

Reply to the reviewers:

- > *Page 2*
- > *"making a steep"*
- > --> *making for a steep*

Done as suggested

- > *"Open Source"*
- > *Is this a proper name?*

Capitalization has been removed

- > *Page 3*
- > *"some varying"*
- > *Grammar*

This has been rephrased

- > *"the second category of users gets back to the WSL/SLF"*
- > --> *requests support from?*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"don't have the same long learning curve"*
- > *I would encourage avoiding contractions. please fix throughout*

We have removed all contractions in the manuscript

- > *"and are more intuitive and educational than CLI"*
- > *delete*

This has been replaced by the phrasing from the cited paper

- > *"at deeper levels"*
- > *delete*

Done as suggested

- > *"a significant investment"*
- > *Time, money, and code?*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"quite fast"*
- > --> *quickly*

Done as suggested

- > *"short term"*
- > --> *short-term*

Done as suggested

- > *"editor"*
- > --> *developer?*

Done as suggested

- > *"even to keep the product running,"*
- > *Unclear*

This has been rephrased

- > *Page 4*
- > *"standard toolkits"*
- > --> *"Ui-toolkits"*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"languages"*
- > --> *"programming languages"?*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"the product"*
- > *What is the product in this case? GUI? Be clear*

This has been rephrased

- > *"This practically means"*
- > --> *"Practically this means"*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"when another intern or student with the proper skill set can be found and funded"*
- > *I guess the academic mindset is implicit but maybe worth noting v. clearly*

This has been rephrased for clarity

- > *"about the new options"*
- > *That are added after the intern leaves?*

This has been rephrased for clarity

- > *"in order to be useful to the end user."*
- > *Abrupt ending. Need a linkage with what this paper is solving*

This has been rephrased for a proper logical articulation with the section that follows

- > *"Requirements"*
- > *Of what?*

This has been rephrased

- > *Page 5*
- > *"among others."*
- > *--> such as?*

Inishell has also been tested on Haiku and FreeBSD. It should also work on Android and iOS but this has not been tested so far.

- > *"INI2"*
- > *I'm not loving a Wikipedia link but I cannot find anything better to suggest.*

Although there are some web pages by Microsoft about INI files, they only cover application specific issues. The W3C also has some documentation about INI files but it is very rudimentary. Some individual developers' blogs expose some interesting ideas about INI files (such as why they are better suited to store configuration information than XML, YAML or JSON files) but are often loosely structured and don't contain the general, basic information. The Wikipedia entry is by far the most complete (and vendor neutral) generic documentation about the INI file format.

- > *"It is"*
- > *It = what?*

This has been rephrased

- > *Page 6*
- > *"principle of operation"*
- > *Do you mean work flow or similar?. This is not clear to me*

This has been rephrased

- > *"therefore"*
- > *--> still*

Done

- > *"The user workflow is described in Fig."*
- > *This is a nice addition*

- > *Page 8*
- > *"The approach that has been laid out by (Havens et al., 2020) relies on Docker images"*
- > *I am not sure this section adds anything, indeed it felt disjoint. Maybe worth moving to lit*
- > *review?*

The last paragraph of this section has been reworked in order to flow more naturally and to better fit with the rest of the paper. The whole section has been moved to the literature review and adapted in order to fit there (so it is logically articulated with the sections before and after).

- > *Page 9*
- > *"his or her"*
- > *--> their*

This has been consistently done throughout the manuscript

- > *"inheriting the Atomic class"*
- > *Which is what?*

This has been rephrased

- > *Page 10*
- > *"his or her"*
- > --> *their*

This has been consistently done throughout the manuscript

- > *"and merged back before writing out"*
- > *I assume no conflicts really happen?*

No conflicts can happen because the INIParser only contains properties that could not be stored in the widgets themselves.

- > *"his or her"*
- > --> *their*

This has been consistently done throughout the manuscript

- > *Page 11*
- > *"(e. g.)"*
- > *No Space*

Done as suggested

- > *Page 13*
- > *"Figure 8. Simple example of templates"*
- > *Maybe expand this caption to be more descriptive. They should be stand alone. Check*
- > *the other captions too*

All captions have been checked and expanded when necessary.

- > *Page 16*
- > *"as a fat client"*
- > *native client?*

This has been rephrased as suggested

- > *"very fast"*
- > --> *quickly*

Done as suggested

- > *"This means that end users don't need to"*
- > --> *"do not"*
- > *There are a few other contractions in this. Please avoid contractions.*

This has been consistently done throughout the manuscript