## Particle swarm optimization for the estimation of surface complexation constants with the geochemical model PHREEQC-3.1.2

## MS No.: gmd-2017-38

No	Page	Line	Suggested Correction	Remarks
1	1	10	process in water treatment,	
2	1	16	was used for the first time	
3	1	17	thermodynamic parameters identified	
4	1	19	when both softwares are coupled to PHREEQC	
5	2	30	has been applied in a	
6	2	36	estimate the coefficients of permeability	
7	2	41	model such as PHREEQC	
8	2	44	basic PSO principles	
9	2	45	in 1995 (source).	Include the source of information
10	2	45-46	However, in this study the current Standard Particle Swarm Optimization proposed by Clerk (2012) and Zambrano- Bigiarini et al (2013) was used. The code known as SPSO2011 was used in the	Recast as indicated
11	2	49-50	In addition, the functions for plotting in	
12	2	51	The source/hydroPSO	Place after line 59 page 3
13	3	57	estimation in geochemical models	
14	3	59	PEST by Doherty (2010) and Nair et al (2014)	
15	3	60-76		Why the highlights?
16	3	61	PEST uses the Gauss	
17	3	63	algorithm that initially	
18	3	64	matrix is then used	
19	3	65	to obtain a small function value	Check
20	4	81	(M equals Ca, Mg, Sr) obtained	
21	4	90	different types of SCMs such as	

**1 |** P a g e

22	4	92	(modified TLM). In this work,	
23	4	102		This is hanging.
		_		Any link with
				line 103?
24	5	106	pH value of between 6.5 and 9.0	
25	5	110	on quartz decreased to 50, 30 and 10% respectively (Nair and Merkel, 2011)	
26	5	112	6 parameters used to calibrate	
27	5	113	Nair et al. (2014)	
28	6	117	Issue for users	
29	6	118-120	Particle Swarm Optimizationdisciplines.	Check with lines 26-27 page 1
30	6	122	and collective previous studies.	
31	6	125	following specific equations to the selected PSO version,	Check this again
			finding the minimum or (maximum) value of user-defined	
			objective function ()	
32	6	128	hydroPSO was used to improve them with a single software.	
33	6	129	hydroPSO uses six PSO variants, four topologies, two	
			initialization of particles position and five alternatives for	
			initializing particles velocities among other fine-tuning	
			options ()	
34	6	138	which uses	
35	7	142	(Poeter et al., 2005; Abudelaziz and Merkel, 2015), relative	
			to PEST software	
36	7	144	optimization engine. These files include: (i)	
37	7	150-151	was slightly modified	
38	7	151-157		Is the highlights
				footnotes?
39	7	157	are required. These include: i)	
40	7	162-163		Add source of
				the Manuel
41	7	163-165	Figures 1a, b	Harmonize with
				lines 151-157
42	8	167-169		Why the
		172-176		highlights?

**2 |** Page

43	8	179-181	More informationand Rojas (2013)	Repeated Check
44	8	185-186	One(model).	Remove
45	8	188	$r^2$	
46	8	189	(Figure 2)	Remove
47	10	196-203	In hydroPSOachieved.	This should be
.,	10	170 205		part of
				Computational
				Implementation
48	11	211	Figure 4 presents values of	
49	11	213		Repeated see
_		_		line 211 page 11
50	12	221-223		Harmonize these
		224-229		two sections,
				they present the
				same
				information
51	12	228	Figure 5 was used to identifymodel performances.	
52	13	235	Figures 6 and 7	
53	13	238	while,	
54	13-14	238-239	At the top of figure 6	
55	18	249	Figure 8 Correlation	
56	20	256-260		Why bold?
				Footnotes or part
				of caption for
				Figure 8?
57	20	261		Hanging
58	20	265-266		Where are
				equations 1-6.
				Are there part of
				Table 1. Then
				label them
				properly
59	21	275	data, it can be shown that the log k values	

**3 |** P a g e