Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2017-328-AC6, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Geodynamic diagnostics, scientific visualisation and StagLab 3.0" *by* Fabio Crameri

F. Crameri

fabio.crameri@geo.uio.no

Received and published: 26 April 2018

2-D slicing through 3-D spherical models is unfortunately not supported in the current version of StagLab, as this involves, in contrast to Cartesian models, computationally heavy interpolation of all grid data onto a new plane. I have clarified this in Table 2 and the text.

I will add a basic StagLab user guide to the online supplement.

I replaced the figure of the stagnant-lid model with a figure of a mobile-lid model that features both active up- and downwellings.

Figure 6: The former ridge (see timestep 5) is disappearing around timestep 6, where the ridge divergence velocity has already become lower than the detection threshold.

Discussion paper



I added that red crosses explain slab-tip depth in the caption of Figure 7.

I included all other minor suggestions.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2017-328, 2018.

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

