# **The Interactive Stratospheric Aerosol Model Intercomparison**

# 2 **Project (ISA-MIP): Motivation and experimental design**

Claudia Timmreck<sup>1</sup>, Graham W. Mann<sup>2,3</sup>, Valentina Aquila<sup>4</sup>, Rene Hommel<sup>5,\*</sup> Lindsay A.
Lee<sup>2</sup>, Anja Schmidt<sup>6,7</sup>, Christoph Brühl<sup>8</sup>, Simon Carn<sup>9</sup>, Mian Chin<sup>10</sup>, Sandip S. Dhomse<sup>2</sup>,
Thomas Diehl<sup>11</sup>, Jason M. English<sup>12,13</sup>, Michael J. Mills<sup>14</sup>, Ryan Neely<sup>2,3</sup>, Jianxiong
Sheng<sup>15,16</sup>, Matthew Toohey<sup>1,17</sup>, and Debra Weisenstein<sup>16</sup>

- 7 <sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
- 8 <sup>2</sup>School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- 9 <sup>3</sup>UK National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- <sup>4</sup> American University, Dept. of Environmental Science Washington, DC, USA
- <sup>5</sup>Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>7</sup>Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Downing Place, Cambridge CB2 3EN, UK
- <sup>8</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
- <sup>9</sup>Dept Geo Min Eng Sci MTU, Houghton, MI, USA
- 16 <sup>10</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 17 <sup>11</sup>Directorate for Sustainable Resources, Joint Research Centre, European Commission, Ispra, Italy
- 18 <sup>12</sup> University of Colorado, Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences
- <sup>13</sup>NOAA Earth Systems Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA
- 20 <sup>14</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO,
- 21 USA
- 22 <sup>15</sup> ETHZ, Zürich, Switzerland
- <sup>16</sup> John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
- <sup>17</sup>GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany
- 25 \*now at Hommel & Graf Environmental, Hamburg, Göttingen, Germany
- 26 Correspondence to: Claudia Timmreck (claudia.timmreck@mpimet.mpg.de)

Abstract The Stratospheric Sulfur and its Role in Climate (SSiRC) interactive stratospheric aerosol model intercomparison project (ISA-MIP) explores uncertainties in the processes that connect volcanic emission of sulphur gas species and the radiative forcing associated with the resulting enhancement of the stratospheric aerosol layer. The central aim of ISA-MIP is to constrain and improve interactive stratospheric aerosol models and reduce uncertainties in the stratospheric aerosol forcing by comparing results of standardized model experiments with a range of observations. In this paper we present 4 co-ordinated inter-model experiments

- designed to investigate key processes which influence the formation and temporal development of stratospheric
   aerosol in different time periods of the observational record. The "Background" (BG) experiment will focus on
- 35 microphysics and transport processes under volcanically quiescent conditions, when the stratospheric aerosol is
- 36 controlled by the transport of aerosols and their precursors from the troposphere to the stratosphere. The
- 37 "Transient Aerosol Record" (TAR) experiment will explore the role of small- to moderate-magnitude volcanic
- 38 eruptions, anthropogenic sulphur emissions and transport processes over the period 1998-2012 and their role in
- 39 the warming hiatus. Two further experiments will investigate the stratospheric sulphate aerosol evolution after
- ale warming maas. Two farater experiments will invosagate the statiospheric surplice deroiser evolution after
- 40 major volcanic eruptions. The "Historical Eruptions SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Assessment" (HErSEA) experiment will

41 focus on the uncertainty in the initial emission of recent large-magnitude volcanic eruptions, while the 42 "Pinatubo Emulation in Multiple models" (PoEMS) experiment will provide a comprehensive uncertainty 43 analysis of the radiative forcing from the 1991 Mt. Pinatubo eruption.

#### 44 **1 Introduction**

Stratospheric aerosol is an important component of the Earth system, which influences atmospheric radiative transfer, composition and dynamics, thereby modulating the climate. The effects of stratospheric aerosol on climate are especially evident when the opacity of the stratospheric aerosol layer is significantly increased after volcanic eruptions. Through changes in the radiative properties of the stratospheric aerosol layer, volcanic eruptions are a significant driver of climate variability (e.g. Myhre et al., 2013; Zanchettin et al., 2016). Major volcanic eruptions inject vast amounts of  $SO_2$  into the stratosphere, which is converted into sulphuric acid aerosol with an e-folding time of about a month, which might be prolonged due to OH depletion within the

52 dense  $SO_2$  cloud in the first weeks following a large volcanic eruption (Mills et al., 2017).

53 Observations show that the stratospheric aerosol layer remains enhanced for several years after major eruptions 54 (SPARC, 2006). Such long-lasting volcanic perturbations cool the Earth's surface by scattering incoming solar

- radiation and warm the stratosphere by absorption of infrared solar and long-wave terrestrial radiation which
- 56 affect the dynamical structure as well as the chemical composition of the atmosphere (e.g. Robock, 2000;
- 57 Timmreck, 2012). The consequent heating of the stratospheric sulphate layer, impacts stratospheric dynamics in
- 58 various ways. It amplifies the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC) and modifies the equator-to-pole temperature
- 59 gradient, driving changes in geostrophic zonal winds and the propagation of atmospheric waves (e.g. Bittner et
- al., 2016; Toohey et al., 2014) and strengthening the polar vortex (e.g. Charlton-Perez et al., 2013). The heating
- from continued  $SO_2$  injection to the stratosphere may further disturb or even "shut down" the quasi biennial
- 62 oscillation (QBO) (e.g. Aquila et al., 2014; Niemeier and Schmidt, 2017). The radiatively driven changes also
- 63 influence the transport and the lifetime of long-lived species ( $N_2O$ ,  $CH_4$ ) (Pitari et al., 2016a; Visioni et al., 64 2017). The enhanced stratospheric aerosol layer after large volcanic eruptions causes also large mean age of air
- variations on time scales of several years (e.g. Ray et al., 2014; Muthers et al., 2016, Garfinkel et al., 2017).
- 66 As the ocean has a much longer memory than the atmosphere, large volcanic eruptions could have a long lasting

67 impact on the climate system that extends beyond the duration of the volcanic forcing (e.g., Zanchettin et al.,
68 2012; Swingedouw et al., 2017). The chemical and radiative effects of the stratospheric aerosol are strongly

69 influenced by its particle size distribution Heterogeneous chemical reactions, which most notably lead to

- substantial ozone depletion (e.g. WMO Ozone Assessment 2007, chapter 3), take place on the surface of the
- stratospheric aerosol particles and are dependent on the aerosol surface area density. Aerosol particle size determines the scattering efficiency of the particles (e.g. Lacis et al., 1992).and their atmospheric lifetime (e.g.,
- 73 Pinto et al., 1989; Timmreck et al., 2010). Smaller-magnitude eruptions than 1991 Mt. Pinatubo eruption can
- also have significant impacts on climate. It is now established that a series of relatively small magnitude
- volcanic eruptions caused the increase in stratospheric aerosol observed between 2000 and 2010 over that period

based on ground- and satellite-borne observations (Vernier et al., 2011b; Neely et al., 2013). Studies have 76 77 suggested that this increase in stratospheric aerosol partly counteracted the warming due to increased 78 greenhouse gases over that period (e.g. Solomon et al., 2011; Ridley et al., 2014; Santer et al., 2015). Small to 79 moderate volcanic eruptions after 2008 also show an impact on the stratospheric circulation in the Northern 80 Hemisphere, in particular on the pattern of decadal mean age variability and its trends during 2002–2011 (Diallo 81 et al., 2017). Since the 2006 SPARC Assessment of Stratospheric Aerosol Properties Report (SPARC 2006, 82 herein referred as ASAP2006) the increase in observations of stratospheric aerosol and its precursor gases and in 83 the number of models which treat stratospheric aerosol interactively, have advanced scientific understanding of 84 the stratospheric aerosol layer and its effects on the climate (Kremser et al. 2016, herein referred to as 85 KTH2016). In particular, research findings have given to the community a greater awareness of the role of the 86 tropical tropopause layer (TTL) as a distinct pathway for transport into the stratosphere, of the interactions 87 between stratospheric composition and dynamics, and of the importance of moderate-magnitude eruptions in 88 influencing the stratospheric aerosol loading. In addition, over the last decade several new satellite instruments 89 producing observations relevant to the stratospheric aerosol layer have become operational. For example, we 90 now have a 2002-2012 long record of global altitude-resolved SO<sub>2</sub> ,carbonyl sulphide (OCS) and aerosol 91 volume density measurements provided by the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding 92 Environmental Satellite (MIPAS Envisat, Höpfner et al., 2013; 2015; Glatthor et al., 2015, Günther et al., 2018). 93 Furthermore aerosol extinction vertical profiles are available from limb-profiling instruments such as Scanning 94 Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography (SCIAMACHY, 2002-2012; Bovensmann et 95 al., 1999; von Savigny et al., 2015), Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System (OSIRIS, 2001-present, 96 Bourassa et al., 2007), and Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite-Limb Profiler (OMPS-LP, 2011-present, Rault 97 and Loughman, 2013), and from the active sensor lidar measurements such as Cloud-Aerosol Transport System 98 (CATS, 2015-present, Yorks et al., 2015) and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP, 99 2006-present, Vernier et al., 2009). Existing measurements have become more robust, for example by 100 homogenising the observations of aerosol properties derived from optical particle counter (OPC) and satellite 101 measurements during stratospheric aerosol background periods (Kovilakam and Deshler, 2015), which 102 previously showed large differences (Thomason et al., 2008). Other efforts include combining and comparing 103 different satellite data sets (e.g. Rieger et al., 2015). However, some notable discrepancies still exist between 104 different measurement datasets. For example, Reeves et al. (2008) showed that aircraft-borne Focused Cavity 105 Aerosol Spectrometer (FCAS) measurements of the particle size distribution during the late 1990s yield surface area densities a factor 1.5 to 3 higher than that derived from Stratospheric Aerosol and Gases Experiment 106 107 (SAGE-II) measurements.

- 108 On the modelling side there has been an increasing amount of global three-dimensional stratospheric aerosol
- 109 models developed within the last years and used by research teams around the world (KTH2016). The majority
- 110 of these global models explicitly simulate aerosol microphysical processes and treat the full life cycle of
- 111 stratospheric aerosol, from the initial injection of sulphur containing gases, and their transformation into aerosol

particles, to their final removal from the stratosphere. Several of these models also include the interactive coupling between aerosol microphysics, atmospheric chemistry, dynamics and radiation.

114 Given the improvements in observations and modelling of stratospheric aerosol since ASAP2006, we anticipate 115 further advances in our understanding of stratospheric aerosol by combining the recent observational record 116 with results from the current community of interactive stratospheric aerosol models. An Interactive 117 Stratospheric Aerosol Model Intercomparison Project (ISA-MIP) has therefore been developed within the 118 SSIRC framework. The SPARC activity Stratospheric Sulfur and its Role in Climate (SSIRC) (www.sparc-119 ssirc.org) was initiated with the goal of reducing uncertainties in the properties of stratospheric aerosol and assessing its climate forcing In particular, constraining simulations of historical eruptions with available 120 121 observational datasets gives the potential to evaluate and substantially improve the accuracy of the volcanic 122 forcing datasets used in climate models. This will not only enhance consistency with observed stratospheric 123 aerosol properties and the underlying microphysical, chemical, and dynamical processes but also improve the 124 conceptual understanding. The use of such new volcanic forcing datasets has the potential to increase the 125 reliability of the simulated climate impacts of volcanic eruptions, which have been identified as a major 126 influence on decadal global mean surface temperature trends in climate models (Marotzke and Forster, 2015).

127 The first international model inter-comparison of global stratospheric aerosol models was carried out within 128 ASAP2006 and indicated that model simulations and satellite observations of stratospheric background aerosol 129 extinction agree reasonably well in the visible wavelengths but not in the infrared. It also highlighted systematic 130 differences between modelled and retrieved aerosol size, which are not able to detect the Aitken-mode sized 131 particles (R<50nm) in the lower stratosphere (Thomason et al., 2008, Reeves et al., 2008; Hommel et al. 2011). 132 While in ASAP2006, only five global two- and three-dimensional stratospheric aerosol models were included in the analysis, there are nowadays more than 15 global three-dimensional models worldwide available 133 134 (KTH2016). No large comprehensive model intercomparison has ever been carried out to identify differences in 135 stratospheric aerosol properties amongst these new interactive models. The models often show significant 136 differences in terms of their simulated transport, chemistry, and removal of aerosols with inter-model 137 differences in stratospheric circulation, radiative-dynamical interactions and exchange with the troposphere 138 likely to play an important role (e.g. Aquila et al., 2012; Niemeier and Timmreck, 2015). The formulation of 139 microphysical processes are also important (e.g. English et al. 2013), as are differing assumptions regarding the sources of stratospheric aerosols and their precursors. A combination of these effects likely explain the large 140 141 inter-model differences as seen in Fig. 1 among global stratospheric aerosol models who participated in the 142 Tambora intercomparison, a precursor to the "consensus volcanic forcings" aspects of the CMIP6 Model Intercomparison Project on the climatic response to Volcanic forcing (VolMIP, Zanchettin et al., 2016; Marshall 143 144 et al., 2018). Even for the relatively recent 1991 Mt. Pinatubo eruption, to reach the best agreement with 145 observations, interactive stratospheric models have used a wide range of  $SO_2$  injections amounts, from as low at 10 Tg of SO<sub>2</sub> (Dhomse et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2016) to as high as 20 Tg of SO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Aquila et al., 2012; 146 147 English et al., 2013).

- Volcanic eruptions are commonly taken as a real-world analogue for hypothesised geoengineering via stratospheric sulphur solar radiation management (SS-SRM). Indeed many of the assumptions and uncertainties
- 150 related to simulated volcanic perturbations to the stratospheric aerosol are also frequently given as caveats
- 151 around research findings from modelling studies which seek to quantify the likely effects from SS-SRM (e.g.
- 152 National Research Council, 2015 ), the mechanism-steps between sulphur injection and radiative cooling being
- 153 common to both aspects (Robock et al., 2013). The analysis of the ISA-MIP experiments we expect to improve
- 154 understanding of model sensitivities to key sources of uncertainty, to inform interpretation of coupled climate
- 155 model simulations and the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessment. It will also
- provide a foundation for co-operation to assess the atmospheric and climate changes when the next large-
- 157 magnitude eruption takes place.
- 158 In this paper, we introduce the new model intercomparison project ISA-MIP developed within the SSiRC
- 159 framework. In section 2 we provide an overview of the current state of stratospheric sulphur aerosol modelling
- 160 and its greatest challenges. In section 3 we describe the scopes and protocols of the four model experiments
- 161 planned within ISA-MIP. A concluding summary is provided in Section 4.

#### 162 2. Modelling stratospheric aerosol; overview and challenges

- Before we discuss the current state of stratospheric aerosol modelling and its greatest challenges in detail, we briefly describe the main features of the stratospheric sulphur cycle. We are aware of the fact that the stratospheric aerosol layer also contains organics and inclusions of meteoritic dust (Ebert et al., 2016) and, after volcanic events, also co-exists with volcanic ash (e.g. Pueschel et al., 1994: KTH2016). However, the focus of the ISA-MIP experiments described here is on comparing to measurements of the overall optical and physical
- 168 properties of the stratospheric aerosol layer, which is mainly determined by sulphate.

### 169 **2.1 The stratospheric aerosol lifecycle**

- The stratospheric aerosol layer and its temporal and spatial variability are determined by the transport of aerosol and aerosol precursors in the stratosphere and their modification by chemical and microphysical processes (Hamill et al., 1997; ASAP2006; KTH2016). Volcanic eruptions can inject sulphur-bearing gases directly into the stratosphere which significantly enhances the stratospheric aerosol load for years. A number of observations show that stratospheric aerosol increased over the first decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (e.g. Hofmann et al., 2009; Vernier et al., 2011b; Ridley et al., 2014). Although such increase was attributed to the possible cause of Asian anthropogenic emission increase (Hofmann et al., 2009), later studies have shown that small-to-moderate
- 177 magnitude volcanic eruptions are likely to be the major source of this recent increase (Vernier et al., 2011b;
- 178 Neely et al., 2013; Brühl et al., 2015).
- 179 A stratospheric source besides major volcanic eruptions is the photochemical oxidation of OCS, an insoluble gas
- 180 mainly inert in the troposphere. Tropospheric aerosols and aerosol precursor also enter the stratosphere through
- 181 the tropical tropopause and through convective updrafts in the Asian and North American Monsoons (Hofmann

et al., 2009; Hommel et al., 2011; Vernier et al., 2011a; Bourassa et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2015). In the stratosphere, new sulphate aerosol particles are formed by binary homogenous nucleation (Vehkamäki et al., 2002), a process in which sulphuric acid vapour ( $H_2SO_4(g)$ ) and water vapour condense simultaneously to form a liquid droplet. The condensation of  $H_2SO_4(g)$  onto pre-existing aerosol particles and the coagulation among particles shift the aerosol size distribution to greater radii. This takes place especially under volcanically perturbed conditions, when the concentrations of aerosol in the stratosphere are higher (e.g. Deshler et al., 2008).

From the tropics, where most of the tropospheric aerosol enters the stratosphere and the OCS chemistry is most active, the stratospheric aerosol particles are transported poleward within the large-scale BDC and removed through gravitational sedimentation and cross-tropopause transport in the extra-tropical regions. Internal variability associated with the QBO alters the isolation of the tropical stratosphere and subsequently the poleward transport of tropicalstratospheric aerosol, and modifies its global dispersal , particle size distribution, and residence time (e.g. Trepte and Hitchmann, 1992; Hommel et al., 2015, Pitari et al., 2016b)

In general, under volcanically perturbed conditions with larger amounts of injected  $SO_2$ , aerosol particles grow to much larger radii than in volcanic quiescent conditions (e.g. Deshler, 2008). Simulation of extremely large volcanic sulphur rich eruptions show a shift to particle sizes even larger than observed after the Pinatubo eruption, and predict a reduced cooling efficiency compared to moderate eruptions with moderate sulphur injections (e.g. Timmreck et al., 2010; English et al., 2013).

## 200 2.2 Global stratospheric aerosol models, current status and challenges

201 A comprehensive simulation of the spatio-temporal evolution of the particle size distribution is a continuing 202 challenge for stratospheric aerosol models. Due to computational constraints, the formation of the stratospheric 203 aerosol and the temporal evolution of its size distribution are usually parameterized with various degrees of 204 complexity in global models. The simplest way to simulate the stratospheric aerosol distribution in global climate models is the mass only (bulk) approach (e.g. Timmreck et al., 1999a; 2003; Aquila et al., 2012), where 205 206 only the total sulphate mass is prognostically simulated and chemical and radiative processes are calculated 207 assuming a fixed typical particle size distribution. More complex methods are size-segregated approaches, such as the modal approach (e.g. Niemeier et al., 2009; Toohey et al., 2011; Brühl et al., 2012; Dhomse et al., 2014; 208 209 Mills et al., 2016), where the aerosol size distribution is simulated using one or more modes, usually of log-210 normal shape. The mean radius of each mode of these size distributions varies in time and space. Another 211 common approach is the sectional method (e.g. English et al., 2011; Hommel et al., 2011; Sheng et al., 2015a; 212 for ref prior to 2006 see ASAP2006, chapter 5), where the particle size distribution is divided into distinct size 213 sections. Number and width of the size sections are dependent on the specific model configuration, but are fixed 214 throughout time and space. Size sections may be defined by an average radius, or by an average mass of

sulphur, and are often spaced geometrically.

- 216 The choice of methods has an influence on simulated stratospheric aerosol size distributions and therefore on
- 217 radiative and chemical effects. While previous model intercomparison studies in a box model (Kokkola et al.,

- 218 2009) or in a two-dimensional framework (Weisenstein et al., 2007) were very useful for the microphysical
- schemes, they could not address uncertainties in the spatial transport pattern e.g. transport across the tropopause
- and the subtropical transport barrier, or regional/local differences in wet and dry removal. These uncertainties
- 221 can only be addressed in a global three-dimensional model framework and with a careful validation with a
- 222 variety of observational data.

223 The June 1991 eruption of Mt. Pinatubo, with the vast net of observations that tracked the evolution of the 224 volcanic aerosol, provides a unique opportunity to test and validate global stratospheric aerosol models and their 225 ability to simulate stratospheric transport processes. Previous model studies (e.g. Timmreck et al., 1999b; Aquila et al., 2012) highlighted the importance of an interactive online treatment of stratospheric aerosol radiative 226 227 heating for the simulated transport of the volcanic cloud. A crucial point is the simulation of the tropical 228 stratospheric aerosol reservoir (i.e., the tropical pipe, Plumb, 1996) and the meridional transport through the 229 subtropical transport barrier. Some models show a very narrow tropical maximum in comparison to satellite data 230 (e.g., Dhomse et al. 2014) while others show too fast transport to higher latitudes and fail to reproduce the long persistence of the tropical aerosol reservoir (e.g. Niemeier et al., 2009; English et al., 2013). Sulphate 231 232 geoengineering studies affirm the importance of the model dependent meridional transport through the 233 subtropical barrier (e.g. Niemeier and Timmreck, 2015; Visoni et al., 2018; Kleinschmidt et al., 2018). Reasons 234 for these differences need to be understood with a multi-model comparison study, as suggested for example by 235 Tilmes et al., (2015)

## 236 **3. The ISA-MIP Experiments**

237 Many uncertainties remain in the model representation of stratospheric aerosol. Figure 2 summarizes the main processes that determine the stratospheric sulphate aerosol mass load, size distribution and the associated optical 238 239 properties. The four experiments in ISA-MIP are designed to address these key processes under a well-defined 240 experiment protocol with prescribed boundary conditions (sea surface temperatures (SSTs), emissions). All 241 simulations will be compared to observations to evaluate model performances and understand model strengths 242 and weaknesses. The experiment "Background" (BG) focuses on microphysics and transport (section 3.1) under 243 volcanically quiescent conditions, when stratospheric aerosol is only modulated by seasonal changes and 244 interannual variability. The experiment "Transient Aerosol Record" (TAR) is addressing the role of time-245 varying SO<sub>2</sub> emission in particular the role of small- to moderate-magnitude volcanic eruptions and transport processes in the upper troposphere – lower stratosphere (UTLS) over the period 1998-2012 (section 3.2). Two 246 247 further experiments investigate the stratospheric sulphate aerosol size distribution under the influence of large 248 volcanic eruptions. "HErSEA" focuses on the uncertainty in the initial emission characteristics of recent large 249 volcanic eruptions (section 3.3), while "PoEMS" provides an extensive uncertainty analysis of the radiative 250 forcing of the Mt. Pinatubo eruption. In particular the ISA-MIP model experiments aim to address the following 251 questions:

- How large is the stratospheric sulphate load under volcanically quiescent conditions, and how sensitive
   is the simulation of this background aerosol layer to model specific microphysical parameterization and
   transport? (3.1)
- 255
  2. Can we explain the sources and mechanisms behind the observed variability in stratospheric aerosol
  load since the year 2000? (3.2)
- 257
   3. Can stratospheric aerosol observations constrain uncertainties in the initial sulphur injection amount
   and altitude distribution of the three largest volcanic eruptions of the last 100 years? (3.3)
- 259 260

What is the confidence interval for volcanic forcing of the Pinatubo eruption simulated by interactive stratospheric aerosol models and to which parameter uncertainties are the predictions most sensitive to? (3.4)

Table 1 gives an overview over all ISA-MIP experiments, which are described in detail below. In general each experiment will include several simulations from which only a subset is mandatory (Tier1). The modelling groups are free to choose in which of the experiments they would like to participate, however the BG Tier1 simulation is mandatory for all groups and the entry card for the ISA-MIP intercomparison. All model results will be saved in a consistent format (NETCDF) and made available via http://cera-www.dkrz.de/WDCC/ui, and compared to a set of benchmark observations. More detail technical information about data requests can be found in the supplementary material and on the ISA-MIP webpage: http://www.isamip.eu.

269 It is mandatory for participating models to run with interactive sulphur chemistry (see review in SPARC 270 ASAP2006) in order to capture the oxidation pathway from precursors to aerosol particles, including aerosol 271 growth due to condensation of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Chemistry Climate Models (CCMs) with full interactive chemistry follow 272 the Chemistry Climate Initiative (CCMI) hindcast scenario REF-C1 (Eyring et al. 2013, 273 http://www.met.reading.ac.uk/ccmi/?page id=11) for the treatment of chemical fields and emissions of 274 greenhouse gases (GHGs), ozone depleting substances (ODSs), and very short-lived substances (VSLSs). Sea 275 surface temperatures and sea ice extent are prescribed as monthly climatologies from the MetOffice Hadley 276 Center Observational Dataset (Rayner et al. 2003). An overview of the boundary conditions is included in the 277 supplementary material (Table S1). Table S2 reports the inventories to be used for tropospheric emissions of 278 aerosols and aerosol precursors. Anthropogenic sulphur emissions and biomass burning are taken from the 279 Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate (MACC)-CITY climatology (Granier et al., 2011). S 280 emissions from continuously erupting volcanoes are taken into account using Dentener et al. (2006) which is 281 based on Andres and Kasgnoc (1998). OCS concentrations are fixed at the surface at a value of 510 pptv 282 (Montzka et al., 2007; ASAP2006). If possible, DMS, dust, and sea salt emissions should be calculated online 283 depending on the model meteorology. Models considering DMS oxidation should calculate seawater DMS 284 emissions as a function of wind speed and DMS seawater concentrations. Otherwise, modelling groups should 285 prescribe for these species their usual emission database for the year 2000. Each group can specify solar forcing 286 for year 2000 conditions according to their usual dataset.

- 287 Modelling groups are encouraged to include a set of passive tracers to diagnose the atmospheric transport
- 288 independently from emissions mostly following the CCMI recommendations (Eyring et al., 2013). These tracers
- are listed in Table S3 in the supplementary material. Models diagnose aerosol parameters as specified in Tables
- 290 S4, S5. Additionally, volume mixing ratios of specified precursors are diagnosed

## 291 **3.1 Stratospheric Background Aerosol (BG)**

## **3.1.1. Summary of experiment**

293 The overall objective of the BG experiment is to better understand the processes involved in maintaining the 294 stratospheric background aerosol layer, i.e. stratospheric aerosol not resulting from direct volcanic injections 295 into the stratosphere. The simulations prescribed for this experiment are time-slice simulations for the year 2000 296 with prescribed SST including all sources of aerosols and aerosol-precursors except for explosive volcanic 297 eruptions. The result of BG will be a multi-model climatology of aerosol distribution, composition, and 298 microphysical properties in absence of volcanic eruptions. By comparing models with different aerosol 299 microphysics parameterization and simulations of background circulation with a variety of observational data 300 (Table 2), we aim to assess how these processes impact the simulated aerosol characteristics.

### **301 3.1.2. Motivation**

- The total net sulphur mass flux from the troposphere into the stratosphere is estimated to be about 181 Gg S/yr based on simulations by Sheng et al. (2015a) using the SOCOL-AER model, 1.5 times larger than reported in ASAP2006 (KTH2016). This estimate, however, could be highly dependent on the specific characteristics of the model used, such as strength of convective systems, scavenging efficiency, and occurrence of stratospheretroposphere exchange. Therefore, the simulated distribution of stratospheric background aerosol could show, especially in the lower stratosphere, a very large inter-model variability.
- 308 OCS is still considered the largest contributor to the aerosol loadings in the middle stratosphere. Several studies 309 have shown that the transport to the stratosphere of tropospheric aerosol and aerosol precursors constitutes an 310 important source of stratospheric aerosol (KTH2016 and references herein) although new in situ measurements 311 indicate that the cross-tropopause-SO2-flux is neglible over Mexico and central America (Rollins et al., 2017). 312 Observations of the Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer (ATAL, Vernier et al., 2011a) show that, particularly in 313 the UTLS, aerosol of tropospheric origin can significantly enhance the burden of aerosol in the stratosphere. 314 This tropospheric aerosol has a more complex composition than traditionally assumed for stratospheric aerosol: 315 Yu et al. (2015), for instance, showed that carbonaceous aerosol makes up to 50% of the aerosol loadings within the ATAL. The rate of stratospheric-tropospheric exchange (STE) is influenced by the seasonality of the 316 317 circulation and the frequency and strength of convective events in large-scale phenomena such as the Asian and 318 North American monsoon or in small-scale phenomena such as strong storms. Model simulations by Hommel et
- al. (2015) also revealed significant QBO signatures in aerosol mixing ratio and size in the tropical middle

- 320 stratosphere (Figure 3). Hence, the model specific implementation of the QBO (nudged or internally generated)
- 321 could impact its effects on the stratospheric transport and, subsequently, on the stratospheric aerosol layer.
- 322 In this experiment, we aim to assess the inter-model variability of the background stratospheric aerosol layer,
- 323 and of the sulphur mass flux from the troposphere to the stratosphere and vice versa. We will exclude changes in
- 324 emissions and focus on the dependence of stratospheric aerosol concentrations and properties on stratospheric
- 325 transport and stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE). The goal of the BG experiment aims to understand how 326 the model-specific transport characteristics (e.g. isolation of the tropical pipe, representation of the QBO and
- and model speenie amsport enmanderistics (e.g. isomaton of the approx pipe, representation of the Q20 and
- 327 strength of convective systems) and aerosol parameterizations (e.g. aerosol microphysics and scavenging
- 328 efficiency) affect the representation of the background aerosol.

## 329 **3.1.3. Experiment setup and specifications**

- 330 The BG experiment prescribes one mandatory (BG\_QBO) and two recommended (BG\_NQBO and BG\_NAT)
- simulations (see Table 3). BG\_QBO is a time slice simulation with conditions characteristic of the year 2000<sup>1</sup>, with the goal of understanding sources, sinks, composition, and microphysical characteristics of stratospheric background aerosol under volcanically quiescent conditions. The time-slice simulation should be at least 20 year long, after a spin-up period of at least 10 years to equilibrate stratospheric relevant quantities such as OCS concentrations and age of air. The period seems to be sufficient to study differences in the aerosol properties but
- need to extended if dynamical changes e.g. in NH winter variability will be analysed. Modelling groups should
   run this simulation with varying QBO, either internally generated or nudged to the 1981-2000 period.
- 338 If resources allow, each model should perform the sensitivity experiments BG\_NQBO and BG\_NAT. The
- 339 specifics of these two experiments are the same as for BG\_QBO, but BG\_NQBO should be performed without
- 340 varying QBO<sup>2</sup> and BG\_NAT without anthropogenic emissions of aerosol and aerosol precursors, as indicated in
- 341 Table S1. The goals of these sensitivity experiments are to understand the effect of the QBO on the background
- 342 aerosol characteristics and the contribution of anthropogenic sources to the background aerosol loading in the
- 343 stratosphere.

## 344 **3.2 Transient Aerosol Record (TAR)**

## 345 **3.2.1 Summary of experiment**

The aim of the TAR (Transient Aerosol Record) experiment is to investigate the relative contributions of volcanic and anthropogenic sources to the temporal evolution of the stratospheric aerosol layer between 1998 and 2012. Observations show that there is a transient increase in stratospheric aerosol loading, in particular after the year 2003, with small-to moderate-magnitude volcanic eruptions contributing significantly to this increase (e.g. Solomon et al., 2011, Vernier et al., 2011b; Neely et al., 2013; Ridley et al. 2014; Santer et al., 2015; Brühl et al., 2015). TAR model simulations will be performed using specified dynamics, prescribed sea surface

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To ensure comparability to the AeroCom simulations (<u>http://aerocom.met.no/Welcome.html</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Models with an internal generated QBO might nudge the tropical stratospheric winds.

- temperature and time-varying  $SO_2$  emissions. The simulations are suitable for any general circulation or chemistry transport models that simulate the stratospheric aerosol interactively and have the capability to nudge meteorological parameters to reanalysis data. The TAR protocol covers the period from January 1998 to December 2012, when only volcanic eruptions have affected the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) aerosol layer with  $SO_2$  emissions about an order of magnitude smaller than Pinatubo. Time-varying surface emission datasets contain anthropogenic and natural sources of sulphur aerosol and their precursor species. The volcanic  $SO_2$  emission inventories contain information of all known eruptions that emitted  $SO_2$  into
- 359 the UTLS during this period. It comprises the geolocation of each eruption, the amount of  $SO_2$  emitted, and the
- 360 height of the emissions. SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from continuously-degassing volcanoes are also included.

## 361 **3.2.2 Experiment setup and specifications**

362 Participating models are encouraged to perform up to seven experiments, based on five different volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> 363 emission databases (hereafter referred to as VolcDB). Four experiments are mandatory, three other are optional. 364 The volcanic experiments are compared to a reference simulation (TAR\_base) that does not use any of the 365 volcanic emission databases, but emissions from continuously-degassing volcanoes. The aim of the reference 366 simulation is to simulate the non-volcanically perturbed state of the stratospheric aerosol layer. In contrast to the 367 experiment protocol BG (Section 3.1), here time-varying surface boundary conditions (SST/SIC) are applied, whereas BG intercompares model simulations under climatological mean conditions and uses constant 2000 368 369 conditions.

- An overview of the volcanic emission inventories is given in Table 4 and in Figure 4 VolcDB1/2/3 are new compilations (Bingen et al., 201; Neely and Schmidt, 2016; Carn et al., 2016), whereas a fourth inventory (VolcDB4; Diehl et al., 2012), provided earlier, for the AeroCom community modelling initiative, is optional. The databases use SO<sub>2</sub> observations from different sources and apply different techniques for the estimation of injection heights and the amount of emitted SO<sub>2</sub>. The 4 inventories are provided in the form of tabulated point sources, with each modelling group to translate emitted SO<sub>2</sub> mass for each eruption into model levels spanning the upper and lower emission altitudes. To test the effect of the implementation strategy (point source vs cloud)
- an additional non-mandatory experiment has been set up: TAR\_db1\_3D with VolcDB1\_3D as corresponding
- 378 data set which provides a series of discrete 3D gridded SO<sub>2</sub> injections at specified times. In both versions of
- 379 VolcDB1, the integral SO<sub>2</sub> mass of each injection is consistent.
- We recommend performing one additional non-mandatory experiment TAR\_sub in order to quantify and isolate the effects of 8 volcanic eruptions that either had a statistically significant effect on, for instance, tropospheric temperatures (Santer et al., 2014, 2015) or emitted significant amounts of SO<sub>2</sub> over the 1998 to 2012 time
- 383 period. This experiment uses a subset of volcanic emissions (VolcDBSUB), that were derived based on the
- average mass of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted using VolcDB1, VolcDB2, and VolcDB3 for the following eruptions: 28 January
- 385 2005 Manam (4.0S, Papua New Guinea), 7 October 2006 Tavurvur (4.1 S, Papua New Guinea), 21 June 2009
- 386 Sarychev, (48.5° N, Kyrill, UDSSR) 8 November 2010 Merapi (7.3° S, Java, Indonesia), and 21 June 2011
- 387 Nabro (13.2° N, Eritrea). In addition the eruptions of Soufriere Hills (16.4° N, Monserrat) on 20 May 2006,

- 388 Okmok (53.3° N, Alaska) on 12 July 2008 and Kasatochi (52.1° N, Alaska) on 7 August 2008 are considered
- 389 (Table S6 ) although these are not discernible in climate proxy (Kravitz and Robock, 2010; Santer et al., 2014;
- 390 2015).
- Summarising the number of experiments to be conducted within TAR: four are mandatory: TAR\_base with no
   volcanic emission, Tar\_db1/2/3), one additional is recommended (TAR\_sub) and two others are optional
- 393 (TAR\_db4 and TAR\_db1\_3D; see Table 5 for an overview).
- 394 Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Databases
- 395 VolcDB1 (Bingen et al., 2017: Brühl (2018) Br) are updates from Brühl et al. (2015) using satellite data of MIPAS and OMI. For TAR, VolcDB1 has been extended based on data from Global Ozone Monitoring by 396 397 Occultation of Stars (GOMOS), SAGE II, Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS), and the Smithsonian database. The VolcDB1 3D data set, for the optional experiment TAR db1 3D contains volume mixing ratio 398 399 distributions of the injected SO<sub>2</sub> cloud on a T42 Gaussian grid with 90 levels. The integral SO<sub>2</sub> mass for each 400 injection is the same. VolcDB2 (Mills et al., 2016; Neely and Schmidt, 2016) contains volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions 401 and plume altitudes for eruptions between that have been detected by satellite instruments including TOMS, 402 OMI, OMPS, Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI), Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 403 (GOME/2), Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) and the MIPAS 404 instrument. The database is compiled based on published estimates of the eruption source parameters and 405 reports from the Smithsonian Global Volcanism Program (http://volcano.si.edu/), NASA's Global Sulfur 406 Dioxide Monitoring website (http://so2.gsfc.nasa.gov/) as well as the Support to Aviation Control Service (http://sacs.aeronomie.be/). The tabulated point source database also includes volcanic eruptions that emitted 407 408  $SO_2$  into the troposphere only, as well as direct stratospheric emissions and has been used and compared to 409 observations in Mills et al. (2016) and Solomon et al. (2016).
- 410 VolcDB3 uses the most recent compilation of the volcanic degassing data base of Carn et al. (2016).
- 411 Observations from the satellite instruments TOMS, the High-resolution Infrared Sounder (HIRS/2), AIRS, OMI,
- 412 MLS, IASI and OMPS are considered, measuring in the UV, IR and microwave spectral bands. Similar to
- 413 VolcDB1/2, VolcDB3 also includes tropospheric eruptions.
- 414 Historically VolcDB4 is an older dataset, which relies on information from TOMS, OMI, the Global Volcanism
- 415 Program (GVP), and other observations from the literature, covering the time period from 1979 to 2010. In
- 416 contrast to the other inventories, VolcDB4 has previously been applied by a range of models within the
- 417 AeroCom community (http://aerocom.met.no/emissions.html; Diehl et al., 2012, Dentener et al., 2006). Hence,
- 418 it adds valuable information to the TAR experiments because it allows estimating how the advances in
- 419 observational methods impact modelling results. It should be noted that VolcDB4 already contains the inventory
- 420 of Andres and Kasgnoc (1998) for S emissions from continuously erupting volcanoes and should not be
- 421 allocated twice when running this experiment.
- 422 Boundary Conditions, Chemistry and Forcings
- 423 To reduce uncertainties associated with model differences in the reproduction of synoptic and large-scale
- 424 transport processes, models are strongly encouraged to perform TAR experiments with specified dynamics,

- 425 where meteorological parameters are nudged to a reanalysis such as the ECMWF ERA-Interim (Dee et al.,
- 426 2011). This allows models to reasonably reproduce the QBO and planetary wave structure in the stratosphere
- 427 and to replicate as closely as possible the state of the BDC in the simulation period. Nudging also allows
- 428 comparing directly to available observations of stratospheric aerosol properties (Table 2), such as the extinction
- 429 profiles and AOD, and should enable the models to simulate the Asian tropopause layer (ATAL; Vernier et al.,
- 430 2011a; Thomason and Vernier, 2013), which, so far, has been studied only by very few global models in great
- 431 detail (e.g. Neely et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015).
- 432

## 433 **3.3. Historical Eruption SO2 Emission Assessment'' (HErSEA)**

#### 434 **3.3.1 Summary of experiment**

This Historical Eruption  $SO_2$  Emission Assessment (HErSEA) experiment will involve each participating model running a limited ensemble of simulations for each of the three largest volcanic perturbations to the stratosphere in the last 100 years: 1963 Mt. Agung, 1982 El Chichón and 1991 Mt. Pinatubo.

- 438 The main aim is to use a wide range of stratospheric aerosol observations to constrain uncertainties in the SO<sub>2</sub> 439 emitted for each eruption (amount, injection height). Several different aerosol metrics will be intercompared to 440 assess how effectively the emitted SO<sub>2</sub> translates into perturbations to stratospheric aerosol properties and 441 simulated radiative forcings across interactive stratospheric aerosol CCMs with a range of different 442 complexities. Whereas the TAR simulations (see section 3.2) use specified dynamics, and are suitable for 443 chemistry transport models, for this experiment, simulations must be free-running with radiative coupling to the 444 volcanically-enhanced stratospheric aerosol, thereby ensuring the composition-radiation-dynamics interactions 445 associated with the injection are resolved. We are aware that this specification inherently excludes chemistry 446 transport models, which must impose atmospheric dynamics. However, since the aim is to apply stratospheric 447 aerosol observations in concert with the models to re-evaluate current best-estimates of the SO<sub>2</sub> input, and in 448 light of the first order impact the stratospheric heating has on hemispheric dispersion from these major eruptions 449 (e.g. Young, R. E. et al., 1994), we assert that this apparent exclusivity is entirely justified in this case.
- 450 As well as analysing and evaluating the individual model skill and identifying model consensus and 451 disagreement for these three specific eruptions, we also seek to learn more about major eruptions which 452 occurred before the era of satellite and in-situ stratospheric measurements. Our understanding of the effects 453 from these earlier eruptions relies on deriving volcanic forcings from proxies such as sulphate deposition to ice 454 sheets (Gao et al., 2007; Sigl et al., 2015; Toohey et al., 2013), from photometric measurements from 455 astronomical observatories (Stothers, 1996, 2001) or from documentary evidence (Stothers, 2002; Stothers and 456 Rampino, 1983; Toohey et al., 2016a). Although HErSEA has no specific experiment to understand the 457 relationship between the ice core sulphate deposition and the stratospheric aerosol layer enhancements that drive 458 the surface cooling, there is the potential for a systematic inter-model study (e.g. similar to Marshall et al., 2018) 459 to identify how uncertain historic volcanic forcings derived from ice core sulphate deposition may be.

#### 460 **3.3.2 Motivation**

464

In the days following the June 1991 Pinatubo eruption, satellite SO<sub>2</sub> measurements show (e.g. Guo et al., 2004a)
that the peak gas phase sulphur loading was 7 to 11.5 Tg [S] (or 14 -23 Tg SO<sub>2</sub>). The chemical conversion to

463 sulphuric aerosol that occurred in the tropical reservoir over the following weeks, and the subsequent transport

to mid- and high-latitudes, caused a major enhancement to the stratospheric aerosol layer. The peak particle

465 sulphur loading, through this global dispersion phase, reached only around half that in the initial  $SO_2$  emission, 466 the maximum particle sulphur loading measured as 3.7 to 6.7 Tg [S] (Lambert et al., 1993; Baran and Foot,

467 1994), based on an aqueous sulphuric acid composition range of 59 to 77% by weight (Grainger et al., 1993).

Whereas some model studies with aerosol microphysical processes find consistency with observations for  $SO_2$ 468 469 injection values of 8.5 Tg S (e.g., Niemeier et al., 2009; Toohey et al., 2011; Brühl et al., 2015), several recent 470 microphysical model studies (Dhomse et al., 2014; Sheng et al. 2015a; Mills et al., 2016) find best agreement 471 for an injected sulphur amount at, or even below, the lower end of the range from the satellite  $SO_2$ 472 measurements. Model predictions are known to be sensitive to differences in assumed injection height (e.g. 473 Sheng et al., 2015b, Jones et al., 2016) and whether models resolve radiative heating and "self-lofting" effects 474 also affects subsequent transport pathways (e.g. Young, R. E. et al., 1994; Timmreck et al. 1999b; Aquila et al., 475 2012). Another potential mechanism that could explain part of the apparent model-observation discrepancy is 476 that a substantial proportion of the sulphur may have been removed from the plume in the first months after the 477 eruption due to accommodation onto co-emitted ash/ice (Guo et al., 2004b) and subsequent sedimentation.

This ISA-MIP experiment will explore these issues further, with the participating models carrying out co-478 479 ordinated experiments of the three most recent major eruptions, with specified common SO<sub>2</sub> amounts and 480 injection heights (Table 6). This design ensures the analysis can focus on key inter-model differences such as 481 stratospheric circulation/dynamics, the impacts from radiative-dynamical interactions and the effects of aerosol 482 microphysical schemes. Analysing how the vertical profile of the enhanced stratospheric aerosol layer evolves 483 during global dispersion and decay, will provide a key indicator for why the models differ, and what are the key driving mechanisms. Furthermore, the actual response of the BDC and mean age of air to Pinatubo is poorly 484 constrained by existing reanalysis data (Garfinkel et al., 2017). While some modeling studies reported a 485 486 decreasing mean age of air following volcanic eruptions throughout the stratosphere (Garcia et al., 2011; 487 Garfinkel et al., 2017), show other an increase in mean age (Diallo et al., 2017). Moreover, Muthers et al. (2016) 488 found decreasing mean age of air in the middle and upper stratosphere and increasing mean age below, while 489 Pitari et al. (2016a) found decreasing mean age at higher levels of 30 hPa in the tropics and 10 hPa in the middle 490 latitudes after the Pinatubo eruption. The HerSEA experiment in combination with a passive volcanic tracer 491 might therefore help to better constrain the response of the BDC to volcanic eruptions using observations and 492 help to clarify the uncertainties in age of air changes after the Pinatubo eruption. For all three major eruptions, 493 we have identified key observational datasets (Table 7) that will provide benchmark tests to evaluate the vertical

494 profile, covering a range of different aerosol metrics.

### 495 **3.3.3 Experiment setup and specifications**

- Each modelling group will run a mini-ensemble of transient AMIP-type runs for the 3 eruptions with upper and lower bound  $SO_2$  emissions and 3 different injection height settings: two shallow (e.g. 19-21 km and 23-25 km)
- 498 and one deep (e.g. 19-25 km) (see Table 7). The seasonal cycle of the BDC affects the hemispheric dispersion
- 499 of the aerosol plume (e.g. Toohey et al., 2011) and the phase of the QBO is also known to be key control for
- 500 tropical eruptions (e.g. Trepte and Hitchman, 1992). In order to quantify the contribution of the tracer transport,
- 501 a passive tracer Volc (Table S3) will is recommended to be additionally initialized and transported. Note since
- the AMIP-type simulations will be transient, prescribing time-varying sea-surface temperatures, the models will

automatically match the surface climate state (ENSO, NAO) through each post-eruption period. Where possible,

- 504 models should re-initialise (if they have internally generated QBO) or use specified dynamics approaches (e.g.
- 505 Telford et al., 2008) to ensure the model dynamics is consistent with the QBO evolution through the post-
- 506 eruption period. General circulation models should use GHG concentrations appropriate for the period and 507 models with interactive stratospheric chemistry should ensure the loading of Ozone Depleting Substances
- 508 (ODSs) matches that for the time period.
- Table 8 shows the settings for the  $SO_2$  injection for each eruption. Note that experience of running interactive stratospheric aerosol simulations shows that the vertical extent of the enhanced stratospheric aerosol will be different from the altitude range in which the  $SO_2$  is injected. So, these sensitivity simulations will allow to
- 512 assess the behaviour of the individual models with identical settings for the SO<sub>2</sub> injection.
- 513 For these major eruptions, where the perturbation is much larger than in TAR, model diagnostics include AOD 514 and extinction at multiple wavelengths and heating rates (K/day) in the lower stratosphere to identify the stratospheric warming induced by simulated volcanic enhancement, including exploring compensating effects 515 516 from other constituents (e.g. Kinne et al., 1992). To allow the global variation in size distribution to be 517 intercompared, models will also provide 3D-monthly effective radius, with also cumulative number 518 concentration at several size-cuts for direct comparison to balloon measurements. Examining the co-variation of 519 the particle size distribution with variations in extinction at different wavelengths will be of particular interest in 520 relation to approaches used to interpret astronomical measurements of eruptions in the pre-in-situ era (Stothers, 521 1996, 2001). A 3-member ensemble will be submitted for each different injection setting.
- 522

503

## 523 **3.4.** Pinatubo Emulation in Multiple models" (PoEMs)

#### 524 3.4.1 Summary of experiment

525 The PoEMS experiment will involve each interactive stratospheric aerosol model running a perturbed parameter 526 -ensemble (PPE) of simulations through the 1991-1995 Pinatubo-perturbed period. Variation-based sensitivity 527 analysis will derive a probability distribution function (PDF) for each model's predicted Pinatubo forcing, 528 following techniques applied successfully to quantify and attribute sources of uncertainty in tropospheric aerosol

529 forcings (e.g. Carslaw et al., 2013). The approach will teach us which aspects of the radiative forcing from

- 530 major eruptions is most uncertain, and will enable us to identify how sensitive model predictions of key features
- 531 (e.g. timing and value of peak forcing and decay timescales) are to uncertainties in several model parameters.
- 532 By comparing the time-signatures of different underlying aerosol metrics (mid-visible AOD, effective radius,
- 533 particle number) between models, and crucially also against observations, may also help to reduce the natural
- 534 forcing uncertainty, potentially thereby making the next generation of climate models more robust.

#### 535 **3.4.2 Motivation**

536 The sudden global cooling from major eruptions is a key signature in the historical climate record and a natural 537 global warming signature occurs after peak cooling as volcanic aerosol is slowly removed from the stratosphere. 538 Quantitative information on the uncertainty range of volcanic forcings is therefore urgently needed. The amount 539 of data collected by satellite-, ground-, and air-borne instruments in the period following the 1991 eruption of 540 Mount Pinatubo (see e.g. section 3.3.2, Table 7) provides an opportunity to test model capabilities in simulating 541 large perturbations of stratospheric aerosol and their effect on the climate. Recent advances in quantify uncertainty in climate models (e.g. Rougier et al., 2009;Lee at al. 2011) involve running ensembles of 542 543 simulations to systematically explore combinations of different external forcings to scope the range of possible 544 realisations. There are now a large number of general circulation models (GCMs) with prognostic aerosol 545 modules, which tend to assess the stratospheric aerosol perturbation through the Pinatubo-perturbed period (see Table 9). Although these different models achieve reasonable agreement with the observations, this consistency 546 547 of skill is achieved with considerable diversity in the values assumed for the initial magnitude and distribution of the SO<sub>2</sub> injection. The SO<sub>2</sub> injections prescribed by different models range from 5Tg-S to 10 Tg-S, and the 548 549 upper edge of the injection altitude varies among models from as low as 18km to as high as 29km, as shown in Table 9. Such simulations also differ in the choice of the vertical distribution of  $SO_2$  injection (e.g. uniform, 550 551 Gaussian, or triangular distributions) and the horizontal injection area (one to several grid boxes). The fact that 552 different choices of injection parameters lead to similar results in different models points to differences in the 553 models' internal treatment of aerosol evolution. Accurately capturing microphysical processes such as 554 coagulational, growth and subsequent rates of sedimentation has been shown to be important for volcanic forcings (English et al., 2013), but some studies (e.g. Mann et al., 2015) identify that these processes interplay 555 556 also with aerosol-radiation interactions, the associated dynamical effects changing the fate of the volcanic 557 sulphur and its removal into the troposphere. The PoEMS experiment will specifically assess this issue by adjusting the rate of specific microphysical processes in each model simultaneously with perturbations to SO<sub>2</sub> 558 559 emission and injection height, thereby assessing the footprint of their influence on subsequent volcanic forcing 560 in different complexity aerosol schemes and the relative contribution to uncertainty from emissions and 561 microphysics.

#### 562 **3.4.3 Experiment setup and specifications**

563 For each model, an ensemble of simulations will be performed varying SO<sub>2</sub> injection parameters and a selection

564 of internal model parameters within a realistic uncertainty distribution. A maximin Latin hypercube sampling

strategy will be used to define parameter values to be set in each PPE member in order to obtain good coverage

- of the parameter space. The maximin Latin hypercube is designed such that the range of every single parameter
- is well sampled and the sampling points are well spread through the multi-dimensional uncertainty space this
- is achieved by splitting the range of every parameter into N intervals and ensuring that precisely one point is in
- each interval in all dimensions, where N is the total number of model simulations, and the minimum distance

570 between any pair of points in all dimensions is maximised. Fig. 6 shows the projection onto two dimensions of a

- 571 Latin hypercube built in 8 dimensions with 50 model simulations. The size of the Latin hypercube needed will 572 depend on the number of model parameters to be perturbed; the number of simulations to be performed will be 573 equal to ten times the number of parameters - seven per parameter to build the emulator and three per
- 574 parameter to validate the emulator. All parameters are perturbed simultaneously in the Latin hypercube.
- 575 In order to be inclusive of modelling groups with less computing time available, and different types of aerosol 576 schemes, we define 3 options of experimental design with different numbers of perturbed parameters and thus 577 simulation ensemble members. The 3 options involve varying all 8 (standard set), 5 (reduced set), or 3 578 (minimum set) of the list of uncertain parameters, resulting in ensembles of 80 (standard), 50 (reduced) or 30 579 (minimum) PPE members. The parameters to be varied are shown in Table 10, and include variables related to 580 the volcanic injection, such as its magnitude, height, latitudinal extent, and composition, and to the life cycle of the volcanic sulphate, such as the sedimentation rate, its microphysical evolution, and the SO<sub>2</sub> to  $SO_4^{2-}$ 581 582 conversion rate.
- 583 Prior to performing the full PPE, modelling groups are encouraged to run "One-At-a-Time" (OAT) test runs with each of the process parameters increased/decreased to its maximum/minimum value. Submission of these 584 585 OAT test runs is encouraged (following the naming convention in Table 11) because as well as being an important check that the model parameter-scaling is being implemented as intended, the results will also enable 586 587 intercomparison of single-parameter effects between participating models ahead of the full ensemble. When 588 imposing the parameter-scalings, the models must only enact that change in gridboxes with volcanicallyenhanced air masses. This can be determined either via total sulphur volume mixing ratio threshold suitable for 589 590 the particular model, or via the "passive tracer Volc" recommended in section 3.3.3. Restricting the perturbation 591 to the Pinatubo sulphur will leave pre-eruption conditions and tropospheric aerosol properties unchanged. 592 ensuring a clean "uncertainty pdf" for the volcanic forcing"
- 593 That this restriction to the parameter-scalings is operational is an important preparatory exercise and will need to 594 have been verified when running the OAT test runs.
- 595 Once a modelling group has performed the PPE of simulations as defined by the Latin hypercube a statistical 596 analysis will be performed. Emulators for each of a selection of key metrics will be built, following the 597 approach described by Lee et al. (2011), to examine how the parameters lead to uncertainty in key features of
- the Pinatubo-perturbed stratospheric aerosol. The emulator builds a statistical model between the ensemble
- 599 design and the key model output and once validated allows sampling of the whole parameter space to derive a
- 600 PDF of each key model output.

601 Variance-based sensitivity analysis will then be used to decompose the resulting probability distribution into its 602 sources providing information on the key sources of uncertainty in any model output. The two sensitivity indices 603 of interest are called the main effect and the total effect. The main effect measures the percentage of uncertainty 604 in the simulated metric due to each parameter-variation individually. The total effect measures the percentage of 605 uncertainty in the key model output due to each parameter, including the additional contribution from its 606 interaction with other uncertain parameters. The sources of model parametric uncertainty (i.e. the sensitivity 607 indices) will be identified for each model with discussion with each group to check the results. By then 608 comparing the sensitivity to the uncertain parameters across the range of participating models, we will learn about how the model's differing treatment of aerosol processes, and the inherent dynamical and chemical 609 610 processes resolved in the host model, together determine the uncertainty in its predicted Pinatubo radiative 611 forcings.

The probability distribution of observable key model outputs will also be compared to observations, in order to constrain the key sources of uncertainty and thereby reduce the parametric uncertainty in individual models. The resulting model constraints will be compared between models providing quantification of both parametric uncertainty and structural uncertainty for key variables such as AOD, effective radius and radiative flux anomalies. This sensitivity analysis will also identify the variables for which better observational constraints would yield the greatest reduction in model uncertainties.

618

## 619 4. Conclusions

620 The ISA-MIP experiments will improve understanding of stratospheric aerosol processes, chemistry, and 621 dynamics, and constrain climate impacts of background aerosol "variability", small volcanic eruptions, and 622 large volcanic eruptions. The experiments will also help to resolve some disagreements amongst global aerosol 623 models, for instance the difference in volcanic  $SO_2$  forcing efficacy for Pinatubo (see section 3.3.2). The results 624 of this work will help constrain the contribution of stratospheric aerosols to the early 21st century global warming hiatus period, the effects from hypothetical geoengineering schemes, and other climate processes that 625 626 are influenced by the stratosphere. Overall they provide an excellent opportunity to answer some of these 627 questions as part of the greater WCRP SPARC and CMIP6 efforts. For example, the CMIP6 Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP, Kravitz et al., 2015) investigates common ways in which climate 628 models treat various geoengineering scenarios some of them via sulphate aerosols (e.g. Tilmes et al., 2015). 629 However, there is a large inter model spread for the cooling efficiency of sulphate aerosol, i.e. the normalized 630 631 cooling rate per injected unit of sulphur (Moriyama et al., 2016). ISA-MIP is therefore of special importance for GeoMIP as it could help to understand the reason for these uncertainties, to better constrain the forcing 632 633 efficiency and to improve future scenarios. Furthermore it is so far not clear whether the large inter-model spread of the CMIP5 models in the simulated post-volcanic climate response mostly depends on uncertainties in 634 635 the imposed volcanic forcing or on an insufficient representation of climate processes. To discriminate the 636 individual uncertainty factors it is useful to develop standardized experiments/model activities that 637 systematically address specific uncertainty factors. Hence ISA-MIP, which covers the uncertainties in the

- 638 pathway from the eruption source to the volcanic radiative forcing, will complement the CMIP6 VolMIP project
- 639 (Zanchettin et al., 2016) which addresses the pathway from the forcing to the climate response and the feedback,
- 640 by studying the uncertainties in the post-volcanic climate response to a well-defined volcanic forcing. ISA-MIP
- 641 also complements the chemistry climate model initiative CCMI (Eyring et al., 2013) and the Aerosol
- 642 Comparison (AeroCom) initiative (Schulz et al., 2006 ) as well as the Aerosol Chemistry Model
- 643 Intercomparison Project (AerChemMIP, Collins et al., 2017) as it concentrates on stratospheric aerosol which is
- 644 not in the focus of all these activities.
- 645 As well as identifying areas of agreement and disagreement among the different complexities of models in toplevel comparisons focussing on fields such as zonal-mean mid-visible AOD and extinction profiles in different 646 647 latitudes, ISA-MIP also intend to explore relationships between key parameters. For example, how does sulphate deposition to the polar ice sheets relate to volcanic forcing in the different interactive stratospheric 648 649 aerosol models that predict the transport and sedimentation of the particles? Or how do model "spectral 650 extinction curves" evolve through the different volcanically-perturbed periods and how do they relate to 651 simulated effective radius compared to the theoretical approach to derive effective radius from Stothers (1997; 652 2001). There is considerable potential to apply the model uncertainty analysis to make new statements to inform 653 our confidence of volcanic forcings derived from ice core and astronomical measurements for eruptions before the in-situ measurement era.
- 654 the in 655

## 656 Code and data availability

- The model output from the all simulations described in this paper will be distributed through the World Data climate Center https://www.dkrz.de/up/systems/wdcch with digital object identifiers (DOIs) as-signed. The model output will be freely accessible through this data portal after registration.
- 660

### 661 Author contributions.

- 662 CT, GWM VA, RH, LAL, AS, CB, SC MC, SSD, TD, JME, MJM, RN, JXS, MT and D.W designed the 663 experiments. CT and GWM coordinated the writing, and drafted the manuscript. All authors have contributed to 664 the writing and have approved of the final version of the manuscript.
- 665

### 666 Competing interests.

667 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 668 Acknowledgements

The authors thank their SSiRC colleagues for continuing support and discussion. We acknowledge the scientific guidance (and sponsorship) of the World Climate Research Programme to motivate this work, to be coordinated in the framework of SPARC. C. Timmreck, M. Toohey and R. Hommel acknowledge support from the German federal Ministry of Education (BMBF), research programmes "MiKlip"

(FKZ:01LP130A(CT):/01LP1130B(MT)), and ROMIC-ROSA (FKZ: 01LG1212A (RH)). C. Timmreck is also 673 supported by the European Union project StratoClim (FP7-ENV.2013.6.1-2). C. Brühl's PhD student S. 674 675 Schallock, who contributed to the compilation of the volcano inventory, is also supported by StratoClim. A. Schmidt was funded by an Academic Research Fellowship from the School of Earth and Environment, 676 677 University of Leeds and NERC grant NE/N006038/1. M. Toohey acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the framework of the priority programme "Antarctic Research with 678 679 comparative investigations in Arctic ice areas" through grant TO 967/1-1. The National Center for Atmospheric 680 Research is funded by the National Science Foundation. Lindsay Lee is a Leverhulme Early Career Fellow 681 funded under the Leverhulme Trust grant ECF-2014-524. 682

## 683 **References**

- Andres, R. J. and Kasgnoc, A. D.: A time-averaged inventory of subaerial volcanic sulfur emissions, J.
  Geophys. Res., 103, 25251–25261, 1998.
- 686 Antuña, J. C., Robock, A., Stenchikov, G. L., Thomason, L. W. and Barnes, J. E.: Lidar validation of SAGE II
- aerosol measurements after the 1991 Mount Pinatubo eruption, J. Geophys. Res., 107 (D14),
  10.1029/2001JD001441, 2002.
- Aquila, V., Oman, L. D., Stolarski, R. S., Colarco, P. R., and Newman, P. A.: Dispersion of the volcanic sulfate 689 690 cloud from a Mount Pinatubo-like eruption, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D06216, 691 doi:10.1029/2011JD016968, 2012.
- Aquila, V., Oman, L. D., Stolarski, R., Douglass, A. R., and Newman, P. A.: The Response of Ozone and
  Nitrogen Dioxide to the Eruption of Mt. Pinatubo at Southern and Northern Midlatitudes. Journal of
- 694 Atmospheric Science, 70(3), 894–900. doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0143.1, 2013.
- Aquila, V., Garfinkel, C. I., Newman, P., Oman, L. D., and Waugh, D. W.: Modifications of the quasi-biennial
- oscillation by a geoengineering perturbation of the stratospheric aerosol layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 1738–
  1744, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058818, 2014.
- 0)/ 1/11, https://doi.org/10.1002/2019@E090010, 2011.
- 698 Avdyushin, S.I. Tulinov, G. F., Ivanov, M. S., Kuzmenko, B. N., Mezhue, I. R., Nardi, B., Hauchecorne, I. A.,
- 699 Chanin, M.-L., 1. Spatial and temporal evolution of the optical thickness of the Pinatubo aerosol clouds in the
- Northern Hemisphere from a network of ship-borne and stationary lidars, Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 20, no. 18,
  1963-1966, 1993.
- 702 Baran, A. J. and Foot, J. S.: New application of the operational sounder HIRS in determining a climatology of
- sulphuric acid aerosol from the Pinatubo eruption, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 673–679, 1994.
- Bekki, S.: Oxidation of volcanic SO2: a sink for stratospheric OH and H2 O, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 913–916,
  1995.
- Bekki, S., Pyle, J. A., Zhong, Tourni, R., Haigh, J. D., and Pyle, D. M.: The role of microphysical and chemical
  processes in prolonging the climate forcing of the Toba Eruption, Geophys. Res. Lett. 23, 2669–2672, 1996.
- 708 Bingen, C., Robert, C. E., Stebel, K., Brühl, C., Schallock, J., Vanhellemont, F., Mateshvili N., Höpfner, M.,
- 709 Trickl, T., Barnes, J.E., Jumelet, J., Vernier, J.-P., Popp T, Gerrit de Leeuw, G., Pinnock, S.: Stratospheric
- 710 aerosol data records for the climate change initiative: Development, validation and application to chemistry-
- 711 climate modelling. Remote Sensing of Environment. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.002</u>, 2017
- 712 Bittner, M., Timmreck, C., H. Schmidt, H., Toohey, M., and Krüger, K.: The impact of wave-mean flow
- 713 interaction on the Northern Hemisphere polar vortex after tropical volcanic eruptions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
- 714 121, 5281 5297, doi:10.1002/2015JD024603, 2016.
- 715 Bourassa, A. E., Degenstein, D. A., Gattinger, R. L., and Llewellyn, E. J.: Stratospheric aerosol retrieval with
- 716 OSIRIS limb scatter measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10 217, doi:10.1029/2006JD008079, 2007.
- 717 Bourassa, A. E., Robock, A., Randel, W. J., Deshler, T., Rieger, L. A., Lloyd, N. D., Llewellyn, E. J. T., and
- 718 Degenstein, D. A.: Large volcanic aerosol load in the stratosphere linked to Asian monsoon transport, Science,
- 719 337, 78–81, doi:10.1126/science.1219371, 2012.

- 720 Bovensmann, H. Burrows, J:P.; Buchwitz, M., Frerick, J., Noël, S., Rozanov, V. V., Chance, K.V., and Goede,
- A. P. H : SCIAMACHY: Mission Objectives and Measurement Modes, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 127–150, doi:
- 722 10.1175/1520-0469, 1999.
- 723 Browell, E. V., Butler, C. F., Fenn, M. A., Grant, W. B., Ismail, S., Schoeberl, M. R., Toon, O. B., Loewenstein,
- 724 M., Podolske, J. R.: Ozone and Aerosol Changes During the 1991-2 Airborne Arctic Stratospheric Expedition,
- 725 Science, 261, 1151-1158, 1993
- 726 Brühl, C., Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., and Tost, H.: The role of carbonyl sulphide as a source of stratospheric
- sulphate aerosol and its impact on climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1239–1253, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12392012, 2012.
- 729 Brühl, C., Lelieveld, J., Tost, H., Höpfner, M, and Glatthor, N.: Stratospheric sulphur and its implications for
- radiative forcing simulated by the chemistry climate model EMAC, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 2103–2118,
- 731 doi:10.1002/2014JD022430, 2015.
- 732 Brühl, C. et al. (2018). Volcanic SO2 data derived from limb viewing satellites for the lower stratosphere from

http://cera-

- 733 1998 to 2012. World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ.
- 734 www.dkrz.de/WDCC/ui/Compact.jsp?acronym=SSIRC\_1
- 735 Carn, S.A., Clarisse, L., and Prata, A. J.: Multi-decadal satellite measurements of global volcanic degassing, J.
- of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 311, 99-134, 2016.
- 737 Charlton-Perez, A. J., Baldwin, M. P., Birner, T., Black, R. X., Butler, A. H., Calvo, N., Davis, N. A., Gerber, E.
- P., Gillett, N., Hardiman, S., Kim, J., Krüger, K., Lee, Y.-Y., Manzini, E., McDaniel, B. A., Polvani, L.,
- 739 Reichler, T., Shaw, T. A., Sigmond, M., Son, S.-W., Toohey, M., Wilcox, L., Yoden, S., Christiansen, B., Lott,
- F., Shindell, D., Yukimoto, S., and Watanabe, S.: On the lack of stratospheric dynamical variability in low-top
- versions of the CMIP5 models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 2494 2505, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50125, 2013.
- Clemesha, B. R., Kent, G. S. and Wright, R. W. H.: Laser probing the lower atmosphere, Nature, vol. 209, 184185, 1966.
- Collins, W. J., Lamarque, J.-F., Schulz, M., Boucher, O., Eyring, V., Hegglin, M. I., Maycock, A., Myhre, G.,
- Prather, M., Shindell, D., and Smith, S. J.: AerChemMIP: quantifying the effects of chemistry and aerosols in
  CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 585-607, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-585-2017, 2017.
- 747 Crowley, T. J. and Unterman, M. B.: Technical details concerning development of a 1200 yr proxy index for
- 748 global volcanism, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 5, 187–197, doi:10.5194/essd-5-187-2013, 2013.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.
- A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol,
- 751 C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L.,
- 752 Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K.,
- 753 Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J. N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis:
- Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597,
- 755 doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J.
- 757 J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J.-P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.:
- Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom,
- 759 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321-4344, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006, 2006.
- 760 Deshler, T.: In situ measurements of Pinatubo aerosol over Kiruna on four days between 18 January and 13
- 761 February 1992, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 1323–1326, 1994
- 762 Deshler, T., Hervig, M. E., Hofmann, D. J., Rosen, J. M., and Liley, J. B.: Thirty years of in situ stratospheric
- aerosol size distribution measurements from Laramie, Wyoming (41N), using balloon-borne instruments, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4167 doi:10.1029/2002JD002514, 2003.
- 765 Deshler, T.: A review of global stratospheric aerosol: measurements, importance, life cycle, and local
- 766 stratospheric aerosol, Atmos. Res., 90, 223–232, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.03.016, 2008.
- 767 Dhomse, S. S., Emmerson, K. M., Mann, G. W., Bellouin, N., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., Hommel, R.,
- Abraham, N. L., Telford, P., Braesicke, P., Dalvi, M., Johnson, C. E., O'Connor, F., Morgenstern, O., Pyle, J. A.,
- 769 Deshler, T., Zawodny, J. M., and Thomason, L. W.: Aerosol microphysics simulations of the Mt. Pinatubo
- r70 eruption with the UM-UKCA composition-climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11221–11246, doi:
- 771 10.5194/acp-14-11221-2014, 2014
- Diehl, T., Heil, A., Chin, M., Pan, X., Streets, D., Schultz, M., and Kinne, S.: Anthropogenic, biomass burning,
  and volcanic emissions of black carbon, organic carbon, and SO2 from 1980 to 2010 for hindcast model
- experiments, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 24895–24954, doi:10.5194/acpd-12-24895-2012, 2012.
- Diallo, M., Ploeger, F., Konopka, P., Birner, T., Müller, R., Riese, M. Jegou, F.: Significant Contributions of
- Volcanic Aerosols to Decadal Changes in the Stratospheric Circulation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 10780,
- 777 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074662, 2017.
- 778 Dyer, A. J. and Hicks, B. B.: Stratospheric transport of volcanic dust inferred from surface radiation
- 779 measurements, Nature, no. 5006, 131-133, 1965.
- 780 Dyer, A. J. and Hicks, B. B.: Global spread of volcanic dust from the Bali eruption of 1963, Q. J. Roy. Met.
- 781 Soc., 94, 545-554, 1968.
- 782 Ebert, M., Weigel, R., Kandler, K., Günther, G., Molleker, S., Grooß, J.-U., Vogel, B., Weinbruch, S., and
- 783 Borrmann, S.: Chemical analysis of refractory stratospheric aerosol particles collected within the arctic vortex
- and inside polar stratospheric clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 8405-8421, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-84052016, 2016.
- 786 Elterman, L. Wexler, R. and Chang, D. T.: Features of Tropospheric and Stratospheric Dust, Applied Optics,
- 787 vol. 8, No. 5, 893—903, 1969.
- 788 English, J. M., Toon, O. B., Mills, M. J., and Yu, F.: Microphysical simulations of new particle formation in the
- upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9303–9322, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9303-2011,
  2011.
- 791 English, J. M., Toon, O. B. and Mills, M J: Microphysical simulations of large volcanic eruptions: Pinatubo and
- 792 Toba, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 1880–1895, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50196, 2013

- Eyring, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Hess, P., Arfeuille, F., Bowman, K., Chipperfield, M. P., Duncan, B., Fiore, A.,
- 794 Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Granier, C., Hegglin, M., Kinnison, D., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Luo, B.,
- 795 Martin, R., Matthes, K., Newman, P. A., Peter, T., Rob ock, A., Ryerson, T., Saiz-Lopez, A., Salawitch, R.,
- Schultz, M., Shepherd, T. G., Shindell, D., Staehelin, J., Tegtmeier, S., Thomason, L., Tilmes, S., Vernier, J.-P.,
- 797 Waugh, D. W., and Young, P. J.: Overview of IGAC/SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI)
- 798 Community Simulations in Support of Upcoming Ozone and Climate Assessments, SPARC Newsletter No. 40,
- p. 48-66, 2013
- Flowers, E. C. and Viebrock, H. J.: Solar Radiation: An Anomalous Decrease of Direct Solar Radiation,
  Science, 148 (3669), 493-494. 1965.
- Friend, J. P.: Properties of the stratospheric aerosol, Tellus, 18, 465-473, 1966.
- 803 Gao, C., Oman, L., Robock, A. and Stenchikov, G. L.: Atmospheric volcanic loading derived from bipolar ice
- cores: Accounting for the spatial distribution of volcanic deposition, J. Geophys. Res., 112(D9),
  doi:10.1029/2006JD007461, 2007.
- Gao, C., Robock, A., and Ammann, C.: Volcanic forcing of climate over the past 1500 years: an improved ice
  core-based index for climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D23111, doi:10.1029/2008JD010239, 2008.
- 808 Garcia, R. R., Randel, W. J., and Kinnison, D. E.: On the determination of age of air trends from atmospheric
- trace species, J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 139–154, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3527.1, 2011.
- 810 Garfinkel, C. I., Aquila, V., Waugh, D. W., and Oman, L. D.: Time-varying changes in the simulated structure
- 811 of the Brewer–Dobson Circulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1313-1327, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1313812 2017, 2017.
- 813 Glatthor, N., Höpfner, M.; Baker, I: T., Berry, J.; Campbell, J. E., Kawa, S. R., Krysztofiak, G. Leyser, A.,
- 814 Sinnhuber, B.-M. Stiller, G. P., Stinecipher, J. and von Clarmann, T.: Tropical sources and sinks of carbonyl
- sulfide observed from space, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 10,082–10,090, doi:10.1002/2015GL066293, 2015.
- Grams, G. and Fiocco, G.: Stratospheric Aerosol Layer during 1964 and 1965, J. Geophys. Res., 72(14), 35233542, 1967.
- Grainger, R. G., Lambert, A., Taylor, F. W., Remedios, J. J., Rogers, C. D., and Corney, M.: Infrared absorption
  by volcanic stratospheric aerosols observed by ISAMS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 1287–1290, 1993.
- 820 Granier, C., Bessagnet, B., Bond, T. C., D'Angiola, A., Denier van der Gon, H., Frost, G. J., Heil, A., Kaiser, J.
- 821 W., Kinne, S., Klimont, Z., Kloster, S., Lamarque, J.-F., Liousse, C., Masui, T., Meleux, F., Mieville, A., Ohara,
- 822 T., Raut, J.-C., Riahi, K., Schultz, M. G., Smith, S. J., Thompson, A., Aardenne, J., Werf, G. R., and Vuuren, D.
- 823 P.: Evolution of anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales
- 4. during the 1980-2010 period. Climatic Change, 109, 163-190, DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0154-1, 2011.
- 825 Günther, A., Höpfner, M., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Griessbach, S., Deshler, T., von Clarmann, T., and Stiller, G.:
- 826 MIPAS observations of volcanic sulfate aerosol and sulfur dioxide in the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18,
- 827 1217-1239, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1217-2018, 2018.

- Guo, S., Bluth, G. J. S., Rose, W. I., Watson, I. M. and Prata, A. J.: Re-evaluation of SO2 release of the 15 June
- 829 1991 Pinatubo eruption using ultraviolet and infrared satellite sensors, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems,
- 830 5(4), 1-31, 2004a.
- Guo, S, Rose, W.I:, Bluth, G.J.S: and Watson, I. M.: Particles in the great Pinatubo volcanic cloud of June 1991:
- the role of ice, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 5, (5) Q05003, doi: 10.1029/2003GC000655, 2004b.
- Hamill, P., Jensen, E. J., Russel, P. B., and Bauman, J. J.: The life cycle of stratospheric aerosol particles, B.
- Am. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 1395–1410, 1997.
- 835 Hamill, P. and Brogniez, C.: Ch 4. Stratospheric aerosol record and climatology, in: SPARC Assessment of
- 836 Stratospheric Aerosol Properties, edited by: Thomason, L. and Peter, T., World Climate Research Program 124,
- 837 Toronto, 107–176, 2006.
- 838 Hofmann, D. J. and Rosen, J. M.: Sulfuric acid droplet formation and growth in the stratosphere after the 1982
- eruption of El Chichón, Geophys. Res. Lett, 10, 313–316. doi:10.1029/GL010i004p00313, 1983.
- 840 Hofmann, D. J. and Rosen, J. M., On the prolonged lifetime of the El Chichón sulfuric acid aerosol cloud, J.
- 841 Geophys. Res., 92(8), 9825—9830, 1987.
- 842 Hofmann, D., Barnes, J. O'Neill, M., Trudeau, M. and Neely, R.: Increase in background stratospheric aerosol
- observed with lidar at Mauna Loa Observatory and Boulder, Colorado, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, 1–5, 2009.
- Hommel, R., Timmreck, C., and Graf, H. F.: The global middle-atmosphere aerosol model MAECHAM5-
- SAM2: comparison with satellite and in-situ observations, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 809–834, doi:10.5194/gmd-4809-2011, 2011.
- Hommel, R., Timmreck, C., Giorgetta, M. A., and Graf, H. F.: Quasi-biennial oscillation of the tropical
  stratospheric aerosol layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5557-5584, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5557-2015, 2015.
- 849 Höpfner, M., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Orphal, J., Stiller, G., von
- 850 Clarmann, T., Funke, B., and Boone, C. D.: Sulfur dioxide (SO2) as observed by MIPAS/Envisat: temporal
- 851 development and spatial distribution at 15-45 km altitude, Atmos.Chem. Phys., 13, 10405-10423,
- doi:10.5194/acp-13-10405-2013, 2013.
- 853 Höpfner, M., Boone, C. D., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Günther, A., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M.,
- Linden, A., Lossow, S., Pumphrey, H. C., Read, W. G., Roiger, A., Stiller, G., Schlager, H., von Clarmann, T.,
- and Wissmüller, K.: Sulfur dioxide (SO2) from MIPAS in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere 2002–
- 856 2012, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7017-7037, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7017-2015, 2015.
- Jones, A. C., J. M. Haywood, A. Jones, and Aquila, V.: Sensitivity of volcanic aerosol dispersion to
  meteorological conditions: A Pinatubo case study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 6892 6908,
  doi:10.1002/2016JD025001, 2016.
- 860 Kent, G. S., Clemesha, B. R and Wright, R. W.: High altitude atmospheric scattering of light from a laser beam,
- 861 J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., vol. 29, 169-181, 1967.
- Kinne, S., Toon, O.B., and Prather, M. J.: Buffering of stratospheric circulation by changing amounts of tropical
  ozone a Pinatubo Case Study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 19, 1927–1930, doi:10.1029/92GL01937, 1992.

- Kleinschmitt, C., Boucher, O., and Platt, U.: Sensitivity of the radiative forcing by stratospheric sulfur
  geoengineering to the amount and strategy of the SO2injection studied with the LMDZ-S3A model, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 18, 2769-2786, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2769-2018, 2018.
- Kokkola, H., Hommel, R., Kazil, J., Niemeier, U., Partanen, A.-I., Feichter, J., and Timmreck, C.: Aerosol
- microphysics modules in the framework of the ECHAM5 climate model intercomparison under stratospheric
   conditions, Geosci. Model Dev., 2, 97-112, doi:10.5194/gmd-2-97-2009, 2009.
- 870 Kovilakam, M., and Deshler T.: On the accuracy of stratospheric aerosol extinction derived from in situ size
- 871 distribution measurements and surface area density derived from remote SAGE II and HALOE extinction
- 872 measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, doi:10.1002/2015JD023303, 2015.
- 873 Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Tilmes, S., Boucher, O., English, J. M., Irvine, P. J., Jones, A., Lawrence, M. G.,
- 874 MacCracken, M., Muri, H., Moore, J. C., Niemeier, U., Phipps, S. J., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Wang, H., and
- 875 Watanabe, S.: The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): simulation design and
- 876 preliminary results, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 3379-3392, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3379-2015, 2015.
- 877 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Toohey, M., Stenke,
- A., Schwarz, J. P., Weigel, R., Fueglistaler, S., Prata, F. J., Vernier, J.-P., Schlager, H., Barnes, J. E., Antuña-
- 879 Marrero, J.-C., Fairlie, D., Palm, M., Mahieu, E., Notholt, J., Rex, M., Bingen, C., Vanhellemont, F., Bourassa,
- A., Plane, J. M. C., Klocke, D., Carn, S. A., Clarisse, L., Trickl, T., Neely, R., James, A. D., Rieger, L., Wilson,
- J. C. and Meland, B.: Stratospheric aerosol Observations, processes, and impact on climate, Rev. Geophys., 54,
   doi:10.1002/2015RG000511, 2016.
- Krueger, A. J., Krotkov, N. A., Carn, S. A: El Chichon: the genesis of volcanic sulfur dioxide monitoring from
  space J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 175 (2008), pp. 408-414, 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.02.026, 2008.
- Lambert, A., Grainger, R., Remedios, J., Rodgers, C., Corney, M., and Taylor, F.: Measurements of the evolution of the Mt. Pinatubo aerosol cloud by ISAMS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 1287–1290, 1993.
- Lee, L. A., Carslaw, K. S., Pringle, K. J., Mann, G. W., and Spracklen, D. V.: Emulation of a complex global
  aerosol model to quantify sensitivity to uncertain parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12253-12273,
  doi:10.5194/acp-11-12253-2011, 2011.
- Mann, G. W., Dhomse, S., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Schmidt, A., Neely, R. and Thomason, L.: Evolving particle size is the key to improved volcanic forcings, Past Global Change (PAGES), vol. 23, 2, 52-53, 2015.
- 892 Marshall, L., Schmidt, A., Toohey, M., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., Sigl, M., Khodri, M., Timmreck, C.,
- Zanchettin, D., Ball, W. T., Bekki, S., Brooke, J. S. A., Dhomse, S., Johnson, C., Lamarque, J.-F., LeGrande, A.
- 894 N., Mills, M. J., Niemeier, U., Pope, J. O., Poulain, V., Robock, A., Rozanov, E., Stenke, A., Sukhodolov, T.,
- 895 Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., and Tummon, F.: Multi-model comparison of the volcanic sulfate deposition from the
- 1815 eruption of Mt. Tambora, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 2307-2328, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2307-2018,
  2018.
- 898 Mills, M. J., Schmidt, A., Easter, R., Solomon, S., Kinnison, D. E., Ghan, S. J., Neely III, R.R.; Marsh, D R.;
- 899 Conley, A.; Bardeen, C.G. and Gettelman, A.: Global volcanic aerosol properties derived from emissions, 1990–
- 900 2014, using CESM1(WACCM). J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, doi:10.1002/2015JD024290, 2016.

- 901 Mills, M. J., Richter, J. H., Tilmes, S., Kravitz, B., MacMartin, D. G., Glanville, A. A., Tribbia; J.J. Lamarque,
- 902 J.-F., Vitt, F. Schmidt, A., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Bacmeister, J.T. and Kinnison, D. E. (2017). Radiative
- 903 and chemical response to interactive stratospheric sulfate aerosols in fully coupled CESM1 (WACCM). J.
- 904 Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027006</u>, 2017.
- 905 Montzka, S. A., Calvert, P., Hall, B. D., Elkins, J. W., Conway, T. J., Tans, P. P., and Sweeney, C.: On the
- 906 global distribution, seasonality, and budget of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide and some similarities with CO2, J.
- 907 Geophys. Res., 112, D09302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007665, 2007.
- Moreno, H. and Stock, J.: The atmospheric extinction on Cerro Tololo during 1963, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific,
  76, 55-56, 1964.
- 910 Moriyama, R., Sugiyama, M., Kurosawa, A., Masuda, K., Tsuzuki, K., and Ishimoto, Y.: The cost of
- 911 stratospheric climate engineering revisited, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 22 pp.,
- 912 doi:10.1007/s11027-016-9723-y, 2016.
- 913 Mossop, S. C.: Stratospheric particles at 20km, Nature, 199, 325-326, 1963.
- 914 Mossop, S. C.: Volcanic dust collected at an altitude of 20km, Nature, 203, 824-827, 1964.
- 915 Muthers, S., Kuchar, A., Stenke, A., Schmitt, J., Anet, J. G., Raible, C. C., and Stocker, T. F.: Stratospheric age
- 916 of air variations between 1600–2100, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 5409–5418, doi:10.1002/2016GL068734, 2016.
- 917 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F. M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J. F., Lee,
- 918 D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and
- 919 natural radiative forcing, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group
- 920 I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F.,
- 921 Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge
- 922 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 923 Nardi, B., Chanin, M.-L, Hauchecorne, I. A., Avdyushin, S.I. Tulinov, G. F., Ivanov, M. S., Kuzmenko, B. N.,
- 924 Mezhue, I. R., Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 20, no. 18, 1967-1971, 1993.
- 925 National Research Council: Climate Intervention: Reflecting Sunlight to Cool Earth, The Natl. Acad. Press,
  926 Washington, D. C, 2015.
- 927 Neely III, R. R., Toon, O. B., Solomon, S., Vernier, J. P., Alvarez, C., English, J. M., Rosenlof, K. H., Mills, M.,
- Bardeen, C. G., Daniel, J. S., and Thayer, J. P.: Recent anthrogenic increases in SO2 from Asia have minimal
  impact on stratospheric aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 999–1004, doi10.1002/grl.50263, 2013.
- 930 Neely III, R. R., Yu, P. Rosenlof, K. H., Toon, O. B., Daniel, J. S., Solomon, S. and Miller, H. L.: The
- 931 contribution of anthropogenic SO2 emissions to the Asian tropopause aerosol layer, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
- 932 119, 1571–1579, doi:10.1002/2013JD020578, 2014.
- 933 Neely, R. and Schmidt, A.: VolcanEESM: Global volcanic sulphur dioxide (SO2) emissions database from 1850
- to present -Version 1.0, Cent. Environ. Data Anal., doi:10.5285/76ebdc0b-0eed-4f70-b89e-55e606bcd568,
  2016.
- 936 Niemeier, U., Timmreck, C., Graf, H.-F., Kinne, S., Rast, S., and Self, S.: Initial fate of fine ash and sulfur from
- 937 large volcanic eruptions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9043–9057, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9043-2009, 2009.\

- 938 Niemeier, U. and Timmreck, C.: What is the limit of climate engineering by stratospheric injection of SO2?,
- 939 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9129-9141, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9129-2015, 2015.
- Niemeier, U. and Schmidt, H.: Changing transport processes in the stratosphere by radiative heating of sulfate
  aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14871-14886, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14871-2017, 2017.
- 942 Oman, L., Robock, A., Stenchikov, G. L., Thordarson, T., Koch, D., Shindell, D. T., and Gao, C. C.: Modeling
- the distribution of the volcanic aerosol cloud from the 1783-1784 Laki eruption, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111,
- 944 D12209, doi:10.1029/2005JD006899, 2006
- 945 Pitari, G. and Mancini, E.: Short-term climatic impact of the 1991volcanic eruption of Mt. Pinatubo and effects
- on atmospheric tracers, Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 2, 91–108, doi:10.5194/nhess-2-91-2002,
  2002.
- 948 Pitari, G., Cionni, I., Di Genova, G., Visioni, D., Gandolfi, I., and Mancini, E.: Impact of Stratospheric Volcanic
- Aerosols on Age of-Air and Transport of Long-Lived Species, Atmosphere, 7, 149, doi:10.3390/atmos7110149,
  2016a.
- 951 Pitari, G., Di Genova, G., Mancini, E., Visioni, D., Gandolfi, I., and Cionni, I.: Stratospheric Aerosols from
- 952 Major Volcanic Eruptions: A Composition-Climate Model Study of the Aerosol Cloud Dispersal and e-folding
- 953 Time, Atmosphere, 7, 75, doi:10.3390/atmos7060075, 2016b.
- Pittock, A. B.: A thin stable layer of anomalous ozone and dust content, J. Atmos. Sci., vol. 23, 538-542, 1966.
- Plumb, R. A.: A "tropical pipe" model of stratospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D2), 3957–3972,
  doi:10.1029/95JD03002, 1996.
- Pueschel, R. F., Machta, L., Cotton, G. F., Flower, E. C., Peterson, J. T.: Normal Incidence Radiation Trends
  and Mauna Loa, Hawaii, Nature, vol. 240, 545-547, 1972.
- 959 Pueschel, R. F., Russell, R. B., Allen, D. A., Ferry, G. V., Snetsinger, K. G., Livingston, J. M. and Verma, S.
- 960 Physical and optical properties of the Pinatubo volcanic aerosol: Aircraft observations with impactors and a
- 961 Sun-tracking photometer, J. Geophys., vol. 99, no. D6, pp. 12,915-12,922, 1994
- Rault, D. F., and Loughman, R. P.: The OMPS Limb Profiler Environmental Data Record Algorithm
  Theoretical Basis Document and Expected Performance, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote Sensing, 51, 2505–2527,
  doi:10.1109/TGRS.2012.2213093, 2013.
- 965 Ray, E. A., Moore, F. L., Rosenlof, K. H., Davis, S. M., Sweeney, C., Tans, P., Wang, T., Elkins, J. W.,
- 966 Bönisch, H., Engel, A., and Sugawara, S.: Improving stratospheric transport trend analysis based on SF6 and
- 967 CO2 measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 14–110, 2014.
- 968 Rayner, N. A., D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P. Rowell, E. C. Kent, and A.
- 969 Kaplan, Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late
- 970 nineteenth century, J. Geophys. Res., 108 14), 4407, doi:10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003.
- 971 Reeves, J. M., Wilson, J., Brock, C., A., and Bui, T.P.: Comparison of aerosol extinction coefficients, surface
- area density, and volume density from SAGE II and in situ aircraft measurements, J. Geophys. Res, 113,
- 973 DI1202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009357, 2008.

- 974 Ridley, D. A., S. Solomon, S., Barnes, J.E., Burlakov, V.D., Deshler, T., Dolgii, S.I.; Herber, A.B., Nagai, T.
- 975 Neely III, R.R., Nevzorov, A, V:, Ritter, C., Sakai, T., Santer, B: D., Sato, M., Schmidt, A., Uchino, O. and
- 976 Vernier, J.P.: Total volcanic stratospheric aerosol optical depths and implications for global climate change, J.
- 977 Geophys. Res., 41, 7763-7769, doi:10.1002/2014GL061541, 2014.
- 978 Rieger, L. A., Bourassa, A. E, and Degenstein, D. A.: Merging the OSIRIS and SAGE II stratospheric aerosol
- 979 records, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, doi:10.1002/2015JD023133, 2015.
- 980 Robock, A.: Volcanic eruptions and climate, Rev Geophys, 38, 191–219, doi:10.1029/1998RG000054, 2000.
- Robock, A., MacMartin, D. G., Duren, R., and Christensen, M.W.: Studying geoengineering with natural and
   anthropogenic analogs, Climatic Change, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0777-5, published online, 2013.
- 983 Rollins, A. W., Thornberry, T. D., Watts, L.A., Yu, P., Rosenlof, K. H., Mills, M., Baumann, E., Giorgetta, F.R.,
- 984 Bui, T.V., Höpfner, M., Walker, K. A., Boone, C., Bernath, P. F., Colarco, P. R., Newman, P.A., Fahey, D.W.,
- 985 Gao, R.S.: The role of sulfur dioxide in stratospheric aerosol formation evaluated by using in situ measurements
- 986 in the tropical lower stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 4280–4286, doi:10.1002/2017GL072754, 2017.
- 987 Rougier, J., Sexton, D. M. H., Murphy, J. M. and Stainforth, D. A.: Analyzing the climate sensitivity of the
- HadSM3 climate model using ensembles from different but related experiments, J. Climate, 22 (13). 3540-3557,
- 989 2009.
- 890 Rosen, J. M., The Vertical Distribution of Dust to 30 Kilometers, J. Geophys. Res., 69 (21), 4673-4767, 1964.
- 891 Rosen, J. M., Correlation of dust and ozone in the stratosphere, Nature, 209, 1342, 1966
- Rosen, J. M., Simultaneous Dust and Ozone Soundings over North and Central America, J. Geophys. Res., vol.
  73, no. 2, 479-486, 1968.
- Russell, P. B., and McCormick, M. P.: SAGE II aerosol data validation and initial data use: An introduction and
  overview, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 8335–8338, 1989.
- 996 Santer, B. D., Bonfils, C., Painter, J. F., Zelinka, M. D., Mears, C., Solomon, S., Schmidt, G. A., Fyfe, J. C.,
- Cole, J. N. S., Nazarenko, L., Taylor, K. E., and Wentz, F. J.: Volcanic contribution to decadal changes in
  tropospheric temperature, Nat. Geosci., 7, 185–189, doi:10.1038/ngeo2098, 2014.
- 999 Santer, B. D., Solomon, S.; Bonfils, C., Zelinka, M. D., Painter, J. F., Beltran, F., Fyfe, C., Johannesson, G.,
- 1000 Mears, C., Ridley, D.A., Vernier, J.-P., and Wentz, F.J.: Observed multivariable signals of late 20th and early
- 1001 21st century volcanic activity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 500–509, doi:10.1002/2014GL062366, 2015.
- 1002 Self S., and King, A.J.: Petrology and sulfur and chlorine emissions of the 1963 eruption of Gunung Agung,
- 1003 Bali, Indonesia. Bull. Volcanol. 58:263-285, 1996.
- 1004 Schulz, M., Textor, C., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Dentener, F., Guibert, S.,
- 1005 Isaksen, I. S. A., Iversen, T., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J. E., Pitari, G., Reddy, S.,
- Seland, Ø., Stier, P., and Takemura, T.: Radiative forcing by aerosols as derived from the AeroCom present-day and pre industrial simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5225–5246, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5225-2006, 2006.
- 1008 Sheng, J.-X., Weisenstein, D. K., Luo, B.-P., Rozanov, E., Stenke, A., Anet, J., Bingemer, H., and Peter, T.:
- 1009 Global atmospheric sulfur budget under volcanically quiescent conditions: aerosol-chemistry-climate model
- 1010 predictions and validation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 256–276, doi:10.1002/2014JD021985, 2015a

- 1011 Sheng, J.-X., Weisenstein, D. K., Luo, B.-P., Rozanov, E., Arfeuille, F., and Peter, T.: A perturbed parameter
- 1012 model ensemble to investigate 1991 Mt Pinatubo's initial sulfur mass emission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11501-
- 1013 11512, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11501-11512, 2015b.
- 1014 Sigl, M., Winstrup, M., McConnell, J. R., Welten, K. C., Plunkett, G., Ludlow, F., Büntgen, U., Caffee, M.,
- 1015 Chellman, N., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Kipfstuhl, S., Kostick, C., Maselli, O. J., Mekhaldi, F., Mulvaney,
- 1016 R., Muscheler, R., Pasteris, D. R., Pilcher, J. R., Salzer, M., Schüpbach, S., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M. and
- 1017 Woodruff, T. E.: Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years, Nature, 523, 543-
- 1018 549, doi:10.1038/nature14565, 2015.
- 1019 Solomon, S., Daniel, J.S., Neely III, R.R., Vernier, J.P., Dutton, E.G. and Thomason, L.W.: The Persistently
- 1020 Variable "Background" Stratospheric Aerosol Layer and Global Climate Change, Science, 866-870, 2011.
- 1021 Solomon S, Ivy, DJ, Kinnison, D, Mills, MJ, Neely III, R,R, Schmidt, A. Emergence of healing in the Antarctic
- 1022 ozone layer. Science., doi: 10.1126/science.aae0061, 2016.
- 1023 Stevens, T. D., Haris, P. A. T., Rau, Y.-C. and Philbrick, C. R., Latitudinal lidar mapping of stratospheric 1024 particle layers, Adv. Space Res., vol. 14, 9, 193—198, 1994.
- 1025 Stoffel, M., Khodri, M., Corona, C., Guillet, S., Poulain, V., Bekki, S., Guiot, J., Luckman, B. H., Oppenheimer,
- 1026 C., Lebas, N., Beniston, M. and Masson-Delmotte, V.: Estimates of volcanic- induced cooling in the Northern
- 1027 Hemisphere over the past 1,500 years, Nat. Geosci., 8, 784–788, doi:10.1038/ngeo2526, 2015.
- Stothers, R. B. and Rampino, M. R.: Volcanic eruptions in the Mediterranean before A.D. 630 from written and
  archaeological sources, J. Geophys. Res., 88(B8), 6357, doi:10.1029/JB088iB08p06357, 1983.
- 1030 Stothers, R. B.: Major optical depth perturbations to the stratosphere from volcanic eruptions: Pyrheliometric
- 1031 period, 1881–1960, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D2), 3901–3920, doi:10.1029/95JD03237, 1996.
- 1032 Stothers, R.B.: Stratospheric aerosol clouds due to very large volcanic eruptions of the early twentieth century:
- 1033 Effective particle sizes and conversion from pyrheliometric to visual optical depth, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 6143-
- 1034 6151, doi:10.1029/96JD03985, 1997.
- Stothers, R. B.: Major optical depth perturbations to the stratosphere from volcanic eruptions: Stellar extinction
  period, 1961–1978, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D3), 2993–3003, doi:10.1029/2000JD900652, 2001.
- 1037 Stothers, R. B.: Cloudy and clear stratospheres before A.D. 1000 inferred from written sources, J. Geophys.
- 1038 Res., 107(D23), 4718, doi:10.1029/2002JD002105, 2002.
- 1039 SPARC: Assessment of Stratospheric Aerosol Properties (ASAP), SPARC Report No. 4, edited by: Thomason,
- L. and Peter, T., World Climate Research Programme WCRP-124, WMO/TD No. 1295, 2006.
- 1041 Telford, P. J., Braesicke, P., Morgenstern, O. and Pyle, J. A.: Technical Note: Description and assessment of a
- 1042 nudged version of the new dynamics Unified Model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1701–1712, 2008
- 1043 Thomason, L. W., Burton, S. P., Luo, B.-P., and Peter, T.: SAGE II measurements of stratospheric aerosol
- 1044 properties at non-volcanic levels, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 983-995, doi:10.5194/acp-8-983-2008, 2008.
- 1045 Thomason, L. W. and Vernier, J.-P.: Improved SAGE II cloud/aerosol categorization and observations of the
- 1046 Asian tropopause aerosol layer: 1989–2005, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4605-4616, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4605-
- 1047 2013, 2013.

- 1048 Tilmes, S., Mills, M. J., Niemeier, U., Schmidt, H., Robock, A., Kravitz, B., Lamarque, J.-F., Pitari, G., and
- 1049 English, J. M.: A new Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) experiment designed for
- 1050 climate and chemistry models, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 43–49, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-43-2015, 2015. Timmreck,
- 1051 C., Graf, H.-F., and Feichter, J.: Simulation of Mt. Pinatubo volcanic aerosol with the Hamburg Climate Model
- 1052 ECHAM4, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 62, 85–108, doi:10.1007/s007040050076, 1999a.
- 1053 Timmreck, C., Graf, H.-F., and I. Kirchner, I.: A one and a half year interactive simulation of Mt. Pinatubo
- 1054 aerosol, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 9337-9360, 1999b.
- 1055 Timmreck, C., Graf, H.F., Lorenz, S.J., Niemeier, U., Zanchettin, D., Matei D., Jungclaus, J.H., Crowley, T.J. :
- Aerosol size confines climate response to volcanic super-eruptions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37:L24705,
  doi:10.1029/2010GL04546, 2010.
- Timmreck, C.: Modeling the climatic effects of large explosive volcanic eruptions, Wiley Interdisciplinary
   Reviews: Climate Change, 3, 545–564, doi:10.1002/wcc.192, 2012
- 1060 Toohey, M., Krüger, K., Niemeier, U., and Timmreck, C.: The influence of eruption season on the global
- aerosol evolution and radiative impact of tropical volcanic eruptions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12351–12367,
- 1062 doi:10.5194/acp-11-12351-2011, 2011.
- Toohey, M., Krüger, K. and Timmreck, C.: Volcanic sulfate deposition to Greenland and Antarctica: A
  modeling sensitivity study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(10), 4788–4800, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50428, 2013.
- 1065 Toohey, M., Krüger, K., Bittner, M., Timmreck, C. and Schmidt, H.: The impact of volcanic aerosol on the
- 1066 Northern Hemisphere stratospheric polar vortex: mechanisms and sensitivity to forcing structure, Atmos. Chem.
  1067 Phys., 14, 13063-13079, doi:10.5194/acp14-13063-2014, 2014.
- Toohey, M., Krüger, K., Sigl, M., Stordal, F. and Svensen, H.: Climatic and societal impacts of a volcanic double event at the dawn of the Middle Ages, Clim. Change, 136(3–4), 401–412, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1648-7, 2016a.
- 1071 Toohey, M., Stevens, B., Schmidt, H., and Timmreck, C.: Easy Volcanic Aerosol (EVA v1.0): an idealized
- 1072 forcing generator for climate simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 4049-4070, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-4049-2016,
  1073 2016b.
- 1074 Trepte C. R. and Hitchman, M. H.: Tropical stratospheric circulation deduced from satellite aerosol data,
  1075 Nature, 355, 626-628, 1992.
- 1076 Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and Laaksonen, A.: An
- 1077 improved parameterization for sulfuric acid-water nucleation rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions,
- 1078 J. Geophys. Res., 107(D22), AAC3.1–AAC3.10, doi:10.1029/2002JD002184, 2002.
- 1079 Vernier, J. P., Pommereau, J.P., Garnier, A., Pelon, J., Larsen, N., Nielsen, J., Christensen, T., Cairo, F.,
- 1080 Thomason, L. W., Leblanc, T. and McDermid, I. S.: Tropical stratospheric aerosol layer from CALIPSO lidar
- 1081 observations, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00H10, doi:10.1029/2009JD011946, 2009.
- 1082 Vernier, J.-P., L. W. Thomason, J. Kar, CALIPSO detection of an Asian tropopause aerosol layer, Geophys.
- 1083 Res. Lett., 38, L07804, doi:10.1029/2010GL046614, 2011a

- 1084 Vernier, J.-P., Thomason, L. W., Pommereau, J.-P., Bourassa, A., Pelon, J., Garnier, A., Hauchecorne, A.,
- Blanot, L., Trepte, C., Degenstein, D., and Vargas, F.: Major influence of tropical volcanic eruptions on the
  stratospheric aerosol layer during the last decade, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L12807,doi:10.1029/2011GL047563,
  2011b.
- 1088 Visioni, D., Pitari, G., and Aquila, V.: Sulfate geoengineering: a review of the factors controlling the needed
- injection of sulfur dioxide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 3879-3889, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3879-2017,
  2017.
- 1091 Visioni, D., Pitari, G., Tuccella, P., and Curci, G.: Sulfur deposition changes under sulfate geoengineering
   1092 conditions: quasi-biennial oscillation effects on the transport and lifetime of stratospheric aerosols, Atmos.
- 1093 Chem. Phys., 18, 2787-2808, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2787-2018, 2018
- 1094 Volz, F. E.: Twilight phenomena caused by the eruption of Agung volcano, Science, 144 (3622), 1121-1122.1095 1964.
- 1096 Volz, F. E.: Note on the global variation of stratospheric turbidity since the eruption of Agung volcano, Tellus,
  1097 17, 513-515, 1965.
- 1098 Volz, F. E.: Atmospheric Turbidity after the Agung Eruption of 1963 and Size Distribution of the Volcanic
  1099 Aerosol, J. Geophys. Res., 75, 27, 5185-5193, 1970.
- 1100 von Savigny, C., Ernst, F., Rozanov, A., Hommel, R., Eichmann, K.-U., Rozanov, V., Burrows, J. P., and
- 1101 Thomason, L. W.: Improved stratospheric aerosol extinction profiles from SCIAMACHY: validation and 1102 sample results, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 5223-5235, doi:10.5194/amtd-8-5223-2015, 2015.
- Weisenstein, D. K., Penner, J. E., Herzog, M., and Liu, X.: Global 2-D intercomparison of sectional and modal
  aerosol modules, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2339-2355, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2339-2007, 2007.
- 1105 Wilson, J. C., Lee, S.-H., Reeves, J. M., Brock, C. A., Jonsson, H. H., Lafleur, B. G., Loewenstein, M.,
- 1106 Podolske, J., Atlas, E., Boering, K., Toon, G., Fahey, D., Bui, T. P., Diskin, G., and Moore, F.: Steady-state
- aerosol distributions in the extra-tropical, lower stratosphere and the processes that maintain them, Atmos.
- 1108 Chem. Phys., 8, 6617-6626, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-6617-2008, 2008.
- 1109 Winker, D. M. and Osborn, M. T.: Airborne lidar observations of the Pinatubo volcanic plume, Geophys. Res.,
- 1110 Lett., vol. 19, 2, 167-170, 1992.
- 1111 Young, R. E., Houben, H., and Toon, O. B.: Radiatively forced dispersion of the Mt. Pinatubo volcanic cloud
- 1112 and induced temperature perturbations in the stratosphere during the first few months following the eruption,
- 1113 Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 369–372, 1994.
- 1114 Young, S. A., Manson, P. J. and Patterson, G. R.: Southern Hemisphere Lidar measurements of the Aerosol
- 1115 Clouds from Mt Pinatubo and Mt Hudson, Extended Abstracts of the 16<sup>th</sup> International Laser Radar Conference,
- 1116 July 1992, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1994.
- 1117 Yu, P., Toon, O. B., Neely, R. R., Martinsson, B. G., and Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M.: Composition and physical
- 1118 properties of the Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer and the North American Tropospheric Aerosol Layer.
- 1119 Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(7), 2540–2546, doi: 10.1002/2015GL063181, 2015.

- 1120 Yorks, J. E., Palm, S. P. McGill, M. J. Hlavka, D. L. Hart, W. D., Selmer, P. A., and Nowottnick, E. P.: CATS
- 1121 Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document, 1st ed., NASA, 2015.
- 1122 Zanchettin, D., Timmreck, C., Graf, H.-F., Rubino, A., Lorenz, S., Lohmann, K., Krueger, K., and Jungclaus, J.
- 1123 H.: Bi-decadal variability excited in the coupled ocean–atmosphere system by strong tropical volcanic eruptions,
- 1124 Clim. Dynam., 39, 419–444, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1167-1, 2012.
- 1125 Zanchettin, D., Khodri, M., Timmreck, C., Toohey, M., Schmidt, A., Gerber, E. P., Hegerl, G., Robock, A.,
- 1126 Pausata, F. S. R., Ball, W. T., Bauer, S. E., Bekki, S., Dhomse, S. S., LeGrande, A. N., Mann, G. W., Marshall,
- 1127 L., Mills, M., Marchand, M., Niemeier, U., Poulain, V., Rozanov, E., Rubino, A., Stenke, A., Tsigaridis, K., and
- 1128 Tummon, F.: The Model Intercomparison Project on the climatic response to Volcanic forcing (VolMIP):
- experimental design and forcing input data for CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2701-2719, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-
- 1130 2701-2016, 2016.
- 1131

#### **Tables** 1132

Experiment	<u>Focus</u>	<u>Number of specific</u> <u>experiments</u>	<u>Years</u> <u>per</u> <u>experiment</u>	<u>Total years <sup>A</sup></u>	Knowledge-gap to be addressed
Background Stratospheric Aerosol [BG]	Stratospheric sulphur budget in volcanically quiescent conditions	1 mandatory + 2 recommended	20	20(60)	20 year climatology to understand sources and sinks of stratospheric background aerosol, assessment of sulfate aerosol load under volcanically quiescent conditions
Transient Aerosol Record [TAR]	Transient stratospheric aerosol properties over the period 1998 to 2012 using different volcanic emission datasets	4 mandatory +3 optional experiments recommended are 5 (see also Table 4 )	15	60 (75,105)	Evaluate models over the period 1998-2012 with different volcanic emission data sets Understand drivers and mechanisms for observed stratospheric aerosol changes since 1998
Historic Eruption SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Assessment [HErSEA]	Perturbation to stratospheric aerosol from SO <sub>2</sub> emission appropriate for 1991 Pinatubo, 1982 El Chich <u>ó</u> n,1963, Agung	for each (x3) eruption (Control, median and 4 (2x2) of hi/lo deep/shallow (see also Table 6)	4 recom. 6	180 (270)	Assess how injected SO <sub>2</sub> propagates through to radiative effects for different historical major tropical eruptions in the different interactive stratospheric aerosol models Use stratospheric aerosol measurements to constrain uncertainties in emissions and gain new observationally-constrained volcanic forcing and surface area density datasets <b>Explore the relationship between volcanic emission uncertainties</b> <b>and volcanic forcing uncertainties</b>
Pinatubo Emulation in Multiple Models [PoEMS] <sup>B</sup>	Perturbed parameter ensemble of runs to quantify uncertainty in each model's predictions	10 experiments per parameter, where the number of parameters refers to the minimum (3), reduced (5) or standard (8) parameter set (see also Table 10)	3 per experiment <sup>C</sup>	90, (150, 240)	Intercompare Pinatubo perturbation to strat- aerosol properties with full uncertainty analysis over PPE run by each model. Quantify sensitivity of predicted Pinatubo perturbation stratospheric aerosol properties and radiative effects to uncertainties in injection settings and model processes Quantify and intercompare sources of uncertainty in simulated Pinatubo radiative forcing for the different complexity models.

1133 1134 1135 1136 1137 A Each model will need to include an appropriate initialization and spin-up time for each ensemble member (~3-6 years depending on model

configuration). <sup>B</sup> As explained in the caption to Table 11 and section 3.4, models will need to restrict the PoEMS parameter-scaling to volcanicallyenhanced air masses (either via total-sulphur-vmr threshold or passive volcanic SO2 tracer)

C Although the Pinatubo enhancement to the stratospheric aerosol layer remained apparent until 1997 (e.g. Wilson et al., 2008), whereas the 1138 1139 HErSEA experiments will continue longer, the PoEMS analysis will require only 3 post-eruption years to be run, as this gives sufficient time after the peak aerosol to characterize decay timescales robustly (e.g. ASAP2006, chapter5).

1140 Table 1 General overview of the SSIRC ISA-MIP experiments.

Measurement/Platform	Time period 1998-2014	Reference
SO <sub>2</sub> profile/MLS	2004-2011	Pumphrey et al., 2015
SO <sub>2</sub> profile/MIPAS	2002-2012	Höpfner et al., 2013; 2015
Aerosol extinction profile,	1998-2005	Russell and McCormick,
size/SAGE II		1989
Aerosol extinction profile,	2001-2011	McLinden et al., 2012;
size/OSIRIS		Rieger et al., 2015
Aerosol extinction profile/GOMOS	2002-2021	Vanhellemont et al., 2010
Aerosol extinction profile/SCIAMACHY	2002-2012	Taha et al., 2011; von Savigny et al. 2015
Aerosol extinction profile/CALIOP	2006-2011	Vernier et al., 2009, 2011a,b
Aerosol extinction or	1998-2011	Rieger et al., 2015
AOD merged products		
AOD from AERONET and lidars		Ridley et al., 2014
Surface area density		Kovilakam and Deshler, 2015
Surrace and density		Eyring et al. (2013)

# 

## 3 Table 2: List of stratospheric aerosol and SO<sub>2</sub> observations available for the BG and TAR time period.

## 

<u>Exp- Name</u>	<u>Specific description /</u> <u>Volcanic emission</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Ensemble</u> <u>Size</u>	<u>Years per</u> <u>member</u>	<u>Tier</u>
BG_QBO	Background simulation	Time slice year-2000 monthly- varying with internal or nudged QBO	1	20	1
BG_NQBO	Perpetual easterly phase of the QBO for the whole simulation	Time slice year-2000 monthly varying without QBO	1	20	2
BG_NAT	Only natural sources of aerosol (including biomass burning)	Time slice year-2000 monthly varying with internal of nudged QBO (when possible)	1	20	2

Table 3: Overview of BG experiments.

Volcanic Database	VolcDB1	VolcDB2	VolcDB3	VolcDB4	VolcDBSUB	VolcDB1_3D
Covering period	Dec/1997 - Apr/2012	Jan/1990 - Dec/2014	1978-2014	1979-2010		Dec/1997- Apr/2012
Observational data sets	MIPAS,.GOMOS, SAGEII, TOMS, OMI	OMI, OMPS, IASI, TOMS, GOME/2, , AIRS, MLS, MIPAS	TOMS, HIRS/2, AIRS, OMI, MLS, IASI and OMPS	TOMS, OMI		MIPAS,.GOMOS , SAGEII, TOMS, OMI
Reference	Brühl et al. (2018), Bingen et al. (2017), https://cera- www.dkrz.de/WD <u>CC/ui/cerasearch/e</u> ntry?acronym=SSI <u>RC_1</u>	Mills et al. (2016, Neely and Schmidt (2016)) http://catalogue.ce da.ac.uk/uuid/bfbd <u>5ec825fa422f9a85</u> <u>8b14ae7b2a0d</u>	Carn et al. (2016) https://measures.g esdisc.eosdis.nasa .gov/data/SO2/M SVOLSO2L4.2/	Diehl et al.,(2012), AeroCom-II HCA0 v1/v2, http://aerocom.m et.no/download/e missions/HTAP	Subset of 8 volcanoes Contains SO <sub>2</sub> emissions and plume altitudes averaged over the 3 mandatory databases, details are given in the appendix (Table S6 http://isamip.eu/ fileadmin/user_ upload/isamip/v olc_sub_v185.d at)	3D netCDF Brühl et al. (2018), Bingen et al. (2017), <u>https://cera-</u> <u>www.dkrz.de/</u> <u>WDCC/ui/cer</u> <u>asearch/entry?</u> <u>acronym=SSI</u> <u>RC_1</u>

Table 4: Overview of volcanic emission data sets for the different TAR experiments. Sensor acronyms: (MIPAS: Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding; GOMOS: Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars TOMS: Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer; OMI: Ozone Monitoring Instrument; OMPS: Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite; IASI: Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer; GOME: Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment; AIRS: Atmospheric Infrared Sounder; MLS: Microwave Limb Sounder; HIRS: High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder; (References to the observational data and emission sources included are given in the reference paper and for VolcDB1(\_3D) also in Table S2.1. VolcDB1\_3D is a three-dimensional database, containing the spatial distributions of the injected SO<sub>2</sub> as initially observed by the satellite instruments. In both versions of VolcDB1, the integral SO<sub>2</sub> mass of each injection is consistent. 

Exp- Name	<u>Volcanic</u> <u>Database</u> <u>Name</u>	Specific description	Period	<u>Years</u> <u>per</u> member	<u>TiER</u>
TAR_base		No sporadically erupting volcanic emission	Transient 1998-2012 monthly-varying	15	1
TAR_db1	VolcDB1	Volcanic emission data set (Bruehl et al., 2015 and updates)	Transient 1998-2012 monthly-varying	15	1
TAR_db2	VolcDB2	Volcanic emission data set (Mills et al. 2016)	Transient 1998-2012 monthly-varying	15	1
TAR_db3	VolcDB3	Volcanic emission data set (Carn et al. 2016)	Transient 1998-2012 time-varying	15	1
TAR_db4	VolcDB4	Volcanic emission data set (Diehl et al. 2012) and updates	Transient 1998-2010 time-varying	13	3
TAR_sub	VolcDBSUB	subset of strongest 8 volcanoes; averaged SO <sub>2</sub> emissions and averaged injection heights from <b>VolcDB1</b> /2/3	Transient 1998-2012 monthly-varying	15	2
TAR_db1_3D	VolcDB1_3D	<b>netCDF</b> version of volcanic emission data set <b>VolcDB1</b> (Bruehl et al., 2015 and updates)	Transient 1998-2012 monthly-varying	15	3

**Table 5: Overview of TAR experiments.** 

<u>Exp- Name</u>	Specific description / Volcanic emission	<u>Period</u>	<u>Ensemble</u> <u>Size</u>	<u>Years per</u> <u>member</u>	<u>TiER</u>
HErSEA_Pin_Em_Ism	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt.		3	5	1
HErSEA_Pin_Eh_Ism	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = high, Inject shallow @medium-alt.	Transient <b>1991-</b> 1995	3	5	1
HErSEA_Pin_El_Ism	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = low, Inject shallow @medium-alt	incl. GHGs & ODSs (monthly-varying	3	5	1
HErSEA_Pin_Em_Isl	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @low-alt	SST & sea-ice from HadISST	3	5	2
HErSEA_Pin_Em_Idp	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= medium, Inject over deep altitude-range	as for CCMI)	3	5	2
HErSEA_Pin_Cntrol	<u>Pinatubo episode</u> , No Pinatubo SO <sub>2</sub> emission		3	5	1
HErSEA_EIC_Em_Ism	<u>El Chichón episode,</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= medium, Inject shallow@ medium-alt		3	5	1
HErSEA_ElC_Eh_Ism	<u>El Chichón episode,</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= high, Inject shallow@medium-alt	Transient 1982-	3	5	1
HErSEA_EIC_E1_Ism	<u>El Chichón episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = low, Inject shallow@medium-alt	1986 incl. GHGs & ODSs (monthly-	3	5	1
HErSEA_ElC_Em_Isl	<u>El Chichón episode,</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission=medium, Inject shallow@low-altitude	varying SST and sea-ice from HadISST	3	5	2
HErSEA_ElC_Em_Idp	<u>El Chichón episode,</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= medium, Inject over deep altitude-range		3	5	2
HErSEA_ElC_Cntrol	<u>El Chichón episode</u> no El Chich <u>ó</u> n SO <sub>2</sub> emission		3	5	1
HErSEA_Agg_Em_Ism	<u>Agung episode</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt		3	5	1
HErSEA_Agg_Eh_Ism	<u>Agung episode.</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission= high, Inject shallow @medium-alt		3	5	1
HErSEA_Agg_El_Ism	<u>Agung episode.</u> SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = low, Inject shallow @medium-alt	Transient 1963- 1967 incl. GHGs &	3	5	1
HErSEA_Agg_Em_Isl	<u>Agung episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @low-alt	monthly-varying SST and sea-ice from HadISST	3	5	2
HErSEA_Agg_Em_Idp	<u>Agung episode</u> , SO <sub>2</sub> Emission =medium, Inject over deep altitude-range	as for CCMI)	3	5	2
HErSEA_Agg_Cntrol	Agung episode no Agung SO <sub>2</sub> emission		3	5	1

 Table 6: Overview of HErSEA experiments

1	1	67
		07

Eruption	Measurement/platform	References
Pinatubo	Extinction/AOD [multi-l]: SAGE-II, AVHRR, HALOE,CLAES	Hamill and Brogniez (SPARC, 2006, and references therein)
	Balloon-borne size-resolved concentration profiles (CPC, OPC)	Deshler et al (1994, Kiruna, EASOE), Deshler et al. (2003)
	Impactors on ER2 (AASE2), FCAS and FSSP on ER2 (AASE2)	Pueschel et al. (1994), Wilson et al. (1993), Brock et al. (1993)
	Ground-based lidar; airborne lidar Ship-borne lidar measurements	NDACC archive; Young, S. A et al. (1994), Browell et al., (1993)
		Avdyushin et al. (1993); Nardi et al. (1993), Stevens et al. (1994)
El-Chichón	Satellite extinction/AOD 1000nm (SAM-II) Balloon-borne particle concentration profiles	Hamill and Brogniez (SPARC, 2006 & references therein)
	Ground-based lidar	Hofmann and Rosen (1983; 1987).
		NDACC archive
Agung	Surface radiation measurements (global dataset gathered in Dyer and Hicks; 1968)	Dyer and Hicks (1965), Pueschel et al. (1972), Moreno and Stock (1964), Flowers and Viebrock (1965)
	Balloon-borne measurements	Rosen (1964; 1966, 1968), Pittock (1966)
	Ground-based lidar, searchlight and twilight measurements	Clemesha et al. (1966), Grams & Fiocco (1967), Kent et al. (1967) Elterman et al., (1969), Volz (1964; 1965; 1970)
	Aircraft measurements	Mossop et al. (1963; 1964), Friend (1966)

 Table 7 List of stratospheric aerosol observation datasets from the 3 large eruptions of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Agung, El Chichón and Mt. Pinatubo). For NDACC archive, see <a href="http://www.ndsc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/">http://www.ndsc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/</a>

1171

1172

1173

Eruption	Location	Date	<b>SO</b> <sub>2</sub> ( <b>T</b> g)	Shallow x 2	Deep
Mt. Pinatubo	15°N,120°E	15/06/1991	10-20 (14)	18-20,21-23km	18-25km
El Chichón	17°N,93°W	04/04/1982	5-10 (7)	22-24,24-26km	22-27km
Mt. Agung	8°S,115°E	17/03/1963	5-10 (7.)	17-19,20-22km	17-23km

1174

1175 Table 8: Settings to use for initialising the mini-ensemble of interactive stratospheric aerosol simulations for each 1176 eruption in the HErSEA experiment. For Pinatubo the upper range of SO<sub>2</sub> emission is based on TOMS/TOVS SO<sub>2</sub> 1177 observations (Guo et al., 2004a). The SO<sub>2</sub> emissions flux ranges and central-values (in parentheses) are specifically for 1178 application in interactive stratospheric aerosol (ISA) models, rather than any new data compilation. the lower range 1179 and the central values according to some recent Pinatubo studies (Dhomse et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2016; Sheng et al., 1180 2015a) which have identified a modest downward-adjustment of initial observed SO<sub>2</sub> amounts to agree to 1181 HIRS/ISAMS measurements of peak sulphate aerosol loading (Baran and Foot, 1994). The adjustment assumes 1182 either uncertainties in the satellite measurements or that loss pathways in the first few weeks after these eruptions are 1183 either underpredicted (e.g. due to coarse spatial resolution) or omitted completely (accommodation onto ash/ice) in 1184 the ISA models. The El Chichón SO<sub>2</sub> central estimate is taken from Krueger et al. (2008), and an emission range 1185 based on assumed ±33% while for Agung the SO<sub>2</sub> emission estimate is from Self and King (1996). For Pinatubo, 1186 injection height-ranges for the two shallow and one deep realisation are taken from Antuña et al. (2002). The El Chichón values are based on the tropical lidar signal from Figure 4.34 of Hamill and Brogniez (2006), whereas for 1187 1188 Agung we considered the measurements presented in Dyer and Hicks (1968) including balloon soundings (Rosen, 1189 1964) and ground-based lidar (Grams and Fiocco, 1967).

<sup>1169</sup> 1170

SO <sub>2</sub> mass (Tg S)	Study	SO <sub>2</sub> Height (km)
5	Dhomse et al., 2014	19-27
5	Mills et al. (2016)	18-20
7	Sheng et al. (2015a;b)	17-30
8.5	Timmreck et al. (1999a;b)	20-27
8.5	Niemeier et al. (2009); Toohey et al. (2011)	24
8.5	Brühl et al., (2015)	18-26*
10	Pitari and Mancini (2002)	18-25
10	Oman et al. (2006)	19-29
10	Aquila et al. (2012; 2013)	16-18, 17-27
10	English et al. (2013)	15.1-28.5

1194 Table 9: List of SO<sub>2</sub> injection settings used in different interactive stratospheric aerosol model simulations of the 1991 Mount Pinatubo eruption. \* main peak at 23.5km, secondary peak at 21km.

	Parameters	Minimum set	Reduced set	Standard set	Uncertainty range
1	Injected SO <sub>2</sub> mass	Х	Х	X	5 Tg-S – 10 Tg-S
2	Mid-point height of 3km-thick injection	Х	Х	Х	18km – 30km
3	Latitudinal extent of the injection	X	X	X	Factor 0-1 to vary from 1-box injection at 15N (factor=0) to equator-to-15N (factor=1) *
4	Sedimentation velocity		X	X	Multiply model calculated velocity by a factor 0.5 to 2.
5	SO <sub>2</sub> oxidation scaling		X	X	Scale gas phase oxidation of $SO_2$ by a factor 0.5 to 2
6	Nucleation rate of sulfate particles			X	Scale model calculated rate by a factor 0.5 to 2.
7	Sub-grid particle formation factor.			X	Emit fraction of $SO_2$ as sulphuric acid particles formed at sub-grid- scale (0 to 10%)
8	Coagulation rate			X	Scale the model calculated rate by a factor $0.5$ to 2

Table 10: Groups will need to translate the 0-1 latitude-spread parameter into a sequence of fractional injections into all grid boxes between the equator and 15 °N. For example for a model with 2.5 degree latitude resolution, the relative injection in the 6 latitude bins between 0 and 15N would take the form [0,0,0,0,0,0,1] for extent factor=0, and [0.167,0.167, 0.167, 0.167, 0.167, 0.167] for extent factor=1. Injection ratios for intermediate values of the spread factor

would be calculated by interpolation between these two end member cases.

Exp- Name	Specific description / Volcanic emission	Period	TIER
PoEMS_OAT_med	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Processes unperturbed.		1
PoEMS_OAT_P4h	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Sedimentation rates doubled		2
PoEMS_OAT_P41	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Sedimentation rates halved		2
PoEMS_OAT_P5h	$SO_2$ Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. $SO_2$ oxidation rates doubled		3
PoEMS_OAT_P51	$SO_2$ Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. $SO_2$ oxidation rates halved		3
PoEMS_OAT_P6h	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Nucleation rates doubled	Transient 1991-1995	3
PoEMS_OAT_P61	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Nucleation rates halved		3
PoEMS_OAT_P7h	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. % SO <sub>2</sub> as primary SO <sub>4</sub> $x^2$		3
PoEMS_OAT_P71	SO2 Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. % SO <sub>2</sub> as primary SO <sub>4</sub> x0.5		3
PoEMS_OAT_P8h	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Coagulation rates doubled		2
PoEMS_OAT_P81	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission = medium, Inject shallow @medium-alt. Coagulation rates halved		2

1208Table 11: Overview of PoEMS One-At-a-Time" (OAT) test runs. Note that when imposing the parameter-scaling, the1209models should only enact the change in volcanically-enhanced air masses (where the total sulphur volume mixing1210ratio exceeds a threshold suitable for their model). Perturbing only the volcanically-enhanced air masses will ensure,1211pre-eruption conditions and tropospheric aerosol properties remains unchanged by the scalings.

1214 Figures 1215





1218 Figure 1 Uncertainty in estimates of radiative forcing parameters for the 1815 eruption of Mt. Tambora: Global-1219 average aerosol optical depth (AOD) in the visible band from an ensemble of simulations with chemistry-climate 1220 models forced with a 60 Tg SO<sub>2</sub> equatorial eruption, from the Easy Volcanic Aerosol (EVA, Toohey et al., 2016b) 1221 module with 56.2 Tg SO<sub>2</sub> equatorial eruptions (magenta thick dashed line), from Stoffel et al. (2015), from Crowley 1222 and Unterman (2013), and from Gao et al. (2008, aligned so that the eruption starts on April 1815). The estimate for 1223 the Pinatubo eruption as used in the CMIP6 historical experiment is also reported for comparison. The black triangle 1224 1225 shows latitudinal position and timing of the eruption. Chemistry-climate models are CESM (WACCM) (Mills et al., 2016), MAECHAM5-HAM (Niemeier et al., 2009), SOCOL (Sheng et al., 2015a), UM-UKCA (Dhomse et al., 2014), 1226 and CAMB-UPMC-M2D (Bekki, 1995; Bekki et al., 1996). For models producing an ensemble of simulations, the line 1227 and shading are the ensemble mean and ensemble standard deviation respectively. Figure from Zanchettin et al. 1228 (2016).



1231

Figure 2 Schematic overview over the processes that influence the stratospheric aerosol size distribution. The related SSIRC experiments are listed below. BG stands for "BackGround", TAR for "Transient Aerosol Record", HErSEA

1234 for "Historical Eruption SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Assessment" and PoEMs for "Pinatubo Emulation in Multiple models".



time [month] relative to westerly onset at 18 hPa

time [month] relative to westerly onset at 18 hPa

1236

1237Figure 3. (a) Composite of QBO-induced residual anomalies in the MAECHAM5-SAM2 modelled aerosol mass1238mixing ratio with respect to the time of onset of westerly zonal mean zonal wind at 18 hPa. Black contours denote the

residual zonal wind. Dashed lines represent easterlies, contour interval is 5ms (b) same but for the modelled effective
 radius of aerosols with R≥50 nm. Figure from Hommel et al. (2015).





1244Year1245Figure 4: Annual total volcanic sulfur dioxide (SO2) emission from three different emission data sets between 20031246and 2008 to be used in the TIER1 MITAR experiments. VolcDB1 (Bingen et al., 2017) considers only stratospheric1247SO2 emissions, VolcDB2( Neely and Schmidt, 2016) and VolcDB3 (Carn et al., 2016) consider both tropospheric and

1248 stratospheric SO<sub>2</sub> emission.





1251

Figure 5: Example results from interactive stratospheric aerosol simulations with the UM-UKCA model (Dhomse et al., 2014) of 5 different SO<sub>2</sub>-injection-realisations of the 1991 Pinatubo eruption (see Table 3.3.1), The model tropical -mean extinction in the mid-visible (550nm) and near-infra-red (1020nm) is compared to that from SAGE-II measurements. Only 2 of the 5 injection realisations inject below 20km and the impact on the timing of the peak, and general evolution of the aerosol optical properties is apparent. In this model the growth to larger particle sizes and subsequent sedimentation to lower altitudes is able to explain certain signatures seen in the satellite data (see also Mann et al., 2015).



Figure 6 Illustration of the latin hypercube sampling method. Each dot represents the value used in one of the
 particular simulations with a perturbed parameter ensemble (PPE) with 50 members (realisations/integrations).

## 1265 List of Abbreviations

AEROCOM	Aerosol Comparisons between Observations and Models
AOD	Aerosol Optical Depth
AMOC	Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation
ASAP2006	Assessment of Stratospheric Aerosol properties (WMO, 2006)
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
BDC	Brewer-Dobson Circulation
CALIOP	Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization
CALIPSO	Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations
CATS	Cloud-Aerosol Transport System
CCM	Chemistry Climate Model
CCMVal	Chemistry-Climate Model Validation Activity
CCMI	Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative
CCN	Cloud Condensation Nuclei
CDN	Cloud Droplet Number Concentration
CDR	Cloud Droplet Radius
CMIP	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
CMIP5	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 5
CMIP6	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 6
DJF	December-January-February
DWD	Deutscher Wetterdienst
ECHAM	European Center/HAMburg model, atmospheric GCM
EGU	European Geophysical Union
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting
EESC	Equivalent Effective Stratospheric Chlorine
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation
ENVISAT	Environmental Satellite
ERA-Interim	ECMWF Interim Re-Analysis
ERBE	Earth Radiation Budget Experiment
ESA	European Space Agency
ESM	Earth System Model
EVA	Easy Volcanic Aerosol
GCM	General Circulation Model
GHG	Green House Gases
GOMOS	Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars
HALOE	Halogen Occultation Experiment
HD(CP)2	High definition clouds and precipitation for
	advancing climate prediction
ISA-MIP	Interactive Stratospheric Aerosol Model Intercomparion Project
ICON	ICOsahedral Nonhydrostatic
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISCCP	International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP)
ITCZ	Intertropical Convergence Zone
JAXA	Japanese Aerospace Exploration Agency

JJA	June-July-August
LAI	Leaf Area Index
LW	Longwave
LWP	Liquid Water Path
MiKIIP	Mittelfristige Klimaprognosen
MIPAS	Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding
MODIS	Moderate Imaging Spectroradiometer
MPI-ESM	Earth System model of Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
NAO	North Atlantic Oscillation
NH	Northern hemisphere
OLR	Outgoing longwave radiation
OMI	Ozone Monitoring Instrument
OMPS	Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite
OMPS-LP	Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite–Limb Profiler
OPC	Optical Particle Counter
OSIRIS	Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System
PDF	Probability Density Function
POAM	Polar Ozone and Aerosol MeasurementPSD
PSD	Particle Size Distribution
QBO	Quasi-biennial oscillation
RF	Radiative Forcing
RH	Relative Humidity
SAOD	Stratospheric Aerosol Optical Depth
SAGE	Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment
SAM	Southern Annular Mode
SCIAMACHY	Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography
SH	Southern Hemisphere
SPARC	Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate
SSiRC	Stratospheric Sulfur and its Role in Climate
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
SW	Shortwave
TCS	Transient Climate Sensitivity
ТоА	Top of the Atmosphere
TOMS	Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer
TOVS	TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder
VEI	Volcanic Explosivity Index
VolMIP	Model Intercomparison Project on the climate response to Volcanic forcing