Radiation model for the Baltic Sea with an explicit CDOM state variable: a case study with Model ERGOM (version 1.2)

Thomas Neumann¹, Sampsa Koponen², Jenni Attila², Carsten Brockmann³, Kari Kallio², Mikko Kervinen², Constant Mazeran⁴, Dagmar Müller³, Petra Philipson⁵, Susanne Thulin⁵, Sakari Väkevä², and Pasi Ylöstalo²

¹Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestr. 15, 18119 Rostock, Germany
²The Finnish Environment Institute, Latokartanonkaari 11, 00790 Helsinki, Finland
³Brockmann Consult GmbH, Max-Planck-Str. 2, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany
⁴SOLVO, 3 rue Saint-Antoine, 06600 Antibes, France
⁵Brockmann Geomatics Sweden AB, Torshamnsgatan 39, SE-164 40 Kista, Sweden

Correspondence: Thomas Neumann (thomas.neumann@io-warnemuende.de)

Abstract. Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in marine environments impacts primary production due to its absorption effect on the photosynthetically active radiation. In coastal seas, CDOM originates from terrestrial sources predominantly and causes spatial and temporal changing patterns of light absorption which should be considered in marine biogeochemical models. We propose a model approach in which Earth Observation (EO) products are used to define boundary conditions of CDOM concentrations in an ecosystem model of the Baltic Sea. CDOM concentrations in riverine water derived from EO products serve as forcing for the ecosystem model. For this reason, we introduced an explicit CDOM state variable in the model.

We show that the light absorption by CDOM in the model can be improved considerably compared to traditional approaches where, e.g., CDOM is estimated from salinity. A prerequisite is high quality CDOM data with sufficiently high spatial resolution which can be provided by the new generation of ESA satellite sensor systems (Sentinel 2 MSI and Sentinel 3 OLCI).

1 Introduction

Colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) is a major light absorption constituent in the marine environment and especially in coastal seas. The spectral absorption characteristic of CDOM follows an exponential function with highest absorption towards shorter wavelengths. By modifying the underwater light climate, CDOM has an impact on primary productivity, e.g. in clear water sufficient light intensity to enable phytoplankton growth is available down to greater depths than in turbid waters. Water temperature is affected by CDOM absorption as well. In turbid water, the short wave light divergence is located in the upper water column increasing the temperature while in clear water a thicker layer is warmed but to a lesser degree. This process impacts especially the sea surface temperature (SST).
Jerlov (1976) developed a classification for different water masses based on specific optical properties. This classification is widely used in numerical ocean models (e.g., Griffies, 2004). For global models, this parametrization works reasonable. However, coastal ecosystems with substantial terrestrial runoff require more detailed parametrization of models.

Marine CDOM comprises humid substances (gelbstoff) of terrestrial origin, and autochthonous produced CDOM. Its degradation is governed by photochemical bleaching and bacterial activity. In freshwater dominated systems, like the Baltic Sea, terrestrial CDOM dominates (e.g., Stedmon et al., 2010, and references herein). Salinity and CDOM absorption show a robust relationship (Neumann et al., 2015) indicating a conservative behavior. However, the relationship is hyperbolic (instead of linear) due to degradation processes.

For coupled physical-biogeochemical models of freshwater influenced coastal seas, a spatially resolved CDOM concentration is important for realistic light climate estimates. Based on the nearly conservative character of CDOM, statistical models have been developed which estimate absorption from salinity and e.g. chlorophyll (Kowalczuk et al., 2006; Neumann et al., 2015). These models usually deliver reasonable results. However, two distinct disadvantages are prominent: (i) uncertainties in model salinity propagate into the biogeochemical model and (ii) variability in CDOM riverine load (Skoog et al., 2011; Asmala et al., 2013) cannot be resolved. These disadvantages can be eliminated by introducing an independent CDOM state variable into the biogeochemical model. A necessary prerequisite are boundary data for riverine CDOM loads of sufficiently good quality.

In this study, we present the generation of CDOM boundary data with the aid of satellite imagery, the implementation of a CDOM state variable in the biogeocemical model ERGOM (Ecological ReGional Ocean Model, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research (2015)), and we discuss the effect of the new development. We use the Baltic Sea as a study area.

2 CDOM data from Earth Observation products

The aim of the development is to improve modeled CDOM data compared to available statistical models (Sect. 1). In situ data of CDOM loads are not available in sufficient spatial and temporal resolution, i.e. in the Baltic Sea, CDOM is not a parameter of the HELCOM (www.helcom.fi, last access: 22 September 2020) monitoring program. New instruments and technologies in Earth Observation (EO), now in operation, are ideal tools to overcome these limitations.

2.1 Characteristics of satellite data

In order to estimate the amount of CDOM coming from a river, it is necessary to derive it from observations within the river or as close to the discharge point as possible. The rivers in the Baltic Sea are usually small and thus high resolution (HR) instruments such as Sentinel-2 Multi Spectral Instrument (S2-MSI) are required. The MSI has a spatial resolution of 10-60m depending on the central wavelength of the band. Water quality products are usually generated in 60m resolution in order to reduce noise. This is sufficient for estimating the CDOM absorption of most rivers.

Two Sentinel-2 satellites are currently in orbit: S2A was launched on 23 June 2015 and S2B on 7 March 2017. Together they provide a global revisit time of 5 days next to equator. Due to the high latitude of the Baltic Sea, the revisit time amounts
to 2–3 days in this area. Despite the frequent cloud cover, sufficient observations can be gathered to monitor river CDOM throughout the open water season (typically from March-April to October in the northern Baltic Sea).

2.2 Earth Observation processor for CDOM absorption estimation

EO processors are designed to convert the radiance signal acquired by the satellites into values of geophysical parameters. The estimation is based on the scattering and absorption features of the material suspended or dissolved in water. In addition to CDOM, these materials include phytoplankton cells, represented by Chlorophyll a (Chl-a), and suspended particulate matter.

One commonly used water quality processor is Case 2 Regional Coast Color (C2RCC) (Brockmann et al., 2016). It utilizes one artificial neural network (ANN) to first remove the effects of the atmosphere from the signal (atmospheric correction) and another to estimate inherent optical properties (IOPs) of water from the water leaving reflectance.

For estimation of CDOM absorption ($a_{CDOM}$), we utilized the C2RCC (version 1) output called $a_{dg}$ (combined absorption by detritus and gelbstoff) which was calibrated to $a_{CDOM}(440)$ (absorption coefficient by CDOM at 440 nm) values with in situ measurements from Finland with this equation:

$$a_{CDOM}(440) = 0.0654 \cdot a_{dg}^{1.45} + 0.2$$

(1)

A similar local calibration method has provided good results with other water quality parameters in earlier studies such as Koponen et al. (2007); Attila et al. (2013).

The data processing and extraction are done in a Calvalus massive parallel processing system (http://www.brockmann-consult.de/calvalus, last access: 22 September 2020). Data extraction areas are manually defined in the vicinity of the mouths of 69 rivers that represent ERGOM input locations (Fig. 1). The areas are designed so that islands, mixed pixels and shallow areas are excluded. All valid pixels (not masked as land or cloud by the pixel classification processor Idepix) within each area and image are collected and analyzed, and the 75th percentile value is chosen to represent the river $a_{CDOM}$. Cases in which the number of valid pixels is less than 50% of all available pixels from an area are removed from the analysis. Assumedly, these represent cases with partial cloud cover and they are discarded to keep only estimates with highest quality and low uncertainty. The arithmetic means of the 75th percentile pixel values of all valid days within each calendar month during years 2017–2019 are then computed for each extraction area.

We are aiming at providing the ecosystem model ERGOM with an annual cycle of CDOM loads based on monthly data. Since optical EO methods cannot provide $a_{CDOM}$ estimates in darkness and throughout times with ice coverage, the values for the winter months have been interpolated. As a result, the dataset contains $a_{CDOM}$ value for each month for each of the areas under investigation (69 extraction areas in total). Figure 2 shows four examples of the annual CDOM absorption cycle. The behavior of the data follows well the annual cycle: spring values are high due to the terrestrial matter brought into the coastal water by melting snow, summer values are low due to lower influx and the fall values are higher due to increasing rainfall.
Figure 1. Location of model rivers (black squares). The green line is the coastline of the 3nm model. We refer to the labeled river later in the text. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/), using published bathymetry data (Seifert et al., 2008).

Figure 2. The aggregated monthly values of $a_{CDOM}$ based on EO data (S2 & C2RCC V1) for four ERGOM input locations in the western coast of Finland. Location of the rivers is shown in Fig. 1.

3 Radiation model development

In this section, we describe the basics of the model development and the implementation.
3.1 Fundamentals of the radiation model development

Starting point of the development is the radiation model proposed by Neumann et al. (2015). The photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) follows an exponential decay with depth $z$:

$$ PAR(z) = PAR(0) \cdot \exp(-K_{PAR} \cdot z), \quad (2) $$

$K_{PAR}$ is the underwater bulk light attenuation and is described by 5 components:

$$ K_{PAR} = k_w + k_c \cdot Chl + k_{det} \cdot DET + k_{don} \cdot DON + K_{CDOM}(S), \quad (3) $$

$k_c$, $k_{det}$, and $k_{don}$ are material specific constants and $Chl$, $DET$, and $DON$ are concentrations of chlorophyll, detritus, and dissolved organic nitrogen, respectively. These concentrations are state variables of the ecosystem model ERGOM or, in the case of chlorophyll, can be estimated from model phytoplankton (Sect. 3.2). $k_w$ is the attenuation coefficient for pure water. In Neumann et al. (2015), $K_{CDOM}$ is a statistical relationship between in situ salinity and CDOM attenuation for the Baltic Sea derived from observations. For the new approach, we use the additional state variable CDOM:

$$ K_{CDOM} = k_{cdom} \cdot CDOM \quad (4) $$

The PAR attenuation now reads:

$$ K_{PAR} = k_w + k_c \cdot Chl + k_{det} \cdot DET + k_{don} \cdot DON + k_{cdom} \cdot CDOM \quad (5) $$

Terrestrial CDOM behaves relatively refractory in the ocean. An indication is the linear salinity–CDOM relationship in the northern Baltic (Harvey et al., 2015; Neumann et al., 2020). This is due to the fact of high freshwater supply with high CDOM concentrations. However, this relation does not apply to the central Baltic. In this region with longer residence time, the effects of CDOM degradation processes become more pronounced and observable.

Two processes control CDOM degradation, photobleaching and biological degradation. Moran et al. (2000) study the degradation of terrestrial CDOM in the coastal ocean and find that photobleaching accounts for 80% of the degradation. Furthermore, they show that CDOM decay follows closely simple first-order kinetics. In accordance with these findings, we implement CDOM as

$$ \frac{dCDOM}{dt} = -d_r \cdot CDOM \quad (6) $$

with the degradation rate

$$ d_r = DR_0 \cdot I(z). \quad (7) $$

$I(z)$ is the ambient PAR at depth $z$, $DR_0$ is a constant, and $I(z)$ can be estimated as:

$$ I(z) = \frac{I_0}{2} \cdot \exp(-K_{PAR} \int_0^z dz' K_{PAR}(z')) \quad (8) $$

$I_0$ is the solar radiation at sea surface depending on latitude, time, and sun zenith angle. We use 50% of total solar radiation for PAR (e.g., Stigebrandt and Wulff, 1987) since the invisible, long-wave part is absorbed at the water surface.
Table 1. Constants of the radiation model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Const.</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_w$</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>m$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_c$</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>m$^2$ (mg Chl)$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{det}$</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>m$^2$ (mg N)$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{don}$</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>m$^2$ (mg N)$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cdom}$</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DR_0$</td>
<td>8.75e-5</td>
<td>day$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Implementation in the biogeochemical model ERGOM

A comprehensive overview about CDOM in the ocean is given in Nelson and Siegel (2002). CDOM content usually is given as the absorption for a specific wavelength, e.g. $a_{CDOM(440)}$ for 440 nm. The spectral distribution can be parameterized by an exponentially decline with wavelength.

$$a_{CDOM}(\lambda) = a_{CDOM}(\lambda_0) \cdot \exp(-s(\lambda - \lambda_0))$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$s$ is the exponential slope parameter and varies between 0.015 – 0.025 m$^{-1}$. For a given slope $s$ and an absorption $a_{CDOM}(\lambda_0)$, any $a_{CDOM}(\lambda)$ can be estimated for wavelengths longer than 320 nm. We use a reference wavelength of 440 nm.

In the biogeochemical model, state variables are given as concentrations of an element, e.g. mol carbon per m$^3$, because these models primarily describe cycles of elements (carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen etc.). In order to model CDOM, a relationship between CDOM absorption and the concentration is required. Following the Lambert–Beer law, a linear relation exists.

Neumann et al. (2020) derived a relationship based on optical measurements and measurements with a calibrated CDOM sensor, which we used to convert CDOM absorption into concentration and vice versa. We have to note that the accuracy of the conversion does not impact the performance of the radiation model. Both the CDOM freshwater loads and CDOM in the radiation model is given as $a_{CDOM(440)}$. CDOM loads are estimated from concentration times runoff which is available from Gustafsson et al. (2012).

Most model constants used in the model are provided by Neumann et al. (2015). An exception is $DR_0$ (Eq. 7) which has been estimated with a series of calibration simulations. Aim of the calibration has been to find an optimal match of observed and simulated absorption values $a_{CDOM(440)}$.

Used constants are listed in Tab. 1. Model state variables in Eq. 5 have to be converted into appropriate units before entering the radiation model. We use a volume based concentration. $CDOM$ should be given as absorption because of uncertainties in the absorption–concentration relationship.

The technical implementation is done by an automatic code generation. Basis is a set of text files describing the biogeochemistry independently of computer language and the host system. Code templates describe physical and numerical aspects,
and are specific for a certain host e.g. a circulation model. All necessary ingredients, the code generation tool, text files, and templates for several systems, can be downloaded from www.ergom.net (last access: 22 September 2020). The same technique is used e.g. in Radtke et al. (2019).

3.3 Model description

For model testing, we have used a similar setup as in Neumann et al. (2015). The circulation model is MOM5.1 (Griffies, 2004) adapted for the Baltic Sea. The horizontal resolution is three nautical miles. Vertically, the model is resolved into 152 layers with a layer thickness of 0.5 m at the surface and gradually increasing with depth up to 2 m. The circulation model is coupled with a sea ice model (Winton, 2000) accounting for ice formation and drift.

Coupled with the circulation model is the biogeochemical model ERGOM. It describes a marine nitrogen and phosphorus cycle. Primary production, forced by PAR, is provided by three functional phytoplankton groups (large cells, small cells, and cyanobacteria). Chlorophyll concentration can be estimated from the phytoplankton groups which is used in the radiation model. Dead particles accumulate in the detritus state variable which is another compartment in the radiation model. A bulk zooplankton grazes on phytoplankton and constitutes the uppermost trophic level in the model. The metabolism of phytoplankton and zooplankton produces DON which has only little impact on light absorption. Phytoplankton and detritus can sink down in the water column and accumulate in a sediment layer. In the water column and the sediment, detritus is mineralized into dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus. Mineralization is controlled by temperature and oxygen. Oxygen is produced by primary production and consumed due to all other processes e.g. metabolism and mineralization. Coupled to the nitrogen and phosphorus cycle is a carbon cycle as described in Kuznetsov and Neumann (2013).

The new CDOM variable in the current model development state is not involved in the biogeochemical processes. This is justified by the fact that CDOM is relatively refractory and has a long residence time. In later developments, it will be included in the carbon cycle.

The model has been forced by meteorological data from the coastDat-2 data set (Geyer and Rockel, 2013). We run the model from 1948—2019. A first run was used to spin up the new CDOM tracer. In a second run, CDOM was initialized with data from the first run. In addition to the 3 nautical miles resolution, we use a 1 nautical mile resolution for 2017–2019. The model has been successfully used in several applications (e.g., Neumann, 2010; Neumann et al., 2015).

4 Results

In this section, we show the improved model CDOM representation and its impact on the simulation results. Owing to the changed shortwave distribution in the water column, an effect especially on the biogeochemistry is expected. All CDOM data presented are converted into absorption at 440 nm ($a_{CDOM}(440)$). Especially for observations at different wavelengths, we use Eq. 9 with a slope $s$ of 0.018 nm$^{-1}$ (Kratzer and Moore, 2018). For comparison, we show $a_{CDOM}(440)$ values estimated from the CDOM state variable and from model salinity. The models differ only in the estimation of PAR which becomes evident when we show the impact on biogeochemistry.
4.1 CDOM absorption

In Fig. 3a, we show the simulated CDOM absorption at the sea surface. The snapshot clearly illustrates the spatial patterns. Strong absorption is visible in the northern Baltic and the river mouths. The difference to the salinity based estimate (Eq. 3) is depicted in the right panel. Strongest differences appear in the Gulf of Bothnia and the Gulf of Finland while in the central Baltic differences are small. Strong differences are also pronounced in river estuaries. Owing to the low salinity, the salt-CDOM relationship overestimates CDOM content. Furthermore, the new, EO based method considers individual CDOM concentrations of different rivers. Rivers of the northern catchment area carry higher CDOM loads compared to rivers of the south-eastern catchment area due to a high fraction of peat land.
Both datasets were compared against *in situ* data collected from monitoring stations in coastal waters of Finland and from the Northern coast of Sweden. As shown in Fig. 4, the improvement becomes obvious. With the salinity method, the correlation is low and there are some clear overestimates while most data points are underestimated. With the EO CDOM method, the correlation improves significantly. There are no large overestimates and the data points move closer to the one-to-one line. Large *in situ* values are still underestimated with the new EO method. This underestimation is most likely caused by the following two major inaccuracies in the present version of the model input:

- The coast has many small rivers. Not all of them are yet included in this version.
- In river estuaries with low bottom depth or complex morphological structure, the shapes and formulations of the extraction areas do not sufficiently capture the incoming CDOM loading from the river. In order to avoid EO observations contaminated by bottom reflectance it was necessary to use pixels that are sufficiently far from the shoreline. Therefore, pixels of the extraction area may not represent river water as it has been already mixed with sea water. In some cases, this leads to lower concentrations especially during the low runoff season.

Figure 5 demonstrates the different CDOM absorption estimates. Shown are time series of surface CDOM absorption at 6 stations (Fig. 5d). The green curve is the salinity based estimate and the black curve the estimate from CDOM concentration. Red diamonds are *in situ* observations.

At stations 1–4, absorption values from simulated CDOM are much closer to observations compared with salt based estimates. The absorption difference at stations 5 and 6 is less pronounced which is also evident from Fig. 3. The seasonal variability is stronger for absorption derived from the CDOM variable (compared to salt based absorption) and reflects the observed variability. The reason is the annual cycle of riverine CDOM concentration in addition to the runoff cycle. In the central Baltic Sea, both methods overestimate CDOM absorption.

### 4.2 Impact on biogeochemistry

As an example of the changed light absorption impact on the biogeochemistry, we show annual mean profiles of selected variables in Fig. 6. We have chosen station 4 from Fig. 3 since at this station the CDOM absorption is considerably increased due to the new, EO based, radiation model and it is located in the center of the Bothnian Bay. Owing to the increased CDOM absorption, PAR is reduced in the EO model approach (Fig. 6a) as expected. Consequently, primary production (PP) is reduced (Fig. 6b). However, in the uppermost layer, PP is increased. As a result of reduced PP, phytoplankton concentration shows lower values (Fig. 6c). An integrated response is the increased bottom oxygen concentration (Fig. 6d). Less net PP results in less accumulation of organic matter in the deep water of the basin and subsequently reduced oxygen consumption. The impact on water temperature is small (order of 0.01K, not shown). The effect is a temperature increase in the surface layer and a lower temperature below.

We demonstrate changes in the biogeochemistry with a climatology of surface nutrient concentrations at three stations in Fig. 7. For this analysis, we use data from the 3 nautical miles model version because of the longer simulation period. Shown are data from the EO model (black) and the previous (salinity based) model (green) together with observations (red). In the
Figure 5. Surface $a_{CDOM}(440)$ time series at 6 stations. Location of the stations is shown in (d). Absorption estimates based on simulated CDOM are shown in black, based on a conversion from simulated salinity in green, and red diamonds are observations. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/), using published bathymetry data (Seifert et al., 2008).

Gulf of Finland at station KAS-11, the spring bloom related nutrient depletion is delayed by 2 weeks (Fig. 7a and b). Sufficient PAR intensity, initiating a bloom, is available later in the season. The winter nutrient concentrations are elevated compared to the salinity model version. At the Bothnian Bay station (Fig. 7c and d), the spring bloom delay is less pronounced. In this area, the longer sea ice coverage dominates the PAR in spring. At station BY15 in the Baltic Proper (Fig. 7e and f), the difference between both model versions is small due to similar CDOM absorption (Fig. 5).

5 Conclusions

In this study, we propose an approach for light absorption due to terrestrial CDOM in a marine ecosystem model for the Baltic Sea. An explicit consideration is necessary if large amounts of terrestrial CDOM enter the marine system and strong coastal-sea gradients develop. In such cases, a uniform light absorption due to CDOM cannot account for the $in situ$ light climate in a sufficient way. A common approach uses CDOM-salinity relationships for CDOM estimates (Kowalczuk et al., 2006, 2010; Neumann et al., 2015) but with distinct disadvantages (see Sect. 1).
Our approach uses an explicit CDOM state variable as part of the biogeochemical model. In order to improve the simulated absorption compared to the salt approximation, a high quality data set of riverine CDOM loads is necessary. This has been accomplished by using earth observation data from Sentinel 2 MSI. The high spatial resolution (10m–60m) allows to observe the river mouths directly. A difficulty in the regions of higher latitude like the Baltic Sea area is the insolation, the occurrence of sea ice, and the frequent cloud cover in winter. Continuous observations are not possible during this time. We have used a linear interpolation to bridge the winter data gap. This could be validated by ground truth measurements in winter possibly guiding for another than linear interpolation.

The results (Sect. 4) show that the proposed approach clearly improves the ability of the model to estimate CDOM and thus light absorption especially in the northern parts of the Baltic Sea where the impacts of terrestrial CDOM are large. This underlines the performance of the combined approach to increase the predictive capability of ecosystem models. The method can be further improved by adding more rivers to the model and improving the quality of CDOM data from Sentinel 2 MSI.

For the model CDOM, we have applied a light sensitive degradation. Although this is the dominating degradation process for terrestrial CDOM (Moran et al., 2000), bacterial breakdown contributes to the degradation as well. Technically, such a
process can easily be implemented. However, to our knowledge comprehensive process studies in the Baltic Sea are not done yet. Therefore, we have decided that bacterial breakdown is subject to later developments.

We consider only terrestrial CDOM in our model. In regions with high runoff, like the Baltic Sea, terrestrial CDOM is the dominating fraction (Harvey et al., 2015; Stedmon and Markager, 2003). However, a further step toward a more sophisticated model could be the inclusion of autochthonous CDOM.


The code of the biogeochemical model is available at www.ergom.net (last access: 22 September 2020). The ocean model "Modular Ocean Model MOM 5-1", used in this study, is available from the developers repository https://github.com/mom-ocean/MOM5 (last access: 1 December 2020). The meteorological forcing is archived at https://cera-www.dkrz.de/WDCC/ui/cerasearch/entry?acronym=coastDat-2_COSMO-CLM (last access: 1 December 2020). The version of the model code used to produce the results in this study is archived on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4299873 (last access: 1 December 2020). In addition to the source code, the archive includes initial fields and boundary conditions except the meteorological forcing.
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Figure 7. Climatology (1990–2019) of surface nitrate and surface phosphate at 3 stations. Black lines show the new CDOM based model, green line the salt based model, and red diamonds are observation (http://www.ices.dk, last access: 19 June 2020). The shaded area is the range between 10th and 90th percentile of the black line. Simulated data are from the 3 n.m. model version. The map was created using the software package GrADS 2.1.1.b0 (http://cola.gmu.edu/grads/), using published bathymetry data (Seifert et al., 2008).