

Interactive comment on “Designing and Documenting Experiments in CMIP6” by Charlotte Pascoe et al.

Charlotte Pascoe et al.

charlotte.pascoe@ncas.ac.uk

Received and published: 1 August 2019

We thank Ron for his review.

In hindsight we agree that the title is misleading, we had not intended for it to imply that the authors had “designed CMIP6 experiments”, but rather that the paper was about the process of design and documentation (which indeed it is).

We propose to retitle the paper something along the lines of “A process to facilitate the design and documentation of numerical experiments as applied to CMIP6”. (The exact wording of our revised title may change when we have seen and responded to all the reviews.)

Most of the detailed comments require minor typographical improvements, which we

C1

mostly agree with - we will detail the consequential changes with a collated response to all referees. There are a couple of substantive points which are actually do with the experiment descriptions themselves, which will be updated accordingly - we are grateful to Ron for the clarifications.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-98>, 2019.