

Impact of Initialized Land Surface Temperature and Snowpack on Subseasonal to Seasonal Prediction Project, Phase I (LS4P-I): Organization and Experimental design

Yongkang Xue¹, Tandong Yao², Aaron A Boone³, Ismaila Diallo¹, Ye Liu¹, Xubin Zeng⁴, William K.M. Lau⁵, Shiori Sugimoto⁶, Qi Tang⁷, Xiaoduo Pan², Peter J. van Oevelen⁸, Daniel Klocke⁹,
5 Myung-Seo Koo¹⁰, Tomonori Sato¹¹, Zhaohui Lin¹², Yuhei Takaya¹³, Constantin Ardilouze³, Stefano Materia¹⁴, Subodh K. Saha¹⁵, Retish Senan¹⁶, Tetsu Nakamura¹¹, Hailan Wang¹⁷, Jing Yang¹⁸, Hongliang Zhang¹⁹, Mei Zhao²⁰, Xin-Zhong Liang⁵, J. David Neelin¹, Frederic Vitart¹⁶, Xin Li², Ping Zhao²¹, Chunxiang Shi²², Weidong Guo²³, Jianping Tang²³, Miao Yu²⁴, Yun Qian²⁵, Samuel S. P. Shen²⁶, Yang Zhang²³, Kun Yang²⁷, Ruby Leung²⁵, Yuan Qiu¹², Daniele Peano¹⁴,
10 Xin Qi¹⁸, Yanling Zhan¹², Michael A. Brunke⁴, Sin Chan Chou²⁸, Michael Ek²⁹, Tianyi Fan¹⁸, Hong Guan³⁰, Hai Lin³¹, Shunlin Liang³², Helin Wei¹⁷, Shaocheng Xie⁷, Haoran Xu⁵, Weiping Li³³, Xueli Shi³³, Paulo Nobre²⁸, Yan Pan²³, Yi Qin^{27,7}, Jeff Dozier³⁴, Craig R. Ferguson³⁵, Gianpaolo Balsamo¹⁶, Qing Bao³⁶, Jinming Feng¹², Jinkyu Hong³⁷, Songyou Hong¹⁰, Huilin Huang¹, Duoying Ji¹⁸, Zhenming Ji³⁸, Shichang Kang^{39, 40}, Yanluan Lin²⁷, Weiguang Liu^{41,24},
15 Ryan Muncaster³¹, Patricia de Rosnay¹⁶, Hiroshi G. Takahashi⁴², Guiling Wang⁴¹, Shuyu Wang²³, Weicai Wang², Xu Zhou², Yuejian Zhu¹⁷

¹University of California – Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

²Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

³CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

⁴University of Arizona, Tucson, USA

⁵Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center (ESSIC), University of Maryland, College Park, USA

⁶Japan Agency for Marine Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Japan

⁷Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

⁸International GEWEX Project Office, George Mason University, USA

⁹Hans Ertel Centre for Weather Research, Germany

¹⁰Korea Institute of Atmospheric Prediction Systems, South Korea

¹¹Hokkaido University, Japan

¹²Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

¹³Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Japan

¹⁴Climate Simulation and Prediction (CSP), Fondazione Centro Euro-Mediterraneo sui Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC), Bologna, Italy

¹⁵Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, India

¹⁶European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), UK

¹⁷National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)/ National Weather Service/ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), USA

¹⁸Beijing Normal University, China

¹⁹National Meteorology Center, China Meteorological Administration, China

²⁰Bureau of Meteorology, Australia

- ²¹Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, China Meteorological Administration, China
- ²²National Meteorological Information Center, China Meteorological Administration, China
- ²³School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, China
- ²⁴Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
- ²⁵Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA
- ²⁶San Diego State University, USA
- ²⁷Tsinghua University, China
- ²⁸National Institute for Space Research (INPE), Brazil
- ²⁹National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), USA
- ³⁰Systems Research Group Inc at Environment Modeling Center, NCEP/NWS/NOAA, USA
- ³¹Environment and Climate Change Canada, Canada
- ³²University of Maryland, College Park, USA
- ³³National Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration, China
- ³⁴University of California, Santa Barbara, USA
- ³⁵Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY, 12203
- ³⁶State Key Laboratory of Numerical Modeling for Atmospheric Sciences and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics (LASG), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
- ³⁷Yonsei University, South Korea
- ³⁸Sun Yat-Sen University, China
- ³⁹Northwest Institute of Eco-environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China
- ⁴⁰University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China
- ⁴¹University of Connecticut, USA
- ⁴²Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan

Correspondence to: Yongkang Xue (yxue@geog.ucla.edu)

Abstract. Sub-seasonal to seasonal (S2S) prediction, especially the prediction of extreme hydroclimate events such as droughts and floods, is not only ~~seietifically~~scientifically challenging but has substantial societal impacts. Motivated by preliminary studies, the Global Energy and Water Exchanges (GEWEX)/Global Atmospheric System Study (GASS) has launched a new initiative called “Impact of initialized Land Surface temperature and Snowpack on Sub-seasonal to Seasonal Prediction” (LS4P), as the first international grass-roots effort to introduce spring land surface temperature (LST)/subsurface temperature (SUBT) anomalies over high mountain areas as a crucial factor that can lead to significant improvement in precipitation prediction through the remote effects of land/atmosphere interactions. LS4P focuses on process understanding and predictability, hence it is different from, and complements, other international projects that focus on the operational S2S prediction. More than forty groups worldwide have participated in this effort, including 21 Earth System Models, 9 regional climate models, and 7 data groups.

This paper overviews the history and objectives of LS4P, provides the first phase experimental protocol (LS4P-I) which focuses on the remote effect of the Tibetan Plateau, discusses the LST/SUBT initialization, and presents the preliminary results. Multi-model ensemble experiments and analyses of observational data have revealed that the hydroclimatic effect of the spring LST in the Tibetan Plateau is not limited to the Yangtze River basin but may have a significant large-scale impact on summer precipitation beyond East Asia and its S2S prediction. Preliminary studies and analysis have also shown that LS4P models are unable to preserve the initialized LST anomalies in producing the observed anomalies largely for two main reasons: i) inadequacies in the land models arising from total soil depths which are too shallow and the use of simplified parameterizations which both tend to limit the soil memory; and ii) reanalysis data, that are used for initial conditions, have large discrepancies from the observed mean state and anomalies of LST over the Tibetan Plateau. Innovative approaches have been developed to largely overcome these problems.

1. Introduction

45 Sub-seasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) prediction, especially the prediction of extreme hydroclimatic events such as droughts and floods, is not only scientifically challenging but also has substantial societal impacts since such phenomena can have serious agricultural, economic, and ecological consequences (Merryfield et al., 2020). However, the prediction skill for precipitation anomalies in spring and summer months, a significant component of extreme climate events, has remained
50 stubbornly low for years. It is therefore important to understand the sources of such predictability and to develop more reliable monitoring and prediction capabilities. Various mechanisms have been attributed to S2S predictability. For instance, oceanic basin-wide tropical sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies are known to play a major role in causing extreme events. The connection between SST [e.g., El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), Pacific Decadal Oscillation
55 (PDO), Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO), and Madden–Julian oscillation (MJO)] and the associated weather and climate predictability has been extensively studied for decades (Trenberth et al., 1988; Ting and Wang, 1997; Barlow et al., 2001; Schubert et al., 2008; Jia and Yang, 2013; Seager et al., 2014). The linkage of extreme hydrological events to tropical ocean basin SST anomalies allows us to predict them with useful skill at long lead times, ranging from a few months
60 to a few years. Despite significant correlations and demonstrated predictive value, numerous studies based on observational data analyses and numerical simulations have consistently shown that SST alone only partially explains the phenomena of predictability (Rajagopalan et al., 2000; Schubert et al., 2004, 2009; Scaife et al., 2009; Mo et al., 2009; Rui and Wang, 2011; Pu et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2016a, b, 2018; Orth and Seneviratne, 2017). For instance, the 2015-2016 El
65 Niño event, one of the strongest since 1950, was associated with an extraordinary Californian drought, while the 2016-2017 La Niña event has been related to record rainfall that effectively ended the 5-year Californian drought, contrary to established canonical SST-Californian drought/flood relationships. In South America, there is also an example where the canonical association of thermally direct, SST-driven atmospheric circulation fails (Robertson and Mechoso,
70 2000; Nobre et al., 2012). Although an important role for random atmospheric internal variability in such extreme events has been proposed (Hoerling et al., 2009), such exceptions in explaining vital hydroclimatic extreme events as well as low prediction skill underscore the need to seek explanations beyond current traditional approaches. It is therefore imperative to explore other avenues to improve S2S prediction skill.

75 Studies have demonstrated that the predictive ability of models may come from their capability to represent land surface features that have inertia, such as vegetation (evolving cover and density), soil moisture, snow, among others (e.g., Xue et al., 1996a, 2010b; Lu et al., 2001; Delire et al., 2004; Koster et al., 2004, 2006; Gastineau et al., 2017). Most land/atmosphere interaction studies have focused on local effects, for instance, such as those in the previous Global
80 Land–Atmosphere Coupling Experiment System Study (GLASSCE) experiment (Koster et al., 2006). The possible remote (non-local) effects of large-scale spring land surface/subsurface temperature (LST/SUBT) anomalies in geographical areas upstream of the areas which experience late spring-summer drought/flood, an underappreciated relation, have largely been ignored until recent preliminary modeling and data analyses studies revealed the important role of high
85 mountain LST/SUBT in S2S predictability; and this discovery has stimulated the research in this direction. For instance, observational data in the Tibetan Plateau and the Rocky Mountains have shown that land surface temperature anomalies can be sustained for entire seasons, and that they are accompanied by persistent subsurface temperature, snowsnow, and albedo anomalies (Liu et al., 2020). Since only 2-m air temperature (T-2m) has significant global coverage, and because its
90 values are very close to LST in stations with measurements for both (Liu et al., 2020; also see the discussion in Section 5.1), observed T-2m data have been used in diagnostic studies to identify spatial and temporal characteristics of land surface temperature variability and its relationship with other climate variables. Figure 1 exhibits the persistence of the monthly mean difference of T-2m between warm and cold Mays, which are selected based on a threshold of one-half standard
95 deviation during the period 1981-2010. Please note, the warm and cold years that are selected based on May values are applied to other months in the figure. Those anomalies can persist for several months, especially during the spring. Preliminary studies have been carried out to explore the relationship between spring LST/SUBT anomalies and summer precipitation anomalies in downstream regions in North America and East Asia (Xue et al., 2002, 2012, 2016b, 2018; Diallo
100 et al., 2019). Data analyses from these studies identify significant correlations between springtime T-2m cold (warm) anomalies in both the Rocky Mountains and Tibetan Plateau and respective downstream drought (flood) events in late spring/summer. Modeling studies using the NCEP Global Forecast System (GFS, Xue et al., 2004) and the regional climate model version of Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF; Skamarock et al., 2008), both of which were coupled with a land
105 model Simplified Simple Biosphere Model (SSiB, Xue et al., 1991; Zhan et al., 2003) using

observed T-2m and reanalysis data as constraints, have also suggested that there is a remote effect of land temperature changes in the Rocky Mountains and the Tibetan Plateau on their respective downstream regions with a magnitude comparable to the more familiar effects of SST and atmospheric internal variability. Recent studies have further revealed the presence of LST/SUBT effects in other seasons and regions (Shukla et al., 2019). These studies have stimulated the scientific community's interest in pursuing this issue further with multi-models experiments, which will be discussed in the next Section.

The main hypothesis of LS4P is that LST and SUBT anomalies in early spring carry information about the energy and water balances in frozen ground, which is related to the amount of snow/ice on the ground and in the frozen soil layer below that is melted in late spring and early summer, as well as the thermal status from the preceding winter which has a long memory. The more snow/ice on the ground and in the frozen soil layer, the longer the seasonal transition from spring to summer. The timing of such a seasonal transition over high elevation areas in the western part (upstream) of the land mass plays an important role in setting up the circulation pattern downstream over the lower elevation areas to the east. The strength as well as the duration of LST/SUBT interactions with downstream circulation patterns should affect the occurrence of droughts or floods in late spring/summer over the eastern part of the continents.

A number of studies have also started to pursue the potential causes of the spring LST/SUBT anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau and the Rocky Mountains. Analyses based on observational station data over the Tibetan Plateau show that the LST anomaly is highly correlated with anomalous snow, surface albedo and SUBT in the preceding months. Using data from an off-line model incorporating permafrost processes (Li et al., 2010) and driven with observed meteorological data as forcing over the Tibetan Plateau, a regression model can predict a LST anomaly at the monthly and seasonal scales; with surface albedo and middle-layer (40–160 cm) SUBT as predictors (Liu et al., 2020). Additional analyses using observational data show that the spring LST in the Tibetan Plateau is significantly coupled with the regional snow cover in preceding months. The latter is also strongly coupled with February atmospheric circulation patterns and wave activity in mid-to-high latitudes (Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, a modeling study focusing on North America (Broxton et al., 2017) showed that snow water equivalent (SWE) anomalies more strongly affect April–June temperature forecasts than SST anomalies. It is likely that a temporary filtered response to snow anomalies may be preserved in the LST and SUBT

anomalies, and this mechanism deserves further investigation. Additional research on the causes of LST/SUBT anomalies would likely help us to better understand the sources of S2S predictability.

140 One factor that is closely related to the LST/SUBT anomaly is light absorbing particles (LAPs) in snow. In particular, the snow darkening effect by LAPs in snow due to deposition of aerosols, e.g.e.g., desert dust, black carbon and organic carbon from industrial pollution, biomass burning, and nearby wildfires, can reduce snow albedo which increases the absorption of solar radiation by the land surface. This enhanced energy absorption can alter the surface energy
145 balance, leading to anomalous T-2m and snowmelt during the boreal spring. Recent studies have shown that the snow darkening effect can lead to large increases in surface temperature over the Tibetan Plateau in April-May, thereby strongly affecting the subsequent evolution of the jet stream and variability of summertime precipitation over India, East AsiaAsia, and Eurasia (Lau and Kim 2018, Rashimi et al. 2019, Sang et al. 2019). At present, the representation of snow amount,
150 coverage, and LAPs in snow are either absent or grossly inadequate in most climate models, especially in high mountain regions. This could be one of the major reasons for the large discrepancies in simulated T-2m and its anomaly in current Earth System Models (ESMs).

In the following text, Section 2 introduces the historical development of the initiative “Impact of initialized Land Surface temperature and Snowpack on Subseasonal to Seasonal
155 Prediction” (LS4P) and its research objectives. Section 3 presents the LS4P Phase I protocol (LS4P-I): its experimental design and model output requirements. Section 4 discusses causes of current LS4P-I models’ deficiencies in preserving land memory and possible approaches for improvement. Section 5 briefly presents some preliminary LS4P-I results and discusses the future plan and perspectives.

160

2. Development of the Initiative on “Impact of initialized Land Surface temperature and Snowpack on Subseasonal to Seasonal Prediction” (LS4P) and its link to other S2S Prediction Programs

Although T-2m measurement has the longest meteorological observational record with global
165 coverage and the best quality among various land surface variables, its application in S2S prediction has largely been overlooked. Preliminary experiments to test the impact of model initialization of LST/SUBT on the S2S prediction as presented in previous section are encouraging,

but the results were obtained from only one ESM and one RCM, with North America and East Asia as the focus regions (Xue et al., 2016b, 2018). Due to the existing shortcomings and uncertainties associated with individual models, it is imperative to have a multi-model approach in order to further test the LST-memory hypothesis and to explore predictability in more regions. Furthermore, since LS4P proposes a new approach, involving a decade-long effort to explore, test, and understand the concept, as well as to develop a proper methodology for the use of ESMs and RCMs, it is also imperative to disseminate information related to the LST/SUBT approach, including lessons-learned and experience, such that more research groups can understand the approach/methodology and test the LST/SUBT effect.

With the preliminary results revealing the promising use of T-2m for LST/SUBT S2S prediction thereby opening a new gateway for improving S2S prediction, the Global Energy and Water Exchanges (GEWEX) and GEWEX/Global Atmospheric System Study (GASS) have supported the establishment of a new Initiative called LS4P. The idea for the new initiative was first presented at the 2nd Pan-GASS meeting in Lorne, Australia, in February 2018. The initiative was introduced to the GEWEX community at the GEWEX Open Science Conference in Canmore, Canada, May 2018.

Since the inception of the LS4P in December 2018, more than forty groups worldwide have participated in this effort, including twenty-one ESM groups, many of which are from major climate research centers, nine RCM groups, and seven data groups. A description of the major components of each of the ESM and RCM models is summarized in Appendix A. The main data products that are relevant to the LS4P research from the data group are presented in Section 3.1. A complete listing of LS4P group information can be found at <https://ls4p.geog.ucla.edu/>. Because LS4P takes a new approach in S2S prediction, GEWEX, the Third Pole Environment (TPE), and the U.S. National Science Foundation have supported two workshops at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting in December 2018 and December 2019, and another one at the Nanjing University, China in July 2019. The workshop goals were to discuss and develop the project, and to provide training for the modeling groups to better understand and practice the LST/SUBT approach (Xue et al., 2019 a, b).

The LS4P activities are closely related to a number of ongoing international projects. S2S prediction is the topic of a joint project of the World Weather Research Program (WWRP) & World Climate Research Program (WCRP) which aims to improve understanding and forecast

skill at the S2S timescale, between two weeks and a season (WMO, 2013, Vitart et al., 2017; 200 Merryfield et al., 2020). Their S2S project has the study of land initialization and configuration as one of its major activities. The LS4P research activities to address these scientific challenges are consistent with those of the WWRP/WCRP S2S project. The LS4P activity is also closely related to the TPE program. The TPE has closely worked with LS4P to provide and maintain a data base to support this project, which are discussed in Section 3.1 and Appendixes C and D. The 205 first phase of LS4P will be a joint effort with the TPE Earth System Model Inter-comparison Project (TPEMIP), which focuses on regional-scale Earth system modeling over the high elevation Tibetan Plateau region. The LS4P initiative is also relevant to ~~the~~ [GEWEX Global Land Atmosphere System Study \(GLASS\)](#) Panel [objectives](#) because estimating the contribution of land memory to atmospheric predictability from convective to seasonal timescales is one of its main 210 themes. This requires an understanding of the key physical interactions between the land and the atmosphere, and how feedbacks can change the subsequent evolution of both the atmosphere and the land state. The focus of LS4P on soil temperature also complements GLASS's research on the role of soil moisture as it pertains to land-atmosphere coupling and predictability. LS4P has interacted with these project groups and developed the experiments which support and 215 complement their planned research activities.

This LS4P project intends to address the following questions:

- What is the impact of initializing large scale LST/SUBT and LAPs in snow in climate models on S2S prediction in different regions?
- What are the relative roles and uncertainties of the associated land processes compared to 220 those of ocean state in S2S prediction? How do they synergistically enhance S2S predictability?

LS4P focuses on process understanding and predictability, hence it is different from, and complements, other international projects that focus on the operational S2S prediction. The majority of the models participating in LS4P are ESMs, although, there is a good amount of RCMs involved. Some difficulties have been identified regarding how to apply RCMs for studying the 225 LST/SUBT effect (Xue et al., 2012). The main concern is that imposition of the same lateral boundary conditions (LBC) for RCM's control and anomaly runs may hamper the necessary modification of circulations at larger scales in the anomaly run. This issue will be more comprehensively studied in LS4P using a much larger RCM domain configuration to reduce the LBC control on the large-scale change.

230 The project will ultimately consist of several phases, and each of which will focus on a
particular high mountain region on one continent as a focal point. The LS4P-I will investigate the
LST/SUBT effect in Tibetan Plateau. The second phase of LS4P will focus on the Rocky
Mountains of North America. It is intended that this project will also provide motivation for
examining additional high mountains in other continents with similar geographic structure, such
235 as those in South America, for the potential of the LST/SUBT effect to provide added-value to
S2S prediction and understanding of the pertinent physical principles. Since the Phase I is mainly
looking for first order effects most related to the soil surface and deeper layers, the effect of LAPs
in snow in high mountain regions will not be included in the Phase I experiments except for some
individual group efforts, and therefore they will not be presented further in this paper.

240

3. LS4P First Phase Experiment Protocol: Remote Effects of Tibetan Plateau LST/SUBT

The Tibetan plateau region provides an ideal geographic location for the LS4P-I test owing to its
relatively high elevation and large-scale (areal extent) as well as the presence of persistent LST
anomalies. The Tibetan Plateau provides thermal and dynamic forcings which drive the Asian
245 monsoon through a huge, elevated heat source in the middle troposphere, and this has been
reported in the literature for decades (e.g., Ye, 1981; Yanai et al., 1992; Wu et al., 2007; Wang et
al., 2008; Yao et al., 2019). ~~Thus~~Thus, a large impact of the Tibetan Plateau LST/SUBT anomaly
effect should be expected and has been demonstrated in a preliminary test (Xue et al., 2018).

250 3.1 Observational data for LS4P Phase I (LS4P-I)

The observational data provide the foundation for the LS4P research and are used for the LS4P
model initialization of surface and boundary conditions, validation, and other relevant research
activities and are listed in Appendix B. Moreover, there are large amounts of observational data
available in the Tibetan Plateau area, which are produced by the data groups, which are
255 participating in LS4P and are available for the community to conduct further LS4P related
research, such as studying the causes of the LST/SUBT anomalies, the characteristics of the
surface and atmospheric processes in Tibetan Plateau etc.

The TPE has conducted comprehensive measurements over Tibetan Plateau for more than
a decade and has integrated the observational data into the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center
260 (Li et al., 2020), which has more than 2400 different data sets for scientific research focused on

the Tibetan Plateau. Featured datasets of high mountainous observations on the Tibetan Plateau include those from the High-cold Region Observation and Research Network for Land Surface Processes & Environment of China (HORN) which contains the meteorological, hydrological and the ecological datasets (Peng and Zhu, 2017); soil temperature and moisture observations (Su et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2013); multi-scale observations of the Heihe River Basin (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Che et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019); and multiple datasets from the coordinated Asia-European long-term observing system for the Tibetan Plateau (Ma et al., 2009).

The Third Tibetan Plateau Atmospheric Scientific Experiment (TIPEX-III, Zhao et al., 2018) also provides field measurement data for the LS4P project. The Chinese Meteorological Administration (CMA) provides some field measurements with long term records. The observed CMA monthly mean precipitation and T-2m, and topography data, with a 0.5-degree resolution based on station measurements (Han et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2020), are used in LS4P to evaluate the LS4P models' performance over the Tibetan Plateau and to help produce the LST/SUBT mask for model initialization (see Section 4.2 for details). There are 80 stations over the Tibetan Plateau covering the period from 1961-2017. Among them, 14 stations have soil temperature measurements reaching a depth of 320 cm. After 2006, more station data are available from the TPE. [A detailed spatial interpolation method for the data sets are discussed in Han et al. \(2019\).](#) This is in contrast with most ground stations around the world, which only include measurements for shallow soil layers, e.g., only reaching down to 101.6 cm (Hu and Feng, 2004). Because of the lack of subsurface measurements, there has been some speculation as to whether the LST/SUBT anomaly and memory, as well as the hypothesized relationship between T-2m/LST/SUBT truly exist in the real world. These data provide crucial information to support LS4P related research (e.g., Liu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021).

In addition to the ground measurements, satellite products from 1981 to 2018 from the Global Land Surface Satellite (GLASS, Liang et al., 2013, 2020) data set will also be employed for this project. This dataset consists of surface skin temperature, albedo, emissivity, surface radiation components, and vegetation conditions (www.glass.umd.edu).

3.2 Experimental Design: Baseline and Sensitivity Experiments

This section describes standard design and configuration for the LS4P-I experiment, which consists of four tasks (Table 1). May and June 2003 are the time periods which have been selected

for the main tests. The summer of 2003 was characterized by a severe drought over the southern part of the Yangtze River Basin in eastern China, with an average anomalous precipitation rate of -1.5 mm/day over the area bounded by 112-121°E & 24-30°N¹. The drought resulted in 100 × 10⁶ kg crop yield losses, along with an economic loss of 5.8 billion Chinese Yuan (Zhang & Zhou, 2015). To the north of the Yangtze River, there was above normal precipitation, with anomaly precipitation rates of 1.32 mm/day over the area within 112-121°E & 30-36°N². Over the same time period, observational data show a cold spring over the Tibetan Plateau; the average T-2m in May above 4000m was about -1.4°C below the climatological average. Maximum Covariance Analysis (MCA, Wallace et al., 1992; Von Storch & Zwiers, 1999) showed a positive/negative lag correlation between the May T-2m anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau and a June precipitation anomaly to the south (north) of the Yangtze River. Meanwhile, a preliminary modeling study revealed the causal relationship between the May T-2m/LST/SUBT anomaly over the Tibetan Plateau and the June drought/flood in East Asia (Xue et al., 2018). LS4P intends to further test and confirm such causal relationships with multiple state-of-the-art ESMs along with to-in-order ~~to~~ assess the uncertainty, and to compare the T-2m/LST/SUBT effect with that of the ocean state.

(1). Task 1. In Task 1, each modeling group conducts a 2-month simulation starting from around late April to May 1 (e.g., April 27, 28...May 1, ...) through June 30, 2003, consisting in a multi-member ensemble. Each group decides whether they use observed May and June 2003 SST and sea ice to specify the ocean surface conditions, which is similar to the AMIP (Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project) experimental protocol, or to use the specific ocean initial condition at the beginning of the model integration (for those ESMs which can run a fully coupled land-atmosphere-ocean configuration), similar to the CMIP (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project) experiment, or both. The reanalysis data are used as atmospheric and land initial conditions (as these ESM groups usually do). Since the spin-up time for different models for the S2S simulation varies, some groups start their simulations earlier than May 1, for example, on April 1 or even earlier. LS4P does not require a specific number of ensemble members: each modeling group makes the decision based on their normal practice in performing their S2S simulations, but ~~but~~ however it is required by LS4P that there should be no less than 6 members. The

1 See black box in Figure 6b for reference.

2 See red box in Figure 6b for reference.

320 main purpose of Task 1 is to evaluate the performance of each model for the May 2003 T-2m and the June 2003 precipitation.

The evaluation of Task 1 results will be used to check: (1) model biases in terms of the May 2003 T-2m across the Tibetan Plateau and in terms of June precipitation in the South and North Yangtze River Basins (see the corresponding black/red boxes in Figure 6b as a reference);
325 (2) the lag relationship between these two biases; and (3) the model's ability to produce the observed May 2003 T-2m anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau and the June precipitation anomaly over the areas as listed in criterion (1). The CMA May 2003 T-2m and June 2003 precipitation, these two variables' climatologies, as well as topography data with a 0.5-degree resolution (as discussed in Section 3.1) are used to calculate model biases, root-mean-square errors (RMSE), and
330 anomalies. When calculating the bias, it should be noted that the elevations of the T-2m observational data and model surface are usually not at the same levels, especially in high mountain regions. The observing stations tend to be situated in valleys and are generally at a lower elevation than the mean elevation of a model grid box. Before calculating the model bias, the model-simulated T-2m data must be adjusted with a proper lapse rate to the elevation height of the
335 observational data as discussed in Xue et al. (1996a) and Gao et al. (2017).

The relationship between these two biases ~~are~~is evaluated to see whether they are consistent with the observed lag anomaly relationship, i.e., whether a cold/warm bias in May T-2m over the Tibetan Plateau is associated with a dry/wet bias in the South Yangtze River Basin, and an opposite bias to the North of the Yangtze River Basin. The consistency between these relationships would
340 suggest the possibility that reducing the May T-2m bias may reduce the June precipitation bias if the observed May land surface temperature anomaly on the Tibetan Plateau does contribute to the observed June East Asian precipitation anomaly. In other words, if a model can produce the observed May T-2m anomaly, it may also be able to produce the observed June precipitation anomaly.

345 The discoveries from Task 1 will provide crucial information for the LS4P project to pursue its objectives as discussed in Section 2. If the LS4P ESMs produced no large bias in precipitation and T-2m and/or they were able to simulate the observed anomaly well over Tibetan Plateau and eastern China, the justification for the LS4P approach would be questionable. Furthermore, should the model bias relationship between the May T-2m and the June precipitation be the opposite of
350 the observed anomaly relationship of these two variables, it ~~may~~would also be difficult, ~~if not~~

impossible, to pursue the LS4P approach further for these models. The preliminary assessments, however, are encouraging and strongly support the need for LS4P to further pursue its goals, and they will be briefly demonstrated in Section 5. It should be pointed out that the evaluation of the bias relationship between May T-2m in the Tibetan Plateau and June precipitation in eastern China is just a necessary condition for LS4P to pursue its approach. i.e., to propose a hypothesis. It is not sufficient to guarantee the model can improve the June precipitation prediction by using improved May T-2m initial conditions. Only Task 3, as discussed below, will serve this purpose.

(2). Task 2. A number of LS4P modeling groups are from big climate modeling centers, and, as such, have the required climatological runs already in their respective data bases. Those groups are required to send each year's global May T-2m and June precipitation from their climatological runs. Since different centers have different years in their climatology, LS4P only requires the climatological data set covering the time period from around 1981 to around 2010. The CMA precipitation and T-2m data averaged over 1981-2010 are employed to assess the simulated climatology biases and RMSE from these groups. The purpose of this task is to check whether the major bias features that we found in Task 1 based on year 2003 for the LS4P ESMs are also present in the modeled climatologies. Please note that discrepancies between simulated and observed fields are commonly referred to as biases, although differences for the 2003 are not biases in the strict statistical sense, but for simplicity we use the term "bias" to refer to all these difference in this paper as did in Pan et al. (2001). Our premise is that the large biases in the high elevation Tibetan Plateau region and in the East Asian drought/flood simulation produced by the LS4P ESMs are also persistent in the models' climatology. As such, any progress achieved in LS4P-I will not be limited to only one individual year, i.e., year 2003, but should have a broader implication. This issue will be further addressed in Section 5.

(3). Task 3. Task 3 is the main LS4P experiment, which tests the effect of the May 2003 T-2m anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau on the June 2003 precipitation anomaly. Thus far, every ESM has a large bias in producing the observed May T-2m anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau, and so does the reanalysis data, which are used by the ESMs for atmospheric and surface initialization (see more discussion in Section 4.1). To reproduce the observed May T-2m anomaly in the Tibetan Plateau, which is the surface variable interacting with the atmosphere by influencing surface heat and momentum fluxes and affecting upwelling longwave radiation, initialization of the LST/SUBT has to be improved to generate the T-2m anomaly in the model simulation. Preliminary research

within the LS4P modeling group suggests that prescribing both LST and SUBT initial anomalies based on the observed T-2m anomaly and model bias is the only way for the current ESMs to produce the observed May T-2m anomalies, unless the observed T-2m is specified during the entire model simulation, which would be a difficult task because, unlike specifying SST, LST has a large diurnal variation. It should also be pointed out that if we do not impose initial SUBT anomalies in a model simulation, the imposed initial LST anomaly and the corresponding T-2m anomaly would disappear after a couple of days of model integration. Studies based on observational data have shown a high correlation between LST and SUBT, and the memory in the soil subsurface is one of the major factors for producing soil surface temperature anomalies (Hu and Feng, 2004; Liu et al., 2020).

To improve the LST/SUBT initialization, a surface temperature mask for each grid point, $\Delta T_{mask}(i, j)$, over the Tibetan Plateau is produced based on each model bias and the observed climate anomaly. The (i, j) indexes represent the latitude and longitude coordinates of the grid point in the model. The initial surface temperature condition for Task 3 at each grid point after applying the mask, $\tilde{T}_0(i, j)$ will be defined as follows:

applying the mask, $\tilde{T}_0(i, j)$ will be defined as follows:

$$\tilde{T}_0(i, j) = T_0(i, j) + \Delta T_{mask}(i, j) = T_0(i, j) + [-n \times T_{obs\ anomaly}(i, j) - T_{bias}(i, j)]$$

when $\bar{T}_{obs\ anomaly} \times \bar{T}_{bias} \geq 0$ (1a)

$$\tilde{T}_0(i, j) = T_0(i, j) + \Delta T_{mask}(i, j) = T_0(i, j) + [-n \times T_{obs\ anomaly}(i, j) - T_{bias}(i, j)]$$

when $\bar{T}_{obs\ anomaly} \times \bar{T}_{bias} < 0$ (1b)

where $T_0(i, j)$, $T_{bias}(i, j)$, and $T_{obsanomaly}(i, j)$ correspond to the original model surface initial condition (used in Task 1), monthly mean model bias, and monthly mean observed anomaly, respectively, at grid point (i, j) . [Where “n” is a tuning parameter which is described in a subsequent](#)

[paragraph.](#) Please note, there are no observed daily land surface temperature data available over globe. The $\bar{T}_{obsanomaly}$ and \bar{T}_{bias} are the averaged observed anomaly and model bias, respectively, over the entire area where the mask is intended to be applied, such as the Tibetan Plateau. Equation 1a is applied for the situation when observed anomaly and model bias have the same sign, while Equation 1b is used when observed anomaly and model bias have different signs, regardless whether the anomaly is positive or negative. Figure 2 shows schematic diagrams for imposed masks for surface temperature initialization under different conditions, which delineates the concept for the mask formulation. In this figure, a cold year (such as year 2003 that is used in the

LS4P Phase I) is selected for demonstration. A schematic diagram, also based on Equation 1, for the warm year (such as year 1998) was displayed in Supplemental Figure S1 ~~for readers' as a~~ reference for readers in order to help them to organize their own experiments with different scenarios.

In Equation 1, we use $\bar{T}_{obsanomaly}$ and \bar{T}_{bias} to determine whether Equation 1a or 1b is employed because even if a model has a general strong warm/cold bias for the entire area, there are always a few grid points where the bias is reversed. For anomalies, we did not find individual grid point and area average having different signs since we always select areas and seasons with relatively large T-2m anomalies (Figure 1). Using \bar{T}_{bias} as a criterion in equation 1 will prevent the initial conditions of those grid points from adjusting in an opposite direction from the majority of other grid points. In other words, if most grid points in Task 3 have higher/lower initial surface temperature than that in Task 1, so do these grid points (with opposite bias) after imposing the mask. For simplicity, these scenarios are not displayed in Figure 2.

Figure 2 along with Equation 1 delineate how the grid points' initial conditions in Task 3 are adjusted. The methodology presented here is to create the initial condition $\mathcal{T}_0(i, j)$ for Task 3, and to produce the observed LST anomaly with the difference between Task 3 and Task 1. One of the LS4P Phase I goals is to examine how such anomaly affects the summer downstream precipitation S2S predictability. For some ESMs, it may not produce the optimal initial condition if they choose observed climatology, not Task 1, as their reference. However, with the understanding gained from this experiment plus a slight modification of the equation 1, this approach should also serve this purpose. It needs to be pointed out that \bar{T}_{bias} in some cases may not be available. In section 5, we will show that the \bar{T}_{bias} for a model's climatology and for a specific year generally are quite consistent, so the climatological bias can be applied if there is no better information. As discussed earlier, the sign of the bias is crucial to determine how to make the mask.

Because ~~all-of-all~~ the models are unable to maintain the soil temperature anomaly (or produce adequate soil memory), a tuning parameter “n” (e.g., 1, 2, 3) is introduced. Through trial and error, each model selects a proper “n” with the intention ~~to of~~ produceing the T-2m anomaly which is close to observation. For the subsurface, the “n” may be different from that for LST depending on the ESM's land surface scheme. But currently, most modeling groups use the same

“n” for every soil layer. Better initialization for soil sublayers can be improved after more deep soil layer measurements are ~~conducted~~. [available](#).

445 Figure 3 shows a mask application example from one LS4P model, which has a warm bias (Figure 3b). Based on the bias and the observed May 2003 T-2m anomaly, a mask using Equation 1b (given the model has warm bias) was generated and only imposed over the Tibetan Plateau region [as demonstrated in the global map](#). (See Figure 3c). The mask is imposed on the initial condition at the first time step of the model integration. The model run starts around May 1 and
450 runs through June 30 with multi-ensemble members (the same total number as for Task 1), and the LST/SUBT is updated by the ESM after the initial imposition of the mask. However, in the example shown in Figure 3, the mask using $n=1$ failed to produce proper May T-2m anomaly (Figure 3d). Once the model produces a reasonable observed May T-2m anomaly through a tuning of “n” in Equation 1 (in Figure 3, only the mask with $n=3$ produces proper May T-2m anomaly),
455 the June precipitation difference between the Task 3 run and the Task 1 run is then evaluated.

To assess the model simulation in this task, we produce composite data sets for global May and June T-2m and precipitation for both the year of 2003 and climatology, in which the CMA data are used within China for both variables (Han et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2020), while Climate Anomaly Monitory System (CAMS, Fan and Van den Dool 2008) and Climate Research Unit
460 (CRU, Harris et al., 2014) data are used elsewhere for T-2m and precipitation, respectively. These composite data are used to evaluate whether the May T-2m difference between the Task 3 run and the Task 1 run produce the observed May T-2m anomaly over the Tibetan Plateau, which is the key objective of Task 3. If a model ~~is capable of producing~~ [can produce](#) about 25% of the observed May T-2m anomaly over the Tibetan Plateau, we will further examine the difference of the June
465 global precipitation between the two runs and observed global June precipitation anomaly. Moreover, the improvement in reducing the bias and RMSE for the sensitivity runs will also be assessed.

(4). Task 4. Task 4 tests the effect of the ocean state on the June 2003 precipitation. There are two possible approaches for this test. Groups with the AMIP type of experiment use the
470 observed May and June 2003 SST for their Task 1 and Task 3 experiments. For those groups, in Task 4, the 2003 SST conditions will be replaced by the climatological SST. For modeling groups using the CMIP type experimental setup, the 2003 initial condition used in Task 1 and Task 3 will be replaced by the climatological initial condition. The year 2003 is a La Niña year. The modeling

groups with the CMIP type of simulations need to check their models' SST simulations to be sure
475 that their models are producing adequate La Niña conditions along the western coast of South
America and the eastern Pacific. The June precipitation difference between the control run (with
2003 ocean state) and the Task 4 run (with climatological ocean state) will be compared with the
observed anomaly in 2003 to assess the global ocean state effect on the precipitation, then it will
be compared with the LST/SUBT effect from the Task 3 results. These four tasks are summarized
480 in Table 1.

(5). Model Output and Availability

The data output requirements take into account the evaluations that are required as
discussed in Sections 3.2(1)-(4), along with the information required to characterize the land
surface/atmosphere interactions at and near the surface, and the mid and upper troposphere
485 atmospheric wave propagation. In addition to the T-2m and precipitation, other model outputs
from the land surface and the atmosphere (Table S1 in Supplemental) will also be used to evaluate
the model results. The NOAA metrics and protocol for short to medium range weather forecast
performance evaluations as discussed in Wang et al. (2010) will be applied to assess model
performance. Careful considerations are necessary to limit output frequency in order to save
490 storage while still providing sufficient information for crucial diagnostic analyses. The LS4P data
are stored and will be distributed through the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (Li et al., 2020)
and the U.S. Department of Energy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Earth System Grid
Federation (ESGF) node (Cinquini et al., 2014). The detailed information is described in Appendix
C.

495

4. Main Issues in LST/SUBT initialization and deficiency in model memory

To date, ~~all-of-all~~ the LS4P ESMs with their land models have difficulty producing the observed T-2m
anomaly over the Tibetan Plateau to varying degrees. Moreover, they are also unable to maintain the
imposed LST/SUBT anomaly from the mask during the model integration. The current model deficiencies
500 in T-2m simulation are rooted in the data, mainly from the reanalysis data, which are used for the model
initialization, and the model parameterizations. Certain studies (Liu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021) have
identified the roles of land parameterizations and soil depth related to this deficiency. More research is
necessary to further elucidate the potential roles of other ESM parameterizations. The LS4P has developed
an initialization scheme which seeks to mitigate this deficiency in order to yield better S2S prediction.

505 [Further development is necessary to improve this approach.](#) Eventually, the model's deficiencies in producing observed high mountain surface temperature anomalies should be overcome through the development of proper physical and dynamic processes and relevant data sets to preserve land memory, which are a ~~long-term~~[long-term](#) task and require community efforts. This section will discuss a few relevant issues based on our practice intending to raise the community's interest and
510 attention and to promote more comprehensive developments in this aspect.

4.1 Data Uncertainty

Observational T-2m/LST/SUBT data are crucial for model initialization of surface conditions and for model validation. However, ground measurements over high-elevation areas are relatively sparse. For instance,
515 most currently available gridded global T-2m data sets with long records only consist of a few dozen stations over the Tibetan Plateau. Considering the complex topography of the region, potentially large interpolation errors can occur. The same is true for the reanalysis data, which are used for the model initialization. In most reanalysis data sets, the T-2m is only a model product. In LS4P, we employ the CMA T-2m data (1980-
2017) with [a](#) 0.5-degree resolution (Han et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2020) for model initialization, ~~and it which~~
520 is based on about 150 ground station measurements over the Tibetan Plateau. Figure 4 shows the May T-2m climatology (the 1980-2013 average) over the Tibetan Plateau, and the anomalies of May 2003/1998, which corresponds to a very cold/warm spring in the Tibetan Plateau, respectively, from CMA, CAMS, CRU, Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR, Saha et al., 2014), ERA-Interim (ERA-Interim, Berrisford et al., 2011), and the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-
525 2, Gelaro et al., 2017). Because each T-2m data set has its own elevation, ~~all of all~~ the data have been adjusted to the CMA elevation for comparison. Compared with the CMA data, the CAMS/CRU climatology is about 1.8°C cooler/1.5°C warmer, respectively. The biases for warm/cold years are even larger for CAMS/CRU (not shown), respectively. While the climatological bias for CFSR reanalysis data is small, the bias for ERA-Interim is still on the order of one standard deviation of the Tibetan Plateau T-2m variability (~0.7
530 °C). The bias is larger in MERRA-2, at about 4°C. In addition, for cold/warm years, MERRA-2 and CFSR show opposite anomalies. The large surface temperature biases in the reanalysis data sets likely interact with temperature of the lower atmosphere. There are limited atmospheric sounding data over the Tibetan Plateau for data assimilation. That said, lower atmosphere temperature is also subject to model bias. Since there are no observed near surface layer observations, we compare the reanalysis-based surface and near surface
535 temperature anomalies with their own climatology. These anomalies are very close (not shown), which

means even if we impose a mask to overcome the LST/SUBT bias, the bias in the lower troposphere is still there. This bias in the reanalysis data has an important implication in affecting the LST initialization and its simulation, which will be discussed further in section 4.2.

In addition to the surface temperature, subsurface temperature initialization is also challenging in high elevation areas. Measurements for deep subsurface conditions do not exist in most mountain areas. However, there are fourteen stations in the Tibetan Plateau (Figure 5a) that have soil temperature measurements during the period 1981-2005 at depths of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 80, 160, and 320 cm, which shed light on the quality of subsurface layer temperature in the reanalysis data. Below 320 cm, the soil temperature exhibits very little annual variation. The soil temperature profiles from station observations are averaged and four typical months that represent the four seasons are displayed in Figure 5b. The differences between the T-2m and the LST are less than 1 degree for these four months. During winter and summer, the deep soil temperature profiles show a larger lag compared with the LST. The reanalysis products over the grid points closest to the observation stations (Figure 5a) have been averaged over the same time period. However, these data show large discrepancies compared to observations in addition to biases (Figures 5b-c). For instance, the top 1-m soil temperatures in the ERAI data are nearly constant for every season with little change with soil depth. In MERRA-2, the lag response in the soil profiles only appears in the winter and summer up to about 1 m deep; for other seasons or soil temperature below 1-m does not change much. The CFSR shows a better lag response, but it only reaches 1.5 m in depth. Its biases in these stations compared to the observation stations are also apparent.

The deficiencies in the reanalysis products pose a challenge for properly producing the observed T-2m anomalies since the reanalyses are used to provide the basis for the surface initial condition for most ESMs. Since every LS4P ESM showed a large bias in simulating the May 2003 T-2m anomaly over the Tibetan Plateau, we have addressed how to take the bias into account in producing the initial condition mask in Section 3.2. In the next section, the efforts from different modeling groups to generate the observed T-2m anomaly are presented further.

4.2 Approaches in Improving the LST/SUBT Initialization and T-2m Anomaly Simulation

In addition to the data that are used for LST/SUBT initial conditions, land models also have deficiencies in maintaining the anomalies that are imposed using an initial mask as discussed in Section 3.2. In the LS4P-I experiment, most models are only able to partially produce the observed T-2m anomaly in May despite the fact that the imposed initial masks normally contain much larger anomalies than those observed. [Although](#)

†The recent available daily Tibetan Plateau daily surface data from the LS4P data group show our imposed initial anomaly is not extreme, but models lost the imposed anomaly rather quickly. This section highlights

some specific approaches undertaken by a few groups during their application of the LS4P-I protocol to improve the T-2m anomaly simulation.

The surface soil (20-30 cm) in the central and eastern Tibetan Plateau contains a large amount of organic matter which greatly reduces the soil thermal conductivity and increases the soil heat capacity (Chen et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020). However, this factor is not taken into account in the LS4P ESMs, except for CNRM-CM6-1. That said, the soil thermal conductivity/heat capacity over the Tibetan Plateau in the ESMs is too high/too low. In addition, some ESMs overestimate the precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau, making the soil water content higher than in reality (Su et al., 2013), which also leads to higher soil thermal conductivity. Less soil organic matter and high soil moisture both accelerate the heat exchange rate between the soil and the atmosphere, which causes the rapid loss of soil thermal anomalies in the models.

The soil layer depth in the ESM also affects the model's ability to generate the observed T-2m anomaly. The long memory in deeper soil helps to preserve the soil temperature anomaly in shallower layers. In a sensitivity study that changed the soil depth from 6 m to 3 m, it was found that with reduced total soil column depth, a similar magnitude anomalous soil temperature can only be kept for about 20 days, then it disappears much faster thereafter compared with the 6-m soil layer model (Liu et al., 2020). The total soil column depth may not be deep enough in some LS4P models. To overcome these shortcomings in current ESMs and to reproduce the observed T-2m anomaly, a tuning parameter "n" is introduced (Eq. 1) when setting up the surface mask since it is not a simple task to increase the soil layer depth for ~~all-of~~all the ESMs.

One of the intentions of the initialization of LST/SUBT is to influence the lower atmosphere since the corresponding initial condition from reanalysis also has inherent errors as discussed in section 5.1, and for some models they can be quite large. A number of modeling groups have started the model simulation earlier, for instance on April 01, in order to have sufficient time for the lower atmosphere to spin-up and to be consistent with the within-mask imposed soil surface conditions. In some models, such as ACCESS-S2 and KIM, the models make an adjustment after reading in the initial condition, usually referred to as shock adjustment, in order to avoid an imbalance between the atmosphere, land, and ocean initial conditions. This shock adjustment has become a more popular practice in a number of modeling groups. The idea behind the shock adjustment arises from the potential inconsistency among different sources for initial conditions, and the belief that the atmospheric components are considered to be relatively the most reliable. With such an approach, within the first week or 10 days, the atmospheric forcing plays a dominant role in adjusting the

other components' initial conditions. As such, the imposed initial soil temperature from the mask at the top soil layers could be compromised very dramatically toward the lower atmospheric conditions, which, unfortunately, also have large errors over the Tibetan Plateau as previously discussed. Although the imposed deep soil temperatures eventually start to affect the air temperature, this process generally takes more than 20 days. For the model with such a shock adjustment, the mask needs to be imposed when the shock adjustment becomes weak, such as at the second day in ACCESS-S2 or half a month after the initial simulation date, as done in KIM. As such, the models may have to start their integrations much earlier. A couple of models tried to impose the mask more than once to produce the T-2m anomaly. For instance, the FGOALS-f2 model imposed the LST/SUBT anomaly on both May 1 and May 2 to better produce the observed T-2m anomalies. It should be pointed out that if a mask is imposed too many times, the ΔT in the mask may add up every time when it is imposed to become quite large sink/heat source. Furthermore, enforcing the LST/SUBT perturbation too many times during the model simulation with accumulated large ΔT may distort the atmospheric conditions. Precautions must be taken in this type of approach, probably with ΔT imposed no more than twice with a well-designed scheme to avoid the excessive accumulation of heating/cooling.

For the E3SM and CESM2, which are mainly used in long-term climate research (e.g., century-long simulations), real time initialization for S2S prediction is not very closely related to the research objective the model centers intend to pursue. To conduct LS4P type research, the modeling groups have to develop an approach in nudging the reanalysis data for a real time initialization. Nudging is one of the simplest data assimilation methods (Hoke and Anthes, 1976) and has been widely used in climate model evaluation and sensitivity studies (e.g., Xie et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2019) to constrain the simulations towards a predefined reference (the reanalysis data in this case) and hence to facilitate time-specific comparisons between model and observations. For the LS4P simulations, E3SM and CESM2 used 1-month worth of nudging of the horizontal wind components (U & V) with a 6-hour relaxation time scale before the land mask for the initial LST perturbation was applied. A study (Ma et al., 2015) has shown that nudging only horizontal winds produces better results compared with those with nudging of more variables, such as temperature, specific humidity, etc.

5. Discussion: Perspectives and Impact of LS4P

LS4P is the first international grass-roots effort focused on introducing spring LST/SUBT anomalies over high mountain areas as a factor to improve S2S precipitation prediction through the remote effects of land/atmosphere interactions. Although the original idea of starting LS4P was more limited and only aimed

at evaluating whether the results from preliminary tests with one ESM and one RCM (Xue et al., 2016b, 2018) could be reproduced by more modeling groups, multi-model participation has quickly ~~lead~~ed to the recognition that the Tibetan Plateau's spring LST/SUBT effect on the precipitation anomaly to the south and north of the Yangtze River was only a small part of broader aspects.

Figure 6 shows the observed May T-2m and June precipitation anomalies in 2003 and the corresponding ensemble mean biases from 13 LS4P ESMs for these two variables in 2003 over the eastern part of Asia. As discussed in Section 3.2 (1), the appropriate relationship between model biases and observed anomalies are crucial for the LS4P hypothesis and approach. Among the 13 ESMs, eleven ESMs had warm T-2m biases while the remaining two had cold biases, respectively. Because the May 2003 T-2m had a cold anomaly, the T-2m and precipitation biases for the models with positive T-2m bias were multiplied by -1 to produce the ensemble mean composites as shown in Figures 6c and d. ~~Despite very different data sources (observed T-2m data were from CMA over China and CAMS for regions outside of China, observed precipitation data were from CMA over China and CRU in regions outside of China), and the fact that~~ We note the caveat that the ESM results are from ensemble means, and in comparing to a particular year the spread of the ensemble results is also important. But one can immediately see that the biases are substantial, despite the particular combination of ESM results indexed to the Tibetan plateau temperature. Despite ESMs results were produced from models with different numerical approaches and physical parameterizations, the modeled bias relationships between May T-2m and June precipitation are very consistent with the observed anomaly relationship between observed May 2003 T-2m over Tibetan Plateau and June 2003 precipitation in many parts of eastern Asia, in addition to the Yangtze River basin. For instance, models with a cold bias in May T-2m in the Tibetan Plateau also have a dry bias in June precipitation over Northeast Asia, part of southeast and South Asia, and Siberia, and a wet bias to the west of Siberia, consistent with the observed precipitation anomaly. ~~The models with the opposite sign of T-2m bias produced the opposite precipitation response.~~—The spatial correlations between observed June precipitation anomalies and the corresponding model biases over the figure domain are 0.62. Furthermore, the T-2m cold bias over the Tibetan Plateau is associated with a cold bias in the Iranian Highlands and a warm-cold-warm wave train over the Eurasian continent, which is also generally consistent with the observed T-2m anomalies. Moreover, the consistencies suggest a possibly much larger scale remote effect of the Tibetan Plateau LST/SUBT on summer precipitation over many parts of the world and support the LS4P's approach in its experimental design as discussed in Section 3.2. As a result, the diagnostic analyses from the tasks in Experiment 1 will cover the

entire globe. Comprehensive analyses and discussion will be presented in subsequent papers after the LS4P
660 groups have completed their experiments.

Although the T-2m anomaly covers large areas, our previous North American study has shown that
only the LST/SUBT anomaly over high mountains (the Rocky) had a substantial impact on the subsequent
drought over the South Great Plains (Xue et al., 2012). One of the LS4P groups, KIM, also tested the effect
of the LST anomaly in other parts of East Asia, but found their effects are incompatible with the Tibetan
665 Plateau LST/SUBT effect. In addition to year 2003, we also checked the May T-2m and June precipitation
bias in the climatologies of the different models. The thirteen ESMS shown in Figure 6 have also provided
their climatological data sets. Figure 7 shows the climatological biases for May T-2m and June precipitation
from these ESMS. The patterns between the bias in the 2003 simulation and the bias in the model
climatologies are generally consistent, which ~~suggests that the findings from the 2003 case may have a~~
670 ~~broader implications.~~ is important, because the climatological bias is substantial and affects the individual
years as well. In Phase I, through the LS4P RCM efforts in incorporating the TPE and TIPEX-III data, we
also intend to adequately simulate water and energy cycle and atmospheric conditions in the Tibetan Plateau
and their variability. These simulations will provide the data for better atmospheric and surface initialization,
along with obtaining an improved understanding of the atmospheric circulation and water cycle in “Tibetan
675 Water Tower”.

Thus far, ~~our~~ the discussion has been focused on the modeling approach. A recent statistical study
has shown that spring soil temperature in central Asia could be a predictor of summer heat waves over
northwestern China (Yang et al., 2019). In addition, surface temperatures from five Northern European
observing stations have been used as a predictor for long-range forecasting of monsoon rainfall over
680 southwestern India (Rajeevan, et al., 2007). Moreover, spring (April-May) precipitation and 2m air
temperature over northwestern India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Iran have been found to have a strong link
with the first phase (June-July) of summer monsoon rainfall over India (Rai et al., 2015). We will extend the
data analyses for different major mountains and different seasons and ~~to~~ identify hot spots over the globe
where LST has significant impacts. Preliminary statistical forecasts will also be explored, using methods
685 such as the Canonical-Correlation Analysis (CCA) and Joint Empirical Orthogonal Analysis (JEOF) (Smith
et al., 2016). Based on the statistical analyses, a Tibetan Plateau Oscillation Index (TPO) and a Rocky
Mountain Oscillation Index (RMO) will be proposed for predictions of the hydroclimatic extreme events,
and a relationship between the TPO and the RMO indexes will also be investigated. As discussed in Section
3, the Rocky Mountain LST/SUBT effect will be the focus of LS4P Phase II (LS4P-II).

690 The LS4P research has revealed some severe deficiencies in current land models in
preserving the land memory. In many models, the force-restore method (Deardorff, 1978;
Dickinson, 1988; Xue et al., 1996b) is used to represent subsurface heat transfer and soil thermal
status. This simple method produces adequate diurnal and seasonal cycles of surface temperature
and thus has been widely used by many land models for decades. However, its severe deficiency
695 in keeping the soil memory is apparent in recent studies (Liu et al., 2020, Li et al., 2021). We have
found that excessively shallow soil depths along with simplified parameterizations of subsurface
heat transfer are acting to limit the soil memory effect in many models, especially in cold regions.
An innovative approach has been developed for the land model initialization that can help maintain
the monthly LST/SUBT anomaly. The LS4P's finding on why ESMS have difficulty to maintain
700 the LST anomaly, and its proposed approach to help solving the issue should be a significant
contribution from the LS4P project to improve the S2S prediction. We also hope to have more
studies to explore the causes of this deficiency from different aspects further.

LS4P focuses on process understanding and predictability. Since the current start-of-the-art models are unable to properly produce the observed surface temperature anomaly and as well as their corresponding anomaly-induced dynamic as well as and the associated physical processes in their simulations, the bias correction in post-processing (a method that has been used for some simulation studies); is unable to generate these processes to help our understanding and will not be considered in the LS4P project. However, we encourage/welcome different approaches to tackle this issue, and for comparison with the approach that we presented in this study.

705

710 One issue that hampers the application of the LST/SUBT approach for S2S prediction is
data availability. The TPE has conducted comprehensive measurements over the high mountain
Tibetan Plateau areas, which include a plateau-scale observation network plus intensive networks at
more local scales: these data consist in boundary-layer observations, land surface and deep soil layer
measurements. These measurements have provided invaluable information to support the
715 establishment of the LS4P and to foster further model development and the possible causes of land
memory-memory. Currently, such comprehensive measurements over high mountain areas are
still lacking across the globe. GEWEX has been planning for more measurements that are related
to land/atmosphere interactions (Boone et al., 2019; Wulfmeyer et al., 2020; Schneider and van
Oevelen, 2020). We hope that the results from LS4P will demonstrate the substantial role of high mountain

720 surface conditions on global climate and atmospheric circulation, and therefore stimulate more initiatives to increase land/atmosphere interaction measurements over high mountain regions.

LS4P will complete the Phase I tasks at the end of 2020. A special issue in Climate Dynamics has been initiated in late 2020 to report various LS4P research results and other S2S prediction research results that should help increase the understanding and predictions of land-induced forcing and atmosphere interactions on droughts/floods and heatwaves. We plan to kick-off the LS4P-II in the summer or later of 2021 with a workshop at the Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center (ESSIC), University of Maryland, College Park, USA. This workshop will summarize the phase I activity and design working tasks for the LS4P-II. [Phase I focuses on the Case 2003. In the following ensuing LS4P activity, more cases will be tackled, which will further improve our assessment on the ESM's predictability that linked to LST/SUBT.](#)

725
730

Although the land has a lower heat capacity and less moisture compared to the oceans, the land surface has a much stronger response to changes in surface net radiation at diurnal, sub-seasonal, and seasonal scales compared to oceans. This is particularly true in high elevation areas, which could provide a useful source for predictability at these scales. LS4P intends to improve the S2S precipitation prediction through a better representation of land surface processes in the current generation of ESMs and aims to make a fundamental contribution in advancing S2S prediction through proper initialization of LST/SUBT in high mountain regions. The LS4P approach proposes a new front in S2S prediction to complement other existing approaches. We hope activities and results from LS4P-I can provide a prototype approach to raise further scientific questions and open a new gateway for more studies with various approaches to better understand the roles of different forcing and internal dynamics in S2S predictability along with identifying the relevant mechanisms.

735
740