

**Supplement material for:**  
**Development and evaluation of CO<sub>2</sub> transport in MPAS-A v6.3**

Tao Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Sha Feng<sup>2</sup>, Kenneth J. Davis<sup>2</sup>, Sandip Pal<sup>3</sup>, and Josep Anton Morgu<sup>4</sup>


<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography & Institute of Great Lake Research, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, MI. USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA. USA


<sup>3</sup>Department of Geosciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX. USA

<sup>4</sup>Environmental Science and Technology Institute, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, ICTA-UAB, Bellaterra, Spain


*Correspondence to:* Tao Zheng (zheng1t@cmich.edu)



**Figure S 1.** Model domain of WRF-Chem 27-km resolution simulations covering the four ACT aircraft campaign seasons (Feng et al., 2020).



**Figure S 2.** MPAS simulated equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_e$  and wind vector at model's 6<sup>th</sup> vertical level (approximately 400m above surface) on 4 August, 2016. The three panels are 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UTC respectively.



**Figure S 3.** Location of the 457 radiosonde stations used for validating horizontal wind fields of MPAS simulations during the ACT campaign seasons (2016-2018) and the month of January and July of 2014.



**Table S 1.** Comparison of ECWMF IFS CO<sub>2</sub> simulated hourly CO<sub>2</sub> statistics with MPAS results for the month of January 2014. RMSE, STDE, and Bias of IFS 9km and 80km are reproduced from supplement Table S1 of Agusti-Panareda et al. (2019) with permission.

Station	Bias IFS 9km	Bias IFS 80km	STDE IFS 9km	STDE IFS 80km	RMSE IFS 9km	RMSE IFS 80km	Bias MPAS	STDE MPAS	RMSE MPAS	Num
alt	-2.14	-2.09	0.61	0.61	2.23	2.18	1.68	2.55	3.05	655
brw	-1.45	-1.55	1.19	1.14	1.88	1.92	0.90	1.30	1.58	715
cby	-1.51	-1.30	0.58	0.56	1.62	1.42	1.65	0.82	1.84	72
inu	0.50	0.36	2.04	2.45	2.11	2.47	-0.19	3.36	3.36	688
pal	-0.89	0.32	2.13	3.44	2.31	3.46	5.58	6.90	8.87	588
bck	0.93	1.21	1.45	1.37	1.73	1.83	1.34	2.34	2.70	744
chl	0.50	0.68	1.70	1.91	1.77	2.02	1.58	1.53	2.20	587
llb	-1.77	-1.59	3.19	-3.32	3.65	3.69	3.03	7.94	8.50	312
etl	-0.67	-0.17	1.53	1.71	1.68	1.72	1.32	2.94	3.22	743
mhd	-1.11	2.34	4.75	1.03	1.52	5.30	0.46	1.17	1.26	313
wao	-0.68	-0.01	3.24	3.26	3.31	3.26	7.07	4.97	8.64	139
ces	-2.39	-1.27	4.41	4.71	5.02	4.88	4.17	9.58	10.45	657
est	-1.20	-1.08	2.09	1.93	2.41	2.21	2.01	4.94	5.33	738
fsd	-0.73	-0.40	1.24	1.29	1.44	1.35	2.02	3.04	3.65	744
cps	-0.58	0.06	1.32	1.49	1.44	1.49	3.29	4.32	5.43	673
esp	1.01	4.43	3.71	4.93	3.84	6.62	-1.11	7.03	7.12	585
kas	0.67	8.45	4.39	6.57	4.44	10.71	20.84	8.57	22.53	374
ssl	3.21	18.72	4.87	15.00	5.83	23.99	21.34	14.77	25.96	509
hun	-6.58	-2.68	5.52	5.64	8.59	6.24	1.62	8.78	8.93	727
jfj	0.08	12.47	2.53	9.29	2.53	15.55	7.27	5.84	9.33	717
lef	-0.78	-0.49	1.47	1.51	1.67	1.59	0.12	2.42	2.43	744
puy	2.39	6.11	3.91	8.29	4.58	10.30	8.40	7.64	11.36	700
amt	0.01	-0.50	2.68	2.77	2.68	2.81	3.49	5.02	6.12	738
egb	-1.13	-1.66	5.14	5.33	5.26	5.58	7.95	8.58	11.70	580
wsa	-1.24	-0.82	1.22	1.43	1.74	1.65	1.22	2.66	2.93	741
vac	-0.13	1.82	1.10	1.82	1.10	2.28	-1.24	0.97	1.57	86
tpd	-0.01	0.81	3.11	3.20	3.11	3.30	7.36	7.61	10.59	741
dec	11.13	7.43	11.42	7.31	15.95	10.42	8.13	9.33	12.37	382
hdp	1.48	16.83	2.73	10.25	3.10	19.71	1.22	2.42	2.71	664
spl	2.28	2.72	3.23	3.50	3.95	4.43	0.67	1.87	1.99	665
gic	-1.88	1.69	5.28	4.43	5.60	4.74	4.63	7.46	8.78	741
nwr	0.76	1.56	1.45	3.40	1.64	3.74	2.16	1.65	2.72	693
ryo	3.05	3.88	4.99	6.05	5.84	7.19	2.29	4.29	4.87	684
snp	3.05	9.66	3.97	10.87	5.01	14.54	3.08	3.21	4.45	744
wgc	-0.58	-0.60	4.92	5.71	4.95	5.74	-3.59	6.74	7.63	744
sgc	1.31	10.31	5.61	9.62	5.76	14.10	2.78	4.71	5.47	680
sct	-0.13	0.42	3.61	3.83	3.62	3.85	-0.27	3.63	3.64	739

**Table S 1.** Continued from Table S1.

Station	Bias IFS 9km	Bias IFS 80km	STDE IFS 9km	STDE IFS 80km	RMSE IFS 9km	RMSE IFS 80km	Bias MPAS	STDE MPAS	RMSE MPAS	Num
wkt	0.06	0.22	2.34	2.38	2.34	2.39	0.59	2.05	2.14	709
izo	0.01	0.63	2.80	0.98	2.80	1.16	1.59	1.26	2.02	686
yon	-0.40	-0.62	1.22	1.43	1.28	1.56	1.19	2.47	2.75	744
mnm	-0.34	-0.25	0.77	0.71	0.84	0.76	0.30	1.15	1.18	743
mlo	-0.35	0.68	0.78	1.05	0.85	1.25	0.36	0.63	0.72	712
smo	-1.10	-0.81	0.93	0.97	1.44	1.26	0.40	0.99	1.07	675
cpt	-1.11	1.86	0.60	6.02	1.26	6.30	-0.49	0.69	0.84	461
ams	-1.20	-1.27	0.26	0.27	1.22	1.30	-0.77	0.53	0.93	727
cgo	-0.69	-1.39	2.46	4.25	2.56	4.77	-1.75	3.36	3.79	744
cya	-1.14	-1.14	0.36	0.36	1.19	1.19	-0.54	0.47	0.71	730
syo	-1.09	-1.15	0.14	0.15	1.10	1.16	-0.32	0.30	0.45	724
spo	-1.10	-1.10	0.18	0.19	1.12	1.12	-0.27	0.23	0.36	714

**Table S 2.** Same as Table S1, but for the month of July 2014. RMSE, STDE, and Bias of IFS 9km and 80km are reproduced from supplement Table S1 of Agusti-Panareda et al. (2019) with permission.

Station	Bias IFS 9km	Bias IFS 80km	STDE IFS 9km	STDE IFS 80km	RMSE IFS 9km	RMSE IFS 80km	Bias MPAS	STDE MPAS	RMSE MPAS	Num
alt	-0.93	-1.36	1.05	1.17	1.40	1.80	-0.59	1.41	1.53	504
brw	-0.85	-0.68	2.06	2.20	2.23	2.31	-1.03	3.21	3.37	708
cby	-0.67	-1.83	3.07	3.50	3.15	3.95	-2.25	6.47	6.85	722
inu	-1.40	-2.54	3.98	5.07	4.22	5.67	3.73	9.50	10.21	741
pal	2.03	4.40	6.13	10.86	6.45	11.72	2.79	9.98	10.37	427
bck	10.36	34.84	38.58	79.33	39.95	86.65	6.82	27.79	28.61	733
chl	-0.09	-0.77	4.45	4.61	4.45	4.67	0.91	7.09	7.15	744
llb	-10.09	-7.88	14.30	13.39	17.50	15.53	-1.11	21.94	21.97	112
etl	-3.59	-4.90	7.02	7.48	7.88	8.94	-4.96	9.18	10.43	674
mhd	-2.27	-0.40	5.63	6.52	6.07	6.53	-2.76	2.49	3.72	168
wao	-4.01	-3.44	8.33	7.12	9.24	7.91	-5.42	12.83	13.93	587
ces	-3.49	-2.93	7.76	7.97	8.51	8.50	-5.14	9.13	10.48	667
est	0.35	0.50	8.62	9.37	8.63	9.38	10.86	22.11	24.63	295
fsd	-3.51	-4.59	8.96	9.23	9.62	10.31	-0.69	9.57	9.59	738
cps	-2.98	-3.85	7.03	7.52	7.64	8.45	3.19	9.82	10.32	743
esp	0.28	-6.53	5.69	10.09	5.70	12.01	-2.06	11.55	11.74	526
kas	-1.01	7.42	4.17	15.93	4.29	17.57	12.27	23.37	26.39	558
ssl	-0.11	9.63	8.99	18.56	8.99	20.91	17.69	30.96	35.65	736
hun	-6.61	-5.61	7.87	7.43	10.28	9.32	0.29	14.92	14.92	744
jfj	-5.23	-5.48	3.60	10.60	6.35	11.93	0.92	8.39	8.44	269
lef	3.88	2.53	6.22	6.05	7.33	6.56	-4.58	9.50	10.54	733
puy	0.75	4.88	7.19	12.36	7.23	13.29	12.24	34.35	36.46	677
amt	2.60	-0.94	8.24	7.95	8.64	8.00	8.93	22.81	24.50	665
egb	-1.24	-6.52	13.31	15.61	13.37	16.92	9.97	22.62	24.73	392
wsa	0.95	0.41	4.66	5.60	4.76	5.62	-0.57	5.85	5.88	744
vac	2.85	6.98	5.22	12.04	5.95	13.91	3.85	11.47	12.10	741
tpd	-1.20	-2.44	14.31	13.12	14.37	13.34	7.38	20.21	21.52	743
dec	7.78	11.01	10.37	11.97	12.96	16.26	2.97	11.73	12.10	381
hdp	4.11	27.16	4.36	25.67	5.99	37.37	1.34	4.60	4.79	596
spl	8.73	20.16	6.34	16.93	10.79	26.32	5.23	10.51	11.74	643
gic	-10.88	-6.14	17.13	14.08	20.30	15.36	-12.39	24.16	27.15	239
nwr	3.63	11.03	3.68	15.20	5.17	18.78	3.20	6.13	6.91	613
bao	1.05	-1.43	5.69	6.55	5.79	6.70	-1.85	8.35	8.55	651
ryo	18.51	10.77	27.89	17.28	33.48	20.36	-2.35	12.06	12.28	684
snp	24.15	37.81	16.55	30.11	29.28	48.33	17.63	23.83	29.64	744
wgc	1.57	1.37	2.75	2.81	3.17	3.13	-3.39	3.03	4.55	144
sgc	5.47	14.61	5.71	12.54	8.09	19.25	-1.81	5.13	5.44	617
sct	3.90	4.21	7.82	7.31	8.73	8.43	1.81	8.42	8.61	720

**Table S 2.** Continued from Table S2

Station	Bias IFS 9km	Bias IFS 80km	STDE IFS 9km	STDE IFS 80km	RMSE IFS 9km	RMSE IFS 80km	Bias MPAS	STDE MPAS	RMSE MPAS	Num
wkt	4.75	4.90	4.32	3.93	6.42	6.28	-0.29	5.79	5.80	742
izo	4.65	-1.84	3.82	2.22	6.01	2.88	-2.53	3.08	3.99	720
yon	0.61	0.26	1.98	1.58	2.07	1.60	-0.90	1.98	2.17	718
mnm	0.33	0.27	0.98	0.97	1.04	1.00	0.06	1.79	1.79	739
mlo	0.83	-0.52	1.22	1.60	1.47	1.68	0.35	1.07	1.12	692
sno	-0.26	-0.34	0.80	0.87	0.84	0.93	-0.45	0.94	1.04	692
cpt	-0.12	-0.82	0.93	5.91	0.94	5.97	-0.23	1.11	1.14	501
ams	-0.90	-1.03	0.28	0.29	0.94	1.07	-0.46	0.44	0.64	668
cgo	-0.55	-0.41	1.56	2.42	1.66	2.45	0.42	1.64	1.69	734
cya	-0.95	-1.01	0.29	0.29	0.99	1.05	-1.98	0.52	2.05	744
syo	-0.92	-0.97	0.14	0.13	0.93	0.98	-0.45	0.34	0.57	744
spo	-0.83	-0.88	0.16	0.15	0.85	0.89	-0.35	0.23	0.42	713

## References

- Agusti-Panareda, A., Diamantakis, M., Massart, S., Chevallier, F., Munoz-Sabater, J., Barre, J., Curcoll, R., Engelen, R., Langerock, B., Law, R. M., Loh, Z., Anton Morgui, J., Parrington, M., Pench, V.-H., Ramonet, M., Roehl, C., Vermeulen, A. T., Warneke, T., and Wunch, D.: Modelling CO<sub>2</sub> weather - why horizontal resolution matters, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 7347–7376, doi:10.5194/acp-19-7347-2019, 2019.
- 5 Feng, S., Lauvaux, T., Barkley, Z. R., D. k. J., Butler, M. B., Deng, A., Gaudet, B., and D., S.: Full WRF-Chem output in support of the NASA Atmospheric Carbon and Transport (ACT)-America project (7/1/2016 – 7/31/2019). The Pennsylvania State University Data Commons, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA, doi:10.26208/49kd-b637, <https://doi.org/10.26208/49kd-b637>, 2020.