

Interactive comment on "Auto-calibration of a one-dimensional hydrodynamicecological model (DYRESM 4.0-CAEDYM 3.1) using a Monte Carlo approach: simulations of hypoxic events in a polymictic lake" by Liancong Luo et al.

Dr. Nugraha

adi.nugraha@pnnl.gov

Received and published: 7 November 2017

I am interested in how the auto-calibration could save less time compare with the classical method such as Gauss-Newton Method. I wonder if the authors have performed quantitative and quantitative analysis of computation time/effort between both autocalibration and classical methods. Also, the information on how many number of iterations for this auto-calibration to reach the global minimum of RMSE would be something interesting for the readers. Thank you.

C1

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2017-182, 2017.