Geoscientific
Model Development
Discussions

Interactive comment on "Modeling Error Learning based Post-Processor Framework for Hydrologic Models Accuracy Improvement" *by* Rui Wu et al.

A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 15 August 2018

Dear authors,

in my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been

met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."

- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

In order to simplify reference to your Post-Processing Framework, please add a name (and/or its acronym) and a version number in the title of your article in your revised submission to GMD.

Additionally, please provide details how to access the two hydrological models used in your study.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2018-136, 2018.