Supplementary Information: Land Surface Model influence on the simulated climatologies of temperature and precipitation extremes in the WRF v.3.9 model over North America

Almudena García-García^{1, 2}, Francisco José Cuesta-Valero^{1, 2}, Hugo Beltrami¹, Fidel González-Rouco³, Elena García-Bustamante⁴, and Joel Finnis⁵

¹Climate & Atmospheric Sciences Institute, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada ² Environmental Sciences Program, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada ³Physics of the Earth and Astrophysics Department, IGEO (UCM-CSIC), Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain.

⁴Research Center for Energy, Environment and Technology (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain.

⁵Department of Geography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

Correspondence: Hugo Beltrami (hugo@stfx.ca)

Table S1. Characteristics of the evaluation simulations employed in this analysis from three RCMs participating in the CORDEX project. The boundary

conditions for these three simulations are from the ERA-Interim reanalysis.							
CORDEX RCM	LSM	Vegetation Types	Spectral Nudging	Institution	Reference		
WRF	NOAH	24	Yes	NCAR	Skamarock et al. (2008)		
RCA4	RCA LSS	12	No	SMHI	Samuelsson et al. (2011)		
CRCM-UQAM	CLASS3.5+	4	No	UQAM	Martynov et al. (2013)		

 Table S2. Information on the visited websites for retrieving data or detailed information.

Product	Website	Date of access
ETCCDI	https://www.climdex.org/learn/indices/	December, 2018
NA-CORDEX	https://www.earthsystemgrid.org/search/cordexsearch.html	December, 2018
NARR	https://nomads.ncdc.noaa.gov/data/narr/	August, 2017
ARW-WRF Version 3.9	http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/download/get_source.html	August, 2017



Figure S1. Mean frequency of occurrence for the VAC_a category associated with atmospheric control events for each simulation annually and seasonally; DJF, MAM, JJA and SON. Black dots in the maps indicate VAC values larger than the 95th percentile of the randomly generated series.



Figure S2. As in Figure S1 but for the VAC $_b$ category.



Figure S3. As in Figure S1 but for the VAC_c category.



Figure S4. As in Figure S1 but for the VAC_d category.



Figure S5. Climatologies of extreme indices associated with intensity, frequency, and duration of cold extreme temperature events for each simulation separately (Table 2). The indices are computed using the mean of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation.



Figure S6. As in Figure S5 but for warm extreme temperature events.



Figure S7. As in Figure S5 but for extreme precipitation events.

COLD EXTREME INDICES



Figure S8. Seasonal component of the multi-model ranges across the WRF simulations (i.e., difference between the highest value and the lowest value of the simulation ensemble at each grid cell) for extreme indices associated with frequency (TX10p, panel a; TN10p, panel b), and duration (CSDI, panel c) of cold temperature extremes (Table 2). The range among simulations is computed using the mean of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation.



Figure S9. As in Figure S8 but for warm temperature extremes.

PRECIPITATION INDICES



Figure S10. As in Figure S8 but for precipitation extremes.



Figure S11. Multi-model ranges across the WRF simulations (i.e., difference between the highest value and the lowest value of the simulation ensemble at each grid cell) of extreme indices associated with the intensity (a), frequency (b), and duration (c) of cold (left) and warm (right) extreme temperature events (Table 2). The range among simulations is computed using the 95th percentile of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation, except for the TNnDJF and TNnJJA index for which the 5th percentile of the period was employed.



Figure S12. Multi-model ranges across the WRF simulations (i.e., difference between the highest value and the lowest value of the simulation ensemble at each grid cell) of extreme indices associated with the intensity (a), frequency (b), and duration (c) of extreme precipitation events (Table 2). The range among simulations is computed using the 95th percentile of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation.



Figure S13. Climatologies of extreme indices associated with intensity, frequency, and duration of cold extreme temperature events for each simulation separately (Table 2). The indices are computed using the mean of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation.



Figure S14. As in Figure S13 but for warm temperature extremes.







Figure S16. Differences between the range among the WRF simulations and the range among three CORDEX simulations in representing extreme indices related to intensity (a), frequency (b), and duration (b) of cold (left two columns) and warm (right two columns) extreme temperature events (Table 2). Ranges across each simulation ensemble are computed using the mean of each index from 1980 to 2012 for each simulation. Red color means larger rages among the WRF simulations than among the CORDEX simulations, white color means comparable values for ranges among the WRF simulations and the CORDEX simulations and blue color means larger ranges among the CORDEX simulations than among the WRF simulations.



Figure S17. As in Figure S16 but for precipitation extremes.

References

- Martynov, A., Laprise, R., Sushama, L., Winger, K., Šeparović, L., and Dugas, B.: Reanalysis-driven climate simulation over CORDEX North America domain using the Canadian Regional Climate Model, version 5: model performance evaluation, Climate Dynamics, 41, 2973–3005, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1778-9, 2013.
- 5 Samuelsson, P., Jones, C. G., Willén, U., Ullerstig, A., Gollivik, S., Hansson, U., Jansson, C., Kjellström, E., Nikulin, G., and Wyser, K.: The Rossby Centre Regional Climate model RCA3: model description and performance, Tellus A, 63, 4–23, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ doi/abs/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00478.x, 2011.
 - Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Duda, M. G., Huang, X.-Y., Wang, W., and Powers, J. G.: A description of the advanced research WRF version 3, NCAR Technical Note, Tech. rep., National Center for Atmospheric Research,
- 10 Boulder, Colorado, USA, 2008.