1 Sensitivity of surface solar radiation to aerosol-radiation and aerosol-

2 cloud interactions over Europe in WRFv3.6.1 climatic runs with fully

3 interactive aerosols

4 Sonia Jerez^{1*}, Laura Palacios-Peña¹, Claudia Gutiérrez², Pedro Jiménez-Guerrero¹, Jose María

5 López-Romero¹, E. Pravia-Sarabia¹, Juan Pedro Montávez¹

6 (1) Department of Physics, Regional Atmospheric Modeling group, Regional Campus of

7 International Excellence "Campus Mare Nostrum", University of Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain

8 (2) Environmental Sciences Institute, University of Castilla-La Mancha, 45071 Toledo, Spain

9 *Correspondence to: sonia.jerez@gmail.com

10 Abstract

The amount of solar radiation reaching the Earth's surface can be highly determined by atmospheric 11 12 aerosols, pointed as the most uncertain climate forcing agents through their direct (scattering and absorption), semi-direct (absorption implying a thermodynamic effect on clouds) and indirect 13 14 (cloud properties modification when aerosols act as cloud condensation nuclei) effects. 15 Nonetheless, Regional Climate Models hardly ever dynamically model the atmospheric 16 concentration of aerosols and their interactions with radiation (ARI) and clouds (ACI). The 17 objective of this work is to evince the role of modeling ARI and ACI in Weather Research and 18 Forecast (WRF) model simulations with fully interactive aerosols (online resolved concentrations) 19 with a focus on summer mean surface downward solar radiation (RSDS) over Europe. Under 20 historical conditions (1991-2010), both ARI and ACI reduce RSDS by a few percentage points over 21 central and northern regions. This reduction is larger when only ARI are resolved, while ACI 22 counteract the effect of the former by up to half. The response of RSDS to the activation of ARI and 23 ACI is mainly led by the aerosol effect on the cloud coverage, while the aerosol effect on the 24 atmospheric optical depth plays a very minor role, which evinces the importance of the semi-direct 25 and indirect aerosol effects. In fact, differences in RSDS among experiments with and without 26 aerosols are softer under clear-sky conditions. In terms of future projections (2031-2050 vs. 1991-27 2010), the baseline pattern (from an experiment without aerosols) shows positive signals southward

and negative signals northward. While ARI enhance the former and reduce the latter, ACI work in
the opposite direction and provide a flatter RSDS change pattern, further evincing the opposite
impact from semi-direct and indirect effects and the non-banal influence of the latter.

31 1 – Introduction

32 Regional Climate Models (RCMs) are powerful tools providing high-resolution climate information by dynamically downscaling coarser datasets, e.g. from Global Circulation Models (GCMs). Their 33 34 added value comes not only from the increased resolution, but also from the fact that such an increased resolution allows modeling and considering fine scale processes and features that are 35 missed or misrepresented otherwise, e.g. local circulations and land uses (Rummukainen 2010, 36 37 Jacob et al 2014, 2020, Schewe et al 2019). Still, certain phenomena need to be parametrized, e.g. the turbulence within the planetary boundary layer, microphysics processes and convective 38 39 phenomena. However, there are relevant processes that GCMs usually model dynamically, but 40 which are not usually included in RCMs runs. This is the case of the atmospheric aerosol 41 concentration and their multiple non-linear interactions (e.g. Taylor et al 2012 vs. Ruti et al 2016), 42 the so-called aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions (ARI and ACI respectively; Boucher 43 2015).

44 Depending on their nature and the ambient conditions, aerosols can act to scatter and/or absorb the 45 solar radiation through ARI, which may result in less or more solar radiation reaching the surface 46 through direct and semi-direct effects. Direct effects might involve that less solar radiation reaches 47 the surface due to its scattering and absorption (Giorgi et al 2002, Nabat et al 2015a, Li et al 2017, 48 Kinne 2019), or more if, for instance, absorption warms aloft atmospheric layers, thereby leading to 49 more stable atmospheric situations (lower surface temperatures than upward) and thus to the 50 inhibition of clouds formation via convective phenomena (Giorgi et al 2002, Nabat et al 2015a). Absorption itself can also lead to clouds inhibition and/or burn-off through thermodynamic effects, 51 52 i.e. by heating the air (semi-direct effects), thus increasing the amount of solar radiation reaching the surface (Allen and Sherwood 2010). Besides, aerosols act as cloud condensation nuclei (indirect 53 54 effect or ACI), which may also result in less or more solar radiation reaching the surface. Abundance of cloud condensation nuclei rebounds on enhanced scattering via whitened clouds of 55 smaller drops with increased size and lifetime, and on drizzle suppression which reduces bellow-56 57 cloud wet deposition processes (Seinfeld et al 2016, Kinne 2019). On the contrary, in-cloud aerosol 58 scavenging processes lead to out-of-cloud cleaner atmospheres (Croft et al 2012). All these 59 processes can potentially alter local and regional circulations, therefore impacting beyond the 60 radiative balance (Kloster et al 2010, Wilcox et al 2013, Nabat et al 2014, Wang et al 2016, Pavlidis 61 et al 2020).

62 In the current context of climate crisis, the scientific challenge is becoming twofold: (1) to gain a 63 good understanding of the processes that occur in the atmosphere and of what will occur in the 64 future, because this is crucial (IPCC 2013) in order (2) to advance effective measures both at global 65 and regional scales (IPCC 2014). In particular, climate change mitigation strategies require low-66 carbon energies to grow rapidly in the coming decades (Rohrig et al 2019, IRENA 2019). This rapid 67 transition of the energy sector towards renewable-powered decarbonized systems makes energy production, transmission and distribution increasingly sensitive to weather and climate variability 68 69 (Bloomfield et al 2016, Collins et al 2018, Kozarcanin et al 2018, Jerez et al 2019). Thus, several 70 works have been devoted to assessing this issue through the use of climate modeling tools. In 71 particular, for the solar resource, Crook et al (2011), Gaetani et al (2014), Wild et al (2015) and 72 Müller et al (2019) showed a generalized increase in Europe by making use of GCM simulations, 73 while Jerez et al (2015), Gil et al (2019) and Tobin et al (2018) reported a different behavior, with 74 RCM simulations projecting a slight general decrease in the amount of solar radiation reaching the 75 surface over Europe.

76 From the previous literature, we point out here three key features that motivated the present work. 77 First, the increasing use of RCM to evaluate the renewable energy resources and their supply 78 potential (e.g. Jerez et al 2013, 2015, 2019, Gil et al 2019, Soares et al 2019, van der Wiel et al 79 2019). Second, the key role of aerosols regarding the accuracy of the simulated solar resource by 80 climate models (Gaetani et al 2014, Nabat et al 2015b, Pavlidis et al 2020), particularly attributed to their direct and semi-direct effects, which would help to explain the aforementioned discrepancy 81 82 between the GCM and RCM future projections (Boé et al 2020, Gutiérrez et al 2020). Third, none 83 of the previous studies has so far dealt with the non-evident RCM sensitivity to interactively 84 modeled atmospheric aerosol concentrations and the resulting aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud 85 interactions in order to simulate the solar resource under historical and future climate scenarios.

Hence, our objective here is to shed light on the third point above by assessing the sensitivity of long-term RCM simulations to the inclusion of ARI and ACI using fully interactive (online diagnosed) aerosols. For this, we made use of a widely applied RCM, the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Skamarock et al 2008) and its coupled form with Chemistry (WRF-

3

90 Chem; Grell et al 2005), to perform sets of historical (period 1991-2010) and future (period 2031-91 2050) simulations over Europe in three ways: (1) without including atmospheric aerosols, (2) with 92 dynamic aerosols and aerosol-radiation interactions activated, and (3) with dynamic aerosols and 93 both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions activated.

94 Section 2 describes experiments and methods; section 3 presents the results; the discussion and95 conclusions are provided in Section 4.

96 2 – Experiments, data and methods

97 2.1 – General description of the WRF simulations

98 We performed three experiments using the WRF model version 3.6.1 (Skamarock et al 2008; 99 available at https://www.mmm.ucar.edu/weather-research-and-forecasting-model). In all cases, the 100 simulated periods were 1991-2010 (historical) and 2031-2050 (future). Initial and boundary 101 conditions were taken from GCM simulations: the r1i1p1 MPI-ESM-LR historical and RCP8.5-102 forced runs (Giorgetta et al 2012a,b; available at https://cera-www.dkrz.de) from the Coupled 103 Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5; <u>https://pcmdi.llnl.gov/mips/cmip5/;</u> Taylor et al 104 2012). The Representative Concentration Pathway RCP8.5 (Moss et al 2010) depicts the highest 105 radiative forcing along the XXI century among all RCPs, with doubled CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O concentrations by 2050 compared to the last record of the historical period. Both the observed (past) 106 107 and estimated (future) temporal evolution of the concentration of these species was appropriately 108 considered in the WRF executions (Jerez et al 2018).

109 The three experiments consisted of, and are named as:

BASE: aerosols are not considered in the simulations. No aerosol climatology is used, and no aerosol interactions are taken into account by the model. WRF-alone considers a constant number of cloud condensation nuclei (250 per cm³, set in the model by default) to enable the formation of clouds.

ARI: aerosols are estimated online and aerosol-radiation interactions are activated in the model(both direct and semi-direct effects are included in the simulations).

4

ARCI: aerosols are estimated online and both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions areactivated in the model (direct, semi-direct and indirect effects are included in the simulations).

118 The WRF spatial configuration consisted of two one-way nested domains (Supp Fig 1). The inner one (target domain) is an Euro-Cordex (https://www.euro-cordex.net/; Jacob et al 2014, 2020) 119 120 compliant domain covering Europe with a horizontal resolution of 0.44° in latitude and longitude. The outer one has a horizontal resolution of 1.32° and covers the most important areas of Saharan 121 122 dust emission as in Palacios-Peña et al 2019a. This configuration was necessary to generate and 123 include the information of the Saharan dust intrusions through the boundaries of our target domain 124 for the ARI and ARCI experiments, because the boundary conditions from the GCM do not provide this information. In the vertical dimension, 29 unevenly spaced eta levels were specified in the two 125 domains, with more levels near the surface than upward, and the model top was set to 50 hPa. The 126 127 physics configuration of the WRF model consisted of the Lin microphysics scheme (Lin et al 1983), 128 the RRTM long- and short-wave radiative scheme (Iacono et al 2008), the Grell 3D ensemble 129 cumulus scheme (Grell 1993, Grell and Dévényi 2002), the University of Yonsei boundary layer 130 scheme (Hong et al 2006) and the Noah land surface model (Chen & Dudhia 2001, Tewari et al 131 2004). Boundary conditions from the GCM were updated every 6 hours, including the low 132 boundary condition for the sea surface temperature. Nudging was applied to the outer domain, but 133 not to the target domain.

134 **2.2 – Including aerosols in WRF**

135 To perform the ARI and ARCI experiments, we used the WRF model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem) version 3.6.1 (Grell et al 2005, Chin et al 2002). WRF-Chem runs with GOCART 136 137 aerosol module (Ginoux et al 2001). This scheme includes five species, namely sulfate, mineral 138 dust, sea salt aerosol, organic matter and black carbon, and was coupled with RACM-KPP 139 (Stockwell et al 1997, Geiger et al 2003) as chemistry option. Chemical reactions in the GOCART 140 model include several oxidation processes by the three main oxidants in the troposphere: OH, NO₃, 141 and O₃. The OH radical dominates oxidation during the daytime, but at night its concentration drops and NO₃ becomes the primary oxidant (Archer-Nicholls et al 2014). So, the oxidation pathways 142 143 represented in GOCART include: (a) dimethyl sulfide (DMS) oxidation by the hydroxyl radical 144 (OH) during the day to form sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and methanesulfonic acid (MSA); (b) oxidation 145 by nitrate radicals (NO₃) at night to form SO₂; and (c) SO₂ oxidation by OH in air and by H₂O₂ and 146 tropospheric ozone (O_3) in clouds (aqueous chemistry) to form sulfate (Chin et al 2000). Henceforth, the skilful characterization of gas-phase radicals such as OH and NO₃ or compounds 147 like O₃ is essential for the representation of oxidation pathways in the atmosphere leading to the 148 formation of secondary aerosols (Jiménez et al 2003). Therefore, in this contribution the RACM 149 150 (Stockwell et al 1997, Geiger et al 2003) mechanism was coupled to GOCART through the kinetics pre-processor (KPP) in WRF-Chem in order to provide the concentrations of radical and gas-phase 151 152 pollutants needed by the GOCART aerosol model. The Fast-J module (Wild et al 2000) was used as photolysis option. Biogenic emissions were calculated using the Guenther scheme (Guenther et al 153 154 2006). Anthropogenic emissions coming from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model 155 Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP; Lamarque et al 2010) were kept unchanged in the simulation 156 periods (we considered the 2010 monthly values). Natural emissions depend on ambient conditions 157 and varied accordingly in our simulations following Ginoux et al 2001 for dust and Chin et al 2002 158 for sea salt.

The inclusion of aerosol-radiation interactions in the called ARI simulations follows Fast et al 159 (2006) and Chapman et al (2009). The overall refractive index for a given size bin was determined 160 161 by volume averaging associating each chemical constituent of aerosol with a complex index of refraction. The Mie theory and the summation over all size bins were used to determine the 162 163 composite aerosol optical properties assuming wet particle diameters, taking into account the 164 humidity variations to allow variations of optical properties. Finally, aerosol optical properties were 165 transferred to the shortwave radiation scheme. Aerosol-cloud interactions were implemented by linking the simulated cloud droplet number with the microphysics schemes (Chapman et al 2009) 166 affecting both the calculated droplet mean radius and the cloud optical depth. Although this WRF-167 168 Chem version (3.6.1) does not allow a full coupling with aerosol-cloud interactions that includes the aerosols exerting the highest influence from a climatic point point of view, i.e. sea salt and desert 169 170 dust, the microphysics implemented here is a modified version of a single moment scheme that 171 turns it into a two-moment scheme in the simulations denoted ARCI. One-moment microphysical 172 schemes are unsuitable for assessing the aerosol-cloud interactions as they only predict the mass of 173 cloud droplets and do not represent the number or concentration of cloud droplets (Li et al 2008). 174 The prediction of two moments provides a more robust treatment of the particle size distributions, 175 which is key for computing the microphysical process rates and cloud/precipitation evolution. In 176 this sense, although the Lin microphysics is originally presented as a single moment scheme (Lin et 177 al 1983), a modified Lin double-moment microphysical scheme is implemented in WRF-Chem (Lin 178 et al 2008) and used here to conduct the ARCI simulations. In this scheme, both the mass and the

179 total number of cloud droplets are predicted. The prognostic treatment of cloud droplet number involves water vapor, cloud water, rain, cloud ice, snow and graupel (Ghan et al 1997), and is 180 181 activated through the "mixactivate" module of WRF-Chem. In that module, WRF-Chem calculates 182 the aerosol number per volume concentration by using, for each aerosol type, the information about 183 the size (the mean volume-diameter of each aerosol mode, obtained from the aerosol mechanism 184 implemented in the simulation), and fixed densities and molecular weight of each type of aerosols. 185 With all this information and the total mass, WRF-Chem estimates the aerosol number for each mode assuming spherical particles. The autoconversion of cloud droplets to rain droplets depends 186 on droplet number (Liu et al 2005). Droplet-number nucleation and (complete) evaporation rates 187 188 correspond to the aerosol activation and resuspension rates. Ice nuclei based on predicted 189 particulates are not treated. However, ice clouds are included via the prescribed ice nuclei distribution, following the Lin et al (2008) scheme. Thus, the droplet number will affect both the 190 calculated droplet mean radius and cloud optical depth. Finally, the interactions of clouds and 191 192 incoming solar radiation were implemented by linking the simulated cloud droplet number with the 193 Goddard shortwave radiation scheme, representing the first indirect effect (i.e. increase in droplet number associated with increases in aerosols), and with the Lin microphysics, representing the 194 195 second indirect effect (i.e. decrease in precipitation efficiency associated with increases in aerosols).

196 An important aspect of the differences in the model setup between experiments is that the 197 autoconversion scheme necessarily changes in the ARCI simulations as compared to the model 198 configuration used for ARI and BASE. The flag progn of the WRF namelist should be set to 0 for 199 running ARI experiments in order to keep disabled the interaction of the online-estimated aerosols 200 with cloud microphysics, hence ensuring the use of prescribed aerosols (as in the case of the BASE 201 simulations) as this regards. Conversely, progn should be set to 1 for running ARCI experiments in order to feed the cloud microphysics scheme with the online-estimated number and physico-202 203 chemical properties of aerosols (this effectively turns the Lin scheme into a second-moment 204 microphysical scheme).

205 2.3 – Data and methods

The WRF and WRF-Chem outputs were recorded every hour for surface downward solar radiation (RSDS), total cloud cover (CCT) and the concentrations of various aerosol species (dust, black carbon, organic carbon and sea salt). The concentration of sulfates was indirectly computed from the recorded concentrations of SO_2 and OH using the same kinetic reaction implemented in the 210 RACM-KPP module. From the concentrations of the five aerosol species, the atmospheric optical 211 depth (AOD) at 550 nm was estimated using the reconstructed mass-extinction method (Malm et al 212 1994), as in Palacios-Peña et al (2020). The RSDS and CCT data simulated by the driving GCM 213 runs were used for comparison purposes. We also retrieved the AOD at 550 nm as seen by the GCM 214 from the MACv2 data (Kinne et al 2019), whose anthropogenic changes are in accordance with the 215 RCP8.5 while its coarse mode (of natural origin) was not allowed to change. Also, RSDS values 216 from the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al 2020) were used for validation purposes. Seasonal means 217 means of all the variables were used in the analysis. These means involve all the records within 218 each season in the series.

We also studied the sensitivity to resolving aerosol interactions of RSDS and AOD under clear-sky 219 220 conditions. The analysis in absence of cloudiness will tell us more about the relevance of the direct 221 radiative effect of aerosols. RSDS and AOD clear-sky (RSDS_{cs} and AOD_{cs}, respectively) mean 222 seasonal series were constructed as follows. First, hourly series of CCT, RSDS and AOD were time 223 averaged up to the daily timescale. Second, days with CCT values lower than 1% were retained 224 (this criterion is applied at the grid-box level, for each grid-box individually); otherwise we put a 225 missing value. These clear-sky daily series were then time averaged up to the seasonal time-scale. 226 When pairs of experiments were compared, only coincident clear-sky dates (days) in the series were 227 selected (missing values were also assigned in this case to the non-coincident dates with clear-sky 228 conditions) before performing the seasonal time average. This resctriction aims to avoid the 229 masking effect of Earth orbit related issues, of large scale climate drivers and/or local forcings such 230 as water vapor content, since different days may have different daytime lengths and different 231 atmospheric compositions (different atmospheric optical depth or atmospheric transmissivity) that 232 may mask the AOD effect under clear-sky conditions. The analysis involving RSDS_{cs} and AOD_{cs} was carried out only over those grid points where at least 75% of the summer mean values in the 233 234 series (i.e. at least 15 records per period) were not missing (which, according to our methodology, 235 would occur only if all days within a summer season had CTT values $\geq 1\%$).

236 Spatial correlations between climatological patterns were computed excluding sea grid points, 237 considering absolute values in case they involved differences (while these were depicted in the fldcor 238 Figures in relative terms, i.e. in %), using the CDO function 239 (https://code.mpimet.mpg.de/projects/cdo/embedded/cdo.pdf). Temporal correlations were 240 computed at the grid point level between the seasonal series, considering absolute values in case 241 they involved differences, R function using the cor

8

(https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/versions/3.6.2/topics/cor; *Pearson* correlation
 coefficient selected). The statistical significance of any signal was assessed with a t-test imposing
 p<0.05.

We focus on the summer season (JJA), when solar energy is at its maximum, AOD typically reaches high values and the aerosol radiative effect has been proven to be strongest (Pavlidis et al 2020).

247 In order to investigate the underlying mechanisms explaining the signals found in RSDS and CCT, additional variables and statistics were used, namely: JJA-mean top-of-the-atmosphere outgoing 248 249 short-wave radiation (RSOT), surface (2 m height) air temperature (TAS), surface (1000 hPa 250 pressure level) relative humidity (RH), total precipitation (PR) and convective precipitation (PRC); number of cloudy days (CLD, defined as days with mean CCT>75%) in the summer series; 90th 251 percentile of the JJA day-mean PR series; and number of rainy days (RD, defined as days with 252 253 mean precipitation > 1 mm) in the JJA daily PR series. Vertical profiles of air temperature (T) and 254 cloud fraction (CLFR) were also considered.

255 **3 – Results**

256 3.1 – Historical patterns

257 Brief validation of the simulated RSDS patterns

As a first test, Supp Fig 2 provides the GCM, ERA5 BASE, ARI and ARCI JJA climatologies of 258 259 RSDS in the historical period and the results of a brief validation exercise. Although the five 260 patterns depict similar structures (Supp Fig 2a,b,d-f), Supp Fig 2g-i reveals significant deviations of 261 the climatologies from the WRF experiments with respect to the GCM: positive values (higher 262 RSDS values in the RCM experiments) south and northward (up to 20 and 30% respectively), and negative values in between (10-15%, eventually up to 25%). These differences are very similar to 263 those obtained when WRF climatologies are compared with the ERA5 pattern (Supp Fig 2j-l), with 264 265 a notable exception over the Scandinavian region where the agreement between the WRF experiments and ERA5 is higher than between the WRF experiments and the GCM. In fact, the 266 267 GCM pattern strongly underestimates RSDS over such a region (over 30%; Supp Fig 2c), while 268 showing a better agreement with ERA5 elsewhere as compared to the WRF simulations.

269 Aerosols impact on the simulated RSDS patterns

Although the three WRF experiments (BASE, ARI and ARCI) perform similarly when compared to 270 271 the GCM or ERA5, there are still noticeable differences between them (Fig 1a-c and Supp Fig 3a-272 c), and it is there that this research focuses. The inclusion of aerosols (ARI and ARCI experiments) 273 reduces the JJA mean values of RSDS in central and northern parts of our domain by a few percentage points (i.e. by ~10 Wm⁻²) as compared to the BASE experiment (Fig 1a,b and Supp Fig 274 275 3a-b). This reduction is generally stronger in ARI than in ARCI. Consequently, the ARCI minus 276 ARI pattern (Fig 1c and Supp Fig 3c) depicts mostly positive values (by ~5 Wm⁻²) over central and 277 southern regions. This result indicates that the indirect aerosols effects tend to counteract the joint 278 direct and semi-direct effects seen in the ARI minus BASE pattern, reducing it by up to a half over 279 most of the domain, which is in agreement with previously reported findings (Pavlidis et al 2020).

In order to better understand the patterns of differences in RSDS between experiments, Fig 1 (and Supp Fig 3) also provides differences in CCT and AOD (panels d to f and g to i, respectively) and the spatial correlations (*s_corr*) between these patterns and those of RSDS differences.

283 The role of CCT

284 Compared to BASE, both ARI and ARCI lead to more cloudiness in central and northern regions 285 (albeit quite slight increases, well below 5%). This could respond to the direct effect of the 286 scattering of the solar radiation due to the high presence of sea salt, dust and sulfate over these areas 287 (Fig 2), as an increase in RSOT over these areas is also appreciated in both ARI and ARCI 288 simulations (Fig 3a-b). In addition, this direct effect could be triggering the following feedback 289 mechanism: the cooling effect downward (where less solar radiation is received because of its 290 scattering) cools down surface temperatures (Fig 3d-e), thus increasing relative humidity (Fig 3g-h), 291 which may favor the formation of clouds (these should be non-convective, mostly low-level, clouds 292 as the decrease in TAS leads to more stable atmospheric layers; Fig 4a,b), thus less radiation 293 reaches the surface, thus lower surface temperatures, and so on. Noteworthy, both the reduction in 294 RSDS and the accompanying increase in RSOT is more marked in ARI than in ARCI over central 295 regions (Fig 1c and Fig 3c), where the indirect effects included in the ARCI simulation, such as in-296 cloud aerosol scavenging processes, could lead to cleaner atmospheres than ARI simulates.

297 Conversely, both ARI and ARCI lead to less cloudiness southward as compared to BASE, especially 298 ARCI (reductions up to 10% in Mediterranean regions; Fig 1d-e). Consistently, the ARCI minus 299 ARI pattern (Fig 1f) depicts negative values (around 5%) along the Mediterranean strip. Therefore, 300 both semi-direct and indirect aerosol effects would tend to diminish cloudiness southward, with the 301 latter (indirect effect) having the greatest impact. This could be due to the fact that a high presence of large aerosols over southern Europe, both in form of dust or sulfate in our case (Fig 2), can 302 303 accelerate collision-coalescence processes fastening that precipitation occurs and thus shortening 304 the lifetime of clouds (Lee et al 2008), which is most plausible in the warm season over warm areas 305 (Yin et al 2000), as long as aerosol-cloud interactions are resolved by the model. However, we did 306 not find such an enhanced precipitation effect in our simulations (maybe the signal does not hold at 307 the climatic scales assessed here), only a decrease in both mean cloudiness and number of cloudy 308 days (Supp Fig 3j-l) together with consistent pictures of lower mean precipitation, lower mean 309 convective precipitation, fewer rainy days and lower extreme precipitation values emerging over 310 those areas where the aerosol effects diminish cloudiness (Fig 5). The reduction in convective 311 precipitation (the prevailing form of precipitation over this area during the summer season) suggests 312 that absorption might be creating more stable atmospheric situations (by heating aloft layers) and 313 thus preventing clouds formation via convective phenomena and increasing the incoming surface 314 solar radiation. But we did not find any clear evidence of that either (Fig 4c). So the thermodynamic 315 effect of aerosols on clouds inhibition and burn-off might justify the reduction in CCT (mainly at 316 low levels; Fig 4d) and the accompanying increase in RSDS in the southernmost areas. These 317 signals are intensified when we add the indirect aerosols effects, likely due to the removal of 318 aerosols via scavenging processes, which cleans the atmosphere favoring that the solar radiation 319 reaches the surface.

Whatever the underlying mechanisms are, the patterns of differences between experiments in CCT are well correlated with the corresponding patterns of differences in RSDS, thus indicating a key role of CCT in driving the latter. Indeed, the temporal correlation at the grid point level between the seasonal series of RSDS and CCT differences is above 0.8 (negative) in most of the domain (Supp Fig 4a-c).

325 The role of AOD

The inclusion of aerosols also leads to differences of a few percentage points (2-5%) in the AOD values between ARCI and ARI simulations over western areas (Fig 1i), and the AOD climatologies

328 from these two experiments provide a consistently non-null picture (Fig 1g,h; null values can be 329 considered for BASE). However, the patterns for AOD do not correlate with those for RSDS and 330 the seasonal series of differences in AOD hardly correlates with the seasonal series of differences in 331 RSDS except for certain locations of central and southeast Europe (Supp Fig 4d-f). Interestingly, 332 over these locations, the temporal correlation between differences in RSDS and differences in AOD 333 are positive, indicating the secondary role of the direct radiative effect of the aerosols there: if the 334 larger the AOD, the larger the RSDS, it is because semi-direct and indirect effects counteract the 335 impact of the direct scattering effect.

336 Clear-sky analysis

337 An overall predominant link between the aerosol effect on cloudiness and its impact on the amount of solar radiation reaching the surface, that totally masks any other mechanism related to the 338 339 variation in AOD and its direct impact on RSDS, has been detected so far. On the contrary, as expected, under clear-sky conditions, both the negative spatial correlations between the patterns of 340 AOD_{cs} and RSDS_{cs} differences between experiments (Fig 6), and the negative temporal correlations 341 342 between the respective series computed at the grid point level (Supp Fig 4g-i), support the relevant role of the AOD_{cs} variable for the simulation of RSDS_{cs}. The differences in RSDS_{cs} between ARI or 343 ARCI and BASE are negative (around 5 Wm⁻²; Fig 6 and Supp Fig 5) over the study area (restricted 344 345 to the southern half of the domain since the clear-sky series northward lack of sufficient records to 346 perform a robust statistical analysis), illustrating the direct radiative effect of aerosols and further 347 supporting the important role of semi-direct and indirect effects (that make the negative clear-sky 348 signals softer and even positive over some southern locations, as shown in Fig 1a,b). ARCI minus 349 ARI differences in RSDS_{cs} are basically null since semi-direct and indirect effects are largely 350 irrelevant in the absence of cloudiness.

351 **3.2 – Future projections**

352 Future climatologies

The overall results described above also hold under future climate conditions, while some differences were identified and deserve mention. The inclusion of aerosols reduces RSDS over most of the domain due to direct, semi-direct and indirect effects (Supp Fig 6a-c). In particular, this occurs significantly southward, along the Mediterranean strip, in contrast to the previous results. 357 Over some locations, mainly in central Europe, this reduction is stronger in ARI than in ARCI, as 358 detected under historical conditions. However, the opposite (larger RSDS reduction in ARCI than in 359 ARI) occurs elsewhere, interestingly over the Mediterranean strip, which also contrasts with the 360 results found under historical conditions. These results further support the sensitivity of the 361 simulations to both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions under changed climates, in such 362 a way that cloudiness still appears to be the most important explanatory variable for the differences 363 in RSDS between experiments, although the role of AOD gains much relevance as compared to the 364 analysis under historical conditions (see the spatial and temporal correlation values in Supp Fig 6d-i and Supp Fig 7a-f, respectively). Under clear-sky conditions (Supp Fig 7g-i and Supp Fig 8), the 365 366 results are identical to those reported in the previous section.

367 Therefore, what contrasts most with the previous results is that (1) both ARI and ARCI simulations provide diminished values of RSDS (of a few percentage points but statistically significant) over 368 369 southern locations as compared to BASE (Supp Fig 6a,b), which should primarily respond to the 370 direct aerosol effect of scattering the radiation (enhanced RSOT can be appreciated in Supp Fig 9a,b) since it occurs, in particular, in spite of the diminished CCT values simulated by the ARI 371 372 experiment there (Supp Fig 6d); and (2) such a reduction in RSDS over such southern locations is 373 reinforced when indirect effects are included (Supp Fig 6c), as these do cause higher CCT values 374 than BASE (Supp Fig 6e) and, consequently, higher RSOT values there than ARI (Supp Fig 9a-c). 375 This latter could also respond to the added role of aerosols in modifying the optical properties of 376 clouds. When ACI are considered, aerosols act as cloud condensation nuclei, which can lead to 377 whiter clouds with higher albedo. Interestingly, but out of the scope of this study, different PR shifts 378 east and west across Mediterranean Europe were detected when ARCI and ARI experiments were 379 compared between them, and then ARCI and ARI with BASE (Supp Fig 10). Over the Balkan 380 Peninsula (south-east of the domain), ACI enhances precipitation, whether in the form of convective 381 precipitation, total precipitation, intense precipitation or number of rainy days, more than ARI does, 382 whereas over the Iberian Peninsula (south-west of the domain), ARI leads to higher precipitation 383 rates and intensity, while reducing the frequency of rainy days as compared to ARCI. These signals suggest that the fact that different aerosol species prevail in these areas (the concentration of sulfate 384 385 is larger eastward, while the concentration of dust particles is larger westward; Supp Fig 11), and how this affects the ratio between large and fine particulate matter, might have an impact along with 386 387 the aforementioned mechanisms in this case (López-Romero et al 2020).

388 Since the patterns of differences in the analyzed variables show different structures under historical 389 and future climate conditions, the RSDS change patterns vary when ARI and ACI are taken into 390 account by the model, as described below.

391 Future projections

392 The change patterns for RSDS are similar in both the BASE and ARI experiments (Fig 7b,c and Supp Fig 12b,c), showing negative signals in northernmost regions (up to 10%, ~15 Wm⁻²) and 393 394 positive signals southward (up to 5%, again ~15 Wm⁻²). The latter are more widespread in ARI than 395 in BASE, which makes the ARI pattern the most similar to the change pattern from the GCM (Fig 396 7a and Supp Fig 12a). However, when aerosols-cloud interactions are included in the WRF runs, 397 such a positive RSDS change signals mostly disappear, while the northern negative ones reinforce 398 in some parts as compared to the ARI pattern (Fig 7d and Supp Fig 12d). These results are in quite 399 good agreement with the corresponding change patterns for CCT (Fig 7e-h and Supp Fig 12e-h) – 400 including the fact that the negative change signals for CCT appearing southward in the GCM, 401 BASE and ARI experiments are much less evident in ARCI – and occur in spite of two constraining 402 facts regarding the AOD simulation approach in our WRF experiments: (1) AOD remains 403 unchanged in the BASE experiment (as illustrated by Fig 7j), and (2) AOD changes from the ARI 404 and ARCI experiments are hardly realistic because their anthropogenic component is disregarded 405 (as specified in Section 2), and thus depict patterns (Fig 7k,l) that have nothing to do with the GCM 406 projection in Fig 7i (which does consider time evolving anthropogenic aerosols). In fact, the spatial 407 correlation between the patterns of AOD and RSDS changes is lower than between those of CTT 408 and RSDS changes. Therefore, direct and semi-direct aerosol effects have a limited impact on the 409 RSDS future projections here, while indirect effects play a major role by reducing the future decrease in CCT southward within our domain and thereby dispelling the future increase in RSDS 410 411 in this region.

The change signals for RSDS_{cs} and AOD_{cs} (Fig 8 and Supp Fig 13) depict different spatial structures to those for RSDS and AOD, turning mostly negative southward and positive northward for RSDS_{cs} (with negative signals around 5% and positive up to 10%, in both cases implying changes up to 20 Wm⁻²). Although this occurs similarly in the three experiments (BASE, ARI and ARCI), BASE provides the softest signals, which does evince a certain role of the direct aerosol effect. However, there is not a clear relationship between AOD_{cs} change patterns and RSDS_{cs} changes (low spatial correlation), except for some local signals in the north-east where the direct aerosol effect enhances 419 RSDS_{cs} in areas with reduced AOD_{cs}. However, as discussed above, the role of retaining, or not, 420 coincident clear-sky dates between pairs of experiments is important in filtering out the true role of 421 AOD_{cs} on RSDS_{cs}. Thus, the fact that change patterns are constructed over different dates could 422 partially explain the apparently negligible role of AOD_{cs} on RSDS_{cs} in this case. But only partially, 423 as the BASE change pattern for RSDS_{cs} (simulated on the ground of nule AOD_{cs} changes) 424 resembles the respective patterns from ARI and ARCI experiments.

425 4 - Discussion and conclusions

We presented here a research on the role of dynamically modeled atmospheric aerosols in regional climate simulations with a focus on the impacts on the solar resource during the summer season from a climatic perspective, including projected changes to a medium-range horizon and analysis under clear-sky conditions. For this, we evaluated a set of 20-yr long runs (spanning both historical and future periods) without including aerosols and with resolved aerosol-radiation and aerosolradiation-cloud interactive (two-way) interactions performed with the WRF model (BASE, ARI and ARCI experiments, respectively).

433 We interpreted the signals on the basis that the differences between ARI and BASE can be attributed to direct and semi-direct aerosol effects and the differences between ARCI and ACI to the 434 435 indirect aerosol effect. Nonetheless, we should acknowledge that the autoconversion scheme called 436 so that cloud droplets can turn into rain droplets in the ARCI simulations is different to the 437 autoconversion scheme activated in the ARI (and BASE) simulations. This change in the WRF-438 Chem configuration can lead to differences between ARCI and ARI experiments that do not come 439 necessarily from the aerosol-cloud interactions from a physical point of view (Liu et al 2005). In 440 fact, the activation of the aerosol-cloud interactions requires further changes in the model 441 configuration (as compared to the configuration used for the simulations labeled ARI) beyond the autoconversion scheme, such as the activation of aqueous chemistry processes, which could also 442 443 have an added impact to the effect that can be strictly attributed to the aerosol-cloud interactions. 444 However, technically, the encoding of the WRF-Chem model hampers better isolation of the effect 445 of the aerosol-cloud interactions (the mentioned aspects necessarily change between ARI and ARCI run modes). Therefore, ARCI-ARI differences can not be attributed to the aerosol-cloud interactions 446 447 from a purely physical point of view, but to the activation of the aerosol-cloud interactions from a 448 modeling point of view. It should also be borne in mind that the set of experiments performed 449 allows any attribution to the interactive aerosol modeling approach adopted here to be made, while 450 it is a distinct feature with respect to previous studies aimed at providing more consistent signals 451 from a physical point of view. Besides, and more general, internal variability plays a role in the 452 simulations (e.g. Gómez-Navarro et al 2012), and a single member with a single physics 453 configuration, as was used for the sensitivity experiment, may not be sufficient to obtain generally 454 occurring responses. Last, we kept the anthropogenic aerosol emissions unchanged throughout the 455 simulation period. This approach permits to better isolate the signals from the aerosol-radiation-456 cloud interactions due to the climate variability alone and the so-called climate change penalty 457 alone, but at the expense of the reliability of the simulated patterns. Anthropogenic emissions have 458 been dramatically reduced since the 1980s and are expected to continue in that pathway to the 459 future (IPCC 2013, 2014), so keeping 2010 values (as we did) could lead to an underestimation of 460 AOD in the historical period (in fact, it does; reference AOD climatologies can be found in Pavlidis et al. 2020) and to its overestimation in the future period. Under these constraints, we draw the 461 462 following conclusions.

463 The inclusion of aerosols in the WRF simulations reduces in general the amount of solar radiation 464 reaching the surface by a few percentage points (~5%) under both historical and future climate 465 scenarios, as expected (Nabat et al 2015a, Gutiérrez et al 2018, Pavlidis et al 2020). Under historical 466 conditions, this effect is larger when the aerosol-cloud interaction remains turned off, because its 467 activation leads to less cloudiness (over Mediterranean Europe) and lower AOD values (over 468 Atlantic Europe), as evidenced when ARCI and ARI simulations were compared. The differences in 469 RSDS between experiments are in overall good agreement with those found in cloudiness, while 470 they seem to be unlinked with the differences in AOD in many parts of the domain. In agreement 471 with Pavlidis et al (2020), AOD plays its major role under clear-sky conditions. However, the 472 differences in JJA-mean values of RSDS under clear skies between experiments with and without dynamic aerosols are hardly about 1%, while still significant in some of the southernmost parts of 473 474 our European domain, and almost null between ARCI and ARI.

Our results suggested a variety of drivers underlying the mechanisms to explain the signals obtained, depending on the region (and season; winter plots are provided in Supp Fig 14-17 as an example for interested readers), and varying under future climate conditions. These involve the scattering of solar radiation with the consequent cooling downward, suppression of cloudiness due to thermodynamic effects, modification of the clouds' optical properties, or in-cloud scavenging processes. As these prevailing mechanisms change (up to a point) in the future, the sensitivity of the WRF simulations under future climate conditions, represented through the patterns of differences in 482 RSDS, is somehow depicted differently than under historical conditions. Therefore, the future483 projections also show sensitivity to the way the model considers aerosols.

The patterns of change for RSDS and CCT again show high spatial correlations in all the GCM and 484 485 RCM (BASE, ARI and ARCI) projections. Although lower, still high spatial correlations define the 486 match between the RSDS change patterns and those for AOD in the GCM, while this is not the case 487 in either the ARI or ARCI experiments. The GCM, BASE and ARI experiments agree in projecting 488 positive RSDS change signals in southern and eastern areas (around 5%), while clear differences 489 are found between the GCM and the BASE or ARI RSDS change patterns (with the latter two very 490 similar) in central and northeastern areas, where the positive signals from the GCM turn notably 491 negative in both BASE and ARI. ARCI provides the most singular and negative picture of RSDS 492 changes among all those shown, with widespread decreasing signals of a few percentage points, 493 further reinforcing the fact that the indirect effect tends to counteract the direct and semi-direct 494 effect of aerosols and enlarges the distance between the RCM and the GCM projections.

495 Previous works (Jerez et al 2015, Sørland et al 2018) had already detected inconsistencies in the 496 change signals between RCM projections and those from their driving GCM, which have been 497 related to the way aerosols had been represented in the RCM through their impact on the simulated 498 AOD (Gutiérrez et al 2020, Boé et al 2020), and in particular to their direct and semi-direct effects 499 and their reduced concentrations in the future as long as anthropogenic emissions are projected to 500 decrease. In agreement with these previous findings, insofar as we kept the anthropogenic aerosol 501 emissions unchanged throughout the simulation period, our projections differ from those obtained 502 with the GCM. Nevertheless, the ARI experiment brings our results slightly nearer to those of the 503 GCM as compared to the BASE experiment, perhaps also indicating the key role of the direct and semi-direct aerosol effects for reducing the GCM-RCM discrepancies, as reported in these previous 504 505 works. However, pushing our understanding further, by turning off the already reported effect of 506 reduced aerosol concentrations in the future via the direct and semi-direct effects, our approach 507 made it possible to identify the prevailing role of CCT changes (over the dynamically simulated 508 natural changes in AOD) to explain our signals of change in RSDS, and the capacity of the aerosol-509 radiation-cloud interactions to significantly alter our RSDS change patterns (much more than aerosol-radiation interactions alone do). Thus, although change patterns for RSDS certainly look 510 511 uniform among experiments under clear-sky conditions (likely because we suppressed the 512 anthropogenic component for the changes in AOD, which was identified by Boé at al (2020) as a 513 main factor for these signals indeed), the results presented here further indicate that the joint effect of aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions should be considered in the RCM simulations that serve to build up action-oriented messages in the challenging context of current climate change, calling for caution otherwise and for future research efforts in this line.

517 Author contribution

S. J. conceived this study. L. P.-P., P. J.-G. and J. P. M. designed the experiments and J. M. L.-R. and
E. Pravia-Sarabia carried them out. S. J. performed the analysis and prepared the manuscript with
contributions from all co-authors.

521 Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities/Agencia 522 523 Estatal de Investigación and the European Regional Development Fund through the projects EASE 524 (RTI2018-100870-A-I00, MCI/AEI/FEDER, UE) ACEX and (CGL2017-87921-R, MINECO/AEI/FEDER, UE), and by the Fundación Séneca – Agencia de Ciencia y Tecnología de la 525 526 Región de Murcia through the project CLIMAX (20642/JLI/18). S. Jerez receive funding from the 527 Plan Propio de Investigación of the University of Murcia (grant No. UMU-2017-10604). L. Palacios-Peña 528 thanks the FPU14/05505 scholarship and the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sports. J. M. 529 López-Romero acknowledges the FPI-BES-2015-074062 grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science.

530 Code and data availability

531All data (netcdf files) and relevant codes (scripts for data analysis and WRF namelists) for reproducing this532studyarepubliclyaccessibleat533http://doi.org/10.23728/b2share.a65d25c2b3ba49e1a46e970783e9476e.

534 **References**

535 Allen, R. J., & Sherwood, S. C. (2010). Aerosol cloud semi direct effect and land sea 536 temperature contrast in a GCM. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(7). Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Utembe, S., Allan, J., Zaveri, R. A., Fast, J. D., Hodnebrog, Ø.,
Denier van der Gon, H., McFiggans, G. (2014). Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism
developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7, 2557–2579.

541 Bartók, B., Wild, M., Folini, D., Lüthi, D., Kotlarski, S., Schär, C., Vautard, R., Jerez, S., & Imecs, 542 Z. (2017). Projected changes in surface solar radiation in CMIP5 global climate models and in 543 EURO-CORDEX regional climate models for Europe. *Climate Dynamics*, *49*(7-8), 2665-2683.

Bloomfield, H. C., Brayshaw, D. J., Shaffrey, L. C., Coker, P. J., & Thornton, H. E. (2016).
Quantifying the increasing sensitivity of power systems to climate variability. *Environmental Research Letters*, *11*(12).

Boé, J., Somot, S., Corre, L., & Nabat, P. (2020). Large discrepancies in summer climate change
over Europe as projected by global and regional climate models: causes and consequences. *Climate Dynamics*, 54(5), 2981-3002.

Boucher, O. (2015). Atmospheric aerosols. In *Atmospheric Aerosols* (pp. 9-24). Springer,
Dordrecht.

Chapman, E.G., Gustafson, W.I., Easter, R.C., Barnard, J.C., Ghan, S.J., Pekour, M.S., & Fast, J.D.
(2009). Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the
radiative impact of elevated point sources. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 945–964.

Chen, F., & Dudhia, J. (2001). Coupling an advanced land surface–hydrology model with the Penn
State–NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: Model implementation and sensitivity. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129(4), 569-585.

558 Chenni, R., Makhlouf, M., Kerbache, T., & Bouzid, A. (2007). A detailed modeling method for 559 photovoltaic cells. *Energy*, *32*(9), 1724-1730.

Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Logan, J.A., Higurashi, A., & Nakajima, T. (2002). Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the

19

GOCART model and comparisons with satellite and Sun photometer measurements. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 59, 461 – 483.

564 Chin, M., Rood, R.B., Lin. S.-J., Müller, J.-F., Thompson, M. (2000). Atmospheric sulfur cycle 565 simulated in the global model GOCART: Model description and global properties. *Journal of* 566 *Geophysical Research*, 105(D20), 24671-24687.

567 Collins, S., Deane, P., Gallachóir, B. Ó., Pfenninger, S., & Staffell, I. (2018). Impacts of inter-568 annual wind and solar variations on the European power system. *Joule*, *2*(10), 2076-2090.

569 Croft, B., Pierce, J. R., Martin, R. V., Hoose, C., & Lohmann, U. (2012). Uncertainty associated
570 with convective wet removal of entrained aerosols in a global climate model. *Atmospheric*571 *Chemistry and Physics*, *12*(22), 10725-10748.

572 Crook, J. A., Jones, L. A., Forster, P. M., & Crook, R. (2011). Climate change impacts on future 573 photovoltaic and concentrated solar power energy output. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *4*(9), 574 3101-3109.

575 Dubey, S., Sarvaiya, J. N., & Seshadri, B. (2013). Temperature dependent photovoltaic (PV) 576 efficiency and its effect on PV production in the world–a review. *Energy Procedia*, *33*, 311-321.

Fast, J. D., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R. A., Barnard, J. C., Chapman, E. G., Grell, G.
A., & Peckham, S. E. (2006). Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct radiative forcing
in the vicinity of Houston using a fully coupled meteorology chemistry aerosol model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111(D21).

581 Gaetani, M., Huld, T., Vignati, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Dosio, A., & Raes, F. (2014). The near 582 future availability of photovoltaic energy in Europe and Africa in climate-aerosol modeling 583 experiments. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *38*, 706-716.

Geiger, H., Barnes, I., Bejan, I., Benter, T., & Spittler, M. (2003). The tropospheric degradation of
isoprene: an updated module for the regional atmospheric chemistry mechanism. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37, 1503 - 1519.

20

587 Ghan, S. J., Leung, L. R., Easter, R. C., & Abdul Razzak, H. (1997). Prediction of cloud droplet 588 number in a general circulation model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *102*(D18), 589 21777-21794.

Gil, V., Gaertner, M. A., Gutierrez, C., & Losada, T. (2019). Impact of climate change on solar
irradiation and variability over the Iberian Peninsula using regional climate models. *International Journal of Climatology*, *39*(3), 1733-1747.

- 593 Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., & Lin, S. J. (2001). 594 Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model. *Journal of* 595 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *106*(D17), 20255-20273.
- Giorgetta, M., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C., Legutke, S., Brovkin, V., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fieg, K., 596 597 Glushak, K., Gayler, V., Haak, H., Hollweg, H.-D., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L., Matei, D., Mauritsen, T., Mikolajewicz, U., Müller, W., Notz, D., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Roeckner, E., Salzmann, M., 598 599 Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Segschneider, J., Six, K., Stockhause, M., Wegner, J., Widmann, H., 600 Wieners, K.-H., Claussen, M., Marotzke, J., & Stevens, B. (2012a). Forcing Data for Regional 601 Climate Models Based on the MPI-ESM-LR Model of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology 602 (MPI-M): The CMIP5 Historical Experiment, World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ, 603 https://doi.org/10.1594/WDCC/RCM CMIP5 historical-LR.
- Giorgetta, M., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C., Legutke, S., Brovkin, V., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fieg, K., 604 605 Glushak, K., Gayler, V., Haak, H., Hollweg, H.-D., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L., Matei, D., Mauritsen, 606 T., Mikolajewicz, U., Müller, W., Notz, D., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Roeckner, E., Salzmann, M., Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Segschneider, J., Six, K., Stockhause, M., Wegner, J., Widmann, H., 607 608 Wieners, K.-H., Claussen, M., Marotzke, J., and Stevens, B. (2012b). Forcing data for Regional 609 Climate Models Based on the MPI-ESM-LR model of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology 610 (MPI-M): The CMIP5rcp85 experiment, World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ, https:// 611 doi.org/10.1594/WDCC/RCM CMIP5 rcp85-LR.
- Giorgi, F., Bi, X., & Qian, Y. (2002). Direct radiative forcing and regional climatic effects of
 anthropogenic aerosols over East Asia: A regional coupled climate chemistry/aerosol model study. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *107*(D20), AAC-7.

- Gómez-Navarro, J. J., Montávez, J. P., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Jerez, S., Lorente-Plazas, R.,
 González-Rouco, J. F., & Zorita, E. (2012). Internal and external variability in regional simulations
 of the Iberian Peninsula climate over the last millennium.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., & Geron, C. (2006). Estimates of
 global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols
 from Nature). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(11), 3181-3210.
- Gutiérrez, C., Somot, S., Nabat, P., Mallet, M., Gaertner, M. Á., & Perpiñán, O. (2018). Impact of
 aerosols on the spatiotemporal variability of photovoltaic energy production in the EuroMediterranean area. *Solar Energy*, *174*, 1142-1152.
- Gutiérrez, C., Somot, S., Nabat, P., Mallet, M., Corre, L., van Meijgaard, E., Perpiñán, O., &
 Gaertner, M. Á. (2020). Future evolution of surface solar radiation and photovoltaic potential in
 Europe: investigating the role of aerosols. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(3), 034035.
- Grell, G. A. (1993). Prognostic evaluation of assumptions used by cumulus parameterizations.Monthly Weather Review, 121(3), 764-787.
- 629 Grell, G. A., & Dévényi, D. (2002). A generalized approach to parameterizing convection 630 combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *29*(14), 38-1.
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., & Eder, B.
 (2005). Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model. *Atmospheric Environment*, *39*(37), 6957-6975.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., ... & Simmons,
 A. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*,
 146(730), 1999-2049.
- Hong, S. Y., Noh, Y., & Dudhia, J. (2006). A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit
 treatment of entrainment processes. Monthly weather review, 134(9), 2318-2341.

Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., & Collins, W. D.
(2008). Radiative forcing by long lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative
transfer models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D13).

IPCC (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I
to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. T. F. Stocker, D.
Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P. M.
Midgley (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
USA, 1535 pp.

IPCC (2014). Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group
III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. O.
Edenhofer, R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S.
Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and
J.C. Minx (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
USA.

IRENA (2019). Global energy transformation: A roadmap to 2050 (2019 edition). InternationalRenewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi.

Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O. B., Bouwer, L. M., et al. (2014).
EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research. *Regional environmental change*, 14(2), 563-578.

Jacob, D., Teichmann, C., Sobolowski, S., Katragkou, E., Anders, I., Belda, M., et al. (2020).
Regional climate downscaling over Europe: perspectives from the EURO-CORDEX community. *Regional Environmental Change*, *20*(2).

Jerez, S., Trigo, R. M., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Pozo-Vázquez, D., Lorente-Plazas, R., LorenzoLacruz, J., Santos-Alamillos, F., & Montávez, J. P. (2013). The impact of the North Atlantic
Oscillation on renewable energy resources in southwestern Europe. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 52(10), 2204-2225.

- Jerez, S., Tobin, I., Vautard, R., Montávez, J. P., López-Romero, J. M., Thais, F., Bartok, B.,
 Christensen, O. B., Colette, A., Déqué, M., Nikulin, G., Kotlarski, S., van Meijgaard, E., teichmann,
 C., & Wild, M. (2015). The impact of climate change on photovoltaic power generation in Europe. *Nature Communications*, *6*, 10014.
- Jerez, S., López-Romero, J. M., Turco, M., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Vautard, R., & Montávez, J. P.
 (2018). Impact of evolving greenhouse gas forcing on the warming signal in regional climate model
 experiments. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1304.
- Jerez, S., Tobin, I., Turco, M., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Vautard, R., & Montávez, J. P. (2019). Future
 changes, or lack thereof, in the temporal variability of the combined wind-plus-solar power
 production in Europe. *Renewable Energy*, *139*, 251-260.
- Jiménez, P., Baldasano, J.M., Dabdub, D. (2003). Comparison of photochemical mechanisms for air
 quality modeling. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37, 4179-4194.
- Kinne, S. (2019). Aerosol radiative effects with MACv2. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(16), 10919-10959.
- Kloster, S., Dentener, F., Feichter, J., Raes, F., Lohmann, U., Roeckner, E., & Fischer-Bruns, I.
 (2010). A GCM study of future climate response to aerosol pollution reductions. *Climate Dynamics*,
 34(7-8), 1177-1194.
- Kozarcanin, S., Andresen, G. B., & Greiner, M. (2018). Impact of Climate Change on the Backup
 Infrastructure of Highly Renewable Electricity Systems. *Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems*, 6(4), 710-724.
- Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., et al. (2010). Historical
 (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols:
 methodology and application. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *10*(15), 7017-7039.
- Lee, S. S., Donner, L. J., Phillips, V. T., & Ming, Y. (2008). Examination of aerosol effects on
 precipitation in deep convective clouds during the 1997 ARM summer experiment. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *134*(634), 1201-1220.

Li, G., Wang, Y., & Zhang, R. (2008). Implementation of a two moment bulk microphysics scheme
to the WRF model to investigate aerosol cloud interaction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *113*(D15).

Li, X., Wagner, F., Peng, W., Yang, J., & Mauzerall, D. L. (2017). Reduction of solar photovoltaic
resources due to air pollution in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(45),
11867-11872.

Lin, Y. L., Farley, R. D., & Orville, H. D. (1983). Bulk parameterization of the snow field in a cloud
model. Journal of Climate and Applied Meteorology, 22(6), 1065-1092.

Liu, Y., Daum, P. H., & McGraw, R. L. (2005). Size truncation effect, threshold behavior, and a new
type of autoconversion parameterization. *Geophysical research letters*, *32*(11).

López-Romero, J. M., Montávez, J. P., Jerez, S., Lorente-Plazas, R., Palacios-Peña, L., & JiménezGuerrero, P. (2020). Precipitation response to Aerosol-Radiation and Aerosol-Cloud Interactions in
Regional Climate Simulations over Europe. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-381, in review.

Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., & Cahill, T. A. (1994). Spatial and seasonal
trends in particle concentration and optical extinction in the United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 99(D1), 1347-1370.

Mitchell, D. L., Rasch, P., Ivanova, D., McFarquhar, G., & Nousiainen, T. (2008). Impact of small
ice crystal assumptions on ice sedimentation rates in cirrus clouds and GCM simulations. *Geophysical research letters*, 35(9).

Moss, R. H., Edmonds, J. A., Hibbard, K. A., Manning, M. R., Rose, S. K., Van Vuuren, D. P., et al.
(2010). The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature*,
463(7282), 747.

Müller, J., Folini, D., Wild, M., & Pfenninger, S. (2019). CMIP-5 models project photovoltaics are a
no-regrets investment in Europe irrespective of climate change. *Energy*, *171*, 135-148.

25

Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Sanchez Lorenzo, A., & Wild, M. (2014). Contribution of
anthropogenic sulfate aerosols to the changing Euro Mediterranean climate since 1980. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*(15), 5605-5611.

Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Sevault, F., Chiacchio, M., & Wild, M. (2015a). Direct and semidirect aerosol radiative effect on the Mediterranean climate variability using a coupled regional
climate system model. *Climate Dynamics*, 44(3-4), 1127-1155.

Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Michou, M., Sevault, F., Driouech, F., Meloni, D., di Sarra, G., di
Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Sicard, M., Leon, J. F. & Bouin, M. N. (2015b). Dust aerosol radiative
effects during summer 2012 simulated with a coupled regional aerosol–atmosphere–ocean model
over the Mediterranean. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *15*(6), 3303-3326.

Palacios-Peña, L., Lorente-Plazas, R., Montávez, J. P. and Jiménez-Guerrero, P. (2019a) Saharan
Dust Modeling Over the Mediterranean Basin and Central Europe: Does the Resolution Matter?. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7, 290.

- Palacios-Peña, L., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Baró, R., Balzarini, A., Bianconi, R., Curci, G., Landi, T.
 C., Pirovano, G., Prank, M., Riccio, A., Tuccella, P., & Galmarini, S. (2019b). Aerosol optical
 properties over Europe: an evaluation of the AQMEII Phase 3 simulations against satellite
 observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(5), 2965-2990.
- Palacios-Peña, L., Montávez, J.P., López-Romero, J.M., Jerez, S., Gómez-Navarro, J.J., LorentePlazas, R., Ruiz, J., & Jiménez-Guerrero, P. (2020). Added Value of Aerosol-Cloud Interactions for
 Representing Aerosol Optical Depth in an Online Coupled Climate-Chemistry Model over Europe. *Atmosphere*, 11, 360.
- Pavlidis, V., Katragkou, E., Prein, A., Georgoulias, A. K., Kartsios, S., Zanis, P., & Karacostas, T.
 (2020). Investigating the sensitivity to resolving aerosol interactions in downscaling regional model
 experiments with WRFv3.8.1 over Europe. *Geoscience Model Development*, 13, 2511–2532

Rohrig, K., Berkhout, V., Callies, D., Durstewitz, M., Faulstich, S., Hahn, B., et al. (2019).
Powering the 21st century by wind energy – Options, facts, figures. *Applied Physics Reviews*, *6*(3),
031303.

Rummukainen, M. (2010). State of the art with regional climate models. Wiley Interdisciplinary
Reviews: Climate Change, 1(1), 82-96.

Ruti, P. M., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A., et al. (2016). MEDCORDEX initiative for Mediterranean climate studies. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97(7), 1187-1208.

Rutledge, S. A., & Hobbs, P. V. (1984). The Mesoscale and Microscale Structure and Organization
of Clouds and Precipitation in Midlatitude Cyclones. XII: A Diagnostic Modeling Study of
Precipitation Development in Narrow Cold-Frontal Rainbands. *Journal of Atmospheric Science*, 41,
2949–2972.

Schewe, J., Gosling, S. N., Reyer, C., Zhao, F., Ciais, P., Elliott, J., Francois, L., Huber, V., Lotze, 752 753 H. K., Seneviratne, S. I., van Vliet, M. T. H., Vautard, R., Wada, Y., Breuer, L., Büchner, M., 754 Carozza, D. A., Chang, J., Coll, M., Deryng, D., de Wit, A., Eddy, T. D., Folberth, C., Frieler, K., 755 Friend, A. D., Gerten, D., Gudmundsson, L., Hanasaki, N., Ito, A., Khabarov, N., Kim, H., 756 Lawrence, P., Morfopoulos, C., Müller, C., Schmied, H. M., Orth, R., Ostberg, S., Pokhrel, Y., Pugh, T. A. M., Sakurai, G., Satoh, Y., Schmid, E., Stacke, T., Steenbeek, J., Steinkamp, J., Tang, 757 Q., Tian, H., Tittensor, D.P., Volkholz, J., Wang, X., & Warszawski, L. (2019). State-of-the-art 758 759 global models underestimate impacts from climate extremes. Nature Communications, 10(1), 1005.

Sørland, S. L., Schär, C., Lüthi, D., & Kjellström, E. (2018). Bias patterns and climate change
signals in GCM-RCM model chains. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(7), 074017.

Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P. J., Dunlea, E. J., et al. (2016).
Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol– cloud interactions in the climate
system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(21), 5781-5790.

- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., & Powers, J. G.
 (2008). A description of the Advanced Research WRF version 3. Technical report, NCAR Tech.
 Note TN-475+STR, doi:10.5065/D68S4MVH.
- Soares, P. M., Brito, M. C., & Careto, J. A. (2019). Persistence of the high solar potential in Africa
 in a changing climate. *Environmental Research Letters*.
- Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., Kuhn, M., & Seefeld, S. (1997). A new mechanism for regional
 atmospheric chemistry modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 102, 25 847 25
 879.
- Tao, W. K., Simpson, J., & McCumber, M. (1989). An ice-water saturation adjustment. *Monthly Weather Review*, *117*(1), 231-235.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2012). An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment
 design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93(4), 485-498.
- Tewari, M., Chen, F., Wang, W., Dudhia, J., LeMone, M. A., Mitchell, K., Ek, M., Gayno, G.,
 Wegiel, J. W., & Cuenca, R. H. (2004, January). Implementation and verification of the unified
 NOAH land surface model in the WRF model. In 20th conference on weather analysis and
 forecasting/16th conference on numerical weather prediction (Vol. 1115). Seattle, WA: American
 Meteorological Society.
- Tobin, I., Greuell, W., Jerez, S., Ludwig, F., Vautard, R., Van Vliet, M. T. H., & Breón, F. M. (2018).
 Vulnerabilities and resilience of European power generation to 1.5 C, 2 C and 3 C warming. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(4), 044024.
- van der Wiel, K., Bloomfield, H. C., Lee, R. W., Stoop, L. P., Blackport, R., Screen, J. A., & Selten,
 F. M. (2019). The influence of weather regimes on European renewable energy production and
 demand. *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(9), 094010.
- Wang, H., Xie, S. P., Tokinaga, H., Liu, Q., & Kosaka, Y. (2016). Detecting cross equatorial wind
 change as a fingerprint of climate response to anthropogenic aerosol forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *43*(7), 3444-3450.

- Wilcox, L. J., Highwood, E. J., & Dunstone, N. J. (2013). The influence of anthropogenic aerosol
 on multi-decadal variations of historical global climate. *Environmental Research Letters*, *8*(2),
 024033.
- Wild, M., Folini, D., Henschel, F., Fischer, N., & Müller, B. (2015). Projections of long-term changes in solar radiation based on CMIP5 climate models and their influence on energy yields of photovoltaic systems. *Solar Energy*, *116*, 12-24.
- Wild, O., Zhu, X., Prather, M. J., & Fast, J. (2002). Accurate Simulation of In- and Below-Cloud
 Photolysis in Tropospheric Chemical Models. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 37, 245 282.
- Yin, Y., Levin, Z., Reisin, T., & Tzivion, S. (2000). Seeding convective clouds with hygroscopic
 flares: Numerical simulations using a cloud model with detailed microphysics. *Journal of Applied*
- 801 *Meteorology*, 39(9), 1460-1472.

802 Figure caption

Figure 1. Relative differences between the WRF simulations in the RSDS (a to c), CCT (d to f) and AOD at 550 nm (g to i) summer (JJA) climatologies in the historical period (1991-2010), squared if statistically significant (p<0.05); units: %. Note that panels g and h are referred to the horizontal colorbar just below them and simply represent the AOD summer climatologies in ARI and ARCI respectively. Spatial correlations (*s_corr*) between the patterns in the second and third rows and the respective patterns in the first row are indicated in the headers.

Figure 2. Contribution of each aerosol species (BC: black carbon, DUST, OC: organic carbon,
SEAS: sea salt, and SULF: sulfate) to the JJA-mean total surface aerosol mass concentration in ARI
and ARCI simulations in the period 1991-2010. Units: %.

Figure 3. Relative differences between the WRF simulations in the top-of-the-atmosphere outgoing short-wave radiation (RSOT, a to c), surface (2 m height) air temperature (TAS, d to f), surface (1000 hPa pressure level) relative humidity (RH, g to I), and number of cloudy days (CLD; defined as days with mean CCT>75%, j to l) summer (JJA) climatologies in the historical period (1991-2010), squared if statistically significant (p<0.05). Units: K for TAS, % for RSOT, RH and CLD.

Figure 4. Vertical profiles of the spatial mean differences in summer (JJA) mean air temperature (T, left panels) and cloud fraction (CLFR, right panels) in the historical period (1991-2010) between experiments over two small areas: a northern one (Region N; top panels) and a southern one (Region S; bottom panels), gray shaded in the respective maps. These are plain differences, which units are K for T and % for CLFR.

Figure 5. Relative differences between the WRF simulations in the summer (JJA) climatologies of various precipitation (PR) statistics in the historical period (1991-2010), squared if statistically significant (p<0.05): mean PR (a to c), 90th percentile of the JJA daily PR series (d to f), number of rainy days (RD) in the JJA daily PR series (defined as days with mean precipitation >1 mm, g to I), and mean convective precipitation (PRC, j to l). Units: %.

Figure 6. Relative differences between the WRF simulations in the $RSDS_{cs}$ (a to c) and AOD_{cs} at 550 nm (d to f) summer (JJA) climatologies, this is under clear-sky conditions, in the historical period (1991-2010), squared if statistically significant (p<0.05); units: %. Note that panels d and e

are referred to the horizontal colorbar just below them and simply represent the AOD summer climatologies in ARI and ARCI, respectively. Gray shaded areas depict grid points where less than 75% of the summer mean values in the time series of $RSDS_{cs}$ and AOD_{cs} were not missing. Spatial correlations (*s_corr*) between the patterns in the second row and the respective patterns in the first row are indicated in the headers.

Figure 7. Projected changes for the RSDS (a to d), CCT (e to h) and AOD at 550nm (i to l) summer (JJA) climatologies by the GCM (first column) and the WRF experiments (second to fourth columns); units: %. Squares highlight statistically significant signals (p<0.05). Note that panel i is referred to the horizontal colorbar just below it. Spatial correlations (s_*corr*) between the patterns in the second and third rows and the respective patterns in the first row are indicated in the headers.

Figure 8. Projected changes for the $RSDS_{cs}$ (a to c) and AOD_{cs} at 550nm (d to f) summer (JJA) climatologies, this is under clear-sky conditions, by the WRF experiments, squared if statistically significant (p<0.05); units: %. Gray shaded areas depict grid points where less than 75% of the summer mean values in the time series of $RSDS_{cs}$ and AOD_{cs} were not missing in either the historical or the future period. Spatial correlations (*s_corr*) between the patterns in the second row and the respective patterns in the first row are indicated in the headers.

RSDS, CCT & AOD JJA climatologies for 1991-2010: differences between experiments





0.05

0.10

0.20

0.15

0.25

Contribution of each aerosol species to the JJA-mean total surface aerosol concentration (period 1991-2010)



RSOT, TAS, RH & CLD JJA climatologies for 1991-2010: differences between experiments





Vertical profiles of differences in JJA-mean T and CLD in the period 1991-2010

Precipitation-related JJA climatologies for 1991-2010: differences between experiments







Figure 6

RSDS, CCT & AOD JJA changes (2031-2050 vs. 1991-2010)

(a) GCM RSDS

(b) BASE RSDS

(f) BASE CCT s_{corr} with (b) = -0.88

(g) ARI CCT s_{-corr} with (c) = -0.83

(d) ARCI RSDS % 10 8 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 -8 -10

(h) ARCI CCT s_{-corr} with (d) = -0.77



%

(1) ARCI AOD $s_{-}corr$ with (d) = -0.11





(e) GCM CCT

(i) GCM AOD





(j) BASE AOD s_{-corr} with (b) = N.A.





Figure 7

(c) ARI RSDS



$RSDS_{cs} \& AOD_{cs} JJA changes (2031-2050 vs. 1991-2010)$

Figure 8