QuickSampling v1.0: a robust and simplified pixel-based multiple-point simulation approach

3 Mathieu Gravey¹, Grégoire Mariethoz¹

4 ¹ University of Lausanne, Faculty of Geosciences and Environment, Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics,

- 5 Switzerland
- 6 Correspondence to: Mathieu Gravey (mathieu.gravey@unil.ch)

7 Highlights

9

- A new approach is proposed for pixel-based multiple-point geostatistics simulation.
 - The method is flexible and straightforward to parametrize.
- It natively handles continuous and multivariate simulations.
- High computational performance with predictable simulation times.
- A free and open-source implementation is provided.

13 Abstract

- 14 Multiple-point geostatistics enable the realistic simulation of complex spatial structures by 15 inferring statistics from a training image. These methods are typically computationally expensive and require complex algorithmic parametrizations. The approach that is presented in 16 17 this paper is easier to use than existing algorithms, as it requires few independent algorithmic parameters. It is natively designed for handling continuous variables, and quickly implemented 18 19 by capitalizing on standard libraries. The algorithm can handle incomplete training images of 20 any dimensionality, with categorical or/and continuous variables, and stationarity is not 21 explicitly required. It is possible to perform unconditional or conditional simulations, even with 22 exhaustively informed covariates. The method provides new degrees of freedom by allowing 23 kernel weighting for pattern matching. Computationally, it is adapted to modern architectures 24 and runs in constant time. The approach is benchmarked against a state-of-the-art method. An 25 efficient open-source implementation of the algorithm is released and can be found here
- 26 (<u>https://github.com/GAIA-UNIL/G2S</u>), to promote reuse and further evolution.

27 Keywords

- 28 Multiple-point statistics, stochastic simulation, continuous variable, training image, cross-
- 29 correlation, Fourier transform.

30 1. Introduction

31 Geostatistics is used widely to generate stochastic random fields for modeling and

- 32 characterizing spatial phenomena such as Earth surface features and geological structures.
- 33 Commonly used methods, such as the sequential Gaussian simulation (Gómez-Hernández and
- Journel, 1993) and turning bands algorithms (Matheron, 1973), are based on kriging (e.g.,
- 35 Graeler et al., 2016; Li and Heap, 2014; Tadić et al., 2017; 2015). This family of approaches
- 36 implies spatial relations using exclusively pairs of points and expresses these relations using

37 covariance functions. In the last two decades, multiple point statistics (MPS) emerged as a

- method for representing more complex structures using high-order nonparametric statistics
 (Guardiano and Srivastava, 1993). To do so, MPS algorithms rely on training images, which
- 40 are images with similar characteristics to the modeled area. Over the last decade, MPS has been
- 41 used for stochastic simulation of random fields in a variety of domains such as geological
- 42 modeling (e.g., Barfod et al., 2018; Strebelle et al., 2002), remote sensing data processing (e.g.,
- 43 Gravey et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2017), stochastic weather generation (e.g., Oriani et al., 2017;
- 44 Wojcik et al., 2009), geomorphological classification (e.g., Vannametee et al., 2014) and
- 45 climate model downscaling (a domain that has typically been the realm of kriging-based
- 46 methods (e.g., Bancheri et al., 2018; Jha et al., 2015; Latombe et al., 2018)).

47 In the world of MPS simulations, one can distinguish two types of approaches. The first 48 category is the patch-based methods, where complete patches of the training image are imported 49 into the simulation. This category includes methods such as SIMPAT (Arpat and Caers, 2007) 50 and DISPAT (Honarkhah and Caers, 2010), which are based on building databases of patterns, 51 and image quilting (Mahmud et al., 2014), which uses an overlap area to identify patch 52 candidates, which are subsequently assembled using an optimal cut. CCSIM (Tahmasebi et al., 53 2012) uses cross-correlation to rapidly identify optimal candidates. More recently, Li (2016) 54 proposed a solution that uses graph-cuts to find an optimal cut between patches, which has the 55 advantage of operating easily and efficiently independently of the dimensionality of the problem. Tahmasebi (2017) propose as a solution that is based on "warping" in which the new 56 57 patch is distorted to match the previously simulated areas. For a multivariate simulation with 58 an informed variable, Hoffimann (2017) presented an approach for selecting a good candidate 59 based on the mismatch of the primary variable, and on the mismatch rank of the candidate 60 patches for auxiliary variables. Although patch-based approaches are recognized to be fast, they 61 are typically difficult to use in the presence of dense conditioning data. Furthermore, patch-62 based approaches often suffer from a lack of variability due to the pasting of large areas of the 63 training image, which is a phenomenon that is called verbatim copy. Verbatim copy (Mariethoz 64 and Caers, 2014) refers to the phenomenon whereby the neighbor of a pixel in the simulation 65 is the neighbor in the training image. This results in large parts of the simulation that are 66 identical to the training image.

67 The second category of MPS simulation algorithms consists of pixel-based algorithms, which import a single pixel at the time instead of full patches. These methods are typically slower than 68 patch-based methods. However, they do not require a procedure for the fusion of patches, such 69 70 as an optimal cut, and they allow more flexibility in handling conditioning data. Furthermore, in contrast to patch-based methods, pixel-based approaches rarely produce artifacts when 71 72 dealing with complex structures. The first pixel-based MPS simulation algorithm was 73 ENESIM, which was proposed by Guardiano and Srivastava, 1993, where for a given categorical neighborhood - usually small - all possible matches in the training image are 74 75 searched. The conditional distribution of the pixel to be simulated is estimated based on all matches, from which a value is sampled. This approach could originally handle only a few 76 77 neighbors and a relatively small training image; otherwise, the computational cost would 78 become prohibitive and the number of samples insufficient for estimating the conditional 79 distribution. Inspired by research in computer graphics, where similar techniques are developed

for texture synthesis (Mariethoz and Lefebvre, 2014), an important advance was the 80 81 development of SNESIM (Strebelle, 2002), which proposes storing in advance all possible 82 conditional distributions in a tree structure and using a multigrid simulation path to handle large 83 structures. With IMPALA, Straubhaar (2011) proposed reducing the memory cost by storing 84 information in lists rather than in trees. Another approach is direct sampling (DS) (Mariethoz 85 et al., 2010), where the estimation and the sampling of the conditional probability distribution 86 are bypassed by sampling directly in the training image, which incurs a very low memory cost. 87 DS enabled the first use of pixel-based simulations with continuous variables. DS can use any 88 distance formulation between two patterns; hence, it is well suited for handling various types

- 89 of variables and multivariate simulations.
- 90 In addition to its advantages, DS has several shortcomings: DS requires a threshold which is
- 91 specified by the user that enables the algorithm to differentiate good candidate pixels in the
- 92 training image from bad ones based on a predefined distance function. This threshold can be
- highly sensitive and difficult to determine and often dramatically affects the computation time.
- 94 This results in unpredictable computation times, as demonstrated by Meerschman (2013). DS 95 is based on the strategy of randomly searching the training image until a good candidate is
- 96 identified (Shannon, 1948). This strategy is an advantage of DS; however, it can also be seen
- 97 as a weakness in the context of modern computer architectures. Indeed, random memory access
- 98 and high conditionality can cause 1) suboptimal use of the instruction pipeline, 2) poor memory
- 99 prefetch, 3) substantial reduction of the useful memory bandwidth and 4) impossibility of using
- 100 vectorization (Paul Shen, 2018). While the first two problems can be addressed with modern
- 101 compilers and pseudorandom sequences, the last two are inherent to the current memory and
- 102 CPU construction.

103 This paper presents a new and flexible pixel-based simulation approach, namely, 104 QuickSampling (QS), which makes efficient use of modern hardware. Our method takes 105 advantage of the possibility of decomposing the standard distance metrics that are used in MPS 106 (L^0, L^2) as sums of cross-correlations. As a result, we can use fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) to 107 quickly compute mismatch maps. To rapidly select candidate patterns in the mismatch maps, 108 we use an optimized partial sorting algorithm. A free, open-source and flexible implementation 109 of QS is available, which is interfaced with most common programming languages (C/C++, 110 MATLAB, R, and Python 3).

111 The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the proposed algorithm with an introduction to the general method of sequential simulation, the mismatch measurement 112 using FFTs and the sampling approach of using partial sorting followed by methodological and 113 implementation optimizations. Section 3 evaluates the approach in terms of quantitative and 114 qualitative metrics via simulations and conducts benchmark tests against DS, which is the only 115 116 other available approach that can handle continuous pixel-based simulations. Section 4 117 discusses the strengths and weaknesses of QS and provides guidelines. Finally, guidelines and 118 the conclusions of this work are presented in Section 5.

119 2. Methodology and Implementation

120 **2.1.Pixel-based sequential simulation**

We recall the main structure of pixel-based MPS simulation algorithms (Mariethoz and Caers, 2014, p.156), which is summarized and adapted for QS in Pseudocode 1. The key difference between existing approaches is in lines 3 and 4 of Pseudocode 1, when candidate patterns are selected. This task is the most time-consuming in many MPS algorithms and we focus only on computing it in a way that reduces its cost and minimizes the parameterization.

126

127	Pseudocode 1: QS Algorithm
128	
129	Inputs:
130	T the training images
131	S the simulation grid, including the conditioning data
132	P the simulation path
133	The choice of pattern metric
134	
135	1. For each unsimulated pixel x following the path P:
136	2. Find the neighborhood $N(x)$ in S that contains all previously simulated or conditioning
137	nodes in a specified radius
138	3. Compute the mismatch map between T and $N(x)$: Section 2.3
139	4. Select a good candidate using quantile sorting over the mismatch map: <u>Section 2.4</u>
140	5. Assign the value of the selected candidate to x in S
141	6. End

142

143 **2.2. Decomposition of common mismatch metrics as sums of products**

144 Distance-based MPS approaches are based on pattern matching (Mariethoz and Lefebvre, 145 2014). Here, we rely on the observation that many common matching metrics can be expressed 146 as weighted sums of the pixelwise mismatch ε . This section explores the pixelwise errors for a 147 single variable and for multiple variables. For a single variable, the mismatch metric ε between 148 two pixels is the distance between two scalars or two classes. In the case of many variables, it 149 is a distance between two vectors that are composed by scalars, by classes, or by a combination 150 of the two. Here, we focus on distance metrics that can be expressed in the following form:

152
$$\varepsilon(a,b) \propto \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} f_j(a). g_j(b)$$

where *a* and *b* represent the values of two univariate pixels and f_j and g_j are functions that depend on the chosen metric. \mathcal{J} is defined by the user depending on the metric used. Here, we use the proportion symbol because we are interested in relative metrics rather than absolute metrics, namely, the objective is to rank the candidate patterns. We show below that many of the common metrics or distances that are used in MPS can be expressed as Equation 1.

For the simulation of continuous variables, the most commonly used mismatch metric is the L^2 norm, which can be expressed as follows:

Equation 2

161
$$\varepsilon_{L^2}(a,b) = (a-b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2$$

162 Using Equation 1, this L^2 -norm can be decomposed into the following series of functions f_j and 163 g_j :

164
$$f_0: x \to x^2$$
 167 $g_0: x \to 1$

165	$f_1: x \to -2x$	168	$g_1: x \to x$
166	$f_2: x \to 1$	169	$g_2: x \to x^2$

170

172

173 A similar decomposition is possible for the L^0 -norm (also called Hamming distance), which is 174 commonly used for the simulation of categorical variables. The Hamming distance measures 175 the dissimilarity between two lists by counting the number of elements that have different 176 categories (Hamming, 1950). Example the dissimilarity between a,b,b,c,b,a and a,c,b,a,c,a is 177 0,1,0,1,1,0 and the associated Hamming distance is 3.

178

179

Equation 3

 $\varepsilon_{L^0}(a,b) = (a-b)^0 = 1 - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} (\delta_{a,j} \cdot \delta_{b,j}) \propto \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \delta_{a,j} \cdot \delta_{b,j}$

180 where $\delta_{x,y}$ is the Kronecker delta between x and y, which is 1 if x equals y and 0 otherwise, 181 and C is the set of all possible categories of a specified variable. Here $\mathcal{J} = C$.

Using Equation 1, this L^0 distance can be decomposed (Arpat and Caers, 2007) into the following series of functions f_j and g_j :

184
$$f_j: x \to -\delta_{xj}$$

185
$$g_j: x \to \delta_{xj}$$

- 186 with a new pair of f_i and g_j for each class j of C.
- 187 For multivariate pixels, such as a combination of categorical and continuous values, the 188 mismatch ε can be expressed as a sum of univariate pixelwise mismatches.
- 189

Equation 4

190
$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \propto \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} f_j(a_i) g_j(b_i)$$

191 where **a** and **b** are the compared vectors and a_i and b_i are the individual components of **a** and 192 **b**. \mathcal{J}_i represents the set related to the metric used for the i^{st} variable, and \mathcal{I} represents the set of 193 variables.

194

2.3. Computation of a mismatch map for an entire pattern

The approach that is proposed in this work is based on computing a mismatch map in the TI for each simulated pixel. The mismatch map is a grid that represents the pattern-wise mismatch for each location of the training image and enables the fast identification of a good candidate, as shown by the red circle in Figure 1.



200 201

202

203

204

Figure 1 Example of a mismatch map for an incomplete pattern. Blue represents good matches, yellow bad matches and purple missing and unusable (border effect) data. The red circle highlights the minimum of the mismatch map, which corresponds to the location of the best candidate.

205

206 If we consider the neighborhood N(s) around the simulated position s, then we can express a 207 weighted dissimilarity between N(s) and a location in the TI N(t):

208

209
$$E(N(t), N(s)) = \sum_{l \in N(t,s)} \omega_l \varepsilon(N_l(t), N_l(s))$$

210 where
$$N(t, s) = \{l | N_l(t) \text{ and } N_l(s) \text{ exist} \}$$

and $N_l(p)$ is the neighbors of p (p can represent either s or t) with a relative displacement lfrom p, therefore $N(p) = \{l \mid N_l(p)\}$, l is the lag vector that defines the relative position of each value within N, and ω_l is a weight for each pixelwise error according to the lag vector l. By extension, ω is the matrix of all weights, which we call the weighting kernel or, simply, the kernel. E represents the mismatch between patterns that are centered on s and $t \in T$, where Tis the training image.

Some lags may not correspond to a value, for example, due to edge effects in the considered images or because the patterns are incomplete. Missing patterns are inevitable during the course of a simulation using a sequential path. Furthermore, in many instances, there can be missing areas in the training image. This is addressed by creating an indicator variable to be used as a mask, which equals 1 at informed pixels and 0 everywhere else:

222

Equation 6

Equation 5

223
$$\mathbb{1}_{l}(p) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } N_{l}(p) \text{ is informed} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us first consider the case in which for a specified position, either all or no variables are informed. Expressing the presence of data as a mask enables the gaps to be ignored because the corresponding errors are multiplied by zero.

- 227 Then, Equation 5 can be expressed as follows:
- 228

229
$$E(N(t), N(s)) = \sum_{l} \omega_{l} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(t) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(s) \cdot \varepsilon(N_{l}(t), N_{l}(s))$$

230 . Combining Equation 4 and Equation 7, we get:

Equation 8

Equation 7

233
$$E(N(t), N(s)) \propto \sum_{l} \omega_{l} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(t) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(s) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} f_{j}(N_{l}(t)_{i}) \cdot g_{j}(N_{l}(s)_{i})$$

234
$$= \sum_{l} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} \omega_{l} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(t) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{l}(s) \cdot f_{j}(N_{l}(t)_{i}) \cdot g_{j}(N_{l}(s)_{i})$$

235
$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} \sum_{l} \omega_l \cdot \left(\mathbb{1}_l(t) \cdot f_j(N_l(t)_i)\right) \cdot \left(\mathbb{1}_l(s) \cdot g_j(N_l(s)_i)\right)$$

236
$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} \sum_{l} \left(\mathbb{1}_l(t) \cdot f_j(N_l(t)_i) \right) \cdot \left(\omega_l \cdot \mathbb{1}_l(s) \cdot g_j(N_l(s)_i) \right)$$

232

.

After rewriting, Equation 8 can be expressed as a sum of cross-correlations that encapsulate

- spatial dependencies, using the cross-correlation definition $f \star g = \sum_{l} f_{l} \cdot g_{l}$, as follows:
- 239

240
$$E(N(t), N(s)) \propto \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} (\mathbb{1}(t) \circ f_j(N(t)_i)) \star (\omega \circ \mathbb{1}(s) \circ g_j(N(s)_i))$$

241 where ω and $\mathbb{1}(.)$ represent the matrices that are formed by ω_l and $\mathbb{1}_l(.)$ for all possible vectors 242 l, \star denotes the cross-correlation operator, and \circ is the element-wise product (or Hadamard-243 product).

Finally, with $T = \{T_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$, T_i represents the training image for the i-th variable, and by applying cross-correlations for all positions $t \in T$, we obtain a mismatch map, which is expressed as:

247

Equation 9

248
$$E(T, N(s)) \propto \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} (\mathbb{1}(T) \circ f_j(T_i)) \star (\omega \circ \mathbb{1}(s) \circ g_j(N(s)_i))$$

249 . The term 1(T) allows the consideration of the possibility of missing data in the training image 250 *T*. Let us consider the general case in which only some variables are informed and the weighting can vary for each variable. Equation 10 can be extended for this case by defining separate masks and weights ω_i for each variable:

255
$$E(T, N(s)) \propto \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} (\mathbb{1}(T_i) \circ f_j(T_i)) \star (\omega_i \circ \mathbb{1}(s_i) \circ g_j(N(s)_i))$$

256 . Equation 11 can be expressed using the convolution theorem applied to cross-correlation:

257

258
$$\mathbb{E}(T, N(s)) \propto \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \overline{\mathcal{F}\{\mathbb{1}(T_i) \circ f_j(T_i)\}} \circ \mathcal{F}\{\omega_i \circ \mathbb{1}(s_i) \circ g_j(N(s)_i)\} \right\}$$

259 , where \mathcal{F} represents the Fourier transform, \mathcal{F}^{-1} the inverse transform, and \bar{x} the conjugate of 260 x.

By linearity of the Fourier transform, the summation can be performed in Fourier space, therebyreducing the number of transformations:

264
$$\mathbb{E}(T, N(s)) \propto \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_i} \overline{\mathcal{F}\{\mathbbm{1}(T_i) \circ f_j(T_i)\}} \circ \mathcal{F}\{\omega_i \circ \mathbbm{1}(s_i) \circ g_j(N(s)_i)\}\right\}$$

. Equation 13 is appropriate for modern computers, which are well-suited for computing FFTs 265 (Cooley et al., 1965; Gauss, 1799). Currently, FFTs are well implemented in highly optimized 266 libraries (Rodríguez, 2002). Equation 13 is the expression that is used in our QS implementation 267 268 because it reduces the number of Fourier transforms, which are the most computationally 269 expensive operations of the algorithm. One issue with the use of FFTs is that the image T is typically assumed to be periodic. However, in most practical applications, it is not periodic. 270 271 This can be simply addressed by cropping the edges of E(T, N(s)) or by adding a padding around *T*. 272

The computation of the mismatch map (Equation 13) is deterministic; as a result, it incurs a constant computational cost that is independent of the pixel values. Additionally, Equation 13 is expressed without any constraints on the dimensionality. Therefore, it is possible to use the *n*-dimensional FFTs that are provided in the above libraries to perform *n*-dimensional simulations without changing the implementation.

278 **2.4. Selection of candidates based on a quantile**

The second contribution of this work is the *k*-sampling strategy for selecting a simulated value among candidates. The main idea is to use the previously calculated mismatch map to select a set of potential candidates that are defined by the *k* smallest (i.e. a quantile) values of E. Once this set has been selected, we randomly draw a sample from this pool of candidates. This differs

Equation 11

Equation 12

Equation 13

from strategies that rely on a fixed threshold, which can be cumbersome to determine. This strategy is highly similar to the ε -replicate strategy that is used in image quilting (Mahmud et al., 2014) in that we reuse and extend to satisfy the specific requirements of QS. It has the main advantage of rescaling the acceptance criterion according to the difficulty; i.e. the algorithm is more tolerant of rare patterns while requiring very close matches for common patterns.

288 In detail, the candidate selection procedure is as follows: All possible candidates are ranked 289 according to their mismatch and one candidate is randomly sampled among the k best. This number k can be seen as a quantile over the training dataset. However, parameter k has the 290 291 advantage of being an easy representation for users, who can associate k = 1 with the best 292 candidate, k = 2 with the two best candidates, etc. For fine-tuning parameter k, the sampling 293 strategy can be extended to non-integer values of k by sampling the candidates with 294 probabilities that are not uniform. For example, if the user sets k = 1.5, the best candidate has 295 a probability of 2/3 of being sampled and the second best a probability of 1/3. For k = 3.2, 296 (Figure 2) each of the 3 best candidates are sampled with an equal probability of 0.3125 and 297 the 4th best with a probability of 0.0625. This feature is especially useful for tuning k between 298 1 and 2 and for avoiding a value of k = 1, which can result in the phenomenon of verbatim 299 copy.



300

301 *Figure 2 Illustration of the k-sampling strategy*

An alternative sampling strategy for reducing the simulation time is presented in Appendix A.3.However, this strategy can result in a reduction in the simulation quality.

The value of non-integer k-values is not only in the fine tuning of parameters. It also allows direct comparisons between QS and DS. Indeed, under the hypothesis of a stationary training image, using DS with a given max fraction of scanned training image (f) and a threshold (t) of 0 is statistically similar to using QS with k=1/f. In both situations, the best candidate is sampled in a fraction f of the training image.

309

310 **2.5. Simplifications in the case of a fully informed training image**

311 In many applications, spatially exhaustive TIs are available. In such cases, the equations above 312 can be simplified by dropping constant terms from Equation 1, thereby resulting in a simplified 313 form for Equation 13. Here, we take advantage of the ranking to know that a constant term will 314 not affect the result.

As in Tahmasebi (2012), in the L^2 -norm, we drop the squared value of the searched pattern, namely, b^2 , from Equation 2. Hence, we can express Equation 4 as follows:

318
$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} a_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} a_i \cdot b_i$$

The term a^2 , which represents the squared value of the candidate pattern in the TI, differs among training image locations and, therefore, cannot be removed. Indeed, the assumption that $\sum a^2$ is constant is only valid under a strict stationarity hypothesis on the scale of the search pattern. While this hypothesis might be satisfied in some cases (as in Tahmasebi et al., 2012), we do not believe it is generally valid. Via the same approach, Equation 3 can be simplified by removing the constant terms; then, we obtain the following for the L^0 -norm:

325

326

$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) = -\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \delta_{a_i, j} \cdot \delta_{b_i, j}$$

327

2.6. Efficient Implementation

An efficient implementation of QS was achieved by 1) performing precomputations, 2)
 implementing an optimal partial sorting algorithm for selecting candidates and 3) optimal
 coding and compilation. These are described below.

According to Equation 13, $\overline{\mathcal{F}\{\mathbb{1}(T_i) \circ f_j(T_i)\}}$ is independent of the searched pattern N(s). Therefore, it is possible to precompute it at the initialization stage for all *i* and *j*. This improvement typically reduces the computation time for an MPS simulation by a factor of at least 2.

- 336 In the QS algorithm, a substantial part of the computation cost is incurred in identifying the kbest candidates in the mismatch map. In the case of non-integer k, the upper limit [k] is used. 337 338 Identifying the best candidates requires sorting the values of the mismatch map and retaining 339 the candidates in the top k ranks. For this, an efficient sorting algorithm is needed. The operation of finding the k best candidates can be implemented with a partial sort, in which only 340 341 the elements of interest are sorted, while the other elements remain unordered. This results in two sets: \mathfrak{S}_s with the k smallest elements and \mathfrak{S}_l with the largest elements. The partial sort 342 guarantees that $x \leq y \mid (x, y) \in \mathfrak{S}_s \times \mathfrak{S}_l$. More information about our implementation of this 343 algorithm is available in Appendix A.1. Here, we use a modified vectorized online heap-based 344 345 partial sort (Appendix A.1). With a complexity of $O(n, \ln(k))$, it is especially suitable for small 346 values of k. Using the cache effect, the current implementation yields results that are close to 347 the search of the best value (the smallest value of the array). The main limitation of standard 348 partial sort implementations is that in the case of equal values, either the first or the last element 349 is sampled. Here, we develop an implementation that can uniformly sample a position among similar values with a single scan of the array. This is important because systematically selecting 350 351 the same position for the same pattern will reduce the conditional probability density function
- to a unique sample, thereby biasing the simulation.

Equation 15

353 Due to the intensive memory access by repeatedly scanning large training images, interpreted 354 programming languages, such as MATLAB and Python, are inefficient for a QS implementation and, in particular, for a parallelized implementation. We provide a NUMA-355 356 aware (Blagodurov et al., 2010) and flexible C/C++/OpenMP implementation of QS that is 357 highly optimized. Following the denomination of Mariethoz (2010), we use a path-level 358 parallelization with a waiting strategy, which offers a good trade-off between performance and 359 memory requirements. In addition, two node-level parallelization strategies are available: if 360 many training images are used, a first parallelization is performed over the exploration of the 361 training images; then, each FFT of the algorithm is parallelized using natively parallel FFT 362 libraries.

The FFTw library (Frigo and Johnson, 2018) provides a flexible and performant architectureindependent framework for computing n-dimensional Fourier transformations. However, an

additional speed gain of approximately 20% was measured by using the Intel MKL library (Intel

366 Corporation, 2019) on compatible architectures. We also have a GPU implementation that uses

367 clFFT for compatibility. Many Fourier transforms are sparse and, therefore, can easily be

- 368 accelerated in *n*-dimensional cases with "partial FFT" since Fourier transforms of only zeros
- 369 result in zeros.

370 3. Results

371 3.1. Simulation examples

This section presents illustrative examples for continuous and categorical case studies in 2D and in 3D. Additional tests are reported in Appendix 0. The parameters that are used for the simulations of Figure 3 are reported in Table 1.

The results show that simulation results are consistent with what is typically observed with state-of-the-art MPS algorithms. While simulations can accurately reproduce TI properties for relatively standard examples with repetitive structures (e.g., MV, Strebelle, and Folds), training images with long-range features (typically larger than the size of the TI) are more difficult to reproduce, such as in the Berea example. For multivariate simulations, the reproduction of the joint distribution is satisfactory, as observed in the scatterplots (Figure 3). More examples are

381 available in Annex A4, in particular the Figure A2 for 2D examples and the Figure A3 for 3D

- 382 examples.
- 383
- 384





386Figure 3 Examples of unconditional continuous and categorical simulations in 2D and 3D and387their variograms. The first column shows the training images that were used, the second column388one realization, and the third column quantitative quality metrics. MVs v1, v2 and v3 represent389a multivariate training image (and the corresponding simulation) using 3 variables. The first390two metrics are scatter plots of MV v1 vs. MV v2 of the training image and the simulation,391respectively. The third metric represents the reproduction of the variogram for each of MVs v1,392v2 and v3.

	MVs v1, v2, v3	Strebelle	Berea	Folds
Source	(Mariethoz and Caers, 2014)	(Strebelle, 2002)	Doi:10.6084/m9.figs hare.1153794	(Mariethoz and Caers, 2014)
Size of the training image (px)	490 × 490	250 × 250	100 × 100 × 100	180 × 150 × 120
Size of the simulation (px)	490 × 490	250 × 250	100 × 100 × 100	180 × 150 × 120
Computation time (s)	1456	54	1665	76270
k	1.2			
N	80		125	

393

394

395

Table 1 Parameters that were used for the simulations in Figure 3. Times are specified for simulations without parallelization.

396 3.2. Comparison with direct sampling simulations

397 QS simulations are benchmarked against DS using the "Stone" training image (Figure 4). The settings that are used for DS are based on optimal parameters that were obtained via the 398 399 approach of Baninajar et al. (2019), which uses stochastic optimization to find optimal parameters. In DS, we use a fraction of scanned TI of f = 1 to explore the entire training image 400 401 via the same approach as in QS and we use the L^2 -norm as in QS. To avoid the occurrence of 402 verbatim copy, we include 0.1% conditioning data, which are randomly sampled from a rotated 403 version of the training image. The number of neighbors N is set to 20 for both DS and QS and 404 the acceptance threshold of DS is set to 0.001.

405 The comparison is based on qualitative (Figure 5) and quantitative (Figure 6) metrics, which 406 include directional and omnidirectional variograms, along with the connectivity function, the 407 Euler characteristic (Renard and Allard, 2013) and cumulants (Dimitrakopoulos, 2010). The 408 connectivity represents the probability for 2 random pixels to be in the same connected 409 component. This metric is suited to detect broken structures. The Euler characteristic represents 410 the number of objects subtracted by the number of holes of the objects, and is particularly 411 adapted to detect noise in the simulations such as salt and pepper. Cumulants are high order 412 statistics and therefore allow considering the relative positions between elements. The results 413 demonstrate that the simulations are of a quality that is comparable to DS. With extreme settings 414 (highest pattern reproduction regardless of the computation time), both algorithms perform 415 similarly, which is reasonable since both are based on sequential simulation and both directly 416 import data from the training image. The extra noise present in the simulation is shown in the 417 Euler characteristic. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the use of a kernel can reduce this noise 418 to get better simulations.

- With QS, kernel weighting allows fine tuning of the parametrization to improve the results, asshown in Figure 5. In this paper, we use an exponential kernel:
- 421

Equation 16

422
$$\omega_l = e^{-\alpha \|l\|_2}$$

- 423 where α is a kernel parameter and $\|.\|_2$ the Euclidean distance. The validation metrics of Figure
- 424 6 show that both QS and DS tend to slightly underestimate the variance and the connectivity.
- 425 Figure 6 shows that an optimal kernel improves the results for all metrics, with all training
- 426 image metrics in the 5-95% realization interval, except for the Euler characteristic.



427

428 Figure 4 Training image that was used for benchmarking and sensitivity analysis.



Figure 5 Examples of conditional simulations and their standard deviation over 100
realizations that are used in the benchmark between QS and DS.



433

Figure 6 Benchmark between QS (with and without kernel) and DS over 6 metrics Using each
time 100 unconditional simulation.

436 **3.3. Parameter sensitivity analysis**

In this section, we perform a sensitivity analysis on the parameters of QS using the training
image in Figure 4. Only essential results are reported in this section (Figure 7 and Figure 8);
more exhaustive test results are available in Appendix 0 (Figure A 4 and Figure A 5). The two
main parameters of QS are the number of neighbors *N* and the number of used candidates *k*.

441 Figure 7 (and Appendix 0 Figure A 4) shows that large N values and small k values improve

the simulation performance; however, tend to induce verbatim copy in the simulation. Smallvalues of *N* result in noise with good reproduction of the histogram.



444

453

445 Figure 7 Sensitivity analysis on one simulation for the two main parameters of QS using a 446 uniform kernel.

447 ω can be a very powerful tool, typically using the assumption that the closest pixels are more 448 informative than remote pixels. The sensitivity analysis of the kernel value α are explored in 449 Figure 8 and Figure A 5. They show that α provides a unique tool for improving the simulation 450 quality. In particular, using a kernel can reduce the noise in simulations, which is clearly visible 451 by comparing the Euler characteristic curves. However, reducing too much the importance of 452 distant pixels results in ignoring them altogether, therefore damaging long-range structures.





457 **3.4. Computational efficiency and scalability**

In this section, we investigate the scalability of QS with respect to the size of the simulation grid, the size of the training image grid, the number of variables, incomplete training images, and hardware. According to the test results, the code will continue to scale with new-generation hardware.

462 As explained in Section 2.3 and 2.4, the amounts of time that are consumed by the two main 463 operations of QS (finding candidates and sorting them) are independent of the pixel values. 464 Therefore, the training image that is used is not relevant (here, we use simulations that were 465 performed with the TI of Figure 4 and its classified version for categorical cases). Furthermore, 466 the computation time is independent of the parametrization (*k* and *N*). However, the 467 performance is affected by the type of mismatch function that is used; here, we consider both 468 continuous (Equation 2 and Equation 14) and categorical cases (Equation 3 and Equation 15).

469 We also test our implementation on different types of hardware, as summarized in Table 2. We

470 expect Machine (2) to be faster than Machine (1) for medium-sized problems due to the high

471 memory bandwidth requirement of QS. Machine (3) should also be faster than Machine (1)

472 because it takes advantage of a longer vector computation (512-bit VS. 256-bit instruction set).

Name of the machine	Machine (1)	Machine (2)	Machine (3)
CPU	-2x Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2680 v2 @ 2.80 GHz	-Xeon Phi, Intel(R) Xeon Phi (TM) CPU 7210 @ 1.30 GHz	-2x Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6128 Processor @ 3.40 GHz
Memory type	- DDR3	- MCDRAM / DDR4	- DDR4
OS, compiler and compilation flags	Linux, Intel C/C++ compiler 2018 with -xhost		

473 *Table 2 Hardware that was used in the experiments*

Figure 9 plots the execution times on the 3 tested machines for continuous and categorical cases and with training images of various sizes. Since QS has a predictable execution time, the influence of the parameters on the computation time is predictable: linear with respect to the number of variables (Figure 9a, Figure 9b), linear with respect to the size of the simulation grid and following a power function of the size of the training image (Figure 9c). Therefore, via a few tests on a set of simulations, one can predict the computation time for any other setting.

Figure 9d shows the scalability of the algorithm when using the path-level parallelization. The algorithm scales well until all physical cores are being used. Machine (3) has a different scaling factor (slope). This suboptimal scaling is attributed to the limited memory bandwidth. Our implementation of QS scales well with an increasing number of threads (Figure 9d), with an efficiency above 80% using all possible threads. The path-level parallelization strategy that was used involves a bottleneck for large number of threads due to the need to wait for neighborhood conflicts to be resolved (Mariethoz 2010). This effect typically appears for large values of N or intense parallelization (>50 threads) on small grids. It is assumed that small grids do not require
intense parallelization; hence, this problem is irrelevant in most applications.



Figure 9 Efficiency of QS with respect to all key parameters. a) and b) are the evolution of the
computation time for complete and incomplete training images, respectively, with continuous
and categorical variables. c) shows the evolution of the computation time as the size of the
training image is varied; the dashed lines indicate that the training image no longer fits in the
CPU cache. d) shows the evolution of the computation time as the number of threads is
increased. The dashed lines indicate that all physical cores are used.

496

489

497 **4. Discussion**

498 The parameterization of the algorithm (and therefore simulation quality) has almost no impact 499 on the computational cost, which is an advantage. Indeed, many MPS algorithms impose trade-

- 500 offs between the computation time and the parameters that control the simulation quality, 501 thereby imposing difficult choices for users. QS is comparatively simpler to set up in this 502 regard. In practice, a satisfactory parameterization strategy is often to start with a small k value 503 (say 1.2) and a large N value (> 50) and then gradually change these values to increase the
- 504 variability if necessary (Figure 6 and Figure A 4).
- QS is adapted for simulating continuous variables using the L^2 -norm. However, a limitation is 505 506 that the L^1 -norm does not have a decomposition that satisfies Equation 1 and, therefore, cannot 507 be used with QS. Another limitation is that for categorical variables, each class requires a 508 separate FFT, which incurs an additional computational cost. This renders QS less 509 computationally efficient for categorical variables (if there are more than 2 categories) than for 510 continuous variables. For accelerated simulation of categorical variables, a possible alternative 511 to reduce the number of required operations is presented in Appendix A.2. The strategy is to 512 use encoded variables, which are decoded in the mismatch map. While this alternative yields 513 significant computational gains, it does not allow the use of a kernel weighting and is prone to 514 numerical precision issues.
- 515 Combining multiple continuous and categorical variables can be challenging for MPS 516 approaches. Several strategies have been developed to overcome this limitation, using either a 517 different distance threshold for each variable, or a linear combination of the errors. Here we use 518 the second approach, taking advantage of the linearity of the Fourier transform. The relative 519 importance can be set in f_i and g_i functions in Equation 1. However, it is computationally 520 advantageous to use the kernel weights in order to have standard functions for each metric. 521 Setting such variable-dependent parameters is complex. Therefore in order to find optimal 522 parameters, stochastic optimization approaches (such as Baninajar et al., 2019) are applied to 523 QS. The computational efficiency of QS is generally advantageous compared to other pixel-524 based algorithms: for example, in our tests it performed faster than DS. QS requires more 525 memory than DS, especially for applications with categorical variables with many classes and 526 with a path-level parallelization. However, the memory requirement is much lower compared 527 to MPS algorithms that are based on a pattern database, such as SNESIM.
- There may be cases where QS slower than DS, in particular when using a large training image that is highly repetitive. In such cases, using DS can be advantageous as it must scan only a very small part of the training image. For scenarios of this type, it is possible to adapt QS such that only a small subset of the training image is used; this approach is described in Appendix A3. In the cases of highly repetitive training images, this observation remains true also for SNESIM and IMPALA.
- 534 Furthermore, QS is designed to efficiently handle large and complex training images (up to 10 535 million pixels), with high variability of patterns and few repetitions. Larger training images 536 may be computationally burdensome, which could be alleviated by using a GPUs 537 implementation allowing gains up to two orders of magnitude.
- 538 QS can be extended to handle the rotation and scaling of patterns by applying a constant rotation
- or affinity transformation to the searched patterns (Strebelle, 2002). However, the use rotation-
- 540 invariant distances and affinity-invariant distances (as in Mariethoz and Kelly, 2011), while
- 541 possible in theory, would substantially increase the computation time. Mean-invariant distances

542 can be implemented by simply adapting the distance formulation in QS. All these advanced543 features are outside the scope of this paper.

544 5. Conclusions

545 QS is an alternative approach for performing *n*-dimensional pixel-based simulations, which uses an L^2 -distance for continuous cases and an L^0 -distance for categorical data. The framework 546 is highly flexible and allows other metrics to be used. The simple parameterization of QS 547 548 renders it easy to use for nonexpert users. Compared to other pixel-based approaches, QS has 549 the advantage of generating realizations in constant and predictable time for a specified training 550 image size. Using the quantile as a quality criterion naturally reduces the small-scale noise 551 compared to DS. In terms of parallelization, the QS code scales well and can adapt to new 552 architectures due to the use of external highly optimized libraries.

553 The QS framework provides a complete and explicit mismatch map, which can be used to 554 formulate problem-specific rules for sampling or even solutions that take the complete 555 conditional probability density function into account, for example, such as a narrowness 556 criterion for the conditional pdf of the simulated value (Gravey et al., 2019; Rasera et al., 2019), 557 or to use the mismatch map to infer the optimal parameters of the algorithm.

558 **6. Code availability**

- 559 The source code and documentation of the QS simulation algorithm are available as part of the
- 560 G2S package at: <u>https://github.com/GAIA-UNIL/G2S</u> under GPLv3 license. Or permanently 561 at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3546338</u>
- 562 Platform: Linux / macOS / Windows 10 Language: C/C++
- 563 Interfacing functions in MATLAB, Python3, R
- 564 A package is available with our unbiased partial sort at: 565 <u>https://github.com/mgravey/randomKmin-max</u>

566 7. Author contribution

567 MG proposed the idea, implemented and optimized the QS approach and wrote the manuscript.

568 GM provided supervision, methodological insights and contributed to the writing of the 569 manuscript.

570 8. Appendices

571 A.1. Partial sorting with random sampling

572 Standard partial sorting algorithms resolve tie ranks deterministically, which does not accord 573 with the objective of stochastic simulation with QS, where variability is sought. Here, we 574 propose an online heap-based partial sort. It is realized with a single scan of the array of data 575 using a heap to store previously found values. This approach is especially suitable when we are 576 interested in a small fraction of the entire array.

577 Random positions of the k best values are ensured by swapping similar values. If k = 1, the 578 saved value is switched with a smaller value each time it is encountered. If an equal value is 579 scanned, a counter c is increased for this specific value and a probability of 1/c of switching to 580 the new position is applied. If k > 1, the same strategy is extended by carrying over the counter

581 c.

582 This partial sort outperforms random exploration of the mismatch map. However, it is difficult 583 to implement efficiently on GPUs. A solution is still possible for shared-memory GPUs by 584 performing the partial sort on the CPU. This is currently available in the proposed 585 implementation.

586	k: the number of values of interest				
587	D: the input data array				
588	S: the array with the k smallest values (sorted)				
589 590	<i>Sp</i> : the array with the positions that are associated with the values of <i>S</i>				
591	1. for each value v of D				
592	2. if v is smaller than the sm	allest value of S			
593	3. search in <i>S</i> for the	e position p at which to insert v and insert it			
594	4. if $p = k$	<pre>// last position of the array</pre>			
595	5. reinitializ	e the counter c to 0			
596	6. insert v a	t the last position			
597	7. else				
598	8. incremen	t <i>c</i> by one			
599	9. swap the	last position with another of the same value			
600	10. insert the	value at the expected position p			
601	11. end				
602	12. else if v is equal to the sn	nallest value of S			
603	13. increment <i>c</i> by or	ne			
604	14. change the position	on of v to one of the n positions of equal value with a probability of			
605	n/(n+c)				
606	15. end				
607	16. end				

608 A.2. Encoded categorical variables

609 To handle categorical variables, a standard approach is to consider each category as an 610 independent variable. This requires as many FFTs as classes. This solution renders it expensive 611 to use OS in cases with multiple categories.

612 An alternative approach is to encode the categories and to decode the mismatch from the cross-

613 correlation. It has the advantage of only requiring only a single cross-correlation for each 614 simulated pattern.

615 Here, we propose encoding the categories as powers of the number of neighbors, such that their

616 product is equal to one if the class matches. In all other cases, the value is smaller than one or 617 larger than the number of neighbors.

618
$$\varepsilon_{L^0}(a,b) = \psi((a-b)^0 \propto -(N+1)^{-p(a)} \cdot (N+1)^{-p(b)})$$

619 where N is the largest number of neighbors that can be considered and p(c) is an arbitrary 620 function that maps index classes of $C, c \in C$.

621 In this scenario, in Equation 1 this encoded distance L_e^0 can be decomposed into the following 622 series of functions f_i and g_i :

$$623 \qquad f_0: x \to -(N+1)^{p(x)}$$

624
$$g_0: x \to (N+1)^{-p(x)}$$

- 625 and the decoding function is
- 626

$$\psi(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor \mod N$$

Table A 1 describes this process for 3 classes, namely, *a*, *b*, and *c*, and a maximum of 9 neighbors. Then, the error can be easily decoded by removing decimals and dozens.

Products	$g_0(a) = 1$	$g_0(b)=0.1$	$g_0(c) = 0.01$
$f_0(a)=1$	1	0.1	0.01
$f_0(b) = 10$	10	1	0.1
$f_0(c) = 100$	100	10	1

629 Table A 1 Example of encoding for 3 classes and 9 neighbors and their associated products

630 Consider the following combination:

631 $f_0(a, b, a, c, c, b, a, a, b)$ 632 $\times g_0(c, b, b, a, a, b, c, a, a)$

 $\sqrt{g_0(c, b, b, u, u, b, c, u, u)}$

633 -(0.01, 1, 0.1, 100, 100, 1, 0.01, 1, 10) = -213.12

634 The decoding $\lfloor -213.12 \rfloor$ mod $10 = -213 \mod 10 = -3$ yields 3 matches (in green).

635 This encoding strategy provides the possibility of drastically reducing the number of FFT 636 computations. However, the decoding phase is not always implementable if a nonuniform 637 matrix ω is used. Finally, the test results show that the method suffers quickly from numerical 638 precision issues, especially with many classes.

639 A.3. Sampling strategy using training image splitting

640 The principle of considering a fixed number of candidates can be extended by instead of taking the k^{th} best candidate, sampling the best candidate in only a portion $\frac{1}{k}$, of the TI. For instance, 641 as an alternative to considering k = 4, this strategy searches for the best candidate in one fourth 642 of the image. This is more computationally efficient. However, if all the considered candidates 643 644 are contiguous (by splitting the TI in k chunks), this approximation is only valid if the TI is 645 completely stationary and all k equal subdivisions of the TI are statistically identical. In 646 practice, real-world continuous variables are often nonstationary. However, in categorical 647 cases, especially in binary ones, the number of pattern replicates is higher and this sampling 648 strategy could be interesting.

649 The results of applying this strategy are presented in Table A 2 and Figure A 1. The 650 experimental results demonstrate that the partial exploration approach that is provided by 651 splitting substantially accelerates the processing time. However, Figure A 1 shows that the 652 approach has clear limitations when dealing with training images with complex and nonrepetitive patterns. The absence of local verbatim copy can explain the poor-qualitysimulation results.





Figure A 1 Comparison of QS using the entire training image and using training image splitting. In these examples, the training image is split into two images over each dimension. The original training images are presented in Figure 2.

Training image	Using all chunks	Using one random chunk	Speedup
Berea	11 052 s	1 452 s	7.61x
Folds	35 211 s	4 063 s	8.66x
Strebelle	7.95 s	3.16 s	2.51x

661 Table A 2 Computation times and speedups for the full and partial exploration approaches.
662 Times are specified for simulations with path level parallelization.

663 A.4. Additional results



Figure A 2 Examples of 2D simulations: the first 3 rows represent 3 variables of a single
simulation. Parameters available in Table A 3

667







Figure A 3 Examples of 3D simulation results. Parameters available in Table A 4

	Herten	Stone
Source	(Mariethoz and Caers, 2014)	(Mariethoz and Caers, 2014)
Size of the training image (px)	716 × 350	200 × 200
Size of the Simulation (px)	716 × 350	200 × 200
Computation time (s)	1133	21
k	1.2	
Ν	80	

*Table A 3 Simulation parameters for Figure A 2. Times are specified for simulations without*673 *parallelization.*

	Concrete 1	Concrete 2	F42A	Folds continues
Source	(Meerschman et al., 2013)	(Meerschman et al., 2013)	Doi:10.6084/m9.fig share.1189259	(Mariethoz and Caers, 2014)
Size of the training image (px)	150 × 150 × 150	100 × 90 × 80	100 × 100 × 100	180 × 150 × 120
Size of the simulation (px)	100 × 100 × 100	100 × 100 × 100	100 × 100 × 100	180 × 150 × 120
Computation time (s)	11436	1416	1638	7637
k	1.2			
Ν	50		125	

 Table A 4 Simulation parameters for Figure A 3. Times are specified for simulations without parallelization.





Figure A 5 Complete sensitivity analysis, with one simulation for each kernel with k=1.5N=40

A.5. Mathematical derivation 683

684 The convolution theorem (Stockham, 1966; Krant, 1999; Li et al., 2019) can be easily extended to cross-correlation(Bracewell, 2000). The flowing derivation shows the validity of the theorem 685 686 for any function f and g.

687
$$\mathcal{F}{f \star g} = \int (f \star g)(t)e^{it.\xi}dt = \int \int \overline{f(s)}g(s+t)ds \, e^{it.\xi}dt$$

688
$$= \iint \overline{f(s)} e^{i(-s).\xi} ds. g(s+t) ds e^{i(t+s).\xi} dt$$

689
$$= \int \int \overline{f(s)e^{\iota(s).\xi}} ds. g(s+t) ds e^{i(t+s).\xi} dt = \overline{\mathcal{F}\{f\}}. \mathcal{F}\{f\}$$

690 The discretization of this property can be obtained using two piecewise continuous functions associated to each discrete representation. 691

692 9. Acknowledgments

693 This research was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant number 694 200021 162882. Thanks to Intel for allowing us to conduct numerical experiments on their 695 latest hardware using the AI DevCloud. Thanks to Luiz Gustavo Rasera for his comments, 696 which greatly improved the manuscript; to Dr. Ehsanollah Baninajar for running his 697 optimization method, which improved the reliability of the benchmarks; and to all the early 698 users of QS for their useful feedback and their patience in waiting for this manuscript. A 699 particular thanks to Prof. Ute Mueller and Prof. Thomas Mejer Hansen that accepted to review 700 the paper and provided constructive comments which significantly improved the quality of the 701 paper.

10.References 702

- 703 Arpat, G. B. and Caers, J.: Conditional Simulation with Patterns, Mathematical Geology, 704 39(2), 177-203, doi:10.1007/s11004-006-9075-3, 2007.
- 705 Bancheri, M., Serafin, F., Bottazzi, M., Abera, W., Formetta, G. and Rigon, R.: The design, deployment, and testing of kriging models in GEOframe with SIK-0.9.8, Geosci. Model Dev., 706 707 11(6), 2189–2207, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2189-2018, 2018.
- 708
- Baninajar, E., Sharghi, Y. & Mariethoz, G.: MPS-APO: a rapid and automatic parameter 709 optimizer for multiple-point geostatistics, Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess, 33: 1969–1989,
- doi:10.1007/s00477-019-01742-7, 2019 710
- 711 Barfod, A. A. S., Vilhelmsen, T. N., Jørgensen, F., Christiansen, A. V., Høyer, A.-S.,
- 712 Straubhaar, J. and Møller, I.: Contributions to uncertainty related to hydrostratigraphic
- 713 modeling using multiple-point statistics, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22(10), 5485–5508,
- 714 doi:10.5194/hess-22-5485-2018, 2018.

- 715 Blagodurov, S., Fedorova, A., Zhuravlev, S., & Kamali, A.: A case for NUMA-aware
- 716 contention management on multicore systems. In 2010 19th International Conference on
- 717 Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques (PACT) (pp. 557-558), IEEE, 2010.
- 718 Bracewell, R. N.: The fourier transform and its applications. Boston: McGraw-hill, 2000
- 719 Cooley, J. W., computation, J. T. M. O.1965: An algorithm for the machine calculation of
- 720 complex Fourier series, JSTOR, 19(90), 297, doi:10.2307/2003354, 1965.
- 721 Dimitrakopoulos, R., Mustapha, H. & Gloaguen, E.: High-order Statistics of Spatial Random
- 722 Fields: Exploring Spatial Cumulants for Modeling Complex Non-Gaussian and Non-linear
- 723 Phenomena. Math Geosci 42, 65, doi: 10.1007/s11004-009-9258-9, 2010
- Dong, H. and Blunt, M. J.: Pore-network extraction from micro-computerized-tomography images, Phys. Rev. E, 80(3), 84–11, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.80.036307, 2009.
- Frigo, M. and Johnson, S. G.: FFTW, [online] Available from: http://www.fftw.org/fftw3.pdf,2018.
- 728 Gauss, C. F.: Demonstratio nova theorematis omnem functionem algebraicam. 1799.
- Gómez-Hernández, J. J. and Journel, A. G.: Joint Sequential Simulation of MultiGaussian
 Fields, in Geostatistics Tróia '92, vol. 5, pp. 85–94, Springer, Dordrecht, Dordrecht. 1993.
- Graeler, B., Pebesma, E. and Heuvelink, G.: Spatio-Temporal Interpolation using gstat, R
 Journal, 8(1), 204–218, 2016.
- 733 Gravey, M., Rasera, L. G. and Mariethoz, G.: Analogue-based colorization of remote sensing
- images using textural information, ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing,
- 735 147, 242–254, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.11.003, 2019.
- 736 Guardiano, F. B. and Srivastava, R. M.: Multivariate Geostatistics: Beyond Bivariate
- Moments, in Geostatistics Tróia '92, vol. 5, pp. 133–144, Springer, Dordrecht, Dordrecht.
 1993.
- 739 Hamming, R. W.: Error detecting and error correcting codes, edited by The Bell system
- 740 technical, The Bell system technical, 29(2), 147–160, doi:10.1002/j.1538-
- 741 7305.1950.tb00463.x, 1950.
- Hoffimann, J., Scheidt, C., Barfod, A., Caers, J.2017: Stochastic simulation by image quilting
 of process-based geological models, Elsevier, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2017.05.012, 2017.
- Honarkhah, M. and Caers, J.: Stochastic Simulation of Patterns Using Distance-Based Pattern
 Modeling, Math Geosci, 42(5), 487–517, doi:10.1007/s11004-010-9276-7, 2010.
- 746 Intel Corporation: Intel® Math Kernel Library Reference Manual C, 1–2606, 2019.
- 747 Jha, S. K., Mariethoz, G., Evans, J., McCabe, M. F. and Sharma, A.: A space and time scale-
- 748 dependent nonlinear geostatistical approach for downscaling daily precipitation and
- 749 temperature, Water Resources Research, 51(8), 6244–6261, doi:10.1002/2014WR016729,
- 750 2015.

- John Paul Shen, M. H. L.: Modern Processor Design: Fundamentals of Superscalar
 Processors, 1–658, 2018.
- 753 Krantz, S. G.: A panorama of harmonic analysis. Washington, D.C.: Mathematical
- 754 Association of America, 1999
- 755 Latombe, G., Burke, A., Vrac, M., Levavasseur, G., Dumas, C., Kageyama, M. and Ramstein,
- 756 G.: Comparison of spatial downscaling methods of general circulation model results to study
- climate variability during the Last Glacial Maximum, Geosci. Model Dev., 11(7), 2563–2579,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2563-2018, 2018.
- 759 Li, B., & Babu, G. J.: A graduate course on statistical inference. New York: Springer, 2019
- Li, J. and Heap, A. D.: Spatial interpolation methods applied in the environmental sciences: A review, Environ Model Softw, 53(C), 173–189, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.12.008, 2014.
- 762 Li, X., Mariethoz, G., Lu, D. and Linde, N.: Patch-based iterative conditional geostatistical
- simulation using graph cuts, Water Resources Research, 52(8), 6297–6320,
- 764 doi:10.1002/2015WR018378, 2016.
- Mahmud, K., Mariethoz, G., Caers, J., Tahmasebi, P. and Baker, A.: Simulation of Earth textures by conditional image quilting, Water Resources Research, 50(4), 3088–3107,
- 767 doi:10.1002/2013WR015069, 2014.
- 768 Mariethoz, G.: A general parallelization strategy for random path based geostatistical
- simulation methods, Computers and Geosciences, 36(7), 953–958,
- 770 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2009.11.001, 2010.
- Mariethoz, G. and Caers, J.: Multiple-point geostatistics: stochastic modeling with training
 images, Wiley. 2014.
- 773 Mariethoz, G. and Kelly, B. F. J.: Modeling complex geological structures with elementary
- training images and transform-invariant distances, Water Resources Research, 47(7), 959–14,
 doi:10.1029/2011WR010412, 2011.
- 776 Mariethoz, G. and Lefebvre, S.: Bridges between multiple-point geostatistics and texture
- synthesis_Review and guidelines for future research, Computers and Geosciences, 66(C),
 66–80, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2014.01.001, 2014.
- 779 Mariethoz, G., Renard, P. and Straubhaar, J.: The Direct Sampling method to perform
- 780 multiple-point geostatistical simulations, Water Resources Research, 46(11),
- 781 doi:10.1029/2008WR007621, 2010.
- Matheron, G.: The intrinsic random functions and their applications, Advances in Applied
 Probability, 5(3), 439–468, doi:10.2307/1425829, 1973.
- 784 Meerschman, E., Pirot, G., Mariethoz, G., Straubhaar, J., Van Meirvenne, M. and Renard, P.:
- 785 A practical guide to performing multiple-point statistical simulations with the Direct
- Sampling algorithm, Computers and Geosciences, 52(C), 307–324,
- 787 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2012.09.019, 2013.

- 788 Oriani, F., Ohana-Levi, N., Marra, F., Straubhaar, J., Mariethoz, G., Renard, P., Karnieli, A.
- and Morin, E.: Simulating Small-Scale Rainfall Fields Conditioned by Weather State and
- Figure 2017 Elevation: A Data-Driven Approach Based on Rainfall Radar Images, Water Resources
- 791 Research, 15(4), 265, doi:10.1002/2017WR020876, 2017.
- 792 Rasera L.G., Gravey M., Lane S. N., Mariethoz G. Downscaling images with trends using
- 793 multiple-point statistics simulation: An application to digital elevation models, Mathematical
- 794 Geosciences, 1–43, doi:10.1007/s11004-019-09818-4, 2019
- Renard, P. and Allard, D.: Connectivity metrics for subsurface flow and transport, Advances
 in Water Resources, 51(C), 168–196, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.12.001, 2013.
- Rodríguez, P.: A radix-2 FFT algorithm for modern single instruction multiple data (SIMD)
 architectures, doi:10.1109/ICASSP.2002.5745335, 2002.
- 799 Shannon: A mathematical theory of communication, Wiley Online Library, 1948.
- 800 Straubhaar, J., Renard, P., Mariethoz, G., Froidevaux, R. and Besson, O.: An Improved
- 801 Parallel Multiple-point Algorithm Using a List Approach, Math Geosci, 43(3), 305–328,
- 802 doi:10.1007/s11004-011-9328-7, 2011.
- Strebelle, S.: Conditional simulation of complex geological structures using multiple-point
 statistics, Mathematical Geology, 34(1), 1–21, doi:10.1023/A:1014009426274, 2002.
- Strebelle, S., Payrazyan, K. and Caers, J.: Modeling of a Deepwater Turbidite Reservoir
 Conditional to Seismic Data Using Multiple-Point Geostatistics, Society of Petroleum
 Engineers. 2002.
- 808 Stockham, T. G., Jr.: High-speed convolution and correlation. Proceedings of the April 26-28,
- 809 1966, Spring Joint Computer Conference on XX AFIPS '66 (Spring). Presented at the the
- 810 April 26-28, 1966, Spring joint computer conference, doi:10.1145/1464182.1464209, 1966
- 811 Tadić, J. M., Qiu, X., Miller, S. and Michalak, A. M.: Spatio-temporal approach to moving
- 812 window block kriging of satellite data v1.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 10(2), 709–720,
- 813 doi:10.5194/gmd-10-709-2017, 2017.
- 814 Tadić, J. M., Qiu, X., Yadav, V. and Michalak, A. M.: Mapping of satellite Earth observations
- 815 using moving window block kriging, Geosci. Model Dev., 8(10), 3311–3319,
 816 doi:10.5194/gmd-8-3311-2015, 2015.
- 810 doi.10.3194/gilid-8-3311-2013, 2013.
- Tahmasebi, P.: Structural Adjustment for Accurate Conditioning in Large-Scale Subsurface
 Systems, Advances in Water Resources, 1–52, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.01.009, 2017.
- 819 Tahmasebi, P., Sahimi, M., Mariethoz, G. and Hezarkhani, A.: Accelerating geostatistical
- simulations using graphics processing units (GPU), Computers and Geosciences, 46(C), 51–
 59, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2012.03.028, 2012.
- 822 Vannametee, E., Babel, L. V., Hendriks, M. R., Schuur J.: Semi-automated mapping of
- k. v., Hendriks, W. K., Schuur J.: Senn-automated mapping of
 landforms using multiple point geostatistics, Elsevier, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.05.032,
 2014.

- Wojcik, R., McLaughlin, D., on, A. K. I. T.2009: Conditioning stochastic rainfall replicates
 on remote sensing data, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2009.2016413, 2009.
- 827 Yin, G., Mariethoz, G. and McCabe, M.: Gap-Filling of Landsat 7 Imagery Using the Direct
- 828 Sampling Method, Remote Sensing, 9(1), 12, doi:10.3390/rs9010012, 2017.