Replies to the Editor and Reviewers

MS No.: gmd-2017-84

September 21 2017

We thank the Executive Editor and the Reviewers for their helpful and supportive comments. Their thorough analysis, critical comments, and suggestions have helped us to improve and sharpen the manuscript.

Dear Astrid Kerkweg, Executive Editor,

General comment:

Please add the acronym CTDAS plus a unique version identifier of the exact version of the in this article described assimilation system in the title of your article in your revised submission to GMD.

Authors: We changed the title of the manuscript to: The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation System for CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ (CTDAS-C13 v1.0): retrieving information on land-atmosphere exchange processes

Dear Reviewer #1,

General comments:

Figures 10 and 11 show large (>0.5 permil) changes in the optimized plant 13C discrimination rate from the prior value, indicating that Eq. 2 for estimating the prior values does not perform well at least under drought conditions. The equation is based on Suits et al. (2005) and includes full discrimination processes from free air to the photosynthetic site inside chloroplasts. However, there are various ways to implement the equation. It is not clear how Ci and Cc in the equation are estimated. Usually, stomatal conductance and mesophyll conductance are used to estimate them. In previous research, mesophyll conductance is often simply scaled to stomatal conductance.

Authors: We thank the Reviewer for making us aware that some parts of the SiBCASA's model description were unclear. We improved its description from page 8 line 24 through page 9 line 8 in the new manuscript. However, we choose not to provide all the equations that are involved in the photosynthesis calculations. The main focus of this manuscript is to describe our first dual-species inverse modeling framework of CTDAS. Therefore, a detailed description of the prior flux estimates is less relevant here. Instead, we give the necessary references to the important papers that describe them in detail.

Chen et al. (2017, GMD) used a mesophyll model of Harley et al. (1992, Plant Physiology), and found it to be effective in improving the sensitivity of the modeled 13C discrimination rate to environmental conditions and in removing abnormal values caused by scaling mesophyll conductance to stomatal conductance. I am not requesting the authors to further develop their prior model for this paper, but they should make it clear how the equation is implemented and discuss issues associated with photosynthetic discrimination modeling.

Authors: The CO_2 concentration close to the chloroplasts (C_c) is derived with the mesophyll conductance (g_m) estimate ($C_c = C_i - A_n/g_m$). Mesophyll conductance inside SiBCASA is not scaled to stomatal conductance but is derived with the following function (Suits et al. 2005):

$$g_m = 4000 \cdot vmax0 \cdot \Pi \cdot \beta,$$

where 4000 is a constant used to achieve a drop of CO_2 partial pressure of 8 Pa between C_i and C_c when assimilation rate is high, vmax0 is the maximum potential photosynthetic rate at the top of canopy, Π is a factor that expresses the integrated photosynthetic rate over the entire canopy and ß is the soil moisture stress parameter, which is a function of the plant available water in the soils. That means water stress limits not only the assimilation rate by scaling down Vmax but also increases the mesophyll resistance, making it harder for CO_2 molecules to diffuse to the leaf chloroplasts. This gives a CO_2 ratio C_c/C_a that scales with the carboxylation discrimination parameter (Δ_f) used to predict the total discrimination (Eq. 2 in manuscript). Neglecting mesophyll conductance would mean C_c equals C_i even though C_c can be significantly lower. This would require an often-used simplified version of the discrimination model where Δ_f scales linearly with C_i/C_a, and therefore risking an overestimation of discrimination. A previous comparison between observed and simulated $\delta^{13}C$ demonstrated the ability of SiBCASA to fit the data within 1 ‰ for a selection of sites of the BASIN network (van der Velde et al., 2014).

We make reference to the mesophyll conductance formulation by Suits et al. (2005) on page 8 line 31. We also make the importance of mesophyll conductance in relation to photosynthesis and discrimination more explicitly clear in the manuscript (page 9 line 1). The implementation of alternative mesophyll conductance models is certainly something we want to explore in the future. However, we did not find abnormal large or small values in discrimination caused by mesophyll conductance as claimed by Chen et al. (2017). On the contrary, the current photosynthesis model in SiBCASA conserves discrimination to an extreme due to lack of soil moisture sensitivity. But once again, a detailed discussion of the prior flux/discrimination uncertainties is out of scope of this manuscript and is either already done elsewhere (e.g. Suits et al. 2005, van der Velde et al. 2013, van der Velde et al. 2014) or is currently in preparation as a separate manuscript.

I appreciate very much that both land and ocean discrimination rates are optimized in their data assimilation systems, and it is interesting to see that it is possible that these rates can be optimized with currently available measurements.

Authors: We want to emphasize that ocean discrimination is not optimized. Only terrestrial discrimination, and the net ocean and land carbon exchange fluxes. The main reason is that ocean discrimination is much smaller in magnitude than terrestrial discrimination, and spatiotemporally much more homogeneous (page 5 line 17). This is furthermore mentioned on page 6 line 15, and page 10 line 11.

The authors also make it clear that these optimizations are based on the assumption that the prior disequilibrium fluxes of land and ocean have no bias errors. We understand that these disequilibrium fluxes are large and nearly equivalent to discrimination fluxes in size and that their estimates are quite involved and inaccurate. I wonder what is the justification to optimize discrimination but not disequilibrium. Since the disequilibrium rates over both land and ocean are difficult to estimate accurately, I wonder what are the impacts of their errors on the optimized fluxes and discrimination rates. The authors qualitatively discussed these impacts in Discussion, but the discussion is not useful for assessing the reliability of optimized results of their data assimilation systems. It would be useful to do a quantitative assessment of these impacts.

Authors: Although we did not jointly optimize disequilibrium inside CTDAS-C13, we optimized it offline to match the 11-year trend in observed $\delta^{13}C$. We found that the prior disequilibrium estimates underestimated the $\delta^{13}C$ trend substantially by 20 %. These bottom-up estimates were scaled by a factor of 1.2 for use in CTDAS-C13. This gave us an acceptable 11-year mean fit for most of the $\delta^{13}C$ sites in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres with a RMSD of 0.079 ‰ and the mean bias of -0.01 ‰ (at $\delta^{13}C$ measurement precision). This procedure is described in the manuscript on page 12, line 18. We believe however that the choice of 1.2 will not have a significant impact on our conclusions, because we focused only on interannual variability in fluxes and discrimination. The scaling assured that land and ocean CO_2 flux magnitudes remained close to the results of the traditional CO_2-only inversion.

The extent disequilibrium fluxes will change year to year is a matter of debate in the literature. It has been suggested that at least the gross flux component of the disequilibrium between the atmosphere and ocean or terrestrial biosphere are mostly controlled by large-scale thermodynamic influences and are expected to change little on interannual time scales (e.g. Rayner et al. 1999), but other studies suggest this is not necessarily be true (e.g. Alden et al. 2010). Given the short assimilation window of 5 weeks that is used in CTDAS-C13 it is doubtful whether we can capture such effects that are dampened by their large reservoir size.

To quantify the influence of errors in the disequilibrium flux we performed an additional experiment with SiBCASA where we allowed extra variability in respiration and discrimination to drive through the disequilibrium isoflux. For a detailed explanation of this experiment you can read our reply to Reviewer #2 (Prof. Rayner). We would indeed generate extra variability in the terrestrial disequilibrium budget term, necessitating 10 % less variability in discrimination to keep the $\delta^{13}C$ budget closed. It indicates that allowing for errors in the disequilibrium fluxes the variations in discrimination could in reality be slightly larger or smaller than estimated in the paper, but are nonetheless still much larger than in SiBCASA (twice as large standard deviation). We make reference to this experiment in the manuscript on page 18, line 1.

The word "multi-species" in the title is a bit misleading because there are only two gas species, CO2 and 13CO2, considered in their data assimilation systems, while multi-species would imply at least three species. Although the systems are intended for more than two species, the cur- rent study only uses two species. I suggest changing it to duel-species or some other phrases.

Authors: We changed the title of the manuscript to *The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation System for CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ (CTDAS-C13 v1.0): retrieving information on land-atmosphere exchange processes*. Other references to *multi-species* in the main text are changed to *dual-species*.

Dear Prof. Rayner, Reviewer #2,

1st concern:

The first is a bit more detail on posterior uncertainties. This is more difficult in the NKF formalism of CarbonTracker than for the classical synthesis inversion but, especially in the nonlinear case, some sense of ensemble correlations among fractionations and fluxes would be useful. Perhaps these are the correlations already quoted, it seemed from the text these were signal correlations.

Authors: Interpreting the ensemble correlations between Δ (fractionation) and fluxes is indeed difficult with CTDAS, specifically because we cannot calculate covariances structures over scales beyond the current assimilation window of 5 weeks. So our short time window prevents us from deriving reliable seasonal or annual mean uncertainties and correlations from its covariance matrix. Within the 5-week windows, we can look at these covariations though and as we show here below in Figure 1, the monthly posterior ensemble-correlations (N=150) between NEE and Δ aggregated for TransCom regions are, besides the diagonal, just small (between -0.3 and 0.3). However, between the linear 2-step inversion and the nonlinear inversion the posterior ensemble-correlations are markedly similar, which suggest a shared commonality of the internal error estimate between the two methods. In the manuscript however, we show the high degree of similarity of posterior Δ between the two inversion methods by calculating their temporal correlations over a 11-year period (Figure 9 in the manuscript). Table 3, as the Reviewer had deduced, indeed presents a signal correlation rather than a spatiotemporal covariance.

As argued by Peters et al. (2005), the formal uncertainty estimate cannot be based on ensemble-correlations but should instead be based on a number of different inversions, with different assumptions and model setups. As mentioned in the manuscript (page 17, line 21) the reported correlations between NEE and Δ, and the drought index and Δ remain robust and significant if we change the atmospheric transport characteristics, the optimization method (linear vs. nonlinear), or the assigned model-data error in CTDAS. Not only robust for the Northern Hemisphere but also for smaller subregions like Europe and parts of Eurasia. These additional experiments and results are in preparation for a second publication and demonstrate in more detail atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ as a new observational constraint of the impact of droughts on the water-use efficiency using CTDAS-C13.

As a side-note, the p-values attached to the correlations are not relevant here. We are interested in the strength of a relationship while the p-value shows the chance of giving such a correlation if the population value was zero.

Authors: The p-values in the main text of the manuscript refer to the hypothesis test for slopes; can we reject the null hypothesis that the slope parameter is zero, i.e., is there a significant slope between NEE (or drought index) and Δ over a 11-year period? All slopes of the curve fits in the manuscript were tested using two-tailed distributions and a 95% confidence level for N-2 degrees of freedom. We improved the description in the main text.

Figure 1: Posterior NEE and Δ ensemble-correlation matrix for July 2002 where we aggregated the ecoregions to 11 TransCom regions (see Figure 2 in manuscript). The matrix on the left is derived from the linear 2-step inversion and the matrix on the right from the nonlinear inversion. In each matrix, the first 11x11 element block contains the NEE correlations between the TransCom regions, and the second 11x11 element block along the diagonal contains Δ correlations between the TransCom regions. The two off-diagonal 11x11 element blocks contain the correlations between NEE and Δ. In both matrices the diagonal correlations are 1.0, but the color scale limits values between -0.5 and 0.5.

2nd concern:

My second concern is raised by the authors in the discussion but is not really dealt with. It could affect some of the conclusions. The authors note (P17) that impacts of changing net flux or fractionation on the isoflux are neglected. they correctly diagnose that the problem arises because the isoflux is not included in the optimisation. they suggest one solution, the partition of net flux into its gross components. There is another approximate solution. The main result of this process is a dilution of C13 signals by the isoflux. This can be parameterised as a response function for the C13 signal from a net flux. this was how Rayner et al., 1999 approached the problem, taking response functions from Trudinger et al. 1999. The time-scales for this response are long cf the assimilation window used in CarbonTracker so I'm not sure whether one can even capture the effect but we did find it had an impact on interannual variability. The problem may be less severe for the current paper because the prior signal for this response should be captured by SiBCASA. To quantify the effect I recommend that the authors take the difference between their prior and posterior flux and transport its C13 signature with and without the dilution response. This should at least give a sense of the significance of the problem.

Authors: Prof. Rayner makes a valid point that our current optimization framework lacks C13 signal dilution that could result in an over- or underestimation of the Δ variability. The Δ variability would in reality drive through the disequilibrium isoflux and generate additional variability, but this was ignored in our framework. We found by analyzing the variability in the net flux and isoflux components of the $CO_2*\delta 13C$ mass balance that such 'dilution effects' of the C13 signals were in fact quite minimal. Variability in Δ with dilution response was about 10% smaller in comparison to the Δ estimate without dilution response. However, both solutions still contained significantly more (two times more in standard deviation) variability in Δ than predicted by SiBCASA.

To demonstrate this, consider the following formulation for rate of change of atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ only due to terrestrial C13 exchange:

$$\frac{dC_a\delta_a}{dt} = N_b\delta_{ab} + F_{ba}(\delta_{ba} - \delta_{ab})$$

The first term on the right-hand side represents a net isoflux: the product of net terrestrial carbon uptake (N_b) and isotopic signature in carbon assimilation (δ_{ab}), where δ_{ab} is the sum of δ_a (atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$) and Δ (photosynthetic fractionation). The second term represents the disequilibrium isoflux: the product of total respiration (F_{ba}) and the difference in isotopic signatures of the total respiration and photosynthesis ($\delta_{ba} - \delta_{ab}$). To account for dilution effect, extra Δ variability should go into δ_{ab} and δ_{ba}. There is an immediate effect in δ_{ab} because $\delta_{ab} \approx \delta_a - \Delta$. For δ_{ba} the response time is more complex, because the Δ variations return quickly via autotrophic respiration (perhaps ~1-7 days), while there is a much more dampened effect of Δ variations in the heterotrophic component of δ_{ba} because the multiple carbon pools with different turnover rates homogenize the isotopic signature of carbon released from the soils (Alden et al., 2010). For this analysis, we took monthly 1x1 degree N_b, F_{ba}, δ_{ab} and δ_{ba} from SiBCASA for the North American domain over a total time period of 11 years. We introduced for each year a spatial uncertainty parameter β of +/-1‰ (1σ standard deviation) and a covariance length scale of 300 km to mimic increased correlated interannual variability in δ_{ab} and δ_{ba}. Because 40% of the North American respiration is heterotrophic (according to SiBCASA), and rather insensitive to changes in Δ, we scaled down the β parameter applied on δ_{ba} by a factor of 0.6. A second uncertainty parameter γ was introduced to mimic 20% more variability in F_{ba} using a covariance length scale of 300 km.

We rewrite the rate of change equations for two different cases: (1) with dilution response and (2) without dilution response, which is similar to the scenario portrait in the manuscript.

$$\frac{dC_a\delta_a}{dt}\Big|_{\text{with dilution}} = N_b(\delta_{ab} + \beta) + (F_{ba} + \gamma) \cdot [(\delta_{ba} + \beta \cdot 0.6) - (\delta_{ab} + \beta)] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dC_a\delta_a}{dt}\Big|_{\text{without dilution}} = N_b(\delta_{ab} + \beta) + F_{ba}(\delta_{ba} - \delta_{ab}) \tag{2}$$

How much variability in δ_{ab} in eq. (2) do we need to match the more realistic 'with dilution' scenario? Answer can be deduced after some substitution between eq. (1) and eq. (2):

$$\hat{\delta}_{ab} = \frac{\frac{dC_a\delta_a}{dt}\Big|_{\text{with dilution}} - F_{ba}(\delta_{ba} - \delta_{ab})}{N_b}$$

$\hat{\delta}_{ab}$ estimation is analogous with the Δ estimation in the manuscript using atmospheric constraints and a fixed terrestrial disequilibrium flux without dilution effects. Figure 2 below shows that variability of the North American annual mean $\hat{\delta}_{ab}$ is overestimated (10% larger standard deviation) in comparison to the 'correct' estimate of ($\delta_{ab} + \beta$). More importantly, variations in both ($\delta_{ab} + \beta$) and $\hat{\delta}_{ab}$ are significantly larger than SiBCASA's estimate for δ_{ab} (two times larger standard deviation) and highly correlated (r=0.97). It suggests the possibility that variations in Δ may be overestimated in the manuscript, however, the dilution response introduced via the gross fluxes and isotopic signatures is not large enough to believe our current Δ estimates from CTDAS-C13 are unrealistic. We make a reference to this experiment on page 18, line 1.

Figure 2: North American mean estimates of δ_{ab} from SiBCASA (cyan), δ_{ab} with extra β variability (blue), and the top-down estimate $\hat{\delta}_{ab}$ that excludes dilution effects (red). Both $(\delta_{ab} + \beta)$ and $\hat{\delta}_{ab}$ are significantly larger than SiBCASA's estimate for δ_{ab} (more than 100% larger standard deviation) and highly correlated (r=0.97).

References:

Alden, C. B., J. B. Miller, and J. W. C. White (2010), Can bottom-up ocean CO_2 fluxes be reconciled with atmospheric ^{13}C observations?, *Tellus B*, *62*(5), 369–388, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00481.x.

Chen, J. M., G. Mo, G., and F. Deng (2017), A joint global carbon inversion system using both CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ atmospheric concentration data, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 1131-1156, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1131-2017, 2017.

Peters, W., J. B. Miller, J. Whitaker, A. S. Denning, A. Hirsch, M. C. Krol, D. Zupanski, L. Bruhwiler, P. P. Tans (2005), An ensemble data assimilation system to estimate CO_2 surface fluxes from atmospheric trace gas observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*, D24304, doi:10.1029/2005JD006157.

Rayner, P.J., I. G. Enting, R. J. Francey and R. Langenfelds (1999), Reconstructing the recent carbon cycle from atmospheric CO_2, d13C and O_2/N_2 observations, Tellus (1999), 51B, 213–232

Suits, N., A. Denning, J. Berry, and C. Still (2005), Simulation of carbon isotope discrimination of the terrestrial biosphere, *Global Bio- geochem. Cycles*, *19*, GB1017, doi:10.1029/2003GB002141.

Van der Velde, I. R., J. B. Miller K. Schaefer, K. A. Masarie, S. Denning, J. W. C. White, P. P. Tans, M. C. Krol, and W. Peters (2013), Biosphere model simulations of interannual variability in terrestrial 13C/12C exchange, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *27*(3), 637–649.

Van der Velde, I. R., J. B. Miller K. Schaefer, G. R. van der Werf, M. C. Krol, and W. Peters (2014), Terrestrial cycling of 13CO2 by photosynthesis, respiration and biomass burning in SiBCASA, *Biogeosciences*, *11*, 6553–6571.

~~A multi-species data assimilation system to retrieve~~ The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation System for CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ (CTDAS-C13 v1.0): retrieving information on land-atmosphere exchange processes

Ivar R. van der Velde[1,2], John B. Miller[1], Michiel K. van der Molen[3], Pieter P. Tans[1], Bruce H. Vaughn[4], James W.C. White[4], Kevin Schaefer[5], and Wouter Peters[3,6]

[1]Global Monitoring Division, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO, United States
[2]Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, United States
[3]Department of Meteorology and Air Quality, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands
[4]Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, United States
[5]National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, United States
[6]Centre for Isotope Research, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Ivar van der Velde (Ivar.vanderVelde@noaa.gov)

Abstract. To improve our understanding of the global carbon balance and its representation in terrestrial biosphere models we present here a first ~~multi-species~~ dual-species application of the CarbonTracker Data Assimilation System (CTDAS). The system's modular design allows for assimilating multiple atmospheric trace gases simultaneously to infer exchange fluxes at the Earth surface. In the prototype discussed here we interpret signals recorded in observed carbon dioxide (CO_2) along with observed ratios of its stable isotopologues $^{13}CO_2/^{12}CO_2$ ($\delta^{13}C$). The latter is in particular a valuable tracer to untangle CO_2

5   exchange from land and oceans. Potentially, it can also be used as a proxy for continent-wide drought stress in plants, largely because the ratio of $^{13}CO_2$ and $^{12}CO_2$ molecules removed from the atmosphere by plants is dependent on moisture conditions.

The ~~multi-species~~ dual-species CTDAS system varies the net exchange fluxes of both $^{13}CO_2$ and CO_2 in ocean and terrestrial biosphere models to create an ensemble of $^{13}CO_2$ and CO_2 fluxes that propagates through an atmospheric transport

10   model. Based on differences between observed and simulated $^{13}CO_2$ and CO_2 mole fractions (and thus $\delta^{13}C$) our Bayesian minimization approach solves for weekly adjustments to both net fluxes and isotopic terrestrial discrimination that minimizes the difference between observed and estimated mole fractions.

With this system we are able to estimate changes in terrestrial $\delta^{13}C$ exchange on seasonal and continental scales in the Northern ~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere where the observational network is most dense. Our results indicate a decrease in stomatal

15   conductance on a continent-wide scale during a severe drought. These changes could only be detected after applying combined atmospheric CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ constraints as done in this work. The additional constraints on surface CO_2 exchange from $\delta^{13}C$ observations neither affected the estimated carbon fluxes, nor compromised our ability to match observed CO_2 variations. The prototype presented here can be of great benefit not only to study the global carbon balance but potentially also to function as a data driven diagnostic to assess multiple leaf-level exchange parameterizations in carbon-climate models that influence the

20   CO_2, water, isotope, and energy balance.

1 Introduction

The terrestrial biosphere has absorbed about 25 % of global fossil fuel carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions over the last several decades but the future of this sink is highly uncertain in a warming world (*Booth et al.*, 2012; *Rowlands et al.*, 2012). It depends on the small difference between two large fluxes of the terrestrial carbon cycle: photosynthetic uptake or gross primary production (GPP) and terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER), and is here referred to as the net ecosystem exchange (NEE = TER − GPP + fire disturbances and land use change and harvesting of crops). All these flux terms respond to changes in local temperature, precipitation, nutrient availability and other key environmental variables (*Friedlingstein et al.*, 2006). Extreme climate events such as droughts can decrease GPP and increase TER and fire disturbances to a point where regional NEE is turned into a temporary carbon source (*Ciais et al.*, 2005; *Gatti et al.*, 2014; *Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2015). These dynamic responses (and positive feedbacks whereby increased CO_2 may lead to more droughts) are now an integral part of climate models that include fully coupled carbon cycling (*Booth et al.*, 2012; *Dai et al.*, 2012). Such models give rise to a wide range of climate projections primarily as a result of different simulations of terrestrial carbon exchange (*Friedlingstein et al.*, 2006). It is therefore important to test and improve the representation of the terrestrial biosphere in carbon-climate models. Uncertainty in climate projections can be reduced by evaluating present day performance of these models to observations (*Hoffman et al.*, 2014). This paper presents a data assimilation system that can be used to evaluate existing terrestrial biosphere models by using an extensive number of atmospheric CO_2 observations in tandem with other trace gases.

Measurements of atmospheric CO_2 have been used to infer carbon fluxes at the Earth's surface using a variety of inversion techniques (e.g., *Keeling and Revelle*, 1985; *Keeling et al.*, 1989; *Tans et al.*, 1993; *Ciais et al.*, 1995; *Rayner et al.*, 2008; *Alden et al.*, 2010). Unfortunately, a limited number of CO_2 observations, errors in atmospheric transport modeling, and the realism of bottom-up carbon flux estimates are limiting the utility of these techniques. For instance, the representation of subgrid scale vertical motion in (and through the top of) the planetary boundary layer is one of the most uncertain aspects in atmospheric tracer modeling and can hinder the accuracy of CO_2 transport (*Kretschmer et al.*, 2012; *Miller et al.*, 2015). In addition, atmospheric CO_2 as a tracer has its own limitations as it only reflects ~~the~~ a small residual of different sources and sinks, such as wild fires, anthropogenic sources, ocean in- and outgassing, and terrestrial GPP and TER.

The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation System (CTDAS) has been developed to estimate global net ocean and terrestrial carbon exchange fluxes, with a focus on North America and Europe (*Peters et al.*, 2005, 2007, 2010; *Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2017). This application uses the Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF) as a Bayesian minimization approach for the estimation of weekly ocean and terrestrial carbon fluxes on a 1×1 degree horizontal grid to improve the agreement between modeled and measured atmospheric CO_2. The versatile object-oriented design of CTDAS allows flexible implementation of different components of the data assimilation system (*Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2017). Such modifications include but are not limited to, (1) the configuration of the state vector, (2) the expansion of the monitoring network, such as for the Amazon (*Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2015) and China (*Zhang et al.*, 2014), (3) the use of Lagrangian atmospheric transport (*He et al., in prep.*, 2017), and (4) to monitor other tracer gases like methane (*Bruhwiler et al.*, 2014; *Tsuruta et al.*, 2016).

One aspect that has not yet been explored in CTDAS is the monitoring of multiple trace gases in the atmosphere that are strongly related (i.e., gases with a common chemical or metabolic pathway in the ocean and/or terrestrial biosphere). The main purpose of such an application is to improve the estimation of carbon fluxes and to retrieve new information on the underlying flux exchange processes that would otherwise remain undetected. We are in particular interested in the use of the stable isotope ^{13}C (in atmospheric CO_2) as an additional tracer alongside total CO_2 to estimate carbon sources and sinks and

5   their variability. In earlier studies ^{13}C was used to distinguish oceanic from terrestrial carbon exchange, as oceans take up $^{13}CO_2$ more efficiently than land surfaces relative to $^{12}CO_2$. In so-called double-deconvolution methods this particular trait is used to untangle the global land carbon budget from ocean carbon budget (*Keeling et al.*, 1989; *Tans et al.*, 1993; *Ciais et al.*, 1995). More recently ^{13}C isotope was used to study the diurnal cycle of GPP and TER (*Wehr et al.*, 2016) and was used as a tracer of water use efficiency to study long-term responses to CO_2 increases in tree-rings (*Van der Sleen et al.*, 2015), and

10   attempts are underway to do the same based on atmospheric records. On regional scales variations in the ratio of $^{13}CO_2/^{12}CO_2$ (typically reported as δ^{13}C in ‰ relative to the VPDB reference ratio) reflect changes in discrimination processes associated with photosynthetic uptake of carbon by plants (e.g., *Farquhar et al.*, 1989; *Fung et al.*, 1997; *Scholze et al.*, 2003; *Rayner et al.*, 2008). Plants generally take up the heavier $^{13}CO_2$ molecules less efficiently than $^{12}CO_2$ molecules, increasing the $^{13}CO_2/^{12}CO_2$ ratio of CO_2 remaining in the atmosphere. This kind of discrimination against ^{13}C is much stronger for C_3

15   plants than for C_4 plants, but also varies as a function of moisture conditions in the canopy air and soil (*Farquhar et al.*, 1980, 1989; *Ekblad and Högberg*, 2001; *Ometto et al.*, 2002; *Suits et al.*, 2005). That implies that under the right circumstances, measured atmospheric δ^{13}C can be used to recognize land usage, such as C_3/C_4 photosynthesis, and changes in photosynthetic activity resulting from droughts stress (*Ballantyne et al.*, 2010; *Raczka et al.*, 2016).

Such an application could also be beneficial to explore other facets of carbon exchange. Any errors in the fossil fuel emission

20   inventories (although relatively small) are in the current CTDAS releases aliased erroneously on the natural ocean and terrestrial fluxes. Assimilation of the fraction of the radioactive isotope $^{14}CO_2$ in the atmosphere would allow independent verification of the fossil fuel emissions as its old organic carbon is radiocarbon-free (*Bozhinova et al.*, 2014; *Basu et al.*, 2016). Other chemical constituents like carbonyl sulfide (OCS) and solar induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) could also be important additions in CTDAS. Inclusion of these tracers in the assimilation could enhance our understanding of carbon exchange, because variations

25   in photosynthetic carbon uptake are recorded in atmospheric OCS and satellite SIF data (*Commane et al.*, 2015; *Yang et al.*, 2014).

Before we can interpret signals derived from these additional tracers, our aim for this paper is (1) to explain how the first ~~multi-species~~ dual-species CTDAS application works, with specific focus on the use of δ^{13}C and CO_2, henceforth the system named as CTDAS-C13 version ~~1~~1.0, (2) to demonstrate its accuracy in solving the targeted optimization problem in comparison

30   to observations, (3) to test the sensitivity of the system to the introduced nonlinearity arising from simultaneous optimization of terrestrial total CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ fluxes, and (4) to verify our new estimates of carbon and isotope exchange with independent drought index data.

2 Methodology

We present the atmospheric δ^{13}C budget (Section 2.1) before proceeding to describe the integration of δ^{13}C within our new ~~multi-species~~ dual-species data assimilation framework CTDAS-C13 (Section 2.2). We then briefly describe the prior estimates
5 and the observational network used (Section 2.3). Finally, we give a brief description of the different inversion experiments (Section 2.4). The methodology presented here is based on Section 4.2 of the lead author's PhD dissertation (*Van der Velde*, 2015).

2.1 Atmospheric δ^{13}C budget

The use of δ^{13}C observations alongside CO_2 observations constitute a useful change to the traditional CO_2-only CTDAS
10 application, as it provide an additional constraint on carbon surface fluxes and isotope exchange processes in plants. The rationale behind this is that the $^{13}CO_2$ and $^{12}CO_2$ contents in the atmosphere are affected through the same CO_2 pathways from land and ocean surfaces. There are, however, specific processes that change the $^{13}CO_2$ exchange fluxes slightly differently from $^{12}CO_2$ fluxes. We can write a global mass balance for atmospheric δ^{13}C (δ_a) so that the different isotopic processes are explicitly defined and dependent on total CO_2 fluxes (see *Tans et al.*, 1993, for the derivation of Eq. 1). We can then identify
15 the (1) emission forcing terms, (2) net exchange isotope forcing terms, and (3) gross-flux isodisequilibrium forcing terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_a \frac{d}{dt}\delta_a =\ & F_{ff}\left(\delta_{ff}-\delta_a\right) &+&\ F_{fire}\left(\delta_{fire}-\delta_a\right) && \text{[emission forcing terms]}\\
+\ & N_b\epsilon_{ph} &+&\ N_o\epsilon_{ao} && \text{[net exchange isotope terms]}\\
+\ & F_{ba}\left(\delta_b-\delta_b^{eq}\right) &&&& \text{[terrestrial isodisequilibrium forcing terms]}\\
+\ & F_{oa}\left(\delta_a^{eq}-\delta_a\right) &&&& \text{[ocean isodisequilibrium forcing term],}
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where C_a is the total carbon content [unit mol or mass] in the atmosphere (in the form of CO_2). The subscripts ba and oa denote the direction of the one-way gross fluxes [unit mol or mass per unit time]. For example, F_{ba} refers to the respiratory release of CO_2 from terrestrial biosphere to atmosphere. The isotopic ratios of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ are expressed as δ_{xx} [‰], where
20 the subscripts refer to the signature in biosphere vegetation and soils (b), in biomass burning flux (fire), or in the fossil fuel emission flux (ff). The signature δ_a^{eq} depicts the isotopic ratio of CO_2 that is in equilibrium with the ocean surface and δ_b^{eq} depicts the ratio in the terrestrial biosphere that would be in isotopic equilibrium with the current atmosphere, which is more depleted in $^{13}CO_2$ than when the biomass was formed years ago. N_b and N_o refer to net exchange fluxes (gross release minus gross uptake) of CO_2, and F_{ff} and F_{fire} are the fossil fuel and biomass burning CO_2 emissions, respectively.

25   The terrestrial (photosynthetic) isotopic discrimination in Eq. 1 is expressed as $\epsilon_{ph} = (\delta_b^{eq} - \delta_a) \approx -\Delta_{ph}$ [‰], and can be derived from a CO_2 gradient-weighted average of different isotope fractionation effects during the transfer of CO_2 molecules from the canopy air until their reaction with the enzyme Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (Rubisco) in the chloroplasts of the plant leaf. There are two main fractioning effects along this pathway; the plant fractionates with $\Delta_s = 4.4$‰ when CO_2 diffuses from leaf boundary through leaf stomata, and with $\Delta_f = 28$‰ during carboxylation. Smaller fractionation effects occur during
30 diffusion between canopy air and leaf boundary ($\Delta_b = 2.9$‰), and during dissolution of CO_2 in mesophyll water ($\Delta_{diss} = 1.1$‰) and transport to chloroplasts ($\Delta_{aq} = 0.7$‰). The parameterization of Δ_{ph} for C_3 plants has been described by *Farquhar*

et al. (1982) takes the following form as in *Suits et al.* (2005):

$$\Delta_{\text{ph}} = \Delta_{\text{b}} \left(\frac{c_{\text{a}} - c_{\text{s}}}{c_{\text{a}}} \right) + \Delta_{\text{s}} \left(\frac{c_{\text{s}} - c_{\text{i}}}{c_{\text{a}}} \right) + (\Delta_{\text{diss}} + \Delta_{\text{aq}}) \left(\frac{c_{\text{i}} - c_{\text{c}}}{c_{\text{a}}} \right) + \Delta_{\text{f}} \left(\frac{c_{\text{c}}}{c_{\text{a}}} \right), \tag{2}$$

where $c_{\text{a,s,i,c}}$ represent CO_2 partial pressures in canopy air space, leaf boundary layer, stomatal cavity and in the chloroplasts,
respectively. The overall discrimination Δ_{ph} value reflects mostly the fractionation step with the highest resistivity (*O'leary*, 1988). For example, during a drought when the leaf's stomatal conductance is lowered in an attempt to prevent evaporative water loss, the diffusive Δ_{s} is the most limiting factor, resulting in a lower overall Δ_{ph}. The opposite happens under more favorable environmental conditions when stomatal aperture is higher and carboxylation is the limiting factor, resulting in a higher overall Δ_{ph}.

The overall discrimination leaves the atmosphere relatively enriched and plants relatively depleted in ^{13}C. C_3 plants are depleted in ^{13}C by approximately $-20‰$ relative to the atmosphere and C_4 by approximately $-4‰$ as they can assimilate $^{13}CO_2$ more efficiently with Rubisco. C_4 photosynthesis is essentially a more complex form of carbon fixation than C_3 photosynthesis as it shields Rubisco in the bundle sheath cells from wastefully binding with oxygen rather than carbon dioxide.

In addition to discrimination effects during photosynthetic uptake, we also need to account for isotopic enrichment of the atmosphere through respiratory release of carbon with a heavier isotopic signature after spending from one year to several decades or more in the plant and soil organic matter. This respiratory part will still enrich the atmosphere with $^{13}CO_2$ even if net CO_2 uptake equals zero (*Ciais et al.*, 1995), and we refer to it as the terrestrial isodisequilibrium flux in Eq. 1.

Discrimination associated with the dissolution of CO_2 in ocean water (*Zhang et al.*, 1995) is much smaller and ~~spatially~~ spatiotemporally homogeneous ($\epsilon_{\text{ao}} = -2‰$) than in the terrestrial biosphere. The difference between ocean and land discrimination provide an additional constraint on the net fluxes has already been demonstrated in previous studies (e.g., *Keeling et al.*, 1989; *Tans et al.*, 1993; *Ciais et al.*, 1995; *Fung et al.*, 1997; *Rayner et al.*, 2008). We also have to account for isotopic disequilibrium that exists between the atmosphere and oceans. This isodisequilibrium flux is associated with the out-gassing of CO_2 from the ocean waters, and has globally an enriching tendency on the δ_{a} signatures.

Besides the land and ocean discrimination and disequilibrium forcing terms we have two additional terms in Eq. 1. Firstly, there are CO_2 emissions due to combustion of fossil fuels, which have a distinct isotopic signature depending on the organic fuel type, but globally its signature is approximately $\delta_{\text{ff}} = -30‰$. Secondly, there are CO_2 emissions due to biomass burning, where δ_{fire} bears the signature of the $^{13}CO_2$ and $^{12}CO_2$ fluxes of F_{fire}, which is typically the signature of burnt leaf foliage, woody tissue and the aboveground litter (*Van der Velde et al.*, 2014).

2.2 CTDAS-C13

We followed the method presented by *Peters et al.* (2005) for designing the joint CO_2 and δ_{a} data assimilation ~~architecture. Similar~~ system. The architecture is similar to the CarbonTracker Data Assimilation Shell (CTDAS) v1.0 discussed in detail by *Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.* (2017). Just like the traditional CO_2-only inversions, we aim to close the CO_2 budget through fluxes from fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, and net exchange fluxes from the terrestrial biosphere and oceans. In addition, we also intend to simultaneously close the $^{13}CO_2$ ($^{13}C_{\text{a}}$) budget using the same set of CO_2 fluxes. Isotopic signatures themselves

are not conserved quantities, therefore we calculate conserved mole fractions of CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ in our transport model, which we can sample at designated locations and time to calculate δ_a. The combined set of balance equations [unit mol per unit time] takes the following form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}C_a = F_{ff} + F_{fire} + \lambda_b N_b + \lambda_o N_o, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\,^{13}C_a = \,^{13}F_{ff} + \,^{13}F_{fire} + \,^{13}N_b + \,^{13}N_o. \tag{4}$$

After some manipulation of Eq. 3 and 4, by following *Tans et al.* (1993), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\,^{13}C_a = {} & F_{ff}R_{ff} + F_{fire}R_{fire} + \lambda_b N_b \left(\lambda_{discr}\epsilon_{ph}/1000 + 1\right)R_a + \lambda_o N_o \left(\epsilon_{ao}/1000 + 1\right)R_a \\ & + D_b + D_o.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The $^{13}C_a$ balance equation is now a close analog of Eq. 1, because $^{13}C_a$ is a function of discrimination, N_b and N_o, and isodisequilibrium fluxes. The R values depict the isotopic ratio of $^{13}CO_2/CO_2$ in the atmosphere (R_a), in fossil fuel (R_{ff}) and biomass burning emissions (R_{fire}), and their values are approximately 0.011. The isodisequilibrium fluxes from land and ocean surfaces are here simply shown as D_b and D_o, respectively. The term $\left(\lambda_{discr}\epsilon_{ph}/1000 + 1\right)$ represents the optimized ratio between the isotopic signature in the photosynthetic flux and atmosphere (R_{ph}/R_a), and ranges between 0.980 and 0.996 depending on the prior ϵ_{ph} and discrimination scaler λ_{discr}. The term $\left(\epsilon_{ao}/1000 + 1\right)$ represents the ocean flux ratio and is held constant at 0.998 assuming $\epsilon_{ao} = -2\%o$, and is not optimized. The parameters λ_b and λ_o represent the linear scaling factors for each week and ecosystem region (ecoregion) to adjust the net carbon exchange over land and ocean surfaces, respectively. For land, the scaling factor is associated with one scalar per ecoregion based on the *Olson* (1985) land use classification following *Peters et al.* (2005, 2007) (Fig. 1). The terrestrial biosphere is further divided into 11 larger geographical areas also known as TransCom regions (*Gurney et al.*, 2002). Like in the early CT releases, each of the 11 TransCom land regions contains a maximum of 19 ecoregion types (Fig. 2) and the ocean is divided into 30 large basins encompassing large-scale ocean circulation features. This gives a maximum of 239 (=11·19+30) different scaling factors each week (*Peters et al.*, 2007). The new parameter is λ_{discr}, which is used to scale a maximum of 209 terrestrial discrimination parameters per week. They are associated with the same 1×1 degree ecoregions as the terrestrial fluxes. Note that the maximum number of scalable land parameters is in reality $\sim$130, and not 209, because not each land region contains all 19 ecoregion types.

The terrestrial net exchange term in Eq. 5 ($\lambda_b N_b \left(\lambda_{discr}\epsilon_{ph}/1000 + 1\right)R_a$) includes two multiplicative scaling factors, making the required solution nonlinear. This poses a potential problem where variations in net exchange and discrimination are cancelling each other out to such a degree that it leads to low signal-to-noise, especially in discrimination. This is further investigated in Section 3.2. The fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, and terrestrial and ocean isodisequilibrium fluxes all remain fixed a priori estimates. We describe in Section 3.1 the tuning of the latter disequilibrium fluxes to close the long-term mean balance of $\delta^{13}C$ in our system.

The scaling factors λ_b, λ_o, and λ_{discr} are the unknowns that are combined in state vector $\mathbf{x}$ (with dimension s), for which we will try to find an optimal solution by minimizing a quadratic cost function. In this function there is a balance between

information drawn from the observation vector $\mathbf{y}$ (with dimension m) with a covariance $\mathbf{R}$ (m $\times$ m) and prior knowledge from the state vector $\mathbf{x}_p$ (s) with a covariance $\mathbf{P}$ (s $\times$ s):

$$J = (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}))^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x})) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p)^T \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p). \tag{6}$$

The observation operator H (m) represents the atmospheric transport model that propagates the surface fluxes from Eqs. 3 and 5 and samples accordingly the mole fractions of CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ at the same location and moment as the observations $\mathbf{y}$. The solution for $\mathbf{x}$ that minimizes J is (*Tarantola*, 2005):

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + \mathbf{K} \cdot [\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}_p)], \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ represents the Kalman gain matrix (*Peters et al.*, 2005). Eq. 7 can be expressed in terms of λ (posterior scaling factor), λ_p (prior scaling factor) and separate measurements of CO_2 (c) and $\delta^{13}C$ (δ) with dimensions (j) and (k), respectively:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\text{bio1}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\text{bio209}} \\ \lambda_{\text{oce210}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\text{oce239}} \\ \lambda_{\text{discr240}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\text{discr448}} \end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{p\text{bio1}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{bio209}} \\ \lambda_{p\text{oce210}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{oce239}} \\ \lambda_{p\text{discr240}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{discr448}} \end{pmatrix}
+ \mathbf{K} \cdot \left[\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ \vdots \\ c_j \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_k \end{pmatrix} - H \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{p\text{bio1}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{bio209}} \\ \lambda_{p\text{oce210}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{oce239}} \\ \lambda_{p\text{discr240}} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p\text{discr448}} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \tag{8}
$$

In state vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}_p$ the scaling factors for terrestrial discrimination are appended after the flux scaling factors. Similarly, in the observation vectors $\mathbf{y}$ and $H(\mathbf{x}_p)$ the $\delta^{13}C$ observations are appended after the CO_2 observations. The $\mathbf{K}$ matrix determines how much a scaling factor needs to change given a set of CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ measurements. The matrices $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ modulate whether observations or bottom-up estimates are given more weight to the solution.

The $\mathbf{P}$ matrix contains 448 $\times$ 448 elements in total and is shown in Fig. 3. The first 209 $\times$ 209 element block contains the land flux uncertainties per ecoregion and their spatial correlations. The second 30 $\times$ 30 element block contains the ocean flux uncertainties per ocean basin. We gave the land scalars and the ocean scalars a maximum uncertainty of 80 % and 100 % along the diagonal, respectively as in earlier CarbonTracker releases. The third 209 $\times$ 209 element block contains the terrestrial discrimination scalars with a maximum uncertainty of 20 % along the diagonal with an identical spatial correlation structure as applied to the terrestrial flux uncertainty scalars. This implies that we can scale ϵ_{ph} by a factor of 1.0 $\pm$ 0.2, and thus for a typical C_3 plant ($\epsilon_{\text{ph}}=-20$‰) the mean and uncertainty lies around -20 ± 4‰. Furthermore, there is covariation between ecoregions of nearby TransCom regions, e.g., between North America boreal and temperate regions, and between Europe and Eurasian regions. We did not allow covariances between net exchange and discrimination in order to give the parameters enough freedom in the solution.

The covariance structure of $\mathbf{R}$ is similar to CO_2-only CTDAS, but is extended with additional uncertainties in $\delta^{13}C$ observations. These expected uncertainties quantify our ability to simulate observations given the uncertainty in atmospheric transport modeling and measurement errors. Section 2.3.5 gives an overview of the used uncertainties for each observation category.

With this inversion framework in place CTDAS-C13 progresses in a similar manner as the traditional CO_2-only CTDAS. For each week the set of unknowns in the state vector are updated in a cycle that contains two steps. First there is a forecast step, which is driven by our fluxes and current background state vector $\mathbf{x}_p$ to forecast an ensemble of CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ mole fractions 5 weeks ahead in time. This is followed by an analysis step to determine the new state of the system with Eq. 8 such that it is consistent with the observations for the current week of the cycle. The analyzed state is propagated to the next cycle using the same model as *Peters et al.* (2007, Eq. 1 of Supp. Material), and with this new state a new cycle begins with another forecast step to forecast a new ensemble of the background state 5 weeks ahead in time, now with an additional set of observations from a new week. The ensemble for each tracer is created from 150 ensemble members to provide a Gaussian probability density function of the state vector.

The simulation of atmospheric transport is provided by the two-way nested global transport model TM5 release 3 (*Krol et al.*, 2005). This application simulates the atmospheric transport of CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ at a global 6×4 degree resolution, with no nesting. It is driven by 3-hourly meteorological output from ECMWF ERA-interim reanalysis (*Dee et al.*, 2011). All the CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ flux fields provided to the model are in units of $mol\, CO_2\, m^{-2}\, s^{-1}$ and $mol\, ^{13}CO_2\, m^{-2}\, s^{-1}$, respectively. Atmospheric concentrations of CO_2 and $^{13}CO_2$ are calculated as mole fractions in $mol\, mol^{-1}$. Signatures of $\delta^{13}C$ are computed to the relative per mil value using the following conversion formulation in order to facilitate comparison with observations:

$$\delta^{13}C = \left(\frac{R}{R_{\text{ref}}} - 1 \right) \cdot 1000, \tag{9}$$

where R_{ref} is the VPDB reference ratio adopted for $^{13}CO_2/(^{12}CO_2 + ^{13}CO_2)$, which is 0.011112 (*Tans et al.*, 1993). R is the ratio of simulated mole fractions $^{13}CO_2/CO_2$.

2.3 Prior estimates and observations

2.3.1 Terrestrial biosphere fluxes

The terrestrial first-guess net CO_2 exchange (N_b) and fire (F_{fire}) estimates were calculated in the Simple-Biosphere Carnegie-Ames Stanford Approach model (SiBCASA, *Schaefer et al.*, 2008) on a 1×1 degree grid on a $10\, min$ time resolution and were further processed into 3-hourly mean fluxes to serve as input for CTDAS-C13. SiBCASA is a biogeochemical model that calculates carbon, isotope, water, and energy exchange fluxes. ~~It is driven by data~~ The model inherited the aerodynamic and surface resistance models from SiB (*Sellers et al.*, 1996) to solve for CO_2 partial pressures in an iterative loop to acquire a balance between net assimilation rate, stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance and CO_2 partial pressures. The aerodynamic resistance model describes the turbulent transfer processes using the Monin-Obuhkov similarity theory. The surface and interior resistance models describe the pathway of CO_2 (but also water and heat) through the leaf boundary, the leaf stomata and ultimately the leaf chloroplasts. The Ball-Berry-Collatz model is used to estimate stomatal conductance (*Ball*, 1988; *Collatz et al.*, 1991) and is coupled to the Farquhar and Collatz photosynthesis models for C_3 and C_4 vegetation (*Farquhar et al.*, 1980; *Collatz et al.*, 1992) . A mesophyll conductance formulation was introduced by *Suits et al.* (2005) to predict realistic CO_2 partial pressures in the

chloroplasts. Mesophyll conductance is suggested to be as important as stomatal conductance in terms of magnitude and variability, and it is shown that $\delta^{13}C$ correlate more precisely with c_c/c_a than with c_i/c_a (*Flexas et al.*, 2008) . It is parameterized as a function of the canopy photosynthetic rate and soil water stress factor. SiBCASA is driven by 3-hourly ECMWF ERA-interim meteorology, designed with a semi prognostic leaf pool to track seasonal plant phenology, and it uses GFED4 daily burned area disturbances to calculate fire fluxes at a fine temporal resolution (*Van der Velde et al.*, 2013, 2014). The model incorporates ~~C_3 and C_4 plant types with their own photosynthesis calculations and~~ 12 different aggregated ecosystems according to *Olson* (1985) to calculate photosynthesis. Respiratory CO_2 release from the plant and soil is calculated in the CASA part of the model using 13 biogeochemical pools with environment-influenced turnover rates (*Schaefer et al.*, 2008).

2.3.2 Ocean fluxes

The ocean first-guess net CO_2 exchange (N_o) estimates derive from ocean inversions from *Jacobson et al.* (2007). These long term estimates are combined with the quadratic gas-transfer velocity from 3-hourly ECMWF ERA-interim wind fields (*Wanninkhof*, 1992) to create fluxes on a 1×1 degree grid at a 3-hourly temporal resolution. An additional trend was applied to the fluxes to ensure that increases in anthropogenic uptake are proportional to increases to atmospheric CO_2 levels. (see: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker)

2.3.3 Fossil fuel emissions

Fossil fuel CO_2 emissions (F_{ff}) were made available on 1×1 degree grid at a monthly temporal resolution. They are derived from a combination of databases: EDGAR4.2, CDIAC, and BP statistics. (see: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker)

2.3.4 Isotope and disequilibrium fluxes

To calculate the fluxes of $^{13}CO_2$ from land surfaces we used the photosynthetic discrimination parameterization (Eq. 2) for C_3 plants in the SiBCASA model (*Van der Velde et al.*, 2014). The weighted leaf level value for C_3 discrimination is typically 19.0‰, and given the more efficient CO_2 bonding with the Rubisco enzyme C_4 discrimination is 4.4‰ (*Still et al.*, 2003; *Suits et al.*, 2005). Given the dominance of C_3 plant growth (70 % of global GPP) the global mean discrimination in SiBCASA has been estimated at $\Delta_{ph} = 15.2$‰. SiBCASA's spatial heterogeneity of land discrimination is shown in Fig. 4. It reflects the land use distribution and the environmental forcing. Large discrimination values can be found in the temperate regions, the boreal forests, and in the humid environments such as the tropical rain forests in South America, Africa and South East Asia. Small discrimination values can be found in the United States corn belt and in the dry climate regions such as the African savannas and Australian grasslands, where there is abundance of C_4 plant growth. More subtle variations in Δ_{ph} in C_3 dominant regions are driven by differences in environmental conditions (e.g., humidity, groundwater availability, and light intensity). Weekly 1 $\times$ 1 degree fields for Δ_{ph} were used to map the regular 3-hourly net CO_2 fluxes to $^{13}CO_2$ fluxes:

$$[\text{terrestrial net } ^{13}C \text{ exchange term}] = \lambda_b N_b \left(\lambda_{discr} \epsilon_{ph}/1000 + 1 \right) R_a, \tag{10}$$

where ϵ_{ph} is derived from SiBCASA's Δ_{ph} output. Their relation is straightforward:

$$\epsilon_{\mathrm{ph}} = -\Delta_{\mathrm{ph}} \tag{11}$$

For the calculation of $^{13}CO_2$ biomass burning flux we assumed R_{fire} to be very close to the signature of newly assimilated photosynthates, i.e.:

$$^{13}F_{\mathrm{fire}} = F_{\mathrm{fire}} \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{discr}} \epsilon_{\mathrm{ph}}/1000 + 1 \right) R_{\mathrm{a}}. \tag{12}$$

The $^{13}CO_2$ fossil fuel emissions are calculated with $R_{\mathrm{ff}} = 0.0107786$, given that the global mean value of δ_{ff} is equal to $-30\,‰$:

$$^{13}F_{\mathrm{ff}} = F_{\mathrm{ff}} R_{\mathrm{ff}}. \tag{13}$$

Note that we did not vary δ_{ff} for different fuel types in this version of CTDAS-C13, but such variability could be included in the future based on the work of *Andres et al.* (2000).

The ocean discrimination parameter ϵ_{ao} is assumed to be constant at $-2\,‰$, as in many comparable studies (e.g., *Tans et al.*, 1993; *Ciais et al.*, 1995; *Alden et al.*, 2010), and is not optimized. The regular 3-hourly net CO_2 fluxes were mapped to $^{13}CO_2$ fluxes:

$$[\text{ocean net } ^{13}\text{C exchange term}] = \lambda_{\mathrm{o}} N_{\mathrm{o}} \left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{ao}}/1000 + 1 \right) R_{\mathrm{a}}, \tag{14}$$

The isodisequilibrium fluxes (D_{b} and D_{o}, in mol $^{13}CO_2$ m^{-2} s^{-1}) were made available on a monthly 1×1 degree resolution. D_{b} is calculated using SiBCASA's gross natural respiratory flux scaled with isotopic disequilibrium of the terrestrial biosphere with the current atmosphere, i.e., $F_{\mathrm{ba}} (\delta_{\mathrm{b}} - \delta_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{eq}})$. Because fossil fuel emissions add isotopically depleted CO_2 to the atmosphere, the biosphere signature δ_{b} follows with a time lag dependent on the residence time of carbon in the vegetation and soils. That implies δ_{b} is larger than $\delta_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{eq}}$, which is the biosphere signature that is in equilibrium with the current atmosphere (*Tans et al.*, 1993). D_{b} has a positive tendency on atmospheric δ^{13}C as carbon originating from different SiBCASA pools is older and more enriched in ^{13}C than the isotopic signature of recently fixed photosynthates. The SiBCASA pool configuration is described in detail in *Van der Velde et al.* (2014).

D_{o} is calculated from the out-gassing flux of CO_2 scaled with the isotopic disequilibrium of the ocean surface with the current atmosphere, i.e., $F_{\mathrm{oa}} (\delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{eq}} - \delta_{\mathrm{a}})$. The $\delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ term is determined from a global network of δ^{13}C measurements in dissolved inorganic carbon (*Gruber et al.*, 1999). F_{oa} is parameterized as a function of surface ocean partial pressure of CO_2 and windspeed after *Takahashi et al.* (2009). Windspeed and solubility are assumed to remain constant year-to-year. The disequilibrium fluxes are positive from the equator to approximately 60 degrees of latitude in both directions and are negative beyond that.

2.3.5 Observations

Observations of CO_2 from a wide range of research laboratories are bundled in Observation Package (ObsPack) version 1.0.3 and observations of δ^{13}C from the INSTAAR Stable Isotope Lab are bundled in version 1.0.0. These are data products that include the provider's original data and metadata reformatted into the ObsPack framework (*Masarie et al.*, 2014).

5   From the available CO_2 observations, approximately 24,000 weekly flask measurements were used in the assimilation from a fixed network of 58 surface sites. Another large set of 174,000 measurements came from 23 semi-continuous in-situ sites. Most CO_2 measurements are obtained with a nominal precision of ± 0.1 ppm. The remainder of sites and measurements (including from aircraft or shipboard) were not used because of double records, and some measurements were kept for independent checks. A small fraction was omitted as our model could not resolve certain locations at a coarse resolution.

10   For the ~~multi-species~~ dual-species inversions we also used 22,000 flask measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ from 53 different surface sites. A further 5,600 measurements from five different sites were obtained using programmable flask packages (PFP), which measure $\delta^{13}C$ at a daily resolution. The isotope ratios are measured by dual inlet mass-spectrometry with a precision of $\pm 0.01\%$.

We determined observation uncertainties (model-data mismatch, or MDM) for each of the $\delta^{13}C$ measurement sites in
15   a heuristic manner based on earlier test inversions. These values are added to the diagonal of $\mathbf{R}$. A too small error would give an unrealistic amount of confidence how well the model is expected to represent the measurement location during sampling but a too large error we would give very little confidence to the measurement representation.

The $\delta^{13}C$ measurement sites were divided into different categories each with their own MDM value. As with CO_2 these categories were: land, mixed conditions, marine boundary layer (MBL), deep Southern ~~hemisphere~~Hemisphere, and a special
20   category for problem sites where forecast performance is poor. For each site we determined the innovation statistic χ^2, which is a measure for how apt our applied uncertainty level is given the model-data fit. A χ^2 value of 1.0 indicates that the simulated and expected total uncertainty are equal, lower values indicate overestimation of the uncertainty, and higher values underestimation. Table 1 gives a summary of the site categories used, together with the assigned MDM for $\delta^{13}C$ and the category-average innovation χ^2 determined from an inversion experiment. For the majority of sites the innovation values are between 0.7 and
25   1.3, i.e., around the ideal value of 1.0. For the CO_2 measurement sites we used a similar set of MDM values as in previous CarbonTracker releases.

2.4   Experiments

We performed four inversion experiments as summarized in Table 2. The simulation period covered the years 2000 through 2011, but our analyses focused on the period 2001-2011, i.e., we omitted the spinup year. As a benchmark we performed
30   a traditional inversion to estimate the net carbon exchange fluxes of the ocean and land using only CO_2 observations, which we call $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$. For the second inversion we added $\delta^{13}C$ observations alongside CO_2 to constrain only the exchange fluxes, therefore we call this experiment $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2C13}$. The experiment in which we estimated discrimination and fluxes simultaneously is called $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$. This inversion is nonlinear because the discrimination scaling parameter is in the same multiplication term as the net flux scaling parameter. The fourth experiment was a linear inversion experiment where we estimated only the land discrimination parameter using $\delta^{13}C$ data. We call this experiment $\mathrm{NEW-2STEP}$ because discrimi-
5   nation was solved in a second step after optimization of the net exchange fluxes. That means that ocean and land fluxes were derived from the optimized state vector and its covariance from the $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion.

3 Results

3.1 Comparison to observations of CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ from the global network

We first evaluate the global CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ budgets simulated by our combination of fluxes as described in Section 2, to
assess where we expect the largest changes in the optimization. As shown in Fig. 5a, the prior net exchange flux estimates and
unscaled disequilibrium fluxes were not large enough to close the gap with the observed tracers, CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$. The sum of the
flux arrows overestimated the annual CO_2 growth rate along the x-axis and overestimated $\delta^{13}C$ depletion along the y-axis. In
a traditional $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion the estimated ocean and land fluxes closed the CO_2 budget along the x-axis. The leverage
in the net exchange fluxes was however not large enough to close the $\delta^{13}C$ budget along the y-axis as well. In an inversion that
includes $\delta^{13}C$ observations, the gap in $\delta^{13}C$ would adjust the CO_2 flux magnitudes and ocean/land partitioning to unrealistic
magnitudes in an effort to overcome the large offset between the simulated and observed $\delta^{13}C$ growth rate. Instead we chose to
use scaled disequilibrium fluxes in our inversions in order to estimate land and ocean CO_2 flux magnitudes that remain close
to the results of other traditional carbon cycle budgeting studies (*Alden et al.*, 2010; *Van der Velde et al.*, 2013). We chose the
disequilibrium fluxes to adjust because (1) the exact magnitudes of these terms are still unknown due to uncertainties in the
carbon pool turnover, gross carbon fluxes and isotopic discrimination, and (2) these terms do not affect the CO_2 mass balance.
It assured a closed mean $\delta^{13}C$ budget of our inversions without creating unrealistic carbon sinks over land and oceans (Fig.
5b). Most importantly, closing the climatological (11-year) budget allowed us to focus our study on interannual changes in the
net fluxes and photosynthetic discrimination.

We obtained the best fit with $\delta^{13}C$ data when the land and ocean disequilibrium flux were scaled by a factor of 1.2 without
changing either their spatial patterns or time trends. This is consistent with recent double deconvolution studies where the
global $\delta^{13}C$ balance was closed with a factor of 1.3 in land and ocean disequilibrium (*Alden et al.*, 2010). Our value was
determined after assessing an ensemble of different sets of scaling numbers (ranging from 1.1 to 1.5) in a forward TM5
simulation, which was driven by the optimized net land and ocean flux estimates from the $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ experiment. This
assured a closed multi-year $\delta^{13}C$ budget together with a closed multi-year CO_2 budget. As selection criteria we used (1)
the 11-year mean Root-Mean-Square-Difference (RMSD) of a large selection of $\delta^{13}C$ sites and (2) the average bias between
simulated and observed values. In the non-scaled disequilibrium simulation we obtained a RMSD of 0.165‰ and a bias of
-0.110‰ averaged over all sites. The optimal result was obtained with a scaling factor of 1.2, which reduced the RMSD to
0.079‰ and the mean bias to -0.010‰. Note that these scaling factors cannot be applied to other inversion studies because
the disequilibrium scaling factors are tuned for this particular system and time period.

To demonstrate our procedure in terms of individual data sets, we refer to Fig. 6. After scaling the disequilibrium fluxes
and using optimized net carbon exchange from the $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion, time series of $\delta^{13}C$ at 32 of the 46 Northern
~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere sites showed no remaining significant trend (sites where p-value > 0.05) in the summer residuals, and
the residuals from the trend lines were within or close to the MDM specified for our ~~multi-species~~ dual-species inversions.
Some of the sites with remaining trends are located at great distances from large continental carbon sources and sinks, and
exert little influence on the posterior λ_{discr} parameter (e.g., CHR, GMI). Some of the other sites were assigned a large MDM

(e.g., BAL, NWR, TAP) giving them less weight in the estimation of the posterior λ_{discr} parameter. The collection of sites with remaining trends do not seem to have a systematic geographic pattern and are likely reflecting a change in local oceanic or biospheric isotope exchange, such as must be the case for the Bermuda West (BMW, non-significant positive trend) and Bermuda East (BME, significant downward trend) site.

10   With the long-term trend of δ^{13}C appropriately captured, we proceeded to optimize NEE and Δ_{ph} with our new framework (NEW$-$CO2C13). We show that this inversion further reduced δ^{13}C residuals (Fig. 7a), without compromising (nor strongly improving) the fit to CO_2 (Fig. 7b) that we attained from the TRAD$-$CO2 inversion. In Fig. 7a the ratio of δ^{13}C RMSD of NEW$-$CO2C13 to δ^{13}C RMSD of the TRAD$-$CO2 inversions was at most sites smaller or equal to 0.95 (indicating a significantly higher accuracy of NEW$-$CO2C13 in form of bias and noise reduction):

$$\frac{\delta^{13}\text{C RMSD (NEW}-\text{CO2C13)}}{\delta^{13}\text{C RMSD (TRAD}-\text{CO2)}} < 0.95$$

15

In Fig. 7b, the ratio of CO_2 RMSD of NEW$-$CO2C13 to CO_2 RMSD of TRAD$-$CO2 was at most locations between 0.95 and 1.05. This suggests that the two atmospheric constraints applied are complementary, and there is no indication that the TRAD$-$CO2 results from CarbonTracker were inconsistent with δ^{13}C measurements. This is an important prerequisite for a credible estimate of discrimination in our system. Furthermore, Fig. 7a shows a notable latitudinal divide in the reduction

20   of δ^{13}C RMSD, indicating the utility of NEW$-$CO2C13 in the Northern ~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere due to the large availability of measurements and scalable discrimination parameters.

At sites like Alert (Nunavut, Canada) the NEW$-$CO2C13 inversion provided a better fit to the measured data than the TRAD$-$CO2 inversion (Fig. 8). The 11-year averaged δ^{13}C residuals were close to zero for both inversions, as the disequilibrium flux was tuned specifically to prevent large residuals in a-priori simulated δ^{13}C as described in Section 3.1. The 1σ stan-

25   dard deviation of the δ^{13}C residuals at Alert were smaller in the NEW$-$CO2C13 inversion in comparison to TRAD$-$CO2, due to the additional optimization of Δ_{ph} alongside net exchange fluxes. The CO_2 residuals for Alert in Fig. 8 were for both inversions almost identical.

3.2   Linear and nonlinear estimates of net carbon uptake and land discrimination

Simultaneously optimizing both λ_{discr} and λ_{bio} is inherently nonlinear and thus possibly problematic for our assimilation

30   system, therefore we tested the validity of our approach in the NEW$-$CO2C13 inversion. We hypothesized that a region's net carbon uptake and discrimination would change in a similar fashion in the nonlinear inversion, as it would for a linear inversion. The linear inversion experiment consisted of two consecutive steps: (1) the optimization of the net exchange fluxes using only CO_2 observations (TRAD$-$CO2) followed by (2) the estimation of the land discrimination parameter using only δ^{13}C observations (NEW$-$2STEP). In the nonlinear NEW$-$CO2C13 inversion the optimization of fluxes and discrimination was done simultaneously. For net carbon uptake by vegetation we refer to Net Ecosystem Exchange, or NEE, defined as positive when CO_2 is taken up from the atmosphere. For plant isotope discrimination we refer to Δ_{ph} in per mil, which is defined as

5   positive.

As shown in Fig. 9 the 11-year mean NEE for the 11 land TransCom regions are very similar in the nonlinear $NEW-CO2C13$ and linear $TRAD-CO2$ inversions. Deviations are in the order of tens of teragrams, and within 1σ standard deviation of the flux interannual variability (IAV). Fig. 9 also shows the impact of C_4 photosynthesis on the mean TransCom aggregated Δ_{ph} values. In the boreal regions, where there is very little C_4 plant growth, the discrimination is at its maximum (approximately

10 $20\,\permil$, $5\,\permil$ above the global average), but in regions where there is C_4 plant growth (e.g., due to agriculture in the United States or savannas in Africa) the mean Δ_{ph} values are lower (approximately 12-15$\permil$). These regional patterns of Δ_{ph} imposed by SiBCASA (see also Fig. 4) are maintained by the $NEW-CO2C13$ inversion framework. Because we aimed to retrieve robust temporal patterns of IAV, the most relevant indicators for the robustness of our nonlinear inversion approach are given by the correlation coefficients (r) between the two types of inversions. We calculated r for NEE and Δ_{ph} between the linear and non-

15 linear inversions. As the seasonal cycles in uptake and discrimination are largely dictated by the prior estimates, we removed them using a 3-month boxcar mean smooth curve fitting to obtain the anomalies relative to the seasonal trend. The NEE in $NEW-CO2C13$ is very similar to the NEE in $TRAD-CO2$, as indicated by the high r-values (>0.96 for N=52·11 weeks) for all TransCom regions. The r values are lower for Δ_{ph}, but still exceed 0.75 in the Northern ~~hemisphere~~Hemisphere. The correlation is particularly high over North America Boreal, North America Temperate, and European regions. Smaller corre-

20 lations are obtained in Tropical and Temperate South America and Tropical Asia. This is expected, however, as these regions typically suffer from a lack of observational constraints.

The linear $NEW-2STEP$ inversion estimated the same large increase in discrimination IAV as in the nonlinear $NEW-CO2C13$ inversion for the Northern ~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere in comparison to the first-guess estimate of SiBCASA (8-fold increase in standard deviation, see Table 3). In addition, we also found in both inversions a strong positive correlation between Δ_{ph}

25 and NEE on annual time scales ($r = 0.79$, ~~N=9,~~) with a significant slope (p=0.001, 95 % confidence interval of a two-sided distribution with 9 degrees of freedom). In years when annual mean NEE is low (less carbon uptake) the Δ_{ph} is low too (less discrimination), implying that stomata have partially closed, and vice versa. This correlation did not emerge in the $TRAD-CO2$ estimate based on atmospheric CO_2 observations alone, and it also did not emerge if $\delta^{13}C$ observations were additionally used in the $TRAD-CO2C13$ estimate, to estimate NEE but not Δ_{ph}. The SiBCASA terrestrial biosphere model that provides the

30 first-guess NEE and Δ_{ph} of our data assimilation framework based on commonly used drought response parameterizations, simulated neither the large IAV in NEE and Δ_{ph} nor their strong correlation. It is evident from the $NEW-2STEP$ inversion that changes in Δ_{ph} and the correlation with NEE were driven by $\delta^{13}C$ observations, and were not a symptom of the systems inability to separately estimate NEE and Δ_{ph} variations. This suggests that the estimated IAV of Δ_{ph} in the nonlinear inversion is truly a signal retrieved from $\delta^{13}C$ that would otherwise be aliased erroneously into the carbon fluxes or not retrieved at all.

3.3 Independent verification with drought indices

A closer inspection reveals that the reported correlation between the Northern ~~hemisphere~~Hemisphere's NEE and Δ_{ph} in Table

5 3 could indicate a moisture driven response at ecosystem level. We identified several moments of severe to extreme drought as characterized by a Standardized Precipitation and Evaporation Index (SPEI, *Vicente-Serrano et al.*, 2010) below -1.0 that covered an extensive area of more than a million km^2 in United States. These droughts are described in literature as the droughts

(or heat waves) of summer 2002 (*Seager*, 2010; *Schwalm et al.*, 2012) and 2011 (*Long et al.*, 2013). The annual averaged maps of SPEI for 2001-2011 are shown in the top panel of Fig. 10 calculated for the Northern American Temperate TransCom

10 domain. Independent of the SPEI drought index, we estimated changes in Δ_{ph} and NEE over the same American domain with the $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ inversion using atmospheric CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ data (Fig. 10, middle and lower panels). A correlation between Δ_{ph} and SPEI could only be established by applying an area weighting function to the SPEI index to give years that experienced large and severe droughts the strongest association with reductions in Δ_{ph}. We used the following function for the Weighted Drought Index (WDI):

15 $$\mathrm{WDI} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}\left(\mathrm{SPEI[i]} \cdot \mathrm{Gridcell\text{-}area[i]}\right)}{\mathrm{Total\text{-}area}}.$$

In words, we sum over the product of the SPEI index and the grid cell surface area where SPEI is below -1.0 and subsequently we divide it by the total area of the TransCom domain. Hence, the WDI is an expression the drought in terms of the surface area that is affected. A larger drought surface area will result in a more negative WDI. Using this function we see that the lower values for Δ_{ph} correspond strongly with years of low SPEI over large serried areas, indicating a temporal correlation of r=+0.75

20 between the SPEI variable and Δ_{ph} (see correlation in Fig. 11 : with a significant slope: p=0.008, 95 % confidence interval of a two-sided distribution with 9 degrees of freedom, p=0.008, r=+0.75). The two largest anomalies ($> 1\sigma$ of 11-year IAV) in annual mean Δ_{ph} correspond with low SPEI in 2002 and in 2011. A third notable drought as recorded in SPEI happened in 2006, and although carbon uptake was reduced, it did not amount to a significant signal in Δ_{ph}. Similar correlations do exist over other parts of the Northern hemisphere Hemisphere in our inversion solution. For instance, severe droughts in Western

25 Europe (2003) and Russia (2010) lowered the discrimination by 1.0‰ ‰o, and exceeded more than 1σ standard deviation of its 11-year IAV (not shown).

In addition, in years when Δ_{ph} is low, the annual mean NEE tends to be low too, possibly as a result of reduced GPP. This implies that leaf stomata have partially closed and therefore affecting both Δ_{ph} and carbon uptake from photosynthesis. The reduction of the optimized net carbon sink for North America is 100-400 $\mathrm{Tg\,C\,yr^{-1}}$ during the drought years of 2002, 2006

30 and 2011 (in comparison to their surrounding years).

These correlations that are averaged over continent sized areas do however breakdown on smaller scales. At regional scales we observed a partial misallocation of the model adjustments of NEE and Δ_{ph} in comparison to SPEI. This is largely a consequence of our limited capacity to monitor CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$. For example, for North America Temperate 2002, where the drought index was negative over the mountain states, the impact on the carbon cycle was strongest over the eastern forests of the United States. In these forest ecosystems CO_2 exchange is much stronger than over the mountains, and hence their impact on atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ as well.

5   Notice that the prior net carbon sink is underestimated in comparison to the optimization because SiBCASA assumes a near steady state between between GPP and TER (Fig. 10). SiBCASA was in fact able to simulate small carbon uptake anomalies during the reported droughts using its own environmental response parameterizations. However, it lacked substantial amount of

interannual variability in NEE and Δ_{ph} nor a strong correlation of Δ_{ph} with SPEI (Fig. 11). This suggests a potential absence of an important coupling between the hydrology and carbon discrimination processes in the model.

4 Discussion and conclusions

We developed a new application of the CarbonTracker data assimilation system that simulates two atmospheric tracers simultaneously: CO_2 and the $\delta^{13}C$ isotope signature of CO_2. We used measurements of both tracers to optimize the net ocean and land carbon exchange fluxes and the land discrimination parameter Δ_{ph}. The annual reductions in Δ_{ph} were up 0.75‰ and exceeded the 1σ standard deviation of the IAV over 11 years in the North American domain ($16.4\pm0.3‰$). We interpret these negative anomalies in Δ_{ph} as possible reductions of the intercellular CO_2 levels and relative increases of the intercellular $^{13}CO_2/^{12}CO_2$ ratio, resulting from stomatal closure due to drought stress at the leaf level. This is the most plausible explanation as most other factors that affect Δ_{ph} either (a) are included a-priori in SiBCASA biosphere model, such as the effects of IAV in strength of photosynthesis over C_3 and C_4 vegetation, or the variations in mesophyll conductance are (b) not expected to vary much from year-to-year, such as ecosystem composition, or (c) would enhance the intercellular CO_2 levels (and thus Δ_{ph}) rather than reduce it, such as increased radiance of the leaves under reduced cloud cover. This suggest the possibility that the impact of environmental stress on stomatal conductance and carbon uptake is much larger than currently simulated by the widely used drought parameterizations in terrestrial biosphere models. These parameterizations are often derived from laboratory observations or plot-scale observations that often aggregate poorly over much larger scales. Our first results suggest that a data assimilation system that uses the global atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ record, in concert with the CO_2 record, can offer new insights on large-scale drought dynamics of the coupled vegetation-atmosphere system.

It is unlikely our terrestrial biosphere model will reproduce the new large-scale atmospheric constraints on NEE and Δ_{ph} with a simple adjustment of the currently used drought response parameterizations (such as stomatal conductance and soil water stress inhibition functions). We experimented with a different stomatal conductance model based on vapor pressure deficit (VPD, *Leuning*, 1995) rather than relative humidity as it was shown to better predict changes of the isotopic composition in tree rings (*Ballantyne et al.*, 2010). This modification however did not change the annual covariation between NEE and Δ_{ph} in SiBCASA. In addition, modifications in the soil water stress function of SiBCASA, which impacts Δ_{ph} through mesophyll conductance (*Seibt et al.*, 2008) also had little impact on annual variations in Δ_{ph}. Instead, SiBCASA shows minimal dynamic range in the hydrological drivers of drought stress. This ~~agrees with a separate assessment of the SiBCASA model with~~ was also concluded using satellite observed soil moisture ~~in SiBCASA~~ over Boreal EurAsia (*Van der Molen et al.*, 2016)~~, and could have a number of causes. This includes ~~. That means our model is potentially (a) ~~a too homogenous spatial domain with only one biome type, one soil type, and one soil moisture reservoir per 1×1 degree grid cell~~too homogenous regarding its plant and soil characteristics, (b) ~~its hydrological scheme with relatively simple~~ suffering from a too simple hydrological formulation for run-off and interception~~formulations~~, (c) ~~a lack of~~ lacking realism in simulating the latency of ecosystem recovery after a severe drought, ~~or~~ (d) ~~even a possible misrepresentation of the~~ missrepresenting effects of root-zone soil moisture stress, as was also diagnosed for the Amazon by *Harper et al.* (2010) for the closely related SiB model~~.~~, or (e)

16

suffing from even a more fundamental problem inside the A-gs model where c_i/c_a and c_c/c_a are calculated. In SiBCASA the soil moisture limitations are applied by first downscaling assimilation rate (A), vmax, and mesophyll conductance, after which the balance is calculated between A, stomatal conductance (g_s), and c_c/c_a. In *Egea et al.* (2011) this approach was shown to conserve incorrectly intrinsic water-use efficiency (iWUE = A/g_s) and Δ_{ph} during droughts. However, initial tests show that a direct coupling of soil moisture stress to g_s would affect SiBCASA's iWUE (and Δ_{ph}) much stronger and more favorable during droughts (E. van Schaik, personal communication, 2017). The lack of variability in simulated atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ found in *Van der Velde et al.* (2013) could well be (partially) ascribed to the lack of sensitivity towards soil moisture stress in SiBCASA. There is also evidence that the conventional use of land cover types in biosphere models does not adequately describe the spatial variations of carbon exchange (*Bloom et al.*, 2016).

As with any data assimilation system, the number of available observations largely determines the assimilation system's ability to retrieve meaningful signals. Our current method relies on atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ anomalies that affect multiple monitoring sites at the same time due to low signal-to-noise at each site, but the network coverage over many parts of the world is still sparse. The increase of number of measurement sites, and the addition of $\delta^{13}C$ to many existing ones, particularly in sparsely populated areas could benefit CTDAS-C13 greatly. New measurement efforts are currently underway to improve our observational coverage in these sparsely sampled areas. Regular measurements of CO_2 from aircraft vertical profiles have recently commenced at four different sites above the Amazon. These data have provided new insights on the carbon cycle under drought conditions (*Gatti et al.*, 2014). These new measurements were successfully used in an application of CTDAS (*Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2015) and confirmed that the Amazonian CO_2 uptake by vegetation was indeed reduced during the severe 2010 drought. Furthermore, some coauthors are currently involved in a new collaborative effort to provide the first high-precision measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ and other isotopes in CO_2 from a large number of air samples collected over the Amazon basin. Using an assimilation system similar to that described here, these data would bolster our ability to quantify seasonal to interannual changes in the Amazonian carbon balance and better understand the influence of drought stress on NEE.

The retrieved correlation between NEE and Δ_{ph} in the Northern ~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere was derived from atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ observations through our new ~~multi-species~~ dual-species approach, and thereby provided new insights on the land-atmosphere coupling of water and carbon on continental and hemispheric scales. The unconstrained SiBCASA model does not show a large enough response to drought both in terms of NEE and Δ_{ph}. The correlation between droughts and Δ_{ph} over the North American Temperate domain (Fig. 10) can only be demonstrated after optimizing NEE and Δ_{ph} by applying atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$ and CO_2 constraints together. We emphasize that the reported correlations remain robust and significant even when changing the atmospheric transport characteristics (i.e., convection fields from ECMWF ERA-Interim meteorology vs. default TM5 convection scheme), the optimization method (nonlinear vs. linear 2-step), and when changing the assumed model-data errors of our data assimilation system.

A potential problem with the current framework is that we cannot account for changes in the terrestrial isodisequilibrium flux. In Eq. 1, we forced all missing isotopic variability into term $N_b\epsilon_{ph}$ without considering additional variability from the isodisequilibrium term. Photosynthetic discrimination is also responsible for a portion of the variability in the terrestrial isodisequilibrium flux (*Van der Velde et al.*, 2013), but the extent is hard to quantify. The δ_b^{eq} signature (i.e., the biosphere

17

signature that is in equilibrium with the atmosphere) is a function of the current δ_a and Δ_{ph}, two quantities that ultimately exert influence on δ_b as the isotopic signal carries through the series of carbon reservoirs (i.e., leaves, stems, roots, and ultimately the soils). The absence of direct adjustments to the disequilibrium flux could mean we aliased erroneously isotopic signals only onto the net flux term of the budget. In light of recent observational evidence, the variability of disequilibrium term might be of more importance than recently thought. *Bowling et al.* (2014) showed with δ_a measurements that the disequilibrium flux can become negative locally due to humidity induced changes in Δ_{ph}. We found that these effects on the Δ_{ph} estimate are likely small mainly because gross flux variability is fundamentally limited and dampened by the large reservoir sizes from which it comes. In an experiment with SiBCASA where we allowed extra uncertainty in respiration and Δ_{ph} to drive through the disequilibrium isoflux we found indeed an increase in variability in the disequilibrium term, necessitating 10 % less Δ_{ph} variability to keep a closed δ^{13}C budget. It indicates that allowing for errors in the disequilibrium fluxes the variations in the estimated Δ_{ph} parameter might be slightly smaller or larger than estimated with CTDAS-C13, but nonetheless still twice as large than estimated with SiBCASA. Using a more simplified but physically consistent set of equations only based on gross fluxes (GPP and TER) to express the rate of change of δ_a would eliminate the need for a disequilibrium term. This would on the other hand complicate the closing of the CO_2 budget as it necessitates a way to effectively separate these two gross fluxes.

It is worth mentioning that the carbon residence time in land ecosystems is highly uncertain, and therefore the gross CO_2 exchange as well. *Welp et al.* (2011) suggested that the current popular estimate of global GPP of $120\,\mathrm{Pg\,C\,yr^{-1}}$, which is also predicted by SiBCASA, may be a lower limit and could in reality be as large as $175\,\mathrm{Pg\,C\,yr^{-1}}$ to reflect faster turnover of carbon in the vegetation and soils. Such uncertainties were also underlined by *Carvalhais et al.* (2014) who found that higher precipitation rates are associated with faster carbon turnover, but that global modeled turnover is in fact often underestimated. We make a cautious conjecture that if GPP is in fact as large as claimed by *Welp et al.* (2011), and heterotrophic respiration is large too, it will partly explain the current underestimation in the modeled disequilibrium fluxes, which are a function of TER and ocean CO_2 outgassing. In this study we closed the gap with a predetermined scaling factor of 1.2 on the disequilibrium fluxes for oceans and land without assuming actual changes in GPP, TER or Δ_{ph}. We could therefore benefit from a more integrated assimilation system where we are using atmospheric data to simultaneously optimize for terrestrial model parameters that exert influence on GPP, TER, carbon turnover. The CTDAS modular design (*Van der Laan-Luijkx et al.*, 2017) makes it now more straightforward to develop and implement such additional improvements.

To conclude, this study showed there is significant potential to use atmospheric CO_2 and δ^{13}C data as constraints on plant NEE and isotopic discrimination using a ~~multi-species~~ dual-species assimilation platform. Signals that would otherwise be lost in a single tracer data assimilation system, such as the possibility of a drought driven covariation between isotope discrimination and NEE or the separation of GPP from NEE, can potentially be detected in the described ~~multi-species~~ dual-species application of CTDAS. Continued and additional measurements of atmospheric δ^{13}C and CO_2, especially in future assimilation systems where biosphere model parameters are directly optimized, should help us better understand the hydrological and biogeochemical interactions between the atmosphere and vegetation.

Code availability

The CTDAS-C13 and TM5 source code are made available online as supplementary material on the GMD website. More detailed model descriptions and information to run the code are available on the following websites: www.carbontracker.eu and tm.knmi.nl/index.php/Main_Page.

15 References

Alden, C. B., J. B. Miller, and J. W. C. White (2010), Can bottom-up ocean CO_2 fluxes be reconciled with atmospheric ^{13}C observations?, *Tellus B*, *62*(5), 369–388, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00481.x.

Andres, R. J., G. Marland, T. A. Boden, and S. Bischof (2000), Carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel consumption and cement manufacture, 1751-1991, and an estimate of their isotopic composition and latitudinal distribution, in *The Carbon Cycle*, edited by T. Wigley and D. Schimel, pp. 53–62, Cambridge University Press.

Ball, J. T. (1988), An analysis of stomatal conductance, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, US.

Ballantyne, A. P., J. B. Miller, and P. P. Tans (2010), Apparent seasonal cycle in isotopic discrimination of carbon in the atmosphere and biosphere due to vapor pressure deficit, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *24*(GB3018), doi:10.1029/2009GB003623.

Basu S., J.B. Miller, and S.Lehman (2016), Separation of biospheric and fossil fuel fluxes of CO2 by atmospheric inversion of CO2 and 14CO2 measurements: Observation System Simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *16*, 5665–5683, doi:10.5194/acp-16-5665-2016.

Bloom, A. A., J.-F. Exbrayat, I. R. van der Velde, L. Feng and M. Williams (2016), The decadal state of the terrestrial carbon cycle: Global retrievals of terrestrial carbon allocation, pools, and residence times, *PNAS*, *113*(5), 1285–1290.

Booth, B. B., C. D. Jones, M. Collins, I. J. Totterdell, P. M. Cox, S. Sitch, C. Huntingford, R. A. Betts, G. R. Harris, and J. Lloyd (2012), High sensitivity of future global warming to land carbon cycle processes, *Environmental Research Letters*, *7*(2), doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024002.

Bowling, D. R., A. P. Ballantyne, J. B. Miller, S. P. Burns, T. J. Conway, O. Menzer, B. B. Stephens, and B. H. Vaughn (2014), Ecological processes dominate the 13C land disequilibrium in a Rocky Mountain subalpine forest, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *28*, 352–370, doi:10.1002/2013GB004686.

Bozhinova, D., M. K. van der Molen, I. R. van der Velde, M. C. Krol, S. van der Laan, H. A. J. Meijer, and W. Peters (2014), Simulating the integrated summertime $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ signature from anthropogenic emissions over Western Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *14*, 7273–7290, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7273-2014.

Bruhwiler L., E. Dlugokencky, K. Masarie, M. Ishizawa, A. Andrews, J. Miller, C. Sweeney, P. Tans, and D. Worthy (2014), CarbonTracker-CH4: an assimilation system for estimating emissions of atmospheric methane, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *14*, 8269–8293, doi:10.5194/acp-14-8269-2014.

Carvalhais, N., et al. (2014), Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial ecosystems, *Nature*, *514*(7521), 213–217.

Ciais, P., P. P. Tans, J. White, and M. Trolier (1995), Partitioning of ocean and land uptake of CO_2 as inferred by δ^{13}C measurements from the NOAA Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory Global Air Sampling Network, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *100*, 5051–5070.

Ciais, P., et al. (2005), Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003, *Nature*, *437*(7058), 529-533.

Collatz, G. J., J. Ball, C. Grivet, and J. A. Berry (1991), Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 54*, 107–136.

Collatz, G. J., M. Ribas-Carbo, and J. A. Berry (1992), Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model for leaves of C4 plants, *Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 19*(5), 519–538.

Commane, R., et al. (2015), Seasonal fluxes of carbonyl sulfide in a mid-latitude forest, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, *112*, 14162–14167.

Cox, P. M., D. Pearson, B. B. Booth, P. Friedlingstein, C. Huntingford, C. D. Jones, and C. M. Luke (2013), Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon dioxide variability, *Nature*, *1-5*, doi:10.1038/nature11882.

15 Dai, A., et al. (2012), Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models, *Nature Clim. Change*, *3*, doi:10.1038/nclimate1633.

Dai, D. P., et al. (2011),The ERA-interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, *137*, 553–597, doi:doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

Egea, G., A. Verhoef, and P. L. Vidale (2011), Towards an improved and more flexible representation of water
20 stress in coupled photosynthesis?stomatal conductance models, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *151*, 1370–1384, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.05.019.

Ekblad, A., and P. Hogberg (2001), Natural abundance of ^{13}C in CO_2 respired from forest soils reveals speed of link between tree photosynthesis and root respiration, *Oecologia*, *127*, 305–308, doi:10.1007/s004420100667.

Farquhar, G. D., S. V. Caemmerer, and J. A. Berry (1980), A biochemical-model of photosynthetic CO_2 assimilation in leaves of C_3 species,
25 *Planta*, *149*(1), 78–90.

Farquhar, G. D., M. H. O'Leary, and J. A. Berry (1982), On the relationship between carbon isotope discrimination and the intercellular carbon dioxide concentration in leaves, *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, *9*, 121–137.

Farquhar, G. D., J. R. Ehleringer, and K. T. Hubrick (1989), Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis, *Annual review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, *40*, 503–537.

30 Flexas, J., M. Ribas-Carbo, A. Diaz-Espej, J. Galmes, and H. Medrano (2008), Mesophyll conductance to CO2: current knowledge and future prospects, *Plant Cell Environ.*, *31*, 602–621.

Friedlingstein, P. et al. (2006), Climate-Carbon Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison, *Journal of Climate*, *19*, 3337–3353.

Fung, I., C. B. Field, J. A. Berry, M. V. Thompson, J. T. Randerson, C. M. Malmström, P. M. Vitousek, G. James Collatz, P. J. Sellers,
35 D. A. Randall, A. S. Denning, F. Badeck, and J. John (1997), Carbon 13 exchanges between the atmosphere and biosphere, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *11*(4), 507–533.

Gatti, L. V. et al. (2014), Drought sensitivity of Amazonian carbon balance revealed by atmospheric measurements, *Nature*, *506*(7486), doi:10.1038/nature12957.

Gruber, N., C. D. Keeling, R. B. Bacastow, P. R. Guenther, T. J. Lueker, M. Wahlen, H. A. J. Meijer, W. G. Mook, and T. F. Stocker (1997), Spatiotemporal patterns of carbon-13 in the global surface oceans and the oceanic Suess effect, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *13*(2), 307–335.

5   Gurney, K. R., et al. (2002), Towards robust regional estimates of CO_2 sources and sinks using atmospheric transport models, *Nature*, *415*, 626–630.

Harper, A. B., A. S. Denning, I. T. Baker, M. D. Branson, L. Prihodko, and D. A. Randall (2010), Role of deep soil moisture in modulating climate in the Amazon rainforest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *37*, L05802, doi:10.1029/2009GL042302.

He, W., I. R. van der Velde, A. E. Andrews, C. Sweeney, P. Tans, I. T. van der Laan-Luijkx, I. Baker, W. Ju, W. Peters, H. Chen (2017),
10 CarbonTracker-Lagrange v1.0: A high-resolution data assimilation system for regional carbon dioxide observations, *Geoscientific Model Development*, in preparation.

Hoffman, F. M., J. T. Randerson, V. K. Arora, Q. Bao, P. Cadule, D. Ji, C. D. Jones, M. Kawamiya, S. Khatiwala, K. Lindsay, A. Obata, E. Shevliakova, K. D. Six, J. F. Tjiputra, E. M. Volodin, and T. Wu (2014), Causes and implications of persistent atmospheric carbon dioxide biases in Earth System Models *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, *119*, 141–162.

15 Jacobson, A. R., N. Gruber, J. L. Sarmiento, M. Gloor, and S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher (2007), A joint atmosphere-ocean inversion for surface fluxes of carbon dioxide: I. Methods and global-scale fluxes, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *21*, doi:10.1029/2005GB002556.

Keeling, C. D. and R. Revelle (1985), Effects of El Nino/Southern Oscillation on the atmospheric content of carbon dioxide, *Meteoritics*, *20*(2), 437–450.

Keeling, C. D., R. B. Bacastow, A. F. Carter, S. C. Piper, T. P. Whorf, M. Heimann, W. G. Mook, H. Roeloffzen (1989), A three-dimensional
20 model of atmospheric CO_2 transport based on observed winds: 1. Analysis of observational data, in *Aspects of climate variability in the Pacific and the Western Americas*, edited by D. H. Peterson, pp. 165–236, American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C., United States.

Kretschmer, R., C. Gerbig, U. Karstens, and F.-T. Koch (2012), Error characterization of CO_2 vertical mixing in the atmospheric transport model WRF-VPRM, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *12*, 2441–2458, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2441-2012.

Krol, M., S. Houweling, B. Bregman, M. van den Broek, A. Segers, P. van Velthoven, W. Peters, F. Dentener, and P. Bergamaschi (2005), The
25 two-way nested global chemistry-transport zoom model TM5: algorithm and applications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, *4*, 3975–4018.

Leuning, R. (1995), A critical appraisal of a combined stomatal photosynthesis model for C3 plants, *Plant Cell Environ.*, *18*, 339–355, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00370.x.

Long, D., B. R. Scanlon, L. Longuevergne, A.-Y. Sun, D. N. Fernando, and H. Save (2013), GRACE satellites monitor large depletion in water storage in response to the 2011 drought in Texas, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *40*, 3395–3401, doi:10.1002/grl.50655.

30 Masarie, K. A., W. Peters, A. R. Jacobson, and P. P. Tans (2014), ObsPack: a framework for the preparation, delivery, and attribution of atmospheric greenhouse gas measurements, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, *6*, 375–384, doi:10.5194/essd-6-375-2014.

Miller, S. M., M. N. Hayek, A. E. Andrews, I. Fung, and J. Liu (2015), Biases in atmospheric CO_2 estimates from correlated meteorology modeling errors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *15*, 2903–2914, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2903-2015.

O'leary, M. H. (1988), Carbon isotopes in photosynthesis, fractionation techniques may reveal new aspects of carbon dynamics in plants,
35 *BioScience*, *38*(5), 328–336.

Olson, J. S., J. A. Watts, and L. J. Allsion (1985), Major World Ecosystem Complexes Ranked by Carbon in Live Vegetation: A Database. ORNL/CDIAC-134, NDP-017. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A. (Revised 2001)

Ometto, J. P. H. B., L. B. Flanagan, L. A. Martinelli, M. Z. Moreira, N. Higuchi, and J. R. Ehleringer (2002), Carbon isotope discrimination in forest and pasture ecosystems of the Amazon Basin, Brazil, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *16*(4), 1109, doi:10.1029/ 2001GB001462.

5 Peters, W., J. B. Miller, J. Whitaker, A. S. Denning, A. Hirsch, M. C. Krol, D. Zupanski, L. Bruhwiler, P. P. Tans (2005), An ensemble data assimilation system to estimate CO_2 surface fluxes from atmospheric trace gas observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*, D24304, doi:10.1029/2005JD006157.

Peters, W., et. al. (2007), An atmospheric perspective on North American carbon dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker, *PNAS*, *107*(48), 18,925–18,930.

10 Peters, W., et. al. (2010), Seven years of recent European net terrestrial carbon dioxide exchange constrained by atmospheric observations. *Glob. Change Biol.*, *16*(4), 1317–1337.

Raczka, B., H. F. Duarte, C. D. Koven, D. Ricciuto, P. E. Thornton, J. C. Lin, and D.R. Bowling (2016), An observational constraint on stomatal function in forests: evaluating coupled carbon and water vapor exchange with carbon isotopes in the Community Land Model (CLM4.5). *Biogeosciences*, *13*, 5183–5204, doi:10.5194/bg-13-5183-2016.

15 Rayner, P. J., R. M. Law, C. E. Allison, R. J. Francey, C. M. Trudinger, and C. Pickett-Heaps (2008), Interannual variability of the global carbon cycle (1992-2005) inferred by inversion of atmospheric CO_2 and $\delta^{13}CO_2$ measurements, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *22*(3), GB3008, doi:10.1029/2007GB003068.

Rowlands, D. J. et al. (2012), Broad range of 2050 warming from an observationally constrained large climate model ensemble, *Nature Geoscience*, *5*, 256–260.

20 Schaefer, K., G. J. Collatz, P. P. Tans, A. S. Denning, I. Baker, J. A. Berry, L. Prihodko, N. Suits, and A. Philpott (2008), Combined Simple Biosphere/Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach terrestrial carbon cycle model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*(G3), G03,034, doi:10.1029/2007JG000603.

Scholze, M., J. O. Kaplan, W. Knorr, and M. Heimann (2003), Climate and interannual variability of the atmosphere-biosphere $^{13}CO_2$ flux, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(2), 1097, doi:10.1029/2002GL015631.

25 Schwalm, C. R., C. A. Williams, K. Schaefer, D. Baldocchi, T. A. Black, A. H. Goldstein, B. E. Law, W. C. Oechel, K. Tha Paw U, and R. L. Scott (2012), Reduction in carbon uptake during turn of the century drought in western North America, *Nature Geosci.*, *5*, 551–556.

Seager, R. (2010), The Turn of the Century North American Drought: Global Context, Dynamics, and Past Analogs, *Journal of Climate*, *20*, 5527–5552.

Seibt, U., A. Rajabi, H. Griffiths, and J. A.. Berry (2008), Carbon isotopes and water use efficiency: sense and sensitivity, *Oecologia*, *155*,
30 441–454.

Sellers, P. J., D. A. Randall, G. J. Collatz, J. A.. Berry, C. B. Field, D. A. Dazlich, C. Zhang, G. D. Collelo, and L. Bounoua (1996), A revised land surface parameterization (SiB2) for atmospheric GCMs. Part I: Model formulation, *Journal of Climate*, *9*, 676–705.

Still, C. J., J. A. Berry, G. J. Collatz, and R. S. DeFries (2003), Global distribution of C3 and C4 vegetation: Carbon cycle implications, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *17*, 1006, doi:10.1029/2001GB001807.

35 Suits, N., A. Denning, J. Berry, and C. Still (2005), Simulation of carbon isotope discrimination of the terrestrial biosphere, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *19*, GB1017, doi:10.1029/2003GB002141.

Takahashi, T., et al. (2009), Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO2, and net sea-air CO_2 flux over the global oceans, *Deep-Sea Research II*, *56*, 554–577.

Tans, P. P., J. A. Berry, and R. F. Keeling (1993), Oceanic $^{13}C/^{12}C$ observations - a new window on ocean CO_2 uptake, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *7*(2), 353–368.

5 Tarantola, A. (2005), Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation, Soc. for Ind. and Appl. Math., Philadelphia, Pa.

Tsuruta, A., et al. (2016), Development of CarbonTracker Europe-CH4 - Part 1: system set-up and sensitivity analyses, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, *56*, doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-181, in review.

Van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., I. R. van der Velde, M. C. Krol, L. V. Gatti, L. G. Domingues, C. S. C. Correia, J. B. Miller, M. Gloor, T. T. van Leeuwen, J. W. Kaiser, C. Wiedinmyer, S. Basu, C. Clerbaux, and W. Peters (2015), Response of the Amazon carbon balance to the 2010
10 drought derived with CarbonTracker South America, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *29*(7), 1092–1108.

Van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., I. R. van der Velde, E. van der Veen, A. Tsuruta, K. Stanislawska, A. Babenhauserheide, H. Fang Zhang, Y. Liu, W. He, H. Chen, K. A. Masarie, M.C. Krol, and W. Peters (2017), The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation Shell (CTDAS) v1.0: implementation and demonstration of a versatile ensemble Kalman filter system, *Geoscientific Model Development*, ~~in preparation~~*10*, 2785–2800.

15   Van der Molen, M. K., R. A. M. de Jeu, W. Wagner, I. R. van der Velde, P. Kolari, J. Kurbatova, A. Varlagin, T. C. Maximov, A. V. Kononov, T. Ohta, A. Kotani, M. C. Krol, and W. Peters (2016), The effect of assimilating satellite-derived soil moisture data in SiBCASA on simulated carbon fluxes in Boreal Eurasia, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, *20*, 605–624.

Van der Sleen, P., P. Groenendijk, M. Vlam, N. P. R. Anten, A. Boom, F. Bongers, T. L. Pons, G. Terburg and P. A. Zuidema (2015), No growth stimulation of tropical trees by 150 years of CO2 fertilization but water-use efficiency increased, *Nature Geoscience*, *8*, 24–28,
20   doi:10.1038/ngeo2313.

Van der Velde, I. R., J. B. Miller K. Schaefer, K. A. Masarie, S. Denning, J. W. C. White, P. P. Tans, M. C. Krol, and W. Peters (2013), Biosphere model simulations of interannual variability in terrestrial 13C/12C exchange, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *27*(3), 637–649.

Van der Velde, I. R., J. B. Miller K. Schaefer, G. R. van der Werf, M. C. Krol, and W. Peters (2014), Terrestrial cycling of 13CO2 by photosynthesis, respiration and biomass burning in SiBCASA, *Biogeosciences*, *11*, 6553–6571.

Van der Velde, I. R. (2015), Studying biosphere-atmosphere exchange of CO_2 through Carbon-13 stable isotopes, PhD dissertation, Wa-
735   geningen University.

Vicente-Serrano, S. M., S. Begueria, J. I. Lopez-Moreno (2010), A Multi-scalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index - SPEI, *Journal of Climate*, *23*, 1696–1718.

Wanninkhof, R. (1992), Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, *J. Geophys. Res*, *97*(5), 7373–7382.

Wehr, R., J. W. Munger, J. B. McManus, D. D. Nelson, M. S. Zahniser, E. A. Davidson, S. C. Wofsy, and S. R. Saleska (2016), Seasonality
740   of temperate forest photosynthesis and daytime respiration, *Nature*, *534*, 680–683, doi:10.1038/nature17966.

Welp, L. R., et al. (2011), Interannual variability in the oxygen isotopes of atmospheric CO2 driven by El Nino, *Nature*, *477*(7366), 579–582.

Yang, X., et al. (2015), Solar induced chlorophyll fluorescence that correlates with canopy photosynthesis on diurnal and seasonal scales in a temperate deciduous forest, *Geophys. Res. Lett. 42*, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ 2015GL063201.

Zhang, H. F., et al. (2014), Estimating Asian terrestrial carbon fluxes from CONTRAIL aircraft and surface CO_2 observations for the period
745   2006–2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14*, 5807–5824.

Zhang, J., Quay, P. D., and Wilbur, D. O (1995), Carbon isotope fractionation during gas-water exchange and dissolution of CO2, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 59*, 107–114.

Table 1. Summary of assigned δ^{13}C model-data mismatch (MDM), the category-averaged and 1σ standard deviation of the innovation χ^2, and number of sites per category.

| Site category	MDM (‰)	χ^2	# sites
land	0.13	0.97±0.52	10
mixed	0.080	0.80±0.34	11
marine boundary layer	0.03	1.29±0.70	15
deep Southern ~~hemisphere~~ Hemisphere	0.03	1.22±0.44	7
problem	0.4	0.63±0.48	10

**Figure 1.** Global distribution of Olson ecosystem types.

Figure 2. Earth's partitioning into 11 land regions and 11 ocean regions according to the TransCom project. The ocean regions are divided into 30 smaller basins (not shown) and the land regions can contain up to 19 different ecoregions as shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 3. The prior **P** covariance structure represents squared uncertainty of the dimensionless state vector. The first 209×209 element block represents the covariance matrix for land NEE with a maximum diagonal uncertainty of 0.64 (equivalent to 80 %), the second 30×30 element block represents the covariance matrix for ocean fluxes with a maximum diagonal uncertainty of 1.0 (equivalent to 100 %), and the third 209×209 element block represents the covariance matrix for Δ_{ph} with a maximum diagonal uncertainty of 0.04 (equivalent to 20 %). The matrix is organized according to TransCom ocean basins and land regions, where each land region contains 19 potential ecoregions (see Figs. 1 and 2).

Figure 4. Mean (2001-2011) modeled discrimination parameter Δ_{ph} (‰) from SiBCASA. The discrimination is more detailed for $\Delta_{\mathrm{ph}} > 16‰$ to highlight the more subtle variations in Δ_{ph} in the dominant C_3 regions that experience different environmental forcing.


Figure 5. Annual mean carbon (x-axis) and $\delta^{13}C$ (y-axis) growth rates for (a) the prior estimates and for (b) the NEW$-$CO2C13 experiment. Colored arrows represent the different sources and sinks of the carbon cycle. A closed budget for both tracers was accomplished in the NEW$-$CO2C13 experiment, as indicated by the resultant vector (sum of all colored arrows) returning to the black arrow (observed growth rate in atmosphere). To close the long-term trend we increased the isodisequilibrium fluxes by 20 %.

Figure 6. Summer (JJA) residuals of δ^{13}C [‰] in CO_2 for 46 sites (excluding aircraft and ships) situated in the Northern ~~hemisphere~~Hemisphere. These sites are ordered based on the their latitudinal location; most Northern site is placed at the top left (Alert, Canada) and the site nearest to the equator at the bottom left on the next page (Christmas Island, Republic of Kiribati). All residuals (simulated minus observed) are calculated from a traditional $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion with scaled disequilibrium fluxes. Assuming a closed long-term mean budget in δ^{13}C we tested the Ho hypothesis the slope of the linear regression line is zero. Sites with a trend where the p-value is smaller than the significance level of 5 % are shown in red, whereas the remaining sites without significant trend are shown in green. The sample uncertainty (model-data mismatch) used for the $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ and $\mathrm{NEW-2STEP}$ inversions is displayed by transparent gray areas. Sites marked with ** were not included in the inversions but were used for independent verification. For detailed information of the sites and their location we refer to the NOAA website: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker/observations.php.

Figure 7. A comparison of the relative performance of inversion techniques for the period 2001 through 2006 based on the ratio of the model-data (a) $\delta^{13}C$ Root-Mean-Square-Difference (RMSD) of $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ to $\delta^{13}C$ RMSD of $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$, and (b) CO_2 RMSD of $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ to CO_2 RMSD of the $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion. A ratio lower than 1.0 indicates a higher accuracy of the $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ inversion technique: green sites indicate a ratio ≤ 0.95, red sites indicate a ratio ≥ 1.05, and sites where the difference in respective RMSD's is less than 0.05 are given in black. The size of the each symbol is a measure of the relative performance of $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ in comparison to $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$. The larger the symbol, the more the ratio of RMSDs differs from 1.0.

Figure 8. Comparison of two different inversion experiments at Alert (ALT, Canada). The top panel displays $\delta^{13}C$ observations (black circles) together with simulated $\delta^{13}C$ from $NEW-CO2C13$ (green circles). The top right panel displays the probability density functions (PDF) of the residuals between $NEW-CO2C13$ and observed (green) and between $TRAD-CO2$ and observed (blue). The lower panel displays independent flask measurements (not used in the assimilation) of CO_2 (black circles) at Alert with simulated CO_2 from $NEW-CO2C13$ (green circles). Notice the almost identical distribution of the residual PDFs between $NEW-CO2C13$ and $TRAD-CO2$ inversion techniques.

Figure 9. Top panel: the 11-year mean land carbon uptake $[\mathrm{Pg\,C\,yr^{-1}}]$ for each TransCom region with estimates from the nonlinear $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ inversion (red) and estimates from the linear $\mathrm{TRAD-CO2}$ inversion (yellow). Error bars depict 1σ standard deviation of the flux IAV. The 11-year correlation coefficients r between the two inversion methods are given on top of the bars. These correlations are based on the 3-month boxcar mean anomalies after subtracting the seasonal cycle. Middle panel: comparison of Δ_{ph} [‰] between the $\mathrm{NEW-CO2C13}$ inversion and the linear $\mathrm{NEW-2STEP}$ inversion. We again provide IAV error bars and correlation coefficients between inversion methods. Lower panel: the 3-month box car mean anomalies in Δ_{ph} for the North America Temperate TransCom region to illustrate the high degree of similarity between both inversion methods ($r = 0.86$).

North American Temperate

Figure 10. Top panels: the annual averaged Standardized Precipitation and Evaporation Index (SPEI) estimated for the North American Temperate domain (map inserts). Middle panel: the annual GPP weighted averaged $\Delta_{\rm ph}$ [‰] of vegetation against $^{13}CO_2$ from NEW$-$CO2C13 (red) and SiBCASA (blue) estimated for the same domain. It illustrates the summertime isoforcing of $\delta^{13}C$ towards the atmosphere (as wintertime $\Delta_{\rm ph}$ has no impact on atmospheric $\delta^{13}C$). Lower panel: net carbon uptake [TgC yr^{-1}] from NEW$-$CO2C13 (red) and SiBCASA (blue) estimated for the same domain. The yellow shaded years (2002 and 2011) indicate significant drought conditions as recorded in SPEI and other independent reports (e.g. *Seager*, 2010; *Schwalm et al.*, 2012; *Long et al.*, 2013). These droughts correlate with reductions in annual mean $\Delta_{\rm ph}$, and reductions in the estimated carbon sinks as reported in *Peters et al.* (2007).

Table 2. Summary of the four inversion experiments, the observations used, the optimized items (ocean and land fluxes, and $\Delta_{\rm ph}$), and their linearity. The prefix TRAD$-$ refers to traditional, i.e., experiments that have been performed in the past in any way, shape or form. The prefix NEW$-$ refers to a new type of inversions used in this publication. NEW$-$CO2C13 used the default ~~multi-species CTDAS~~ CTDAS-C13 model setup as described in the Methodology, while NEW$-$2STEP solved for $\Delta_{\rm ph}$ using only $\delta^{13}C$ data.

| Experiment	Observations	Optimization	Linear?
TRAD$-$CO2	CO_2	flux only	yes
TRAD$-$CO2C13	CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$	flux only	yes
NEW$-$CO2C13	CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$	flux and $\Delta_{\rm ph}$	no
NEW$-$2STEP	$\delta^{13}C$	$\Delta_{\rm ph}$ only	yes

Figure 11. Weighted SPEI drought index (WDI) versus annual mean isotopic discrimination Δ_{ph} integrated over North American Temperate domain. Results from the SiBCASA biosphere model (blue circles) show no significant correlation between Δ_{ph} and large scale droughts, while the simultaneous optimization of carbon sinks and Δ_{ph} with atmospheric CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ observations (red triangles) suggests a highly significant correlation can be derived. The slope of the red regression line is 1.61‰/WDI (p=0.008, 95% confidence interval of a two-sided distribution with 9 degrees of freedom, p=0.008). The SiBCASA slope is however not significantly different from zero (p»0.05). The integrated Δ_{ph} values are GPP-weighted per grid box as in Fig. 10. WDI is based on the SPEI index but area weighted to give years with large serried areas that experienced severe droughts (with SPEI smaller than -1.2) more leverage.

Table 3. Northern hemisphere Hemisphere land net carbon uptake (NEE, [$\mathrm{Pg\,C\,yr}^{-1}$]) and land discrimination (Δ_{ph}, [‰]) 11-year mean estimates, and IAV ($\pm 1\sigma$ standard deviation) from SiBCASA (prior) and the four inversion experiments. The last line gives the correlation coefficient r between 11 annual mean NEE and Δ_{ph} values.

	Prior	TRAD−CO2	TRAD−CO2C13	NEW−CO2C13	NEW−2STEP
NEE	0.22±0.28	2.44±0.46	2.65±0.49	2.58±0.46	2.44±0.46
Δ_{ph}	18.1±0.02	18.1±0.02	18.1±0.02	18.2±0.17	18.3±0.17
r	−0.26	−0.14	−0.18	0.79	0.78