Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-217-AC2, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



GMDD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A multi-isotope model for simulating soil organic carbon cycling on an eroding landscape (WATEM_C v1.0)" by Zhengang Wang and Kristof Van Oost

Zhengang Wang and Kristof Van Oost

wangzhg33@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Received and published: 20 July 2020

1. There are many grammatical and spelling errors in the manuscript, mainly in section 1 Introduction, 3 Results and 4 Discussion. The text is sometimes unclear on what it intends to formulate. I have provided some suggestions in Technical comments.

Answer: The suggested revisions have been made in the manuscript with responses for technical comments below. We also check the whole text to correct grammatical and spelling errors if there are any.

2. There is a lack of detail regarding any study site that is used to demonstrate the

Printer-friendly version



model results. Was this site an actual plot or a computed site? There is a mention of "Belgium" on row 294 in 4 Discussion, but it is not clear how it is related to the findings of this manuscript. If you do have a study site, it should be mentioned, together with basic input parameters, so that other researchers can repeat the simulations.

Answer: Data presented in Figure 7 and Figure 8 (of last version) have been used for the model calibration together with C content data at these two study sites. The results derived from model calibration have been presented in Figure 1 and Figure 2 in the revised manuscript. Changes have also been made in Methods (Lines 113-132, Lines 291-317), Results (Lines 336-342), and Discussion (Lines 452-453) sections.

3. In the introduction, there is a mention of soil erosion and deposition models taking the effect of grain size into consideration, is there any such consideration in your model outside of the scope of the RUSLE components which are inputted into the WATEM model?

Answer: As the model generally simulates soil erosion as well as the mobilized SOC using empirical equations as implemented in RUSLE, the model does not focus on the detailed processes regarding grain size which would require parameters on the shear stress, stream power, and flow velocity ect. With respect to the selective transport of SOC, the model introduced an enrichment factor which is related to the erosion or deposition rate (Lines 158 – 174).

4. A suggestion is also to expand the discussion regarding replacement of SOC in eroding areas – for this study did you consider litterfall and vegetation input? For instance, is the vegetation cover heterogeneous in your study area, if so are there any patterns in SOC enrichment that could be connected to vegetation?

Answer: The vegetation cover can be set to be spatially heterogeneous by using a input map with spatial variability, which could cause a spatial variability of C input for the study site. However, the effect of vegetation on the C cycling is not the main focus of this study, and therefore relevant tests were performed in the manuscript. This study

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



mainly focus on the effects of different erosion-deposition rate on the spatial variability of C content and C isotopic composition. The discussion has been expand regarding the replacement of lateral SOC under the condition of same vegetation (Lines 398-404).

Technical comments

1. Consider italicizing the coefficients that are used in the expressions, also in the text for better reading flow.

Answer: The coefficients have been made italic as suggested.

2. Figures and text make inconsistent use of n-dash and minus signs, suggest streamline for consistency.

Answer: In some places where the n-dash should be used, minus signs wrongly are used in the text and figure. This has been corrected in the revised version of the manuscript.

3. Row 23: remove "the" in "SOC is the largest organic C pool on the land"

Answer: The sentence has been revised to "SOC is the largest organic C pool on land" (Line 26).

4. Row 25: remove "is" in "atmosphere CO2 sensitive is"

Answer: It has been removed (Line 28).

5. Row 37-38: in "During the erosion events, soil aggregates are broken by raindrop and overland flow, which can enhance the SOC decomposition", rewrite to clarify and remove unnecessary "the"s.

Answer: Revisions has been made as suggested (Lines 40-41).

6. Row 39-40: "Soil minerals move upwards from below due to soil truncation are added SOC by inputs from plants" – this sentence needs to be rewritten to clarify its

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



meaning, e.g. does soil truncation force minerals towards the soil surface? And is the SOC added from other sources?

Answer: The sentence has been rewritten to make it clearer (Line 43).

7. Row 41: "SOC deposited in the depositional settings is buried to depth and well preserved", rewrite to clarify

Answer: It has been rewritten to make it clearer (Line 45).

8. Row 43: "SOC tocks", change to stocks

Answer: It has been corrected (Line 47).

9. Row 43: "It was found that", should more likely be "It has been found"

Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Line 47). Similar revisions have also been made through the text.

10. Row 45-47: "Soil redistribution could lead to difference of SOC stability between eroding and depositional areas. Berhe et al. (2008) found that SOC decomposes faster in the eroding areas compared to depositional areas through signatures of radioactive C isotope." These sentences need to be corrected for grammar, and in the last sentence it needs to be clarified that by using radioactive C isotopes it has been found that SOC decomposes at faster rates. Here I also suggest that you write out 14C.

Answer: The sentence has been corrected as suggested (Lines 50-51).

11. Row 49-50: "Radioactive C isotope gives information on the SOC turnover time, and it is a useful tool to investigate long-term SOC cycling (Trumbore, 2009)." Rewrite so that it is grammatically correct.

Answer: The sentence has been corrected (Line 53).

12. Row 51: "SOC redistribution was found to have an effect", replace was found with "has been found".

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Line 54).

13. Row 55-56: a). "Some models separate sediments into different sizes, and these models are suitable for simulations the size selectivity in erosion and deposition" – clarify e.g. by using "into different grain size". Grammar correction: "are suitable for simulating"

Answer: It has been corrected as suggested (Line 60).

14. Row 59-61: "These models were further added processes of 137Cs deposition, decay and redistribution associated with soil particles, so that they can be calibrated using observed 137Cs data (Van Oost et al., 2003). "Here, I think you should give a clear example, to demonstrate why this is relevant.

Answer: A short explanation has been added to make the sentence clearer (Line 63-65).

15. Row 64-65: "Because the SOC is a complex of different components, it is often represented by various pools with respect to C input and decomposition rates in models such as Century" – capitalize and add "CENTURY", remove "the" from "Because the SOC".

Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Lines 70, 73).

16. Row 69-70:" For example, 14C signatures of SOC has been used to constrain parameters of a multiple-pool SOC model using Bayesian method" - check grammar.

Answer: This sentence has been removed in the revised manuscript. As suggested by the other reviewer, a paragraph on the progress of model developed using C isotopes has been added (Lines 91-102).

17. Row 72-73: Can you give any examples?

Answer: Actually, this sentence is a summary of the paragraph, and the following sentences are examples. We have revised the paragraph to make this clear (Line 79).

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



18. Row 73-74: Clarify what "at the profile scale" is. Replace "was investigated" with "has been investigated". Also, "they" is not pre-defined, so either introduce the authors of the study you refer to before using "they", or rewrite the sentence into a more generic form

Answer: This sentence has been rewritten to make it clear (Lines 79-82).

19. Row 75-78: It is not clear which study these findings are from, clarify

Answer: The sentence has been rewritten to avoid misunderstanding (Line 83).

20. Row 82-83: Suggest rewriting this sentence to improve reading flow, would replace "are still lacking" with other expression

Answer: This sentence has been removed in the revised version of the manuscript (Lines 103-105).

21. Row 84: Would use "modelling tool" rather than "model tool"

Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Line 107).

22. Row 85: perhaps use "eroding landscape" instead of "dynamic landscape" to clarify, or refer to erosion in some other way

Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Line 107).

23. Row 89: define "scenarios" with e.g. "erosion scenarios" or "erosion settings" to clarify

Answer: It has been clarified by "cases regarding spatial and temporal settings" (Line 111).

24. Row 106: space missing "(m).ln"

Answer: A space has been added (Line 149).

25. Row 126: capitalize CENTURY model

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Answer: It has been revised as suggested (Line 176).

26. Row 132: superscript missing in "ha-1"

Answer: It has been replaced to % as suggested by the other reviewer (Line 183).

27. Row 140: "We used discrimination ratio to", add "a" to "used a discrimination"

Answer: "a" has been added (Line 190).

28. Row 179: "At the meantime", replace to "In the meantime" or change to other expression

Answer: "At the meantime" has been replaced with "In the meantime" (Line 229).

29. Row 182-184: "For all the soil profiles, the component pools of each C isotopes of every layer are updated by homogeneously mixing the component materials every time step." – check grammar

Answer: We have change "isotopes" to "isotope" (Line 233).

30. Row 188: missing space after ";"

Answer: This issue has been solved in the reference manage tool.

31. Row 200: "137Cs originates from bomb experiments between 1950 and 1970." Very simplified, it is worth clarifying that in the environment 137Cs concentrations are artificial fallout products from nuclear tests and reactor incidents, such as Chernobyl and Fukushima.

Answer: It has been revised to make it more detailed as suggested (Line 250).

32. Row 200: "It falls to the Earth's surface", would use other expression

Answer: It has been revised (Line 251).

33. Row 203: "The model reads the values", would remind the reader by clarifying which model

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Answer: The name of the model has been added (Line 254).

34. Row 211: "the model was develop using", check grammar

Answer: "develop" has been changed to "developed" (Line 265).

35. Row 211: "complied", do you mean "compiled"?

Answer: "complied" has been replaced with "compiled" (Line 265).

36. Row 276: "replacement of lost at the" lost SOC?

Answer: The missing "SOC" has been added (Line 400).

37. Row 280: replace "negelation"

Answer: "negelation" has been replaced with "neglection" (Line 408).

38. Row 286: "from plant." Check grammar

Answer: It has been changed to "from plants" (Line 415).

39. Row 288-290: "At the same depth, soil profile of low soil advection and diffusion rate contains more degraded SOC than profile of high soil advetion and diffusion rate, and therefore soil profile of low soil advection and diffusion rate has less negative δ 13C values." Check grammar, spelling and clarify the meaning of this sentence

Answer: We have rewritten the sentence to make it clearer (Lines 417-418).

40. Row 293-294: Check grammar and spelling

Answer: It has been revised by using the plurals of "observation" and "cropland" (Line 424).

41. Row 303: Check spelling

Answer: "rate" has been replaced with "rates" (Line 433).

42. Row 304-307: "Similar to δ 13C profiles, erosion and deposition also have a trun-

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



cation or burial effect of on the Δ 14C profile and this results in the simulation that the eroding soil profiles have more negative Δ 14C values compared to the stable soil profile while the profiles at the depositional sites have less negative Δ 14C values than the stable soil profile (Figure 5c)." Check grammar and clarify the meaning

Answer: We have revised the sentence to make it clearer (Lines 435-436).

43. Row 318: "three-dimention" check spelling

Answer: It has been changed to "three-dimension" (Line 449).

44. Row 322: "This allows the model to be applied in various scenarios by setting relevant parameter values." Can you clarify which scenarios, e.g. different rates of erosion, different ranges of precipitation or change in vegetation?

Answer: The types of scenarios have been clarified (Lines 461-462).

45. Row 326: "The arrange", check expression/word

Answer: It has been changed to "The arrangement" (Line 465).

46. Row 330: "reprent", check spelling

Answer: It has been replaced with "represent" (Line 469).

47. Row n334: "a 3 pool" be consistent with using words vs. numbers, earlier it has been called a three-pool model

Answer: It has been placed with "a three-pool" (Line 473).

48. Row 339: "while depositional", check grammar "while the depositional"

Answer: "the" has been added (Line 478).

49. Row 345-346: Check grammar

Answer: "causes" has been changed to "cause" (Line 485).

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



50. Row 349-350: The link to the code appears to be broken.

Answer: The files have been updated as suggested by the editor.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-217, 2019.

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

