HR3DHG version 1: modelling the spatio-temporal dynamics of mercury in the Augusta Bay (southern Italy)
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Abstract. The biogeochemical dynamics of Hg, and specifically of its three species \( Hg^0 \), \( Hg^{II} \), and \( MeHg \) (elemental, inorganic, and organic, respectively) in the marine coastal area of Augusta Bay (southern Italy) have been explored by the high resolution 3D Hg (HR3DHG) model, namely an advection-diffusion-reaction model for the dissolved mercury in the seawater compartment coupled with a diffusion-reaction model for dissolved mercury in the pore water of sediments a sorption/desorption model for total mercury in the sediments, in which the de-sorption process for the sediment total mercury is taken into account. The spatio-temporal variability of mercury concentration both in seawater ([\( Hg_D \)]) and first layers of bottom sediments ([\( Hg^{ed}_D \)] and [\( Hg^{ed}_T \)]), and the \( Hg \) fluxes at the boundaries of the 3D model domain have been theoretically reproduced, showing an acceptable agreement with the experimental data, collected in multiple field observations during six different oceanographic cruises. Also, the spatio-temporal dynamics of total mercury concentration in seawater have been obtained by using both model results and field observations. The mass-balance of the total \( Hg \) species in seawater has been calculated for the Augusta Harbour, improving previous estimations. The HR3DHG model could be used as an effective tool to predict the spatio-temporal distributions of dissolved and total mercury concentrations, while contributing to better assess the hazard for environment and therefore for human health in highly polluted areas.
1 Introduction

The investigation of biogeochemical dynamics of $Hg$ species in the marine environment addresses the need to accurately model sources and pathways of this priority contaminant within and among the different abiotic and biotic compartments of the aquatic ecosystem (Driscoll et al., 2013; Batrakova et al., 2014). Over the last few years some theoretical studies have offered innovative tools to reproduce the mass balance and the dynamics of $[Hg]$ in the marine environment by means of biogeochemical models based on interconnected zero dimensional boxes, representing water or sediment compartments: among these are the River MERLIN-Expo model (Ciffroy, 2015) and the WASP (Water Analysis Simulation Program) model (Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Canu and Rosati, 2017; Rosati et al., 2018). In particular, the River MERLIN-Expo model (Ciffroy, 2015) has been used to reproduce the spatio-temporal distribution of inorganic and organic contaminants in the 1D domain of rivers, and to calculate the mass balance for each of them. Although the River model is able to describe many of the physical and chemical processes involved in freshwater and sediment, this model specifically targets environments characterized by (i) nearly-homogeneous water bodies and (ii) limited variations in landscape geometry. The WASP models have been used to simulate the $Hg$ cycle within aquatic ecosystems characterized by well-mixed water layers and homogeneous sediment layers coupled through the boundary conditions at the water-sediment interface (Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Canu and Rosati, 2017; Rosati et al., 2018).

In particular, a WASP model applied to a 1D domain and calibrated by using experimental data for dissolved $Hg$ and $MeHg$, allowed to explore $[Hg]$ dynamics in the Black Sea (Rosati et al., 2018). Similarly, the box model approach has been adopted in 2D configuration (Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Canu and Rosati, 2017) to calculate $Hg$ mass balance in the coastal areas of the Marano-Grado lagoon (northern Italy), where heterogeneous spatial distributions of $Hg$ species have been observed experimentally. In general, models based on zero dimensional boxes do not deliver reliable concentration values of contaminants in highly heterogeneous environments unless they provide high spatial resolution and a proper parameterization of the biogeochemical system.

For these reasons, in a recent work (Pakhomova et al., 2018) the biochemistry of $Hg$ in aquatic ecosystems has been studied using a high resolution (HR) 1D advection-reaction-diffusion model, in which a mercury module has been integrated with the Bottom RedOx Model (BROM) (Yakushev et al., 2017) to reproduce the vertical dynamics of the total dissolved $Hg$ and $MeHg$ in the marine coastal areas of the Etang de Berre lagoon (France) (Pakhomova et al., 2018). However, even this model includes some criticalities in the estimation of mercury dynamics. For example, the temporal variations of mercury benthic fluxes, due to reaction and diffusion processes which involve mercury species present in sediments, are not taken into account in the boundary conditions of this model. On the other hand, sediment chemistry and diffusion were investigated recently by Soerensen et al. (2016), who devised a high resolution 1D model for $Hg$ species present in water and sediments of the Baltic Sea (Soerensen et al., 2016). In both HR models, however, the strong impact of the horizontal velocity field on the spatio-temporal distribution of $[Hg]$ could not be considered since the 1D modelling was used.

In general, the appropriate modelling to reproduce the spatial and temporal variability of $Hg$ species in highly heterogeneous
marine ecosystems, such as Augusta Harbour, requires the use of a hydrodynamics model integrated with a biogeochemical model (Zagar et al., 2007, 2014). For this aim, Zagar et al. (2007) introduced a PCFLOW3D model upgraded with the biogeochemical module for simulating simultaneously velocity field of marine currents, suspended particles transport and mercury biogeochemical transformations for the whole Mediterranean Sea. The modified PCFLOW3D is a non-stationary 3D model, which consists of four real-time integrated modules: i) hydrodynamic module and ii) transport-dispersion module, both based on the finite volume method and implemented for obtaining the velocity field of marine currents and the turbulent diffusivities; iii) sediment-transport module used to simulate the transport, sedimentation and re-suspension of solid particles; iv) biogeochemical module to reproduce the advection, diffusion and reaction processes of $Hg$ species. Although the used grid did not guarantee a high spatial resolution, the modified PCFLOW3D model allowed to obtain, for all the $Hg$ species, theoretical vertical profiles of $[Hg]$ in acceptable agreement with experimental data for most part of the Mediterranean Sea (Zagar et al., 2007), and to improve the fluxes estimation of $Hg$ mass balance for the whole Mediterranean Sea (Rajar et al., 2007). By following the same modelling approach of Zagar et al. (2007), over the last decade several authors used 3D advection-diffusion-reaction models to simulate the spatio-temporal dynamics of $[Hg_D]$ and $[Hg_T]$ in oceans, lakes and estuaries (Zhu et al., 2018). However, the $Hg$ partition mechanisms between the liquid phase and the (biotic and abiotic) particulate organic matter (POM) were explicitly included only in few studies. Among these, Zhang et al. (2014) reproduced the $[Hg_T]$ in oceans and calculated a $Hg$ mass balance by using a 3D ocean tracer model (OFFTRAC) coupled with a general circulation model (GEOS-Chem) (Zhang et al., 2014). Here, the sinking flux of $Hg$ bound to POM was calculated exploiting the remote sensing data for net primary production ($NPP$) and chlorophyll concentration, which are associated to phytoplankton abundance.

All these approaches do not allow a fine representation of the spatial variability by approximating the model domain as a set of interconnected boxes or by detailing only in seawater compartment the spatio-temporal dynamics of the investigated chemical species. For these reasons, we developed a new model to reproduce the spatio-temporal dynamics of $[Hg]$ in polluted marine sites characterized by very high spatial heterogeneity, such as the Augusta Harbour. In the present work we report on results obtained using a 3D advection-diffusion-reaction biogeochemical model for three $Hg$ species in seawater ($Hg^0$, $Hg^{II}$, and $MeHg$), coupled with a diffusion-reaction model for dissolved $Hg$ in the pore water of sediments. The model, named HR3DHG, has been applied to the investigation of the mercury dynamics in Augusta Bay (southern Italy, see Fig. 1) and specifically in its harbour, a highly polluted coastal site. In this area, a substantial experimental dataset has been collected and improved upon in recent years (Sprovieri et al., 2011; Bagnato et al., 2013; Sprovieri, 2015; Oliveri et al., 2016; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016); oceanographic cruises and data on key physical and chemical parameters from atmosphere, seawater and sediments are used to verify and validate the modules of HR3DHG for reliable and accurate high-resolution investigation of spatio-temporal dynamics of $Hg$ in highly contaminated coastal-marine sites. The HR3DHG model has been designed to predict the biogeochemical behaviour of $Hg$ in seawater and sediments, specifically in confined and highly-polluted marine-coastal areas. It offers the opportunity to explore the effects both of de-sorption dynamics of total mercury ($Hg^{sed}_{T}$) in sediments, and of $Hg^{sed}_{D}$ diffusion dynamics in pore water in nearly-steady conditions. To this aim, in the model we consider both the sediment-pore water distribution coefficients and the desorption rate for the total mercury concentration in the sediment. The former described the ratio between adsorption and desorption rate constants at the steady state without considering pertubations in-
duced by mercury concentration reduction in pore water. The latter reproduced the effects of these pertubations on the solid phase of the sediments.

Moreover, the role played by the spatio-temporal behaviour of phytoplankton (La Barbera and Spagnolo, 2002; Fiasconaro et al., 2004; Valenti et al., 2004, 2008; Dutkiewicz et al., 2009; Morozov et al., 2010; Valenti et al., 2012; Denaro et al., 2013a, c, b; Valenti et al., 2015, 2016a, b, c, 2017; Morozov et al., 2019) and the mechanisms responsible for the uptake of Hg within cells (Pickhardt and Fischer, 2007; Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015; Lee and Fischer, 2017; Williams et al., 2010) are taken into account as specific contribution to the scavenging process and the Hg release process by POM, respectively. Also seasonal oscillations of key environmental variables (velocity of marine currents, amount of precipitation, elemental and inorganic mercury concentration in atmosphere, etc.) are taken into account.

The main objectives of the HR3DHG model can be synthesized as following: (i) to accurately reproduce and localize the peaks of $[Hg]$ within the 3D domain, (ii) to estimate the $Hg$ fluxes at domain boundaries, and (iii) to predict the evolution of mercury in sediment of polluted sites. Moreover, the HR3DHG model offers the possibility to describe the $MeHg$ and $Hg^{II}$ partition between the dissolved phase (both seawater and pore water) and the particulate phase (suspended particulate matter and sediment particles). Specifically, in the dissolved phase the model describes the overall behaviour of $Hg$ in ionic form and complexed with Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC). Finally, the HR3DHG model can be a useful tool to predict and prevent the risks for the human health in marine areas close to industrial sites affected by $Hg$ pollution extended for very long time intervals.

The paper is organized as follows: a brief overview of the study site is provided in section 2. The description of the HR3DHG model and the model simulation setup are described in Section 3, referring to the Supplement for further details. In Section 4 the obtained results are reported and compared with experimental data. In Section 5 the model and the results are discussed and, finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

2 The study area

The Augusta Bay (Fig.1) is a semi-closed marine area which occupies a surface of about 30 $km^2$ on the eastern coast of Sicily (southern Italy). The location of one of the most important harbours of the Mediterranean overtime since the early 1960s, the Augusta site also hosts several industrial plants, which have adversely affected the whole area with the diffusion of several priority pollutants. In particular, huge amount of $Hg$ from one of the largest European chlor-alkali plant (Syndial Priolo Gar-gallo), was discharged into the sea without any treatment until the 1970s, when waste treatment became operational (Bellucci et al., 2012). Although discharge activities were definitively stopped in 2005, the $Hg$ contamination from the chlor-alkali plant remains a critical environmental threat, with extremely high $[Hg]$ in the bottom sediments (ICRAM, 2008; Sprovieri et al., 2011; Oliveri et al., 2016), significant $Hg$ evasion fluxes from sediments to seawater (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016) and to the atmosphere (Bagnato et al., 2013; Sprovieri, 2015), and evident and recently documented risks for the ecosystem (Tomasello et al., 2012; Bonsignore et al., 2013) and for human health (Bianchi et al., 2006; Bonsignore et al., 2015, 2016). The geographical position, together with its geological and oceanographic features, assign to this area a key role in the $Hg$ inventory
at Mediterranean scale. The estimate of the Hg export from Augusta Bay to the open sea (0.54 kmol y\(^{-1}\), Salvagio Manta et al., 2016), corresponds to about 4% of total input from coastal point/diffuse sources to the Mediterranean Sea (12.5 kmol y\(^{-1}\), Rajar et al., 2007). A very narrow shelf develops down to 100-130 m with a mean gradient of about 1.0 degree and a next steep slope characterized by a dense net of canyons dropping to the deep Ionian basin (Budillon et al., 2008). The Augusta Harbour covers a surface of 23.5 km\(^2\) with two main inlets connecting with the open sea: the Scirocco (300 m wide and 13 m deep) and the Levante inlets (400 m wide and 40 m deep). The bottom is mainly flat with an average depth of 15 m, with the exception of a deeper channel about 30 m deep connecting the inner part of the harbour with the Levante inlet. Water circulation inside the port and the exchanges through the inlets are mainly ruled by the wind and tidal forcing. Tidal fluctuations are generally low, with amplitudes ranging between 10 to 20 cm and the winds are generally from Northwest and Northeast with an average speed around 3 m/s (De Marchis et al., 2014). Water circulation in the outer coastal areas is also mainly affected by wind and tidal forcing and only weakly influenced by the outer baroclinic ocean circulation, which takes place mainly from the shelf break area offshore.

Figure 1. Map of the area under investigation including the Augusta Bay and the eponymous harbour. The sampling sites of each oceanographic survey are indicated with different symbols.
3 Model description

The HR3DHG model has been designed and implemented to reconstruct, at high spatio-temporal resolution, the behaviour of $[Hg_T]$ and $[Hg_D]$. The model consists of an advection-diffusion-reaction model for the seawater compartment, coupled with a diffusion-reaction sub-model for pore water, in which the dynamics of the de-sorption of $[Hg_{sed}^T]$ between the solid (sediments) and liquid phase (pore water) is considered.

As well as the PCFLOW3D model of Zagar et al. (Zagar et al., 2007), the module of biogeochemical model for the seawater compartment is integrated with a hydrodynamics module (see Fig.2). Specifically, the SHYFEM model is used to calculate the spatio-temporal behaviour of the horizontal components of the velocity field in the seawater compartment (Burchard and Petersen, 1999; Umgiesser et al., 2004; Umgiesser, 2009; Umgiesser et al., 2014; Ferrarin et al., 2014; Cucco et al., 2016a, b, 2019), fixing to zero the vertical velocity according to the experimental data (see Section S3 of the Supplement for details).

In the HR3DHG model, the mercury exchange between the abiotic and biotic compartments is also taken into account. For this purpose, the spatio-temporal behaviour of picoeukaryotes abundance is reproduced by the Nutrient-Phytoplankton (NP) model (Denaro et al., 2013a, c; Valenti et al., 2015, 2016a, b, 2017) (see Section S4 of the Supplement for details). By using the curve of mean vertical profile obtained by Brunet et al. (2007) the picoeukaryotes abundances are converted into the chlorophyll concentration, which allows to reproduce the spatio-temporal distribution of NPP. This is used in our model to calculate both the biological rate constants and the sinking flux of $Hg$ adsorbed by POM. The amount of $Hg$ absorbed and released by each picoeukaryote cell in seawater is calculated by using the Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo Model (Ciffroy, 2015; Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015) (see Section S5 of the Supplement for details). The two modules are coupled with the advection-diffusion-reaction sub-model in order to reproduce the spatio-temporal behaviour of the load of dissolved $Hg$ released by dead picoeukaryotes cells in the seawater compartment (see Fig.2).

3.1 The advection-diffusion-reaction model for the $Hg$ species in seawater

The dynamics of the $[Hg_D]$ in the Augusta Bay has been reproduced using an advection-diffusion-reaction model. Specifically, the model equations are solved to obtain the behaviour of the three main $Hg$ species in seawater, indicated by $Hg^0(x,y,z,t)$, $Hg^I(x,y,z,t)$, and $MeHg(x,y,z,t)$, which denote the concentrations of each $Hg$ species in the position $(x,y,z)$ within the three-dimensional domain at a specific time $t$, and whose reciprocal interactions are modeled with the reaction terms of the Partial Differential Equations (PDEs). Since the experimental data indicate that $Me_2Hg$ concentration is very low in the Augusta Harbour (Sprovieri, 2015), the behaviour of this $Hg$ species is not reproduced in our model. The spatial domain is composed by the sum of several sub-domains (regular parallelepipseds), which cover the bathymetric map of the Augusta Bay (Sprovieri et al., 2011). Specifically, $z$ represents the depth of the barycenter of each sub-domain, localized between the surface ($z = 0$) and the bottom ($z = z_b$), while $x$ and $y$ indicate the distance in meters measured from a reference point (Lat. $37^\circ14.618$ N, Long. $15^\circ11.069$ E) located at North-West of the town of Augusta.

The model for both compartments is coded in C++ and adopts a finite volume scheme in explicit form with spatial and tempo-
Figure 2. Basic structure of the HR3DHG model.

Figure 3. Basic scheme used for implementing the HR3DHG model. The scheme describes the transformation processes ($k_1$ - photo-oxidation, $k_2$ - photo-reduction, $k_3$ - biological oxidation, $k_4$ - biological reduction, $k_{Ph-de}$ - photo-demethylation, $k_{deme}$ - demethylation, $k_{me}$ - methylation, $K_{deme}$ - demethylation, $K_{me}$ - methylation) and the main transport processes ($A$ - anthropogenic input, $AD$ - atmospheric deposition, $B$ - benthic flux, $D$ - net flux due to particulate deposition and settling, $O$ - net outflow from basin, $V$ - atmospheric evasion) which involve the dissolved and particulate-bound Hg species in seawater ($Hg^{II}_D$, $MeHg_D$, $Hg^0_D$, $Hg^{II}_P$, $MeHg_P$) and sediments ($Hg^{II}_{pw}$, $MeHg_{pw}$, $Hg^{sed}_{T}$).
eral discretizations treated separately. The approach followed allows the combination of various types of discretization procedures for solving the diffusion, advection and reaction terms. Specifically, the differential equations are solved by performing centered-in-space differencing for the diffusion terms and first-order upwind-biased differencing for the advection terms.

The model domain in seawater is constituted by a mesh of 10 and 18 elements regularly spaced of 454.6 m in both $x$- and $y$-direction, and with a variable number of vertical layers of 5 m depth in the $z$-direction. The mesh covers the whole Augusta Harbour and part of the adjacent coastal area. In Fig.1 the model domain is shown along with the location of the open boundaries in correspondence of the two port inlets. In both compartments (seawater and sediment), a fixed time step of 300 sec has been chosen to satisfy the several stability conditions and constrains associated with the numerical method adopted (Tveito and Winther, 1998). Stability analysis, performed according to previously published methods (Roache, 1998; Tveito and Winther, 1998; Thi et al., 2005), indicates that the convergence of our algorithm is guaranteed.

The dynamics of the $Hg$ species in seawater is represented through five processes (Zhang et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015): i) photochemical and biological redox transformations (reaction terms); ii) methylation/demethylation reactions (reaction terms); iii) movement due to turbulence (diffusion terms); iv) passive drift due to marine currents (advection terms); v) organic and inorganic particle scavenging; vi) organic particle re-mineralization.

The photochemical and biological redox transformations between $Hg^0$ and $Hg^{II}$ have been described as reaction terms with a first-order kinetic (Batrakova et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015). In particular, the rate constants of photochemical redox reactions are directly proportional to the short-wave radiation flux at sea surface then attenuated along the water column due to the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and suspended particulate matter ($SPM$) (Han et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014). At the same time, the rate constants of biological redox reactions are proportional to the organic carbon re-mineralization rate (OCRR), which depends on the net primary production at sea surface (NPP), surface chlorophyll concentration and surface atmospheric temperature (Zhang et al., 2014).

The model includes three reaction terms regulated by first-order kinetics, which describe the photo-demethylation of $MeHg$, the methylation of $Hg^{II}$ and the biotic demethylation of $MeHg$, respectively (Batrakova et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015). The first is the amount of $Hg^0$ produced by the $MeHg$ through photochemical reactions. The second is the amount of $MeHg$ obtained by the $Hg^{II}$ through biotic and abiotic pathways in seawater. The third is the amount of $Hg^{II}$ produced by the $MeHg$ through reductive demethylation processes caused by activity of bacteria in contaminated environments. The rate constants of three reaction terms are fixed according to previous works (Monperrus et al., 2007b, a; Lehnherr et al., 2011; Batrakova et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015).

The PDEs include terms of advection and diffusion for each dimension of the 3D domain. In particular, the diffusion terms reproduce the effects of turbulence on the 3D distribution of $Hg_D$ through horizontal ($D_x$ and $D_y$) and vertical ($D_v$) turbulent diffusivities, which are fixed as constant (see Section S1 of the Supplement).

The advection terms describe the effects on the $Hg$ distributions induced by (i) the horizontal velocity components ($v_x(x, y, z, t)$ and $v_y(x, y, z, t)$) of the marine currents along the $x$- and $y$- directions, and (ii) the vertical velocity component ($v_z(x, y, z)$) along the $z$-direction. The horizontal velocities are calculated using results achieved by applying a hydrodynamic model to the area (Umgiesser et al., 2004; Umgiesser, 2009; Cucco et al., 2016a, 2019) (see Section S3 of the Supplement), and change as
a function of space and time. The vertical velocity is fixed to zero according to available experimental data.

Moreover, we estimated the dynamics of the dissolved $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ species, also considering effects due to (i) the adsorption by $SPM$ (scavenging process) and (ii) the release by particulate organic matter. The scavenging process for both $Hg_D$ species is regulated by the sinking flux of particle-bound mercury along the water column (Zhang et al., 2014), which depends on variables calculated by using the NP model. The amount of $Hg$ released by particulate organic matter is primarily estimated through variables defined in the NP model and Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo Model (Valenti et al., 2012; Denaro et al., 2013a, b; Valenti et al., 2015, 2016a, b, c, 2017; Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015) (see Sections S4 and S5 of the Supplement). Specifically, the NP model provides the spatio-temporal distribution of picoeukaryotes abundance, which is used to get the chlorophyll concentration and the net primary production through suitable conversion functions (Brunet et al., 2007; Baines et al., 1994) (see Sections S1 and S4 of the Supplement). These two variables are then exploited to calculate the contribute of the sinking flux for POM-bound $Hg$ within the suspended particulate matter. The Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo Model gives the spatio-temporal dynamics of the $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ contents within the picoeukaryotes cells (Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015). These two variables are then used, together with the picoeukaryotes abundance, to get the amount of $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ released by the dead picoeukaryotes cells (see Sections S1.2 and S1.3 of the Supplement).

Thus, the advection-diffusion-reaction model for the $Hg$ species in seawater is defined by the following coupled partial differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_x \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(v_x Hg^0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_y \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial y} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(v_y Hg^0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(v_z Hg^0) + k_{Ph-de} \cdot MeHg - (k_1 + k_3) \cdot Hg^0 + (k_2 + k_4) \cdot Hg^{II} + S_L^{0} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial t} = + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_x \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(v_x Hg^{II}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_y \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial y} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(v_y Hg^{II}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(v_z Hg^{II}) + (k_1 + k_3) \cdot Hg^0 - (k_2 + k_4) \cdot Hg^{II} - k_{me} \cdot Hg^{II} + k_{deme} \cdot MeHg + S_L^{II} + S_{DOM}^{II} - S_{SPM}^{II} \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial t} = + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_x \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(v_x MeHg) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_y \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial y} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(v_y MeHg) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_z \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(v_z MeHg) - K_{Ph-de} \cdot MeHg + k_{me} \cdot Hg^{II} - k_{deme} \cdot MeHg + S_L^{MM} + S_{DOM}^{MM} - S_{SPM}^{MM} \tag{3}$$

Here, $k_1$, $k_2$, $k_3$ and $k_4$ are the rate constants for the photo-oxidation of $Hg^0$, the photo-reduction of $Hg^{II}$, the biological oxidation of $Hg^0$ and the biological reduction of $Hg^{II}$, respectively [h$^{-1}$]; $k_{Ph-de}$ is the rate constant for the photo-demethylation of $MeHg$ [h$^{-1}$]; $k_{deme}$ and $k_{me}$ are the rate constants for the biotic demethylation of $MeHg$ and the methylation of $Hg^{II}$, respectively [h$^{-1}$]; $S_L^{0}$, $S_L^{II}$ and $S_L^{MM}$ are the direct loads for $Hg^0$, $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$, respectively [µg·m$^{-3}$·h$^{-1}$]; $S_{DOM}^{II}$ and $S_{SPM}^{MM}$ are the loads of $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ respectively, released by POM [µg·m$^{-3}$·h$^{-1}$]; $S_{SPM}^{II}$ and $S_{SPM}^{MM}$ are the sinking fluxes of the $SPM$-bound mercury for $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$, respectively [µg·m$^{-3}$·h$^{-1}$].

The photo-chemical rate constants ($k_1$ and $k_2$) are directly proportional to the short-wave radiation flux (RAD) at the water-atmosphere interface (Zhang et al., 2014; Soerensen et al., 2010; Qureshi et al., 2010; Battrakova et al., 2014), while the
biological rate constants \( (k_3 \text{ and } k_4) \) are calculated by the organic carbon remineralization rate (OCRR) of the microbial reactions (Zhang et al., 2014) (see Section S1 of the Supplement). The \( k_{me} \) and \( k_{dme} \) are fixed according to Lehnherr et al. (2011), while the \( k_{Ph-de} \) is set according to to Melaku Canu et al. (2015).

The two sinking fluxes \( (S_{SPM}^H \text{ and } S_{SPM}^{MM}) \) are obtained according to previous works (Zhang et al., 2014; Rosati et al., 2018), as follows:

\[
S_{SPM}^H = S_{POM}^H + S_{silt}^H = - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ NPP \cdot (pe - ratio) \cdot \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^{-0.9} \cdot \left( \frac{k_D}{f_{oc}} \right) \cdot Hg^{II} \right] - v_{silt} \cdot k_{Dsilt}^H \cdot SPIM \cdot Hg^{II} \tag{4}
\]

\[
S_{SPM}^{MM} = S_{POM}^{MM} + S_{silt}^{MM} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ NPP \cdot (pe - ratio) \cdot \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^{-0.9} \cdot \left( \frac{k_D}{f_{oc}} \right) \cdot MeHg(z) \right] - v_{silt} \cdot k_{Dsilt}^{MM} \cdot SPIM \cdot MeHg \tag{5}
\]

where \( S_{POM}^H \) and \( S_{POM}^{MM} \) are the sinking fluxes of the POM-bound \( Hg \) for the \( Hg^{II} \) and \( MeHg \) [\( \mu g \cdot m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1} \)], respectively; \( S_{silt}^H \) and \( S_{silt}^{MM} \) are the sinking fluxes of the silt-bound \( Hg \) for the \( Hg^{II} \) and \( MeHg \) [\( \mu g \cdot m^{-3} \cdot h^{-1} \)], respectively; \( NPP \) is the net primary production [\( g \cdot C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \)]; \( pe - ratio \) is the ratio of particulate organic carbon (POC) export to \( NPP \) out of the euphotic zone [\( \text{dimensionless} \)]; \( z_0 \) is the depth of euphotic zone [\( m \)]; \( k_D \) is the seawater-SPM partition coefficient for \( Hg^{II} \) [\( l \cdot Kg^{-1} \)]; \( f_{oc} \) is the fraction of suspended particulate matter as organic carbon [\( \text{dimensionless} \)]; \( v_{silt} \) is the silt settling velocity [\( m \cdot h^{-1} \)]; \( k_{Dsilt}^H \) is the partition coefficient of \( Hg^{II} \) to silt [\( l \cdot Kg^{-1} \)]; \( k_{Dsilt}^{MM} \) is the partition coefficient of \( MeHg \) to silt [\( l \cdot Kg^{-1} \)]; \( SPIM \) is the suspended particulate inorganic matter [\( Kg/l^{-1} \)]. The \( NPP \) is obtained by Baines et al. (1994) using the conversion equation for the \( chl - a \) concentration (Baines et al., 1994). This is calculated by the picoeukaryotes abundance using the conversion curve of Brunet et al. (2007). The \( pe - ratio \) is calculated by the surface atmospheric temperature, coming from remote sensing, and the \( chl - a \) concentration obtained by the NP model (Zhang et al., 2014). The \( k_D \) has been measured within the Augusta Harbour during the last oceanographic survey, while the spatial distributions of \( f_{oc} \) and \( SPIM \) at the steady state have been reproduced by using the experimental findings for the suspended particulate matter (see Section 2.1 of the Supplement). The \( v_{silt} \), \( k_{Dsilt}^H \) and \( k_{Dsilt}^{MM} \) for marine environments with sily \( SPIM \) are fixed according to Rosati et al. (2018).

The loads of \( Hg^{II}_D \) and \( MeHg_D \) released by POM are calculated by using the following equations:

\[
S_{DOM}^H = \lambda \cdot m \cdot b \cdot PHg^{II}, \tag{6}
\]

\[
S_{DOM}^{MM} = \lambda \cdot m \cdot b \cdot PMeHg, \tag{7}
\]

where \( PHg^{II} \) and \( PMeHg \) are, respectively, the \( Hg^{II} \) content and \( MeHg \) content in each cell of picoeukaryotes [\( \mu g/cell \)]; \( b \) is the picoeukaryotes abundance [\( cell \cdot m^{-3} \)]; \( m \) is the mortality of picoeukaryotes [\( h^{-1} \)]; \( \lambda \) is the \( Hg \) recycling coefficient for picoeukaryotes [\( \text{dimensionless} \)]. The spatio-temporal dynamics of \( PHg^{II} \) and \( PMeHg \) are obtained by solving the ODEs
of Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo Model for $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$, respectively (Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015)(see Section 5 of the Supplement). The spatio-temporal distribution of $b$ is reproduced by using the NP model (Valenti et al., 2017)(see Section 4 of the Supplement). The parameter $m$ is set according to Valenti et al.(2017), while $\lambda$ is fixed equal to the nutrient recycling coefficient for picoeukaryotes (Valenti et al., 2015, 2017).

The concentrations $[Hg_D]$ and $[Hg_T]$ are calculated as a function of position $(x, y, z)$ and time $t$, as follows:

$$Hg_D = Hg^0 + Hg^{II} + MeHg$$

$$Hg_T = Hg_D + k_D \cdot SPM \cdot (Hg^{II} + MeHg).$$

Here, $k_D$ is the seawater-$SPM$ partition coefficient for $Hg_D$ (only $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$) $[l \cdot Kg^{-1}]$, and $SPM$ is the Suspended Particulate Matter concentration $[Kg \cdot l^{-1}]$. The partition coefficient $k_D$ is set to the value experimentally observed in seawater samples collected within the Augusta Bay recently. The spatial distribution of $SPM$ was set according to the experimental information collected during the oceanographic cruise of October 2017, and assumed constant for the whole simulation time.

The advection-diffusion-reaction model is completed by a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs), which describe the mercury fluxes at the boundaries of Augusta Harbour. Specifically, we take into account for the three mercury species: i) the evasion and the deposition of $Hg^0$ at the water-atmosphere interface (Bagnato et al., 2013; Zagar et al., 2007); ii) the lack of $Hg^0$ diffusion at the water-sediment interface (Ogrinc et al., 2007); iii) the wet and dry deposition of $Hg^{II}$ at the water-atmosphere interface (Rajar et al., 2007; Zagar et al., 2007); iv) the wet and dry deposition of $MeHg$ at the water-atmosphere interface (Mason et al., 2012); v) the diffusion of $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ at the water-sediment interface; vi) the constant fixed value of $[Hg_D]$ out of Augusta Bay (Ionian Sea) (Horvat et al., 2003); vii) the exchange of the elemental mercury, $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ between the Augusta basin and the Ionian Sea through the two inlets (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). Since the Augusta Bay is considered as a semi-closed basin, the lateral fluxes at the boundaries of the domain are set to zero except for the two inlets (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). Here, the lateral fluxes depend on the direction of horizontal velocities, and therefore change as a function of depth and time (see Sections S1.1.2 of the Supplement). The boundary conditions for the three mercury species are defined by the following equations:

$$\left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial z} - v_z Hg^0 \right]_{z=0} = \frac{Hg_{gas-atm} \cdot Pr}{\Delta t} + MTC_{water-atm} \cdot (Hg_{gas-atm} - H \cdot Hg^0)_{z=0}$$

$$\left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial x} - v_x Hg^0 \right]_{x=x} = \left[ D_y \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial y} - v_y Hg^0 \right] = \left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^0}{\partial z} - v_z Hg^0 \right]_{z=z_b} = 0$$

$$\left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial z} - v_z Hg^{II} \right]_{z=0} = \frac{Hg^{II}_{MeHg} \cdot Pr}{\Delta t} + Drydep_{Hg^{II}}, \quad \left[ D_z \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial z} - v_z MeHg \right]_{z=0} = 0.005 \cdot \left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial z} - v_z Hg^{II} \right]_{z=0}$$

$$\left[ D_z \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial z} - v_z Hg^{II} \right]_{z=z_b} = MTC_{sed-water}^{II} \cdot (Hg^{II}_{pore-water} - Hg^{II})_{z=z_b}$$
\[ D_z \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial z} - v_z MeHg \bigg|_{z=z_b} = MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{MM} (MeHg_{\text{pore-water}} - MeHg) \bigg|_{z=z_b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[ D_x \frac{\partial Hg^{II}}{\partial x} - v_x Hg^{II} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[ D_y \frac{\partial MeHg}{\partial y} - v_y MeHg = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ Hg^0(x_{\text{inlet}}, y_{\text{inlet}}, z) = Hg_{\text{ext}}^0, \quad Hg^{II}(x_{\text{inlet}}, y_{\text{inlet}}, z) = Hg_{\text{ext}}^{II}, \quad MeHg(x_{\text{inlet}}, y_{\text{inlet}}, z) = MeHg_{\text{ext}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where \( Hg_{\text{gas-atm}} \) is the gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) concentration in atmosphere \([\text{ng} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( Pr \) is the amount of precipitation \([\text{mm}]\); \( \Delta t \) is the exposition time to precipitations \([\text{h}]\); \( Drydep_{Hg^{II}} \) is the atmospheric dry deposition of \( Hg^{II} \) \([\text{ng} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}]\); \( MTC_{\text{water-atm}} \) is the gas phase overall mass transfer coefficient \([\text{m} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}]\); \( H \) is the Henry’s law constant \([\text{dimensionless}]\); \( Hg^0 \bigg|_{z=0} \) is the \( [Hg^0] \) at the sea surface \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( Hg_{atm}^{II} \) is the \( [Hg^{II}] \) in atmosphere \([\text{ng} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{II} \) is the mass transfer coefficient for \( Hg^{II} \) at the water-sediment interface \([\text{m} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}]\); \( MTC_{\text{water-sed}}^{pore-water} \) is the \( [Hg^{II}] \) in the pore water of the surface layer (upper 10 cm) of the sediments \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( Hg_{\text{inlet}}^{II} \bigg|_{z=z_b} \) is the dissolved \( [Hg^{II}] \) at the deepest layer of the water column \((z = z_b)\) \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{MM} \) is the mass transfer coefficient for \( MeHg \) at the water-sediment interface \([\text{m} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}]\); \( MeHg_{\text{pore-water}} \) is the \( [MeHg] \) in the pore water in the surface layer (upper 10 cm) of the sediments \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( MeHg \bigg|_{z=z_b} \) is the dissolved \( [MeHg] \) in the deepest layer of the water column \((z = z_b)\) \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\); \( Hg_{\text{ext}}^0, Hg_{\text{ext}}^{II} \) and \( MeHg_{\text{ext}} \) are the average \( [Hg^0], [Hg^{II}] \) and \( [MeHg] \), respectively, reported from the Ionian Sea \([\mu g \cdot \text{m}^{-3}]\). The dynamics of the GEM and \( Hg^{II} \) concentrations in the atmosphere \( (Hg_{\text{gas-atm}} \text{ and } Hg_{atm}^{II}) \) is reproduced using the experimental data collected in the Augusta Bay between August 2011 and June 2012 (Bagnato et al., 2013), whereas rainfall is derived from the remote sensing (see http://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov/sse/RETScreen/). The spatio-temporal dynamics of pore water mercury concentrations \( (Hg_{\text{pore-water}}^{II} \text{ and } MeHg_{\text{pore-water}}) \) at the sediment surface layer are obtained with the diffusion-reaction model for the sediment compartment, while the mass transfer coefficients \( (MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{II} \text{ and } MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{MM}) \) at the water-sediment interface are calculated by sediment porosity, molecular diffusion coefficient, boundary layer thickness above and below sediment according to previous works (Covelli et al., 1999; Sørensen et al., 2001; Schulz and Zabel, 2006; Ogrinc et al., 2007; Bryant et al., 2010; Ciffroy, 2015) (see Sections S1.2.2 and S1.3.2 of the Supplement).

### 3.1.1 Mass balance of \( Hg \) in Augusta Bay

The annual mass balance for the total \( Hg \) in the seawater compartment can be estimated, using the boundary conditions given in Eqs. (10)-(16), according to the following equation (Sprovieri et al., 2011; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016):

\[ A + AD + B = O + D + V \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)
where \( A \) is the input of the \( Hg_D \) from anthropogenic activities; \( AD \) is the atmospheric mercury deposition; \( B \) is the mercury flux from sediments to seawater due to diffusion processes; \( O \) is the net mercury outflow from the Augusta Harbour to the Ionian Sea; \( D \) is the amount of mercury recycled in the Augusta Harbour (or the net mercury deposition for settling and burial); \( V \) is the GEM evasion from the Augusta Bay to the atmosphere.

By integrating Eqs. (10)-(16), we obtain the terms of the annual mass balance referred to the mercury fluxes exchanged at the interfaces \((AD,B,V)\), and the net mercury outflow from the Augusta Bay to the Ionian Sea \((O)\), while the input of the anthropogenic activities \((A)\) is set to zero according to literature sources (Sprovieri et al., 2011; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). Finally, we estimate the total amount of mercury recycled \((D)\) from the other terms, and compare it with the amount of mercury recycled by scavenging \((S)\). A simple scheme of the fluxes exchanged in the mercury biogeochemical cycle of the Augusta Bay is shown in Fig. 3.

3.2 The diffusion-reaction model for Hg species in pore water

The dynamics of \( [Hg^{sed}] \) and \( [Hg^{T}] \) in the Augusta sediments (average thickness of 1.9 m) has been studied using a diffusion-reaction model. In particular, we investigated the behaviour of the two mercury species dissolved in pore water, i.e. \( Hg^{II} \) (\( Hg^{II}_{pore-water} \)) and \( MeHg \) (\( MeHg_{pore-water} \)), which interact with each other directly through the reaction terms of the two PDEs. Moreover, in the model we took into account the variations of mercury concentrations in pore water due to the slow desorption of the fraction bound to particulate sediments. In order to better reproduce the experimental findings, we describe mercury desorption using an exponential equation, which accounts, in the absence of external sources, the loss of mercury through the desorption mechanism. Since the mercury desorption has to depend on its instantaneous concentration, the mechanism is regulated by a first-order kinetic.

The model provides solutions for the spatio-temporal behaviour of mercury concentration, both for the two species dissolved in pore water, i.e. inorganic mercury (\( Hg^{II}_{pore-water}(x',y',z',t) \)) and methyl-mercury (\( MeHg_{pore-water}(x',y',z',t) \)), and the total mercury concentration in the sediments (\( Hg^{sed}(x',y',z',t) \)). Here, the coordinates \((x',y',z')\) indicate the position within the irregular three-dimensional domain of the sediment compartment. Since the surface sediment slope is very low for the whole basin, the domain is approximated as the sum of several sub-domains shaped as regular parallelepipeds, which reproduce the sediment columns in each position \((x',y',z')\) of the Augusta Bay. Specifically, \( z' \) represents the depth of the barycenter of each subdomain, localized between the top \((z' = 0)\) and the bottom \((z' = 1.9 \, m)\) of the surface sediment layer, while the other coordinates \((x' = x \ \text{and} \ y' = y)\) indicate the distance in meters measured from the same reference point used for the seawater compartment.

In the sediment compartment, the PDEs of the model are solved by performing a centered-in-space differencing for the diffusion terms. The sea bottom is discretized in the horizontal plane using the same regular mesh adopted for simulating the dissolved mercury distribution in the seawater compartment (see Fig.1) with 454.6 m regularly spaced elements. In this case, the vertical discretization is constituted by equally spaced layers of 0.2 m depth, with the exception of the interface layer between water and sediment, whose depth is set at 0.1 m. This choice has been made in order to best adapt the 3D grid of the model to the scheme used to interpolate available experimental data.
The initial conditions for \([Hg_{IT}^{sed}]\) and \([Hg_{II}^{sed}]\) are fixed on the basis of experimental findings. As a first step we reproduced the spatial distribution of \(Hg_{IT}^{sed}\) at time \(t = 0\) by interpolating the experimental data collected by ICRAM in 2005 (ICRAM, 2008) (see Section S1.2.4 of the Supplement). We then calculated both \([Hg^{II}]\) and \([MeHg]\) in pore water using the following equations:

\[
Hg_{pore-water}^{II}(0) = (1 - f_{MeHg}) \cdot \frac{Hg_{IT}^{sed}(0)}{K_d^{II}}, \quad MeHg_{pore-water}(0) = f_{MeHg} \cdot \frac{Hg_{IT}^{sed}(0)}{K_d^{MM}}
\]

where \(Hg_{IT}^{sed}(0)\) represents the spatial distribution of \([Hg_{IT}]\) in the sediments at initial time \([mg \cdot Kg^{-1}]\), \(f_{MeHg}\) is the fraction of \(MeHg\) in the sediments \([\text{dimensionless}]\), \(K_d^{II}\) is the sediment-pore water distribution coefficient for \(Hg^{II} [l \cdot Kg^{-1}]\), and \(K_d^{MM}\) is the sediment-pore water distribution coefficient for \(MeHg [l \cdot Kg^{-1}]\).

In pore water, the dynamics of \([Hg^{II}]\) and \([MeHg]\) are modeled by considering three chemical-physical processes (Schulz and Zabel, 2006; Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Oliveri et al., 2016): i) methylation and de-methylation (reaction terms); ii) passive movement due to the Brownian motion of each chemical species (diffusion terms); iii) desorption of mercury bound to sediment particles (desorption term).

The methylation and de-methylation processes involved in the dynamics of \(Hg^{II}\) and \(MeHg\) are considered in the model through reaction terms describing first-order kinetics. The rate constants of these reactions are fixed according to previous works (Hines et al., 2012).

The diffusion terms reproduce the effects of the Brownian motions on the spatial distribution of the \([Hg_{IT}^{sed}]\) in pore water. In particular, the magnitude of the Brownian motions is described by the molecular diffusion coefficients for \(Hg^{II}\) \((D_{sed}^{in}(x',y',z'))\) and \(MeHg\) \((D_{sed}^{or}(x',y',z'))\), which change in each position of the domain as a function of porosity and tortuosity (see Sections S1.2.2 and S1.3.2 of the Supplement). The molecular diffusion coefficients are assumed isotropic in all directions, and are set as constant functions of time according to previous works (Schulz and Zabel, 2006; Melaku Canu et al., 2015).

The desorption term estimates the increase of \(Hg_{pore-water}^{II}\) and \(MeHg_{pore-water}\) due to the mercury release from the sediment particles to pore water. The desorption process is regulated by the temporal gradient of \([Hg_{IT}^{sed}]\) \((\partial dHg_{IT}^{sed}/\partial t))\), which changes as a function of position and time (see Section S1.2.2 and S1.3.2 of the Supplement).

Thus, the module for the sediment compartment is defined by the following coupled partial differential equations:

\[
\frac{dHg_{pore-water}^{II}}{dt} = +K_{demeth} \cdot MeHg_{pore-water} - K_{meth} \cdot Hg_{pore-water}^{II} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{sed}^{in} \cdot \frac{\partial Hg_{pore-water}^{II}}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{sed}^{in} \cdot \frac{\partial Hg_{pore-water}^{II}}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{sed}^{in} \cdot \frac{\partial Hg_{pore-water}^{II}}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{1}{K_d^{II}} \cdot \frac{dHg_{IT}^{sed}}{dt}
\]

\[
\frac{dMeHg_{pore-water}}{dt} = -K_{demeth} \cdot MeHg_{pore-water} + K_{meth} \cdot Hg_{pore-water}^{II} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{sed}^{or} \cdot \frac{\partial MeHg_{pore-water}}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ D_{sed}^{or} \cdot \frac{\partial MeHg_{pore-water}}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ D_{sed}^{or} \cdot \frac{\partial MeHg_{pore-water}}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{f_{MeHg}}{K_d^{MM}} \frac{dHg_{IT}^{sed}}{dt}
\]
\[
\frac{dHg_{sed}^T}{dt} = -\alpha \cdot Hg_{sed}^T \Rightarrow Hg_{sed}^T(t) = Hg_{sed}^T(0) \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot t)
\] (22)

where \(K_{demeth}\) is the rate constant for the de-methylation of \(MeHg\) [h\(^{-1}\)]; \(K_{meth}\) is the rate constant for the methylation of \(Hg^{II}\) [h\(^{-1}\)]; \(\alpha\) is the desorption rate for the \([Hg_{sed}^T]\) bound to the sediment particles [h\(^{-1}\)].

As boundary conditions, we assume a null value of mercury flux at the bottom of the sediment column (1.9 m depth), mainly due to the measured very low porosity, while the vertical gradient of \([Hg_{sed}^T]\) and \([Hg_{sed}^D]\) are set to zero at the water-sediment interface, according to field observations. The mercury concentration in sediments is fixed to zero at the lateral boundaries \((x_b', y_b')\) of the 3D domain. The boundary conditions for dissolved and total mercury concentrations in sediments are described by the following equations:

\[
\left[ D_{sed}^{in} \frac{\partial Hg_{pore-water}^{II}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=0} = \left[ D_{sed}^{in} \frac{\partial Hg_{pore-water}^{II}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=1.9m} = 0
\] (23)

\[
\left[ D_{sed}^{or} \frac{\partial MeHg_{pore-water}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=0} = \left[ D_{sed}^{or} \frac{\partial MeHg_{pore-water}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=1.9m} = 0
\] (24)

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial Hg_{sed}^{sed}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=0} = \left[ \frac{\partial Hg_{sed}^{sed}}{\partial z} \right]_{z'=1.9m} = 0
\] (25)

\[
Hg_{pore-water}^{II}|_{(x_b', y_b')} = 0, \ MeHg_{pore-water}|_{(x_b', y_b')} = 0, \ Hg_{sed}^{sed}|_{(x_b', y_b')} = 0
\] (26)

Eqs. (20)-(26) represent the three-dimensional diffusion-reaction model used to describe and reproduce the spatio-temporal dynamics of \([Hg^{II}]\) and \([MeHg]\) in pore water, and of \([Hg_{sed}^{sed}]\) in sediments. It is to be noticed that equations (13)-(14), (20)-(21) and (19), which reproduce the spatio-temporal distributions of the mercury concentrations in both compartments (seawater and sediment), strongly depend on the initial condition for the total mercury concentration observed in the sediments.

### 3.3 Model and simulation setup

In our model, as initial conditions we assumed an uniformly distributed concentration of \(Hg_D\) and \(Hg_T\), set to 1.9 ng/l corresponding to the experimental detection limit. Specifically, the initial concentration of each \(Hg\) species was fixed according to the percentage observed in seawater samples of Ionian Sea (Horvat et al., 2003), in such a way to respect the detection limit for total \([Hg_D]\). The numerical results were not affected by the chosen initial conditions, indeed the same spatial distribution of \([Hg]\) at nearly-steady state was obtained when higher initial \(Hg\) concentrations than detection limit were fixed.

The model results were obtained by running a single long simulation. To reproduce the spatial mercury distributions at near-steady-state, we integrated the model equations over a time interval \((t_{max} > 7\ \text{years})\) long enough to reach an annual decrease
of mercury concentration of less than 2 percent. This percentage value progressively declines for longer time intervals down to an annual decrease of 0.12 percent for $t_{\text{max}} = 250$ years.

All environmental parameters and variables used in the model are reported in Tables S1-S3 of the Supplement. Most of the environmental parameters have been set to values experimentally observed in sites contaminated by mercury (Horvat et al., 2003; Schulz and Zabel, 2006; Monperrus et al., 2007b, a; Strode et al., 2010; Lehnherr et al., 2011; Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Sprovieri et al., 2011; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016; Sunderland et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014; Batrakova et al., 2014), while other parameters, among which are those most sensitive for the model, have been calibrated so as to correctly reproduce the experimental data collected during the six oceanographic surveys (Sprovieri et al., 2011; ICRAM, 2008; Bagnato et al., 2013; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016; Oliveri et al., 2016). Furthermore, the photochemical and biological rate constants of the redox reactions have been calculated by using both the outputs of NP model and the data coming from remote sensing (see Section S1 of the Supplement).

Experimental measurements were carried out during the period between 2005 and 2017 in several stations inside and outside Augusta Harbour (see Fig. 1). Mercury concentration as well as mercury fluxes were measured both in sediments and seawater (see Tables S6-S10, S12 of Supplement). We refer to Bagnato et al. (2013), Salvagio Manta et al. (2016) and Oliveri et al. (2016) for a detailed description of the measured parameters, of the related dynamics and of the analytical methods used (ICRAM, 2008; Bagnato et al., 2013; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016; Oliveri et al., 2016). These experimental data were used to identify the most sensitive parameters for the model and to compare them with the theoretical results in order to estimate the model accuracy in reproducing Hg dynamics.

Concerning the calibration procedure, we first focused on the best values of the parameters for the sediment compartment (i.e. sediment-pore water distribution coefficients, desorption rate and boundary layer thickness above the sediment) in such a way as to optimize the match between theoretical results and experimental observations. Specifically, in Eqs. (20)-(21) the sediment-pore water distribution coefficients were calibrated to guarantee the best theoretical $[Hg]$ in pore water in agreement with the value ranges experimentally observed in a previous work (Oliveri et al., 2016), whereas the fraction of methyl-mercury in sediments for the whole spatial domain was set to that obtained by field observations during the oceanographic survey of October 2017 (see Table S1). In the Eq. (22), the desorption rate $\alpha$ was calibrated to obtain the best fit between the theoretical results and experimental observations for $[Hg]$ in pore water. Before to calculate the mass transfer coefficients at the water-sediment interface, the boundary layer thickness above the sediment was optimized to better reproduce the spatial distribution of mercury benthic flux observed experimentally. Unlike the boundary layer thickness above the sediment, the other parameters used to obtain $MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{\text{II}}$ and $MTC_{\text{sed-water}}^{\text{MM}}$ were not calibrated. In fact, the boundary layer thickness below the sediment was estimated by using the relationship between this parameter and the average velocity of marine currents defined by Sørensen (2001), while the spatial distribution of the sediment porosity within Augusta Harbour was reproduced, according to previous works (Covelli et al., 1999; Ogrinc et al., 2007), by exploiting the measurements on the sediment samples performed by ICRAM in 2005. Also, the molecular diffusion coefficient was that reported by Schulz and Zabel (2006).

As a second step, we calibrated model parameters for the seawater compartment (i.e. vertical and horizontal diffusivities) in order to better reproduce the spatio-temporal dynamics of the dissolved mercury concentration. The vertical turbulent diffusiv-
ity was calibrated according to experimental data, which indicate weakly mixed water column conditions within the Augusta Bay during the whole year. Specifically, the vertical turbulent diffusivity was set in such a way as to obtain the best match with experimentally observed dissolved mercury concentration at the surface layer of the water column. The calibrated vertical diffusivity was in good agreement with previously reported values (Denman and Gargett, 1983) under the condition of weakly mixed waters. The horizontal turbulent diffusivity was assumed isotropic in the horizontal water plane ($D_x=D_y$), and calibrated by considering the values obtained in Massel (1999). In particular, the horizontal turbulent diffusivities were optimized to get the best possible match with the observed mercury evasion flux. The calibrated horizontal diffusivities were in accordance with the values estimated by other authors (Massel, 1999) for basins similar in size to those of the Augusta Bay.

In our analysis, no comparison between the calibrated desorption rate and experimental data was possible. However, the other calibrated environmental parameters were in good agreement with those obtained experimentally both in the Augusta Bay and in other sites contaminated by mercury (Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Oliveri et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012; Cossa and Coquery, 2005; Ciffroy, 2015).

Finally, the calibrated model has been run by considering the seasonal oscillations of the environmental data (water currents, wind etc.) provided by hydrodynamic modelling (see Section S3 of the Supplement). The model results were validated using the other experimental findings acquired in the Augusta Bay: $[MeHg_D]$, $[Hg_D]$ and $[Hg_T]$ measured in seawater; all annual $Hg$ fluxes estimated for the mass balance.

4 Results

In the following the simulation results obtained for the seawater and sediment compartments are described and compared with experimental data.

4.1 Mercury in seawater

The spatial distribution of the three mercury species dissolved in seawater is obtained by solving Eqs. (1)-(17), together with the equation system (20)-(26) for the sediment compartment. The theoretical concentrations of the three mercury species dissolved in seawater are reported in the Table S5 of Supplement. Here, we observe the average concentration ratios among the three mercury species dissolved in seawater ($[Hg^{II}]/[Hg_D] = 0.790$, $[MMHg]/[Hg_D] = 0.022$ and $[Hg^0]/[Hg_D] = 0.188$) are in good agreement with both experimental and theoretical values reported in recent publications (Zhang et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015). Moreover, the theoretical results for the vertical profiles of the mercury concentration show a similar shape for the whole simulated period (2005-2254), while the magnitude of the concentrations in the whole water column decreases slowly as a function of time (see Fig. S1 of the Supplement).

The model results indicate that the dissolved mercury concentration is usually maximal at the seawater-sediment interface (see Fig.4), where the main sources of $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$ are localized. These numerical results are in reasonable agreement with the field observations (see Tables S6-S7 of the Supplement). Moreover, taking into account the redox conditions of sediments in the area, we speculate that maxima in $MeHg$ production be confined to the seawater/sediments interface.
Figure 4. Comparison between the experimental data (red points) and the theoretical results (black lines) for the dissolved mercury concentration in six stations of the Augusta Bay. The vertical profiles of $[Hg_D]$ are obtained by model for the sites closest to stations 1, 10, 12 and 20 (sampling May 2011), station 15 (sampling June 2012) and station 26 (sampling February 2012).

Conversely, in some sites of the calculation grid (see Fig.1) we observe that the peaks of mercury concentration occur at mid-depth of the water column possibly due to the distribution of marine currents velocity field within Augusta basin, which determines sometimes the presence of a $[Hg]$ maximum in the intermediate layers of seawater. In general, in our model the dynamics of mercury concentration in seawater is strictly connected with the behaviour of the benthic mercury fluxes, which decrease slowly as a function of time due to the slow molecular diffusion process of mercury within the pore waters of the sediments.

A quantitative analysis, based on the reduced $\chi^2$ test, indicates a good agreement between the model results and experimental findings for $[MeHg]$ in stations A3 ($\tilde{\chi}^2 = 0.0005$) and A7 ($\tilde{\chi}^2 = 0.0005$), while differences can be observed in the stations A9 ($\tilde{\chi}^2 = 0.0955$) and A11 ($\tilde{\chi}^2 = 0.1065$), where the theoretical concentrations appear overestimated at the bottom layer (see Table S6 of the Supplement). This result is probably due to the overestimation of the $MeHg$ benthic fluxes in these two stations. In our analysis, the spatio-temporal behaviour of $[Hg_D]$ is obtained as sum of the three dissolved mercury species. On the
other hand, the dynamics of the spatial distribution of the $[Hg_T]$ is estimated according to Eq. (9), assuming a linear correlation between the modeled $[Hg_D]$ and the experimental SPM concentrations. The spatial distributions of $[Hg_D]$ and $[Hg_T]$ are reported for May 2011 in Fig.S2-S5 of the Supplement.

The numerical results for the $[Hg_D]$ are in good agreement with the experimental findings for the four investigated periods (see Table S7 of the Supplement). Specifically, the difference between the model result and field observation for the $[Hg_D]$ is less than the experimental error ($\sigma = 3.2 \text{ ng/l}$) in 59% of sampling points, while it exceeds $2\sigma$ in only 17% of sampling sites. As a conclusion, the comparison between experimental data and theoretical results for the $[Hg_D]$ shows mostly small discrepancies except in some of the most contaminated areas, where concentration hot spots are hard to capture due to the resolution grid used in the present work.

The model results for $[Hg_T]$ show some discrepancies with experimental data in most of the sites investigated during the first sampling period (May 2011), while in general they evidence a acceptable agreement for the other sampling periods (see Table S8 of the Supplement). As a whole, the discrepancy for the $[Hg_T]$ is less than $\sigma$ in 44% of cases, while it exceeds $2\sigma$ in 32% of sampling sites. The differences (larger than $\sigma = 3.2 \text{ ng/l}$) can be mainly explained by the significant distance between the sampling sites and the model calculation grid nodes (see Fig.1). Additionally, we cannot neglect the role played by the theoretical spatial distribution of the SPM concentration (see Eq. (9)), which could significantly affect the spatio-temporal dynamics of the total mercury concentration in seawater. In particular, the spatial distribution of SPM concentrations, used in the model, probably is not appropriate for the first sampling period investigated (May 2011), while it produces a good agreement for the other three sampling periods.

The theoretical distributions of the benthic mercury fluxes simulated by the model for the two investigated periods (September 2011 and June 2012) are shown in Fig. 5. Here very high benthic $Hg^{II}$ and MeHg fluxes are documented in the south-west sector of Augusta Harbour, where the chlor-alkali plant discharged high amounts of contaminants until the late 1970s. The model reliably reproduces the high benthic mercury fluxes also in the part of the south-east sector close to the inlets of the Augusta Bay. The benthic mercury fluxes are very low in the coastal zones at the north of the basin, while intermediate values have been calculated in the central part of the bay. As a whole, the estimated benthic mercury fluxes are in good agreement with the experimental data collected during the two sampling periods (see Table S9 of the Supplement). It should be noted that the model results suggest that the benthic $Hg_D$ fluxes are mainly generated by the diffusion process at the seawater-sediment interface and that the amount of $Hg_D$ release from the re-suspended particulate matter is negligible. Moreover, the model results confirm that the spatial heterogeneity of benthic fluxes observed experimentally is strictly connected to that of $Hg_T$ concentration in sediments.

In general, the theoretical distribution of the mercury evasion fluxes is in acceptable agreement with the experimental results for the investigated periods (see Table S10 of the Supplement). Specifically, small discrepancies are observed in the most part of the stations (four over six), while larger difference emerge in stations 3 (November 2011) and 5 (June 2012). From a qualitative point of view, the model results for the elemental mercury evasion confirm that a high flux is present in the coastal zones at the south-west of the Augusta Bay (Bagnato et al., 2013), while a reduced evasion flux is observed at the northern sector of the basin (see Fig. 6).
In this work, we make the annual mass balance of the Augusta Bay to study the fate of Hg coming from sediments, and to estimate the Hg outflows at the inlets of basin. In Fig. 7, we show the temporal behaviour of the annual mercury fluxes used for mass balance calculation (see also Table S11 of the Supplement). The results of the annual benthic mercury fluxes ($B$) show that most of the mercury coming up from sediments is in inorganic form (see Fig.7a), while the benthic MeHg flux appears to be one to two order of magnitudes lower. The model results are compared with experimental information reported by Salvagio Manta et al. (2016) for three different sampling sites and in two different periods (September 2011 and June 2012). The modeled $Hg_D$ benthic fluxes (2.65 $kmol\ y^{-1}$ for the year 2011 and 2.61 $kmol\ y^{-1}$ for the year 2012) are significantly larger than those estimated for both sampling periods on the basis of the field observations (1.1 $kmol\ y^{-1}$ in September 2011 and 1.4 $kmol\ y^{-1}$ in June 2012) (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). This probably depends on the limited number of sampling sites available in the experimental work with a consequent limited capacity to capture reliable estimates of benthic fluxes within a basin, such as Augusta Bay, where the spatial distribution of sediment mercury is highly heterogeneous. Moreover, the higher
resolution of the grid used in our model guarantees a better estimation of the annual benthic mercury fluxes once the spatio-temporal integration is performed.

The model results for the dynamics of the annual mercury evasion fluxes are shown in Fig. 7b. The comparison with experimental findings indicates that the mercury evasion fluxes ($V$) obtained from the model ($1.93 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ kmol y}^{-1}$ for the year 2011 and $1.90 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ kmol y}^{-1}$ for the year 2012) are in good agreement with those estimated by Salvagio Manta et al. (2016) for each year ($1.70 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ kmol y}^{-1}$). Conversely, a significant discrepancy is observed between the annual atmospheric mercury deposition ($AD$) obtained by our model ($0.22 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ kmol y}^{-1}$), and that estimated in the experimental work ($0.42 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ kmol y}^{-1}$) (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). This discrepancy is due to different calculation methods used in the two works. Specifically, in our model the $AD$ is calculated by using both the atmospheric mercury concentrations and the average precipitations, measured for all months of the year. On the contrary, in Bagnato et al. (2013) the $AD$ is calculated by averaging the experimental data acquired during a time limited sampling period (from 29th August 2011 to 23rd April 2012), namely without considering the year period in which the amount of precipitation is very low. By this way, the $AD$ obtained by Bagnato et al. (2013) is very higher than that of our model, even if it is probably overestimated due to calculation method used. In general, the contribute of $AD$ is negligible in the mercury mass balance of the Augusta Bay. Indeed, the simulations indicate that a strong increase of atmospheric mercury deposition caused by environmental changes (dust fall increase and/or rainfall increase), would not affect on numerical results of our model significantly.

The annual net mercury inflows ($A$) from rivers and sewerage to basin are assumed to be negligible in agreement with field observations. Specifically, the flow rate of Marcellino river is equal to zero for the most part of year, while the inflow from the
**Figure 7.** Mercury benthic fluxes (panel a), evasion flux to the atmosphere (panel b), net outflows at the inlets (panel c) and recycling fluxes (panel d).
sewerage is low. Moreover, it is fair to speculate that the $Hg$ concentration in fresh waters discharged in the Augusta Bay was decreased significantly after the chlor-alkali plant closure.

The dynamics of the annual net mercury outflow ($O$) at the Levante and Scirocco inlets is described in Fig. 7c. The results encompass both inflow and outflow of the water mass in each inlet for the whole year, and the associated $Hg_T$ contribution. In Fig. 7c, we show the annual $Hg_T$ outflow from the Augusta Bay towards the open sea. This has been estimated to be 0.13 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2012 and appears significantly lower than the 0.51 kmol y$^{-1}$ calculated by Salvagio Manta et al. (2016) for the same year. Our hypothesis to explain this discrepancy is that the previous study does not consider the dynamics of the $[Hg_T]$ at the inlets (the $Hg_T$ outflow is calculated only on the basis of the mercury concentration measured in February 2012), and that the approach used in the previous paper does not take into account the dynamics of inflow and outflow of the water mass at the two inlets.

In this work, the annual recycled mercury flux ($D$) is calculated by subtraction using the mass balance equation (18), as well as it was done in previous works on the Augusta Bay (Sprovieri et al., 2011; Salvagio Manta et al., 2016). The model results for the recycled mercury flux are shown in Fig. 7d. Here, values calculated by our model (2.50 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2011 and 2.46 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2012) are larger and probably more realistic than those estimated in Salvagio Manta et al. (2016) (0.84 kmol y$^{-1}$). Indeed, the former are obtained by considering the seasonal oscillations of all other mercury fluxes during the year, while the latter are calculated without considering the seasonal changes of mercury fluxes (Salvagio Manta et al., 2016).

In order to reproduce the effects induced by scavenging process on the mercury dynamics, our model calculates the annual sinking mercury flux, whose results are shown in Fig. 6d. Here, a significant gap between the recycled flux (2.50 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2011) and the sinking flux (0.07 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2011) is observed probably due to the underestimation of the amount of mercury captured by POM (see Eqs. (4)-(5)). More specifically, this behaviour could be caused by the underestimation of $NPP$, which is calculated by using a conversion equation calibrated for oceans (Baines et al., 1994) rather than for coastal zones.

On the contrary, very high values of the annual $Hg_T$ accumulation rate in surface sediment layer (12.07 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2011), respect to those of the annual recycled flux (2.50 kmol y$^{-1}$ for the year 2011), are obtained by our model. This result is caused by the high sedimentation rate (11.7 mm y$^{-1}$) estimated experimentally (Sprovieri, 2015; ICRAM, 2008) and used in our calculations for annual $Hg_T$ accumulation rate (Covelli et al., 1999). However, the sedimentation rate could be overestimated due to sampling methods used. In fact, the results obtained by the sediment transport model indicate a low average sedimentation rate for the Augusta Bay.

### 4.2 Mercury in sediments

The spatio-temporal dynamics of $[Hg_T^{sed}]$ in the sediments of Augusta Bay and the mercury concentration of the two species ($Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$) dissolved in pore water have been obtained by solving Eqs. (20)-(26). All environmental parameters and variables used for the sediment compartment are reported in Tables S1-S2 of the Supplement.
In Fig. 8, the vertical profiles of mercury concentration in the sediments indicate that $[Hg^{sed}_T]$, $[Hg^{II}_{pore-water}]$ and $[MeHg_{pore-water}]$ always reach their maximum value within the surface layer of the sediments (< 0.5 m of depth). However, the shape of the vertical profiles for $[Hg^{II}_{pore-water}]$ and $[MeHg_{pore-water}]$ in pore water changes as a function of time. Also, the magnitude of the concentration peaks decreases over the whole 3D domain during the period studied. In particular, the pore water mercury concentration assumes a nearly-uniform distribution along the whole sediment column after several years of model simulation, even if the highest mercury concentrations are always observed in the shallowest layer of the sediments. The highest $[Hg^{II}_{pore-water}]$ and $[MeHg_{pore-water}]$ in the sediment surface layer support the high benthic mercury fluxes measured even several years after the chlor-alkali plant closure. Moreover, the results of $[Hg^{II}_{pore-water}]$ and $[MeHg_{pore-water}]$ also indicate that the benthic mercury fluxes will remain elevated until the beginning of 23rd century.

Finally, the comparison performed for the $[Hg^{sed}_D]$ in pore water indicates good agreement between the theoretical results and the experimental data (see Table S12 of the Supplement).
In this work we introduced the innovative HR3DHG biogeochemical model, verified and validated, in all its modules, with the rich database acquired for the Augusta Bay. The model is an advection-diffusion-reaction model (Melaku Canu et al., 2015; Yakushev et al., 2017; Pakhomova et al., 2018; Valenti et al., 2017; Dutkiewicz et al., 2009) that reproduces the spatio-temporal dynamics of the mercury concentration in seawater. The advection-diffusion-reaction model was coupled with: (i) a diffusion-reaction model, which estimates the mercury concentration in the pore waters of the sediment compartment, (ii) the equation which reproduces the mechanism responsible for the desorption of the two mercury species from the solid to the liquid phase of the sediments. This "integrated" model, which allows to give a description of the mercury dynamics in highly polluted marine sites, introduces some novelties in the landscape of the mathematical modelling of spatio-temporal dynamics in a biogeochemical context.

This "integrated" model also estimates the total amount of mercury present in biological species which occupy the lowest trophic level of the food chain, i.e. phytoplankton populations. For this purpose, we incorporated the Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo model (Pickhardt and Fischer, 2007; Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015) to describe the mechanism of mercury uptake in phytoplankton cells. Moreover, we reproduced the spatio-temporal dynamics of phytoplankton communities in seawater using a Nutrient-Phytoplankton model (Dutkiewicz et al., 2009; Morozov et al., 2010; Valenti et al., 2012; Denaro et al., 2013a, c, b; Valenti et al., 2015, 2016a, b, c, 2017; Morozov et al., 2019). This "integrated" model, together with the Nutrient-Phytoplankton model and the Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo model, constitutes a new global biogeochemical (HR3DHG) model describing the mercury dynamics and its effects on the lowest level of the trophic chain.

The HR3DHG model simultaneously provides a high-resolution spatio-temporal dynamics of $[Hg]$ in seawater and sediment, and $Hg$ fluxes at the boundaries of the 3D domain. The former is useful to locate the most polluted areas within the investigated basin. The latter are necessary to obtain the annual mercury mass balance of the basin in the quasi-stationary condition and to predict the mercury outflow towards the open sea, even after a very long time.

For comparison, the different approach used in the WASP models did not allow to reproduce the dynamics of mercury concentration distribution at 3D high resolution in polluted sites characterized by elevated spatial heterogeneity. Similar criticalities came out from the study of HR-1D models (Soerensen et al., 2016; Pakhomova et al., 2018), in which the effects of horizontal velocity field on the mercury dynamics could not be taken into account. Moreover, both the mechanism of the desorption of the total mercury in sediments and the processes involved in dissolved mercury dynamics in pore water were not considered in the most part of advection-diffusion-reaction models, such as the BROM. In general, only few models (Rajar et al., 2007; Zagar et al., 2007; Canu and Rosati, 2017) were able to make forecasts about the mercury depletion time in the sediment compartment of highly polluted sites, such as Augusta Bay.

Finally, the biogeochemical models introduced in previous works (Soerensen et al., 2016; Pakhomova et al., 2018) provided neither the NPP coming from the Nutrient-Phytoplankton model (Baines et al., 1994; Brunet et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014), nor the load of POM-released $Hg_D$ obtained using the Phytoplankton MERLIN-Expo model (Pickhardt and Fischer, 2007; Radomyski and Ciffroy, 2015) (see Section 3.1).
All the aforementioned aspects are therefore an element of novelty in the context of 3D biogeochemical modelling. The HR3DHG model considers the effects of the seasonal changes of the environmental variables on the mercury outflows towards the atmosphere and the open sea.

Application of the HR3DHG model to the case study of Augusta Bay provides crucial information for that environment, helping us to revise our view of the mercury dynamics in the highly contaminated coastal marine sites of the Mediterranean sea. Firstly, the mass transfer coefficients at the water-sediment interface are highly sensitive to the layer thickness above the sediment. Specifically, for each mercury species in sediments, a small decrease of this parameter causes a great increase of benthic fluxes, with a consequent strong enhancement of dissolved mercury concentration in seawater.

The model framework for the sediment compartment causes that the spatio-temporal dynamics of the benthic mercury flux strongly depends on the spatial distribution of the sediment porosity and of the initial total mercury concentration in the top-sediments, which were fixed using the experimental data.

Sensitivity analysis performed on the environmental parameters and variables used in the seawater compartment indicates that the spatio-temporal dynamics of $[Hg_D]$ primarily depends on the velocity field of the marine currents obtained from the hydrodynamic model (Umgiesser, 2009; Umgiesser et al., 2014; Cucco et al., 2016a, b), even if the role played by the vertical and horizontal diffusivities (Pacanowski and Philander, 1981; Massel, 1999; Denman and Gargett, 1983) cannot be neglected. Specifically, the spatio-temporal behaviour of $[Hg_D]$ changed significantly when alternative velocity fields for the Augusta Bay were used in the biogeochemical module, confirming a feature already observed in previous models (Zagar et al., 2007). Conversely, limited changes in the spatial distribution of $[Hg_D]$ were observed when different values of vertical and horizontal diffusivities were set in our model.

The magnitude of the elemental mercury concentration is tightly connected with the values assigned to the rate constants of the photochemical redox reactions, while the role played by the other reaction rates appears negligible for this mercury species. According to the available experimental data, the theoretical results obtained with the HR3DHG model suggest that the amount of mercury bound to the particulate matter is quite high in seawater compartment (about 47% of the $Hg_T$ on average). Because of the exponential decay of $[Hg_T]$ in sediments, the concentration of the three mercury species dissolved in seawater decreases slowly as a function of time, whereas their concentration ratios remain approximately constant.

Specifically, the mean concentrations of mercury are partitioned as 79.0% of $Hg^{II}$, 18.8% of elemental mercury and 2.2% of $MeHg$, namely values very similar to those observed experimentally in other contaminated sites (Zhang et al., 2014; Melaku Canu et al., 2015). The same ratio is observed for mercury which outflows from the inlets of Augusta Bay to the open sea. Here, the theoretical results of the HR3DHG model show a progressive decrease in annual mercury outflow from the bay.

On the whole, the mercury dissolved in seawater derives from sediments through the benthic flux of $Hg^{II}$ and $MeHg$. In particular, these two mercury species are released directly by the sediments, while the elemental mercury is generated by the redox reactions which involve the other two species. The elemental mercury concentration at the water surface contributes to the mercury evasion flux, even if only a small part of elemental mercury in the seawater is released in the atmosphere.

Notably, the theoretical results of the HR3DHG model demonstrate the pivotal role played by the recycling process in the mercury mass balance of the Augusta Bay. Estimates for annual recycled mercury flux indicate that the most part (94%) of
the amount of mercury released by sediments remains within the Augusta basin, while the mercury outflows at the boundaries of basin are negligible with respect to the annual benthic mercury fluxes. More specifically, in the quasi-stationary condition, the model results indicate that most of the recycled mercury returns to the sediments where is re-buried, and that the amount of mercury absorbed by the \textit{POM} (0.008 \textit{kmol y}^{-1} for the year 2011), and recycled in seawater, is negligible. In this last respect, it is however important to underscore that even a reduced amount of \textit{MeHg} entering living phytoplankton cells can be very dangerous for the health of human beings due to the bio-accumulation processes which occur throughout the food chain (Williams et al., 2010; Tomasello et al., 2012; Lee and Fischer, 2017).

The theoretical results show that the recycled mercury flux in the Augusta Bay is only partially described by the scavenging process. In particular, an underestimation of the sinking flux for \textit{POM}-bound mercury is observed when the \textit{NPP} coming from the NP model is used in Eqs. (4)-(5). Probably, this behaviour is due to the \textit{chl} – \textit{a} concentration conversion equation of Baines et al. (1994), which has been calibrated for oceans instead of coastal zones. For this reason, the \textit{NPP} estimation would need further experimental and theoretical investigations. Moreover, a deeper knowledge of the scavenging process, which determines the particulate \textit{Hg} dynamics, would be necessary, from a theoretical point of view, to obtain a better estimation of the \textit{HgT} removed from the water column.

The theoretical results from the HR3DHG model show that, without specific and appropriate recovery actions, the mercury benthic flux could remain high for a very long time, representing a threat for this environment, for its ecosystems and for human health.

Finally, for its features, the HR3DHG model may represent a useful tool to explore and predict the effects of environmental changes on the mercury dynamics for several possible forthcoming scenarios.

6 Conclusions

A novel biogeochemical integrated model, HR3DHG, has been designed and implemented to reproduce the spatio-temporal dynamics of three species of mercury in the highly contaminated Augusta Bay. The model consistently reproduces the biogeochemical dynamics of mercury fluxes at the boundaries of the 3D domain, which is necessary for an accurate and reliable approximation of the annual mass balance for the whole basin. Direct comparison of model and experimental data suggests a good capacity of HR3DHG to capture the crucial processes dominating the dynamics of \textit{Hg} species in the different marine compartments and at their interfaces, with reliable estimations of benthic fluxes and evasion towards the atmosphere. The model provides robust information on the recycling of the \textit{Hg} species in a confined coastal area and can be considered as a reliable numerical tool to describe high-resolution variability of the most important biogeochemical variables driving \textit{Hg} concentrations. Finally, model results for the Augusta Bay suggest a permanent and relevant long-term (at century scale) mercury benthic fluxes, associated with negative effects for the biota of the investigated marine ecosystem and with significant health risks.
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