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Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1: http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section: http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirement has not been met in the Discussions paper:
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• “The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title.”

Please provide a version number for STORM in the title of your revised manuscript.

Note, that a version number is important to identify the status of your developments published in this article.

As explained in our Editorial, articles have to include a code and/or data availability section. The name and the place of the section is predefined, so change the title of your “code and sample data” section accordingly. Referring to the content of the section, the link in the paper does not work.

As explained in https://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/manuscript_types.html. GMD is encouraging authors to upload the program code of models (including relevant data sets) as supplement or make the code and data of the exact model version described in the paper accessible through a DOI (digital object identifier). In case your institution does not provide the possibility to make electronic data accessible through a DOI you may consider other providers (eg. zenodo.org of CERN) to create a DOI. Please note that in the code availability section you can still point the reader to how to obtain the newest version. If for some reason the code and/or data cannot be made available in this form (e.g. only via e-mail contact) the “Code Availability” section need to clearly state the reasons for why access is restricted (e.g. licensing reasons).

Especially, please note, that it is not enough, that the code will be available in the future. It must be available now and the exact version of the code published in this article needs to be made available.

As the links to the data in the discussion version of your paper do not work, please upload an author comment stating clearly how the code can be accessed in addition to
a full revision of the Code availability section in the revised version of your article.

Yours, Astrid Kerkweg