

Interactive comment on “The CMIP6 Data Request (version 01.00.31)” by Martin Juckes et al.

Martin Juckes et al.

martin.juckes@stfc.ac.uk

Received and published: 13 November 2019

Thank you for the useful and encouraging review. We will implement the changes suggested when revising the manuscript.

Concerning the questions raised:

- The statement "In section 3 the DREQ is motivated .." refers to the presentation of the factors motivating the data request. We will clarify the text.
- P12, L214: yes, this does refer to CMOR variables.
- P12, L220: 33 is just the maximum number that occur within the CMIP6 implementation, it is not an imposed limit. We will rephrase to avoid the mis-leading suggestion.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



- P14, L240-245: capitalisation needs to be standardised as suggested;
- P16, Fig 4: the central nodes are request links – we will add explanatory text.
- P32, Table B3: the numbers in the title column are part of the title text. They were introduced in an attempt to create some organisation of the sections into chapters without modifying the underlying schema. We will add an explanatory comment.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-219>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

