

Dear Editor,

we do regret for the error. We would have never revised the manuscript presuming this would not be checked because is also our interest to improve the manuscript following Reviewer comments as we are used to do in all the research papers we publish. In case we do not agree with the Review comments we point this out in the report highlighting which suggested modification was not accomplished (motivating our choice eventually).

Just to explain what happened, we have worked on a google doc file that has been then downloaded before sending back to the Journal.

Most of the revised figures were inserted in "suggestion mode" (to track the change). Differently to what happened with changes in the text, once downloaded the manuscript offline, most figures inserted this way were not saved and we did not realize that until your email.

We are sorry and we are providing the revised version of all figures in the manuscript, including the list of missing changes that you have highlighted.

Best regards

Erika Coppola on behalf of all coauthors

The full list below has been considered.

- * Fig. 3: I do not see, that the lat/lon information increase
- * Fig.4 The numbers on the color bar are no longer complete
- * Fig. 5 + 7: I do not see letters on the subfigures / visibility of lon/lat labels did not improve
- * Fig. 8: size of number in colorbar did no change, size of arrow legend is the same
- * Fig. 11: labels a)-f) in panels are missing / size of lat/lon did not change

* unit Kelvin [K] is without degree, therefore remove it from all panels and captions.