



# BioRT-Flux-PIHM v1.0: a watershed biogeochemical reactive transport model

- 3 Wei Zhi<sup>1</sup>, Yuning Shi<sup>2</sup>, Hang Wen<sup>1</sup>, Leila Saberi<sup>3</sup>, Gene-Hua Crystal Ng<sup>3</sup>, Li Li<sup>1,\*</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, State
- 5 College, PA 16802, USA
- 6 <sup>2</sup> Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, The Pennsylvania State University, State
- 7 College, PA 16802, USA
- 8 <sup>3</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, MN 55455, USA
- 9 \* Correspondence to <u>lili@engr.psu.edu</u>



### Geoscientific Model Development

10

#### Abstract

Watersheds are the fundamental Earth surface functioning unit that connects the land to 11 aquatic systems. Existing watershed-scale models typically have physics-based 12 representation of hydrology process but often lack mechanism-based, multi-component 13 representation of reaction thermodynamics and kinetics. This lack of watershed reactive 14 transport models has limited our ability to understand and predict solute export and water 15 quality, particularly under changing climate and anthropogenic conditions. Here we 16 present a recently developed BioRT-Flux-PIHM (BFP) v1.0, a watershed-scale 17 biogeochemical reactive transport model. Augmenting the previously developed RT-Flux-18 19 PIHM that integrates land-surface interactions, surface hydrology, and abiotic geochemical reactions (Bao et al., 2017, WRR), the new development enables the 20 simulation of 1) biotic processes including plant uptake and microbe-mediated 21 biogeochemical reactions that are relevant to the transformation of organic matter that 22 involve carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus; and 2) shallow and deep water partitioning to 23 represent surface and groundwater interactions. The reactive transport part of the code 24 has been verified against the widely used reactive transport code CrunchTope. BioRT-25 Flux-PIHM v1.0 has recently been applied to understand reactive transport processes in 26 27 multiple watersheds across different climate, vegetation, and geology conditions. This paper introduces the governing equations and model structure of the code. It also 28 demonstrates examples that simulate shallow and deep water interactions, and 29 biogeochemical reactive transport relevant to nitrate and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). 30 These examples were illustrated in two simulation modes of varying complexity. One is 31 32 the spatially implicit mode that focuses on processes and average behavior of a watershed. Another is in a spatially explicit mode that includes details of topography, land 33 34 cover, and soil property conditions. The spatially explicit mode can be used to understand 35 the impacts of spatial structure and identify hot spots of biogeochemical reactions.





#### 36 **1. Introduction**

Watersheds are the fundamental Earth surface units that receive and process 37 water, mass, and energy (Li, 2019). Watershed processes include land-surface 38 interactions that regulate evapotranspiration and discharge, and water partitioning 39 between shallow soil lateral flow going into streams versus downward and recharge into 40 the deeper subsurface (Figure 1). Complex biogeochemical interactions also occur 41 between soil, water, roots, and microbe, dictating the CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes via soil respiration, 42 export of soil weathering and biotransformation, and nutrient cycling (Fatichi et al., 43 44 2019;van der Velde et al., 2010).

45 These hydrological and biogeochemical processes determine how Earth surface responds to external forcings such as hydroclimatic drivers and human perturbations (van 46 der Velde et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2020; Han et al., 2019; Steimke et al., 2018). 47 Understanding these processes remain challenging due to the complex coupling of land 48 49 surface, hydrology, and biogeochemical reactions (Kirchner, 2003). An example is the concentration-discharge (C-Q) relationships of solutes at stream and river outlets. These 50 relationships encode integrated signature of Earth surface response to changes in 51 hydrological conditions. Similar C-Q relationships have been observed for some solutes 52 across watersheds under diverse geology and climate conditions (Godsey et al., 53 2009;Basu et al., 2010;Moatar et al., 2017;Zarnetske et al., 2018), whereas different 54 solutes have shown contrasting patterns in the same watershed (Miller et al., 55 2017;Herndon et al., 2015;Zhi et al., 2019;Musolff et al., 2015). A general theory that can 56 explain contrasting observations under diverse watershed characteristics and forcing 57 conditions remains elusive. The lack of understanding of mechanisms that govern 58 hydrological and biogeochemical interactions presents major roadblocks for forecasting 59 water quality such that water issues such as eutrophication persist worldwide. 60

One of the challenges along these lines is the lack of modeling tools that mechanistically link hydrological and biogeochemical processes at the watershed scale. Model development has been advancing primarily within the disciplinary boundaries of hydrology and biogeochemistry (Li, 2019). Hydrology models that focus on solving for water storage and fluxes at the watershed scale and beyond (Fatichi et al., 2016), and

3





reactive transport models (RTMs) that center on aqueous and solid concentration changes arising from transport and multi-component biogeochemical reactions typically in "closed" groundwater systems without much interactions with "open" watersheds directly receiving precipitation and sunlight (Steefel et al., 2015;Li et al., 2017b;Mayer et al., 2002;MacQuarrie and Mayer, 2005). This comes along with a history of hydrologists often trained as physicists studying fluid mechanics, and biogeochemists typically grow up as geologists, chemists, or environmental engineers.

73 Recent works have shown some integration across these two lines. Examples include HSPF (Hydrological Simulation Program - FORTRAN) (Filoso et al., 74 2004;Laroche et al., 1996), SWAT (Soil & Water Assessment Tool) (Gassman et al., 75 76 2007;Lam et al., 2010;Moriasi et al., 2013;Neitsch et al., 2011), CATHY (Catchment Hydrology) (Gatel et al., 2019;Scudeler et al., 2016), PAWS (Process-based Adaptive 77 Watershed Simulator) (Niu and Phanikumar, 2015; Qiu et al., 2019). These models have 78 79 relatively crude representations of solute leaching out of element bulk mass as part of the 80 solute export. These models do not represent kinetics and thermodynamics of multicomponent biogeochemical reactions typically done in reactive transport models (RTMs). 81 In filling in this model development need, recently we developed the watershed reactive 82 transport code RT-Flux-PIHM that integrates kinetics and thermodynamics of multi-83 84 component geochemical reactions with the land-surface and hydrology model Flux-PIHM (Bao et al., 2017). The geochemical reactions in RT-Flux-PIHM are abiotic, including 85 mineral dissolution and precipitation, aqueous and surface complexation, and ion 86 exchange reactions. 87

This manuscript introduces BioRT-Flux-PIHM (BFP) v1.0, augmented based on 88 RT-Flux-PIHM with two additions. One is the capability of simulating biotic processes 89 including plant uptake of nutrients, and microbe-mediated reactions in the soil. These soil 90 processes include the transformation of fresh and old organic matter, for example, soil 91 92 respiration that produces CO<sub>2</sub> and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and nutrient cyclings 93 such as nitrification and denitrification. The other is the introduction of a deeper layer below the shallow soil that enables the simulation of interactions of deep water and 94 95 shallow soil water flow (Figure 1). Here the deep water is loosely defined as the water beyond the soil zone, typically in less weathered, fractured subsurface that harbors the 96





97 relatively old and slow-moving groundwater contributing to streams. This contrasts the 98 shallow water in highly permeable soils. Mounting evidence in recent years has shown that the deeper water beyond the shallow soil interacts with streams, brings water with 99 distinct chemistry, sustains base flow in dry times, and buffers climate variability (Gurdak, 100 2017; Green, 2016; Taylor et al., 2013; Condon et al., 2013; Anyah et al., 2008; Maxwell et 101 al., 2011; Gleeson et al., 2015). They are therefore a fundamental component of the 102 hydrologic cycle and water budget. The groundwater-surface water interactions also 103 modulate land-atmospheric energy exchanges and soil moisture dynamics. Including the 104 deep water component therefore enables the simulation of such interactions and the 105 dynamics of water quality. 106

107 This paper introduces the governing equations, model structure and capabilities of BFP. The biogeochemical reactive transport code has been verified against the widely 108 used reactive transport code CrunchTope (Supporting Information). We showcase the 109 110 model using two examples of varying complexity: one on nitrate processes run in a 111 spatially implicit mode; another on the production and transport of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in a spatially explicit mode with representation of spatial details. The source 112 code and the examples shown here are hosted in the Github 113 page (https://github.com/PSUmodeling/BioRT-Flux-PIHM). 114

#### 115 2. Model description

116 BioRT-Flux-PIHM integrates different processes in three modules (Figure 1). The Flux module is for land-surface interaction processes including surface energy balance, 117 solar radiation, and evapotranspiration (ET) (Shi et al., 2013). The hydrology module 118 119 PIHM simulates water processes including precipitation, interception, infiltration, recharge, surface runoff, subsurface lateral flow, and deep water flow (Qu and Duffy, 120 2007). The BioRT module is for multi-component biogeochemical reactive transport 121 processes including microbe-mediated redox reactions (e.g., carbon decomposition and 122 123 nutrient transformation), ion exchange, aqueous and surface complexation, and mineral dissolution and precipitation. 124

125







126

127 Figure 1. A conceptual diagram for processes at the watershed scale. This includes surface land 128 interactions such as solar radiation, evapotranspiration); hydrological processes partitioning water between surface runoff, shallow soil water lateral flow, and deeper water entering the stream; and 129 130 soil biogeochemical reactions including abiotic reactions (e.g., mineral dissolution and precipitation, ion exchange, surface complexations), and microbe-mediated reactions such as the 131 132 transformation of carbon and nitrogen. These processes are represented in three modules: the Flux module for land-surface interaction processes, the PIHM module for hydrology processes, 133 134 and the recently augmented BioRT module for soil biogeochemical reaction processes. Conceptually the shallow water zone includes the shallow subsurface such as soil and weathered 135 136 zone that are more conductive to water flow (e.g., lateral flow or interflow). The deep zone refers to the less weathered, fractured zone that harbors the relatively old and slow flowing water that 137 138 contributes to stream flow. Reactions can occur in both shallow and deep zones.

139

The land surface and hydrology modules are coupled to solve for temperature and water storage, from which water fluxes can be quantified for surface runoff, shallow and deep water fluxes. The BioRT module uses calculated temperature, water storage, and fluxes to simulate advection, diffusion / dispersion, and biogeochemical reactions in both shallow and deep zones. The reactions can be kinetics-controlled or thermodynamically controlled (e.g., ion exchange, surface complexation (sorption), and aqueous complexation). Users can define the type of reactions to be included and the form of





reaction kinetics in the input files. The output of BioRT includes the time series of aqueousand solid concentrations in shallow and deep zones and in stream water.

The simulation domain can be discretized into prismatic grids based on 149 topography. Each grid is partitioned into surface and shallow and deep subsurface layers. 150 The shallow subsurface is loosely defined as the highly permeable subsurface that are 151 most conductive to water flow, contrasting the deep zone that is broadly defined as the 152 lower permeability zone beyond the shallow zone. In many places, this shallow zone is 153 the soil zone that is most conductive to water flow (e.g., lateral flow) and is very 154 responsive to hydroclimatic forcing. The deep subsurface zone is the less weathered, 155 fractured layer that harbors the relatively old and slow flowing water that contributes to 156 157 stream flow. Note that these definitions differ from those in the hydrology community, which often refer to the shallow soil water flow as groundwater, in a way that distinguishes 158 from the surface runoff (Winter et al., 1998;Dingman, 2015;Todd and Mays, 2005). As 159 160 illustrated in Figure 1, both shallow and deep zone have unsaturated and saturated 161 layers, enabling the simulation of the "two water tables" (Brantley et al., 2017). These transient water tables have been observed in catchments of Shale Hills, Garner Run, and 162 Cole Farm (Li et al., 2018; Brantley et al., 2018). 163

164

#### 165**3. Governing equations**



166

**Figure 2.** Hillslope view of the shallow and deep zones and relevant water flows. The symbol of "h", "e", and "q" denotes water head, evapotranspiration, and water flow, respectively. The





subscript letter "u" and "s" refers to unsaturated and saturated layer, respectively. Detailedequations are listed in the following sections.

171

#### 172 3.1 The water equations in shallow and deep zones

As shown in Figure 2, in each prismatic element, the shallow zone has unsaturated and saturated water storages and interactions between the two storages:

175 
$$\theta^{shallow} \frac{dh_u^{shallow}}{dt} = q_{infil}^{shallow} - q_{rechg}^{shallow} - e_u^{shallow}$$
(1)

176 
$$\theta^{shallow} \frac{dh_s^{shallow}}{dt} = q_{rechg}^{shallow} - q_{infil}^{deep} - e_s^{shallow} + \sum_{ij}^{1} q_{lateral_ij}^{shallow}$$
(2)

Where  $h_u^{shallow}$  and  $h_s^{shallow}$  are the unsaturated and saturated water storage in the 177 shallow zone, respectively;  $\theta^{shallow}$  is the shallow zone porosity;  $q_{infil}^{shallow}$  and  $q_{infil}^{deep}$  are 178 the shallow and deep infiltration from the surface to the shallow zone and from the shallow 179 to the deep zone, respectively;  $q_{rechg}^{shallow}$  and  $q_{rechg}^{deep}$  are the recharge from the unsaturated 180 layer to the saturated layer in the shallow and deep zones, respectively;  $e_u^{shallow}$  and 181 eshallow are shallow evapotranspiration from the unsaturated and saturated layer (Shi, 182 2012), respectively;  $q_{lateral_{ij}}^{shallow}$  is the shallow normalized lateral flux in the saturated layer 183 from element *i* to its neighbor  $j (\leq 3)$ . 184

185 Infiltration and recharge fluxes in the shallow zone for the elements *i* are calculated 186 using the Richards equation, in which hydraulic water head *H* (i.e., the summation of 187 water storage *h* and elevation head *z*) and hydraulic conductivity *K* determine the fluxes:

188 
$$q_{infil}^{shallow} = AK_{infil}^{shallow} \frac{H_{sur} - H_u^{shallow}}{D_{inf}}$$
(3)

189 
$$q_{rechg}^{shallow} = AK_{effv}^{shallow} \frac{H_u^{shallow} - H_s^{shallow}}{0.5D_{shallow}}$$
(4)

Where *A* is the element area in the vertical direction;  $D_{inf}$  and  $D_{shallow}$  are the thickness of infiltration (0.1 m) and shallow layer, respectively;  $K_{infil}^{shallow}$  and  $K_{effv}^{shallow}$  are the infiltration and effective hydraulic conductivity in the vertical direction in the shallow





zone, respectively;  $H_{sur}$  is the surface hydraulic water head (=  $h_{sur} + z_{sur}$ );  $H_u^{shallow}$  and H<sup>shallow</sup> are the shallow hydraulic water head in the unsaturated and saturated layer, respectively. Shallow lateral flow in the saturated layer is calculated using Darcy's law:

196 
$$q_{lateral_{ij}}^{shallow} = A_{ij} K_{effH_{ij}}^{shallow} \frac{(H_s^{shallow})_i - (H_s^{shallow})_j}{D_{ij}}$$
(5)

Where  $A_{ij}$  is the projection area of the saturated layer between elements *i* and *j*;  $D_{ij}$  is the distance between the centers of elements *i* and *j*;  $K_{effH_{ij}}^{shallow}$  is the harmonic mean of shallow effective hydraulic conductivity in the horizontal direction ( $K_{effH}^{shallow}$ ) between elements *i* and *j*. The interaction between the shallow saturated zone and stream channel also follows the Eq. (5), where the adjacent head is replaced by the level of the channel water.

203 Similar to the shallow zone, the deep zone in each element *i* can have unsaturated 204 and saturated storages, with unsaturated-saturated flow within *i*:

205 
$$\theta^{deep} \frac{dh_u^{deep}}{dt} = q_{infil}^{deep} - q_{rechg}^{deep} \quad (6)$$

206 
$$\theta^{deep} \frac{dh_s^{deep}}{dt} = q_{rechg}^{deep} + \sum_j^1 q_{lateral_ij}^{deep}$$
(7)

Where  $h_u^{deep}$  and  $h_s^{deep}$  are the unsaturated and saturated storages in the deep zone, respectively;  $\theta^{deep}$  is the deep zone porosity;  $q_{rechg}^{deep}$  is the deep recharge flux from the unsaturated layer to the saturated layer;  $q_{lateral_ij}^{deep}$  is the deep normalized lateral flux from element *i* to its neighbor *j* ( $\leq$  3).

211 Deep lateral flow is calculated using Darcy's law:

212 
$$q_{lateral_ij}^{deep} = A_{ij} K_{effH_ij}^{deep} \frac{(H_s^{deep})_i - (H_s^{deep})_j}{D_{ij}} \quad (8)$$

213 Where  $H_s^{deep}$  is the deep hydraulic water head;  $K_{effH_ij}^{deep}$  is the harmonic mean of the deep 214 effective hydraulic conductivity in the horizontal direction ( $K_{effH}^{deep}$ ) between elements *i* and 215 *j*.





216 Deep infiltration and recharge fluxes are similarly calculated using the Richards 217 equation as in the shallow zone:

218 
$$q_{infil}^{deep} = AK_{infil}^{deep} \frac{H_s^{shallow} - H_u^{deep}}{0.5 \left[H_s^{shallow} + \left(D^{deep} - H_s^{deep}\right)\right]}$$
(9)

219 
$$q_{rechg}^{deep} = AK_{effV}^{deep} \frac{H_u^{deep} - H_s^{deep}}{0.5D^{deep}} \quad (10)$$

220 Where  $K_{infil}^{deep}$  is the hydraulic conductivity of infiltration from the shallow zone to the deep 221 zone;  $D^{deep}$  is the thickness of the deep zone;  $K_{effV}^{deep}$  is the effective hydraulic conductivity 222 in the vertical direction of the deep zone.

The deep groundwater can also come from regional groundwater aquifers, which can set up as an influx from the boundary of the domain. Deep groundwater interacts with river channel via the shallow zone. When the level of deep groundwater is higher than the depth to the deep zone, i.e., the shallow transient groundwater and the deep groundwater are connected, the deep groundwater can flow into the transient saturated layer in the shallow zone:

$$q_{infil}^{deep} = -AK_{satV}^{deep} \quad (11)$$

230 Where  $K_{satV}^{deep}$  is the saturated hydraulic conductivity in the vertical direction of the deep 231 zone.

Macropores. Macropores, including roots and soil cracks are omnipresent in soils. 232 Macropore flows can be simulated in the model to account for rapid water flows in the 233 shallow zone (Shi et al., 2013). Macropore properties include depth (D<sub>mac</sub>) and macropore 234 vertical and horizontal area fraction ( $f_{macV}$  and  $f_{macH}$ ), and vertical and horizontal 235 hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{macV}^{shallow}$  and  $K_{macH}^{shallow}$ ). The macropore depth differs from the 236 rooting depth, which specifies the maximum depth of transpiration. By default K<sup>shallow</sup><sub>macV</sub> 237 and  $K_{macH}^{shallow}$  are 100 and 1,000 times of the infiltration hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{infil}^{shallow}$ ) 238 and shallow horizontal hydraulic conductivity (K<sup>shallow</sup>), respectively, and can be changed 239 during calibration. Taking both soil and macropore properties into account, the effective 240





hydraulic conductivity of the subsurface is calculated as the weighted average of the macropore and the shallow soil matrix within the macropore depth (Eq. (12) and (13)).

243 
$$K_{effV}^{shallow} = f_{macH} K_{macV}^{shallow} + (1 - f_{macH}) K_{satV}^{shallow}$$
(12)

244 
$$K_{effH}^{shallow} = f_{macV} K_{macH}^{shallow} + (1 - f_{macV}) K_{satH}^{shallow}$$
(13)

245

#### 246 3.2 Biogeochemical reactive transport equations

The governing equation for an arbitrary solute m in grid i is as follows (Bao et al., 2017):

249 
$$V_{i}\frac{d(S_{w,i}\theta_{i}C_{m,i})}{dt} = \sum_{j=N_{i,1}}^{N_{i,x}} \left(A_{ij}D_{ij}\frac{C_{m,j}-C_{m,i}}{I_{ij}} - q_{ij}C_{m,j}\right) + R_{m,i} \quad (14)$$

Where  $V_i$  is the total volume of grid *i* (solid + liquid volume), m<sup>3</sup>;  $S_{w,i}$  is soil water 250 saturation, m<sup>3</sup> water/m<sup>3</sup> pore space;  $\theta_i$  is porosity, m<sup>3</sup> pore space/m<sup>3</sup> total volume;  $C_{m,i}$  is 251 the aqueous concentration of species m, mol/m<sup>3</sup> water;  $N_{i,x}$  is the index of elements 252 sharing surfaces; the value of x is 2 for the unsaturated zone (infiltration, recharge) and 253 4 for the saturated zone (recharge plus three lateral flow directions), respectively; A<sub>ii</sub> is 254 the interface area (m<sup>2</sup>) shared by i and its neighbor grid j;  $D_{ij}$  is the combined 255 dispersion/diffusion coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>/s) normal to the shared surface  $A_{ij}$ ;  $I_{ij}$  is the distance 256 between the center of *i* and its neighbor elements *j*;  $q_{ij}$  is the flow rate across  $A_{ij}$ , m<sup>3</sup>/s; 257  $R_m$  is the total rate of kinetically controlled reactions that involve species *m*, mol/s. 258

Various types of reaction occur in the subsurface (Fatichi et al., 2019). Generally 259 speaking, shallow soils contain more weathered materials and organic matters (OM) 260 including roots, leaves, and microbe. In contrast, deeper zones are less weathered and contain 261 much less organic matter. SOM can decompose partially into organic molecules that 262 dissolve in water (Wieder et al., 2015), i.e., DOC, or oxidize completely into CO<sub>2</sub> gas or 263 dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). With coexisting divalent cations (e.g., Ca, Mg), DIC can 264 also precipitate and become carbonate minerals. Hence soil C decomposition can release 265 266 CO<sub>2</sub> back into the atmosphere and changes CO<sub>2</sub> level (Davidson, 2006), or releases DOC





- 267 and DOM to surface water. These processes occur in soils and also as dissolved carbon
- 268 transport laterally to streams.



269

Figure 3. Various types of biotic and abiotic reactions relevant to the transformation of soil organic
matter (SOM). It can become stabilized through sorption on clay and separation from reactants.
It can also decompose into inorganic forms, transitioning between different phases (adopted from
Li (2019), permission with Mineralogical Society of America).

274

Shown in Figure 3, SOM decomposition releases organic nitrogen (R-NH<sub>2</sub>), which 275 can further react to become ammonia, and other forms in between (N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 276 (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), NO<sub>2</sub>). Some of the gaseous forms emit back to the atmosphere (Saha et al., 277 2017; Maavara et al., 2018). Denitrification requires anoxic conditions and does not occur 278 279 as much in shallow soils owing to the pervasive presence of  $O_2$  (Sebestyen et al., 2019); it can become prevalent however under extremely wet conditions and in O<sub>2</sub>-depleted 280 groundwater systems. In soils, P can be in organic form (e.g., leaves), sorbed (on fine 281 soil particles), dissolved in water, or in solid forms as P-containing minerals (Figure 3). 282 The transformation between different forms occurs through various bio-mediated or 283 abiotic reactions. The most abundant P-containing mineral is apatite  $Ca_5(PO_4)_3(F, Cl.)$ 284 OH). Once liberated via rock dissolution, P is mostly locked in organisms. It is barely 285 soluble so it binds on and transports together with soil particles in the form of 286





orthophosphate or pyro-diphosphate. Overall, these reactions are a combination of bioticand abiotic reactions.

BioRT can simulate biotic reactions including microbe-mediated reactions and plant uptake, in addition to the abiotic reactions such as mineral dissolution and surface complexation or ion exchange that have been introduced by Bao et al. (2017). Here we focus on the discussion of a few representative microbe-mediated reactions.

293

Microbe-mediated reaction kinetics. SOM is often conceptualized and modeled as 294 295 pools with different decomposition rates and turnover times (Ostle et al., 2009;Thornton et al., 2009). An extensively used three-pool model includes a readily degradable (labile) 296 pool with residence times less than five years; a slowly degrading pool with residence 297 times of decades; and a relatively stable pool, with residence times between  $10^3 - 10^5$ 298 years (Trumbore et al., 1995;Trumbore, 1993;Marin-Spiotta et al., 2009). The kinetics of 299 microbe-mediated reactions can be described by the general dual Monod rate law, 300 reflecting the need for both electron donor and acceptor in these reactions (Monod, 1949): 301

302 
$$r = \mu_{max} C_{C_5 H_7 O_2 N} \frac{C_D}{K_{m,D} + C_D} \frac{C_A}{K_{m,A} + C_A} \quad (15)$$

Here  $\mu_{max}$  is the rate constant (mol/time/microbe cell),  $C_{C_5H_7O_2N}$  is the concentration of 303 microorganisms (microbe cells/L<sup>3</sup>),  $C_D$  and  $C_A$  are the concentrations of electron donor 304 and acceptor (mol/L<sup>3</sup>), respectively. The  $K_{m,D}$  and  $K_{m,A}$  are the half-saturation coefficients 305 of the electron donor and acceptors (mol/m<sup>3</sup>), respectively; they are the concentrations at 306 307 which half of the maximum rates are reached for the electron donor and acceptor, respectively. If an electron donor or acceptor is not limiting, it means that  $C_D \gg K_{m,D}$  or 308  $C_A \gg K_{m,A}$ , so that the term  $\frac{C_D}{K_{m,D}+C_D}$  or  $\frac{C_A}{K_{m,A}+C_A}$  is essentially 1, lending to a rate that only 309 310 depends on the abundance of microorganisms or one of the chemicals.

In natural subsurface where multiple electron acceptors coexist, the biogeochemical redox ladder dictates the sequence of redox reactions. That is, aerobic oxidation occurs before denitrification, which in turn occurs before iron reduction. Inhibition terms are used to account for the sequence of redox reactions as follows:





$$r = \mu_{max} C_{C_5 H_7 O_2 N} \frac{C_D}{K_{m,D} + C_D} \frac{C_A}{K_{m,A} + C_A} \frac{K_{I,H}}{K_{I,H} + C_H}$$
(16)

Here  $K_{I,H}$  is the inhibition coefficient for the inhibiting chemical H. The inhibition term is 1 (not inhibiting) only when  $C_H \ll K_{I,H}$ . In a system where oxygen and nitrate coexist, which is common in agriculture lands, aerobic oxidation occurs first before denitrification. The denitrification rates can be represented by:

320 
$$r_{NO_{3}^{-}} = \mu_{max} C_{C_{5}H_{7}O_{2}N} \frac{C_{D}}{K_{m,D} + C_{D}} \frac{C_{NO_{3}^{-}}}{K_{m,A} + C_{NO_{3}^{-}}} \frac{K_{I,O_{2}}}{K_{I,O_{2}} + C_{O_{2}}}$$
(17)

Here  $C_{NO_3^-}$  is the concentration of nitrate,  $K_{I,O_2}$  is the inhibition coefficient of  $O_2$ , or the  $O_2$ concentration at which it inhibits the reduction of nitrate. This rate law ensures that denitrification kicks in substantially only when  $O_2$  is depleted to  $C_{O_2} \ll K_{I,O_2}$ , such that the term  $\frac{K_{I,O_2}}{K_{I,O_2}+C_{O_2}}$  approaches 1.0. If there exists an electron acceptor that is lower in the redox ladder than nitrate, multiple inhibition terms are needed. For example, for iron oxide, we write the following:

327 
$$r_{Fe(OH)_3} = \mu_{max} C_{C_5H_7O_2N} \frac{C_D}{K_{m,D} + C_D} \frac{C_{Fe(OH)_3}}{K_{m,Fe(OH)_3} + C_{Fe(OH)_3}} \frac{K_{I,O_2}}{K_{I,O_2} + C_{O_2}} \frac{K_{I,NO_3^-}}{K_{I,NO_3^-} + C_{NO_3^-}}$$
(18)

Here  $K_{I,NO_3^-}$  is the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration above which it inhibits iron reduction. The additional nitrate inhibition term means that iron reduction occurs at significant rates only when both oxygen and nitrate are low compared to their corresponding inhibition coefficients.

331

**Rates in natural soils.** The dual-Monod and inhibition terms are important under conditions where electron donors and acceptors are limited. In shallow soil,  $O_2$  is prevalent except under wet conditions with little pore space for air. Anoxic conditions can also develop in local environments such as dead-end pores where water is saturated for a long time and not easily flows out. Under conditions organic carbon and  $O_2$  are abundant, the SOM rate law is simplified to the following form assuming microorganism concentrations are relatively constant:

$$r_{SOM} = \mu_{max} A f(T) f(S_w) \quad (19)$$





340 Where the reaction rate  $r_{SOM}$  (mol /t) now depends on  $\mu_{max}$  (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/t), the lumped surface area A (m<sup>2</sup>) as an approximation of SOM content and biomass abundance, and 341 342 f(T) and  $f(S_w)$  describe its temperature and soil moisture dependence, respectively. For temperature dependence, a Q10-based form (Friedlingstein et al., 2006;Hararuk et al., 343 2015) is commonly used:  $f(T) = Q_{10}^{|T-20|/10}$ , where  $Q_{10}$  is the relative increase in 344 reaction rates when temperature increases by 10 °C (Davidson and Janssens, 2006). The 345  $f(S_w)$  accounts for the nonlinear dependence of rates on soil moisture. A simple form 346 of  $f(S_w) = (S_w)^{\varepsilon}$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the saturation exponent (a typical  $\varepsilon$  value is 2, with a range 347 between 1.5 and 2.5) is often used. More complex forms of  $f(S_w)$  considering both water 348 limitation under dry conditions and O<sub>2</sub> limitation under wet conditions have been proposed 349 (Yan et al., 2018). It has also been suggested that the decomposition depends strongly 350 on the depth distribution of SOM (Seibert et al., 2009), which is sometime accounted with 351 an additional depth function: 352

$$r_{SOM} = \mu_{max} A f(T) f(S_w) f(Z_w) \quad (20)$$

where  $Z_w$  is the water table depth (m). An example is  $f(Z_w) = \exp\left(-\frac{Z_w}{b_m}\right)$  (Weiler and McDonnell, 2006;Ottoy et al., 2016;Bai et al., 2016). Here  $b_m$  is the declining coefficient describing the gradient of SOM content over depth.

357

#### 358 4. Numerical scheme and model verification

Numerical scheme. The local system of differential equations for water storages [e.g., 359 Eq. (1), (2), (6), and (7)] on each control volume are combined into a global system of 360 ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and solved in CVODE, a numerical ODE solver in 361 362 the SUite of Nonlinear and Differential / ALgebraic equation Solvers (SUNDIALS) (Hindmarsh et al., 2005). CVODE is a numerically efficient solver for ODE systems. It 363 uses the backward difference formula (BDF) with adaptive time steps and method order 364 varying between 1 and 5. At each iteration step, the solver evaluates the local error, which 365 is required to satisfy convergence tolerance conditions set by the users. The internal time 366 367 step is reduced and the method order is adjusted in response to the stiffness of ODEs if the non-convergence occurred. For example, the solver time steps become smaller after 368





heavy precipitation events to address the rapid change of surface and subsurface water
 storages. The adaptive time stepping and order adjustment scheme make CVODE an
 accurate and stable solver.

372

Model verification. The BioRT module had been verified against CrunchTope under a 373 variety of transport and reaction conditions at a range of reaction complexity levels 374 (Supporting Information, Figure S1 – S7). CrunchTope is a widely used subsurface 375 reactive transport model (Steefel and Lasaga, 1994;Steefel et al., 2015), and is often used 376 as a benchmark to verify other reactive transport models. Verification is performed under 377 simplified hydrological conditions with 1-D column and constant flow rates such that it 378 379 focuses on biogeochemical reactive transport processes such as advection, diffusion, dispersion, and biogeochemical reactions. Specifically, three cases of soil phosphorus, 380 carbon, and nitrogen were verified for temporal evolution and spatial pattern of relevant 381 solute concentrations (Figure S1 - S7). The soil phosphorus case, which involves 382 383 geochemically kinetic and thermodynamic processes (i.e., apatite dissolution and phosphorous speciation), was first tested for solution accuracy of the bulk code that was 384 inherited from the original RT-Flux-PIHM. Soil carbon and nitrogen processes that involve 385 microbially driven processes, such as soil carbon decomposition and mineralization, 386 nitrification and denitrification, were further verified for solution accuracy of the 387 augmented BioRT module. 388

389

#### 390 4 Model setup and data needs

#### 391 **4.1 Model structure and input/output**

Flux-PIHM sets up the domain based on watershed characteristics including topography, hydrography, land cover, and shallow and deep zone properties (Figure 4). It takes in meteorological forcing and solves for water storages and soil temperature. BioRT takes in the model output of water and temperature, and drives the simulation for biogeochemical reactive transport. At the time scale of months to years that are typical for BioRT-Flux-PIHM simulations, the alteration in solid phase properties due to reactions is considered negligible and does not change hydrological parameters.





399



400

Figure 4. Model structure, input, and output of BioRT-Flux-PIHM. The Flux-PIHM takes in 401 watershed characteristics including topography (digital elevation model, DEM), land cover, 402 403 shallow and deep zone properties, and meteorological forcing and then solves for water storage and fluxes, and ground and soil temperature. Water- and temperature-related information from 404 405 Flux-PIHM with additional inputs such as precipitation chemistry and shallow and deep water chemistry and biogeochemical kinetics parameters are then provided for the BioRT module, which 406 407 eventually outputs aqueous and solid concentration for the shallow and deep zone, and stream water. NADP stands for the National Atmospheric Deposition Program. 408

409

Most model inputs such as elevation, land cover, soil and geology map can be 410 obtained from the data portal of Geospatial Data Gateway 411 (https://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov). The meteorological forcing data can be downloaded 412 413 from the North American Land Data Assimilation Systems Phase 2 (NLDAS-2, https://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov/nldas/v2/forcing). The vegetation forcing, i.e., Leaf Area Index 414 (LAI), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 415 is from the (https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data). Other vegetation properties associated with land 416 417 cover (e.g., shading fraction, rooting depth) are adopted from the Noah vegetation parameter table embedded in the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF; 418





419 Skamarock and Klemp (2019)). Local measurements from meteorological stations and 420 field campaigns (e.g., land cover, soil, geology) can also be used in the model. Another data source for the model input is the HydroTerre (http://www.hydroterre.psu.edu/), where 421 users can obtain geospatial data (Leonard and Duffy, 2013). The form of microbial 422 reaction rate laws, when it includes full Monod form, or only with temperature and soil 423 moisture dependence, can be defined in the input files. Additional inputs include initial 424 water and solid phase chemistry, description of solutes and biogeochemical reactions, 425 and kinetics and thermodynamics of reactions from a geochemical database. The model 426 outputs include aqueous and solid concentrations of shallow and deep zone and stream 427 water. 428

429

### 430 4.2 Model setup: from simple, spatially implicit to complex, spatially explicit 431 domains

model 432 The domain can be set up using PIHM-GIS (http://www.pihm.psu.edu/pihmgis home.html), a standalone GIS interface for watershed 433 delineation, domain decomposition, and parameter assignment (Bhatt et al., 2014). The 434 domain can be set up at different spatial resolutions with a different number of grids. A 435 simple domain can be set up with only two land grids representing two sides of a 436 watershed connected by one river cell (Figure 5). This setup uses averaged properties 437 without considering spatial details. This type of model setup requires less spatial data, is 438 computationally inexpensive, and is relatively easy to set up. It can be used to assess the 439 average dynamics of the water and solute dynamics and focus on the interactions among 440 processes without concerning spatial details. It can also be used as a relatively easy 441 442 starter for educational purposes before students jump into complex domains. Alternatively, a complex domain can be set up using many grids with explicit 443 representation of spatial details. It requires much more data and is computationally 444 445 expensive but can be used to identify "hot spots" of biogeochemical reactions.

18







446

Figure 5. Two extreme model domain setups: a simple domain with two land cells representing
two hillslopes connected by one river cell, versus a complex domain with hundreds of land cells.
An intermediate number of grids can also set up the domain, depending on watershed
heterogeneity, data availability, and desired spatial resolution.

#### 452 **5. Model applications**

The original RT-Flux-PIHM has been applied to understand the processes related to geogenic solutes of CI and Mg at the Shale Hills watershed and for geogenic Na at the Volcán Chimborazo watershed (Table 1). The new BioRT-Flux-PIHM has been demonstrated for understanding the dynamics of DOC, nitrate, and Na at Shale Hills and Coal Creek. This section presents some new model features using two examples: one with a simple, spatially implicit domain, and another with a complex, spatially explicit domain.

460

 Table 1. Model applications with different biogeochemical reactions

Watershed	Size	Model	Modeled	Reaction network	Reference
(location)	(km²)	domain	solutes	(Kinetic rate law: 1, TST; 2,	
				Monod based; 3, plant uptake	
				rate)	





Shale Hills (PA, USA)	0.08	Complex (535 grids)	Cl, Mg	<ul> <li>Chlorite dissolution<sup>1</sup> Bao et al.,</li> <li>Illite dissolution<sup>1</sup> 2017; Li et</li> <li>Carbonate dissolution &amp; al., 2017 precipitation<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Cation exchange</li> </ul>
		Complex (535 grids)	DOC	<ul> <li>SOC decomposition<sup>2</sup> Wen et al.,</li> <li>DOC sorption 2020</li> </ul>
		Simple (2 grids)	NO <sub>3</sub> -	<ul> <li>Soil N leaching<sup>2</sup> This work</li> <li>Denitrification<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Plant nitrate uptake<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>
Coal Creek	53	Simple	DOC, Na	• SOC decomposition <sup>2</sup> Zhi et al.,
(CO, USA)		(2 grids)		<ul> <li>DOC sorption 2019</li> <li>Albite dissolution<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>
Volcán Chimborazo (Ecuador)		Complex (160 grids)	Cl, Na, Ca, Mg, SiO₂	<ul> <li>Albite dissolution<sup>1</sup> Leila et al.,</li> <li>Diopside dissolution<sup>1</sup> 2020 (under review)</li> </ul>

461 Note: Transition State Theory (TST) is a classic kinetic rate law for mineral dissolution and 462 precipitation (Brantley et al., 2008); Monod based rate law with environmental dependency (i.e., 463 soil temperature and soil moisture) is widely used for microbial driven reactions; plant nitrate 464 uptake depends on nitrate availability, environmental dependency, and rooting depth. Monod 465 based and plant nitrate uptake rate law are detailed in the following section of 5.1.1.

466

Here we present two examples of different processes in the Susquehanna Shale 467 Hills Critical Zone Observatory (SSHCZO), a small headwater watershed (0.08 km<sup>2</sup>) in 468 central Pennsylvania, USA. The mean annual precipitation is approximately 1,070 mm 469 470 and the mean annual temperature is 10 °C. Extensive field measurements have been 471 conducted to characterize the topography, vegetation, and bedrock and soil properties 472 (Brantley et al., 2018). Soil carbon storage and respiration and nitrogen budget and fluxes have been detailed studied (Andrews et al., 2011;Hasenmueller et al., 2015;Shi et al., 473 2018;Hodges et al., 2019;Weitzman and Kaye, 2018). Modeling work has also been 474 475 conducted to understand hydrological dynamics (Shi et al., 2013), transport of a nonreactive tracer CI and the soil and rock weathering CI and Mg (Bao et al., 2017;Li et al., 476 2017a). 477





#### 478

#### 479 **5.1 Hydrology Example: Shallow and deep water interactions**

The model was set up using two land grids and one river grid, represented by the 480 averaged land cover, soil and rock properties based on previous work (Shi et al., 481 482 2013;Kuntz et al., 2011). Specifically, the model assumed a Weikert soil, the dominant soil type at Shale Hills (Shi et al., 2013). The porosity of the deep zone was set to 1/10 of 483 the shallow soil porosity based on measurements of the deep subsurface (Brantley et al., 484 2018;Kuntz et al., 2011). Stream discharge and ET observations were used to calibrate 485 hydrological parameters (Figure S9). Groundwater ( $Q_G$ ) from the deep layer was 486 constrained by previous work (Li et al., 2017a) and the nitrate concentration-discharge 487 (C-Q) observations. Important land surface and hydrological parameters are summarized 488 in Table S7. 489

Water budget. The model reproduced the seasonal dynamics of discharge and ET 490 491 (Figure S9), with daily Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) of 0.56 and 0.66, respectively. Precipitation occurs throughout the year while the discharge was responsive to a few big 492 storm events in spring and fall. The ET peaked during the summer due to higher solar 493 radiation and higher temperature while declined in the fall and winter. The runoff ratio was 494 0.46, suggesting 46% of precipitation was discharged through the stream while the 495 remaining 54% contributed to ET. A breakdown analysis suggests at the annual scale, 496 the shallow lateral flow (QL, 87% of Q) dominated discharge, followed by the deeper 497 groundwater flow (Q<sub>G</sub>, 9.3%), and the surface runoff (Q<sub>S</sub>, 4.2%). The Q<sub>G</sub> was essential in 498 maintaining discharge during dry time, especially in the summer. 499

**Controls of deep water.** In a headwater watershed like Shale Hills where the deep groundwater is most likely sourced from recharge, the deep groundwater contribution to the stream was primarily controlled by hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{satH}$ ) contrast between the deep and shallow zone (i.e.,  $K_{satH}^{deep} / K_{satH}^{shallow}$ ). Because the  $K_{satH}$  contrast determined the partitioning of infiltrating water between the shallow lateral flow and the downward recharge to the deep zone and then deep groundwater flow. Two cases of high (red) and low (blue)  $K_{satH}^{deep}$  were set up to showcase the  $K_{satH}$  contrast control on deep groundwater





(Figure 6a). By changing the deep zone  $K_{satH}^{deep}$  from 2.6 to 0.22 (m/d), about 38% and 3.1% 507 of the shallow zone K<sup>shallow</sup>, annual deep groundwater (Q<sub>G</sub>) contribution to discharge (Q) 508 decreased from 26% to 5.2%, respectively. It is also noticeable that there was minimal 509 change between discharge (i.e., solid lines in Figure 6a) as the deep zone  $K_{satH}^{deep}$  does not 510 511 affect shallow water partitioning for infiltrating water and discharge. This new hydrology feature enables the exploration of the interaction between deep groundwater and surface 512 513 water. These features can be used to understand watersheds of different subsurface structures and with deep water mostly from recharge. In addition, they can be used to 514 515 explore large watersheds of higher stream order with a large proportion of deep water coming from nearby regional aguifers. 516



517

**Figure 6.** (a) Hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{satH}$ ) contrast control on deep groundwater (Q<sub>G</sub>). The cases of high (red) and low (blue)  $K_{satH}^{deep}$  led to 26% and 5.2% of annual Q<sub>G</sub> contribution to discharge (Q), respectively. (b) Deep groundwater fraction as a function of  $K_{satH}$  contrast between the deep and shallow zone. The  $K_{satH}$  contrast was limited to 1 in the figure as most watersheds exhibit a smaller  $K_{satH}$  in the deep zone than in the shallow zone. The two red and blue dots correspond to the two cases in left panel.

524

A series of similar cases were further tested to generate the relationship between deep groundwater fraction (%) of discharge and  $K_{satH}$  contrast (Figure 6b). Results show that the deep groundwater fraction exponentially increased with the increasing  $K_{satH}$ contrast, reaching a limit at when  $K_{satH}$  contrast is sufficiently high. The results also suggest that the maximum deep groundwater contribution to the stream was limited to ~ 40% as most watersheds exhibit smaller  $K_{satH}^{deep}$  than  $K_{satH}^{shallow}$ . The fitting function (dashed





- 531 line) could be a useful predictor to quantify deep groundwater contribution at headwater
- 532 watersheds given measured deep and shallow hydraulic conductivity.
- 533

#### 534 **5.2 Reactive Transport Example 1: Understanding nitrate dynamics using a** 535 spatially implicit domain

536 This example focuses on nitrate, which is the dominant N form in water and has relatively from 2008 to 2010 (https://criticalzone.org/shale-537 abundant measurements hills/data/datasets/) (Weitzman and Kaye, 2018). Based on their field measurements, the 538 atmospheric deposition was the dominant N input and export via discharge was only a 539 small fraction (2.5%) of atmospheric N input. Most deposited N was either lost to the 540 atmosphere via denitrification or uptaken by trees. The model at Shale Hills watershed 541 included atmospheric N deposition, soil N leaching, stream export, denitrification, and 542 543 plant uptake (Figure 7).



544

Figure 7. Modeled nitrogen processes at Shale Hills. Atmospheric N deposition was the major N
sources (top red arrows); denitrification and plant uptake were the major N loss and sink (green
arrows). Export from discharge removes nitrate but it was a relatively small one.

548

The soil N leaching process was a lumped reaction that generates NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> source, including the decomposition of soil organic matter (SOM), nitrification, and rock weathering. Its rate was assumed to depend on soil temperature and moisture:

552 
$$r_{leach} = kAf(T)f(S_w) \quad (21)$$





Here  $r_{leach}$  is the leaching rate (mol/s), k is the rate constant (mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s), and the surface area A (m<sup>2</sup>) is a lumped parameter representing the effective contact area between substrates and N transforming microbe. It was calculated based on SOM volume fraction (m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>), specific surface area (SSA, m<sup>2</sup>/g), substrate density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and element volume (m<sup>3</sup>).

The denitrification process converts NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to N<sub>2</sub> gas under anaerobic conditions. 558 This process can be modeled by the Monod rate law with nitrate as the electron acceptor 559 560 substrate ( $K_{m,NO_{2}} = 45 \ uM$  (Regnier and Steefel, 1999;Billen, 1977)) and with inhibition from O<sub>2</sub> (Eq. (22)). Under conditions where O<sub>2</sub> concentration is not explicitly modeled (this 561 562 work), the  $O_2$  inhibitory term can be replaced by a function of soil moisture (Eq. (24)). This is based on field evidence that denitrification typically occurs when soil moisture is greater 563 than 0.6 and increases with increasing soil moisture (Brady et al., 2008). Equation (24) 564 565 says that under relatively drier conditions ( $S_w < 0.6$ ), there is sufficient O<sub>2</sub> that denitrification does not occur; under wet conditions ( $S_w \ge 0.6$ ), the O<sub>2</sub> becomes limiting 566 such that denitrification can occur. 567

568 
$$r_{denitrification} = kA \left( \frac{C_{NO_3^-}}{K_{m,NO_3^-} + C_{NO_3^-}} \right) f(O_2) f(T) f(S_w) \quad (22)$$

569  $f(O_2) = \frac{K_{I,O_2}}{K_{I,O_2} + C_{O_2}}$  (23), when  $O_2$  is explicitly modelled

570 
$$f(O_2) = \frac{0 (S_w < 0.6)}{(S_w - 0.6) * 2.5 (S_w \ge 0.6)}$$
 (24), when  $O_2$  is not explicitly modelled

571

572 Nitrate uptake by plants is intrinsically complex and not yet completely understood (Devienne-Barret et al., 2000;Crawford and Glass, 1998;Hachiya and Sakakibara, 2016). 573 A variety of plant uptake models exists in literature with varying levels of complexity 574 (Neitsch et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2016). These models are mostly based 575 on plant growth module or supply and demand approach that often requires detailed 576 phenological and plant attributes such as growth cycle, root age and biomass, nitrate 577 availability, phosphorous stress, and carbon allocation, in addition to local climate 578 579 conditions such as temperature and soil moisture (Neitsch et al., 2011;Porporato et al.,





2003;Dunbabin et al., 2002;Buysse et al., 1996;Fisher et al., 2010). Without all the detailed information, here we assumed a simple and operational approach to model nitrate uptake with dependence on  $NO_3^-$  concentration, soil temperature and moisture, and rooting density (Eq. (25), (26)). More detailed, user-tailored plant uptake rate law can be added if needed.

585  $r_{uptake} = k_{uptake} C_{NO_3^-} f(T) f(S_w) f_{root}(d_w) \quad (25)$ 

586 
$$f_{root}(d_w) = \exp((-d_w + r)/s)$$

Where  $k_{uptake}$  is the nitrate uptake rate (L/s),  $f_{root}(d_w)$  is a normalized rooting density term in the range of 0 to 1 as a function of water depth to the groundwater  $(d_w)$ . The rooting term (Eq. (26)) was exponentially fitted (r = 0.0132, s = 0.202) based on field measurements of root distribution along depth (Figure S8) (Hasenmueller et al., 2017). The exponentially declining root function is generally to be the case in forested watersheds but can be tailored to agricultural watersheds when field data are available.

(26)

For microbial soil N leaching and denitrification, reaction rate constant k was 593 specified (Regnier and Steefel, 1999) and the lumped surface area A ( $m^2$ , = specific 594 surface area  $m^2/g \times g$  of mass) was turned to reproduce stream nitrate dynamics and its 595 C-Q pattern (Table S8). The calibrated effective specific surface area (SSA) were orders 596 of magnitude lower than the lab measured SSA of natural materials (e.g., SOM, 0.6 ~ 2 597 m<sup>2</sup>/g) (Rutherford et al., 1992;Chiou et al., 1990). Such discrepancies between calibrated 598 effective reactive surface area (i.e., solid-water contact area) and lab measured absolute 599 surface area are consistent with other observations (Li et al., 2014;Heidari et al., 2017). 600 The nitrate uptake rate constant  $k_{untake}$  was calibrated to constrain the partitioning of N 601 transformation flux between denitrification and plant uptake by the ratio of 1:5, a value 602 estimated from field measurements of gaseous N outputs (3.53 kg-N/ha/yr) and plant N 603 604 uptake (18.3 kg-N/ha/yr) (Weitzman and Kaye, 2018). We assumed that the nitrate uptake rate  $k_{uptake}$  of the deep zone (> 2 m in depth) was 1/1000 of that in the shallow zone, 605 based on the observations that the rooting density exponentially decrease with depth 606 (Weitzman and Kaye, 2018;Hasenmueller et al., 2017). Groundwater nitrate was 607





initialized as 0.43 mg/L, the average of measured groundwater concentration during2009-2010.

Temporal dynamics. Three cases were set up to understand and quantify the effects of 610 different processes in determining the nitrate dynamics (Figure 8). The transport-only 611 case (green line, tran) only has N input from precipitation (at  $1.4 \pm 0.96$  mg/L, based on 612 the 2009 data of NADP PA42 site) and transport without any reactions. It overestimated 613 stream nitrate data (0.33  $\pm$  0.39 mg/L) throughout the year. The transport + N reactions 614 case (blue line, tran + N react) has the denitrification and soil N leaching processes but 615 not plant uptake. It lowered the nitrate concentration, suggesting their relative minor role 616 in controlling N. The transport + N reactions + uptake case (red line, tran + N react + upta) 617 have all processes. It significantly lowered the nitrate concentration, especially in April-618 May and October-December. There were some overestimated short nitrate peaks from 619 May to July, exhibiting comparable levels of high precipitation nitrate concentration 620 (Figure 8a). It is noticeable that the three cases (i.e., transport-only, transport + N621 622 reactions, transport + N reactions + uptake) almost overlapped (i.e., minimal difference) at these overestimated short nitrate peaks, suggesting the nitrate-rich precipitation was 623 not routed into the subsurface where denitrification and plant uptake could occur and 624 lower the nitrate concentration. In short, hydrology controlled stream nitrate dynamics by 625 626 partitioning the nitrate-rich precipitation into surface runoff, shallow lateral flow, and deep groundwater. Nitrate reactions primarily controlled stream concentration via the 627 subsurface flow flowpath where the nitrate-rich precipitation undergone significant nitrate 628 loss and sink, as denitrification and plant uptake only occurred to remove nitrate in the 629 subsurface but not in surface water. 630

Comparing the three outfluxes (Figure 8b), nitrate export via discharge (red) was
negligible compared to denitrification (blue) and plant uptake (green). At the annual scale,
stream nitrate export only accounted for 9.5% outfluxes, whereas denitrification and plant
uptake took up 15% and 75% of precipitated NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, respectively. At Shale Hills, rock N
leaching (weathering) is calculated up to 10% of N precipitation.

Although precipitation source occurred primarily from April to August (70% of total
 simulation period), larger storm events in October contributed more to the export. Deeper

26





groundwater had higher nitrate concentration than shallow water, because most plant uptake occurred in the shallow zone. The nitrate fluxes into the deeper zone however only contributed 26% of stream nitrate export at the annual scale, due to the relatively small groundwater contribution (9.5%) to the stream. Denitrification and plant uptake largely occurred during the wet period, which coincided with the growing season. Denitrification peaks often showed up after major storm events.



**Figure 8.** Stream nitrate dynamics at Shale Hills in three simulation conditions: *transport-only* (green line, *tran*), *transport* + *N reaction* (blue line, *tran* + *N react*), *transport* + *N reaction* + *plant uptake* (red line, *tran* + *N react* + *upta*), where N reactions include both nitrate leaching and denitrifications (see Figure 8). (a) stream nitrate dynamics; (b) nitrate fluxes and budget. Note the nitrate leaching was ignored in (b) due to its minimal flux as precipitation N deposition was as the dominant input source (Weitzman and Kaye, 2018).

651

644

**C-Q patterns.** C-Q plots from the three cases showed distinct patterns (Figure 9). Specifically, the *transport-only* (green) and *transport + N reactions* (blue) cases led to chemostatic or slightly flushing patterns while the *transport + N reactions + plant uptake* (red) case showed a dilution pattern similar to field observation. The *transport-only* case showed a slightly flushing pattern because the shallow water had slightly higher nitrate concentration (directly from precipitation without reactions) than deep groundwater. This results in low stream concentrations from deep groundwater at low flow conditions and





high stream concentrations from shallow water with higher nitrate at high flow conditions. With limited denitrification capacity (Figure 8a), the *transport* + *N* reactions case was similar to the *transport-only* case. In comparison, the plant uptake reduced nitrate concentration in the shallow zone, to an extent lower than the concentration in the deeper zone, altering the C-Q pattern from primarily chemostatic to dilution (Figure 9).



664

Figure 9. Concentration-discharge (C-Q) relationships under three scenarios that involve different
 processes: transport-only (green, tran), transport + N reactions (blue, tran + N react), transport +
 N reactions + plant uptake (red, tran + N react + upta).

668

## 5.3 Reactive Transport Example 2: DOC production and export in a spatially explicit domain

This example showcases the application of BioRT-Flux-PIHM in a spatially explicit mode. This work has been documented with full details in Wen et al. (2020). Here we only introduce some key features and capabilities in the spatially explicit mode.

674 **Model set-up.** In this example, the Shale Hills catchment was discretized into 535 675 prismatic land elements and 20 stream segments through PIHMgis based on the 676 topography (Figure 10a). The heterogeneous distributions of soil depth and solid organic





carbon within the domain (Figure 10b-c) were interpolated through ordinary kriging based
on field surveys (Andrews et al., 2011;Lin, 2006). Other soil and mineralogy properties
such as hydraulic conductivity, van Genuchten parameters, and ion exchange capacity
were also spatially distributed following intensive field measurements across the
catchment (Jin and Brantley, 2011;Jin et al., 2010;Shi et al., 2013) (criticalzone.org/shalehills/data/).



683

**Figure 10**. Attributes of Shale Hills in the spatially explicit mode: (a) surface elevation, (b) soil depth, and (c) soil organic carbon (SOC). The surface elevation was generated from lidar topographic data (criticalzone.org/shale-hills/data); Soil depths and SOC were interpolated using ordinary kriging based on field surveys (Andrews et al., 2011;Lin, 2006). The SOC distribution in (c) was further simplified using the high, uniform SOC (5% v/v) in swales and valley soils based on field survey (Andrews et al., 2011). Swales and valley floor areas were defined based on surface elevation via field survey and a 10 m resolution digital elevation model (Lin, 2006).

691

692 DOC was produced by the decomposition of soil organic carbon (SOC) via the 693 following reaction:

 $694 \qquad \qquad SOC(s) \to DOC \quad (27)$ 

<sup>695</sup> The produced DOC can sorb on soils via the sorption reaction:

$$\equiv X + DOC \leftrightarrow \equiv XDOC \quad (28)$$

where  $\equiv X$  and  $\equiv XDOC$  represent the functional group without and with sorbed DOC, respectively (Rasmussen et al., 2018). For DOC production, with abundant SOC and O<sub>2</sub> in shallow soils serving as electron donors and acceptors, Eq. (27) can be simplified into  $r_{DOC} = kAf(T)f(S_w)$ , where  $r_{DOC}$  is the local DOC production rate in individual grids; *k* is the kinetic rate constant of net DOC production with a value of 10<sup>-10</sup> mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s (Zhi et al., 2019;Wieder et al., 2014); and *A* is the lumped "surface area" (m<sup>2</sup>, = 2.5×10<sup>-3</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/g × g of SOC mass) that reflects the effective contact of water with SOC content and biomass





(Chiou et al., 1990;Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2003;Zhi et al., 2019). The temperature dependence function took the form  $f(T) = 2.0^{|T-20|/10}$  while the moisture dependence function followed  $f(S_w) = (S_w)^{1.0}$  (Yan et al., 2018;Hamamoto et al., 2010). In DOC sorption, equilibrium constant  $K_{eq}$  with a value of  $10^{0.2}$  ( $= \frac{[=XDOC]}{[=X][DOC]}$ ) represents the thermodynamic limit of the sorption; The sum of [= X] and [= XDOC] represents the sorption capacity of the soil with a value ranging from  $4.0 \times 10^{-5} - 6.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol/g soil at Shale Hills (Jin et al., 2010;Li et al., 2017a), depending on the mineralogy.

711

Temporal and spatial patterns of DOC production and export. The model outputs 712 713 followed the general trend of stream DOC data (NSE = 0.55 for monthly DOC concentration; Figure 11a), with high values (~ 15 mg/L) in the dry periods (July-714 September). The model enabled the identification of spatial patterns and the hot spots of 715 716 reactions. In May when soil water is relatively abundant, valley and swales with deeper 717 soils (Figure 11b) generally tended to be wetter compared to the hillslope and ridgetop, and were hydrologically connected to the stream (Figure 11b-c). The distribution of local 718 DOC production rate  $r_{DOC}$  and DOC concentration followed that of SOC (Figure 11c) and 719 water content (Figure 11b). Low  $r_{DOC}$  in relatively dry planar hillslopes and uplands 720 resulted in low soil water DOC. The average stream DOC (~ 5 mg/L) reflected soil water 721 DOC in the valley and swales. 722

723 In August, the hydrologically-connected zones with high water content shrank to the vicinity of the stream and river bed. With high temperature in summer,  $r_{DOC}$  increased 724 by 2-fold from May across the whole catchment while still exhibited the highest values in 725 the SOC-rich regions. Soil water DOC concentration increased by a factor of 2 because 726 the produced DOC was trapped in low soil moisture areas that were not hydrologically 727 connected to the stream. In the north side with low water content (Figure 11b), the soil 728 water DOC (~ 7 mg/L in average) accumulated more than the south side (~ 5 mg/L in 729 average). The high shallow water DOC (~ 10 mg/L) in the stream vicinity dominated the 730 stream DOC in August. 731

In October, precipitation wetted the catchment again. The hydrologically
 connected zones expanded beyond swales and valley to the upland hillslopes (Figure





11c). The increase in hydrological connectivity zones favored the mixture of shallow water
DOC sourced from upland hillslopes (low DOC), swales, and valley (high DOC) into
stream rather than only from the stream vicinity with high DOC in the dry August, leading
to a drop in stream DOC.



738

**Figure 11.** (a) Temporal dynamics of stream DOC concentration; spatial profiles of (b) shallow soil moisture, (c) hydrologically connected zones, (d) local DOC production rates  $r_{DOC}$  and (e) shallow water DOC concentration in May (wet), August (dry), and October (wet after dry) of 2009. The soil DOC and  $r_{DOC}$  were high in swales and valley with relatively high shallow water and SOC content. August had the highest shallow water DOC concentration compared to May and October, because most DOC accumulated in zones that are disconnected to the stream.

745





**C-Q patterns.** The DOC C-Q relationship showed a non-typical pattern with flushing first and transitioning into a dilution pattern, with a general (overall) C-Q slope b = -0.23(Figure 12). At low discharges (<  $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$  m/d) in summer dry period, the stream DOC mainly came from the organic-rich swales and valley floor zones with high soil water DOC (Figure 11e). With discharge increasing in wetter period (i.e., spring and fall), the contribution from planar hillslopes and uplands with lower DOC concentration increased (Figure 11e), leading to the dilution of stream DOC.



753

**Figure 12**. Relationships of daily discharge (*Q*) with stream DOC concentration. With the increase of *Q*, the stream water first shifted from the dominance of groundwater with low DOC at very low discharge to the predominance of organic-rich soil water from swales and valley at intermediate discharge. As the discharge increases further, the stream water switches to the dominance of high flow with lower DOC water from planar hillslopes and uplands, resulting in a dilution C-Q pattern (modified from Wen et al., (2020)).

760

Compared to the spatially implicit model, the spatial representation enables the exploration on the "hot spots" (i.e., swales and riparian zones with high soil water DOC concentrations in Figure 11e) and their contribution to stream chemistry at different times. Spatial heterogeneities in watershed properties (e.g., soil types and depth, lithology, vegetation, biomass, and mineralogy) are omnipresent in natural systems. Yet a general understanding of the linkage between local catchment features and catchment-scale





dynamics (e.g., stream concentration dynamics and solute export pattern) is still lacking.
 Questions such as how the heterogeneous features affect water flow paths, stream water
 chemistry, and biogeochemical reaction rates remain largely unanswered. The spatially
 explicit model provides a tool to further explore these questions.

771

#### 772 6. Summary and conclusion

This paper introduces the watershed-scale biogeochemical reactive transport code 773 774 BioRT-Flux-PIHM. This code integrates processes of land-surface interactions, surface 775 hydrology, and multi-component reactive transport. The new development enables the simulation of 1) biotic reactions including microbe-mediated redox reactions and plant 776 uptake, and 2) surface water interactions with water from deeper subsurface zones. 777 BioRT has been verified against the widely used reactive transport code CrunchTope for 778 soil carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus processes. The BioRT module has been applied 779 to understand carbon, nitrogen, and weathering processes in Shale Hills in central 780 Pennsylvania, Coal Creek in Colorado, and Volcán Chimborazo watershed in Andes in 781 Ecuador. Here we showcase the modeling capability of surface-groundwater interactions, 782 transport and reactive transport processes relevant to nitrate and DOC in Shale Hills in 783 two simulation modes. One is in spatially implicit mode using averaged properties and 784 785 another in spatially explicit mode with consideration of spatial heterogeneity. Results show that the deep groundwater that interacts with stream is primarily controlled by the 786 hydraulic conductivity contrast between shallow and deep zone. Soil biogeochemical 787 reactions in shallow soil primarily determines the shallow water chemistry, especially C, 788 N, and biogenic solutes, under high flow conditions. The spatially implicit method with two 789 790 grids can capture the temporal dynamics of average behavior and mass balance; the 791 spatially explicit running mode can be used to understand the spatial dynamics and to identify 'hot spots' of reactions. 792

793

Data availability. Field data (e.g., discharge, stream chemistry) is archived at Shale Hills
 data portal: <u>http://criticalzone.org/shale-hills/data/datasets/</u> or maintained at HydroShare:
 https://www.hydroshare.org/group/147.

797





Code availability. The current model release (BioRT-Flux-PIHM v1.0), including
 documentation, source code, example data, is available at GitHub repository:
 https://github.com/PSUmodeling/BioRT-Flux-PIHM.

801

802 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

803

Author contributions. LL conceived the model idea and oversaw the model development. WZ coded the BioRT module, verified the code against the benchmark reactive transport model CrunchTope, and applied and tested the model at Shale Hills watershed. YS developed the deep groundwater component and integrated the BioRT-Flux-PIHM v1.0 into MM-PIHM family. WH, LS, and GHCN tested the code during its development and contributed their study cases.

810

Acknowledgement. We acknowledge the funding support from the Department of 811 Energy, Subsurface Biogeochemistry Program DE-SC0020146, National Science 812 Foundation Hydrological Sciences EAR-1758795. We appreciate data from the 813 814 Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory (SSHCZO) supported by National Science Foundation Grant EAR - 0725019 (C. Duffy), EAR - 1239285 (S. Brantley), and 815 EAR – 1331726 (S. Brantley). Data were collected in Penn State's Stone Valley Forest, 816 which is funded by the Penn State College of Agriculture Sciences, Department of 817 818 Ecosystem Science and Management, and managed by the staff of the Forestlands Management Office. 819





#### 820 References

821	Andrews, D. M., Lin, H., Zhu, Q., Jin, L., and Brantley, S. L.: Hot spots and hot moments of dissolved
822	organic carbon export and soil organic carbon storage in the Shale Hills catchment,
823	Vadose Zone Journal, 10, 943-954, 2011.
824	Anyah, R. O., Weaver, C. P., Miguez-Macho, G., Fan, Y., and Robock, A.: Incorporating water table
825	dynamics in climate modeling: 3. Simulated groundwater influence on coupled land -
826	atmosphere variability, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113, 2008.
827	Bai, J., Zhang, G., Zhao, Q., Lu, Q., Jia, J., Cui, B., and Liu, X.: Depth-distribution patterns and
828	control of soil organic carbon in coastal salt marshes with different plant covers, Sci Rep-
829	Uk, 6, 34835, 10.1038/srep34835, 2016.
830	Bao, C., Li, L., Shi, Y., and Duffy, C.: Understanding watershed hydrogeochemistry: 1.
831	Development of RT-Flux-PIHM, Water Resources Research, 53, 2328-2345, 2017.
832	Basu, N. B., Destouni, G., Jawitz, J. W., Thompson, S. E., Loukinova, N. V., Darracq, A., Zanardo, S.,
833	Yaeger, M., Sivapalan, M., Rinaldo, A., and Rao, P. S. C.: Nutrient loads exported from
834	managed catchments reveal emergent biogeochemical stationarity, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
835	37, 10.1029/2010GL045168, 2010.
836	Bhatt, G., Kumar, M., and Duffy, C. J.: A tightly coupled GIS and distributed hydrologic modeling
837	framework, Environmental Modelling & Software, 62, 70-84,
838	10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.08.003, 2014.
839	Billen, G.: Etude écologique des transformations de l'azote dans les sédiments marins, 1977.
840	Brady, N. C., Weil, R. R., and Weil, R. R.: The nature and properties of soils, Prentice Hall Upper
~ * *	Caddle Diver NL 2000
841	Saddle River, NJ, 2008.
841 842	Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.
841 842 843	Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008. Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.:
841 842 843 844	Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008. Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills,
841 842 843 844 845	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y.</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 845 846 847	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li,</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory:</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 846 847 848 849	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19,</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>841</li> <li>842</li> <li>843</li> <li>844</li> <li>845</li> <li>846</li> <li>847</li> <li>848</li> <li>849</li> <li>850</li> <li>851</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 851 852	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>841</li> <li>842</li> <li>843</li> <li>844</li> <li>845</li> <li>846</li> <li>847</li> <li>848</li> <li>849</li> <li>850</li> <li>851</li> <li>852</li> <li>853</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions,</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental Science &amp; Technology, 24, 1164-1166, 1990.</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental Science &amp; Technology, 24, 1164-1166, 1990.</li> <li>Condon, L. E., Maxwell, R. M., and Gangopadhyay, S.: The impact of subsurface conceptualization</li> </ul>
841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 855 856 857 858 859	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental Science &amp; Technology, 24, 1164-1166, 1990.</li> <li>Condon, L. E., Maxwell, R. M., and Gangopadhyay, S.: The impact of subsurface conceptualization on land energy fluxes, Advances in Water Resources, 60, 188-203,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>841</li> <li>842</li> <li>843</li> <li>844</li> <li>845</li> <li>846</li> <li>847</li> <li>848</li> <li>849</li> <li>850</li> <li>851</li> <li>852</li> <li>853</li> <li>854</li> <li>855</li> <li>856</li> <li>857</li> <li>858</li> <li>859</li> <li>860</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental Science &amp; Technology, 24, 1164-1166, 1990.</li> <li>Condon, L. E., Maxwell, R. M., and Gangopadhyay, S.: The impact of subsurface conceptualization on land energy fluxes, Advances in Water Resources, 60, 188-203, 10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.08.001, 2013.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>841</li> <li>842</li> <li>843</li> <li>844</li> <li>845</li> <li>846</li> <li>847</li> <li>848</li> <li>849</li> <li>850</li> <li>851</li> <li>852</li> <li>853</li> <li>854</li> <li>855</li> <li>856</li> <li>857</li> <li>858</li> <li>859</li> <li>860</li> <li>861</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Brantley, S. L., Kubicki, J. D., and White, A. F.: Kinetics of water-rock interaction, 2008.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M. I., Balashov, V. N., Singha, K., Sullivan, P. L., and Stinchcomb, G.: Toward a conceptual model relating chemical reaction fronts to water flow paths in hills, Geomorphology, 277, 100-117, 2017.</li> <li>Brantley, S. L., White, T., West, N., Williams, J. Z., Forsythe, B., Shapich, D., Kaye, J., Lin, H., Shi, Y. N., Kaye, M., Herndon, E., Davis, K. J., He, Y., Eissenstat, D., Weitzman, J., DiBiase, R., Li, L., Reed, W., Brubaker, K., and Gu, X.: Susquehanna Shale Hills Critical Zone Observatory: Shale Hills in the Context of Shaver's Creek Watershed, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 1-19, ARTN 180092, 10.2136/vzj2018.04.0092, 2018.</li> <li>Buysse, J., Smolders, E., and Merckx, R.: Modelling the uptake of nitrate by a growing plant with an adjustable root nitrate uptake capacity, Plant and Soil, 181, 19-23, 1996.</li> <li>Cai, X., Yang, ZL., Fisher, J., Zhang, X., Barlage, M., and Chen, F.: Integration of nitrogen dynamics into the Noah-MP land surface model v1. 1 for climate and environmental predictions, Geoscientific Model Development (Online), 9, 2016.</li> <li>Chiou, C. T., Lee, J. F., and Boyd, S. A.: The surface area of soil organic matter, Environmental Science &amp; Technology, 24, 1164-1166, 1990.</li> <li>Condon, L. E., Maxwell, R. M., and Gangopadhyay, S.: The impact of subsurface conceptualization on land energy fluxes, Advances in Water Resources, 60, 188-203, 10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.08.001, 2013.</li> <li>Crawford, N. M., and Glass, A. D.: Molecular and physiological aspects of nitrate uptake in plants,</li> </ul>





- Davidson, E. A., and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
   feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165-173, 10.1038/nature04514, 2006.
- Davidson, E. A., Janssens, I.A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
   feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165-173, 2006.
- Bevienne-Barret, F., Justes, E., Machet, J., and Mary, B.: Integrated control of nitrate uptake by
  crop growth rate and soil nitrate availability under field conditions, Annals of Botany, 86,
  995-1005, 2000.
- 870 Dingman, S. L.: Physical hydrology, Waveland press, 2015.
- Dunbabin, V. M., Diggle, A. J., Rengel, Z., and Van Hugten, R.: Modelling the interactions between
  water and nutrient uptake and root growth, Plant and Soil, 239, 19-38, 2002.
- Fatichi, S., Vivoni, E. R., Ogden, F. L., Ivanov, V. Y., Mirus, B., Gochis, D., Downer, C. W., Camporese,
  M., Davison, J. H., Ebel, B., Jones, N., Kim, J., Mascaro, G., Niswonger, R., Restrepo, P.,
  Rigon, R., Shen, C., Sulis, M., and Tarboton, D.: An overview of current applications,
  challenges, and future trends in distributed process-based models in hydrology, Journal
  of Hydrology, 537, 45-60, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.03.026, 2016.
- Fatichi, S., Manzoni, S., Or, D., and Paschalis, A.: A Mechanistic Model of Microbially Mediated
  Soil Biogeochemical Processes: A Reality Check, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 620648, 10.1029/2018gb006077, 2019.
- Filoso, S., Vallino, J., Hopkinson, C., Rastetter, E., and Claessens, L.: Modeling nitrogen transport
  in the Ipswich River Basin, Massachusetts, using a hydrological simulation program in
  FORTRAN (HSPF) 1, JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 40,
  1365-1384, 2004.
- Fisher, J., Sitch, S., Malhi, Y., Fisher, R., Huntingford, C., and Tan, S. Y.: Carbon cost of plant
  nitrogen acquisition: A mechanistic, globally applicable model of plant nitrogen uptake,
  retranslocation, and fixation, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 24, 2010.
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby,
   M., and Fung, I.: Climate–carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP model
   intercomparison, Journal of climate, 19, 3337-3353, 2006.
- Gassman, P. W., Reyes, M. R., Green, C. H., and Arnold, J. G.: The soil and water assessment tool:
   Historical development, applications, and future research directions, T Asabe, 50, 1211 1250, 2007.
- Gatel, L., Lauvernet, C., Carluer, N., Weill, S., Tournebize, J., and Paniconi, C.: Global evaluation
  and sensitivity analysis of a physically based flow and reactive transport model on a
  laboratory experiment, Environmental Modelling & Software, 113, 73-83,
  10.1016/j.envsoft.2018.12.006, 2019.
- 898Gleeson, T., Befus, K. M., Jasechko, S., Luijendijk, E., and Cardenas, M. B.: The global volume and899distribution of modern groundwater, Nature Geoscience, 9, 161, 10.1038/ngeo2590
- 900 https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo2590#supplementary-information, 2015.
- Godsey, S. E., Kirchner, J. W., and Clow, D. W.: Concentration–discharge relationships reflect
  chemostatic characteristics of US catchments, Hydrol. Process., 23, 1844-1864,
  10.1002/hyp.7315, 2009.
- Green, T. R.: Linking climate change and groundwater, in: Integrated groundwater management,
   Springer, Cham, 97-141, 2016.
- 906 Gurdak, J. J.: Groundwater: Climate-induced pumping, Nature Geoscience, 10, 71, 2017.





- Hachiya, T., and Sakakibara, H.: Interactions between nitrate and ammonium in their uptake,
  allocation, assimilation, and signaling in plants, Journal of Experimental Botany, 68, 25012512, 10.1093/jxb/erw449, 2016.
- Hamamoto, S., Moldrup, P., Kawamoto, K., and Komatsu, T.: Excluded-volume expansion of
   Archie's law for gas and solute diffusivities and electrical and thermal conductivities in
   variably saturated porous media, Water Resources Research, 46, 2010.
- Han, B., Benner, S. G., and Flores, A. N.: Including Variability across Climate Change Projections
   in Assessing Impacts on Water Resources in an Intensively Managed Landscape, Water,
   11, 286, 2019.
- Hararuk, O., Smith, M. J., and Luo, Y.: Microbial models with data-driven parameters predict
  stronger soil carbon responses to climate change, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21, 2439-2453,
  10.1111/gcb.12827, 2015.
- Hasenmueller, E. A., Jin, L., Stinchcomb, G. E., Lin, H., Brantley, S. L., and Kaye, J. P.: Topographic
  controls on the depth distribution of soil CO2 in a small temperate watershed, Applied
  Geochemistry, 63, 58-69, 2015.
- Hasenmueller, E. A., Gu, X., Weitzman, J. N., Adams, T. S., Stinchcomb, G. E., Eissenstat, D. M.,
  Drohan, P. J., Brantley, S. L., and Kaye, J. P.: Weathering of rock to regolith: The activity of
  deep roots in bedrock fractures, Geoderma, 300, 11-31, 2017.
- Heidari, P., Li, L., Jin, L., Williams, J. Z., and Brantley, S. L.: A reactive transport model for Marcellus
   shale weathering, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 217, 421-440, 2017.
- Herndon, E. M., Dere, A. L., Sullivan, P. L., Norris, D., Reynolds, B., and Brantley, S. L.: Landscape
   heterogeneity drives contrasting concentration—discharge relationships in shale
   headwater catchments, Hydrology and earth system sciences, 19, 3333-3347, 2015.
- Hindmarsh, A. C., Brown, P. N., Grant, K. E., Lee, S. L., Serban, R., Shumaker, D. E., and Woodward,
  C. S.: SUNDIALS: Suite of nonlinear and differential/algebraic equation solvers, ACM
  Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 31, 363-396, 2005.
- Hodges, C., Kim, H., Brantley, S. L., and Kaye, J.: Soil CO 2 and O 2 Concentrations Illuminate the
   Relative Importance of Weathering and Respiration to Seasonal Soil Gas Fluctuations, Soil
   Science Society of America Journal, 83, 1167-1180, 2019.
- 936Jin, L., and Brantley, S. L.: Soil chemistry and shale weathering on a hillslope influenced by937convergent hydrologic flow regime at the Susquehanna/Shale Hills Critical Zone938Observatory, Applied Geochemistry, 26, Supplement, S51-S56,93910.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.03.027, 2011.
- Jin, L. X., Ravella, R., Ketchum, B., Bierman, P. R., Heaney, P., White, T., and Brantley, S. L.: Mineral
  weathering and elemental transport during hillslope evolution at the Susquehanna/Shale
  Hills Critical Zone Observatory, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 74, 3669-3691,
  10.1016/j.gca.2010.03.036, 2010.
- Kaiser, K., and Guggenberger, G.: Mineral surfaces and soil organic matter, European Journal of
   Soil Science, 54, 219-236, 10.1046/j.1365-2389.2003.00544.x, 2003.
- Kirchner, J. W.: A double paradox in catchment hydrology and geochemistry, Hydrol. Process., 17,
   871-874, 10.1002/hyp.5108, 2003.
- Kuntz, B. W., Rubin, S., Berkowitz, B., and Singha, K.: Quantifying Solute Transport at the Shale
  Hills Critical Zone Observatory, Vadose Zone Journal, 10, 843-857, 10.2136/vzj2010.0130,
  2011.





951 Lam, Q. D., Schmalz, B., and Fohrer, N.: Modelling point and diffuse source pollution of nitrate in 952 a rural lowland catchment using the SWAT model, Agricultural Water Management, 97, 317-325, 10.1016/j.agwat.2009.10.004, 2010. 953 Laroche, A.-M., Gallichand, J., Lagacé, R., and Pesant, A.: Simulating atrazine transport with HSPF 954 in an agricultural watershed, Journal of Environmental Engineering, 122, 622-630, 1996. 955 956 Leonard, L., and Duffy, C. J.: Essential terrestrial variable data workflows for distributed water 957 resources modeling, Environmental modelling & software, 50, 85-96, 2013. Li, L., Salehikhoo, F., Brantley, S. L., and Heidari, P.: Spatial zonation limits magnesite dissolution 958 959 in porous media, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 126, 555-573, 960 10.1016/j.gca.2013.10.051, 2014. Li, L., Bao, C., Sullivan, P. L., Brantley, S., Shi, Y., and Duffy, C.: Understanding watershed 961 962 hydrogeochemistry: 2. Synchronized hydrological and geochemical processes drive 963 stream chemostatic behavior, Water Resources Research, 53, 2346-2367, 2017a. 964 Li, L., Maher, K., Navarre-Sitchler, A., Druhan, J., Meile, C., Lawrence, C., Moore, J., Perdrial, J., 965 Sullivan, P., Thompson, A., Jin, L., Bolton, E. W., Brantley, S. L., Dietrich, W. E., Mayer, K. 966 U., Steefel, C. I., Valocchi, A., Zachara, J., Kocar, B., McIntosh, J., Tutolo, B. M., Kumar, M., 967 Sonnenthal, E., Bao, C., and Beisman, J.: Expanding the role of reactive transport models 968 critical zone processes, Earth-Science Reviews, 165, 280-301, in 10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.09.001, 2017b. 969 970 Li, L., DiBiase, R. A., Del Vecchio, J., Marcon, V., Hoagland, B., Xiao, D., Wayman, C., Tang, Q., He, 971 Y., Silverhart, P., Szink, I., Forsythe, B., Williams, J. Z., Shapich, D., Mount, G. J., Kaye, J., 972 Guo, L., Lin, H., Eissenstat, D., Dere, A., Brubaker, K., Kaye, M., Davis, K. J., Russo, T., and 973 Brantley, S. L.: The Effect of Lithology and Agriculture at the Susquehanna Shale Hills 974 Critical Zone Observatory, Vadose Zone Journal, 17, 10.2136/vzj2018.03.0063, 2018. 975 Li, L.: Watershed reactive transport, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 85, 381-418, 2019. Lin, H.: Temporal stability of soil moisture spatial pattern and subsurface preferential flow 976 pathways in the shale hills catchment, Vadose Zone J, 5, 317-340, 10.2136/vzj2005.0058, 977 978 2006. Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Akbarzadeh, Z., Bouskill, N. J., Van Cappellen, P., and 979 Regnier, P.: Nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high?, 980 981 Global Change Biology, 0, doi:10.1111/gcb.14504, 2018. 982 MacQuarrie, K. T. B., and Mayer, K. U.: Reactive transport modeling in fractured rock: A state-ofthe-science review, Earth-Science Reviews, 72, 189-227, 10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.07.003, 983 984 2005. Marin-Spiotta, E., Silver, W. L., Swanston, C. W., and Ostertag, R.: Soil organic matter dynamics 985 during 80 years of reforestation of tropical pastures, Global Change Biology, 15, 1584-986 987 1597, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01805.x, 2009. Maxwell, R. M., Lundquist, J. K., Mirocha, J. D., Smith, S. G., Woodward, C. S., and Tompson, A. F.: 988 989 Development of a coupled groundwater-atmosphere model, Monthly Weather Review, 990 139, 96-116, 2011. Mayer, K. U., Frind, E. O., and Blowes, D. W.: Multicomponent reactive transport modeling in 991 992 variably saturated porous media using a generalized formulation for kinetically controlled 993 reactions, Water Resources Research, 38, 13-11-13-21, 10.1029/2001wr000862, 2002.





- Miller, M. P., Tesoriero, A. J., Hood, K., Terziotti, S., and Wolock, D. M.: Estimating Discharge and
   Nonpoint Source Nitrate Loading to Streams From Three End-Member Pathways Using
   High-Frequency Water Quality Data, Water Resources Research, 53, 10201-10216,
   10.1002/2017wr021654, 2017.
- Miller, M. P., Capel, P. D., García, A. M., and Ator, S. W.: Response of Nitrogen Loading to the
   Chesapeake Bay to Source Reduction and Land Use Change Scenarios: A SPARROW Informed Analysis, JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 56, 100 112, 2020.
- Moatar, F., Abbott, B. W., Minaudo, C., Curie, F., and Pinay, G.: Elemental properties, hydrology,
   and biology interact to shape concentration discharge curves for carbon, nutrients,
   sediment, and major ions, Water Resources Research, 53, 1270-1287, 2017.
- 1005 Monod, J.: The growth of bacterial cultures, Annual review of microbiology, 3, 371-394, 1949.
- Moriasi, D. N., Gowda, P. H., Arnold, J. G., Mulla, D. J., Ale, S., and Steiner, J. L.: Modeling the
  impact of nitrogen fertilizer application and tile drain configuration on nitrate leaching
  using SWAT, Agricultural Water Management, 130, 36-43, 10.1016/j.agwat.2013.08.003,
  2013.
- Musolff, A., Schmidt, C., Selle, B., and Fleckenstein, J. H.: Catchment controls on solute export,
   Adv. Water Resour., 86, 133-146, 10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.026, 2015.
- Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R., and Williams, J. R.: Soil and water assessment tool
   theoretical documentation version 2009, Texas Water Resources Institute, 2011.
- Niu, J., and Phanikumar, M. S.: Modeling watershed-scale solute transport using an integrated,
   process-based hydrologic model with applications to bacterial fate and transport, Journal
   of Hydrology, 529, 35-48, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.013, 2015.
- Ostle, N. J., Smith, P., Fisher, R., Woodward, F. I., Fisher, J. B., Smith, J. U., Galbraith, D., Levy, P.,
  Meir, P., McNamara, N. P., and Bardgett, R. D.: Integrating plant-soil interactions into
  global carbon cycle models, Journal of Ecology, 97, 851-863, 10.1111/j.13652745.2009.01547.x, 2009.
- Ottoy, S., Elsen, A., Van De Vreken, P., Gobin, A., Merckx, R., Hermy, M., and Van Orshoven, J.:
   An exponential change decline function to estimate soil organic carbon stocks and their
   changes from topsoil measurements, European Journal of Soil Science, 67, 816-826, 2016.
- Porporato, A., D'odorico, P., Laio, F., and Rodriguez-Iturbe, I.: Hydrologic controls on soil carbon
   and nitrogen cycles. I. Modeling scheme, Advances in water resources, 26, 45-58, 2003.
- Qiu, H., Niu, J., and Hu, B. X.: Quantifying the integrated water and carbon cycle in a data-limited
   karst basin using a process-based hydrologic model, Environmental Earth Sciences, 78,
   328, 2019.
- 1029Qu, Y., and Duffy, C. J.: A semidiscrete finite volume formulation for multiprocess watershed1030simulation, Water Resour. Res., 43, W08419, 10.1029/2006wr005752, 2007.
- Rasmussen, C., Heckman, K., Wieder, W. R., Keiluweit, M., Lawrence, C. R., Berhe, A. A.,
  Blankinship, J. C., Crow, S. E., Druhan, J. L., Hicks Pries, C. E., Marin-Spiotta, E., Plante, A.
  F., Schädel, C., Schimel, J. P., Sierra, C. A., Thompson, A., and Wagai, R.: Beyond clay:
  towards an improved set of variables for predicting soil organic matter content,
  Biogeochemistry, 137, 297-306, 10.1007/s10533-018-0424-3, 2018.





- Regnier, P., and Steefel, C. I.: A high resolution estimate of the inorganic nitrogen flux from the Scheldt estuary to the coastal North Sea during a nitrogen-limited algal bloom, spring 1995, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63, 1359-1374, 10.1016/s0016-7037(99)00034-4, 1999.
  Rutherford, D. W., Chiou, C. T., and Kile, D. E.: Influence of soil organic matter composition on the partition of organic compounds, Environmental science & technology, 26, 336-340,
- 1042 1992.
- Saha, D., Rau, B. M., Kaye, J. P., Montes, F., Adler, P. R., and Kemanian, A. R.: Landscape control
   of nitrous oxide emissions during the transition from conservation reserve program to
   perennial grasses for bioenergy, GCB Bioenergy, 9, 783-795, 10.1111/gcbb.12395, 2017.
- Scudeler, C., Pangle, L., Pasetto, D., Niu, G.-Y., Volkmann, T., Paniconi, C., Putti, M., and Troch, P.:
   Multiresponse modeling of variably saturated flow and isotope tracer transport for a
   hillslope experiment at the Landscape Evolution Observatory, Hydrology and Earth
   System Sciences, 20, 4061-4078, 2016.
- Sebestyen, S. D., Ross, D. S., Shanley, J. B., Elliott, E. M., Kendall, C., Campbell, J. L., Dail, D. B.,
   Fernandez, I. J., Goodale, C. L., and Lawrence, G. B.: Unprocessed Atmospheric Nitrate in
   Waters of the Northern Forest Region in the US and Canada, Environmental science &
   technology, 53, 3620-3633, 2019.
- Seibert, J., Grabs, T., Köhler, S., Laudon, H., Winterdahl, M., and Bishop, K.: Linking soil- and
   stream-water chemistry based on a Riparian Flow-Concentration Integration Model,
   Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 2287-2297, 10.5194/hess-13-2287-2009, 2009.
- 1057 Shi, Y.: Development of a land surface hydrologic modeling and data assimilation system for the 1058 study of subsurface-land surface interaction, 2012.
- Shi, Y., Davis, K. J., Duffy, C. J., and Yu, X.: Development of a coupled land surface hydrologic
   model and evaluation at a critical zone observatory, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 14,
   1401-1420, 2013.
- Shi, Y., Eissenstat, D. M., He, Y., and Davis, K. J.: Using a spatially-distributed hydrologic
   biogeochemistry model with a nitrogen transport module to study the spatial variation of
   carbon processes in a Critical Zone Observatory, Ecological Modelling, 380, 8-21, 2018.
- Skamarock, W., and Klemp, J.: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Model Version 4.
   Ncar Technical Notes, No, NCAR/TN-556+ STR, 2019.
- Steefel, C., Appelo, C., Arora, B., Jacques, D., Kalbacher, T., Kolditz, O., Lagneau, V., Lichtner, P.,
   Mayer, K. U., and Meeussen, J.: Reactive transport codes for subsurface environmental
   simulation, Computational Geosciences, 19, 445-478, 2015.
- Steefel, C. I., and Lasaga, A. C.: A coupled model for transport of multiple chemical species and
   kinetic precipitation/dissolution reactions with application to reactive flow in single phase
   hydrothermal systems, American Journal of science, 294, 529-592, 1994.
- Steimke, A. L., Han, B., Brandt, J. S., and Flores, A. N.: Climate change and curtailment: Evaluating
   water management practices in the context of changing runoff regimes in a snowmelt dominated basin, Water, 10, 1490, 2018.
- Taylor, R. G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., Van Beek, R., Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L., Leblanc,
   M., Famiglietti, J. S., and Edmunds, M.: Ground water and climate change, Nature climate
   change, 3, 322, 2013.





1079 Thornton, P. E., Doney, S. C., Lindsay, K., Moore, J. K., Mahowald, N., Randerson, J. T., Fung, I., 1080 Lamarque, J. F., Feddema, J. J., and Lee, Y. H.: Carbon-nitrogen interactions regulate climate-carbon cycle feedbacks: results from an atmosphere-ocean general circulation 1081 model, Biogeosciences, 6, 2099-2120, 10.5194/bg-6-2099-2009, 2009. 1082 1083 Todd, D. K., and Mays, L. W.: Groundwater Hydrology, Welly Inte, 2005. 1084 Trumbore, S. E.: Comparison of carbon dynamics in tropical and temperate soils using radiocarbon measurements, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 1085 7, 275-290, 1086 10.1029/93GB00468, 1993. van der Velde, Y., de Rooij, G. H., Rozemeijer, J. C., van Geer, F. C., and Broers, H. P.: Nitrate 1087 1088 response of a lowland catchment: On the relation between stream concentration and 1089 travel time distribution dynamics, Water Resources Research, 46. 10.1029/2010wr009105, 2010. 1090 van der Velde, Y., Vercauteren, N., Jaramillo, F., Dekker, S. C., Destouni, G., and Lyon, S. W.: 1091 1092 Exploring hydroclimatic change disparity via the Budyko framework, Hydrological Processes, 28, 4110-4118, 10.1002/hyp.9949, 2014. 1093 1094 Weiler, M., and McDonnell, J. R. J.: Testing nutrient flushing hypotheses at the hillslope scale: A 1095 virtual experiment approach, J. Hydrol., 319, 339-356, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.06.040, 1096 2006. Weitzman, J. N., and Kaye, J. P.: Nitrogen Budget and Topographic Controls on Nitrous Oxide in a 1097 1098 Shale-Based Watershed, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 123, 1888-1099 1908, 2018. 1100 Wen, H., Perdrial, J., Bernal, S., Abbott, B. W., Dupas, R., Godsey, S. E., Harpold, A., Rizzo, D., Underwood, K., and Adler, T.: Temperature controls production but hydrology controls 1101 1102 export of dissolved organic carbon at the catchment scale, 24, 945-966, 2020. Wieder, W. R., Grandy, A. S., Kallenbach, C. M., and Bonan, G. B.: Integrating microbial physiology 1103 1104 and physio-chemical principles in soils with the MIcrobial-MIneral Carbon Stabilization 1105 (MIMICS) model, Biogeosciences, 11, 3899-3917, 10.5194/bg-11-3899-2014, 2014. Wieder, W. R., Allison, S. D., Davidson, E. A., Georgiou, K., Hararuk, O., He, Y., Hopkins, F., Luo, Y., 1106 1107 Smith, M. J., and Sulman, B.: Explicitly representing soil microbial processes in Earth 1108 system models, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 1782-1800, 2015. 1109 Winter, T., Harvey, J., Franke, O., and Alley, W.: Natural processes of ground-water and surfacewater interaction, Ground Water and Surface Water: A Single Resource, US Geological 1110 Survey Circular, 1139, 2-50, 1998. 1111 Yan, Z. F., Bond-Lamberty, B., Todd-Brown, K. E., Bailey, V. L., Li, S. L., Liu, C. Q., and Liu, C. X.: A 1112 1113 moisture function of soil heterotrophic respiration that incorporates microscale 1114 processes, Nature Communications, 9, 10.1038/s41467-018-04971-6, 2018. 1115 Zarnetske, J. P., Bouda, M., Abbott, B. W., Saiers, J., and Raymond, P. A.: Generality of hydrologic 1116 transport limitation of watershed organic carbon flux across ecoregions of the United 1117 States, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 11,702-711,711, 2018. 1118 Zhi, W., Li, L., Dong, W. M., Brown, W., Kaye, J., Steefel, C., and Williams, K. H.: Distinct Source 1119 Water Chemistry Shapes Contrasting Concentration-Discharge Patterns, Water Resources 1120 Research, 55, 4233-4251, 10.1029/2018wr024257, 2019.