1 1 Supplementary Material



2

3 Figure S 1. Effect of modifying the sublimation flux calculation in ALMv0-3D on the





Figure S 2. Simulated snow depth across the transect on (a) 1st November 2012, (b) 1st
December 2012, (c) 1st March 2013, and (d) 1st May 2013. Blue line shows model results for
the case snow redistribution (SR) is turned off and 1D subsurface physics, green symbols are
for model results with snow redistribution turned on and 1D subsurface physics, while red
line corresponds to model results with snow redistribution turned on and 2D subsurface
physics. Surface elevation of the transect is shown by solid black line.

.3



Figure S 3. Comparison of soil temperature observations and predictions in polygon center
for September 2012 and September 2013 at various soil depths. Simulation was performed
with no snow redistribution and 1D physics.



18
19 Figure S 4 Same as Figure S 3 except for soil temperature in polygon rim.



Figure S 5 Comparison of soil temperature observations and predictions, shown as solid
lines, in polygon center for September 2012 and September 2013 at various soil depths.
Simulation was performed with snow redistribution and 2D physics. The red band

?6 represents ±1 spatial standard deviation around the simulated mean soil temperature.



Figure S 6 Same as Figure S 5 except for soil temperature in polygon rims.





- Figure S 7. Snapshot of simulated soil temperature profile across the transect on 1st
- December 2012, 1st January 2013 and 1st February 2013 for (a-c) no snow redistribution and
- 1 dimensional subsurface physics; (d-f) with snow redistribution and 1 dimensional
- subsurface physics; and (g-i) with snow redistribution and 2 dimensional subsurface physics.