Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2018-189-RC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Devito (v3.1.0): an embedded domain-specific language for finite differences and geophysical exploration" by Mathias Louboutin et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 27 September 2018

In their paper "Devito (v3.1.0): an embedded domain-specific language for finite differences and geophysical exploration" the authors present a software that introduces a high level representation for partial differential equations discretized with finite difference. The paper presents how this software can be used to solve wave equations and apply it to Full wave inversion problems. These problems are typical for geophysical exploration and thematically fits the GMD. The authors does a thorough verification of the full wave inversion implementation, which raises the quality of the paper. However, I would advise the authors to revise section 3.2 and 4, as it lacks references to previously published results. Also, I advice the authors to show the applicability of the software by presenting multiple examples with different partial differential equations.

C.

Major issues:

Section 3.2 and Section 4 explain how the domain specific language Devito is structured. However, these sections do not refer to [1-3], which are tutorials published in The Leading Edge that presents the Devito implementation of the problem. I suggest the authors include these references, as well as revise these chapters, as some of the details in this section can be excluded.

The paper present Marmousi-ii model. This is a very interesting example, which is very relevant for showing the applicability of the software. However, their description of the optimization setup is insufficient. I suggest the authors add information about the number of iterations needed, the stopping criterion and the initial and final functional values.

As mentioned in the conclusion, Devito can be applied to other equations, as CFD problems. I would like the authors to present one or two CFD examples to emphasis the ease of use and generality of Devito.

Minor Issues:

Page 7, Figure 2: Missing period after figure caption

Page 7, Line 9: This formula does not makes sense for me. For k=2, this is a sum from 1 to 1, which excludes the midpoint and conflicts with the first equation on Page 8. Also k=3 would make it a sum from 1 to 1.5.

Page 8, Figure 3: The text in between the code and equivalent output makes this look like a part of the text. Please add borders around figure to clarify that this is one figure. Especially unfortunate since this is mid sentence from the previous page.

Page 11, Line 1: \delta d missing subscript s.

Page 11, Line 7: Three sentences in a row starts with "We have".

Page 12, Figure 4: The variable "src" is not defined explicitly in this paper. However, it

is defined in [1].

Page 16, Line 1: "..convergence results is yo" should be "is to"

Page 17, Line 12: Replace comma after equation (11) with a period

Page 21, Line 16: Reference not properly formatted

Page 22, Figures 16-18: have too small fontsize. I also think all these figures can be combined

References:

[1] Louboutin, Mathias, et al. "Full-waveform inversion, Part 1: Forward modeling." The Leading Edge 36.12 (2017): 1033-1036. https://library.seg.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1190/tle36121033.1

[2] Louboutin, Mathias, et al. "Full-waveform inversion, Part 2: Adjoint modeling." The Leading Edge 37.1 (2018): 69-72. https://library.seg.org/doi/pdf/10.1190/tle37010069.1

[3] Witte, Philipp, et al. "Full-waveform inversion, Part 3: Optimization." The Leading Edge 37.2 (2018): 142-145. https://library.seg.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1190/tle37020142.1

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2018-189, 2018.

С3