

# ***Interactive comment on “Simulating the Early Holocene demise of the Laurentide Ice Sheet with BISICLES (public trunk revision 3298)” by Ilkka S. O. Matero et al.***

**Astrid Kerkweg**

a.kerkweg@fz-juelich.de

Received and published: 16 December 2019

Dear authors,

unfortunately you chose the wrong manuscript type and therefore did not fulfill all requirements for the publication.

The "model experiment description papers" are dedicated to a much more general description of a model experiment design (see [http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)). Your paper is an evaluation specific for one model and one specific model configuration. Therefore it fits into the cate-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



gory for "Development and technical papers".

As such your article needs to fulfill the criteria for a "Development and technical paper", i.e. specifically:

- "Code must be published on a persistent public archive with a unique identifier for the exact model version described in the paper or uploaded to the supplement, unless this is impossible for reasons beyond the control of authors. All papers must include a section, at the end of the paper, entitled "Code availability". Here, either instructions for obtaining the code, or the reasons why the code is not available should be clearly stated. It is preferred for the code to be uploaded as a supplement or to be made available at a data repository with an associated DOI (digital object identifier) for the exact model version described in the paper. Alternatively, for established models, there may be an existing means of accessing the code through a particular system. In this case, there must exist a means of permanently accessing the precise model version described in the paper. In some cases, authors may prefer to put models on their own website, or to act as a point of contact for obtaining the code. Given the impermanence of websites and email addresses, this is not encouraged, and authors should consider improving the availability with a more permanent arrangement. Making code available through personal websites or via email contact to the authors is not sufficient. After the paper is accepted the model archive should be updated to include a link to the GMD paper."

So please provide the information on how to access the model code and ensure the permanent archiving of the published model version.

I will ask the publication office to change the manuscript type of your article.

Best regards,

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-304>, 2019.

**GMDD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

