

Interactive comment on “Multi-model simulations of springtime dust storms in East Asia: Implications of an evaluation of four commonly used air quality models (CMAQv5.2.1, CAMxv6.50, CHIMEREv2017r4, and WRF-Chem v3.9.1)” by Siqi Ma et al.

Siqi Ma et al.

zhangxuelei@neigae.ac.cn

Received and published: 25 August 2019

Dear Editor David Ham: We want to thank you for your advice on our manuscript “Multi-model simulations of springtime dust storms in East Asia: Implications of an evaluation of four commonly used air quality models (CMAQ v5.2.1, CAMx v6.50, CHIMERE v2017r4, and WRF-Chem v3.9.1)”. According to your suggestion, we had uploaded all the model source codes with our modifications, the namelist files of each air quality

C1

model, the source of the input data (such as the meteorological reanalysis data and erodible fraction data), as well as pre- and post- processing scripts we used to the website of Zenodo, and the link is <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3376774>. Thank you again for your valuable suggestion that have helped us to improve our manuscript.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-57>, 2019.

C2