

Interactive comment on “Systematic bias in evaluating chemical transport models with maximum daily 8-hour average (MDA8) surface ozone for air quality applications” by Katherine R. Travis and Daniel J. Jacob

Astrid Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 24 April 2019

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

<http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available

C1

on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)."

As GEOS-Chem seems to be the only model used in your study, please add its name and version number to the title of your manuscript, e.g., "Systematic bias in evaluating chemical transport models with maximum daily 8-hour average (MDA8) surface ozone for air quality applications: a case study with GEOS-Chem vX.y"

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-78>, 2019.

C2