7 and 8 show the spatial distributions of the biases calculated against independent observations for the five species. With posterior emissions, the decreasing ratios of RMSEs ranged from 26.7%–42.0% and the CORRs increased by 13.7–59.0% to 0.62–0.87. Overall, the biases at the independent sites are similar or slightly worse than those at the assimilated sites, which is reasonable as the closer the independent sites are to the assimilated site, the more constraints of observation information can be obtained and the more significant the improvements in the optimized state variables of the model. For example, generally, the transmission distance of NO₂ is relatively short and remote cities with small emission correlations to the cities with assimilated observations are relatively less constrained, resulting in only a 26.7% decrease in the RMSE.




Figure 7. As in Figure 5 but for the independent validation.




Figure 8. As in Figure 6 but for the independent validation.

Comparing our results with those of previous studies, Tang et al. (2013) inverted CO emissions over Beijing and the surrounding areas and obtained comparable improvements (Table 6) in the RMSE (37–48% vs. 30–51%) and CORR (both studies ~ 0.81); however, we decreased the biases by 90–97%, which is much greater than their 48–64% reductions. Additionally, Chen et al. (2019) showed that the RMSE of simulated SO₂ with updated SO₂ emissions decreased by 4.2–52.2% for different regions, and the CORR only increased to 0.69 at most. These improvements are smaller than those obtained in this study, which may be due to the insufficient adjustment of emissions caused by the underestimated ensemble spread through the inflation method. The better performance in this study may be related to our inversion process, which causes the optimized emissions of the current DA window to propagate to the next DA window for further correction.

Table 6. Statistics comparing the pollution concentrations from the simulations with prior (CEP) and posterior (VEP) emissions against assimilated and independent observations, respectively. CO unit: mg m⁻³; others units: μg m⁻³.

Species	Mean	Mean Sim.		BIAS		RMSE		CORR	
	Obs.	CEP	VEP	CEP	VEP	CEP	VEP	CEP	VEP
	Against assimilated observations								
CO	1.43	0.66	1.36	-0.77	-0.08	1.08	0.56	0.46	0.81
SO_2	32.5	34.4	28.4	1.9	-4.1	42.4	17.7	0.39	0.88
NO_2	43.8	40.8	39.0	-2.9	-4.8	25.0	12.3	0.65	0.88
PM _{2.5}	77.0	53.1	70.3	-24.0	-6.7	50.3	29.6	0.64	0.87
PMC	40.5	8.1	37.5	-32.4	-3.1	41.5	24.6	0.25	0.69
		Agains	st indepe	endent of	bservatio	ons			
CO	1.54	0.79	1.52	-0.75	-0.02	1.15	0.72	0.59	0.82
SO_2	40.6	39.2	37.3	-1.3	-3.2	44.3	27.2	0.57	0.87
NO_2	50.2	50.0	47.5	-0.3	-2.7	21.7	15.9	0.73	0.83
PM _{2.5}	91.5	64.6	84.1	-26.9	-7.4	64.1	37.2	0.62	0.87
PMC	42.0	9.2	40.4	-32.8	-1.6	39.3	26.6	0.39	0.62

^{*} BIAS, mean bias; RMSE, root mean square error; CORR, correlation coefficient

4.1.4 Uncertainty reduction

The uncertainty reduction rate (UR) is an important quantity to evaluate the performance of RAPAS and the effectiveness of *in situ* observations (Chevallier et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2021; Takagi et al., 2011). Following Jiang et al. (2021), the UR was calculated as

$$UR = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{posterior}}{\sigma_{prior}}\right) \times 100 \tag{19}$$

where $\sigma_{posterior}$ and σ_{prior} are the posterior and prior uncertainties, respectively, calculated using the standard deviations of the prior and posterior perturbations (Text S2). Table 7 shows the URs averaged in each province and mainland China. URs varied with species as they are closely related to the magnitude settings of prior uncertainties (Jiang et al., 2021). The URs of PPM_{2.5} and PMC were the most effective while the UR of NO_x emissions was the lowest. For mainland China overall, uncertainties were reduced by 44.4%, 45.0%, 34.3%, 51.8%, and 56.1% for CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and

PMC, respectively. For one species, URs varied across provinces. URs are usually related to observation coverage, which means that the more observation constraints there are, the more URs decrease. Additionally, URs may also be related to emission distributions. Generally, URs were more significant in the provinces where observations and emissions were both relatively concentrated (e.g. Tibet), while they were much lower where the emissions were scattered or relatively uniform, but the observations were only in large cities, even if there were many more observations than in other provinces.

Table 7. Time-averaged posterior emission uncertainty reduction (%) indicated by the standard deviation reduction of total emissions per province calculated by prior and posterior ensembles.

Province	со	SO ₂	NO _x	PPM _{2.5}	РМС	
Mainland	44.4	45.0	34.3	51.8	56.1	,
Shanghai-	16.9	16.7	20.8	24.7	18.5	
Jiangsu-	17.7	25.3	29.3	34.1	52.3	
Zhejiang	24.7	13.3	17.9	42.4	31.4	70
Anhui	20.1	52.7	39.1	58.1	40.9	
Shandong-	32.1	30.0	20.3	53.7	26.7	65
Beijing-	28.2	6.2	37.0	43.3	31.4	
Tianjin	20.0	7.0	21.4	41.3	17.8	60
Hebei-	29.5	40.2	28.8	56.0	30.3	
Shanxi-	38.4	37.9	22.5	55.3	35.0	55
Neimenggu-	30.1	45.8	40.4	37.6	52.8	-50
Henan	27.4	16.1	21.9	53.7	30.8	50
Hunan-	36.0	27.7	34.4	16.9	41.6	45
Hubei-	30.8	16.6	26.0	46.4	46.5	43
Jiangxi ⁻	20.9	28.4	29.4	47.0	46.7	40
Guangdong	31.2	14.9	41.1	53.1	46.4	40
Guangxi-	22.6	13.9	42.5	48.1	55.2	-35
Fujian	9.9	8.1	31.9	31.6	49.2	
Hainan	0.6	0.5	4.5	0.7	23.3	-30
Liaoning-	35.6	34.6	19.0	33.9	54.0	
Heilongjiang	29.9	27.7	17.4	42.0	65.2	-25
Jilin-	27.9	44.5	18.7	42.0	42.8	
Shaanxi	41.3	13.2	29.8	47.9	43.1	-20
Gansu-	24.8	36.1	33.7	46.3	56.4	
Xinjiang-	38.3	27.9	20.2	46.3	66.5	15
Qinghai	53.9	25.8	27.3	46.0	57.9	
Ningxia	47.0	36.6	17.6	38.0	30.1	10
Sichuan	29.4	25.0	39.5	61.1	46.5	
Chongqing	5.7	8.2	8.8	12.7	13.8	-5
Guizhou	14.4	16.4	26.6	40.3	38.2	
Yunnan-	38.3	29.9	31.4	40.1	55.9	-0
Tibet-	30.2	0.5	52.8	67.3	73.2	1

4.1.5 Evaluation using chi-squared statistics

To diagnose the performance of the EnKF analysis, chi-squared (χ^2) statistics were calculated, which are generally used to test whether the prior ensemble mean RMSE with respect to the observations is consistent with the prior "total spread" (square root of the sum of ensemble variance and observation error variance). Following Zhang et al. (2015), for the *t*th window, χ^2 is defined as:

753
$$\chi_t^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{X}^b})^T (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^b\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\overline{\mathbf{X}^b})$$
 (20)

Figure 9 shows the time series of the relative changes between the prior and posterior emissions and the χ^2 statistics. There were relatively large adjustments in emissions in the first three windows, especially for the PMC. Subsequently, the five species reached a more optimal state with successive emission inversion cycles. The χ^2 statistics showed similar variation characteristics as the daily changes in emissions. The χ^2 value was slightly greater than 1, indicating that the uncertainties from the error covariance statistics did not fully account for the error in the ensemble simulations. A similar result was reported by Chen et al. (2019). Further investigations should be conducted to generate larger spreads by accounting for the influence of model errors. As we imposed the same uncertainty of prior emissions at each DA window to partially compensate for the influence of model errors, χ^2 statistics showed small fluctuations, indicating that the system updated emissions consistently and stably.




Figure 9. Relative changes (a) in posterior emission estimates of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC and χ^2 statistics (b) of these state vectors in each window.

4.1.6 Evaluation using OSSE

Figure 10 shows the spatial distribution of the error reduction in the posterior emissions of the five species. After inversion, in most areas, the emission errors were reduced by more than 80%, especially in the central and eastern regions with dense observation sites, while in remote areas far away from cities, due to the sparse observation sites, the emission errors were still not well adjusted. Overall, the error reduction rates of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC were 78.4%, 86.1%, 78.8%, 77.6%, and 72.0%, respectively, indicating that with the *in situ* observations in China, RAPAS can significantly reduce emission errors and thus showed good performance in emission estimates.




Figure 10. Spatial distribution of the error reduction (%) of posterior emissions in the OSSE.

4.2 Inverted emissions

Figure 11 shows the spatial distribution of temporally averaged prior and posterior emissions and their differences in emissions in December 2016. It should be noted that emissions outside China were masked; as the observation sites were limited to China in this study, there was a slight change in the emissions outside China. Higher emissions were mainly concentrated in central and eastern China, especially in the NCP, YRD, and PRD, and lower emissions occurred across Northwest and Southern China. Compared with the prior emissions, posterior CO emissions were considerably increased across most areas of mainland China, especially in northern China, with an overall increase of 129%. A notable underestimation of prior emissions was also confirmed by inversion estimations (Feng et al., 2020b; Tang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2020) and model evaluations (Kong et al., 2019b) in previous studies. For SO₂, the emissions increased mainly in Northeast China, Shanxi, Ningxia, Gansu, Fujian, Jiangxi, and Yunnan provinces. In SCB, Central China, YRD, and part of the NCP,

emissions were significantly reduced. The national total SO₂ emissions increased by 20%. For NO_x, although the increment of national total emissions was small (approximately 5%), there were large deviations. The emissions in NCP and YRD were reduced, whereas the emissions in most cities in other regions increased. The changes in the emission of PPM_{2.5} were similar to those of SO₂. Compared with the prior emissions, the posterior PPM_{2.5} emissions decreased over central China, SCB, and YRD, whereas those in southern and northern China increased, especially in Shanxi, Shaanxi, Gansu, and southern Hebei provinces. Overall, the relative increase was 95%. For PMC, the posterior emissions were increased over all of mainland China, with national mean relative increase exceeding 1000%. Larger emission increments mainly occurred in areas with significant anthropogenic emissions of CO and PPM_{2.5}, indicating that the large underestimation of PMC emissions in the prior inventory may be mainly attributed to the underestimations of anthropogenic activities. The absence of natural dust is another reason, as the wind-blown dust scheme was not applied in this study. Overall, PM10 emissions (PPM_{2.5}+PMC) increased by 318%. If we assume that all the increments in PM₁₀ emissions are from natural dust, that means the contribution of natural dust accounted for 75% of total PM₁₀ emissions, which is consistent with the source apportionment of PM₁₀ of 75% in Changsha in Central China (Li et al., 2010). Large PMC emission increments were also reported by Ma et al. (2019). Detailed estimations of posterior emissions and relative changes compared to prior emissions in each province and mainland China are given in Table S1. The evaluation results for July showed that the emission uncertainty could still be significantly reduced and the performance of the system in July was comparable to that in December (Table S2). Additionally, the seasonal variation in emissions was well reflected (Figures S4 and S5), which means that our system performed well at different times of the year. Note that the differences, excluding PMC, between the prior and posterior emissions mainly reflect the deficiencies of the prior emissions as the times of the prior emissions and observations were consistent in this study.

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823




Figure 11. Spatial distribution of the time-averaged prior emissions (left column, MEIC 2016), posterior emissions (middle column), and differences (right column, posterior minus prior).

4.3 Sensitivity tests

4.3.1 Impact of prior inventories

Various prior inventories have shown considerable differences in space allocation and

emission magnitudes. Inversion results can be sensitive to a priori emissions if the observations are insufficient (Gurney et al., 2004; He et al., 2018). MEIC 2012 was used as an alternative a priori in EMS1 to investigate the impact of different prior emissions on posterior emissions. Figure 12 shows the time series of the relative differences in the daily posterior emissions of the five species between the EMDA (base) and EMS1 experiments. Overall, the differences between the two posterior emissions gradually decreased over time. At the beginning, the differences in the CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC between the two inventories (i.e. MEIC 2012 vs. MEIC 2016) were 17.5%, 114.5%, 30.8%, 46.0%, and 72.0%, respectively, compared to 2.5%, 4.5%, 4.5%, -8.9%, and 3.0% in the last ten days. In addition, the species with larger emission differences at the beginning took a longer time (i.e. more DA steps) to achieve convergence. The quick convergence of PMC emissions was attributed to the large prior uncertainty of 100% used in the first three DA windows. In contrast to the other species, there were significant negative deviations in PPM_{2.5} emissions between the two experiments. This may be due to the positive deviations in the precursors of PM_{2.5} (i.e., SO₂ and NO_x), which lead to a larger amount of secondary production. The PPM_{2.5} emissions will be reduced to balance the total PM2.5. We compared the PM2.5 concentrations simulated by the two optimized inventories and found that they were almost the same (Figure S6). Overall, this indicates that observations in China were sufficient to infer emissions and that our system was robust. Meanwhile, the monthly posterior emissions shown in Section 4.2 were still underestimated to a certain extent.

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851




Figure 12. Relative differences in CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC emissions (%, the ratio of absolute difference to EMDA) between the EMDA and EMS1 experiments.

4.3.2 Impact of prior uncertainties settings

The uncertainty of prior emissions determines how closely the analysis is weighted towards the background and observations; however, information about prior uncertainties is generally not readily available. To evaluate the possible influence of prior uncertainties on the optimized emissions, we increased/reduced the uncertainties after three days of cycling, namely starting at 0000 UTC, 3 December, by 25% and 50 % in EMS2 (-50%), EMS3 (-25%), EMS4 (+25%), and EMS5 (+50%), respectively. Table 8 summarizes the emission changes with different prior uncertainty settings in the EMS2–5 experiments. To better understand the response of the system to the emission uncertainty settings, Figure 13 illustrates the time series of SO₂ emission changes, Chisquare statistics, and RMSEs of simulated SO₂ with emissions updated in the EMDA and EMS2–5 experiments over the YRD and NCP (Figure 2). Compared with the EMDA, when the uncertainties decreased (increased), the emissions of the five species decreased (increased) accordingly. This is because the posterior emissions of the five species were larger than the prior emissions and, as shown in Figure 13a–d, larger

uncertainty will lead to faster convergence, resulting in larger posterior emissions. It can also be seen from Figure 13 that a faster convergence will reduce the RMSE of the simulated concentration with the posterior emissions in the early stage of the experiment; however, in the later stage of the experiment, there were no significant differences in the RMSE and Chi-square statistics among the different experiments. However, day-to-day changes in emissions also cause slight fluctuations. In addition, when greater uncertainties are set, the day-to-day changes in emissions are more drastic, resulting in a larger RMSE, as shown in the NCP. Moreover, the significant day-to-day variations in the estimated emissions may not be in line with the actual situation. Owing to the spatial-temporal inhomogeneity of emissions, the differences in Chi-square statistics between the YRD and NCP show that it may be necessary to apply different a priori uncertainties according to different regions (Chen et al., 2019). Therefore, when using an EnKF system for emission estimation, error setting must be carefully executed. Overall, the uncertainties chosen in EMDA aim to minimize the deviation of the concentration fields and maintain the stability of the inversion.

Table 8. Relative differences in CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5} and PMC emissions (%, the ratio of absolute difference to EMDA) between the EMDA and EMS2-5 experiments.

Species	EMS2	EMS3	EMS4	EMS5
СО	-8.6	-4	3	5.2
SO_2	-14	-5.7	3.6	6.8
NO_x	-6.5	-3	2.8	4.5
PPM _{2.5}	-16.5	-7.8	4.6	8.7
PMC	-18.5	-8.2	7.3	13.1




Figure 13. Time-series of SO₂ emission changes, Chi-square statistics, and RMSE of simulated SO₂ with updated SO₂ emissions in the EMDA and EMS2-5 experiments over the YRD and NCP.

4.3.3 Impact of observation error settings

Observation errors are another factor that determine the relative weights of the observations and background in the analysis. A proper estimate of the observation error is important for filter performance; however, observation errors are generally not provided with datasets. The observation error is usually set to a fixed value (Ma et al., 2019), specific proportion of the observation value (Tang et al., 2013), or value calculated by combining measurement error with representative error as used in this study. Generally, the performance of data assimilation is sensitive to the specification

of the observation error (Tang et al., 2013). Sensitivity experiment (EMS6) with doubled observation error was conducted to evaluate the influence of observation error on the optimized emissions. Overall, the spatial distribution of emissions after optimization was almost the same as that of the EMDA experiment but with a lower increment (Figure S7), resulting in a weaker estimate of the national total emissions for each species. This is because that the observation error inflates and the system becomes more certain of the prior emission, and reduces the effect of observation information. Figure 14 shows the time series of simulated and observed daily concentrations and their RMSEs verified against the assimilated sites. The simulations in VEP6 usually performed worse, with larger biases and RMSEs than those of VEP (Figures S8 and S9), especially in western and southern China, where posterior emissions were significantly underestimated. These results generally corresponded to sluggish emission changes and large Chi-square statistics (Figure S10), suggesting that an observation error that is too large may substantially impact the estimated emissions.




Figure 14. Time series of the daily concentrations (CONC, left) and root mean square error (RMSE, right) obtained from CEP, VEP, and VEP7. The simulations were verified against the assimilated sites.

4.3.4 Impact of the IC optimization of the first window

Several studies indicate large emission discrepancies resulting from IC errors (Jiang et al., 2013a; Miyazaki et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2013), which means that if the IC is not optimized, the errors of concentrations would be compensated for through the adjustment of emissions. To evaluate the impact of IC optimization of the first window

on the emission inversions, an EMS7 experiment without the IA step was conducted. Figure 15 shows the time series of the relative differences in the daily posterior emissions of the five species between the EMDA and EMS7 experiments. It can be observed that IC optimization had a significant impact on the emission inversions of long-lived species (i.e. CO). The overall difference in the inverted CO emissions between the two experiments was approximately 5.3% but can reach 26.1% in the first few windows. For the short-lived species, IC optimization had little impact on the emissions; for example, the average emission differences of SO₂, NO_x, and PMC in the two experiments were 0.3%, 0.3%, and 0.9%, respectively. For PPM_{2.5}, the average emission difference is affected not only by primary emissions, but also by the complex chemistry of its precursors. Therefore, the difference between the two experiments fluctuated, with overall difference of 2%. Notably, with the gradual disappearance of the benefit of IC assimilation, the two experiments reached a unified state after several windows. For CO, the impact of IA on emission inversion lasted approximately half a month. These results indicate that removing the bias of the IC of the first DA window is essential for the subsequent inverse analysis (Jiang et al., 2017).

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942




Figure 15. Relative differences in CO, SO_2 , NO_x , $PPM_{2.5}$, and PMC emissions (%, the ratio of absolute difference to EMDA) between the EMDA and EMS7.

4.4 Discussion

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

Optimal state estimation using an EnKF relies on the assumption of an unbiased Gaussian prior error, which is not guaranteed in such highly nonlinear and large bias systems. In this study, some pollutants (e.g. CO, PMC) have very large simulated biases; thus, if a small uncertainty is adopted, the emission bias cannot be fully reduced. If a very large uncertainty is adopted, then the degree of freedom of adjustment is too large and the inverted daily emissions will fluctuate abnormally. Therefore, we only set a larger prior uncertainty in the first three windows, adopting a moderate uncertainty in the following windows and used a "two-step" inversion scheme and cyclic iteration to gradually correct the emission errors. Figure 9a shows the time series of the relative differences between prior and posterior emissions in each window. There were relatively large adjustments for the emissions in the first three windows, especially for PMC, but the adjustment ranges of the five species after the first three windows were within the uncertainty range (e.g. \pm 25%), indicating that with this scheme, the EnKF method used in this system had a good performance in emission inversion. Model-data mismatch errors are from both the emissions and the inherent model errors arising from the model structure, discretization, parameterizations, and biases in the simulated meteorological fields. Neglecting model errors would attribute all uncertainties to emissions and lead to considerable bias in the estimated emissions. In the version of the CMAQ model used in this study, there are no heterogeneous reactions (Quan et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017), the parameterization scheme for the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOA) is imperfect (Carlton et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2019), no feedback between chemistry and meteorology was considered, and we used an idea profile for chemical lateral boundary conditions. All the above problems can lead to underestimated concentrations of pollutants, which in turn require more emissions to compensate, leading to overestimation of emissions. In addition, previous studies showed that ammonia emissions in the MEIC inventory are underestimated (Kong et al., 2019b; Paulot et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). Owing to lack of ammonia observations, our system does not include emission estimates of

ammonia, which means that the concentration of ammonium aerosol was underestimated in this system, also resulting in an overestimation of the PPM_{2.5} emission. Wind-blown dust was also not simulated; thus, the PMC emission inverted in this system come from anthropogenic activities and natural sources. Although some of these shortcomings can be solved by updating the CTM model, there will still be errors in each parameterization and process. In general, a parameter estimation method was used to reduce the model errors, in which some uncertain parameters were included in the augmented state vector and optimized synchronously based on the available observations (Brandhorst et al., 2017; Evensen, 2009). However, it is difficult to identify the key uncertain parameters of different species in different models, which generally comes not only from the complex atmospheric chemical model but also from hundreds of model inputs (Tang et al., 2013). Another method is bias correction, which treats the model error as a bias term and includes it in an augmented state vector (Brandhorst et al., 2017; De Lannoy et al., 2007; Keppenne et al., 2005). In addition, the weak-constraint 4DVAR method can be used to reduce model errors, which adds a correction term in the model integration to account for the different sources of model error (Sasaki, 1970). Although the reliable diagnosis of model error remains a challenge (Laloyaux et al., 2020), it should be considered in an assimilation system. In the future, we will consider model errors in our system to obtain better emission estimates.

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

Independent variable localization was adopted to avoid potential spurious correlations across different species in this study. However, the transmission scales for different species in different regions differ, and a more accurate localization range can be obtained through backward trajectory analysis. In addition, O_3 observations were not assimilated to improve NO_x and VOC emissions using cross-species information. O_3 concentration and NO_x (VOC) emissions were positively correlated in the NO_x (VOC)-limited region and negatively correlated in the VOC (NO_x)-limited region (Tang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2019b). Hamer et al. (2015) successfully used O_3 observations to estimate NO_x and VOC emissions within the 4DVAR framework within an ideal model. However, the NO_x emissions are often point or line sources, which are all small

accurately simulate the relationships between O₃ and its precursors. When assimilating O_3 observations to infer NO_x or VOC emissions, the inaccurate relationships simulated by model would worsen the inversion of NO_x emissions (Inness et al., 2015). In general, improving the model resolution can improve the detailed simulation and provide better prior information on O₃-NO_x-VOC, but it is still difficult to determine whether the condition is NO_x-limited or VOC-limited in the real atmosphere using prior emissions (Liu and Shi, 2021). Elbern et al. (2007) emphasized that assimilating O₃ to correct NO_x or VOC emissions must follow the EKMA framework derived based on observations, otherwise, even if the resolution is improved to sufficiently solve point and line sources, precursor emissions may be still adjusted in an opposite direction. This can be demonstrated in our OSSE experiment at high resolution of 3 km (Figure S11). In this study, the spatial resolutions of the prior emission inventory (i.e., MEIC) is 0.25° × 0.25°, which is appropriate for modeling at regional scales (Zheng et al., 2017). With this emission inventory, it is unable to accurately simulate the O₃-NO_x-VOC relationships. Therefore, to avoid the impact of inaccurate O₃-NO_x relationship on emission inversion, in our system, we did not assimilate O₃, but directly assimilate NO₂ to optimize the NO_x emissions. This work will be followed by an ongoing study using the available VOC observations. Although we do not assimilate O₃ observation, model resolution still has some influence on inversion results. In our previous study (Feng et al., 2022), we have inferred the NO_x emissions over YRD in China using NO₂ observations, which has a spatial resolution of 12 km. The study period, assimilated observations, and inversion settings are the same as this study. We compared the posterior emissions of YRD between this study and Feng et al. (2022). The results showed that there was similar spatial distribution of posterior emissions inferred using the two resolutions (36 km vs 12 km) (Figure S12), but the total NO_x emission in YRD inferred using 36 km resolution was about 8.8% higher than that inferred using 12 km resolution. The differences are mainly caused by meteorological differences at different resolutions. This indicates that coarse model

compared to the model resolution. With a coarse spatial resolution, the model cannot

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

resolution may lead to some overestimation of the inverted emissions. In addition, as shown previously, the concentrations after DA were evidently underestimated in western China, indicating that the inverted emissions over these regions still have large uncertainties because of the sparsity of observations, which are spatially insufficient for sampling the inhomogeneity of emissions. Therefore, further investigations with the joint assimilation of multisource observations (e.g. satellite) are underway.

 NO_x is mainly emitted by transportation (Li et al., 2017), which can reflect the level of economic activity to a certain extent. Weekly emission changes were explored to verify the performance of the system in depicting emission changes (Figure S13). Although the "weekend effect" of emissions in China is not significant (Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015), the posterior NO_x emission changes are in good agreement with the observations. In our previous studies (Feng et al., 2020a; Feng et al., 2020b), this system was successfully applied to optimize NO_x and CO emissions. The inverted emission changes were also in line with the epidemic control time points. Additionally, the emission changes can reflect the emission migration from developed or urban areas to developing or surrounding areas in recent years, which is consistent with the emission control strategies in China. Although the system did not consider the model error, resulting in a certain difference between the posterior and actual emissions, the spatiotemporal changes in posterior emissions were relatively reasonable and can be used to monitor emission changes and inform emission regulations.

5 Summary and conclusions

In this study, we developed a Regional multi-Air Pollutant Assimilation System (RAPASv1.0) based on the WRF/CMAQ model, 3DVAR algorithm, and EnKF algorithm. RAPAS can quantitatively optimize gridded emissions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC on a regional scale by simultaneously assimilating hourly *in situ* measurements of CO, SO₂, NO₂, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀. This system includes two subsystems: IA subsystem and EI subsystem, which optimize chemical ICs and infer anthropogenic emissions.

Taking the 2016 MEIC in December as a priori, the emissions of CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC in December 2016 were inferred by assimilating the corresponding nationwide observations over China. The optimized ICs and posterior emissions were examined against assimilated and independent observations through parallel forward simulation experiments with and without DA. Sensitivity tests were performed to investigate the impact of different inversion processes, prior emissions, prior uncertainties, and observation errors on emission estimates.

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

RAPAS showed a good performance in assimilating surface in situ observations, with the calculated emission uncertainties reduced by 44.4%, 45.0%, 34.3%, 51.8%, and 56.1% for CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC, respectively. It can also significantly improve the simulations; the RMSEs of the simulated concentrations with posterior emissions decreased by 40.1-56.3% and the CORRs increased from 0.26-0.66 to 0.69-0.87 for different species. The OSSE experiment showed that the errors of posterior CO, SO₂, NO_x, PPM_{2.5}, and PMC could be reduced by 78.4%, 86.1%, 78.8%, 77.6%, and 72.0%, respectively. Overall, compared with the prior emissions (MEIC 2016), the posterior emissions increased by 129%, 20%, 5%, and 95% for CO, SO₂, NO_x, and PPM_{2.5}, respectively. The posterior PMC emissions, which included anthropogenic and natural dust contributions, increased by 1045%. Sensitivity tests with different prior inventories showed that the observations in China were sufficient to infer emission and that our system was less dependent on prior inventories. Additionally, sensitivity tests with different prior uncertainties indicated that when the posterior emissions were emissions, the emissions decreased/increased with larger than the prior decreases/increases in uncertainties because of the different convergence rates. These results demonstrate the advantage of the two-step method in emission inversion in that the inversion errors of the last window can be transferred to the current window for further optimization and robustness of the emissions estimated from RAPAS using nationwide observations over China. It should be noted that the system usually responds slowly to too small a priori uncertainties or too large observation errors, which may result in large errors in the estimated emissions.

In summary, the comprehensive evaluation and sensitivity tests revealed that RAPAS could serve as a useful tool for accurately quantifying the spatial and temporal changes in multi-species emissions at regional scales and near-real time, which will be helpful for air pollution control in China and other regions around the world with dense ground observation networks.

1093

1094

1096

1098

1099

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

Code and data availability

The codes of RAPAS v1.0 are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5566225. 1095 The WRF model code is open-source code and can be obtained from the WRF Model User's Page (https://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users, last access: 25 April 2021). The 1097 CMAQ model is available through an open license as well (https://www.epa.gov/cmaq, last access: 25 April 2021). The observational and emission data used in this study are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4718290 (Feng and Jiang, 2021). 1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

Author contribution

SF, FJ, ZW and ZJ developed RAPAS v1.0. SF and FJ designed the research. SF performed model simulations, analyzed data, and prepared the paper with contributions from all co-authors. FJ supervised the model development project and assisted in conceptualization and writing. HW, WH, YS, LZ, YZ, CL, and WJ contributed to the discussion and improvement of the paper.

1108

1109

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1111

1110

Acknowledgements

- 1114 This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.
- 2020YFA0607504), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.
- 41907378), and the Nanjing University Innovation and Creative Program for Ph.D.
- candidate (Grant No. CXCY19-60). We are grateful to the High Performance
- 1118 Computing Center (HPCC) of Nanjing University for doing the numerical calculations
- in this paper on its blade cluster system, and thank the MEIC team for providing the
- prior anthropogenic emissions (http://www.meicmodel.org/).

1121

1122

1113

References

- Appel, K. W., Pouliot, G. A., Simon, H., Sarwar, G., Pye, H. O. T., Napelenok, S. L., Akhtar, F., and
- Roselle, S. J.: Evaluation of dust and trace metal estimates from the Community Multiscale Air
- 1125 Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.0, Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 883-899,
- 1126 10.5194/gmd-6-883-2013, 2013.
- Alexe, M., Bergamaschi, P., Segers, A., Detmers, R., Butz, A., Hasekamp, O., Guerlet, S., Parker,
- 1128 R., Boesch, H., Frankenberg, C., Scheepmaker, R. A., Dlugokencky, E., Sweeney, C., Wofsy,
- S. C., and Kort, E. A.: Inverse modelling of CH4 emissions for 2010-2011 using different
- satellite retrieval products from GOSAT and SCIAMACHY, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 1131 Physics, 15, 113-133, 2015.
- Barbu, A. L., Segers, A. J., Schaap, M., Heemink, A. W., and Builtjes, P. J. H.: A multi-component
- data assimilation experiment directed to sulphur dioxide and sulphate over Europe,
- 1134 Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1622-1631, 2009.
- Bocquet, M.: Parameter-field estimation for atmospheric dispersion: application to the Chernobyl
- accident using 4D-Var, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 138, 664-681,
- 1137 2012.
- Bocquet, M., Elbern, H., Eskes, H., Hirtl, M., Žabkar, R., Carmichael, G. R., Flemming, J., Inness,
- A., Pagowski, M., Pérez Camaño, J. L., Saide, P. E., San Jose, R., Sofiev, M., Vira, J., Baklanov,
- 1140 A., Carnevale, C., Grell, G., and Seigneur, C.: Data assimilation in atmospheric chemistry
- models: current status and future prospects for coupled chemistry meteorology models,
- Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 5325-5358, 2015.
- Bocquet, M. and Sakov, P.: Joint state and parameter estimation with an iterative ensemble Kalman
- smoother, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 20, 803-818, 2013.
- 1145 Basu, S., Guerlet, S., Butz, A., Houweling, S., Hasekamp, O., Aben, I., Krummel, P., Steele, P.,
- Langenfelds, R., Torn, M., Biraud, S., Stephens, B., Andrews, A., and Worthy, D.: Global CO2
- fluxes estimated from GOSAT retrievals of total column CO2, Atmospheric Chemistry and

- 1148 Physics, 13, 8695-8717, 2013.
- Bauwens, M., Compernolle, S., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., van Gent, J., Eskes, H., Levelt, P. F.,
- van der A, R., Veefkind, J. P., Vlietinck, J., Yu, H., and Zehner, C.: Impact of Coronavirus
- 1151 Outbreak on NO2 Pollution Assessed Using TROPOMI and OMI Observations, 47,
- 1152 e2020GL087978, 10.1029/2020gl087978, 2020.
- 1153 Bierman: Factorization methods for Discrete Sequential estimation, Academic Press, 1977.
- Binkowski, F. S. and Roselle, S. J.: Models-3 community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model
- aerosol component 1. Model description, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 108,
- 1156 10.1029/2001jd001409, 2003.
- Brandhorst, N., Erdal, D., and Neuweiler, I.: Soil moisture prediction with the ensemble Kalman
- filter: Handling uncertainty of soil hydraulic parameters, Advances in Water Resources, 110,
- 1159 360-370, 2017.
- Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Michalak, A. M., Peters, W., Baker, D. F., and Tans, P.: An improved Kalman
- Smoother for atmospheric inversions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2691-2702, 10.5194/acp-5-
- 1162 2691-2005, 2005.
- 1163 Carlton, A. G., Turpin, B. J., Altieri, K. E., Seitzinger, S. P., Mathur, R., Roselle, S. J., and Weber,
- 1164 R. J.: CMAQ Model Performance Enhanced When In-Cloud Secondary Organic Aerosol is
- Included: Comparisons of Organic Carbon Predictions with Measurements, Environmental
- 1166 Science & Technology, 42, 8798-8802, 2008
- 1167 Chen, D., Liu, Z., Ban, J., and Chen, M.: The 2015 and 2016 wintertime air pollution in China: SO2
- emission changes derived from a WRF-Chem/EnKF coupled data assimilation system,
- Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 8619-8650, 10.5194/acp-19-8619-2019, 2019.
- 1170 Chen, D., Liu, Z., Fast, J., and Ban, J.: Simulations of sulfate-nitrate-ammonium (SNA) aerosols
- during the extreme haze events over northern China in October 2014, Atmospheric Chemistry
- and Physics, 16, 10707-10724, 10.5194/acp-16-10707-2016, 2016.
- 1173 Chevallier, F., Bréon, F.-M., and Rayner, P. J.: Contribution of the Orbiting Carbon Observatory to
- the estimation of CO2 sources and sinks: Theoretical study in a variational data assimilation
- 1175 framework, 112, 10.1029/2006JD007375, 2007.
- 1176 Clements, A. L., Fraser, M. P., Upadhyay, N., Herckes, P., Sundblom, M., Lantz, J., and Solomon,
- P. A.: Chemical characterization of coarse particulate matter in the Desert Southwest Pinal
- 1178 County Arizona, USA, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 5, 52-61, 10.5094/apr.2014.007, 2014.
- 1179 Clements, N., Hannigan, M. P., Miller, S. L., Peel, J. L., and Milford, J. B.: Comparisons of urban
- and rural PM10-2.5 and PM2.5 mass concentrations and semi-volatile fractions in northeastern
- 1181 Colorado, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 7469-7484, 10.5194/acp-16-7469-2016,
- 1182 2016.
- Daley, R.: Atmospheric Data Assimilation (gtSpecial IssueltData Assimilation in Meteology and
- Oceanography: Theory and Practice), Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II,
- 1185 75, 319-329, 1997.

- 1186 Derber, J. C.: A VARIATIONAL CONTINUOUS ASSIMILATION TECHNIQUE, Monthly
- 1187 Weather Review, 117, 2437-2446, 1989.
- de Foy, B., Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Lamsal, L. N., and Duncan, B. N.: Estimates of power plant NOx
- 1189 emissions and lifetimes from OMI NO2 satellite retrievals, Atmospheric Environment, 116, 1-
- 1190 11, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.056, 2015.
- De Lannoy, G. J. M., Houser, P. R., Pauwels, V. R. N., and Verhoest, N. E. C.: State and bias
- estimation for soil moisture profiles by an ensemble Kalman filter: Effect of assimilation depth
- and frequency, 43, 2007.
- 1194 Ding, J., van der A, R. J., Mijling, B., Levelt, P. F., and Hao, N.: NOx emission estimates during the
- 1195 2014 Youth Olympic Games in Nanjing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 9399-9412,
- 1196 10.5194/acp-15-9399-2015, 2015.
- Elbern, H., Strunk, A., Schmidt, H., and Talagrand, O.: Emission rate and chemical state estimation
- by 4-dimensional variational inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3749-3769,
- 1199 10.5194/acp-7-3749-2007, 2007.
- 1200 Evensen, G.: The Ensemble Kalman Filter for Combined State and Parameter Estimation MONTE
- 1201 CARLO TECHNIQUES FOR DATA ASSIMILATION IN LARGE SYSTEMS, Ieee Control
- 1202 Systems Magazine, 29, 83-104, 10.1109/mcs.2009.932223, 2009.
- 1203 Feng, S., Jiang, F., Jiang, Z., Wang, H., Cai, Z., and Zhang, L.: Impact of 3DVAR assimilation of
- surface PM2.5 observations on PM2.5 forecasts over China during wintertime, Atmospheric
- Environment, 187, 34-49, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.05.049, 2018.
- 1206 Feng, S., Jiang, F., Wang, H., Shen, Y., Zheng, Y., Zhang, L., Lou, C., and Ju, W.: Anthropogenic
- emissions estimated using surface observations and their impacts on PM2.5 source
- 1208 apportionment over the Yangtze River Delta, China, Science of The Total Environment, 828,
- 1209 154522, 2022
- 1210 Feng, S., Jiang, F., Wu, Z., Wang, H., Ju, W., and Wang, H.: CO Emissions Inferred From Surface
- 1211 CO Observations Over China in December 2013 and 2017, Journal of Geophysical Research-
- 1212 Atmospheres, 125, 10.1029/2019jd031808, 2020a.
- 1213 Feng, S., Jiang, F., Wang, H., Wang, H., Ju, W., Shen, Y., Zheng, Y., Wu, Z., and Ding, A.: NOx
- Emission Changes Over China During the COVID-19 Epidemic Inferred From Surface NO2
- 1215 Observations, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, 10.1029/2020gl090080, 2020b.
- 1216 Feng, S. and Jiang, F.: Anthropogenic air pollutant emissions over China inferred by Regional multi-
- 1217 Air Pollutant Assimilation System (RAPAS v1.0), Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.4718290, 2021.
- 1218 Gaspari, G. and Cohn, S. E.: Construction of correlation functions in two and three dimensions,
- 1219 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 125, 723-757, 10.1256/smsqi.55416,
- 1220 1999.
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang,
- 1222 X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an
- extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model

- Development, 5, 1471-1492, 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
- 1225 Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., Rayner, P. J., Pak, B. C., Baker, D., Bousquet, P.,
- Bruhwiler, L., Chen, Y. H., Ciais, P., Fung, I. Y., Heimann, M., John, J., Maki, T., Maksyutov,
- 1227 S., Peylin, P., Prather, M., and Taguchi, S.: Transcom 3 inversion intercomparison: Model mean
- results for the estimation of seasonal carbon sources and sinks, Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
- 1229 18, 10.1029/2003gb002111, 2004.
- He, W., van der Velde, I. R., Andrews, A. E., Sweeney, C., Miller, J., Tans, P., van der Laan-Luijkx,
- 1231 I. T., Nehrkorn, T., Mountain, M., Ju, W., Peters, W., and Chen, H.: CTDAS-Lagrange v1.0: a
- high-resolution data assimilation system for regional carbon dioxide observations,
- 1233 Geoscientific Model Development, 11, 3515-3536, 10.5194/gmd-11-3515-2018, 2018.
- Hinds, W.C.: Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of Airborne Particles.
- 1235 New York: John Wiley, 1982.
- 1236 Houtekamer, P. L. and Mitchell, H. L.: A sequential ensemble Kalman filter for atmospheric data
- 1237 assimilation, Monthly Weather Review, 129, 123-137, 10.1175/1520-
- 1238 0493(2001)129<0123:asekff>2.0.co;2, 2001.
- 1239 Houtekamer, P. L. and Zhang, F.: Review of the Ensemble Kalman Filter for Atmospheric Data
- 1240 Assimilation, Monthly Weather Review, 144, 4489-4532, 10.1175/mwr-d-15-0440.1, 2016.
- 1241 Inness, A., Blechschmidt, A. M., Bouarar, I., Chabrillat, S., Crepulja, M., Engelen, R. J., Eskes, H.,
- Flemming, J., Gaudel, A., Hendrick, F., Huijnen, V., Jones, L., Kapsomenakis, J., Katragkou,
- E., Keppens, A., Langerock, B., de Maziere, M., Melas, D., Parrington, M., Peuch, V. H.,
- Razinger, M., Richter, A., Schultz, M. G., Suttie, M., Thouret, V., Vrekoussis, M., Wagner, A.,
- 1245 and Zerefos, C.: Data assimilation of satellite-retrieved ozone, carbon monoxide and nitrogen
- dioxide with ECMWF's Composition-IFS, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 5275-5303,
- 1247 2015.
- Jiang, F., Liu, Q., Huang, X., Wang, T., Zhuang, B., and Xie, M.: Regional modeling of secondary
- organic aerosol over China using WRF/Chem, Journal of Aerosol Science, 43, 57-73,
- 1250 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2011.09.003, 2012a.
- 1251 Jiang, F., Zhou, P., Liu, Q., Wang, T., Zhuang, B., and Wang, X.: Modeling tropospheric ozone
- formation over East China in springtime, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 69, 303-319,
- 1253 10.1007/s10874-012-9244-3, 2012b.
- Jiang, F., Wang, H. M., Chen, J. M., Machida, T., Zhou, L. X., Ju, W. M., Matsueda, H., and Sawa,
- 1255 Y.: Carbon balance of China constrained by CONTRAIL aircraft CO2 measurements,
- 1256 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 10133-10144, 10.5194/acp-14-10133-2014, 2014.
- 1257 Jiang, F., Wang, H., Chen, J. M., Ju, W., Tian, X., Feng, S., Li, G., Chen, Z., Zhang, S., Lu, X., Liu,
- J., Wang, H., Wang, J., He, W., and Wu, M.: Regional CO2 fluxes from 2010 to 2015 inferred
- 1259 from GOSAT XCO2 retrievals using a new version of the Global Carbon Assimilation System,
- 1260 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 1963-1985, 10.5194/acp-21-1963-2021, 2021.
- 1261 Jiang, W., Smyth, S., Giroux, E., Roth, H., and Yin, D.: Differences between CMAQ fine mode
- particle and PM2.5 concentrations and their impact on model performance evaluation in the

- lower Fraser valley, Atmospheric Environment, 40, 4973-4985,
- 1264 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.069, 2006.
- Jiang, Z., Jones, D. B. A., Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Henze, D. K., Worden, J., Bowman, K. W.,
- 1266 Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Schuck, T. J.: Impact of model errors in convective transport on
- 1267 CO source estimates inferred from MOPITT CO retrievals, Journal Of Geophysical Research-
- 1268 Atmospheres, 118, 2073-2083, 2013a.
- Jiang, Z., Liu, Z., Wang, T., Schwartz, C. S., Lin, H.-C., and Jiang, F.: Probing into the impact of
- 3DVAR assimilation of surface PM10 observations over China using process analysis, Journal
- 1271 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 6738-6749, 10.1002/jgrd.50495, 2013b.
- Jiang, Z., Worden, J. R., Worden, H., Deeter, M., Jones, D. B. A., Arellano, A. F., and Henze, D. K.:
- 1273 A 15-year record of CO emissions constrained by MOPITT CO observations, Atmospheric
- 1274 Chemistry And Physics, 17, 4565-4583, 10.5194/acp-17-4565-2017, 2017.
- 1275 Jin, J., Lin, H. X., Heemink, A., and Segers, A.: Spatially varying parameter estimation for dust
- emissions using reduced-tangent-linearization 4DVar, Atmospheric Environment, 187, 358-
- 1277 373, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.05.060, 2018.
- 1278 Kahnert, M.: Variational data analysis of aerosol species in a regional CTM: background error
- 1279 covariance constraint and aerosol optical observation operators, Tellus B, 60, 2008.
- 1280 Kang, J.-S., Kalnay, E., Miyoshi, T., Liu, J., and Fung, I.: Estimation of surface carbon fluxes with
- an advanced data assimilation methodology, 117, 10.1029/2012JD018259, 2012.
- 1282 Keppenne, C. L., Rienecker, M. M., Kurkowski, N. P., and Adamec, D. A.: Ensemble Kalman filter
- assimilation of temperature and altimeter data with bias correction and application to seasonal
- prediction, Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 12, 491-503, 2005.
- 1285 Kleist, D. T., Parrish, D. F., Derber, J. C., Treadon, R., Wu, W.-S., and Lord, S.: Introduction of the
- GSI into the NCEP Global Data Assimilation System, Weather and Forecasting, 24, 1691-1705,
- 1287 10.1175/2009waf2222201.1, 2009.
- 1288 Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Pan, Y., Wu, H., Wu, L., Wu, Q., He, Y., Tian, S., Xie, Y., Liu,
- 1289 Z., Sui, W., Han, L., and Carmichael, G.: Improved Inversion of Monthly Ammonia Emissions
- 1290 in China Based on the Chinese Ammonia Monitoring Network and Ensemble Kalman Filter,
- Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 12529-12538, 10.1021/acsest.9b02701, 2019a.
- 1292 Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Itahashi, S., Yamaji, K., Nagashima, T.,
- 1293 Lee, H. J., Kim, C. H., Lin, C. Y., Chen, L., Zhang, M., Tao, Z., Li, J., Kajino, M., Liao, H.,
- 1294 Sudo, K., Wang, Y., Pan, Y., Tang, G., Li, M., Wu, Q., Ge, B., and Carmichael, G. R.: Evaluation
- 1295 and uncertainty investigation of the NO2, CO and NH3 modeling over China under the
- 1296 framework of MICS-Asia III, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2019, 1-33, 10.5194/acp-2018-
- 1297 1158, 2019b.
- 1298 Laloyaux, P., Bonavita, M., Chrust, M., and Gürol, S.: Exploring the potential and limitations of
- weak-constraint 4D-Var, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146, 4067-
- 1300 4082, 2020

- Li, J.-d., Deng, Q.-h., Lu, C., and Huang, B.-l.: Chemical compositions and source apportionment
- of atmospheric PM10 in suburban area of Changsha, China, Journal of Central South
- 1303 University of Technology, 17, 509-515, 2010.
- 1304 Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J.-i., Woo, J.-H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. G.,
- 1305 Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng,
- 1306 B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international
- 1307 collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
- 1308 17, 935-963, 10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017.
- 1309 Liu, C. and Shi, K.: A review on methodology in O3-NOx-VOC sensitivity study, Environmental
- 1310 Pollution, 291, 118249, 2021.
- Liu, Y., Kalnay, E., Zeng, N., Asrar, G., Chen, Z., and Jia, B.: Estimating surface carbon fluxes based
- on a local ensemble transform Kalman filter with a short assimilation window and a long
- observation window: an observing system simulation experiment test in GEOS-Chem 10.1,
- Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 2899-2914, 2019.
- Liu, Z., Liu, Q., Lin, H.-C., Schwartz, C. S., Lee, Y.-H., and Wang, T.: Three-dimensional variational
- assimilation of MODIS aerosol optical depth: Implementation and application to a dust storm
- over East Asia, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 116, n/a-n/a,
- 1318 10.1029/2011jd016159, 2011.
- 1319 Lorenc, A. C.: Modelling of error covariances by 4D-Var data assimilation, Quarterly Journal of the
- 1320 Royal Meteorological Society, 129, 3167-3182, 2003.
- Hamer, P. D., Bowman, K. W., Henze, D. K., Attie, J. L., and Marecal, V.: The impact of observing
- characteristics on the ability to predict ozone under varying polluted photochemical regimes,
- 1323 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 10645-10667, 2015.
- 1324 Ma, C., Wang, T., Mizzi, A. P., Anderson, J. L., Zhuang, B., Xie, M., and Wu, R.: Multiconstituent
- Data Assimilation With WRF-Chem/DART: Potential for Adjusting Anthropogenic Emissions
- and Improving Air Quality Forecasts Over Eastern China, 124, 7393-7412,
- 1327 10.1029/2019jd030421, 2019.
- 1328 Meirink, J. F., Bergamaschi, P., and Krol, M. C.: Four-dimensional variational data assimilation for
- inverse modelling of atmospheric methane emissions: method and comparison with synthesis
- inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 6341-6353, 2008.
- 1331 Meirink, J. F., Eskes, H. J., and Goede, A. P. H.: Sensitivity analysis of methane emissions derived
- from SCIAMACHY observations through inverse modelling, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 1333 Physics, 6, 1275-1292, 10.5194/acp-6-1275-2006, 2006.
- 1334 Maybeck: Stochastic Models, Estimation and Control Academic Press, 1979.
- 1335 Miyazaki, K. and Eskes, H.: Constraints on surface NOx emissions by assimilating satellite
- observations of multiple species, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 4745-4750,
- 1337 10.1002/grl.50894, 2013.
- 1338 Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H. J., and Sudo, K.: Global NOx emission estimates derived from an

- assimilation of OMI tropospheric NO2 columns, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12,
- 1340 2263-2288, 10.5194/acp-12-2263-2012, 2012a.
- 1341 Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H. J., Sudo, K., Takigawa, M., van Weele, M., and Boersma, K. F.:
- 1342 Simultaneous assimilation of satellite NO2, O-3, CO, and HNO3 data for the analysis of
- tropospheric chemical composition and emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12,
- 1344 9545-9579, 10.5194/acp-12-9545-2012, 2012b.
- 1345 Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes
- 1346 in global surface NOx emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation,
- 1347 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 807-837, 2017.
- 1348 Mizzi, A. P., Edwards, D. P., and Anderson, J. L.: Assimilating compact phase space retrievals
- 1349 (CPSRs): comparison with independent observations (MOZAIC in situ and IASI retrievals)
- and extension to assimilation of truncated retrieval profiles, Geoscientific Model Development,
- 1351 11, 3727-3745, 2018.
- Monteil, G., Houweling, S., Butz, A., Guerlet, S., Schepers, D., Hasekamp, O., Frankenberg, C.,
- Scheepmaker, R., Aben, I., and Rockmann, T.: Comparison of CH4 inversions based on 15
- months of GOSAT and SCIAMACHY observations, Journal of Geophysical Research-
- 1355 Atmospheres, 118, 11807-11823, 2013.
- 1356 Muller, J. F. and Stavrakou, T.: Inversion of CO and NOx emissions using the adjoint of the
- 1357 IMAGES model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 1157-1186, 2005.
- Nassar, R., Jones, D. B. A., Kulawik, S. S., Worden, J. R., Bowman, K. W., Andres, R. J.,
- Suntharalingam, P., Chen, J. M., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Schuck, T. J., Conway, T. J., and
- 1360 Worthy, D. E.: Inverse modeling of CO2 sources and sinks using satellite observations of CO2
- from TES and surface flask measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 6029-
- 1362 6047, 2011.
- Navon, I. M.: Practical and theoretical aspects of adjoint parameter estimation and identifiability in
- meteorology and oceanography, Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans, 27, 55-79, 1998.
- 1365 Parrish, D. F. and Derber, J. C.: The National Meteorological Center's spectral statistical-
- interpolation analysis system, Monthly Weather Review, 120, 1747-1763, 10.1175/1520-
- 1367 0493(1992)120<1747:tnmcss>2.0.co;2, 1992.
- 1368 Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Pinder, R. W., Bash, J. O., Travis, K., and Henze, D. K.: Ammonia emissions
- in the United States, European Union, and China derived by high-resolution inversion of
- ammonium wet deposition data: Interpretation with a new agricultural emissions inventory
- 1371 (MASAGE_NH3), Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 119, 4343-4364, 2014.
- Peng, Z., Liu, Z., Chen, D., and Ban, J.: Improving PM<sub>2. 5</sub> forecast over
- 1373 China by the joint adjustment of initial conditions and source emissions with an ensemble
- 1374 Kalman filter, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 4837-4855, 10.5194/acp-17-4837-
- 1375 2017, 2017.
- 1376 Peng, Z., Lei, L., Liu, Z., Su, J., Ding, A., Ban, J., Chen, D., Kou, X., and Chu, K.: The impact of
- multi-species surface chemical observation assimilation on air quality forecasts in China,

- 1378 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 10.5194/acp-18-17387-2018, 2018.
- 1379 Peters, W., Jacobson, A. R., Sweeney, C., Andrews, A. E., Conway, T. J., Masarie, K., Miller, J. B.,
- Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Petron, G., Hirsch, A. I., Worthy, D. E. J., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson,
- J. T., Wennberg, P. O., Krol, M. C., and Tans, P. P.: An atmospheric perspective on North
- American carbon dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker, Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 1383 Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 18925-18930, 10.1073/pnas.0708986104, 2007.
- Peylin, P., Rayner, P. J., Bousquet, P., Carouge, C., Hourdin, F., Heinrich, P., Ciais, P., and
- contributors, A.: Daily CO2 flux estimates over Europe from continuous atmospheric
- measurements: 1, inverse methodology, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 3173-3186,
- 1387 10.5194/acp-5-3173-2005, 2005.
- 1388 Purser, R. J., Wu, W. S., Parrish, D. F., and Roberts, N. M.: Numerical aspects of the application of
- recursive filters to variational statistical analysis. Part I: Spatially homogeneous and isotropic
- 1390 Gaussian covariances, Monthly Weather Review, 131, 1524-1535, 10.1175//1520-
- 1391 0493(2003)131<1524:naotao>2.0.co;2, 2003.
- Quan, J., Liu, Q., Li, X., Gao, Y., Jia, X., Sheng, J., Liu, Y., 2015. Effect of heterogeneous aqueous
- reactions on the secondary formation of inorganic aerosols during haze events. Atmospheric
- 1394 Environment 122, 306-312.
- Rabier, F., McNally, A., Andersson, E., Courtier, P., Unden, P., Eyre, J., Hollingsworth, A., and
- Bouttier, F.: The ECMWF implementation of three-dimensional variational assimilation (3D-
- 1397 Var). II: Structure functions, Quarterly Journal Of the Royal Meteorological Society, 124,
- 1398 1809-1829, 10.1256/smsqj.55002, 1998.
- 1399 Reichle, R. H., McLaughlin, D. B., and Entekhabi, D.: Hydrologic data assimilation with the
- ensemble Kalman filter, Monthly Weather Review, 130, 103-114, 2002.
- 1401 Richardson, H., Basu, S., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Improving Stable Boundary-Layer Height
- 1402 Estimation Using a Stability-Dependent Critical Bulk Richardson Number, Boundary-Layer
- 1403 Meteorology, 148, 93-109, 2013.
- 1404 Ruiz, J. and Pulido, M.: Parameter Estimation Using Ensemble-Based Data Assimilation in the
- 1405 Presence of Model Error, Monthly Weather Review, 143, 1568-1582, 2015.
- 1406 Sarwar, G., Simon, H., Bhave, P., and Yarwood, G.: Examining the impact of heterogeneous nitryl
- 1407 chloride production on air quality across the United States, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 1408 Physics, 12, 6455-6473, 10.5194/acp-12-6455-2012, 2012.
- 1409 Sasaki, Y.: SOME BASIC FORMALISMS IN NUMERICAL VARIATIONAL ANALYSIS,
- 1410 Monthly Weather Review, 98, 875-&, 1970.
- 1411 Schneising, O., Buchwitz, M., Burrows, J. P., Bovensmann, H., Bergamaschi, P., and Peters, W.:
- Three years of greenhouse gas column-averaged dry air mole fractions retrieved from satellite
- Part 2: Methane, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 443-465, 2009.
- 1414 Schwartz, C. S., Liu, Z., Lin, H.-C., and Cetola, J. D.: Assimilating aerosol observations with a
- 1415 "hybrid" variational-ensemble data assimilation system, Journal Of Geophysical Research-

- 1416 Atmospheres, 119, 4043-4069, 10.1002/2013jd020937, 2014.
- 1417 Sekiyama, T. T., Tanaka, T. Y., Shimizu, A., and Miyoshi, T.: Data assimilation of CALIPSO aerosol
- 1418 observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 39-49, 10.5194/acp-10-39-2010, 2010.
- 1419 Shen, Y., Jiang, F., Feng, S., Zheng, Y., Cai, Z., and Lyu, X.: Impact of weather and emission changes
- on NO2 concentrations in China during 2014–2019, Environmental Pollution, 269, 116163,
- 1421 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116163, 2021.
- 1422 Shi, X. and Brasseur, G. P.: The Response in Air Quality to the Reduction of Chinese Economic
- 1423 Activities During the COVID-19 Outbreak, 47, e2020GL088070, 10.1029/2020gl088070,
- 1424 2020.
- 1425 Stanevich, I., Jones, D. B. A., Strong, K., Keller, M., Henze, D. K., Parker, R. J., Boesch, H., Wunch,
- D., Notholt, J., Petri, C., Warneke, T., Sussmann, R., Schneider, M., Hase, F., Kivi, R.,
- Deutscher, N. M., Velazco, V. A., Walker, K. A., and Deng, F.: Characterizing model errors in
- chemical transport modeling of methane: using GOSAT XCH4 data with weak-constraint four-
- dimensional variational data assimilation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 9545-9572,
- 1430 2021.
- 1431 Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Boersma, K. F., De Smedt, I., and van der A, R. J.: Assessing the
- distribution and growth rates of NOx emission sources by inverting a 10-year record of NO2
- 1433 satellite columns, 35, 10.1029/2008gl033521, 2008.
- 1434 Sun, A. Y., Morris, A., and Mohanty, S.: Comparison of deterministic ensemble Kalman filters for
- assimilating hydrogeological data, Advances in Water Resources, 32, 280-292,
- 1436 10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.11.006, 2009.
- Takagi, H., Saeki, T., Oda, T., Saito, M., Valsala, V., Belikov, D., Saito, R., Yoshida, Y., Morino, I.,
- 1438 Uchino, O., Andres, R. J., Yokota, T., and Maksyutov, S.: On the Benefit of GOSAT
- Observations to the Estimation of Regional CO₂ Fluxes, SOLA, 7, 161-164,
- 1440 10.2151/sola.2011-041, 2011.
- 1441 Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z. F., and Gbaguidi, A.: Improvement of ozone forecast over Beijing based
- on ensemble Kalman filter with simultaneous adjustment of initial conditions and emissions,
- 1443 Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 11, 12901-12916, 10.5194/acp-11-12901-2011, 2011.
- Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z. F., Wang, M., Gbaguidi, A., Li, J., Shao, M., Tang, G. Q., and Ji, D. S.:
- 1445 Inversion of CO emissions over Beijing and its surrounding areas with ensemble Kalman filter,
- 1446 Atmospheric Environment, 81, 676-686, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.051, 2013.
- 1447 Wang, C., Lei, L., Tan, Z.-M., and Chu, K.: Adaptive Localization for Tropical Cyclones With
- Satellite Radiances in an Ensemble Kalman Filter, Frontiers in Earth Science, 8,
- 1449 10.3389/feart.2020.00039, 2020.
- Wang, H., Jiang, F., Wang, J., Ju, W., and Chen, J. M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon flux estimated
- using GOSAT and OCO-2 XCO2 retrievals, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 12067-
- 1452 12082, 2019a.
- 1453 Wang, N., Lyu, X., Deng, X., Huang, X., Jiang, F., and Ding, A.: Aggravating O3 pollution due to

- NOx emission control in eastern China, Science of The Total Environment, 677, 732-744,
- 1455 2019b.
- 1456 Wang, Y. H., Hu, B., Ji, D. S., Liu, Z. R., Tang, G. Q., Xin, J. Y., Zhang, H. X., Song, T., Wang, L.
- 1457 L., Gao, W. K., Wang, X. K., and Wang, Y. S.: Ozone weekend effects in the Beijing-Tianjin-
- Hebei metropolitan area, China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 2419-2429, 2014.
- Wang, Z., Li, Y., Dong, X., Sun, R., Sun, N., and Pan, L.: Analysis on weekend effect of air
- pollutants in urban atmosphere of Beijing, Journal of University of Chinese Academy of
- 1461 Sciences, 32, 843-850, 2015.
- 1462 Wang, Z., Wang, W., Tham, Y.J., Li, Q., Wang, H., Wen, L., Wang, X., Wang, T., 2017. Fast
- 1463 heterogeneous N2O5 uptake and ClNO2 production in power plant and industrial plumes
- observed in the nocturnal residual layer over the North China Plain. Atmospheric Chemistry
- 1465 and Physics 17, 12361-12378.
- 1466 Wecht, K. J., Jacob, D. J., Sulprizio, M. P., Santoni, G. W., Wofsy, S. C., Parker, R., Boesch, H., and
- Worden, J.: Spatially resolving methane emissions in California: constraints from the CalNex
- aircraft campaign and from present (GOSAT, TES) and future (TROPOMI, geostationary)
- satellite observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 8173-8184, 2014.
- 1470 Wu, H., Tang, X., Wang, Z., Wu, L., Li, J., Wang, W., Yang, W., and Zhu, J.: High-spatiotemporal-
- resolution inverse estimation of CO and NOx emission reductions during emission control
- periods with a modified ensemble Kalman filter, Atmospheric Environment, 236,
- 1473 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117631, 2020.
- Wu, W. S., Purser, R. J., and Parrish, D. F.: Three-dimensional variational analysis with spatially
- inhomogeneous covariances, Monthly Weather Review, 130, 2905-2916, 10.1175/1520-
- 1476 0493(2002)130<2905:tdvaws>2.0.co;2, 2002.
- 1477 Yang, W., Li, J., Wang, W., Li, J., Ge, M., Sun, Y., Chen, X., Ge, B., Tong, S., Wang, Q., and Wang,
- 2.: Investigating secondary organic aerosol formation pathways in China during 2014,
- 1479 Atmospheric Environment, 213, 133-147, 2019.
- 1480 Yumimoto, K., Uno, I., Sugimoto, N., Shimizu, A., Liu, Z., and Winker, D. M.: Adjoint inversion
- 1481 modeling of Asian dust emission using lidar observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
- 1482 8, 2869-2884, 2008.
- 1483 Zhang, F., Weng, Y., Sippel, J. A., Meng, Z., and Bishop, C. H.: Cloud-Resolving Hurricane
- 1484 Initialization and Prediction through Assimilation of Doppler Radar Observations with an
- Ensemble Kalman Filter, Monthly Weather Review, 137, 2105-2125, 10.1175/2009mwr2645.1,
- 1486 2009a.
- Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., Henze, D. K., Zhu, L., Song, Y., Paulot, F., Liu, X., Pan, Y., Lin, Y.,
- and Huang, B.: Agricultural ammonia emissions in China: reconciling bottom-up and top-down
- estimates, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 339-355, 2018.
- Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont, Z., Park, I.
- 1491 S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions
- in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 5131-5153,

10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009b. 1493 1494 Zhang, S., Zheng, X., Chen, J. M., Chen, Z., Dan, B., Yi, X., Wang, L., and Wu, G.: A global carbon 1495 assimilation system using a modified ensemble Kalman filter, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 805-816, 1496 10.5194/gmd-8-805-2015, 2015. 1497 Zhang, X., Liu, J., Han, H., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Z., Wang, H., Meng, L., Li, Y. C., and Liu, Y.: Satellite-1498 Observed Variations and Trends in Carbon Monoxide over Asia and Their Sensitivities to Biomass Burning, Remote Sensing, 12, 10.3390/rs12050830, 2020. 1499 1500 Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L., Qi, J., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Trends in China's anthropogenic 1501 1502 emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, Atmospheric Chemistry And 1503 Physics, 18, 14095-14111, 10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018, 2018. 1504 Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., Tong, D., Chen, C., Hong, C., Li, M., Geng, G., Lei, Y., Huo, H., and He, K.: 1505 Resolution dependence of uncertainties in gridded emission inventories: a case study in Hebei, China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 921-933, 2017. 1506