1 Dear Editor and Reviewers:

This is a major reversion of manuscript gmd-2020-124. Thank you for your interest and helpful comments on our paper. In the revised version, we reorganized our contents, added several important technological details, and extended the experiments and evaluations. The most significant differences of the reversion and original version are listed as follow:

5 1.**Title:** The title of the paper was changed from "Adaptive lossy compression of climate model data based on 6 hierarchical tensor with Adaptive-HGFDR (V1.0)" to "Lossy compression of earth system model data based on 7 hierarchical tensor with Adaptive-HGFDR (V1.0)".

8 **2.Research Motivation:** We rewrote the introduction and basic idea part. In the revise manuscript, we removed the 9 discussion on the uniform distribution of compression error, and focus the topic on adequately exploring the spatio-temporal 10 coupling correlations to reduce the compression error. To make this motivation more clear, we reclassify the existing lossy methods as the predictive and transform methods from the perspective of how the data is approximated. We reviewed the 11 12 hierarchical-tensor based methods have advantages in utilizing the spatio-temporal coupling correlations to approximate the 13 original data, because they treat all dimensions as a whole, largely reducing the information loss in compression. 14 Additionally, assign each data block is assigned the independent compression parameter to better capture the local variation 15 of the coupling correlations to improve the approximation accuracy.

16 **3.Basic Idea:** We developed our method based on the comprehensive consideration of ESMD characteristics. ESMD 17 have multiple variables with multidimensional structures and the coupling relation, the data distributions along different 18 dimensions of the ESMD are always unbalanced, and the acceptable error of different variables in ESMD is different. Thus, 19 we develop our method form the following perspectives. Firstly, an ideal lossy compression should have the simple 20 parameter and the parameter should be selected adaptively for the acceptable error range of different variables. Secondly, the 21 original data should be divided into a series of local data with more balanced size to reduce the effect of the dimensional 22 unbalance of ESMD. Additionally, the local data in ESMD should have the independent compression parameter to capture 23 the local variation of the multidimensional coupling correlation to improve the approximation accuracy. With these ideas, we 24 developed Lossy compression of earth system model data based on hierarchical tensor with Adaptive-HGFDR.

5.Experiments: In the experiments section, we added additional experiments with the method SZ, considering that SZ may cause the data inconsistency of compression methods, when the data are extracted and analyzed through different orders of dimension combinations. Thus, to verify that the proposed compression method is unrelated to the data organization order, different variables are selected and organized with different orders. Then the advanced predict method SZ and the proposed method are applied to these reorganized data to realize the lossy compression, and the dimensional distributions of compression errors are used to explore the relevance of the method to the data organization order.

31

To improve the language expressions, we have carefully checked and modified the manuscript accordingly, we also provide a detailed response as follow. We hope this time our paper will meet the high standard criteria of the Geoscientific Model Development.

We have highlighted the changes in the revised manuscript in MS Word, and detailed responses to the comments are listed as follow:

37

38 Referee 2

This paper presents a method that extends previously published work on Blocked-HGFDR to achieve better lossy compression-both in terms of the distribution of residuals as well as compression ratios. This new method is called Adaptive-HGFDR. The paper includes results from compression experiments to justify the claims about the method. Although the manuscript has improved since the last revision, many of the previously pointed-out issues remain, and I also have some concerns not previously raised. Previously pointed-out issues that were not convincingly addressed yet, in my opinion:

45

46 (1). References still seem off: e.g. Anon, 2011; Of and Acm, 2000; Anon, 2013; None, 1970; Text contains (Springer,
47 2011) but not the References section; Diffenderfer (2019 a) vs Diffenderfer (2019 b) in the text when there is only one
48 Diffenderfer et al. in the References section.

49

50 We have carefully corrected all the references.

51

52 (2). An exhaustive list of all outstanding language issues would be too big to list out here. I have included a subset of 53 minor language-related corrections in minor issues, but perhaps the authors should use an "autocorrect tool" to list 54 out all the issues (e.g. I use Grammarly for this purpose).

55

56 To improve the language expressions, we have carefully checked and modified the manuscript accordingly.

57

(3). Line 54: "For the file-based compression method, it is difficult to arbitrarily adjust the compression parameter
 according to the given compression error." This is related to something previously pointed out as not true - I still
 think this is not true - see e.g. https://github.com/LLNL/H5Z-ZFP

61

62 The review about the existing methods in introduction part has been rewrote. These lossy methods have been classified as 63 the predictive and transform methods from the perspective of how the data is approximated. The improper statement about 64 the file-based compression method has been deleted.

(4)Line 73: "...ZFP (Diffenderfer et al., 2019b) are typical methods that use the[sic] feature prediction to achieve lossy
compression." Also pointed out in previous reviews that it is not clear why this is being called feature prediction. I
looked up the cited paper and it doesn't mention the word "feature". So where is this insight from?

69

The review about the existing methods in introduction part has been rewrote. These lossy methods have been classified as the predictive and transform methods from the perspective of how the data is approximated. The ZFP is classified as the one of the advanced predictive methods.

73

(5)The captions for all figures should be expanded so that the reader can answer the questions of "What is going on in
this figure?", "What does that mean?", "Why should I care?" are answered right there in the caption, i.e. without
having to read the full text.

77

78 All figures have been reproduced, and the detailed captions for all figures have be expanded.

79

80 (6)Repeating a previous reviewer's comment: "Not all ESMD data is high-precision. In fact, it is typical that 81 calculations are done in double precision, but that data is output in single precision (e.g., for CESM). What is the 82 precision of the data that you are compressing?" While this was answered in the rebuttal document, but the 83 manuscript was not updated correspondingly (I did a quick Ctrl+F for the word "precision")

84

Yes. The original data we used is double precision. We first process the data into single precision, and then compress it with the proposed method. We have added the corresponding explanation in page 8 line 219.

87

(7)The above is one example where the authors responded to a comment in the rebuttal but the manuscript was not updated correspondingly, but there are many more. I would advise the authors to go through all the comments from the previous round and make sure the comments are addressed in the manuscript text and not just the rebuttal document. Issues not previously pointed out but would be nice to fix regardless: The motivation for why a uniform distribution of compression errors is something to strive for is not convincing for me. I understand that lines 36-39 are attempting to do this but I honestly can't follow the line of reasoning. Since this is the core "Why should I care?" of this paper, I think the authors would do well to explain this better.

95

96 In this revised version. We totally rewrote the motivation. The spatio-temporal coupling correlations exist in ESMD, which 97 increases the difficulties in accurately approximating data in lossy compression, thus reduces the compression performance. 98 Therefore, we removed the discussion on the uniform distribution of compression error, and we focuses on adequately 99 exploring the spatio-temporal coupling correlations to reduce the compression error. Since the multidimensionality and 100 heterogeneity are the natural attributes of ESMD, we further focus on constructing the lossy compression method that 101 integrates both global and local spatio-temporal coupling correlations from the perspective of multiple dimensions. With this 102 idea, we developed Lossy compression of earth system model data based on hierarchical tensor with Adaptive-HGFDR.

- 103
- 104

(8)The paper would be easier to read if it had a clear "Contributions" section. As I understand it, the delta of the method described here, as compared to Blocked HGFDR is that a) each block can have its own rank b) a proposed method for calculating the optimal rank per block. I think the readers would appreciate having this spelled out towards the beginning of the paper.

109

110 We have strengthened the contribution in the abstract, introduction and conclusion parts.

We developed an adaptive lossy compression method based on Blocked-HGFDR and improve Blocked-HGFDR from the following perspectives. Firstly, the original data are divided into a series of data blocks with more balanced size to reduce the effect of the dimensional unbalance of ESMD. Then based on the mathematical relationship between the compression parameter and compression error in Blocked-HGFDR, the control mechanism is developed to determine the optimal compression parameter for the given compression error. By assigning each data block independent compression parameter, Adaptive-HGFDR can capture the local variation of multidimensional coupling correlations to improve the approximation accuracy.

- 118
- 119

(9). The "fast search algorithm" described in Definition 4 (line 194) appears to be stated as an original contribution in
this paper. To me, this appears to be a rephrasing of "Binary Search", a method that is commonly used in this space.
In fact, Grasedyk et al, cited here, also uses this algorithm. The authors would do the reader a favour by making this
clearer – either by clearly stating that this is a description of Binary Search, or by clarifying the difference between
the stated method and Binary Search.

- 125
- 126 With the constructed controlling mechanism, the binary search algorithm is adopted to find the optimal parameter for the 127 data block. We have corrected the corresponding expression in page 7 line 203~206.
- 128
- 129

130 (10)Assuming that I'm not mistaken in the above comment, I'm not convinced that Rouillier et. al. is the best article

131 to cite for the log(n) complexity of Binary Search.

132

133 The reference article has been replaced.

134

(11) Line 55: "For the error truncation-based[sic] compression, the distribution of floatingpoint precision of ESMD is not uniform, which could lead to the unevenly[sic] distribution of compression errors." Either I misunderstood the statement or this is not true. That rounding/truncation errors are approximately uniformly distributed is a wellknown result and used in fields from signal processing to machine learning (e.g. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.01436.pdf this paper refers to it as quantization error). Line 60: "To summarize, it is hard to achieve flexible control of the compression ratio and errors for the description-based lossy compression methods." Perhaps as a result of the other concerns I raise elsewhere, but there doesn't seem to be enough justification provided to make this claim.

142

143 The review of the existing methods has been rewrote. The inappropriate expression has been revised.

144

(12) Line 83: "None of these methods considers ESMD as the[sic] high dimensional data with the heterogeneous correlation between different dimensions." I'm not sure about the other methods but ZFP and SZ surely consider higher-dimensional data. In fact, Tao et. al (2017), cited here, talks specifically about multidimensional prediction. Can the authors please clarify why this does not meet their definition of considering ESMD as high dimensional data?

149

Generally, ESMD is the spatio-temporal data with coupling correlations among multiple dimensions. However, most of the current existing lossy compression methods, including both predictive and transform lossy compression methods, integrate the spatio-temporal coupling correlations to the data approximation on the foundation of mapping multidimensional data into low dimensional vector or matrics. Few of these methods directly process multidimensional ESMD as a whole, which may destroy the multidimensional coupling correlations that largely affect the approximation accuracy in lossy compression. We have corrected the corresponding expression in the introduction and conclusion sections.

156

157 (13). Equation 3 is from Yuan et al (2015) and should be cited as such

158 We have added the corresponding reference in page 7 line 189.

159

160 (14). As I understand it, the hardware used here (HP Compaq Elite 8380 219 MT with Intel Core i7-3770 3.4 GHz

161 processors and 8 GB of RAM) is really small compared to what would be used in realistic runs of this problem. I 162 think this should be pointed out since the compression times are an essential result being reported.

The proposed compression method is for the model analysis for the end-user, more than the model developer, this is why we choose to conduct the experiment on PC. The experimental results also show that the proposed method can support the lossy compression of ESMD on the ordinary PCs both in terms of the space occupation and compression time. We have added the corresponding expression in introduction and conclusion section.

168

169 (15). It's not clear how the data for Figure 3 was obtained. e.g. text says "... zfp algorithm are affected by the 170 tolerance parameter, which is set to 0.5 ". Does that mean all the data points in Fig 3 for zfp were obtained by the 171 same setting? Then what was varied to get a variation of compression ratios?

- 172 In ZFP, the key parameter is the tolerance. For the given compression error, we conduct the simulation experiments with 173 many random tolerances, and then the ideal tolerances is achieved when the corresponding compression errors are close to 174 the given compression errors. Thus, the tolerance parameters are 0.05, 0.3, 0.5, 3.8 and 10. The detail statement about the 175 parameter of ZFP are added in page 12 line 300~302.
- 176

177 (16). When I attempted to answer the above question myself by looking at the provided code, I realised that the code

178 does not include ZFP anywhere. Please correct me if I'm mistaken in this conclusion. I think the authors should

179 provide the code to reproduce all of the plots in the paper.

180 The code of the algorithm in this work is provided in the form of hyperlink in page 19 line 447~448.

181

182 (17)**Minor issues:**

- 183 Line 40: "The main idea of ESMD lossy compressions is to eliminate unnecessary information in data to reduce the
- 184 data size." This is true of any compression, not just ESMD
- 185 lossy compression. Also compressions->compression.
- 186 **develope** -> **develop**
- 187 exiting -> existing unbalance -> imbalance
- 188 prominent components -> principal components?
- 189 we have corrected the corresponding expression.
- 190
- 191
- 192
- 193
- 194
- 195

196 Referee 2

- 198 The paper is in good shape, it just needs some light editing:
- 199 Line 205: typo "coressponding"
- 200 Line 214-215: is this supposed to be an in-line reference?
- 201 Line 235: typo "whcih"
- 202 Line 245: "and" in odd position in "4, 16, 64, and 128, 256"
- 203 Line 253: typo "Bedsides"
- 204 Line 310: space in wrong position in "slices(the"
- 205 Line 332: typo "Form"
- 206 Line 339: "figure" should be capitalized
- 207 we have corrected the corresponding expression.

Lossy compression of earth system model data based on hierarchical tensor with Adaptive-HGFDR (V1.0)

Zhaoyuan Yu^{1,2}, Dongshuang Li^{3,4}, Zhengfang Zhang¹, Wen Luo^{1,2}, Yuan Liu¹, Zengjie Wang¹,
 Linwang Yuan^{1,2,*}

²²⁷ ¹Key Laboratory of Virtual Geographic Environment, Ministry of Education, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China,

²Jiangsu Center for Collaborative Innovation in Geographical Information Resource Development and Application, Nanjing,
 China,

230 ³Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Physiology/Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Crop Cultivation and Physiology,

- 231 Agricultural College of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China,
- ²³² ⁴Jiangsu Co Innovation Center for Modern Production Technology of Grain Crops, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China
- 233 Correspondence to: Linwang Yuan (email: yuanlinwang@njnu.edu.cn)

234 Abstract. Lossy compression has been applied to the data compression of the large-scale earth system model data (ESMD) 235 due to its advantages of a high compression ratio. However, few lossy compression methods consider both the global and 236 local multidimensional coupling correlations, which could lead to the information loss in data approximation of lossy 237 compression. Here, an adaptive lossy compression method, Adaptive-HGFDR is developed on the foundation of a stream 238 compression method for geospatial data, Blocked Hierarchical Geospatial Field Data Representation (Blocked-HGFDR). Yet, 239 the original Blocked-HGFDR method is improved from the following perspectives. Firstly, the original data are divided into 240 a series of data blocks with more balanced size to reduce the effect of the dimensional unbalance of ESMD. Then based on 241 the mathematical relationship between the compression parameter and compression error in Blocked-HGFDR, the control 242 mechanism is developed to determine the optimal compression parameter for the given compression error. By assigning each 243 data block independent compression parameter. Adaptive-HGFDR can capture the local variation of multidimensional 244 coupling correlations to improve the approximation accuracy. Experiments are carried out based on the Community Earth 245 System Model (CESM) data. The results show that our method has higher compression ratio and more uniform error 246 distributions, compared with ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR. For the compression results among 22 climate variables, Adaptive-247 HGFDR can achieve good compression performances for most flux variables with significant spatio-temporal heterogeneity 248 and fast changing. This study provides a new potential method for the lossy compression of the large-scale earth system 249 model data.

250 1 Introduction

251 Earth System Model Data (ESMD), which comprehensively characterize the spatio-temporal changes of earth system with

252 multiple variables, are presented as multidimensional arrays of floating-point numbers (Kuhn et al., 2016;Simmons, 2016).

253 With the rapid development of earth system models in finer computational grids and growing ensembles of multi-scenario

254 simulation experiments, ESMD have shown an exponential increase in data volume (Nielsen et al., 2017; Sudmanns et al.,

255 2018). The huge data volume brings considerable challenges to the data computation, storage, and analysis on ordinary PCs, 256 which will further limit the research and application of ESMD. Lossy compression, which focuses on saving large amounts 257 of data space by approximating the original data, is considered as an alternative solution to meet the challenge of the large 258 data volume(Baker et al., 2016; Nathanael et al., 2013). However, ESMD, as a comprehensive interaction of earth system 259 variables at different aspects of space, time, and attributes, show the significant multidimensional coupling correlations(Runge et al., 2019; Mashhoodi et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2019). The mixture of different coupling correlations then 260 261 leads to complex structures, such as the uneven distribution, spatially nonhomogeneity and temporally nonstationary, which increases the difficulties in accurately approximating data in lossy compression. Thus, developing a lossy compression 262 263 method that could adequately explore the multidimensional coupling correlations is an important way to reduce the 264 compression error(Moon et al., 2017).

265 Predictive and transform methods are two of the most widely used lossy compression approaches in terms of how the data is 266 approximated. Predictive lossy compression predicts the data with parametric functions, and the compression is achieved by 267 typically retaining (and encoding) the residual between the predicted and actual data value. For example, NUMARCK learns 268 emerging distributions of element-wise change ratios and encodes them into an index table to be concisely 269 represented(Zheng et al., 2016). ISABELA applies a preconditioner to seemingly random and noisy data along spatial 270 resolution to achieve an accurate fitting model for the data compression(Lakshminarasimhan et al., 2013). In these methods, 271 the multidimensional ESMD are processed as low dimensional sequences or series without considering the multidimensional 272 coupling correlations. SZ, one of the most advanced lossy compression methods, features adaptive error-controlled 273 quantization and variable-length encoding to achieve the optimized compression (Ziv and Lempel, 2003). In SZ, a set of 274 adjacent quantization bins are used to convert each original floating point data value to an integer along the first dimension 275 of the data based on its prediction error (Di et al., 2019). With a well-designed error control mechanism, SZ can achieve the 276 uniform compression error distribution. However, SZ predicts the data point only along the first dimension, and it is not 277 designed to be used along the other dimensions or use a dynamic selection mechanism for the dimension (Tao et al., 2017). 278 This makes the data inconsistency problem of SZ, where the same ESMD with different organization orders can capture 279 different multidimensional coupling correlations, and further produce different compressed data.

280 Transform methods, reduce data volumes by transforming the original data to another space where the majority of the 281 generated data are small, such that the data compression can be achieved by storing a subset of the transform coefficients 282 with a certain loss in terms of the user's required error (Diffenderfer et al., 2019; Andrew et al., 2020). One example is the 283 image-based method, which slices ESMD from different dimensions into separate images, and each image is then 284 compressed by feature filtering with wavelet transformation or Discrete Fourier Transform (Taubman and Marcellin, 2002). 285 As the compression is applied to the single image slice, the coupling correlations among multiple dimensions are not always 286 well utilized. More advanced method like ZFP splits the original data into small blocks with an edge size of 4 along each 287 dimension, and compresses each block independently via a floating-point representation with a single common exponent per 288 block, an orthogonal block transform, and embedded encoding(Tao et al., 2018). In ZFP, the multidimensional coupling correlations are integrated by treating all dimensions as a whole through multidimensional blocking. In each block, ZFP converts the high dimensional data into matrics, which yet flattens the data and partially destroys the internal correlations among multiple dimensions. Additionally, with only a single common exponent used in each block, it is inadequate to capture the local variation of the correlations. Thus, the ZFP method is extremely effective in terms of data reduction and accuracy for smooth variables, but are unsurprisingly challenged by variables with abrupt value changes and ranges spanning many orders of magnitude, both of which are common in ESMD outputs (Baker et al., 2014).

295 Most of the current existing lossy compression methods, including predictive and transform lossy compression methods. 296 integrate the multidimensional coupling correlations to the process of data approximation on the foundation of mapping 297 multidimensional data into low dimensional vector or matrics(Wang et al., 2005). Few of these methods directly process 298 multidimensional ESMD as a whole. For instance, current predictive methods usually split the original data into a series of 299 local low-dimensional data, then predict each local data respectively. In this way, the splitted data obtained by different split 300 strategies could capture the different coupling correlations, which further lead to the inconsistent compressed results for the 301 same data. Transform methods map the original data to the small space by removing the redundant coupling correlations. 302 Most of these methods have already considered the coupling correlations in the global region. However, each local region 303 still utilizes the data splitting that destroys the local coupling correlations, which result in the weak compression performance 304 for the ESMD with strong local variations. Therefore, constructing the lossy compression method that integrates both global 305 and local coupling correlations from the perspective of multiple dimensions, is helpful to improve the performance of lossy 306 compression for ESMD.

307 Recently, the tensor-based decomposition methods, such as the Canonical Polyadic (CP), Tucker and hierarchical tensor 308 decomposition, have been introduced to the compression of the multidimensional data(Bengua et al., 2016; Jing et al., 2014). 309 The tensor decomposition, which exploits the data features along with each mode and the corresponding coupling 310 relationship by considering the multidimensional data as a whole, can estimate the intrinsic structure of ESMD ignored in the 311 metric model. The core motivation behind the tensor-based decomposition is to eliminate the inconsistent, uncertain, and 312 noisy data without destroying the intrinsic multidimensional coupling correlation structures (Kuang et al., 2018; Du et al., 313 2017). Among these methods, the hierarchical tensor decomposition could achieve higher quality at large compression ratio 314 than traditional tensor methods through extracting data features level by level (Wu et al., 2008). Yuan et al (2015) designed 315 an improved hierarchical tensor method (Blocked-HGFDR) to compress geospatial data with a hierarchical tree structure. 316 showing the obvious advantages in the compression accuracy and compression efficiency. This hierarchical-tensor based 317 method utilizes the multidimensional coupling correlations to approximate the original data by treating all dimensions as a 318 whole, which can largely reduce the information loss in lossy compression. In Blocked-HGFDR, each local data own the 319 same compression parameter and the global average error is used to control the capture of the global multidimensional 320 coupling correlation. Since ESMD are always spatio-temporal heterogeneous where the coupling correlations are various in 321 each local region, the same compression parameter applied to each local data results in the insufficient capture of the local 322 coupling correlation. Although the global average error is relatively small, the obtained results tend to a certain "average" 323 within the each local data, which may make the local compression error very large so as to bring the bias to the data

324 approximation.

325 In this paper, the lossy compression for ESMD is developed based on the Blocked-HGFDR. We firstly construct a division 326 strategy that divides the original data into a series of data blocks with relatively balanced dimension. Then the parameter 327 control mechanism is designed to assign each data block the independent compression parameter under the given 328 compression constraint. After that, Blocked-HGFDR is applied to each data block to achieve the lossy compression. 329 Experiments on climate simulation dataset with 22 variables are carried out to evaluate the performance and applicability of 330 the methods in ESMD compression. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the basic ideas 331 about developing Adaptive-HGFDR. Section 3 discusses the block mechanism, the relationship between the compression 332 parameter and compression error, and the fast search algorithm. Section 4 uses the temperature data to verify that the method 333 can obtain adaptive rank under the accuracy constraint. Section 5 discusses the effectiveness and computational efficiency of 334 the method, as well as the results.

335 2 Basic idea

336 The lossy compression of ESMD should comprehensively consider the characteristics of ESMD. Firstly, since ESMD 337 have multiple variables, the compression parameter of an ideal lossy compression should be simple and can be flexibly 338 adjusted according to the corresponding variables of ESMD. Secondly, since the acceptable error of different variables 339 in ESMD is different, for example, the error of wind speed is very different from that of temperature. So an ideal lossy compression should be able to select adaptively compression parameters for the acceptable error range of different 340 341 variables. Considering that Blocked-HGFDR has simple compression parameter, it can be used for the lossy 342 compression of ESMD. Thirdly, since many variables of ESMD have spatio-temporal heterogeneity, the corresponding 343 coupling correlations are variate within the local region. Thus, the correlations in both global and local region should 344 be well integrated in lossy compression to improve the approximation accuracy.

In order to adequately integrate the multidimensional coupling correlations and adaptively select the compression 345 parameter in Blocked-HGFDR, there are two issues to be considered. The first issue is the dimensional unbalance of 346 347 ESMD. For instance, the data accumulated in the temporal dimension is typically longer than that in the spatial dimension for a spatio-temporal series with long observations. Since the tensor decomposition method treats each 348 349 dimension equally that ignores the dimensional unbalance, it is difficult to accurately approximate data with 350 unbalanced dimensions. Thus, it is better to split the original data into small local data blocks with the more balanced 351 dimension structure, and then applying the tensor decomposition to each local data individually can reduce the 352 approximation bias caused by the dimensional unbalance. The second issue is the parameters selection under the given 353 compression constrains. Since the coupling correlations of ESMD vary within local regions, for the given compression constrains such as the maximum compression error, the compression parameter of different variables or data blocks should be selected flexibly according to the corresponding data characteristic, so as to well capture the local variation of the coupling correlation to improve the approximation accuracy. Therefore, based on the mathematical relationship between the compression error and the compression parameter in Blocked-HGFDR, a control mechanism, which can adjust the compression parameter according to the accuracy demands should be developed.

359 Based on the above considerations, our methods, Adaptive-HGFDR, is developed according to the following three 360 procedures (Figure 1). Procedure 1: Splitting the original ESMD into small data blocks. In this procedure, the 361 dimension to split the data and the optimal size of the data block is determined by conducting different combinations 362 of data blocking in terms of the dimension and block counts. Procedure 2: Conducting the relationship between 363 compression error and compression parameter. In order to obtain a uniform distribution of the compression error for 364 each data block, an empirical relationship between the compression error and the rank value is established, where the rank value of each data block can be adjusted at any given compression error. Procedure 3: Adaptive searching for the 365 optimal compression parameter. A binary search method is used to search the optimal compression parameter, which is 366 367 updated with a parameter control mechanism until the compression error meets the given constraint.

368



369 370

510



372 3 Method

373 **3.1 Block hierarchical tensor compression**

EMSD is a multidimensional array. It can be seen as a tensor with the spatio-temporal references and the associated attributes. Without loss of generality, a three-dimensional tensor can be defined as $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J \times K}$ (Suiker and Chang, 2000), where *I*, *J*, and *K* are values that represent the number of grids along the dimensions of longitude, latitude, and time (or 377 height), respectively. These dimensions are always unbalanced due to the different spatial and temporal resolutions. So, the

378 data block is introduced to reduce the impact of dimension unbalance on the data compression.

379

380

381 Definition 1 Data block

For the spatio-temporal data $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J \times K}$, it can be considered as composed of a series of local data with the same spatiotemporal reference. Here, each local data is defined as the data block as follow:

384
$$part(Z,n) = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$$
 (1)

Here, *part*() is the function that divides the original tensor *Z* into a series of data block $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^m$, each data block C_i includes local spatial and temporal information, and *n* is the number of data blocks. Compared with the original data, the dimensions of these data blocks are smaller and more balanced. For the divided data blocks, in order to adequately capture the multidimensional coupling correlation, the key point is how to determine the compression parameter according to the given compression error.

390

391 Definition 2 Blocked-HGFDR

Based on the divided data blocks, Yuan et al.(2015) proposed the Blocked-HGFDR method based on the hierarchical tensor compression. In this method, the hierarchical tensor compression is applied to each block, then the hierarchical tensor compression of each data block is obtained by selecting the prominent feature components and filtering out the residual structure. This method utilizes the hierarchical structure of data features, greatly reducing data redundancy, and thereby achieving the efficient compression of the amount of spatio-temporal data (Yuan et al., 2015). The overall compression of Blocked-HGFDR can be formulated as:

$$\begin{cases} H(A) = (U_R \otimes U_{R-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_1) \tilde{B}_L \tilde{B}_{L-1} \cdots \tilde{B}_1 B_{12 \cdots R} + \text{res} \\ \tilde{B}_j = B_{p_{L_j}} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{p_L} \qquad j = \{1, 2, \dots, L\} \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

Similar to the prominent components obtained by SVD for two-dimensional data(Yan et al., 2019), the matrix U_R and the sparse transfer tensor B_R are considered to be the r-th component of a third-order tensor in each dimension, respectively, where *R* denotes the number of multi-domain features. The residual tensor, res , in Eq. (2) denotes the information not captured by the decomposition model, and $(U_R \otimes U_{R-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_1) \tilde{B}_L \tilde{B}_{L-1} \cdots \tilde{B}_1 B_{12\cdots R}$ in Eq. (2) is the reconstructed r-th core tensor and feature matrix(Grasedyck, 2010; Song et al.,2013).

404 **3.2 Adaptive selection of parameter and solution**

405 Considering that the distribution characteristic of each divided data block is different (Hackbusch and Kühn, 2002), the key 406 to adequately capture the multidimensional coupling correlations in Blocked-HGFDR is to adaptively select the compression 407 parameter for each local data respectively according to the given compression error. So the key step is to construct 408 controlling mechanism based on the relationship between the compression error and compression parameter. Thus, the 409 following terms are defined.

410

411 Definition 3 The controlling mechanism.

412 In Blocked-HGFDR, the relationship between the compression error and compression parameter (*Rank*) is given as 413 $\varepsilon = a Rank^{-\beta}$ (Yuan et al., 2015), thus the controlling mechanism to determine the compression parameter of each block data 414 should be the rank value closest to the given compression error as follows:

415
$$\varepsilon = a \operatorname{Rank}^{-\beta} \le \varepsilon_{\operatorname{Given}}$$
 (3)

416 ε_{Given} is the given compression error that depends on different application scenarios; a, β are the coefficients depended on the 417 structure and complexity of the data, which can be obtained by the simulation experiment for actual data.

418 In Blocked-HGFDR, the relationship between the compression ratio (φ) and compression parameter (*Rank*) is given as 419 follows:

420
$$\varphi = \frac{datasize}{aRank^3 + bRank^2 + cRank + d}$$
(4)

As shown in Eqs. (2), (3), and (4), in Blocked-HGFDR, with rank decreasing, the compression ratio of Blocked-HGFDR increases, and the compression error also increases. In Blocked-HGFDR, the rank value of different blocks is fixed, which results in the fluctuation of the compression error in the specific dimension. Since the structure of each block is different, the compression parameter of each data block should be determined independently according to the given compression error. Considering that the actual compression error may not strictly satisfy the given value, the optimal parameter is selected as the minimum *Rank* in which the obtained compression error is close to the given one.

427

428 To find the optimal parameter for data block C_i , with the above constructed controlling mechanism, the binary search 429 algorithm based on dichotomy is constructed. That means before adjusting the rank each time, the optimal rank 430 corresponding to the given compression error is constantly approached in half by reducing the selection interval by half of 431 the rank. The algorithm is implemented as follows:

432

Algorithm: the optimal parameter search algorithm based on dichotomy

Input: data block $C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{Q} \times W \times E}$; given compression error *std*_*err*;

Output: the optimal parameter *R*_*Opt*

Function Description: EvalErr(C_i , r) is used to calculate the error of hierarchical tensor SVD of C_i at rank r based

on Eqs. (4) and (6). Round() is the rounding function; Max() is the function which taking the maximum value 1: $R \quad Max = Max(O, W, E), R \quad M in = 0$ 2: $R_Mid = Round(\frac{R_Max + R_Min}{2})$ 3: $err = EvalErr(C_i, R Mid)$ 4: While (err! = std err && R Max > R Min)If (err > std err)5: $R \quad Min = R \quad Mid + 1$ 6: 7: Else $R \quad Max = R \quad Mid - 1$ 8: 9: End If $R_Mid = Round(\frac{R_Max + R_Min}{2})$ 10: 11: $err = EvalErr(C_i, R Mid)$ 12: End While 13: Return (R Opt = R Mid) 433

During the whole algorithm, the function $EvalErr(C_i, r)$ is the computing intensive function that could be the performance bottleneck. If we consider a calculation of $EvalErr(C_i, r)$ as one meta calculation, the complexity of the traditional traversal method is O(n). When introducing the dichotomy optimization, the complexity can be reduced to $O(\log n)$ (Cai et al., 2012).

437 4 Case study

438 4.1 Data description and experimental configuration

439 In this paper, data produced by Community Earth System Model are used as the experimental data to evaluate the 440 compression performance of Adaptive-HGFDR, which can be obtained from Open Science Data Cloud in NetCDF (Network 441 Common Data Form) format (http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3997216). The data set includes air temperature data (T) stored 442 as а $1024 \times 512 \times 26$ (latitude × longitude × height) tensor and other 22 variables stored as 443 a 1024×512×221 (latitude × longitude × time) tensor from 1980/01 to 1998/05. When reading the NetCDF data, a total of 444 48GB memory will be occupied. The original data we used is double precision, we first process the data into single precision, 445 and then the existing methods (SZ, ZFP, Blocked-HGFDR) and the proposed method are applied to compare the

- 446 compression performances. Research experiments were performed by the MATLAB R2017a environment on a Windows 10
- 447 Workstation (HP Compaq Elite 8380 MT) with Intel Corei7-3770 (3.4 GHz) processors and 8 GB of RAM.
- 448

449 The following experiments were performed. (1) In order to transform the original data to data blocks with the balanced 450 dimension, the dimensions of these data blocks are better to have the same size. Thus, the optimal counts of data blocks 451 should be determined. For the given compression error, we randomly divide the original data into a series of data blocks with different block counts. Adaptive-HGFDR is then applied to these data blocks, and the corresponding compression ratios are 452 453 calculated. The optimal block count is achieved at the largest compression ratio. (2) Since ESMD have multiple dimensions 454 and these dimensions may have different organization orders, to verify that the proposed compression method is unrelated 455 with the data organization order, different variables are selected and organized with different orders. Then the advanced 456 predict method SZ and the proposed method are applied to these reorganized data to realize the lossy compression, and the 457 dimensional distributions of compression errors are used to explore the relevance of the method with the data organization 458 order. (3) To verify the advantages of the proposed method for ESMD, the proposed method was compared with the 459 advanced transform method ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR. (4) To show the applicability and the aadvantages of the proposed 460 method for the data with different characteristics, we select 22 variables in ESMD, then the proposed method, ZFP and the 461 Blocked-HGFDR are applied to compare the compression performances. In these experiments, two key indices are used to 462 benchmark the performances; the compression error and compression ratio. The compression error is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} 463\\ 464 \quad \varepsilon &= \frac{\left\| \mathbf{T}_{\text{Original}} - \mathbf{T}_{\text{Reconstruction}} \right\|^2}{\left\| \mathbf{T}_{\text{Original}} \right\|^2} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

465

466 Here, the $\| \|^2$ is the F norm. T_{Original} is the original tensor data, $T_{\text{Reconstruction}}$ is the compressed tensor data.

467 The compression ratio ϕ is calculated as:

$$468 \quad \phi = \frac{D_{\text{original}}}{D_{\text{compression}}} \tag{6}$$

Here, D_{original} is the memory size of original data before compression, $D_{\text{compression}}$ is the memory size of the compressed reconstructed data.

471 **4.2 Optimal block count selection**

The selection of the optimal block count is carried out using the temperature data (T). Here, the block count with a power of 2 will be the best to fit as the near balanced data blocking. Therefore, a series of block counts of 4, 16, 64, and 128, 256 are generated as the potential block counts. For the compression constraint, 10^{-4} is used as an initial given compression error. The relationships between the block count (BC) and the compression ratio are shown in Figure 2. 476 Clearly, the highest compression ratio is reached when the block count equals 16 (BC=16). Hence, the optimum block count 477 is 16, and the corresponding block size is $256 \times 128 \times 26$. It is interesting to find that the overall compression ratio presents a 478 downward trend with BC in the range 16 and 64. When BC is larger than 64, the data volume of each block becomes smaller, 479 and the number of feature components required to achieve the same compression error significantly decrease, so the data 480 volume of each block after compression significantly decreases. Although the number of blocks is increased (BC=128 and 481 BC=256), the significant reduction of local block data volume makes the overall compression ratio show an upward trend. 482 Besides that, the relationship between the block count and the compression ratio is related to the structure and complexity of 483 the data itself, which is different for the data with different distribution characteristics. For the temperature data (T), the 484 compression ratio reaches a maximum when the block count is equal to 16.



485 486

487 Figure 2. The relationship between the block count and the compression ratio

488

Figure 3 show the original data and the compressed data with different block counts. It can be seen there is no significant difference between the original data (Figure 3(a)) and the compressed data (Figure 3(b)-Figure 3(f)), and the distribution characteristics of the compressed data (Figure 3(b)-Figure 3(f)) are consist with the original data (Figure 3(a)). This may because that the prominent feature components are gradually added to approximate the original data to affect the compression error, no matter how many blocks are, the proposed method can approach the given compression error by controlling the rank value to provide the accurate compression results.



Figure 3. Original data and compressed data with different block counts. (a) The original data; (b) the compressed data when data count is 4; (c) the compressed data when data count is 16; (d) the compressed data when data count is 64; (e) the compressed data when data when data count is 128;(f) the compressed data when data count is 256.

500

501 **4.3 Comparison with traditional methods**

502 4.3.1 Comparison with SZ

503 In order to verify that the proposed compression method is unrelated with the data organization order, we select three 504 variables {SOLIN, TREFMXAV,FSNTC} $\in \mathbb{R}^{1024 \times 512 \times 221}$ in ESMD. For each variable, we organize the data with 505 different orders as {221×512×1024, 512×1024×221, 1024×512×221}. Then, the SZ and the proposed method are applied 506 to the data to realize the lossy compression. The error distributions of different compression results in the corresponding 507 dimension are shown in the Figure 4.



509

510 Figure 4. The compression error distribution along different dimensions. (a) The compression error distribution along latitude for

511 SOLIN. (b) The compression error distribution along latitude for TREFMXAV. (c) The compression error distribution along 512 latitude for FSNTC.

513

Figure 4 shows that the dimensional distribution of the compression error in SZ is quite different with the different organization orders of data. This may because the SZ predicts the data point only along the first dimension but not along the other dimensions, thus the compression result varies depending on the order of organization. Since the same ESMD may have the different organization orders, this makes a critical data inconsistency problem of SZ. While, because the proposed method processes the multidimensional data as a whole, the error distribution is independent with the data organization order, thus the dimensional distribution of the error remains consistent.

520 **4.3.2** Comparison with ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR

521 To verify the advantage of the proposed method for ESMD, we compare Adaptive-HGFDR with the Blocked-HGFDR and 522 the ZFP method for the given compression error. Without loss of generality, the relative compression error ratios are set as 10^{-5} , 5×10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , 5×10^{-4} and 10^{-3} respectively. Here, the block count in the proposed method and the Blocked-HGFDR 523 method are both set as 16, and the rank of Blocked-HGFDR is selected as the average of the adaptive rank in each divided 524 525 block data. In ZFP, the key parameter is the tolerance. For the above given compression errors, we conduct the simulation experiments with many random tolerances, then find the ideal tolerances in these cases the corresponding compression errors 526 527 are close to the given compression errors. Thus, the tolerance parameters are 0.05, 0.3, 0.5, 3.8 and 10. The compression 528 ratios of different compression methods under the condition of different compression errors are calculated and shown in 529 Figure 5.



530 531

532 Figure 5. The relationship between the compression error and compression ratio for different methods.

533

534 Figure 5 shows that as the compression error ratio grows, the compression ratio of all three methods becomes larger and 535 larger. However, the growth rate of ZFP is much slower than that of Blocked-HGFDR and Adaptive-HGFDR. When the 536 compression error is less than 0.0001, the compression ratio of ZFP is a little higher than that of Adaptive-HGFDR and 537 Blocked-HGFDR. This may be because that the approximating of the original data with high accuracy requests higher rank, 538 which limits the improvement of compression ratio. When the compression error is 0.001, which is also acceptable for most 539 ESMD data application, the compression ratio of Adaptive-HGFDR increases to 68.16, which means that the compressed 540 data size is 68.16 times smaller than that of the original data. At the compression error of 0.001, the compression ratio of 541 Adaptive-HGFDR, ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR are 68.16, 13.42 and 50.78, respectively. The compression ratio of Adaptive-542 HGFDR is 5.07 times and 1.34 times larger than that of ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR. These may be because that the Adaptive-543 HGFDR can adaptively adjust the compression parameter (rank value) according to the actual data complexity, and thus 544 better capture data features to improve the compression ratio.

545 We summarize the error distribution along the longitude dimension of each method in Figure 6. It is clearly seen that the 546 error distributions of both Adaptive-HGFDR and ZFP are nearly uniform among different longitude dimensions. However, 547 the Blocked-HGFDR method shows significant four segments of abrupt changes at different longitude slices. The oscillation 548 characteristics of the three methods are different. For Adaptive-HGFDR, the error distribution is more acted as low-549 frequency fluctuations while ZFP method is more as higher frequency fluctuations. The Blocked-HGFDR method has very 550 different fluctuations characteristics. For the first 1-230 longitude slices, the error distribution of Blocked-HGFDR is of high 551 frequency fluctuations with relatively high frequency, which is similar to ZFP, while in the rest three segments, it has low 552 amplitude, which has similar fluctuations as Adaptive-HGFDR. For the comparison of the mean value and standard 553 deviation of the error distribution among the three methods, the Adaptive-HGFDR has much smaller standard deviation 554 (6.89×10⁻⁶), compared with ZFP (2.94×10⁻⁵) and Blocked-HGFDR (2.80×10⁻⁵). The Blocked-HGFDR method has the smallest 555 mean compression error (9.35×10^{-5}) , slightly lower than Adaptive-HGFDR (9.83×10^{-5}) , while ZFP has the largest mean 556 compression error (1.29×10^{-4}) .

557 Both Blocked-HGFDR and Adaptive-HGFDR show the small difference between the adjacent slices and the big difference 558 among the different local block data. Due to the spatio-temporal heterogeneity, the feature distributions of each local ESMD 559 are significantly different, but the feature distributions of adjacent slices have a small difference because of the spatio-560 temporal similarity. Meanwhile, since the adjacent compressed slice data have similar characteristics, the error fluctuation of 561 these slices is small. On the contrary, the structure difference of each compressed local block data is large, and the error 562 fluctuation is also large. In Blocked-HGFDR, the compression parameter of each block are fixed, and the characteristic 563 difference of data in each block is ignored. This weakness is improved in Adaptive-HGFDR by adjusting the compression 564 parameter of each block adaptively according to the compression error to achieve the balanced distribution of error. 565 Although Blocked-HGFDR performs substantially better for several slice numbers, Adaptive-HGFDR shows less variations.



567

Figure 6. The distributions of compression error along the longitudinal slices (the slice means the partial data that divided alongspecific dimensions).

570

571 To better reveal the characteristics of the compression error distributions, the distributions of the spatial error for three random spatial pieces (Height 2,8 and 16) are depicted in Figure 7. From Figure 7, we can see that the spatial structure of the 572 573 data is different at different height, there are both continuous and abrupt structure changes at different levels. Specifically, 574 the compression error in the Blocked-HGFDR method and the ZFP method fluctuates dramatically, forming multiple peaks 575 and valleys. The error distributions of ZFP suggest that there are high frequency stripes. There are irregular spatial patterns 576 for Blocked-HGFDR. The Adaptive-HGFDR method is more stable where the error distribution is nearly random. 577 Additionally, the spatial structure of the data is different at different height, and there are both continuouss and abrupt 578 structure changes at different levels.



Figure 7. The spatial distribution of compression error of different compression methods. (a)The spatial distribution of compression error with height as 2 in ZFP; (b)the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 8 in ZFP; (c) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 16 in ZFP; (d) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 2 in Blocked-HGFDR; (e) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 8 in Blocked-HGFDR; (f) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 16 in Blocked-HGFDR; (g) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 2 in Adaptive-HGFDR; (h) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 8 in Adaptive-HGFDR; (i) the spatial distribution of compression error with height as 16 in Adaptive-HGFDR;

588

589 4.4 Evaluation with multiple variables

590 For a comprehensive comparison of the different methods, 22 monthly climate model data were used as the experimental 591 data. Here, we focus on the variables with flux information and fast changing. Among these variables, there are variables 592 with weak spatio-temporal heterogeneity such as the temperature, and the variables with strong spatio-temporal 593 heterogeneity, which will help to better investigate the applicability of the method. The dimension of the experimental data is 594 1024×512×221. Here, considering that the compression error and compression performance of each variable can be 595 comparable, the compression error should not be too big or too small for all the 22 variables, the given error is 0.01, the 596 block size is 256×128×26, and the block count is 144. For the tolerance parameter settings in ZFP, we conduct the 597 simulation experiments with many random tolerances, then find the ideal tolerances in these cases the corresponding 598 compression errors are close to the given compression errors. A detailed description of the variables is shown in Table 1.

- 599
- 600

601 Table 1: 22 Descriptions of climate model data variables.

Variable	Variable description	Variable	Variable description
name		name	variable description
FLDS	Downwelling longwave flux at the surface	PCONVT	Convection top pressure
	Clearsky downwelling longwave flux at		Reference height relative humidity
FLDSC	surface	RHREFHT	
FLNSC	Clearsky net longwave flux at surface	SOLIN	Solar insolation
FLNT	Net longwave flux at top of model	SRFRAD	Net radiative flux at surface
	Clearsky net longwave flux at top of model		Total (vertically integrated) precipitable
FLNTC		TMQ	water
FLUT	Upwelling longwave flux at top of model	TREFHT	Reference height temperature
	Clearsky upwelling longwave flux at top of		Average of TREFHT daily minimum
FLUTC	model	TREFMNAV	
FSDSC	Clearsky downwelling solar flux at surface	TREFMXAV	Average of TREFHT daily maximum
FSNSC	Clearsky net solar flux at surface	TS	Surface temperature (radiative)
	Clearsky net solar flux at top of model		Minimum surface temperature over output
FSNTC		TSMN	period
	Clearsky net solar flux at top of atmosphere		Maximum surface temperature over output
FSNTOAC		TSMX	period

602

The Adaptive-HGFDR, Blocked-HGFDR, and ZFP method were applied to the 22 variables. The compression ratio, time, 603 604 and standard deviation of the slice error were calculated and shown in Figure 8. Form Figure 8(a), it can be seen that 605 compared with the other two methods, the compression ratio of Adaptive-HGFDR is the largest. This may be because 606 Adaptive-HGFDR considers the coupling relationship among the spatial-temporal dimensions and searches for the optimal 607 compression parameter at each data blocks. This not only makes the number of features required by each data block small, 608 but also makes the effect of data heterogeneity on the compression ratio least. Adaptive-HGFDR captures the data features 609 more accurate than the other two methods. The adaptive adjustment of parameter makes Adaptive-HGFDR yield the uniform 610 error distribution for the multiple variables shown in Figure 8(c). In summary, Adaptive-HGFDR provides good adaptability 611 for ESMD.

612

613 Additionally, Figure 8(a) also shows that the tensor-based compression methods (Adaptive-HGFDR, Blocked-HGFDR) have 614 the high compression ratios for some variables, it may be because for tensor-based compression, the relationship between 615 data volume and dimensions is transformed from exponential growth to nearly linear growth by defining the tensor product 616 of tensors, which is essentially the displacement of space by calculating time, so the compression ratio is very high. Also, we 617 can see that with the given compression error, the compression rates of different variables are significant different. It may be 618 because different climate model variables have different distribution features. Generally speaking, for the variables with 619 weak spatio-temporal heterogeneity, a small number of feature components can well achieve the accurate approximation that 620 have the high compression rate. While, the variables with strong spatio-temporal heterogeneity may need a large number of 621 feature components that have the low compression rate. Due to the continuous adjustment of compression parameter to 622 search for the optimal rank, Adaptive-HGFDR is the most time consuming [Figure 8 (b)]. Despite this, some optimization

623 strategies, such as the spatio-temporal indexes and the unbalanced block split, can help improve the efficiency of Adaptive-





625 626

Figure 8. Comparison results of compression ratio, compression time and standard deviation. (a) The comparison results of
 compression ratio; (b) The comparison results of compression time; (c) The comparison results of standard deviation.

629 5 Conclusion

630 In this study, we propose a lossy compression method, Adaptive-HGFDR, for ESMD based on the blocked hierarchical 631 tensor decomposition by integrating multidimensional coupling correlations. In Adaptive-HGFDR, to achieve the lossy compression, ESMD is divided into nearly balanced data blocks, which are then approximated by the hierarchical tensor 632 633 decomposition. This compression method is applied to all the dimensions of the data blocks rather than mapping the data into low dimensions to avoid the destruction of coupling correlations among different dimensions. This also avoids the 634 635 possible data inconsistency of compression methods like SZ, when the data are extracted and analyzed with different 636 Input/Output (IO) orders. Thus, this method provides the potential advantage in multidimensional data inspection and 637 exploration. Additionally, the compression parameter is simple and adaptively calculated for each data block independently 638 for a given compression error. Therefore, the compression well captures both the global and local variation of the coupling 639 correlations to improve the approximation accuracy. The simulated experiments demonstrated that, the proposed method has 640 higher compression ratio and more uniform error distributions than ZFP and Blocked-HGFDR under the same condition, and

641 can support the lossy compression of ESMD on the ordinary PCs both in terms of the memory occupation and compression

- 642 time. Additionally, the comparison results among 22 climate variables show that the proposed method can achieve good
- 643 compression performance for the variables with significant spatio-temporal heterogeneity and fast changing.
- 644

645 The application of the hierarchical tensor in this paper provides several new potentials for developing more advanced lossy 646 compression methods. With the hierarchical tensor, both the representation model and computational model can support the 647 complex multidimensional computation and analysis(Kressner and Tobler, 2014). For example, commonly used signal analysis methods like (Singular Value Decomposition)SVD and (Fast Fourier transform)FFT can achieve efficient stream 648 649 computing with the hierarchical tensor representation, thus can inherently support efficient on-the-fly computation and analysis. Other interesting topics focusing on the tensor-based compression, includes the compression for unstructured data 650 651 or extremely sparse data (Li, D. et al. 2019). Moreover, comprehensive tensor methods, like Partial Differential Equation 652 (PDE) are also recently been introduced to the hierarchical tensor. Thus, it is even possible to integrate some dynamic 653 models of earth systems directly on the compressed data. With the rapid development of the tensor theory and applications, it 654 may provide more and more potentials for tensor-based spatio-temporal data compression for the modelling and analyzing of 655 ESMD.

656

657 Multiple dimensionality and heterogeneity are the natural attributes of ESMD. In ESMD, there are various spatio-temporal 658 structures with gradual/sudden change and fast/slow change, which also show the significant regularity and randomness. 659 From the perspective of the rules of ESMD distribution, constructing the data compression method based on 660 multidimensional coupling correlations may be the key to improve ESMD compression performance in the future. For 661 example, for static or slow-varying variables, large block and small Rank can be used to achieve large compression, while 662 for fast-changing variables, small block and large Rank may be needed. The data coupling correlations obtained by 663 dynamically adjusting the block count and Rank, can not only be used to the data compression, but also are helpful to realize 664 the data organization and compressed storage based on the data characteristics. Additionally, in the large-scale simulation 665 experiment with long time sequence and multi-mode integration, this characteristic-based data organization and storage of multidimensional ESMD make it possible to only retain the prominent components, so as to achieve efficient comparison of 666 667 large-scale data and can help to promote the ability of ESMD application service. For instance, for the major natural 668 disasters, this multidimensional tensor compression can support the progressive transmission with the limited bandwidth by 669 using only the prominent components, which can help to promote the depth and breadth of ESMD application.

670 **Code and data availability.** The Adaptive-HGFDR lossy compression algorithm proposed in this paper was conducted out 671 in MATLAB R2017a. The exact version of Adaptive-HGFDR and experimental data used in this paper is archived on 672 Zenodo(AndyWZJ, 2020). The experimental data are Large-scale Data Analysis and Visualization Symposium Data obtained from (OSDC) Open Science Data Cloud. This data set consists of files from a series of global climate dynamics simulations run on the Titan supercomputer at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in 2013 by postdoctoral researcher Abigail Gaddis, Ph.D. The simulations were performed at approximately 1/3-degree spatial resolution, or a mesh size of 1024x512 for 2D. We downloaded this simulation data in the common NetCDF (network Common Data Form) format in 2016 from https://www.opensciencedatacloud.org/. The code of the all algorithms and comparative test are provided and can be download form http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4384627.

679 Author contribution. Zhaoyuan Yu, Linwang Yuan and Wen Luo designed the paper's ideas and methods. Zhengfang 680 Zhang and Yuan Liu implemented the method of the paper with code. Zhaoyuan Yu, Zhengfang Zhang and Dongshuang Li 681 wrote the paper with considerable input from Linwang Yuan. Zengjie Wang revised and checked the language of the paper.

Funding. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China[41625004 41971404]
and the National Key R&D Program of China[2017YFB0503500].

684 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Statement. The works published in this journal are distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. This licence does not affect the Crown copyright work, which is re-usable under the Open Government Licence (OGL). The Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License and the OGL are interoperable and do not conflict with, reduce or limit each other. © Crown copyright YEAR

689 **References**

Andrew, P., Joseph, N., Noah, Feldman., Allison, H. B., Alexander, P., and Dorit, M. H.: A statistical analysis of lossily
compressed climate model data, Comput Geosci., 145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2020.104599, 2020.

692 Baker, A. H., Hammerling, D. M., Mickelson, S. A., Xu, H. and Lindstrom, P.: Evaluating Lossy Data Compression on

- 693 Climate Simulation Data within a Large Ensemble, Geosci. Model Dev., 9(12), 4381-4403, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9694 4381-2016, 2016.
- Baker, A. H., Xu, H., Dennis, J. M., Levy, M. N., Nychka, D., Mickelson, S. A., Edwards, J., Vertenstein, M., and Wegener,
 A.: A methodology for evaluating the impact of data compression on climate simulation data, in: Proceedings of the 23rd
- 697 International Symposium on High-Performance Parallel and Distributed Computing, Vancouver, Canada, 23-27 June 2014,
- **698 2014**.

- Bengua, J. A., Phien, H. N., Tuan, H. D., and Do, M. N.: Matrix product state for higher-order tensor compression and
 classification. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 65(15), 4019 4030, https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2017.2703882, 2016.
- Cai, J. Y., Chen, X., and Lu, P.: Non-negative weighted #csps: an effective complexity dichotomy. Comput.Sci., 6(6), 45-54, https://doi.org/10.1109/CCC.2011.32, 2012.
- 703 Chu, D., Lathauwer, L. D., and Moor, B. D.: A qr-type reduction for computing the svd of a general matrix product/quotient.
 704 Numer Math, 95(1), 101-121, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-002-0431-z, 2003.
- 705 Di, S., Tao, D., Liang, X., and Franck, C.: Efficient Lossy Compression for Scientific Data Based on Pointwise Relative
- 706 Error Bound. IEEE Trans Parallel Distrib Syst, 30(2), 331-345, https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2018.2859932, 2019.
- 707 Diffenderfer, J., Fox, A., Hittinger, J., Sanders, G., and Lindstrom, P..: Error Analysis of ZFP Compression for Floating708 Point Data. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 41(3), A1867-A1898, https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1168832, 2019.
- Du, B., Zhang, M., Zhang, L., Hu, R., and Tao, D.: Pltd: patch-based low-rank tensor decomposition for hyperspectral
 images, IEEE Trans Multimedia, 19(99), 67-79, https://doi.org/10.1109/TMM.2016.2608780, 2017.
- 711 Grasedyck, L.: Hierarchical Singular Value Decomposition of Tensors, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. A., 31(4), 2029-2054,
- 712 https://doi.org/10.1137/090764189, 2010.
- 713 Hackbusch, W. and Khoromskij, B. N.: Blended kernel approximation in the *H* matrix techniques, Numer Linear Algebra
- 714 Appl., 9(4), 281-304, https://doi.org/10.1002/nla.273, 2002.
- Jing, W., Xiang, X., and Jingming, K.: A novel multichannel audio signal compression method based on tensor
 representation and decomposition. China Commun., 11(3), 80-90, https://doi.org/10.1109/CC.2014.6825261, 2014.
- 717 Kressner, D. and Tobler, C.: Algorithm 941: htucker---a matlab toolbox for tensors in hierarchical tucker format. ACM
 718 Trans Math Softw, 40(3), 1-22, https://doi.org/10.1145/2538688, 2014.
- Kuang, L., Yang, L. T., Chen, J., Hao, F., and Luo, C.: A Holistic Approach for Distributed Dimensionality Reduction of
 Big Data. IEEE Trans. on Cloud Comput., 6(2), 506-518, https://doi.org/10.1109/TCC.2015.2449855, 2018.
- Kuhn, M., Kunkel, J., and Ludwig, T.: Data compression for climate data, Supercomput. Front. Innov., 3(1), 75–94, https://doi.org/10.14529/jsfi160105, 2016.
- 723 Lakshminarasimhan, S., Shah, N., Ethier, S., Seung Hoe Ku, Chang, C. S., Klasky, S., Latham, R., Ross, R., and Samatova,
- N. F.: Isabela for effective in situ compression of scientific data. Concurr Comput., 25(4), 524-540, https://doi.org/
 10.1002/cpe.2887, 2013.
- Linton, O. B. and Xiao, Z.: A nonparametric regression estimator that adapts to error distribution of unknown form,
 Economet. Theor., 23(3), 371-413, https://doi.org/10.1017/S026646660707017X, 2001.
- 728 Lyre, H.: Holism and structuralism in U(1) gauge theory, Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci. B., 35(4), 643–670,
 729 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsb.2004.07.004, 2004.
- 730 Mashhoodi, B., Stead, D., and van Timmeren, A.: Spatial homogeneity and heterogeneity of energy poverty: A neglected
- 731 dimension. Ann. GIS, 25(1), 19–31, https://doi.org/10.1080/19475683.2018.1557253, 2019.

- 732 Moon, A., Kim, J., Zhang, J., and Son, S. W.: Lossy compression on IoT big data by exploiting spatiotemporal correlation,
- in: 2017 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Conference (HPEC), Waltham, MA, USA, 12-14 September 2017,
- 734 2017.
- 735 Nathanael, Hübbe, Wegener, A., Kunkel, J. M., Ling, Y., and Ludwig, T.: Evaluating lossy compression on climate data,
- Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics), LNCS 7905, 343–356,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38750-0 26, 2013.
- 738 Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Molod, A., Auer, B., da Silva, A. M., Douglass, A. R., Duncan, B., Liang, Q., Manyin, M., Oman,
- L. D., Putman, W., and Wargan, K.: Chemical Mechanisms and Their Applications in the Goddard Earth Observing
 System (GEOS) Earth System Model. JAMES. 9(8), 3019-3044, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001011, 2017.
- Oseledets, I. V. and Tyrtyshnikov, E. E.: Breaking the Curse of Dimensionality, Or How to Use SVD in Many Dimensions,
 SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 31(5), 3744-3759, https://doi.org/10.1137/090748330, 2009.
- 743 Runge, J., Bathiany, S., Bollt, E., Camps-Valls, G., Coumou, D., Deyle, E., Glymour, C., Kretschmer, M., Mahecha, M. D.,
- and Muoz-Marí, J.: Inferring causation from time series in earth system sciences. Nat. Commun. 10(1), 2553,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10105-3, 2019.
- 746 Shi, O., Dai, W., Santerre, R., Li, Z., and Liu, N.: Spatially heterogeneous land surface deformation data fusion method 747 based enhanced spatio-temporal random effect model. Remote 11(9), 1084. on an Sens., 748 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11091084, 2019.
- Simmons, Fellous, J. L., Ramaswamy, V., Trenberth, K., and Shepherd, T.: Observation and integrated earth-system science:
 a roadmap for 2016–2025. Adv. Space Res., 57(10), 2037–2103, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.asr.2016.03.008, 2016.
- 751 Song, L., Park, H., Ishteva, M., Parikh, A., and Xing, E.: Hierarchical tensor decomposition of latent tree graphical models,
- in: 30th International Conference on Machine Learning(ICML), Atlanta, American, 16-21 June 2013, 2013.
- Sudmanns, M., Tiede, D., and Baraldi, A.: Semantic and syntactic interoperability in online processing of big Earth
 observation data, Int J Digit Earth, 11(1), 95-112, https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2017.1332112, 2018.
- Suiker, A. S. J. and Chang, C. S.: Application of higher-order tensor theory for formulating enhanced continuum models.
 Acta Mech. Solida Sin., 142(1-4), 223-234, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF01190020, 2000.
- Tao, D., Di, S., Guo, H., Chen, Z., and Cappello, F.: Z-checker: A Framework for Assessing Lossy Compression of
 Scientific Data, Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl., 33(12), 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342017737147, 2017.
- 759 Tao, D., Di, S., Liang, X., Chen, Z., and Cappello, F.: Optimizing lossy compression rate-distortion from automatic online 760 selection between SZ and zfp. IEEE Trans Parallel Distrib Syst., 30(8), 1857-1871, 761 https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2019.2894404.2018.
- 762 Wang, H. C., Wu, Q., Shi, L., Yu, Y. Z., Ahuja, N.: Out-of-core tensor approximation of multi-dimensional matrices of
- 763 visual data, ACM Trans. Graph., 24(3), 527-535, https://doi.org/10.1145/1073204.1073224, 2005.
- 764 Wu, Q., Xia, T., Chen, C., Lin, H. Y. S., Wang, H., and Yu, Y.: Hierarchical tensor approximation of multi-dimensional
- 765 visual data. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph, 14(1), 186-199, https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2007.70406, 2008.

- 766 Wulder, M. A., Masek, J. G., Cohen, W. B., Loveland, T. R., and Woodcock, C. E.: Opening the archive: How free data has
- realized the science and monitoring promise of Landsat, Remote Sens. Environ., 122(Complete), 2-10,
- 768 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.01.010, 2012.
- Yan, F., Wang, J., Liu, S., Jin, M., and Shen, Y.: Svd-based low-complexity methods for computing the intersection of k≥2
 subspaces. Chinese J. Electron., 28(2), 430-436, https://doi.org/10.1049/cje.2019.01.013, 2019.
- Yuan, L., Yu, Z., Luo, W., Hu, Y., Feng, L., and Zhu, A. X.: A hierarchical tensor-based approach to compressing, updating
 and querying geospatial data, IEEE T. Data En., 27(2), 312–325, https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2014.2330829, 2015.
- 773 Zheng, Y., William, H., Seung Woo, S., Christoph, F., Ankit, A., Liao, W. K. and Alok, C.: Parallel Implementation of
- 174 Lossy Data Compression for Temporal Data Sets, in: 2016 IEEE 23rd International Conference on High Performance
- 775 Computing (HiPC), Hyderabad, India, 19-22 December 2016, 2016.
- 776 Ziv, J. and Lempel, A.: A universal algorithm for sequential data compression. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 23(3), 337-343,
- 777 https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1977.1055714, 2003.