https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Soil salinization risk assessment owing to poor water quality drip irrigation: A case study from an olive plantation at the arid to semi-arid Beit She'an Valley, Israel

Vladimir Mirlas[1], Yaakov Anker[1]*, Asher Aizenkod[2] and Naftali Goldshleger[1]

1- The department of chemical engineering and The Eastern R&D center, Ariel University, Israel

2- The Plant Protection and Inspection Services of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Israel

*- Corresponding author: Yaakov Anker (kobia@ariel.ac.il)

Abstract

Salinization causes soil degradation and soil fertility reduction. The main reasons for soil salinization are poor irrigation water quality and incorrect irrigation management. Soil salinization is accelerated owing to irrigation with treated wastewater with elevated salt concentration. The study area is located in the Beit She'an Valley, one of the most important agricultural regions in Israel. The combination of soil salinization and poor drainage conditions impedes plant development and is manifested in economic damage to crops. Without clear irrigation criteria, an increase in soil salinity and steady damage to soil fertility might occur. The study objective was to provide an assessment of soil salting processes as a result of low-quality irrigation water at the Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation. This study combined various research methods, including soil salinity monitoring, field experiments, remote sensing (FDEM), and unsaturated soil profile saline water movement modeling. The assessment included the salinization processes of chalky soil under drip irrigation by water with various qualities. With a drip irrigation regime of water with a dissolved salt content of 3.13 dS/m, the salinization process is characterized by salts accumulation in the upper root zone of the trees. The modeling results showed that there is a soil salinization danger in using brackish water and that irrigation with potable water helps to reduce soil salinization.

Keywords: Soil Salinity; Irrigation; Remote sensing; Soil salinization mapping; Modeling

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions

1. Introduction

Soil salinity surveys and studies across the world and, in particular, in Israel indicate that irrigation with poor water quality and improper irrigation management causes soil salinization and degradation, and also damages soil fertility (Wada et al., 2016; Pandit et al., 2020). Soil salinity monitoring in the Jezre'el Valley began in 1987, following a soil salinity survey that showed intensive salinization and often alkalinization of the upper soil horizons (Benjaminy et al., 2005, 1998, 2000). Earlier studies (Gafni et al., 1990) had shown that these processes were enhanced by a semi-confined shallow aquifer (Kruseman and De Ridder, 1976), causing upward water flow during winter and spring seasons and reducing downward rain and irrigation percolation during the summer and fall seasons.

Most of the soil salinization problems in the Beit She'an Valley are affiliated with the use of poor-quality irrigation water. The soil salinity is constantly increasing, owing to irrigation with high salinity-treated wastewater and blocking of the natural drainage to the underlying groundwater (Mirlas et al., 2006; Mirlas, 2012). At a lemon tree plantation in the Jordan Valley, it was found that an increase in irrigation water salinity to 3.7 times freshwater salinity, increased soil salinity by 3.8-4.1 times during few years (Abu Awwad, 2001). Additional effects of treated effluent irrigation in the Jordan Valley were pH decrease in parallel to soil salinity increase (Mohammad and Mazahreh, 2003). High saline-sodic concentration in irrigation damaged the soil's hydraulic conductivity, increasing runoff and silt-clay chalk soil erosion in the Beit She'an Valley (Mandal et al., 2008a; Bhardwaj et al., 2008).

While global scale land surface-soil-biosphere-atmosphere models enables a regional water balance (Boone et al., 2017; Guimberteau et al., 2018), understanding water and solute movement processes in unsaturated soil layers requires a mathematical description and numerical model development (Leij et al., 1991; Simunek and van Genuchten, 1995; van Genuchten and Wagenet, 1989, Celia et al., 1990; Kool et al., 1985). Using principal component analysis (PCA), it was found that soil hydraulic conductivity is one of the important factors affecting soil quality (Mandal et al., 2008b). Water and solute movement models in an unsaturated soil layer are based on Richards' equation for one-dimensional movement of water under saturation variability

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions
Open Access

(Celia et al., 1990; Bear, 1972) and root water uptake is calculated by the van Genuchten equation (van Genuchten, 1987). In such models, the soil hydraulic conductivity coefficient in the saturated media varies as a function of the soil's hydraulic conductivity.

Soil moisture may be evaluated through atmospheric conditions (Garrigues et al., 2015), or calculated as a function of suction (pressure head) and hydraulic conductivity in an unsaturated condition. Salt leaching and accumulation is significant is arie and semi-arid areas (Wada et al., 2016). Models of salt motion are commonly based on the Fickian convection-dispersion equation for solute transport (Toride et al., 1993) and complex models should also consider absorption processes, anion and cation exchange, and more. Several modeling platforms such as "HYDRUS" (Simunek et al., 1998) and "WASTRC-1" (Mirlas et al., 2006) are widely used. The WASTRC-1, one-dimensional water and solute movement model of under saturated conditions, was found to fit the soil characteristics of Hula Valley irrigated fields in Israel. In both "HYDRUS" and "WASTRC-1" models, various soil hydraulic conditions such as drainage, irrigation, layer saturation depth can be considered. Soil density, saturated hydraulic conductivity, field moisture, suction, and root zone development among other factors are prerequisites for model calibration, parameter validation, and, consequently, proper water and solute movement simulation (Garrigues et al., 2015).

Salinization during irrigation is a dynamic process as the number of salts in the soil and their composition change during irrigation both in the area and in the soil profile. Soil salinity mapping by the traditional sampling method is expensive and time-consuming, with mapping accuracy directly depending on the distance between the sampling points. Remote sensing technologies that are based on active electromagnetic (EM) radiation are being widely adopted for soil salinity mapping. Ground-based EM methods measure electrical conductivity (EC) in subsurface and substratum horizons and can thus recognize salinity anomalies in the field before salinization approaches the surface (Farifteh et al., 2007). EM induction sensors measure the soil profile salinity by recording the soil's apparent electrical conductivity (ECa).

Frequency-domain electromagnetic techniques (FDEM) are a powerful tool for mapping soils and detecting changes in soil types related to salinity. FDEM sensors work within a range of 30 cm to 5 m depth and perform best while scanning the area from about 1m above the ground (Ben Dor et al., 2009a). By applying FDEM with other active and passive remote sensing methods, EC values in given soil layers were attained for the soil in Jezre'el Valley (Ben Dor et al., 2009b).

The soils of the Beit She'an Valley were selected for research as it is one of the most important agricultural areas in Israel. They consist of brown clay soils (grumusols) and chalky soils, with the latter's profile characterized by thin layers and formation layers of marl with high water absorption capacity. The soil stratification influences the potential to drain and wash excess salts that accumulate during the irrigation season, which preserve ventilated root conditions. Sodium rich soil has up to 30% cation exchangeable capacity, which exacerbates the ventilation conditions necessary for plants. The combination of soil stratification and poor drainage conditions impedes plant development and, in some cases, the soil structure destruction and salts accumulation in the root zone causes plant degeneration due to water absorption difficulties (Machado and Serralheiro, 2017). As a consequence, crop irrigation by brackish water in the Beit She'an area might cause economic damage.

The irrigation water sources in the area are of variable quality: springs and Jordan River water are considered of acceptable quality, while groundwater and also effluent water might be of poor quality. In this latter case, low irrigation water quality without clear irrigation criteria might steadily damage soil fertility. Defining an irrigation regime for local soil and water quality conditions is, therefore, of great importance for preventing crop and economic damage in the Beit She'an Valley. This knowledge can indicate how water and salt move in soil and correlate to salinity processes and irrigation management capability. Combining remote sensing (FDEM) methods with water and salt movement models in the unsaturated soil layer may enable effective identification of soil salting processes. In turn, this may result in improved planning and control of irrigation systems.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions
Open Access

As an integrative knowledge harvesting demonstration needed for irrigation management, this study's objective was to assess soil salting processes as a result of low-quality irrigation at the Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation in the Beit She'an Valley.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Site background and geographical framework

The Beit She'an area is a unique agricultural area due to a combination of warm and dry climate (annual evaporation of 2400 mm at the meteorological service, Eden Farm Station), saline water irrigation, and heavy soils. The study site is Kibbutz Meirav, a mature (2002) olive plantation located 1100 m north of the Kibbutz (Fig.1.).



Fig. 1. Study site location (regional map: after CIA factbook)

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

The planting intervals between the trees rows are 7 m and 4 m. The rainfall amount in the study site was 154, 253 and 281mm in 2007/8, 2008/9, and 2009/10 hydrological years, respectively. The soil in the study site is layered, with a practically impervious shallow layer of travertine found in different locations of the plantation as well as layers of marl at greater depth. Soil salinity stains were observed together with trees suffering from lack of ventilation, salting and excess irrigated water. Following the results of soil sample particle size analysis, the mechanical components of the soil at the research site consist of clay (40-50%), silt (25-30%) and sand (20-30%) (Fig.2.).



Fig. 2. The mechanical composition (Soil texture triangle) of soil at the research site.
The depth of the travertine layer range is from 110 cm at the southern edge to 55-60 cm at the northern edge of the site (Fig. 3).

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development
Discussions



Fig. 3. Depth of travertine layer from the soil surface, cm

1- lithological borehole; 2- isoline of travertine layer depth from the soil surface

The main irrigation water sources in the area are Jordan River water and local groundwater whose salinity and SAR ratio are very high, mainly due to high sodium chloride concentrations. The chloride concentration is at a range of 800 - 1700 mg/l and electrical conductivity is above 3.5 dS/m. Local authorities intend to dilute the local water by effluent water and reduce the chloride concentration in water to 800 mg/l.

The Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation irrigation water quality test results for different seasons during the study period are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Quality of irrigation water in Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation

B ppm	N-NH4 mg/l	N-NO3 mg/l	SAR	Cl ppm	Ca+Mg meq/l	Na meq/l	K meq/l	EC dS/m	pH	Date
0.139			5.1	862	14	13.5	0.36	2.9	7.9	13.06.10
			6.4	1004.7	16.7	18.5	0.45	3.74	8.2	22.08.10
			5.21	844.9	16.6	15	0.32	3.19	8.1	19.12.10
0.11	1.9	N.D.	5.71	841.4	13.6	15	0.31	2.84	8.9	5.05.11
0.2	0.8	N.D.	5.66	848	14.6	15.3	0.42	3.64	8.2	23.08.11

The olive plantation drip irrigation regime in one extension along the row that was used for the study calibration was daily 1 l/s every 40 cm, with cumulative water amount from April to November (harvest) of between 631 to 1272 cubic meters per dunam. Nitrogen fertilizer given by dosing pumps was 15 - 20 kg for the season regardless of the amount of water.

2.2. Research methodology

This study integrates field experiments with water and salt movement models in the unsaturated soil strata. Field experiments including remote sensing method (FDEM) were utilized to supply the required data for water and salt movement modeling and soil salinity mapping during soil salinization monitoring under different irrigation conditions (Corwin and Lesch, 2005). The suction and soil moisture monitoring during the irrigation period was conducted near two tensiometer stations characterizing suction and soil moisture conditions. The first station characterized irrigation by about 80% of normal irrigation (lack of water) and the second station characterized irrigation by about 120% of normal irrigation (excess water). The field experiment was conducted in spring before beginning summer irrigation, which made it possible to evaluate the soil salinization dynamics when water enters practically dry soil after winter precipitation salt washing. The experiment included soil sampling to measure soil moisture and soil salinity that was coupled to FDEM mapping. The integration of the various data processing types and modeling finally yielded a soil salinization spatial-temporal illustration of the different irrigation regimes (Fig. 4).

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 4. Conceptual working process applied to soil salinization assessment

2.3. Research procedures

Soil suction monitoring.

Continuous soil suction monitoring included two "Mottes Tensiometers" LTD, transmitting tensiometer stations (https://www.tensiograph.com/?action=&lang=en). Both stations were installed at a distance of 50 m from each other. At each station, four tensiometers were installed, measuring the soil suction at depths of 20, 40, 60 and 70 cm from the soil surface and under the olive tree rows. The tensiometer system sampled soil suction values (in mBar) every 30 minutes that were transmitted to the company's website every 4 hours, and using the company's software it was possible to

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

view data "on-line". During the study, selected soil solution samples were pumped from the tensiometers and analyzed at the lab (Fig.5.).



Fig. 5. Transmitting tensiometer station.

Soil salinity and moisture monitoring

Soil moisture and salinity monitoring were made by simultaneous soil sampling every two weeks from September until December 2011. Soil samples were taken at depths of 0-20, 40-60,20-40 and 70 cm or down to the depth of the travertine layer. Drilling was done along the olive rows between the trees. Each sample characterized a particular tensiometer depth as well as distance from the irrigation pipe and closest dripper. The laboratory salt composition delineation included: electrical conductivity (EC), saturation percentage (SP), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), Na, Ca + Mg, Cl, SO4 ion concentrations, general chalk, mechanical composition, and soil moisture.

Field experiment.

On 22-28.03.2011, a field experiment was conducted, with the purpose to obtain soil salinity parameters before the irrigation season. These parameters were needed to build and adapt the moisture and salts motion model for the upper soil unsaturated layer. The experiment included moisture and salinity measurements through manual soil

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

sampling and FDEM soil salinity mapping. Near each tensiometer station, three control lines were marked perpendicular to the dripper line, whereas the line center was positioned near the dripper. Near the first tensiometer station, the distance between the control lines (1A, 1B, 1C) was 50 cm and near the second tensiometer station (2A, 2B, 2C) it was 40 cm (according to distance changes between the drippers so that each control line was extended from the middle between the three rows). Soil sampled for laboratory salt composition and moisture tests were taken for each control line, at a central point adjacent to the dripper and 30,80,180 and 330 cm distances from the central sampling point on both sides. Together with soil sampling, values of soil suction from the tensiometers were also measured. The first soil sampling was done at 08:30 am before irrigation on control lines 1A and 2A. At 09:00, drip irrigation began with an intensity of 1.6 liters per hour and stopped at 10:15. At 12:15 immediately after the irrigation finished (2 hours after irrigation commences), sampling was done on lines 1B and 2B soil at the central point and 30 cm distance from it on both sides. On March 23 and March 24, a total of 30 mm of rain fell, which was recorded by the automatic monitoring.

Measurements and mapping of soil electrical conductivity using FDEM

FDEM measurements were carried out along the control lines and in the area between the tree row in the experiment site. Three measuring lines with 7 m length were spaced 0.5 m apart near the first tensiometer station. The measurement lines were made perpendicular to the irrigation dripper pipeline. Mapping was done after three hours of irrigation. Five channels with different frequency: 62525; 22075; 7825; 2275; and 975 Hz were used for characterizing intervals of soil layer depth: 0-30 cm; 0-45 cm; 0-60 cm; 0-75 cm; and 0-100 cm, respectively. Interpolation and spatial soil salinity mapping (in EC, dS/m) were performed using SURFER software.

Water and salt movement mode development and application for soil salinization assessment and prediction.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

The water and salt movement model in the upper unsaturated soil layer and up to the travertine layer was made in the HYDRUS 1D software. The one-dimensional model characterizes the cross-section to a depth of 60 cm above the travertine layer.

The water and salt movement, a basic mathematical model of one-dimensional equations for an unsaturated soil state, was:

$$\partial W/\partial t = \partial/\partial x[K(W)\ (\partial H/\partial x)] - E(W,x) \quad (1)$$

$$K(W)= f(Ks,W) \quad (2)$$

$$\partial WC\ /\partial t = \partial/\partial x[D^*\ (\partial C/\partial x)] - \partial VC/\partial x - S(C) \quad (3)$$

where:

W - Volumetric Moisture

H – Suction

K (W) - Hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soil state

E - Plant root moisture absorption function

Ks - Hydraulic conductivity of soil in a saturated state

C - Soil solution salts concentration

D* - Soil salts diffusion coefficient

S - Soil salt absorbing (or releasing) function as a result of moisture changes

t - Time

The hydraulic model used was the van Genuchten-Mualem (no hysteresis) single porosity model (van Genuchten, 1980**)**. As a soil salinization model, Crank-Nicholson was used as a time weighting scheme (Crank and Nicolson, 1947) and the Galerkin Finite Scheme (Fletcher, 1983) for a space weighting scheme equilibrium model. For water movement relation with the root zone, the Feddes water uptake reduction model (Feddes et al., 2001) was used, with maximum concentration to passive root solute uptake of 0.5 (cRoot). The one-dimensional model calculated the volumetric moisture and total salinity in a soil profile down to the model's lower boundary. In the HYDRUS 1D software, the unsaturated layer parameters are automatically determined by the soil type. The lower boundary of the water movement model was calculated as a constant flow along the travertine layer.

The irrigation input to the soil profile through the model's upper boundary was calculated as the water supply according to the momentary irrigation regime.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Evapotranspiration and transpiration values and also root zone activity was determined from the field data and changed during the irrigation season. The models were calibrated according to the field experiment data. The calibrated model was used to assess and predict soil salinization due to irrigation with different water quality: 3.13 dS/m (available today); 1.5 dS/m (potable water); and 5.5 dS/m (brackish water). The time step of the model was a month and the salinity and moisture distribution during this month was used as the initial conditions for the following month.

3. Results and Discussion

*3.1. **Soil moisture and salinization dynamics in the autumn, following the intensive summer irrigation**.*

Near the first tensiometer station at a depth of about 60 cm of the travertine layer and irrigation by about 80% of normal (lack of water), the soil salinity was about 11-12 dS/m in the soil profile in September (Fig. 6). After the last takt of irrigation at the beginning of October, the soil salinity decreased to 4-8 dS/m throughout the soil profile and especially in the top layer. Soil weight moisture increased from 0.22-0.25 to 0.33 at the top of the soil profile. Then, before the rainfall in mid-December, soil salinization gradually increased, and the most intense salinization growth to 14 dS/m was found in the upper layer (0-20 cm) of soil. The weight moisture values gradually decreased to 0.2-0.25, whilst the highest values were noted in the upper layer of the soil profile.

Near the second tensiometer station with a travertine layer depth of about 70 cm and irrigation by about 120% of normal (excess water), soil salinity was lower, between 2.0 to 4.0 dS/m. In the upper layer (0-20 cm), soil salinity exceeded 6 dS/m and after the last takt of irrigation at the beginning of October, it increased to 14 dS/m with gradual decrease to previous values during November-December. The moisture weight values were almost the same throughout the soil profile, and gradually decreased from 0.35 to 0.2 during the monitoring period.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development
Discussions
Open Access
EGU



Fig. 6. Changes in soil salinity (EC) and soil weight moisture (W) during the autumn near the first tensiometer station.

The SAR values under irrigation conditions of about 80% of normal ranged from 4 to 12. The SAR values increased with soil profile depth. Under irrigation conditions of about 120% of normal (excess water), SAR values were found to be lower, ranging from 3 to 6, with an increase toward the upper soil layer.



Fig. 7. Changes in soil salinity (EC) and soil weight moisture (W) during the autumn near the second tensiometer station.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Near the first tensiometer station with a depth of about 60 cm of the travertine layer and irrigation by about 80% of normal (lack of water) at the end of September, the chloride concentration was high throughout the soil profile and ranged from 3200 to 3500 mg per liter. At the end of the irrigation period, the chloride concentration again increased to a range of 3500-4000 mg per liter in the upper layer (20 cm). After the last takt of irrigation at the beginning of October, the chloride concentration decreased to 1000 mg per liter in the upper layer (20 cm). After the end of irrigation, the chloride concentration again increased to a range of 3500-4000 mg per liter in this layer. The sulfate concentration hardly changed and ranged from 300 to 550 mg per liter throughout the soil profile.

Near the second tensiometer station with a travertine layer at a depth of about 70 cm and irrigation of about 120% of normal (excess water), chloride concentrations were found to be lower, ranging from 400 to 3000 mg per liter during the study period. The chloride concentration increased during irrigation and decreased at the end of irrigation in the deeper soil layers. No clear relationship was found between the chloride concentration and the soil moisture. The sulfate concentration ranged from 100 to 600 mg per liter throughout the soil profile.

The amount of general chalk in the soil was very high and hardly changed during the study period. The amount of general chalk ranged from 70% to 85% and did not depend on soil moisture and irrigation regime.

3.2. Assessment of drip irrigation effect on soil salinization.

The soil suction that was measured in-situ using the first tensiometer station is shown in Figure 8. In station 1, the soil suction before irrigation varied from 140 to 300 mbar depending on the depth of the measured soil layer, while after irrigation it dropped to 40-130 mbar. Due to the highest moisture, the maximal soil suction decrease was observed in the upper soil layer (0-20 cm). While in the upper soil layer (0-20 cm) sinusoidal oscillations were observed due to daily (day-night) changes in temperature and humidity. At other depths, once settled the suction had a small tendency to increase during the study period.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 8. Soil suction on the different depth of soil profile, measured at the first tensiometer station.

Laboratory soil salinity measurements characterized the dissolved salt concentration in the soil saturated solution near the drippers. Soil salinity near the first tensiometer station ranged from 1.5 dS/m before irrigation to 7.5 dS/m after 22 hours from when irrigation commenced. Salinity differences by depth are irregular, but the rain event on 23/3/2011 was noticed at 40 cm and the increase of 20 cm during the following days may indicate capillary movement (Fig. 9).

The highest soil salinity from 4.1 to 7.4 dS/m was detected at depths of 20-40 cm. The presence of a salinization peak in the soil layer at a depth of up to 40 cm was associated with the leaching of salts to a depth with irrigation water. The subsequent increase in salinization of the upper soil layer was caused by the evaporative concentration of salts at the soil surface. SAR values varied from 10-8 to 2-4 depending on the depth soil layer and its distribution was similar to the salinity

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

distribution. Active chalk values ranged from 15-20% to 30-33%, with higher concentration at a depth of 20-60 cm, which did not change during the experiment. Weighted soil moisture ranged from 0.14 to 0.36, which increased with depth.



Fig. 9. Soil salinity at different soil profile depths, measured at the first tensiometer station.

During the study, the period averaged weighted soil moisture varied from 0.25 to 0.30, with a dependency on distances from the dripper with an affected radius of up to 30 cm. Soil moisture increased with irrigation right away in the upper soil layer under the dripper from 0.14 to 0.37 after 2 hours and 22 hours after irrigation stopped it decreased to 23% (Fig. 10).

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 10. Weighted soil moisture on different distances from dripper pipeline around first tensiometer station

3.3. Soil salinity mapping using FDEM device

EC values obtained from FDEM measurements characterize the dissolved salts amount and soil moisture. The maps show the salt flushing area progressing to a depth of 50-60 cm (Fig.11). The salt flushing area width was about 0.5 m, demonstrating EC lower than 2 dS/m, reaching EC values of 2.5 dS/m between rows. At a depth of 60-80 cm, the soil salinity had a maximum of 2.5-3.0 dS/m. The travertine layer from a depth of 80 cm is probably dry, which does not enable ion movement that appears as very low salinity values. This suggests that for matching EC values with laboratory results, the FDEM strata should be in full saturation conditions (EC_{sat}). Otherwise, the correlation between soil moisture and salinity is necessary. This relationship depends on the lithological and chemical composition of the local soil profile. Figure 12 shows the correlation between the ratio of laboratory EC measurements (EC_{sat}) to FDEM EC measurements and weighted soil moisture according to soil characteristics of the study site.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 11. FDEM EC values on the different depth of the soil profile.

Thus, under a weighted soil moisture content of 0.2, the EC values obtained using the FDEM device (EC FDEM) will be approximately 3 times lower than those measured in the soil saturation extract laboratory measurements (EC (sat)). Although, provided the weighted soil moisture is greater than 0.32, EC measurements using FDEM would be close to the laboratory soil test results.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 12. Correlation between the EC (sat) to EC FDEM ratio and the weighted soil moisture at the Kibbutz Meirav mature olive plantation test site.

3.4. Water and salt movement modeling for soil salinization prediction of different irrigation water quality

Fitting the model to the study site conditions was based on comparing the model calculation results with measurements taken during the field experiment. The comparison was made for soil volumetric moisture and soil salinity values in EC. The best fit between model calculation and soil mechanical composition field measurements was obtained for the silty clay type soil (Fig. 2). The volumetric moisture model calibration was similar to the calculated results (Fig. 13). The hydraulic conductivity of the soil saturated conditions according to the model was 0.02 cm per hour.

Differences between soil volumetric moisture measured in the field and calculated in the model were: maximal -0.0187 (5.9% of the measured), minimal - 0.0029 (0.88%) and on average - 0.0077 (2.39%). The soil salinity values calculated in the model were similar to the salinity distribution obtained from the soil samples in the study site. However, differences between soil salinity measured in the field and the one calculated in the model ranged from 34% to 11% and on average - 28.8% (1.08 (dS/m)). This is because the soil salts movement model did not include the salts'

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

release and absorption processes in the soil. Soil suction, according to the calibrated model calculations, decreased in the upper soil layer (up to 20 cm depth) immediately after the irrigation began.



Fig. 13. Comparison of volumetric moisture values measured in a study section with computerized values in the model. Model calibration results.

In deeper layers, it started after two hours, while 12 hours after irrigation ended soil suction began to rise owing to soil drying. Changes in volume soil moisture were consistent with changes in soil suction. Moisture increased immediately after irrigation in the upper soil layer from 0.33 to 0.36 almost to a saturated state. After two hours (end of irrigation) the moisture began decreasing. The results in the model show that in the deep layers (below 30 cm from the soil surface) the moisture continued to decrease probably due to a rather small amount of water and irrigation span. As a result of irrigation by relatively saline water (3.13 (dS/m), soil salinity (salts concentration) increased in the upper soil layer (up to 30 cm depth) but decreased at the bottom of the soil profile (Fig. 14). In both model and field measurements, the border between these opposite salinity dynamics corresponded approximately to the root system depth, as intensive development of trees appears in depth below 35 cm.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020



Fig. 14. Changes in soil salinity in the soil profile calculated in the model. Calibration results of the model. T0 - start time (irrigation beginning); T1 - after two hours (irrigation finish); T2 - after 12 hours; T3 - after 22 hours; T4 - after 24 hours; T5 - after 30 hours; T6 - after 36 hours.

Fig. 15 shows the process of salts accumulating (or whashing away) at the model's outer boundaries. Near the soil surface at the TOP boundary, the salt concentration initially decreased due to soil washing by irrigation, and after irrigation finished it gradually increased over 36 hours, owing to evaporation from 2.5 dS/m to 4.7 dS/m. At the root zone bottom (ROOT boundary), the salt concentration was constant, with a small tendency to decrease. The salts concentration at the lower model boundary (BOT) gradually decreased from 9.2 dS/m to 6.2 dS/m, which is probably related to the horizontal movement of water together with dissolved salts from the dripper to the travertine layer.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions



Fig. 15. Changes in salt concentration, calculated in the model at the upper model boundary (TOP), at the root zone bottom (about 35 cm from the ground surface) (ROOT) and the lower model boundary (BOT).

The soil salinity calibrated model simulates soil salinity patterns, with different water quality irrigation between April to December. The data input to the model for calculating the irrigation duration per day and daily evapotranspiration included the olive plantation irrigation and daily evaporation and also evapotranspiration from the Eden Farm meteorological station (Table 2).
Initial salt concentration values in the model soil profile (the 1st of April) were taken from the field experiment soil sampling results. Soil profile and salts accumulation predictions during the irrigation season show that under current water quality conditions (3.13 dS/m) soil salinity may rise to 15-16 dS/m (Fig.16, A). The most intense soil salinity change from 2.0 to 4.0 dS/m per month was in June, immediately after irrigation increased. The model calculation results are consistent with soil salinity monitoring during 2011 (fig.6). Irrigation by potable water (Fig.16, B) reduced soil salinity to 7-9 dS/m.

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions
Open Access

Table 2. Irrigation and evaporation data used in the model

Month	Date	From start-day	From start-hour	Irrig. Dur.-hour	Irrig - mm	Irrig-mm/hour	Daily Evap-mm
April	0-10	10	240	7	2	0.286	2.00
	11-20	20	480	7	2	0.286	2.00
	21-30	30	720	10.6	3.02	0.285	3.02
May	1-10	40	960	12.9	3.69	0.286	3.69
	11-20	50	1200	12.9	3.69	0.286	3.69
	21-31	61	1464	17.6	5.01	0.285	5.01
June	1-10	71	1704	13.5	3.85	0.285	3.85
	11-20	81	1944	13.5	3.85	0.285	3.85
	21-30	91	2184	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
July	1-10	101	2424	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
	11-20	111	2664	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
	21-31	122	2928	12.8	3.66	0.286	3.66
August	1-10	132	3168	14.1	4.02	0.285	4.02
	11-20	142	3408	16.7	4.75	0.284	4.75
	21-31	153	3672	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
Septemb	1-10	163	3912	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
er	11-20	173	4152	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
	21-30	183	4392	10.1	2.88	0.285	2.88
October	1-10	193	4632	9.3	2.66	0.286	2.66
	11-20	203	4872	9.3	2.66	0.286	2.66
	21-31	214	5136	4	1.15	0.288	1.15
November	1-10	224	5376	3.6	1.02	0.283	1.02
	11-20	234	5616	3.6	1.02	0.283	1.02
	21-30	244	5856	1.2	0.34	0.283	0.34

Irrigation by brackish water during the summer months (Fig. 4, C) caused substantial increases in soil salinity, reaching a very high EC value of about 24-26 dS/m. Irrigating with such water during summer months, might increace EC in 2 - 3 dS / m per month on average, owing to salt accumulation in the soil profile. Brackish water irrigation (EC> 5 dS/m), might cause the entire soil profile turning saline to the point that could harm the trees.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions



Fig. 16. Salts accumulation predictions in the soil during irrigation season under different water salinity: A - 3.13 dS/m (available today); B - 1.5 dS/m (potable water); C - 5.5 dS/m (brackish water).

4. Conclusions

The combined use of various research methods, including soil salinity monitoring, field experiments, remote sensing (FDEM) and water and salts movement modeling in the unsaturated soil profile allowed assessment of salinization processes of chalky soil in an irrigated olive plantation in the Beit She'an Valley. Under the existing drip irrigation regime, water with a dissolved salt content of 3.13 dS/m, and the presence of an impermeable travertine layer close to the soil surface, the salinization process is characterized by a tendency for salts to accumulate in the upper root zone of the trees after the summer irrigation season. During irrigation, the soluble salts are rapidly leached to the depth and sides of the dripper in the upper 20-30 cm soil layer. However, after a short time within 24 hours after the completion of the irrigation cycle, the level of salinity in the soil begins to increase again. Soil dries out and salt accumulates near the surface due to evapotranspiration.

The FDEM device made it possible to study the spatial distribution and concentration of the dissolved salts, taking into account the existing weight moisture distribution in the soil at the time of measurement. The FDEM EC maps show the salt flushing area development at a depth of 50-60 cm. The width of the salt flushing area was ~0.5 m. By combining the soil salinity and moisture field sampling results and measuring soil salinity by FDEM, a correlation between the EC soil measurements in the laboratory by the traditional method and those measured by FDEM for a given soil type was obtained. The established relationship will allow for a reasonable comparison of soil salinity measurement results obtained by different methods, as soil salinity mapping accuracy by FDEM is higher and its cost lower.

The one-dimensional model created for water and movement of dissolved salts showed the danger of using brackish water for irrigation. Since soil salinization exceeds an acceptable level for trees, the use of potable water for irrigation, if possible, will help to reduce soil salinization.

To enable a tailor-made irrigation scheme, a database of the topics reviewed in this paper should be established. The database should include information on the changes in physical and chemical parameters affecting soil salting processes that will enable contemporary mapping and salinity forecasting and hydrochemical factors of various soil and irrigation conditions in the region.

5. Code and data availability

Data and code are available in the supplement

6. Author contribution

Vladimir Mirlas designed and carried out the field experiments, performed the Hydrus simulations. Naftaly Goldshleger designed and carried out the field experiments, performed measurements and mapping of the soil electrical conductivity using an FDEM device. Asher Aizenkod did the irrigation data processing and Yaakov Anker analyzed the results and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

7. Acknowledgments

This article is based on the results of scientific research carried out as project 855-0066-12 "Identification and assessment of soil salinization risk as a result of agricultural activity in the Beit She'an Valley" by a team of researchers and technical personnel of the Soil Erosion Research Station of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MOAG), Israel: Dr. V. Mirlas (main researcher), Dr. N. Goldshleger (researcher), A. Aizenkod (researcher, field survey center, MOAG), R. Ben-Binyamin (technician), Y. Barnay-Betsalel (technician), and I. Sapogineth (technician, the eastern R&D center, Ariel University).

The research was funded by the Keren Kayemeth LeIsrael - Jewish National Fund

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

(KKL – JNF) and supported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Israel. The authors particularly thank the technical team of the SERS and the Eastern R&D center, Ariel University: R. Ben-Binyamin, Y. Barnay-Betsalel, M. Gottesman, E. Fizik and I. Sapogineth for their assistance in the field investigations.

8. Reference

Abu Awward, A., M.: Influence of different water quantities and qualities on lemon trees and soil salt distribution at the Jordan Valley, J. Agricultural Water Management, 52 (1), 53-71, 2001.

Bear, J.: Dynamics of Fluid in Porous Media, Elsevier, New York, NY, 1972.

Ben Dor, Y., Metternicht, G., Goldshleger, N., Mor, E., Mirlas, V., Basson, U.: Review of remote sensing-based methods to assess soil salinity, In: Remote sensing of soil salinization, Impact on land management, (eds. G. Metternicht, J.A. Zinck), pp. 39-63, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, New York, USA. DOI:10.1201/9781420065039.ch3, 2009a.

Ben Dor, Y., Goldshleger, N., Mor, E., Mirlas, V., Basson, U.: Combined active and passive remote sensing methods for assessing soil salinity: a case study from Jezre'el Valley, Northern Israel, In: Remote sensing of soil salinization, Impact on land management, (eds. G. Metternicht, J.A. Zinck), pp. 236-253, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, New York, USA. DOI:10.1201/9781420065039-.ch12, 2009b.

Benyamini, Y., Marish S., Mirlas V., Gotesman M.: Soil Salinization and Rehabilitation, In: Proc., The Ann. Meeting, Israel Geog. Soc., Tel-Aviv, Israel, 128 -129, 1998.

Benyamini,Y., Marish S., Mirlas V., Gotesman M.: Impact of Subsurface Drainage on Groundwater Regime in Irrigated Fields in Israel. In: Proc. Inter. Conf. "Water and Environment: Resolving Conflicts in the Development of Dry Lands", Beer-Sheba, Israel, 4-7 June. The Center for Water Science and Technology (CWST), Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, 56-57, 2000.

Benyamini,Y., Mirlas V., Marish S., Gotesman M., Fizik, E., and Agassi, M.: A survey of soil salinity and groundwater level control systems in irrigated fields in the Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Agricultural Water Management, 76(3), 181-194, 2005.

Bhardwaj, A. K., Mandal, U.K., Bar-Tal, A., Gilboa, A., and Levy, G. J.: Replacing saline-sodic irrigation water with treated wastewater: effects on

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

saturated hydraulic conductivity, slaking and swelling, J. Irrigation Science, 26 (2), 139-146, 2008.

Boone, A., Samuelsson, P., Gollvik, S., Napoly, A., Jarlan, L., Brun, E., Decharme, B.: The interactions between soil-biosphere-atmosphere land surface model with a multi-energy balance (ISBA-MEB) option in SURFEXv8-Part 1: Model description, Geosci. Model Dev. 10, 843–872, 2017.

Gafni, A., Salinger, Y.: Hydraulic and salinity regime of two affected sites in the Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Hydrol. Proc. 6, 55–65, 1992.

Celia, M.A., Bououtas, E.T., Zarba, R.L.: A general mass-conservative numerical solution for the unsaturated flow equation, Water Resour.Res., 26, 1483-1496, 1990.

Corwin, D.L. and Lesch, S.M.: Apparent soil electrical conductivity measurements in agriculture, Computer Electronics in Agriculture46: 11–43, 2005.

Crank, J. and Nicolson, P.: A practical method for numerical evaluation of solutions of partial differential equations of the heat-conduction type, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 43 pp. 50–67, 1947.

Farifteh, J., van der Meer, F., Atzberger, C., and Carranza, E.J.M.: Quantitative analysis of salt-affected soil reflectance spectra: A comparison of two adaptive methods (PLSR and ANN), Remote Sensing of Environment, 110: 59–78, 2007.

Feddes, R., Hoff, H., Bruen, M., Dawson, T., de Rosnay, P., Dirmeyer, P., Jackson, R.B., Kabat, P., Kleidon, A., Lilly, A. and Pitman A.J.: Modeling root water uptake in hydrological and climate models, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 82, 2797–2809doi:10.1175/15200477(2001)082%3C2797%3AMRWUIH%3-E2.3.CO%3B2, 2001.

Fletcher, C.: Computational Galerkin Methods, Springer-Verlag New York Inc, 303 pp. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-85949-6, 1983.

Garrigues, S., Olioso, A., Carrer, D., Decharme, B., Calvet, J.C., Martin, E., Moulin, S., Marloie, O.: Impact of climate, vegetation, soil and crop management variables on multi-year ISBA-A-gs simulations of evapotranspiration over a Mediterranean crop site, Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 3033–3053, 2015.

Guimberteau, M., Zhu, D., Maignan, F., Huang, Y., Yue, C., Dantec-N d lec, S., Ottl, C., Jornet-Puig, A., Bastos, A., Laurent, P., Goll, D., Bowring, S., Chang, J., Guenet, B., Tifafi, M., Peng, S., Krinner, G., Ducharne, A. s., Wang, F., Wang, T., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Yin, Z., Lauerwald, R., Joetzjer, E., Qiu, C., Kim, H., Ciais, P.: ORCHIDEE-MICT (v8.4.1), a land surface model for the high latitudes: model description and validation, Geosci. Model Dev. 11, 121–163, 2018.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Kool, J.B., Parker, J.C., van-Genuchten, M. Th.: Determining soil hydraulic properties from one-step outflow experiments by parameter estimation: I. Theory and numerical studies, Soil Sci. Soc.Am. J., 49,1348-1354, 1985.

Kruseman, G.P., De Ridder, N.A.: Analysis and Evaluation of Pumping Test Data, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement/ ILRI, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 18–20 pp., 1976.

Leij, F.G., Skaggs, T.H., van-Genuchten,M.Th.: Analytical solutions for solute transport in three-dimensional semi-infinite porous media, Water Resour.Res., 27(10), 2719-2733, 1991.

Mandal, U.K., Bhardwaj, A.K., Warrington, D.N., Goldstein, D., Bar-Tal, A., Levy, G.J.: Changes in soil hydraulic conductivity, runoff, and soil loss due to irrigation with different types of saline-sodic water, J. Geoderma, 144(3-4), 509-516, 2008(a).

Mandal, U.K., Warrington, D.N., Bhardwaj, A.K., Bar-Tal, A., Kautsky, L., Minz, D., and Levy, G.J.: Evaluating impact if irrigation water quality on a calcareous clay soil using principal component analysis, Geoderma, 144(1-2), 189-197, 2008(b).

Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y., Marish, S., Gotesman, M., Fizik, E., Agassi, M.: Method for Normalization of Soil Salinity Data, J. of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 129(1), 64-66, 2003.

Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y., Gottesman, M., Fizik, E., and Agassi, M.: Long-term changes in groundwater regime of a semi-confined aquifer in Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. World Assoc.Soil Water Conserv., J1: 98-112, 2006.

Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y.,Miron, M., Peres, M., Yasur, E.: Development of groundwater flow and salt transport models for the non-saturation zone of the soil in the Hula Valley, Annual meeting of the Israel Geography Society, 1-3.01.2006, Jerusalem, Israel, 63-64 (in Hebrew), 2006.

Mirlas, V.: Assessing soil salinity hazard in cultivated areas using MODFLOW model and GIS tools: A case study from the Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Agriculture Water Management. Vol. 109, 144-154, 2012.

Mohammad, M., J., Mazahreh, N.: Changes in soil fertility parameters to irrigation of forage crops with secondary treated wastewater, J. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 34(9 & 10), 1281-1294, 2003.

Pandit, R., Parrotta, J.A., Chaudhary, A.K., Karlen, D.L., Luis, D., Vieira, M., Anker, Y., Chen, R., Morris, J., Ntshotsho, P., Pandit, R., Parrotta, J.A., Chaudhary, A.K., Douglas, L., Luis, D., Vieira, M., Anker, Y., Chen, R., Morris, J., Harris, J.: A framework to evaluate land degradation and restoration responses for improved planning, Ecosyst. and People 15, 1–18, 2020.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-231
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 August 2020

Rui Manuel Almeida Machado,R., Serralheiro, R.: Soil Salinity: Effect on Vegetable Crop Growth, Management Practices to Prevent and Mitigate Soil Salinization. Horticulturae 2017, 3, 30.13 pp. doi:10.3390/horticulturae3020030, 2017.

Simunek, J.,and van-Genuchten, M. Th.: Numerical model for simulating multiple solute transport in variably-saturated soil, Proc. "Water Pollution III: Modeling, Measurement, and Prediction". Ed. L.C. Wrobel and P. Latinopoulos, Computation Mechanics Publ,Ashurst Lodge, Ashjur, Southamption, UK, pp. 21-30, 1995.

Simunek, J., Sejna, M., van Genuchten, M.Th.: The HYDRUS-1D software package for simulating the one-dimensional movement for water, heat,and multiple solutes in variably-saturated media, version 2.0, IGWMC-TPS 70. U.S. Salinity laboratory, Agricaltural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Riverside, California, 1998.

Toride, N., Leij, F.J., van Genuchten, M.Th.: A comprehensive set of analytical solution for no equilibrium solute transport with first-order decay and zero-order production, Water Resour.Res., 29(7), 2167-2182, 1993.

van Genuchten M. Th.: A Closed-form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Soils, J. Soil Science Society of America

https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400050002x, 1980.

van Genuchten, M.Th.: A numerical model for water and solute movement in and below the root zone, Research Report No 121, U.S. Salinity laboratory , USDA, ARS, Riverside, California, 1987.

van Genuchten, M. Th., and Wagenet, R.J.: Two-site/two region models for pesticide transport and degradation: Theoretical development and analytical solutions, Soil Sci. Soc.Am. J., 53, 1303-1310, 1989.

Wada, Y., Flörke, M., Hanasaki, N., Eisner, S., Fischer, G., Tramberend, S., Satoh, Y., Van Vliet, M.T.H., Yillia, P., Ringler, C., Burek, P., Wiberg, D.: Modeling global water use for the 21st century: The Water Futures and Solutions (WFaS) initiative and its approaches, Geosci. Model Dev. 9, 175–222, 2016.