1 Irrigation Water Quality and Management Determine Salinization in

2 Israel Olive Orchards

3 Vladimir Mirlas¹, Yaakov Anker^{1*}, Asher Aizenkod² and Naftali Goldshleger³

4 1- The department of chemical engineering and The Eastern R&D center, Ariel University,5 Israel

6 2- The Plant Protection and Inspection Services of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural7 Development, Israel

- 8 3 The department of civil engineering, Ariel University, Israel
- 9 *- Corresponding author: (kobia@ariel.ac.il)

10 Abstract

Olive (Olea europaea L.) orchards brackish water irrigation with incorrect irrigation 11 management reduces soil fertility and degrades soil health through soil salinization. 12 This study was conducted in the Beit She'an Valley, one of the main agricultural 13 regions in Israel, in an olive orchard in which a combination of soil salinization and 14 poor drainage conditions impede plant development and cause severe economic 15 16 damage. By combining various research methods, including soil salinity monitoring, 17 field experiments, remote sensing (FDEM), and unsaturated soil profile saline water movement modeling, the salinization processes were quantified. Irrigation water 18 19 conductance of 3.13 dS/m points to salinization within the tree upper root zone, 20 whereas the modeling results suggest that salinization danger is greater with brackish 21 treated wastewater rather than with the lower salinity brackish irrigation groundwater 22 and that irrigation with potable water can help reduce salt accumulation and recover 23 damaged plots.

Keywords: Soil Salinity; Irrigation; Remote sensing; Soil salinization mapping; Modeling

26

27 1 Introduction

28 Soil salinity surveys and studies across the world and Israel indicate that irrigation

- 29 with poor water quality and improper irrigation management causes soil salinization
- and degradation, and damages soil fertility (Wada et al., 2016; Pandit et al., 2020).
- 31 Soil salinity monitoring in the Jezre'el Valley began in 1987, following a soil salinity
- 32 survey that showed intensive salinization and often alkalinization of the upper soil
- horizons (Benjaminy et al., 2005, 1998, 2000). Earlier studies had shown that these
- 34 processes were enhanced by a semi-confined shallow aquifer (Kruseman and De
- Ridder, 1976), causing upward water flow during winter and spring seasons and

reducing downward rain and irrigation percolation during the summer and fall seasons(Gafni et al., 1990).

Most of the soil salinization problems in the Beit She'an Valley are associated with the 38 39 use of poor-quality irrigation water (conductivity above 3 dS/m). The soil salinity is 40 constantly increasing, owing to irrigation with high salinity-treated wastewater and blocking of the natural drainage to the underlying groundwater (Mirlas et al., 2006; 41 Mirlas, 2012). At a lemon tree plantation in the Jordan Valley, it was found that an 42 43 increase in irrigation water salinity to 3.7 times freshwater salinity, increased soil 44 salinity by 3.8 to 4.1 times in a few years (Abu Awwad, 2001). Additional effect of 45 treated effluent irrigation in the Jordan Valley was decreasing pH in parallel to soil 46 salinity increase (Mohammad and Mazahreh, 2003). In the Beit She'an Valley, high saline-sodic concentration in irrigation damaged the soil's hydraulic conductivity, 47 48 increasing runoff and causing silt-clay chalk soil erosion (Mandal et al., 2008a; 49 Bhardwaj et al., 2008).

50 While global scale land surface-soil-biosphere-atmosphere models enable a regional 51 water balance (Boone et al., 2017; Guimberteau et al., 2018, Katz et al., 2018), 52 understanding water and solute movement processes in unsaturated soil layers requires 53 a mathematical description and numerical model development (Leij et al., 1991; 54 Simunek and van Genuchten, 1995; van Genuchten and Wagenet, 1989, Celia et al., 55 1990; Kool et al., 1985). Principal component analysis (PCA) suggests that soil 56 hydraulic conductivity is one of the factors affecting soil quality (Mandal et al., 57 2008b). Water and solute movement models in an unsaturated soil layer are based on 58 Richards' equation for one-dimensional movement of water under saturation variability 59 (Celia et al., 1990; Bear, 1972) and root water uptake is calculated by the van 60 Genuchten equation (van Genuchten, 1987). In such models, the soil hydraulic 61 conductivity coefficient in the saturated media varies as a function of the soil's 62 hydraulic conductivity. 63 Soil moisture may be evaluated through atmospheric conditions (Garrigues et al.,

64 2015), or calculated as a function of suction (pressure head) and hydraulic

65 conductivity in an unsaturated condition. Salt leaching and accumulation are

significant in arid and semi-arid areas (Wada et al., 2016). Salt motion models are 66 67 commonly based on the Fickian convection-dispersion equation for solute transport (Toride et al., 1993) and complex models that should also consider absorption 68 processes, anion and cation exchange, and more. Several modeling platforms such as 69 "HYDRUS" (Simunek et al., 1998) and "WASTRC-1" (Mirlas et al., 2006) are widely 70 used. The WASTRC-1, one-dimensional water, and solute movement model under 71 72 saturated conditions were found to fit the soil characteristics of Hula Valley irrigated fields in Israel. In both "HYDRUS" and "WASTRC-1" models, various soil hydraulic 73 conditions such as drainage, irrigation, and layer saturation depth can be considered. 74 75 Soil density, saturated hydraulic conductivity, field moisture, suction, and root zone 76 development among other factors are prerequisites for model calibration, parameter 77 validation, and, consequently, proper water and solute movement simulation 78 (Garrigues et al., 2015).

79 Salinization during irrigation is a dynamic process as the number of salts in the soil 80 and their composition change during irrigation both in the surface area and in the soil profile. Soil salinity mapping by the traditional sampling method is expensive and 81 time-consuming, with mapping accuracy directly depending on the distance between 82 the sampling points (Pandit et al., 2018). Remote sensing technologies that are based 83 on active electromagnetic (EM) radiation are being widely adopted for soil salinity 84 mapping. Ground-based EM methods measure electrical conductivity (EC) in 85 86 subsurface and substratum horizons and can thus recognize salinity anomalies in the field before salinization approaches the surface (Farifteh et al., 2007). EM induction 87 sensors measure the soil profile salinity by recording the soil's apparent electrical 88 89 conductivity (ECa).

Frequency-domain electromagnetic techniques (FDEM) are a powerful tool for
mapping soils and detecting changes in soil types related to salinity. FDEM sensors
work within a range of 30 cm to 5 m depth and perform best while scanning the area
from about 1m above the ground (Ben Dor et al., 2009a). By applying FDEM with
other active and passive remote sensing methods, EC values in given soil layers were
attained for the soil in the Jezre'el Valley (Ben Dor et al., 2009b).

96 The soils of the Beit She'an Valley were selected for research as it is one of the most 97 important agricultural areas in Israel. They consist of brown clay soils (grumusols) and calcritic soils, with the latter's profile characterized by thin layers and formation layers 98 of marl with high water absorption capacity. The soil stratification influences the 99 100 potential to drain and wash excess salts that accumulate during the irrigation season, 101 which preserve ventilated root conditions. Sodium-rich soil has up to 30% cation exchangeable capacity, which exacerbates the ventilation conditions necessary for 102 103 plants. The combination of soil stratification and poor drainage conditions impedes plant development and, in some cases, the soil structure destruction and salts 104 accumulation in the root zone causes plant degeneration due to water absorption 105 106 difficulties (Machado and Serralheiro, 2017). Consequently, crop irrigation by 107 brackish water in the Beit She'an area might cause economic damage. 108 The irrigation water sources in the area are of variable quality: springs and Jordan 109 River water are considered of acceptable quality (fresh), while groundwater and 110 effluent water might be of poor quality (brackish). In this latter case, irrigation without 111 clear irrigation criteria might steadily damage soil fertility. Defining an irrigation regime for local soil and water quality conditions is, therefore, of great importance for 112 preventing crop and economic damage in the Beit She'an Valley. The required 113 114 knowledge should indicate how water and salt move in soil and correlate to salinity processes and irrigation management capability (Pandit et al., 2018). Combining 115 116 remote sensing (FDEM) methods with water and salt movement models in the unsaturated soil layer may enable the effective identification of soil salinization 117 118 processes. In turn, this may result in irrigation systems improved planning and control. 119 As an integrative knowledge harvesting demonstration needed for irrigation 120 management, this study's objective was to assess soil salinization processes because of 121 low-quality irrigation at the Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation in the Beit She'an Valley. 122 2 Materials and Methods 123

124 2.1 Research Site background and geographical framework

The Beit She'an area is a unique agricultural area due to a combination of warm anddry climate (potential annual evaporation of 2400 mm at the meteorological service,

- 127 Eden Farm Station), saline water irrigation, and heavy soils. The study site is a mature
- 128 (2002) olive plantation located 1100 m north of the Kibbutz Meirav, (Fig.1.).



130 Fig. 1. Study site location (regional map: after CIA factbook, 2021; Photo: Survey of

131 Israel, 2021)

- 132 The planting intervals between the trees and rows are 7 m and 4 m. The rainfall
- amount in the study site was 154, 253 and 281mm in 2007/8, 2008/9, and 2009/10
- 134 hydrological years, respectively. The soil in the study site is layered, with a practically
- impervious shallow layer of travertine found in different locations of the plantation as
- 136 well as layers of marl at greater depth. Soil salinity stains were observed together with
- 137 trees suffering from lack of ventilation, salting and excess irrigated water. Following
- the soil sample particle size analysis results, the soil mechanical components at the
- research site consist of clay (40-50%), silt (25-30%) and sand (20-30%) (Fig.2.).



- 141 Fig. 2. The mechanical composition (Soil texture triangle) of soil at the research site.
- 142 The depth of the travertine layer range is from 110 cm at the southern edge to 55-60
- 143 cm at the northern edge of the site (Fig. 3).





Fig. 3. Depth of travertine layer from the soil surface, cm(Background aerial photo isan insert from the Fig. 1. orthophoto)

147 1- lithological borehole; 2- isoline of travertine layer depth from the soil surface148

149 The Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation irrigation water quality test results for different seasons during the study period are presented in Table 1. The main irrigation water 150 sources in the area are Jordan River water and local groundwater whose salinity and 151 152 SAR ratio are very high, mainly due to high sodium chloride concentrations (Flexer et 153 al., 2006). The chloride concentration is at a range of 800 - 1700 mg/l and electrical 154 conductivity is above 3.5 dS/m. Local authorities intend to dilute the local water by 155 effluent water and reduce the chloride concentration in water to 800 mg/l. The 156 acceptable amount of irrigation from May to November is 0.285 mm/ hour. 157

Date	рН	EC dS/m	SAR	Cl mg/l	Ca+Mg mg/l	Na mg/l	K mg/l	N-NO3 mg/l	N-NH4 mg/l	B mg/l
13.6.10	7.9	2.90	5.1	862	266	310	14.1			0.139
22. 8.10	8.2	3.74	6.4	1005	317	425	17.6			
19.12.10	8.1	3.19	5.21	845	315	345	12.5			
5.5.11	8.9	2.84	5.71	841	258	345	12.1	N.D.	1.9	0.110
23. 8.11	8.2	3.64	5.66	848	277	352	16.4	N.D.	0.8	0.200

158 Table 1. Quality of irrigation water in Kibbutz Meirav olive plantation

160 The olive plantation drip irrigation regime in one extension along the row that was 161 used for the study calibration, was daily 1 l/s every 40 cm, with a cumulative water 162 amount from April to November (harvest) of between 631 to 1272 cubic meters per 163 1000 square meters. Nitrogen fertilizer given by dosing pumps was 15 - 20 kg for the 164 season regardless of the amount of water.

165

166 2.2 Research methodology

167

168 This study integrates field experiments with water and salt movement models in the 169 unsaturated soil strata. Field experiments including remote sensing method (FDEM) 170 were utilized to supply the required data for water and salt movement modeling and 171 soil salinity mapping during soil salinization monitoring under different irrigation 172 conditions (Corwin and Lesch, 2005). The suction and soil moisture monitoring during 173 the irrigation period was conducted near two tensiometer stations characterizing suction and soil moisture conditions. The first station characterized irrigation by about 174 175 80% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (lack of water) and the second station characterized irrigation by about 120% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (excess 176 water). The field experiment was conducted in spring before beginning summer 177 178 irrigation, which made it possible to evaluate the soil salinization dynamics when water enters practically dry soil after winter precipitation salt washing. The experiment 179 included soil sampling to measure soil moisture and soil salinity that was coupled to 180 FDEM mapping. The integration of the various data processing types and modeling 181 182 finally yielded a soil salinization spatial-temporal illustration of the different irrigation 183 regimes (Fig. 4).

184



186 Fig. 4. The conceptual working process applied to soil salinization assessment

187

188 2.3 Research procedures

189 *Soil suction monitoring.*

190 Continuous soil suction monitoring included two "Mottes Tensiometers LTD.",

191 transmitting tensiometer stations. Both stations were installed at 50 m from each other.

192 At each station, four tensiometers were installed, measuring the soil suction at depths

193 of 20, 40, 60 and 70 cm from the soil surface and under the olive tree rows. The

tensiometer system sampled soil suction values (in mBar) every 30 minutes that were

transmitted to the company's website (<u>https://www.tensiograph.com/?action=&lang=en</u>)

196 every four hours enabling online data availability through a client interface. During the

197 study, selected soil solution samples were pumped from the tensiometers and analyzed

198 at the lab (Fig.5.).



unsaturated layer. The experiment included moisture and salinity measurements 221 222 through manual soil sampling and FDEM soil salinity mapping. Near each tensiometer 223 station, three control lines were marked perpendicular to the dripper line, whereas the 224 line center was positioned near the dripper. Near the first tensiometer station, the distance between the control lines (1A, 1B, 1C) was 50 cm and near the second 225 226 tensiometer station (2A, 2B, 2C) it was 40 cm (according to distance changes between the drippers so that each control line was extended from the middle between the three 227 228 rows). Soil sampled for laboratory salt composition and moisture tests were taken for 229 each control line, at a central point adjacent to the dripper and 30,80,180 and 330 cm distances from the central sampling point on both sides. Together with soil sampling, 230 values of soil suction from the tensiometers were also measured. The first soil 231 232 sampling was done at 08:30 am before irrigation on control lines 1A and 2A. At 09:00, drip irrigation began with an intensity of 1.6 liters per hour and stopped at 10:15. At 233 234 12:15 immediately after the irrigation finished (2 hours after irrigation commences), sampling was done on lines 1B and 2B soil at the central point and 30 cm distance 235 236 from it on both sides. On March 23 and March 24, a total of 30 mm of rain fell, which 237 was recorded by the rainfall automatic monitoring system.

238

239 FDEM measurements and mapping of soil electrical conductivity

240

241 Measurements were done along the control lines and in the area between the tree row 242 in the experiment site. Three measuring lines with 7 m length were spaced 0.5 m apart 243 near the first tensiometer station. The measurement lines were made perpendicular to 244 the irrigation dripper pipeline. Mapping was done after three hours of irrigation. The 245 device was hung by a strap at the height of one meter above the ground, with the 246 operator walking along the sampling lines without stopping within the line. Five 247 frequency channels (62525; 22075; 7825; 2275; and 975 Hz) were used for characterizing soil layer depth intervals at: 0-30 cm; 0-45 cm; 0-60 cm; 0-75 cm; and 248 249 0-100 cm, respectively. Interpolation and spatial soil salinity mapping (in EC, dS/m) 250 were performed using SURFER software.

251

- 252 Water and salt movement mode development and application for soil salinization
- 253 assessment and prediction.
- 254 The water and salt movement model in the upper unsaturated soil layer and up to the
- travertine layer was made in the HYDRUS 1D software. The one-dimensional model
- characterizes the cross-section to a depth of 60 cm above the travertine layer.
- 257 The water and salt movement, a basic mathematical model of one-dimensional
- equations for an unsaturated soil state, was:
- 259 $\partial W/\partial t = \partial \partial x [K(W) (\partial H/\partial x)] E(W,x)$ (1)
- 260 K(W)= f(Ks,W) (2)
- 261 $\partial WC / \partial t = \partial / \partial x [D^* (\partial C / \partial x)] \partial VC / \partial x S(C)$ (3)
- where:
- 263 W Volumetric Moisture
- 264 H Suction
- 265 K (W) Hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soil state
- 266 E Plant root moisture absorption function
- 267 Ks Hydraulic conductivity of soil in a saturated state
- 268 C Soil solution salts concentration
- 269 D* Soil salts diffusion coefficient
- 270 S Soil salt absorbing (or releasing) function because of moisture changes
- 271 t Time
- 272 The hydraulic model used was the van Genuchten-Mualem (no hysteresis) single
- 273 porosity model (van Genuchten, 1980). As a soil salinization model, Crank-Nicholson
- was used as a time weighting scheme (Crank and Nicolson, 1947) and the Galerkin
- 275 Finite Scheme (Fletcher, 1983) for a space weighting scheme equilibrium model. For
- 276 water movement relation with the root zone, the Feddes water uptake reduction model
- 277 (Feddes et al., 2001) was used, with maximum concentration to passive root solute
- uptake of 0.5 (cRoot). The one-dimensional model calculated the volumetric moisture
- and total salinity in a soil profile down to the model's lower boundary. In the
- 280 HYDRUS 1D software, the unsaturated layer parameters are automatically determined
- by the soil type. The lower boundary of the water movement model was calculated as
- a constant flow along with the travertine layer.

The irrigation input to the soil profile through the model's upper boundary was 283 284 calculated as the water supply according to an incremental irrigation regime. 285 Evapotranspiration and transpiration values and root zone activity was determined 286 from the field data and changed during the irrigation season. The models were 287 calibrated according to the field experiment data. The calibrated model was used to 288 assess and predict soil salinization due to irrigation with different water quality: 3.13 dS/m (available today); 1.5 dS/m (potable water); and 5.5 dS/m (brackish water). The 289 290 time step of the model was a month and the salinity and moisture distribution during 291 this month were used as the initial conditions for the following month.

- 292
- 293 3 Results and Discussion
- 294

3.1 Soil moisture and salinization dynamics in the autumn, following the intensive summer irrigation.

297

298 Near the first tensiometer station at a depth of about 60 cm of the travertine layer and 299 irrigation by about 80% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (lack of water), the soil salinity was about 11-12 dS/m in the soil profile in September (Fig. 6). After the last 300 irrigation cycle at the beginning of October, the soil salinity decreased to 4-8 dS/m 301 302 throughout the soil profile and especially in the top layer. Soil weight moisture 303 increased from 0.22-0.25 to 0.33 at the top of the soil profile. Then, before the rainfall 304 in mid-December, soil salinization gradually increased, and the most intense 305 salinization growth to 14 dS/m was found in the upper layer (0-20 cm) of soil. The 306 weight moisture values gradually decreased to 0.2-0.25, whilst the highest values were 307 noted in the upper layer of the soil profile. 308 Near the second tensiometer station with a travertine layer depth of about 70 cm and 309 irrigation by about 120% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (excess water), soil 310 salinity was lower, between 2.0 to 4.0 dS/m. In the upper layer (0-20 cm), soil salinity exceeded 6 dS/m and after the last irrigation cycle at the beginning of October, it 311 312 increased to 14 dS/m with a gradual decrease to previous values during November-December. The moisture weight values were almost the same throughout the soil 313 profile and gradually decreased from 0.35 to 0.2 during the monitoring period. 314



Fig. 6. Changes in soil salinity (EC) and soil weight moisture (W) during theautumn near the first tensiometer station.

316

320 The SAR values under irrigation conditions of about 80% of the acceptable amount of

321 irrigation ranged from 4 to 12. The SAR values increased with soil profile depth.

322 Under irrigation conditions of about 120% of the acceptable amount of irrigation

323 (excess water), SAR values were found to be lower, ranging from 3 to 6, with an

324 increase toward the upper soil layer.

325







330 Near the first tensiometer station with a depth of about 60 cm of the travertine layer 331 and irrigation by about 80% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (lack of water) at 332 the end of September, the chloride concentration was high throughout the soil profile and ranged from 3200 to 3500 mg per liter. At the end of the irrigation period, the 333 334 chloride concentration again increased to a range of 3500-4000 mg per liter in the upper layer (20 cm). After the last irrigation cycle at the beginning of October, the 335 336 chloride concentration decreased to 1000 mg per liter in the upper layer (20 cm). After the end of irrigation, the chloride concentration again increased to a range of between 337 3500-4000 mg per liter in this layer. The sulfate concentration hardly changed and 338 339 ranged from 300 to 550 mg per liter throughout the soil profile. 340 Near the second tensiometer station with a travertine layer at a depth of about 70 cm and irrigation of about 120% of the acceptable amount of irrigation (excess water), 341 342 chloride concentrations were found to be lower, ranging from 400 to 3000 mg per liter during the study period. The chloride concentration increased during irrigation and 343 344 decreased at the end of irrigation in the deeper soil layers. No clear relationship was 345 found between the chloride concentration and the soil moisture. The sulfate 346 concentration ranged from 100 to 600 mg per liter throughout the soil profile. 347 The amount of general chalk in the soil was very high and hardly changed during the 348 study period. The amount of general chalk ranged from 70% to 85% and did not 349 depend on soil moisture and irrigation regime.

350

329

351 **3.2** Assessment of drip irrigation effect on soil salinization.

352

The soil suction that was measured in-situ using the first tensiometer station is shown in Figure 8. In station 1, the soil suction before irrigation varied from 140 to 300 mbar depending on the depth of the measured soil layer, while after irrigation it dropped to 40-130 mbar. Due to the highest moisture, the maximal soil suction decrease was

- 357 observed in the upper soil layer (0-20 cm). While in the upper soil layer (0-20 cm)
- 358 sinusoidal oscillations were observed due to daily (day-night) changes in temperature

- and humidity. At other depths, once settled the suction had a small tendency to
- increase during the study period.
- 361



Fig. 8. Soil suction on the different depths of the soil profile, measured at the firsttensiometer station.

366

367 Laboratory soil salinity measurements characterized the dissolved salt concentration in

the soil saturated solution near the drippers. Soil salinity near the first tensiometer

station ranged from 1.5 dS/m before irrigation to 7.5 dS/m after 22 hours from when

370 irrigation commenced. Salinity differences by depth are irregular, but the rain event on

23/3/2011 was noticed at 40 cm and the increase of 20 cm during the following days

- 372 may indicate capillary movement (Fig. 9).
- 373 The highest soil salinity from 4.1 to 7.4 dS/m was detected at depths of 20-40 cm. The
- presence of a salinization peak in the soil layer at a depth of up to 40 cm was
- associated with the leaching of salts to a depth with irrigation water. The subsequent
- 376 increase in salinization of the upper soil layer was caused by the evaporative

377 concentration of salts at the soil surface. SAR values varied from 10-8 to 2-4

depending on the depth of the soil layer and its distribution was like the salinity

distribution. Active chalk values ranged from 15-20% to 30-33%, with higher

380 concentration at a depth of 20-60 cm, which did not change during the experiment.

Weighted soil moisture ranged from 0.14 to 0.36, which increased with depth.

382



383

Fig. 9. Soil salinity at different soil profile depths, measured at the first tensiometerstation.

386 During the study, the period averaged weighted soil moisture varied from 0.25 to 0.30,

with a dependency on distances from the dripper with an affected radius of up to 30

388 cm. Soil moisture increased with irrigation right away in the upper soil layer under the

dripper from 0.14 to 0.37 after 2 hours and 22 hours after irrigation stopped it

- **390** decreased to 23% (Fig. 10).
- 391





Fig. 10. Weighted soil moisture on different distances from dripper pipeline aroundfirst tensiometer station

397 **3.3 Soil salinity mapping using FDEM device**

398 EC values obtained from FDEM measurements characterize the dissolved salts amount and soil moisture. The maps show the salt flushing area progressing to a depth of 50-399 400 60 cm (Fig.11). The salt flushing area width was about 0.5 m, demonstrating EC lower than 2 dS/m, reaching EC values of 2.5 dS/m between rows. At a depth of 60-80 cm, 401 402 the soil salinity had a maximum of 2.5-3.0 dS/m. The travertine layer from a depth of 80 cm is probably dry, which does not enable ion movement that appears as very low 403 404 salinity values. This suggests that for matching EC values with laboratory results, the FDEM strata should be in full saturation conditions (EC_{sat}). Otherwise, the correlation 405 between soil moisture and salinity is necessary. This relationship depends on the 406 lithological and chemical composition of the local soil profile. Figure 12 shows the 407 correlation between the ratio of laboratory EC measurements (EC_{sat}) to FDEM EC 408 measurements and weighted soil moisture according to soil characteristics of the study 409 410 site.



⁴¹³ Fig. 11. FDEM EC values on the different depths of the soil profile. from the soil414 surface.

- 418 FDEM device (EC FDEM) will be approximately 3 times lower than those measured
- 419 in the soil saturation extract laboratory measurements (EC (sat)). Although provided

⁴¹⁵ A – up to 30 cm; B- up to 45 cm; C – up to 60 cm; D – up to 70 cm; E – up to 100 cm 416

⁴¹⁷ Thus, under a weighted soil moisture content of 0.2, the EC values obtained using the

- 420 the weighted soil moisture is greater than 0.32, EC measurements using FDEM would
- 421 be close to the laboratory soil test results.



422

Fig. 12. Correlation between the EC (sat) to EC FDEM ratio and the weighted soilmoisture at the Kibbutz Meirav mature olive plantation test site.

426 3.4 Water and salt movement modeling for soil salinization prediction of different 427 irrigation water quality

428

429 Fitting the model to the study site conditions was based on comparing the model

430 calculation results with measurements taken during the field experiment. The

431 comparison was made for soil volumetric moisture and soil salinity values in EC. The

432 best fit between model calculation and soil mechanical composition field

433 measurements was obtained for the silty clay type soil (Fig. 2). The volumetric

434 moisture model calibration was like the calculated results (Fig. 13). The hydraulic

435 conductivity of the soil saturated conditions according to the model was 0.02 cm per436 hour.

437 Differences between soil volumetric moisture measured in the field and calculated in

438 the model were: maximal -0.0187 (5.9% of the measured), minimal -0.0029 (0.88%)

and on average - 0.0077 (2.39%). The soil salinity values calculated in the model were

similar to the salinity distribution obtained from the soil samples in the study site.

441 However, differences between soil salinity measured in the field and the one

calculated in the model ranged from 34% to 11% and on average - 28.8% (1.08
(dS/m)). This is because the soil salts movement model did not include the salts'
release and absorption processes in the soil. Soil suction, according to the calibrated
model calculations, decreased in the upper soil layer (up to 20 cm depth) immediately
after the irrigation began.

447



448

Fig. 13. Comparison of volumetric moisture values measured in a study section withcomputerized values in the model. Model calibration results.

451

452 In deeper layers, it started after two hours, while 12 hours after irrigation ended soil 453 suction began to rise owing to soil drying. Changes in volume soil moisture were 454 consistent with changes in soil suction. Moisture increased immediately after irrigation 455 in the upper soil layer from 0.33 to 0.36 almost to a saturated state. After two hours 456 (end of irrigation) the moisture began decreasing. The results in the model show that in the deep layers (below 30 cm from the soil surface) the moisture continued to decrease 457 probably due to a rather small amount of water and irrigation span. As a result of 458 459 irrigation by relatively saline water (3.13 dS/m), soil salinity (salts concentration) increased in the upper soil layer (up to 30 cm depth) but decreased at the bottom of the 460 soil profile (Fig. 14). In both model and field measurements, the border between these 461

- 462 opposite salinity dynamics corresponded approximately to the root system depth, as
- 463 intensive development of trees appears in depth below 35 cm.



465 Fig. 14. Changes in soil salinity in the soil profile calculated in the model. Calibration466 results of the model.

467

468 Fig. 15 shows the process of salts accumulating (or washing away) at the model's outer boundaries. Near the soil surface at the TOP boundary, the salt concentration initially 469 470 decreased due to soil washing by irrigation, and after irrigation finished it gradually increased over 36 hours, owing to evaporation from 2.5 dS/m to 4.7 dS/m. At the root 471 472 zone bottom (ROOT boundary), the salt concentration was constant, with a small 473 tendency to decrease. The salts concentration at the lower model boundary (BOT) 474 gradually decreased from 9.2 dS/m to 6.2 dS/m, which is probably related to the 475 horizontal movement of water together with dissolved salts from the dripper to the 476 travertine layer.



479 Fig. 15. Changes in salt concentration, calculated in the model at the upper model
480 boundary (TOP), at the root zone bottom (about 35 cm from the ground surface)
481 (ROOT) and the lower model boundary (BOT).

482

The soil salinity calibrated model simulates soil salinity patterns, with different water quality irrigation between April to December. The data input to the model for calculating the irrigation duration per day and daily evapotranspiration included the olive plantation irrigation and daily evaporation and also evapotranspiration from the Eden Farm meteorological station (Table 2).

488 Initial salt concentration values in the model soil profile (the 1st of April) were taken

489 from the field experiment soil sampling results. Soil profile and salts accumulation

490 predictions during the irrigation season show that under current water quality

491 conditions (3.13 dS/m) soil salinity may rise to 15-16 dS/m (Fig.16, A). The most

intense soil salinity change from 2.0 to 4.0 dS/m per month was in June, immediately

493 after irrigation increased. The model calculation results are consistent with soil salinity

494 monitoring during 2011 (fig.6). Irrigation by potable water (Fig.16, B) reduced soil

salinity to 7-9 dS/m.

496

Month	Date	From start-day	From start-hour	Irrig. Durhour	Irrig - mm	Irrig-mm/hour	Daily Evap-mm
April	0-10	10	240	7	2	0.286	2.00
	11-20	20	480	7	2	0.286	2.00
	21-30	30	720	10.6	3.02	0.285	3.02
May	1-10	40	960	12.9	3.69	0.286	3.69
	11-20	50	1200	12.9	3.69	0.286	3.69
	21-31	61	1464	17.6	5.01	0.285	5.01
June	1-10	71	1704	13.5	3.85	0.285	3.85
	11-20	81	1944	13.5	3.85	0.285	3.85
	21-30	91	2184	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
July	1-10	101	2424	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
	11-20	111	2664	13.8	3.93	0.285	3.93
	21-31	122	2928	12.8	3.66	0.286	3.66
August	1-10	132	3168	14.1	4.02	0.285	4.02
	11-20	142	3408	16.7	4.75	0.284	4.75
	21-31	153	3672	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
Septemb	1-10	163	3912	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
er	11-20	173	4152	13.9	3.97	0.286	3.97
	21-30	183	4392	10.1	2.88	0.285	2.88
October	1-10	193	4632	9.3	2.66	0.286	2.66
	11-20	203	4872	9.3	2.66	0.286	2.66
	21-31	214	5136	4	1.15	0.288	1.15
November	1-10	224	5376	3.6	1.02	0.283	1.02
	11-20	234	5616	3.6	1.02	0.283	1.02
	21-30	244	5856	1.2	0.34	0.283	0.34

498 Table 2. Irrigation and evaporation data used in the model

500

501 Irrigation by brackish water during the summer months (Fig. 16, C) caused substantial
502 increases in soil salinity, reaching a very high EC value of about 24-26 dS/m.

503 Irrigating with such water during the summer months might increase EC in 2 - 3 dS /

504 m per month on average, owing to salt accumulation in the soil profile. Brackish water

505 irrigation (EC> 5 dS/m) might cause the entire soil profile to turn saline to the point

506 that could harm the trees.





Fig. 16. Salts accumulation predictions in the soil during irrigation season under different water salinity: A - 3.13 dS/m (available today); B - 1.5 dS/m (potable water);

Conclusions

C - 5.5 dS/m (brackish water).

The combined use of various research methods, including soil salinity monitoring,

field experiments, remote sensing (FDEM) and water and salts movement modeling in

517 the unsaturated soil profile, allowed salinization processes assessment of calcritic soils 518 in an irrigated olive plantation in the Beit She'an Valley. Under the existing drip 519 irrigation regime, water with a dissolved salt content of 3.13 dS/m, and the presence of 520 an impermeable travertine layer close to the soil surface, the salinization process is characterized by salt accumulation tendency in the trees upper root zone after the 521 522 summer irrigation season. During irrigation, the soluble salts are rapidly leached in the soil layer upper 20-30 cm down to the dripper depth and sides. However, within 24 523 524 hours after the irrigation cycle completion, as the soil dries through evapotranspiration 525 the soil salinity level near the surface begins to increase again.

526

527 The FDEM device made it possible to study the dissolved salts' spatial distribution and 528 concentration, with reference to the soil's existing weight moisture distribution at the time of measurement. The FDEM EC maps show the salt flushing area development at 529 530 a depth of 50-60 cm and a width of ~50 cm. The soil salinity and moisture field sampling results combination and the FDEM measurements demonstrated correlation 531 532 in EC for a given soil type. This relationship indicates that the soil FDEM salinity 533 mapping accuracy and cost-effective upscaling potential will probably turn it into a 534 standard method in future agriculture.

535

536 The one-dimensional model created for water and dissolved salts transport showed the 537 danger of using brackish water for irrigation. Since soil salinization exceeds an 538 acceptable level for trees, the use of potable water for irrigation, if possible, will help 539 to reduce soil salinization. To enable a tailor-made irrigation scheme, a database 540 including changes in physical and chemical parameters affecting soil salting processes 541 should be established, which will enable contemporary mapping and salinity forecasting and also the effect of hydrochemical factors on various soil and irrigation 542 543 conditions for the database-specific region. 544

545 5 Code and data availability

546 Data and code are available in the supplement

- 547
- 548 6 Author contribution

- 550 Vladimir Mirlas designed and carried out the field experiments, performed the Hydrus
- simulations. Naftaly Goldshleger designed and carried out the field experiments,
- performed measurements and mapping of the soil electrical conductivity using an
- 553 FDEM device. Asher Aizenkod did the irrigation data processing and Yaakov Anker
- analyzed the results and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-
- authors.

557

- 556 7 Acknowledgments
- 558 This article is based on the results of scientific research carried out as project 855-
- 559 0066-12 "Identification and assessment of soil salinization risk as a result of
- agricultural activity in the Beit She'an Valley" by a team of researchers and technical
- 561 personnel of the Soil Erosion Research Station of the Ministry of Agriculture and
- 562 Rural Development (MOAG), Israel: Dr. V. Mirlas (main researcher), Dr. N.
- 563 Goldshleger (researcher), A. Aizenkod (researcher, field survey center, MOAG), R.
- 564 Ben-Binyamin (technician), Y. Barnay-Betsalel (technician), and I. Sapogineth
- 565 (technician, the eastern R&D center, Ariel University).
- 566 The research was funded by the Keren Kayemeth LeIsrael Jewish National Fund
- 567 (KKL JNF) and supported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of
- 568 Israel. The authors particularly thank the technical team of the SERS and the Eastern
- 569 R&D center, Ariel University: R. Ben-Binyamin, Y. Barnay-Betsalel, M. Gottesman,
- 570 E. Fizik and I. Sapogineth for their assistance in the field investigations.
- 571 The authors wish to thank David Wilson, Ruishan Chen and Douglas Karlen and also
- the anonymous reviewer for their helpful contribution to the paper.
- 573 The paper is dedicated to our friend Naftali Goldshleger that had passed away in 2019.

574 8 Reference

- 575 Abu Awward, A., M.: Influence of different water quantities and qualities on
- 576 lemon trees and soil salt distribution at the Jordan Valley, J. Agricultural Water
- 577 Management, 52 (1), 53-71, 2001.
- 578 Bear, J.: Dynamics of Fluid in Porous Media, Elsevier, New York, NY, 1972.

- 579 Ben Dor, Y., Metternicht, G., Goldshleger, N., Mor, E., Mirlas, V., Basson, U.:
- 580 Review of remote sensing-based methods to assess soil salinity, In: Remote
- sensing of soil salinization, Impact on land management, (eds. G. Metternicht, J.A.
- 582 Zinck), pp. 39-63, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, New York, USA.
- 583 DOI:10.1201/9781420065039.ch3, 2009a.
- Ben Dor, Y., Goldshleger, N., Mor, E., Mirlas, V., Basson, U.: Combined active
- and passive remote sensing methods for assessing soil salinity: a case study from
- 586 Jezre'el Valley, Northern Israel, In: Remote sensing of soil salinization, Impact on
- 587land management, (eds. G. Metternicht, J.A. Zinck), pp. 236-253, CRC Press,
- 588 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, New York, USA. DOI:10.1201/9781420065039-
- see .ch12, 2009b.
- 590 Benyamini, Y., Marish S., Mirlas V., Gotesman M.: Soil Salinization and
- 591 Rehabilitation, In: Proc., The Ann. Meeting, Israel Geog. Soc., Tel-Aviv, Israel,
- **592** 128 -129, 1998.
- 593 Benyamini, Y., Marish S., Mirlas V., Gotesman M.: Impact of Subsurface Drainage
- on Groundwater Regime in Irrigated Fields in Israel. In: Proc. Inter. Conf. "Water
- and Environment: Resolving Conflicts in the Development of Dry Lands", Beer-
- 596 Sheba, Israel, 4-7 June. The Center for Water Science and Technology (CWST),
- 597 Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, 56-57, 2000.
- 598 Benyamini, Y., Mirlas V., Marish S., Gotesman M., Fizik, E., and Agassi, M.: A
- 599 survey of soil salinity and groundwater level control systems in irrigated fields in
- the Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Agricultural Water Management, 76(3), 181-194,
- 601 2005.
- Bhardwaj, A. K., Mandal, U.K., Bar-Tal, A., Gilboa, A., and Levy, G. J.:
- 603 Replacing saline-sodic irrigation water with treated wastewater: effects on
- saturated hydraulic conductivity, slaking and swelling, J. Irrigation Science, 26 (2),
- **605** 139-146, 2008.
- 606 Boone, A., Samuelsson, P., Gollvik, S., Napoly, A., Jarlan, L., Brun, E.,
- 607 Decharme, B.: The interactions between soil-biosphere-atmosphere land surface
- model with a multi-energy balance (ISBA-MEB) option in SURFEXv8-Part 1:
- Model description, Geosci. Model Dev. 10, 843–872, 2017.
- Gafni, A., Salinger, Y.: Hydraulic and salinity regime of two affected sites in the
 Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Hydrol. Proc. 6, 55–65, 1992.
- 612 Celia, M.A., Bououtas, E.T., Zarba, R.L.: A general mass-conservative numerical
- 613 solution for the unsaturated flow equation, Water Resour.Res., 26, 1483-1496,
- **614** 1990.
- 615 CIA, The world factbook, Israel, picture inventory, <u>https://www.cia.gov/the-</u>
 616 <u>world-factbook/countries/israel/</u>, 2021.

- 617 Corwin, D.L. and Lesch, S.M.: Apparent soil electrical conductivity measurements
 618 in agriculture, Computer Electronics in Agriculture46: 11–43, 2005.
- 619 Crank, J. and Nicolson, P.: A practical method for numerical evaluation of
- 620 solutions of partial differential equations of the heat-conduction type, Proc.
- 621 Cambridge Philos. Soc., 43 pp. 50–67, 1947.
- 622 Farifteh, J., van der Meer, F., Atzberger, C., and Carranza, E.J.M.: Quantitative
- 623 analysis of salt-affected soil reflectance spectra: A comparison of two adaptive
- methods (PLSR and ANN), Remote Sensing of Environment, 110: 59–78, 2007.
- 625 Feddes, R., Hoff, H., Bruen, M., Dawson, T., de Rosnay, P., Dirmeyer, P.,
- Jackson, R.B., Kabat, P., Kleidon, A., Lilly, A. and Pitman A.J.: Modeling root
- 627 water uptake in hydrological and climate models, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 82,
- 628 2797–2809doi:10.1175/15200477(2001)082%3C2797%3AMRWUIH%3-
- 629 E2.3.CO%3B2, 2001.
- 630 Fletcher, C.: Computational Galerkin Methods, Springer-Verlag New York Inc,
- 631 303 pp. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-85949-6, 1983.
- 632 Flexer, A., Anker, Y., Davidson, L., Rosenthal, E., Yellin-Dror, A. and Guttman,
- 633 J.: Groundwater salinization in the Jordan Valley Quo Vadis. In: Water in the
- 634 Middle East, Editores: Hambright, K. D., Ragep, F. J. and Ginat, J., University of
- 635 Oklahoma Press: Norman, 100-110, 2006.
- 636 Garrigues, S., Olioso, A., Carrer, D., Decharme, B., Calvet, J.C., Martin, E.,
- 637 Moulin, S., Marloie, O.: Impact of climate, vegetation, soil and crop management
- 638 variables on multi-year ISBA-A-gs simulations of evapotranspiration over a
- 639 Mediterranean crop site, Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 3033–3053, 2015.
- 640 Guimberteau, M., Zhu, D., Maignan, F., Huang, Y., Yue, C., Dantec-N d lec, S.,
- 641 Ottl, C., Jornet-Puig, A., Bastos, A., Laurent, P., Goll, D., Bowring, S., Chang, J.,
- 642 Guenet, B., Tifafi, M., Peng, S., Krinner, G., Ducharne, A. s., Wang, F., Wang, T.,
- 643 Wang, X., Wang, Y., Yin, Z., Lauerwald, R., Joetzjer, E., Qiu, C., Kim, H., Ciais,
- 644 P.: ORCHIDEE-MICT (v8.4.1), a land surface model for the high latitudes: model
- description and validation, Geosci. Model Dev. 11, 121–163, 2018.
- 646 Katz, H., Farber, R., Chaniel G., Ankar, Y., Cohen, H., Cahan R.: Rhamnolipid-
- 647 enhanced Pseudomonas putida biofilm formation on hydrophilic surfaces with
- toluene as the bacterium's sole carbon source, Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 127,
- 649 87–94, doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.11.019. 2018.
- 650 Kool, J.B., Parker, J.C., van-Genuchten, M. Th.: Determining soil hydraulic
- properties from one-step outflow experiments by parameter estimation: I. Theory
- and numerical studies, Soil Sci. Soc.Am. J., 49,1348-1354, 1985.

- 653 Kruseman, G.P., De Ridder, N.A.: Analysis and Evaluation of Pumping Test Data,
- 654 International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement/ ILRI, Wageningen,
- 655 The Netherlands, 18–20 pp., 1976.
- 656 Leij, F.G., Skaggs, T.H., van-Genuchten, M.Th.: Analytical solutions for solute
- transport in three-dimensional semi-infinite porous media, Water Resour.Res.,
 27(10), 2719-2733, 1991.
- 659 Mandal, U.K., Bhardwaj, A.K., Warrington, D.N., Goldstein, D., Bar-Tal, A.,
- 660 Levy, G.J.: Changes in soil hydraulic conductivity, runoff, and soil loss due to
- irrigation with different types of saline-sodic water, J. Geoderma, 144(3-4), 509-516, 2008(a).
- 663 Mandal, U.K., Warrington, D.N., Bhardwaj, A.K., Bar-Tal, A., Kautsky, L., Minz,
- 664 D., and Levy, G.J.: Evaluating impact if irrigation water quality on a calcareous
- clay soil using principal component analysis, Geoderma, 144(1-2), 189-197,2008(b).
- 667 Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y., Marish, S., Gotesman, M., Fizik, E., Agassi, M.:
- 668 Method for Normalization of Soil Salinity Data, J. of Irrigation and Drainage 669 Engineering, 129(1), 64-66, 2003.
- 670 Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y., Gottesman, M., Fizik, E., and Agassi, M.: Long-term
- 671 changes in groundwater regime of a semi-confined aquifer in Jezre'el Valley,
- Israel, J. World Assoc.Soil Water Conserv., J1: 98-112, 2006.
- 673 Mirlas, V., Benyamini, Y., Miron, M., Peres, M., Yasur, E.: Development of
- 674 groundwater flow and salt transport models for the non-saturation zone of the soil
- 675 in the Hula Valley, Annual meeting of the Israel Geography Society, 1-3.01.2006,
- 676 Jerusalem, Israel, 63-64 (in Hebrew), 2006.
- 677 Mirlas, V.: Assessing soil salinity hazard in cultivated areas using MODFLOW
- 678 model and GIS tools: A case study from the Jezre'el Valley, Israel, J. Agriculture
- 679 Water Management. Vol. 109, 144-154, 2012.
- 680 Mohammad, M., J., Mazahreh, N.: Changes in soil fertility parameters to irrigation
- of forage crops with secondary treated wastewater, J. Communication in Soil
- 682 Science and Plant Analysis, 34(9 & 10), 1281-1294, 2003.
- 683 Pandit, R., Parrota, J., Anker, Y., Coudel, E., Diaz Morejón, C. F., Harris, J.,
- 684 Karlen, D. L., Kertész, Á., Mariño De Posada, J. L., Ntshotsho Simelane, P.,
- Tamin, N. M., et al.: Responses to halt land degradation and to restore degraded
- land, in IPBES Assessment Report on Land Degradation and Restoration, edited
- by L. Montanarella, R. J. Scholes, and A. Brainich, pp. 435–528, UNEP, UNDP,
- 688 FAO, Geneva, United Nations., 2018.
- 689 Pandit, R., Parrotta, J.A., Chaudhary, A.K., Karlen, D.L., Luis, D., Vieira, M.,
- 690 Anker, Y., Chen, R., Morris, J., Ntshotsho, P., Pandit, R., Parrotta, J.A.,

692 J., Harris, J.: A framework to evaluate land degradation and restoration responses 693 for improved planning, Ecosyst. and People 15, 1–18, 2020. 694 Rui Manuel Almeida Machado, R., Serralheiro, R.: Soil Salinity: Effect on 695 Vegetable Crop Growth, Management Practices to Prevent and Mitigate Soil 696 Salinization. Horticulturae 2017, 3, 30.13 pp. doi:10.3390/horticulturae3020030, 697 2017. 698 Simunek, J., and van-Genuchten, M. Th.: Numerical model for simulating multiple 699 solute transport in variably-saturated soil, Proc. "Water Pollution III: Modeling, Measurement, and Prediction". Ed. L.C. Wrobel and P. Latinopoulos, Computation 700 701 Mechanics Publ, Ashurst Lodge, Ashjur, Southamption, UK, pp. 21-30, 1995. Simunek, J., Sejna, M., van Genuchten, M.Th.: The HYDRUS-1D software 702 703 package for simulating the one-dimensional movement for water, heat, and multiple 704 solutes in variably-saturated media, version 2.0, IGWMC-TPS 70. U.S. Salinity 705 laboratory, Agricaltural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 706 Riverside, California, 1998. Surfer Ver.7.02. Surface Mapping System. Golden Software, Inc. 707 708 www. GoldenSoftware.com, 2000. 709 710 Survey of Israel, National orthophoto layer 0.5m resolution, 2021. 711 Toride, N., Leij, F.J., van Genuchten, M.Th.: A comprehensive set of analytical solution for no equilibrium solute transport with first-order decay and zero-order 712 713 production, Water Resour.Res., 29(7), 2167-2182, 1993. 714 van Genuchten M. Th.: A Closed-form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Soils, J. Soil Science Society of America 715 716 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1980.03615995004400050002x, 1980. 717 van Genuchten, M.Th.: A numerical model for water and solute movement in and 718 below the root zone, Research Report No 121, U.S. Salinity laboratory, USDA, 719 ARS, Riverside, California, 1987. 720 van Genuchten, M. Th., and Wagenet, R.J.: Two-site/two region models for 721 pesticide transport and degradation: Theoretical development and analytical 722 solutions, Soil Sci. Soc.Am. J., 53, 1303-1310, 1989. 723 Wada, Y., Flörke, M., Hanasaki, N., Eisner, S., Fischer, G., Tramberend, S., Satoh, 724 Y., Van Vliet, M.T.H., Yillia, P., Ringler, C., Burek, P., Wiberg, D.: Modeling global water use for the 21st century: The Water Futures and Solutions (WFaS) 725 initiative and its approaches, Geosci. Model Dev. 9, 175-222, 2016. 726 727

Chaudhary, A.K., Douglas, L., Luis, D., Vieira, M., Anker, Y., Chen, R., Morris,