

# ***Interactive comment on “Assessment of wavelet-based spatial verification by means of a stochastic precipitation model (wv\_verif v0.1.0)” by Sebastian Buschow et al.***

**David Ham**

david.ham@imperial.ac.uk

Received and published: 15 May 2019

I am writing as an executive editor of GMD to highlight an issue with the code availability section which needs to be remedied in the revised manuscript.

Thank you for providing a reference to the full code and data used in the experiments presented in your manuscript. There are two problems with providing this data via GitHub. The first is that a reader cannot identify the exact version of the code that was used in the paper (for example, you may fix bugs or add features in the future). The second issue is that projects sometimes change the revision control system they use, or the hosting (the project might move to GitLab, for example). The solution to both of

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



these issues is to provide a reference to a persistent archive of the exact version of the code that was used in the manuscript. This reference can, and should, be in addition to the GitHub link, so that a user can also always access the most recent version of the code.

Since your original code is hosted on GitHub, the easiest way to produce a persistent archive of a precise version is to use GitHub's Zenodo integration. For more details, see: <https://guides.github.com/activities/citable-code/>.

Please ensure that the revised version of your manuscript contains a reference to a persistent, public archive of the exact version of the code used to produce it.

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-90>, 2019.

**GMDD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

