ORCHIDEE-PEAT (revision 4596), a model for northern peatland

2

CO₂, water and energy fluxes on daily to annual scales

3 Chunjing Qiu¹, Dan Zhu¹, Philippe Ciais¹, Bertrand Guenet¹, Gerhard Krinner², Shushi Peng³,

4 Mika Aurela⁴, Christian Bernhofer⁵, Christian Brümmer⁶, Syndonia Bret-Harte⁷, Housen Chu⁸,

- 5 Jiquan Chen⁹, Ankur R Desai¹⁰, Jiří Dušek¹¹, Eug énie S. Euskirchen⁷, Krzysztof Fortuniak¹²,
- 6 Lawrence B. Flanagan¹³, Thomas Friborg¹⁴, Mateusz Grygoruk¹⁵, S & astien Gogo^{16,17,18}, Thomas
- 7 Grünwald⁵, Birger U. Hansen¹⁴, David Holl¹⁹, Elyn Humphreys²⁰, Miriam Hurkuck^{20,21,22}, Gerard
- 8 Kiely²³, Janina Klatt²⁴, Lars Kutzbach¹⁹, Chlo éLargeron^{1,2}, Fatima Laggoun-D €arge^{16, 17, 18},
- 9 Magnus Lund²⁵, Peter M. Lafleur²⁶, Xuefei Li²⁷, Ivan Mammarella²⁷, Lutz Merbold²⁸, Mats B.
- 10 Nilsson²⁹, Janusz Olejnik^{30,31}, Mikaell Ottosson-Löfvenius²⁹, Walter Oechel³², Frans-Jan W.
- 11 Parmentier^{33,34}, Matthias Peichl²⁹, Norbert Pirk³⁵, Olli Peltola²⁷, Włodzimierz Pawlak¹², Daniel
- 12 Rasse³⁶, Janne Rinne³⁵, Gaius Shaver³⁷, Hans Peter Schmid²⁴, Matteo Sottocornola³⁸, Rainer
- 13 Steinbrecher²⁴, Torsten Sachs³⁹, Marek Urbaniak³⁰, Donatella Zona^{31,40}, Klaudia Ziemblinska³⁰
- 14
- Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR8212, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ
 F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France
- CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut de Géosciences de l'Environnement (IGE), F-38000
 Grenoble, France
- Department of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University,
 100871 Beijing, China
- 21 4. Finnish Meteorological Institute, Climate Change Research, FI-00101 Helsinki, Finland
- 5. Technische Universität (TU) Dresden, Institute of Hydrology and Meteorology, Chair of
 Meteorology, D-01062 Dresden, Germany
- 6. Th ünen Institute of Climate-Smart Agriculture, Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- 25 7. Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK 99775 Fairbanks, USA
- 26 8. Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California,
 27 Berkeley, 94720, CA, USA
- 9. Center for Global Change and Earth Observations, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI
 48823, USA
- 30 10. Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Wisconsin–Madison,
 31 WI 53706 Madison, USA
- 32 11. Department of Matters and Energy Fluxes, Global Change Research Institute, Czech Academy
 33 of Sciences, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic
- 34 12. Department of Meteorology and Climatology, University of Łódź, Narutowicza 88, 90-139
 35 Łódź, Poland
- 36 13. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, T1K 3M4 Alberta,
 37 Canada
- 38 14. Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen,
 39 Oester Voldgade 10, 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark
- 40 15. Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences—SGGW,
 41 Nowoursynowska 159, 02-776 Warszawa, Poland
- 42 16. Université d'Orléans, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071 Orléans, France

- 43 17. CNRS, ISTO, UMR 7327, 45071 Orl éans, France
- 44 18. BRGM, ISTO, UMR 7327, BP 36009, 45060 Orl éans, France
- 45 19. Institute of Soil Science, Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN),
 46 Universit ä Hamburg, Germany
- 47 20. Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Carleton University, K1S 5B6 Ottawa,48 Canada
- 49 21. Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Wilfrid Laurier University, N2L 3C550 Waterloo, Canada
- 51 22. D épartment de G éographie, Universit é de Montr éal, H2V 2B8 Montr éal, Canada
- 52 23. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
- 53 24. Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research,
 54 Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK–IFU), 82467 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany
- 55 25. Department of Bioscience, Arctic Research Centre, Aarhus University, 4000 Roskilde,56 Denmark
- 57 26. School of the Environment Geography, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, K9J 7B8,
 58 Canada
- 59 27. Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland
- 60 28. Mazingira Centre, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), 00100 Nairobi, Kenya
- 61 29. Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,
 62 S-90183 Ume å Sweden
- 63 30. Department of Meteorology, Poznań University of Life Sciences, 60-649 Poznań, Poland
- 64 31. Department of Matter and Energy Fluxes, Global Change Research Center, AS CR, v.v.i.
 65 Belidla 986/4a, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic
- 66 32. Department of Biology, San Diego State University, CA 92182 San Diego, USA
- 33. The Arctic University of Norway, Institute for Arctic and Marine Biology, Postboks 6050
 Langnes, 9037 Troms ø Norway
- 69 34. Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Postboks 1022 Blindern, 0315, Oslo, Norway
- 35. Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, 22362 Lund,
 Sweden
- 72 36. Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Oslo, Akershus, Norway
- 73 37. Marine Biological Laboratory, The Ecosystems Center, Woods Hole, 02543 Massachusetts,
 74 USA
- 75 38. Department of Science, Waterford Institute of Technology, Waterford, Ireland
- 39. Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, 14473 Potsdam,
 Germany
- 78 40. Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield
 79 S10 2TN, UK
- 80
- 81 Correspondence to: Chunjing Qiu (chunjing.qiu@lsce.ipsl.fr)
- 82
- 83

84 Abstract

Peatlands store substantial amounts of carbon and are vulnerable to climate change. 85 We present a modified version of the ORCHIDEE land surface model for simulating 86 the hydrology, surface energy and CO₂ fluxes of peatlands on daily to annual time 87 scales. The model includes a separate soil tile in each 0.5 ° grid-cell, defined from a 88 global peatland map and identified with peat-specific soil hydraulic properties. Runoff 89 from non-peat vegetation within a grid-cell containing a fraction of peat is routed to 90 91 this peat soil tile, which maintains shallow water tables. The water table position separates oxic from anoxic decomposition. The model was evaluated against 92 eddy-covariance (EC) observations from 30 northern peatland sites, with the 93 maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{cmax}) being optimized at each site. Regarding 94 short-term day-to-day variations, the model performance was good for GPP ($r^2 = 0.76$, 95 Nash-Sutcliff modeling efficiency, MEF = 0.76) and ecosystem respiration (ER, r^2 = 96 0.78, MEF = 0.75), with lesser accuracy for latent heat fluxes (LE, $r^2 = 0.42$, MEF = 97 0.14) and Net Ecosystem CO₂ Exchange (NEE, $r^2 = 0.38$, MEF = 0.26). Seasonal 98 variations in GPP, ER, NEE and energy fluxes on monthly scales showed moderate to 99 high r^2 values (0.57 – 0.86). For spatial across-sites gradients of annual mean GPP, 100 ER, NEE and LE, r^2 of 0.93, 0.89, 0.27, and 0.71 were achieved, respectively. Water 101 table variation (WT) was not well predicted ($r^2 < 0.1$), likely due to the uncertain 102 water input to the peat from surrounding areas. However, the poor performance of 103 WT simulation did not greatly affect predictions of ER and NEE. We found a 104 significant relationship between optimized V_{cmax} and latitude (temperature), which 105 better reflects the spatial gradients of annual NEE than using an average V_{cmax} value. 106

- 107
- 108
- 109
- 110
- 111
- 112

113 **1. Introduction**

Peatlands cover only 3 - 5 % of the Earth's land area, but store large amounts of soil 114 organic carbon (SOC). This carbon is primarily located in the boreal and sub-arctic 115 regions (75 - 80 %), while about 15 % are located in tropical regions (Frolking et al., 116 2011; Page et al., 2011). Current estimates of the northern peatland SOC vary from 117 270 to 450 Pg C (Gorham, 1991; Turunen et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2010). Northern peat 118 accumulation occurred mainly during the Holocene, originating from plant litter 119 120 production that exceeds decomposition in water-logged soil conditions, with low pH and low temperatures (Parish et al., 2008). The future of the carbon stored in these 121 peatlands under a warmer environment and altered hydrological regimes is very 122 uncertain. Logically, higher CO₂ concentrations and elevated temperatures will 123 stimulate higher carbon uptake because of longer growing seasons and higher 124 photosynthetic rates (Aurela et al., 2004; Adkinson et al., 2011). However, the 125 accumulation is also coupled with a high evaporative demand that will lower the 126 ground water table, resulting in increased heterotrophic respiration rates (i.e., carbon 127 128 loss) (Mertens et al., 2001; Sulman et al., 2009; Adkinson et al., 2011). In addition to these potential climatic influences, other natural and anthropogenic disturbances 129 (permafrost thaw, drainage, fires, etc.) further play a role in determining the future 130 carbon balance of these vulnerable ecosystems (Turetsky et al., 2002; Parish et al., 131 2008). Drainage and fires have particularly important impacts on the carbon balance 132 of the tropical peatlands (Page et al., 2002; Hooijer et al., 2010). 133

A number of peat carbon models have been reported in the literature. For example, 134 Frolking et al. (2010) developed the Holocene Peat Model (HPM), which includes 135 feedbacks between plant communities, water table, peat properties, and peat 136 decomposition. This model was applied at Mer Bleue bog in southern Canada and 137 validated with data from peat-core observations. HPM is a long-term peat 138 accumulation model that works at an annual time step but cannot simulate seasonal 139 variations of key water processes in peatlands. Wania et al. (2009a, 2009b) integrated 140 141 peatlands and permafrost into the Lund-Potsdam-Jena model (LPJ-WHy), where the

upper 0.3 m of peatland soils (the acrotelm) experiences a fluctuating water table and 142 the underlying layer (the catotelm) is permanently inundated. A constant soil moisture 143 modifier (0.35) was used to reduce acrotelm decomposition. Spahni et al. (2013) 144 adopted and improved LPJ-Why by considering the effects of varying water table 145 depth on acrotelm decomposition rates, using a weighted average of the aerobic and 146 anaerobic respiration modifier, and implementation of a dynamic nitrogen cycle. In 147 the dynamic global vegetation model (DGVM) CLIMBER2-LPJ, Kleinen et al. (2012) 148 149 quantified the fraction of oxic decomposition in the acrotelm by comparing the water table position and the acrotelm height. Chaudhary et al. (2016, 2017) included a 150 dynamic multi-layer peat accumulation functionality in a customized Arctic version of 151 the Lund-Potsdam-Jena General Ecosystem Simulator (LPJ-GUESS). In their 152 approach, new layers of litter were added at the top of the soil every year, and the 153 remaining litter mass, after decomposition, was treated as a new individual peat layer 154 from the first day of the following year. The decomposition rate of peat, modulated by 155 temperature and moisture, declined over time. In these four peatland models, the 156 157 water table depth was calculated from a bucket model. In the context of Earth System Modeling, the land surface processes are better represented by multi-layer schemes, 158 such as multi-layer plant canopy and root, multi-layer snow, multi-level soil carbon 159 and energy budgets (Best et al., 2011; Mcgrath et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). To 160 model peatlands consistently in land surface models, a multi-layer soil hydrology 161 scheme is needed. Meanwhile, a more physically-based multi-layer scheme can 162 provide more prognostic power in predicting peatland water table dynamics. 163

In this study, we present the development of a multi-layer peat hydrology and carbon model in the ORCHIDEE land surface scheme, with a focus on the water table dynamics and its effects on the energy budgets, and on carbon decomposition occurring within the oxic and the water-saturated part of the peat profile. CH₄ fluxes and DOC loss through runoff are important components of the carbon balance of a peatland (Chu et al., 2014; Olefeldt et al., 2012), but are not included in this study. This new peat model is incorporated consistently into the land surface scheme in order to conserve water, carbon and energy at scales from local sites to grid-based
large-scale applications in an Earth System Modeling context.

173

174 **2. Model description**

175 **2.1 General structure of the model**

The ORCHIDEE land surface model simulates biophysical processes of rainfall interception, soil water transport, latent (LE) and sensible (H) heat fluxes, heat diffusion in the soil, and photosynthesis on a 30-min time step (Ducoudr éet al., 1993). Carbon cycle processes (e.g., carbon allocation, respiration, mortality, litter and soil carbon dynamics) are simulated on a daily time step (Krinner et al., 2005).

ORCHIDEE discretizes the vegetation into plant functional types (PFT): eight for 181 trees, two for natural C3 and C4 grasses, two for C3 and C4 crops, and one for 182 bare-soil type. Across the PFTs, plants are described with the same equations but 183 different parameter values, except for leaf onset and senescence that follow 184 PFT-specific equations (Botta et al., 2000). In grid-based simulations, PFTs are 185 186 grouped into three soil tiles: one with bare soil, one with all tree PFTs, and one with all short vegetation. The water budget of each soil tile is calculated independently. 187 The version of ORCHIDEE implemented in this study uses the same (dominant) soil 188 texture for all the soil tiles of a grid cell to define the reference saturated hydraulic 189 conductivity (K_{s-ref}), and the saturated and residual volumetric water contents (θ_s , θ_r). 190 Dominant soil textural classes are taken from the Zobler's soil texture map (Zobler, 191 1986) at 1° resolution. The original five soil textures (fine, medium-fine, medium, 192 medium-coarse, coarse) in Zobler's map are reduced to three (fine, medium, coarse), 193 by grouping the medium-fine, medium, and medium-coarse into a single class. 194 Hydrological parameters of the three dominant soil textures are taken from Carsel and 195 Parrish (1988) (Table 1). 196

Each soil tile in ORCHIDEE has eleven vertical layers (up to 2.0 m) with exponentially coarser vertical resolution (Fig. 1). The Fokker-Planck equation is used to describe the vertical diffusion of water in the soil. The Mualem (1976) - Van Genuchten (1980) model (Eq. 1 and 2) is used to define the hydraulic conductivity (K, $m s^{-1}$) and diffusivity (D, $m^2 s^{-1}$) as a function of volumetric water content (θ , $m^3 m^{-3}$):

202
$$K(\theta) = K_s \sqrt{\theta_f} (1 - (1 - \theta_f^{1/m})^m)^2,$$
 (1)

203
$$D(\theta) = \frac{(1-m)K(\theta)}{\alpha m} \frac{1}{\theta - \theta_r} \theta_f^{-\frac{1}{m}} (\theta_f^{-\frac{1}{m}} - 1)^{-m},$$
 (2)

where θ is the volumetric water content (m³ m⁻³), θ_s is the saturated water content (m³ m⁻³), θ_r is the residual water content (m³ m⁻³), θ_f is the relative water content and is calculated as $\theta_f = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r}$, K_s is the saturated hydraulic conductivity (m s⁻¹), α is the inverse of the air entry suction (m⁻¹), and *m* is a dimensionless parameter.

Following Orgeval (2006) and Orgeval et al. (2008), K_s exponentially decreases with soil depth (z) below $z_{lim} = 30$ cm ($F_d(z)$), while a root-fracturing factor increases K_s where roots are denser ($F_{root}(z)$):

211
$$K_s(z) = K_{s-ref} * F_d(z) * F_{root}(z),$$
 (3)

212 with
$$F_d(z) = min(max(exp(-f(z - z_{lim})), 0.1), 1)$$

Front (z) = $\prod_{j \in c} \max\left(1, \left(\frac{K_s^{max}}{K_{s-ref}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_j z}{2}}\right)^{f_j}$, where K_{s-ref} is the reference top-soil saturated hydraulic conductivity determined by soil texture (m s⁻¹), K_s^{max} is the value of the coarser (sandy) texture and equals 8.25×10^{-5} m s⁻¹, α_j is a root profile decay factor for PFT *j* with a coverage fraction f_j , and *c* is the soil tile to which PFT *j* was assigned.

218 2.2 Modifications in ORCHIDEE-PEAT

To simulate peat, we: 1) modified the parameters of plants growing on peat, 2) added a new peat soil tile with specific peat soil hydraulic properties, and 3) changed the decomposition of peat carbon as being controlled by saturated conditions, through the modeled water table (WT).

223 Modified peat plant parameters:

As a response to the unique stress conditions in peatlands (i.e., oxygen deficit, nutrient limitation), peatland vegetation has shallow and extensive root systems (Boutin and Keddy, 1993; Iversen et al., 2015). Previous peatland models have

incorporated more than one PFT to represent peatland plants and dynamically 227 simulate fractional vegetation cover. For example, Wania et al. (2009b) separated 228 flood-tolerant C3 graminoids and Sphagnum moss in LPJ-WHy to represent 229 peatland-specific vegetation, with peatland extent defined from an organic soil map 230 and the fractional cover of PFTs determined by bioclimatic conditions including 231 temperature, water table depth, inundation stress etc.. Stocker et al. (2014) applied a 232 version of this model but removed the upper temperature limitation of the 233 234 peatland-specific PFTs and further included three additional PFTs - flood tolerant C4 grasses, tropical evergreen and tropical raingreen tree PFTs, with peatland extent 235 diagnosed by the TOPMODEL scheme. At present, however, ORCHIDEE-PEAT 236 lacks representation of dynamic moss and shrub covers, and we do not know the 237 fractional coverage of different vegetation types at each site in grid-based simulations. 238 Previous studies have shown that there are considerable overlaps between the plant 239 traits ranges among different plant functional types, while variations in plant traits 240 within a PFT can be larger than the differences in means of different PFTs (Verheijen 241 242 et al., 2013; Wright et al., 2005; Laughlin et al., 2010). Therefore, for simplicity, we applied only the PFT of C3-grass with a shallower rooting depth to represent the 243 average of vegetation growing in northern peatlands. 244

Only one key photosynthetic parameter—V_{cmax} of this PFT has been tuned to match 245 with observations at each site. This simplification may cause discrepancies between 246 model output and observations. Druel et al. (2017) added non-vascular plants 247 (bryophytes and lichens), boreal grasses, and shrubs into ORC-HL-VEGv1.0. Their 248 work is in parallel with our model and will be incorporated into the model in the 249 250 future. It will then be possible to verify how many plant functional types are needed by the model to reliably simulate the peatlands at site-level and larger scale. The 251 maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{cmax}) typically varies across peat sites (Rennermalm 252 et al., 2005; Bubier et al., 2011) and further varies with leaf nitrogen, phosphorus 253 content, and specific leaf area (Wright et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2014). For instance, 254 255 V_{cmax} for Sphagnum at the Old Black Spruce site (53.985 N, 105.12 W) in Canada

was 5, 14 and 6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ during spring, summer and autumn, respectively, while 256 V_{cmax} for *Pleurozium* was 7, 5, and 7 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ during the three seasons (Williams 257 and Flanagan, 1998). Bui (2013) conducted a fertilization experiment at the Mer 258 Bleue bog (Canada, 45.41 N, 75.52 W) on the dominant ericaceous shrub and 259 reported that V_{cmax} values ranged between 6 and 179 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, with significantly 260 higher V_{cmax} values after addition of nitrogen (6.4 g N m⁻² year⁻¹) at 20 times the 261 growing season ambient wet N deposition rate with or without phosphorus (P) and 262 263 potassium (K). In this study (Sect. 4.1), we calibrated V_{cmax} at each site so that modeled peak gross primary production (GPP) matched peak values derived from 264 direct EC measurements, and then regressed this adjusted V_{cmax} value with 265 environmental and climate variables. We note that this adjustment of V_{cmax} may over-266 or under-compensate for biases in other model parameters that impact maximum GPP, 267 such as leaf area index (LAI), specific leaf area (SLA), canopy light absorption 268 parameters, water and temperature stresses (Fig. S1). 269

270

271 **Peat-specific soils hydraulics:**

Peatlands generally occur in flat areas that are poorly drained and/or receive runoff 272 and sub-surface water from the surrounding landscape (Graniero and Price, 1999). 273 The low permeability catotelm peat layer is permanently saturated. In 274 ORCHIDEE-PEAT, the new soil tile added in a grid cell to represent peatland as a 275 landscape element was assumed to receive surface runoff from the other three soil 276 tiles (bare soil, trees, grasses) and has a drainage flux reduced to zero (Largeron et al., 277 2017). Further, considering that the water table of a peatland can rise above the 278 279 ground surface, an above surface water reservoir with a maximum height of 10 cm was added (Fig. 1b). In the model, the partitioning between water infiltration and 280 surface runoff is computed through a time-splitting procedure, with the maximum 281 infiltration rates described as an exponential probability density distribution 282 (d'Orgeval, 2006). The infiltration-excess water of peatland first fills the 283 above-surface water reservoir, then leaves the grid cell as runoff. Water in this 284

above-surface reservoir re-infiltrates into the peat soil on the next time step (Largeron et al., 2017). We verified that the measured standing water remained below 10 cm
above the soil surface at 16 out of 20 northern peat sites where water table depth was
recorded in this study (Table S1). The four exceptions were Winous Point North
Marsh (US-WPT), Himmelmoor (DE-Hmm), an Alaska fen (US-Fen) and an Alaska
bog (US-Bog), where observed water tables reached up to 77 cm, 39 cm, 46 cm and
34 cm above the soil surface, respectively.

292 Peat soils cannot be described with any of the mineral soil textures used for other tiles (Table 1) because the low bulk density and high porosity increase the downward 293 water percolation (Rezanezhad et al., 2016). Observed peat saturated hydraulic 294 conductivity (K) and diffusivity (D) strongly vary in space, depth and time. This is 295 partly related to the degree of decomposition and compression of organic matter 296 (Gnatowski et al., 2010). Morris et al. (2015) reported near-surface saturated 297 hydraulic conductivities (K) of 2.69 \times 10⁻² m s⁻¹ to 7.16×10⁻⁶ m s⁻¹ in bogs. 298 Gnatowski et al. (2010) measured values of 5 \times 10⁻⁶ m s⁻¹ in a moss-covered peat, 299 which was two orders of magnitude larger than for a woody peat (5.56 \times 10⁻⁸ m s⁻¹). 300 Peat hydraulic parameters values used in this study were applied after Largeron et al., 301 (2017), based on Letts et al. (2000) and Dawson (2006) (Table 1). The peat saturated 302 hydraulic conductivity value of 2.45 \times 10⁻⁵ m s⁻¹ is comparable to the harmonic 303 mean value (6 \times 10⁻⁵ m s⁻¹) of Morris et al. (2015). The values of the other Van 304 Genuchten parameters for peat (Table 1) are similar to those employed in other 305 306 peatland models (Wania et al., 2009a; Wu et al., 2016).

The peatland water table depth (WT, cm) is diagnosed by summing water heights in the eleven soil layers, calculated from the relative water content (Largeron et al., 2017):

310 WT = H_{tot} -
$$\sum_{i=1}^{11} (\theta_{fi} * dz_i) - H_{ab}$$
, with $\theta_{fi} = \frac{\theta_i - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r}$, (4)

311 where θ_{fi} is the relative volumetric water content of the *i*th soil layer, θ_s is the saturated

water content (m³ m⁻³), θ_r is the residual water content (m³ m⁻³), dz_i is the distance between node *i*-1 and node *i* (Fig. 1, m), H_{tot} is the total soil column height being fixed to 2.0 m, and H_{ab} is the height of the water reservoir above soil surface (m). Thus, when the water table is above the surface, the modeled WT takes negative values.

317

Decomposition of peat carbon controlled by water saturation:

319 In the standard version of ORCHIDEE, plant litter carbon is added to two litter pools: the metabolic and the structural pool. Decomposed litter carbon from these two pools 320 is then distributed into three soil carbon pools: the active, slow and passive pool, 321 similar to the CENTURY model (Parton et al., 1988). Both temperature and moisture 322 functions are used to control soil carbon decomposition rates (Text S1). In 323 ORCHIDEE-PEAT, these standard processes are kept the same as in Krinner et al. 324 (2005) for non-peatland vegetation (Fig. S2, black dashed box). For the peatland 325 vegetation, we added a peat carbon module, in which the three soil carbon pools 326 327 (active, slow, passive) are replaced by two pools forming distinct layers, following Kleinen et al. (2012) (Fig. S2, red dashed box). Specifically, carbon from decomposed 328 litter pools is added to the acrotelm carbon pool where it is decomposed aerobically 329 above the simulated water table, and anaerobically below it. The permanently 330 saturated deep catotelm carbon pool receives a prescribed fraction of the acrotelm 331 carbon, and is decomposed only anaerobically at a very slow rate. While the acrotelm 332 depth is fixed to 30 cm in some peat decomposition models (Yurova et al., 2007; 333 Wania et al., 2009a; Spahni et al., 2013), we used the average of simulated minimum 334 summer water table position (WT_{min}) over the observational period to demarcate the 335 boundary between the acrotelm and the catotelm at each site to take into account local 336 site conditions. We conducted a "preparation run (S0)", in which the model was run at 337 each site using the same protocol (Sect. 3.3), but with the peat carbon module 338 deactivated. WT_{min} was diagnosed from the output of S0 before feeding into the peat 339 340 carbon module in S1 and S2 (Sect. 3.3). Soil carbon exerts no feedback effects on the

soil temperature and hydraulic in the structure of our model, thus S0 and S1 produce 341 the same simulated water table. WT_{min} values were estimated based on current climate 342 343 due to the lack of knowledge of initiation histories of these sites. For the long-term carbon accumulation estimations, the Holocene climate may be a better proxy since 344 northern peatlands show peak initiation in the early Holocene (Yu et al., 2010). By 345 comparing the height of the acrotelm (Fig. S2, Eq. 9) with the WT depth, we derived 346 the fraction of the acrotelm where carbon decomposes under oxic (β) vs. anoxic 347 conditions (1-β). Acrotelm height (H_A, Eq.10) was calculated from acrotelm carbon 348 stock (C_A in Eq. 5-7), acrotelm carbon fraction (C_{f,A}) and acrotelm bulk density (ρ_A). 349 Decomposition of peat carbon is controlled by temperature (f_T) and parameterized as 350 an exponential function: $f_T = Q_{10} exp((T-T_{ref})/10 \ \text{C})$ with $Q_{10} = 2.0$ and $T_{ref} = 30 \ \text{C}$ 351 (Text S1). Soil carbon fluxes are given by: 352

$$F_{AC} = k_p f_T C_A, \tag{5}$$

$$854 \qquad R_{A,o} = \beta k_A f_T C_A, \tag{6}$$

355
$$R_{A,a} = (1 - \beta) v k_A f_T C_A,$$
 (7)

$$356 \qquad \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}},\tag{8}$$

357
$$\beta = \begin{cases} \beta = 1, & WT_{\min} - WT \leq 0\\ \beta = \frac{H_A - (WT_{\min} - WT)}{H_A}, & 0 < WT_{\min} - WT < H_A \\ \beta = 0, & WT_{\min} - WT \geq H_A \end{cases}$$
(9)

$$H_{A} = \frac{C_{A}}{\rho_{A} \cdot C_{f,A}},\tag{10}$$

where F_{AC} is the carbon flux from acrotelm to catotelm; $R_{A,o}$ is aerobically 359 360 decomposed acrotelm carbon; RAA,a is anaerobically decomposed acrotelm carbon; RC is decomposed carbon in catotelm; CA is carbon stored in the acrotelm; CC is carbon 361 stored in the catotelm; and β is the fraction of acrotelm under oxic conditions. A 362 10,100 years' spin-up was conducted to initialize peat depth at each site (Sect. 3.3). 363 Following the study of Kleinen et al. (2012), the catotelm formation rate $k_p = 1.91 \times$ 364 10^{-2} yr⁻¹, the acrotelm decomposition rate $k_A = 0.067$ yr⁻¹, the catotelm decomposition 365 rate $k_c = 3.35 \times 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, the ratio of anaerobic to aerobic CO₂ production $\mu = 0.35$, 366

carbon fraction in the acrotelm peat $C_{f,A} = 0.50$, the acrotelm density $\rho_A = 35.0$ kg m⁻³, carbon fraction in the catotelm peat $C_{f,C} = 0.52$, and the catotelm density $\rho_C = 91.0$ kg m⁻³.

370

371 3. Validation of ORCHIDEE-PEAT at northern hemisphere peatland 372 eddy-covariance sites

373 **3.1 Sites description**

374 To evaluate the performance of ORCHIDEE-PEAT in simulating CO₂, water and energy fluxes on daily to annual time scales, we compiled data from 30 northern 375 peatland sites where eddy-covariance data and physical variables (water table, snow 376 depth, soil temperature) were collected (Fig. 2, Table 2). These sites are spread 377 between the temperate to the arctic climate zones, and include nine bogs and 18 fens. 378 A marsh and two wet tundra sites (note that these two wet tundra sites are neither a 379 fen nor a bog, hereafter they are referred to as 'tundra') with a \sim 30–50 cm thick 380 organic layer are also included in this study. Among them, six sites are underlain by 381 382 permafrost and one site is in a thermokarst area. The peatland fractional cover in the 0.5 ° grid cell containing each site is from the Yu et al. (2010) map (Fig. 2, Table 2). A 383 short description of all sites can be found in Supplementary Materials. 384

385

386 **3.2 Meteorological forcing data**

We ran the model for 30 different 0.5 ° grid cells corresponding to each peatland site (US-Fen and US-Bog are in the same grid cell, but their local meteorological data was different). Peatland fraction in each grid cell was prescribed from Yu et al. (2010), adapted by Largeron et al. (2017) to be matched with a high-resolution land cover map. For the 16 out of 30 cells without peatland (Fig. 2, Table 2) in the large-scale map from Yu et al. (2010), a mean peatland fraction of 22 % was assigned.

Time series of half-hourly air temperature, wind speed, wind direction, long-wave incoming radiation, short-wave incoming radiation, specific humidity, atmospheric pressure, and precipitation were used to drive ORCHIDEE-PEAT. All variables were

from measurements made at each flux tower where CO_2 and energy (latent heat (LE) 396 and sensible heat (H)) fluxes, water table position, soil temperature, and snow depth 397 were recorded on a half-hourly time step. The linearly interpolated 6-hourly 398 CRU-NCEP 0.5 ° global climate forcing dataset was used to fill the gaps in the driving 399 variables. A linear correction was applied to meteorological forcing variables (except 400 precipitation) in the CRU-NCEP dataset to match observations before gap-filling. For 401 precipitation, no correction was applied. At CA-Wp2 and CA-Wp3, meteorological 402 403 forcing data were measured only during the growing season, so CRU-NCEP data were linearly corrected using relationships derived from the available data. For some sites, 404 several meteorological variables were not measured, such as long-wave incoming 405 radiation at NO-And, atmospheric pressure, short-wave incoming radiation, and 406 long-wave incoming radiation at CZ-Wet. In these cases, uncorrected CRU-NCEP 407 408 data were used.

409

410 **3.3 Model setup**

411 ORCHIDEE-PEAT was first spun-up for 10,100 years, forced by the preindustrial atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 285 ppm, with repeated site-specific observational 412 meteorological fields, and present-day vegetation fractions for each site. In reality, the 413 climate changed through the Holocene, but since the initiation and climate history of 414 each site are unknown, we assumed a constant present-day climate condition and 415 peatland area. Thus, this model is only suitable for simulating water, energy and CO₂ 416 fluxes from peat on time scales ranging from days to decades. To accelerate the 417 spin-up, ORCHIDEE-PEAT was first run for 100 years to reach the equilibrium for 418 hydrology and soil thermal conditions, fast carbon pools and soil carbon input from 419 dead plants. Then, a sub-model simulating only soil carbon dynamics (with fixed 420 daily litter input from the previous simulation) was run for 10,000 years to accumulate 421 soil carbon. Peatlands can reach equilibrium only when the addition of carbon equals 422 carbon lost, which is attained on time scales of 10^4 years (Clymo, 1984; Wania et al., 423 424 2009b). The catotelm carbon pool in this study was still not fully equilibrated even

after 10,100 years due to the low carbon decomposition rate in this reservoir (3.35 \times 425 10⁻⁵ vr⁻¹, Kleinen et al., 2012). The modeled peat carbon pool thus depends on the 426 time length of spin-up, which was fixed at 10,100 years, while in the real world, peat 427 age at some sites can be younger. For example, the sample from the second last 10 cm 428 peat segment at CA-Wp1 has an un-calibrated radiocarbon date of ~2200 years 429 (Flanagan and Syed, 2011). Since we focus on carbon and water fluxes on daily to 430 annual scales in this study, rather than on the simulation of peat carbon stocks, we 431 conducted a sensitivity analysis of modeled heterotrophic respiration to the length of 432 the spin-up, which shows only a slight increase of catotelm respiration with increasing 433 simulation time (Fig. S3). After the spin-up, transient simulations were conducted for 434 each site, forced by repeated site-specific climates and rising atmospheric CO₂ 435 concentration during the period 1901-2015. Finally, the model outputs corresponding 436 to the respective measurement periods (all during 1999-2015) were compared to 437 observed time series for each site. 438

439 Two sets of simulations were conducted. In the first one (S1), soil water content and water table position (WT) were modeled by ORCHIDEE-PEAT, and the WT was 440 441 used in the carbon module to define the fraction of oxic and anoxic decomposition in the acrotelm. S1 was performed for all the 30 sites. In the second set (S2) of 442 simulations, we prescribed water table in the model to equal to observed values 443 (WT_{obs}). That is, soil moisture at layers below the measured water table was 444 prescribed as saturated ($\theta(z > WT_{obs}) = \theta_s$), while soil moisture above WT_{obs} was 445 simulated. WT_{obs} was further used in the carbon module in S2. S2 was performed only 446 for a subset of eight sites where at least two years of water table measurements were 447 available and where there were sufficient observations to gap-fill the WT_{obs} time 448 series (Table 2). For these sites, the gaps of WT_{obs} were filled with the mean value of 449 the same period from other years of measurement (Table S2). The simulation S2 was 450 designed to check if the model performance will improve (or deteriorate) when 451 prescribing WT exactly to its observed value, since WT is known to be a critical 452 variable impacting peat water, CO₂ and CH4 fluxes (Dušek et al., 2009; Parmentier et 453

454 al., 2011; Strack et al., 2006). Fixing the simulated water table to WT_{obs} in S2 violated 455 the water mass conservation of the model, but allowed us to evaluate the carbon 456 module independently from the hydrological module biases.

457

458 **3.4 Measures for evaluating model performance**

Following Jung et al. (2011) and Tramontana et al. (2016), we used site-specific daily means, annual means, seasonal variations and daily anomalies to evaluate the model performance. For each site, seasonal variations are calculated by removing the annual mean value from the mean seasonal cycle (averaged value for each month across all available years). Anomalies are calculated as the deviation of a daily flux value from the corresponding mean seasonal cycle.

A series of measures were used to assess the model performance (Kobayashi and
Salam, 2000; Jung et al., 2011; Tramontana et al., 2016).

467 The root mean square deviation (RMSD) reports the model accuracy by measuring468 the differences between simulation and observation.

469 RMSD =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}$$
, (11)

470 where x_i is simulated variable, y_i is measured variable, and *n* is the number of 471 observations.

Two signals (SDSD and LCS) are discriminated from the mean squared deviation (Kobayashi and Salam, 2000). The squared difference (SDSD) between the standard deviation of the simulation (SD_s) and the measurement (SD_m) shows if the model can reproduce the magnitude of fluctuation among the *n* measurements.

476 SDSD =
$$(SD_s - SD_m)^2$$
; with $SD_s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$, $SD_m = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$,
477 (12)

478 where \overline{x} is simulated mean value, \overline{y} is measured mean value.

The lack of correlation weighted by the standard deviations (LCS) is a measure to examine if the model reproduces the observed phase of variability.

481
$$LCS = 2SD_sSD_m(1-r);$$
 with $r = \left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})\right]/(SD_sSD_m),$ (13)

482 where r is the Pearson's correlation coefficient.

The Nash-Sutcliff modeling efficiency (MEF) is used to indicate the predictive accuracy of the model. MEF varies between negative infinity (-inf) and 1, an efficiency of 1 indicates a perfect fit between simulations and observations; an efficiency of 0 indicates the simulations are as accurate as the mean value of observations; a negative MEF indicates that mean value of observations has greater predictive power than the model. The modeling efficiency is defined as:

489 MEF =
$$1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$
, (14)

490

491 **4. Results**

492 **4.1 Site-specific V**_{cmax} reduces errors in carbon flux simulations

Out of the 30 sites, 22 sites provided observed daily GPP (based on measured NEE). The values of optimized V_{cmax} at each site were listed in Table 3. The optimized V_{cmax} varied from 19 to 89 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 3), with a mean value of 40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. The calibration of V_{cmax} may compensate for biases in other model parameters. A brief comparison between simulated and reported (measured/estimated) LAI and aboveground biomass showed that there are no systematic errors (Fig. S1).

Taylor diagrams were used to evaluate model results at these 22 sites (Fig. 3). The model had the best performance for GPP, with the correlation coefficient between simulated and observed GPP varied between 0.66 and 0.93 and all data points fell within the 0.9 root mean square difference circle. Simulated water table depth had a larger spread in correlation (0.16–0.82) and root mean square difference (0.4–4.0). We found no significant patterns of model-data misfits among different peatland types (fen, bog, others) or climate zones (temperate, boreal and arctic) (Fig. 3).

For the 22 sites where NEE and ER measurements were available, the errors in the three carbon fluxes GPP, ER, NEE were significantly reduced by optimizing V_{cmax} at each site (Table 4, Fig. 4, Fig. S4). With site-specific V_{cmax} values (site-by-site model performances are shown in Fig. S5 to S10 in Supplementary Materials), the overall (all the daily data from all the 22 sites) performance of the model was high for GPP

 $(r^2 = 0.76, MEF = 0.76)$, ER $(r^2 = 0.78, MEF = 0.75)$, and lower for NEE $(r^2 = 0.38, MEF = 0.76)$ 511 MEF = 0.26) (Fig. 4, Table 4). Seasonal variations in carbon fluxes were well 512 captured by the model ($r^2 = 0.61$ to 0.86). The spatial across-sites gradients of annual 513 mean GPP and ER were generally good, with r^2 of 0.93 and 0.89, and lower for NEE 514 ($r^2 = 0.27$). Compared to simulations with a fixed V_{cmax} (the mean of the optimized 515 values of 40 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), there were large improvements in capturing spatial 516 gradients of carbon fluxes with a site-specific V_{cmax} (e.g. r^2 increased from 0.20 to 517 0.93, from 0.27 to 0.89 and from 0.16 to 0.27 for GPP, ER and NEE, respectively, 518 while the RMSD reduced by 63%, 48%, and 9%). This result indicates that 519 model-data disagreement can be largely reduced by using site-specific V_{cmax} instead 520 of a fixed (mean) value. In future regional simulations, spatial variations in V_{cmax} 521 should be taken into account. There was, however, no significant improvement in LE, 522 H and WT by using site-specific V_{cmax} values (Table4). The model performance was 523 poor for predicting daily anomalies of all fluxes, with $r^2 < 0.20$. For both temporal and 524 spatial variation, the MEF of the WT were negative, and r^2 smaller than 0.10, 525 526 indicating that the model had a low predictive capability for the WT. Possible reasons for this could be: 1) Peat disturbance was not parameterized; i.e., the removal of 527 beaver dams resulted in a decline of water level at US-Los; water level at US-WPT, 528 CZ-Wet and RU-Che were manipulated. 2) The model diagnosed all peatland sites as 529 fens by routing runoff from non-peatland areas into the peatland soil tile, whereas in 530 reality, bogs receive water and nutrients only through precipitation. In other words, 531 we included an extra water source for bogs other than rainfall. However, the model 532 did not perform better for fens (Fig. 3f), possibly because the amount of water that 533 was routed into the fen was in error. 3) WT depends on water input from surrounding 534 non-peatland areas: the greater the peatland fraction in the grid cell, the smaller runoff 535 input from other soils to the peatland, hence resulting in a deeper water table in the 536 peatland (Fig. S11). The peatland area fraction derived from the map of Yu et al. 537 (2010) cannot represent local area providing water for fens. 4) For global applications, 538 the effects of micro-relief were not represented in the model, although they have been 539

shown to be an important regulator of the local hydrology cycle (Gong et al., 2012;Shi et al., 2015).

To better understand the influence of the water table dynamics on ER and NEE in 542 the model, we compared the second set of simulations (S2, with observed water table 543 used in the carbon module to define the fraction of oxic and anoxic decomposition in 544 the acrotelm) with the first set (S1, water table calculated by the model). 545 ORCHIDEE-PEAT showed only a small improvement in reproducing ER and NEE 546 547 when WT_{obs} was used (Table 5 and 6). To illustrate this effect, we took the Lompoloj änkk ä (FI-Lom) fen site as an example, in which WT was most severely 548 underestimated among the 22 sites where NEE and ER measurements were available 549 (Fig. S8). While modeled WT varied between 5 and 54 cm below the surface, WT_{obs} 550 was always above the soil surface. Fig. 5a showed that in comparison to S1, there was 551 no aerobic respiration and larger anaerobic respiration in the acrotelm in S2. Due to 552 the smaller acrotelm respiration (aerobic + anaerobic) in S2, carbon input from 553 acrotelm to catotelm was larger and consequently, more carbon accumulated in the 554 catotelm in S2. Thus, the catotelm respiration in S2 was higher than that in S1 (Fig. 555 5c), even though the catotelm respiration rate was very small. Because the growth of 556 the peatland vegetation was not constrained by water in the model, the simulated GPP 557 values were similar between S1 and S2 (Fig. 5a). With similar GPP but smaller soil 558 respiration (sum of theacrotelm and the catotelm respiration), S2 simulations thus 559 resulted in more negative NEE values than S1 (higher net CO₂ uptake). Simulated leaf 560 561 onset occurred earlier than observed at Lompoloj änkk äsite, causing the ecosystem to switch from carbon source to carbon sink in May, while the start of the carbon uptake 562 was observed to occur later (Fig. 5b). Although the modeled NEE was similar in 563 amplitude to the observations, the day-to-day variations of this flux were not captured 564 (Fig. 6), causing an overestimation (more negative values) of NEE in the warm period 565 566 (May-September).

567 The influence of WT on respiration was parameterized as the separation of oxic (β 568 in Eq. 6) vs. anoxic (1- β in Eq. 7) decomposition in the acrotelm. Although absolute values of simulated WT in S1 and WT_{obs} in S2 were quite different (Fig. S8), the values of β were not very different (Fig.S12). Therefore, the simulated WT was good enough to properly replicate ER (Fig.S13). An additional simulation (S3) performed at FI-Lom showed that if WT was more severely underestimated, e.g. WT in S3 was consistently 20 cm deeper than in S1, the acrotelm was exposed to oxygen for longer time, resulting in larger ER and hence smaller carbon sequestration in S3 (Fig.S12, Fig.S13).

- 576
- 577

578 **4.2 Relationship between optimized V**_{cmax} and meteorological variables

Several uni-variate ANOVA models were used to explain the spatial gradient of optimized V_{cmax} , explanatory variables including air temperature (T), precipitation (P), net radiation (NET_RAD), water use efficiency (WUE), water balance (WB) and latitude (LAT). All explanatory variables were calculated as daily mean values during the growing season. Water use efficiency (g C m⁻² mm⁻¹ H₂O) was calculated as the ratio of GPP and evapotranspiration (ET). Water balance (mm day⁻¹) was calculated as the difference between precipitation and ET.

There was no significant difference between optimized V_{cmax} among peatland types 586 (fen vs bog, p = 0.16), climate zones (temperate vs boreal vs arctic, p = 0.17), or 587 dominant vegetation types (grasses and/or mosses dominated vs shrubs and/or trees 588 dominated, p = 0.67) (Fig. S14). However, we found a significant positive relationship 589 between V_{cmax} and the growing season mean air temperature (Fig. S15, Table 6, V_{cmax} 590 = 2.78T +8.74, with $r^2 = 0.19$, p < 0.05) and a significant negative relationship 591 between V_{cmax} and the latitude (Fig. S15, Table 6, V_{cmax} = -0.92LAT +93.56, with r^2 = 592 593 0.23, p < 0.05).

To verify the applicability of the empirical relationship found across sites between optimized V_{cmax} and the latitude (Fig. S15), we used the seven sites where there were no GPP observations available (US-Bes, DE-Hmm, US-Ics, PL-wet, SE-Sto, CA-Wp2 and CA-Wp3) as cross-validated sites. We compared model performance in simulating NEE, with V_{cmax} being calculated according to the empirical relationship,

and with V_{cmax} being fixed to its mean value of all 22 sites from Table 3 (40 μ mol m⁻² 599 s^{-1}). The model performance in reproducing spatial gradients of NEE was improved 600 when the V_{cmax} values derived from the empirical relationship were used (Fig. S16b, 601 with RMSD reduced by 11%, r^2 increased from 0.20 to 0.38, and MEF increased from 602 -0.04 to 0.17). This implies that, compared to a fixed V_{cmax} , the usage of V_{cmax} value 603 from the empirical relationship can better capture spatial gradients of NEE. It is worth 604 mentioning that the empirical relationship was built on climate conditions from the 605 606 last two decades (1999-2015), and thus may change in the future when the climate changes. 607

608

4.3 Soil temperature and a snow depth underestimation in the model

For most of the sites, soil temperature was underestimated in winter and 610 overestimated in summer by our model (Figs. 7 and 8, results from sites DK-Nuf and 611 CA-Wp1 are shown as illustrative examples). One possible reason for the 612 underestimation of soil temperature in winter is the underestimation of snow depth 613 614 (Fig. 9), since snow insulates the soil changing thermal conditions in comparison to a snow-free surface. The underestimation of the snow depth can be caused by the bias 615 in snow processes of the model, such as underestimation of snow mass, and/or 616 overestimation of snow density and subsequently overestimation of snow compaction, 617 and/or overestimation of sublimation. The insulation effect of the moss layer and the 618 top organic layer are not included in this study, which may explain why soil 619 temperature was overestimated in summer but underestimated in winter. 620 ORCHIDEE-PEAT calculates one energy budget for the vegetation and soil columns 621 in one grid cell. Key parameters used for solving the heat diffusion equations in the 622 soil, such as soil heat capacity and thermal conductivity, were prescribed by the 623 dominant soil texture in the grid cell (Gouttevin et al., 2012). Nevertheless, similarly 624 to the case of the hydrology module, the three default (coarse, medium, fine) soil 625 textures cannot represent thermal properties of a peat soil (Paavilainen and Pävänen, 626 1995; Abu-Hamdeh and Reeder, 2000). 627

629 **5. Discussion**

ORCHIDEE-PEAT groups various peatland vegetation into one plant functional type 630 631 (PFT). This PFT cannot represent the true range in vegetation composition (shrubs, sedges, mosses etc.) of peatlands. However, by optimizing the value of V_{cmax} at each 632 site, simulated GPP well represented observations and yielded reasonable soil carbon 633 input. The V_{cmax} values estimated in this study ranged from 19 to 89 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, with 634 a mean value of 40 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. These values were not fully comparable with values 635 reported for a specific vegetation type, as they are averages for all plants growing in 636 the peatland ecosystem. As stated in Sect. 2.2, observed V_{cmax} varies strongly among 637 different species and sites. V_{cmax} of mosses at the Old Black Spruce site (Canada) 638 ranged from 5 to 14 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Williams and Flanagan, 1998). In a nutrient addition 639 experiments conducted by Bubier et al. (2011), V_{cmax} for ericaceous shrubs in a 640 temperate bog ranged from 67 to 137 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, with V_{cmax} for Vaccinium 641 myrtilloides, Ledum groenlandicum and Chamaedaphne calyculata valued at 84.6 \pm 642 13.5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 78.1 ± 13.4 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and 132.1 ± 31.2 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ in the plots 643 with no nutrient addition. The optimized model V_{cmax} in our study was within the 644 range of these observations. Meanwhile, the values we inferred from sites to match 645 peak GPP are comparable to those used in other land surface models: the McGill 646 wetland model used a value of 17 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for evergreen shrubs (St-Hilaire et al., 647 2010); the CLASS-CTEM model (Wu et al., 2016) used 60, 50, 40 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 648 evergreen shrubs, deciduous shrubs and sedges, respectively; the values for mosses in 649 these two models were adapted from the study of Williams and Flanagan (1998). Here 650 we found that optimized V_{cmax} has a significant positive relationship with temperature, 651 and a significant negative relationship with latitude of chosen peatland sites. A 652 decrease of V_{cmax} with latitude in the northern hemisphere, like the one inferred from 653 optimized sites values, has also been documented by Walker et al. (2017), who 654 assumed that V_{cmax} was constrained by the rate of N uptake, with the rate of N uptake 655 calculated as a function of soil C, N and mean annual air temperature. We speculate 656

that the dependence of optimized V_{cmax} on latitude found in Sect. 4.2 can be attributed 657 to two effects. First, there is an increase of the length of the growing season increases 658 as latitude decreases. Simultaneously, temperature and incoming solar radiation, 659 increases. Longer growing season may enhance vegetation productivity (Fang et al., 660 2003; Nemani et al., 2003; Piao et al., 2007). Second, temperature influences the 661 nutrient availability for plants. The decomposition of plant litter and the release of 662 nitrogen can be enhanced by high temperature, although litter decomposition is also 663 664 driven by soil moisture, vegetation composition, litter quality and their interactions with temperature (Aerts, 2006; Cornelissen et al., 2007; Gogo et al., 2016). Because 665 nitrogen (N) is one key element in proteins that are involved in photosynthesis 666 process, photosynthesis capacity is highly correlated to N availability (Evans, 1989; 667 Takashima et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2014). Since the N cycle is not explicitly 668 included in the ORCHIDEE-PEAT, the relationship between V_{cmax} and the latitude 669 (and temperature) possibly reflected the impact of N on photosynthesis rates. 670

Previous studies have shown that peatlands can have contrasting responses to 671 672 variations in water table depth. Concerning sites analyzed in our study, Aurela et al. (2007) reported that at the nutrient-poor fen FI-Sii site, drought increased respiration 673 and thus diminished carbon uptake; Adkinson et al. (2011) reported that reduced 674 water availability constrained photosynthesis capacity at the rich fen CA-Wp3 and 675 consequently suppressed NEE, while the poor fen CA-Wp2 did not show a significant 676 response to the lower water table. At the moderately rich treed fen CA-Wp1 site, 677 Flanagan and Syed (2011) reported that both photosynthesis and respiration increased 678 in response to the warmer and drier conditions; Hurkuck et al. (2016) stated that 679 680 temperature and light played a more important role than water table depth in controlling respiration and photosynthesis at the DE-Bou bog. Based on the field 681 observations, the timing, duration and intensity of drought have a major impact on the 682 responses of peatland ecosystems. Lund et al. (2012) demonstrated that at the raised 683 bog SE-Faj, a relatively short but severe drought that occurred in the middle of 684 growing season of 2006 amplified respiration while a long-lasting drought that 685

occurred at the beginning of growing season of 2008 reduced GPP. Lafleur et al. 686 (2005) and Sulman et al. (2009) concluded from their studies at CA-Mer bog and 687 US-Los fen that wetter peatlands would show stronger relationship between 688 respiration and water table than drier peatlands because in a narrow range of the upper 689 soils, small increases in WT (shallower WT) can result in a large increase in soil 690 water content and therefore respiration decrease, while below a critical level, soil 691 water content shows only small increase with increasing WT and respiration changes 692 693 are not so pronounced. Sulman et al. (2010) found that wetter conditions decreased respiration at fens but increased respiration at bogs, mainly due to different vegetation 694 composition at these two types of peatland: the fen sites had more shrubs and sedges 695 while the bog sites had more mosses. In this study, we did not distinguish between 696 fens and bogs, and growth of peatland vegetation was not constrained by water table 697 depth in the model. Therefore, the sensitivity of GPP to WT fluctuations in 698 observations was not included in the model. As a consequence, the model neither 699 captured the reported decrease of photosynthesis due to drought at CA-Wp3 700 701 (Adkinson et al., 2011) and SE-Faj (Lund et al., 2012), nor the increase of photosynthesis as a result of lower water table at CA-Wp1 (Flanagan and Syed, 2011). 702 However, the model can reproduce the pattern that above a critical level (acrotelm 703 depth), peat respiration decreases with increasing WT (Fig.5, Fig.S13), as reported at 704 705 site CA-Mer and US-Los (Lafleur et al., 2005; Sulman et al., 2009). ORCHIDEE-PEAT adequately captured the daily, seasonal and across-sites annual 706 variations in GPP (with $r^2 = 0.75$, 0.86, and 0.93, respectively) and ER (with $r^2 = 0.78$, 707 0.86, and 0.89, respectively), but did not perform as well in reproducing NEE 708 variations (with $r^2 = 0.38$, 0.61, and 0.27, respectively). Note that in the two-layer soil 709 carbon scheme, the dependence of soil respiration on temperature was parameterized 710 as an exponential function of the soil layers-weighted average temperature (Text S1), 711 the vertical temperature gradient in the soil profile was ignored by the model. 712 However, field studies have shown that soil temperature is one of the most important 713 predictors of respiration and values of Q10 coefficient depend on the soil depth 714

715 (Lafleur et al., 2005; D'Angelo et al., 2016).

Correct representation of peatland hydrology is a challenging problem in 716 large-scale land surface models (Wania et al., 2009a; Wu et al., 2016). The simulated 717 water table by ORCHIDEE-PEAT depends on water inflows from the surrounding 718 non-peatland areas, and a water routing analysis on sub-grid scales can be included to 719 improve the model performance for water table in the future (Ringeval et al., 2012; 720 Stocker et al., 2014). Other studies have shown that microtopography exerts important 721 722 influences on hydrological dynamics of peatlands, however, to capture the influence of microtopography on water table, high-resolution micro-topographic feature and 723 vegetation information are needed (Gong et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2015). 724

The poor correspondence between simulated and observed energy fluxes was not completely unexpected, since ORCHIDEE-PEAT only calculates one energy budget for the whole grid-cell and not for each soil tile/PFT present in the same grid cell. A site-varied and/or time-varied correction of LE and H measurements to force energy balance closure, and parameterizations of an independent energy budget at peatland would be helpful for better comparison of simulated and observed energy fluxes at peatland.

732

733 6. Conclusions

We developed ORCHIDEE-PEAT to simulate soil hydrology and carbon dynamics in 734 peatlands. The model was evaluated at 30 northern peatland sites (Europe, USA, 735 Canada, Russia). The optimization of V_{cmax} reduced the errors in the simulated carbon 736 budget. The model, generally, reproduced the spatial gradient and temporal variations 737 738 in GPP, ER, and NEE well. Water table depth was poorly simulated, possibly due to 739 uncertainties in water input from non-peatland areas in the grid cell, and to a lack of representation of micro-relief, as well as the lack of consideration of peat disturbance. 740 A significant relationship between V_{cmax} and latitude was found. This may be 741 attributed to the influence of temperature on growing season length and nutrient 742 availability. For ER and NEE, the improvement brought by forcing the carbon module 743

to use observed WT values (WT_{obs}), instead of calculated by the model, was small,
indicating that the simulated WT was reliable to predict ER and NEE properly.

Our study shows that in order to reproduce spatial gradients of NEE for northern 746 peatlands, an average V_{cmax} value is not sufficient. To represent a spatial gradient of 747 carbon fluxes in large-scale simulations of northern peatlands, incorporating the 748 peatland nitrogen cycle would be helpful. Alternatively, an empirical relationship 749 between V_{cmax} and the latitude (temperature) may be used as a proxy of nitrogen 750 751 availability. Effects of water table variations on soil carbon decomposition are modeled as the partitioning of the acrotelm layer into oxic and anoxic zones, but 752 effects of water table changes on GPP were not modeled in this study. Future 753 priorities for improving ORCHIDEE-PEAT include better representing the influence 754 of water table on photosynthesis and depth-dependent influence of soil temperature on 755 soil respiration, as well as including an independent sub-grid energy budget for 756 peatland areas. 757

- 758
- 759
- 760

761 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

763

764 Code availability

765

The access of the source code is available online via the following address:

(http://forge.ipsl.jussieu.fr/orchidee/browser/perso/chunjing.qiu/ORCHIDEE), but its
access is restricted. Readers interested in running the model should follow the
instructions at http://orchidee.ipsl.fr/index.php/you-orchidee, and contact the
corresponding author for a username and password.

- 771
- 772

773 Data availability

Measured Eddy Covariance fluxes and related meteorological data can be obtained from the FLUXNET database (http://fluxnet.ornl.gov/), the Ameriflux database (http://ameriflux.lbl.gov/), and from investigators upon request. Model outputs are available at:

https://files.lsce.ipsl.fr/public.php?service=files&t=c12c831ef46cd2bf6d1f61b6e65f8
c98.

- 780
- 781
- 782 783

784 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the European Research Council Synergy grant 785 ERC-2013-SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P. We would like to thank all the PIs for 786 giving us permission to use the flux and ancillary data, and all the help and advices 787 they provided while we were preparing the manuscript. We thank the Polish National 788 Science Centre which provided funds for site Kopytkowo (PL-Kpt) under projects 789 UMO-2011/01/B/ST10/07550 and UMO-2015/17/B/ST10/02187, and the Department 790 of Energy for supporting measurements at Lost Creek fen (US-Los) through the 791 Ameriflux Network Management Project. We gratefully acknowledge the financial 792 for La Guette under the Labex 793 support provided site VOLTAIRE 794 (ANR-10-LABX-100-01) and the PIVOTS project of the R égion Centre - Val de Loire ((ARD 2020 program and CPER 2015 -2020). Data from the Greenlandic sites 795 (DK-ZaF and DK-NuF) were provided by the Greenland Ecosystem Monitoring 796 Programme. The US-Bes tower is funded by NSF (award numbers 1204263 and 797 1702797), NASA ABoVE (NNX15AT74A; NNX16AF94A), EU Horizon 2020 798 INTAROS (under grant agreement No. 727890), and NERC UAMS Grant 799 800 (NE/P002552/1).

801

803 **References**

- Abu-Hamdeh, N. H. and Reeder, R. C.: Soil thermal conductivity effects of density, moisture,
 salt concentration, and organic matter, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64(4), 1285–1290, 2000.
- Adkinson, A. C., Syed, K. H. and Flanagan, L. B.: Contrasting responses of growing season
- 807 ecosystem CO_2 exchange to variation in temperature and water table depth in two 808 peatlands in northern Alberta , Canada, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 116, 1–17, 809 doi:10.1029/2010JG001512, 2011.
- Aerts, R.: The freezer defrosting: Global warming and litter decomposition rates in cold
 biomes, J. Ecol., 94(4), 713–724, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01142.x, 2006.
- Aurela, M., Laurila, T. and Tuovinen, J. P.: The timing of snow melt controls the annual CO₂
- balance in a subarctic fen, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31(16), 3–6, doi:10.1029/2004GL020315,
 2004.
- Aurela, M., Riutta, T., Laurila, T., Tuovinen, J.-P., Vesala, T., Tuittila, E.-S., Rinne, J.,
 Haapanala, S. and Laine, J.: CO₂ exchange of a sedge fen in southern Finland—the impact
 of a drought period, Tellus B, 59(5), 826–837, 2007.
- Aurela, M., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J. P., Hatakka, J., Riutta, T. and Laurila, T.: Carbon
 dioxide exchange on a northern boreal fen, Boreal Environ. Res., 14(4), 699–710,
 doi:10.1093/treephys/tpn047, 2009.
- Barabach, J.: The history of Lake Rzecin and its surroundings drawn on maps as a
 background to palaeoecological reconstruction, Limnol. Rev., 12(3), 103–114,
 doi:10.2478/v10194-011-0050-0, 2012.
- Barr, A. G., Black, T. A., Hogg, E. H., Kljun, N., Morgenstern, K. and Nesic, Z.: Inter-annual
 variability in the leaf area index of a boreal aspen-hazelnut forest in relation to net
 ecosystem production, Agric. For. Meteorol., 126(3–4), 237–255,
 doi:10.1029/2002JD003011, 2004.
- Best, M. J., Pryor, M., Clark, D. B., Rooney, G. G. and Essery, R. L. H.: Model Development
 The Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (JULES), model description Part 1 : Energy
 and water fluxes, , 677–699, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-677-2011, 2011.

- Botta, A., Viovy, N., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P. and Monfray, P.: A global prognostic
 scheme of leaf onset using satellite data, Glob. Chang. Biol., 6(7), 709–725,
 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00362.x, 2000.
- Boutin, C. and Keddy, P. A.: A Functional Classification of Wetland Plants, J. Veg. Sci., 4(5),
 591–600, doi:10.2307/3236124, 1993.
- Bubier, J. L., Smith, R., Juutinen, S., Moore, T. R., Minocha, R., Long, S. and Minocha, S.:
- Effects of nutrient addition on leaf chemistry, morphology, and photosynthetic capacity of three bog shrubs, Oecologia, 167(2), 355–368, doi:10.1007/s00442-011-1998-9, 2011.
- Bui, V.: Photosynthetic Performance of Chamaedaphne calyculata after Twelve Years of
 Nutrient Addition at Mer Bleue Bog, Ontario, Canada, 2013.
- Carsel, R. F. and Parrish, R. S.: Developing joint probability distributions of soil water
 retention characteristics, Water Resour. Res., 24(5), 755–769, 1988.
- Chapin III, F. S., Matson, P. A. and Vitousek, P.: Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology,
 Springer Science & Business Media., 2011.
- Chaudhary, N., Miller, P. A. and Smith, B.: Modelling Holocene peatland dynamics with an
 individual-based dynamic vegetation model, Biogeosciences Discuss., (December), 1–46,
 doi:10.5194/bg-2016-319, 2016.
- Chaudhary, N., Miller, P. A. and Smith, B.: Modelling past, present and future peatland
 carbon accumulation across the pan-Arctic, Biogeosciences Discuss., (February), 1–45,
 doi:10.5194/bg-2017-34, 2017.
- Chojnicki, B. H., Urbaniak, M., Józefczyk, D., Augustin, J. and Olejnik, J.: Measurements of
 gas and heat fluxes at Rzecin wetland, Wetl. Monit. Model. Manag. Taylor Fr. Group,
 London, 125–131, 2007.
- Chu, H., Chen, J., Gottgens, J. F., Ouyang, Z., John, R., Czajkowski, K. and Becker, R.: Net
 ecosystem methane and carbon dioxide exchanges in a Lake Erie coastal marsh and a
 nearby cropland, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 119(5), 722–740, 2014.
- 857 Chu, H., Gottgens, J. F., Chen, J., Sun, G., Desai, A. R., Ouyang, Z., Shao, C. and
- 858 Czajkowski, K.: Climatic variability, hydrologic anomaly, and methane emission can turn

- productive freshwater marshes into net carbon sources, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21(3),
 1165–1181, doi:10.1111/gcb.12760, 2015.
- Clymo, R. S.: The Limits to Peat Bog Growth, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci., 303(1117),
 605–654, doi:10.1098/rstb.1984.0002, 1984.
- 863 Cornelissen, J. H. C., Van Bodegom, P. M., Aerts, R., Callaghan, T. V., Van Logtestijn, R. S.
- P., Alatalo, J., Stuart Chapin, F., Gerdol, R., Gudmundsson, J., Gwynn-Jones, D., Hartley,
- A. E., Hik, D. S., Hofgaard, A., Jónsdóttir, I. S., Karlsson, S., Klein, J. A., Laundre, J.,
- Magnusson, B., Michelsen, A., Molau, U., Onipchenko, V. G., Quested, H. M., Sandvik, S.
- M., Schmidt, I. K., Shaver, G. R., Solheim, B., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Stenström, A.,
- Tolvanen, A., Totland, Ø., Wada, N., Welker, J. M., Zhao, X., Brancaleoni, L.,
- Brancaleoni, L., De Beus, M. A. H., Cooper, E. J., Dalen, L., Harte, J., Hobbie, S. E.,
- Hoefsloot, G., Jägerbrand, A., Jonasson, S., Lee, J. A., Lindblad, K., Melillo, J. M., Neill,
- C., Press, M. C., Rozema, J. and Zielke, M.: Global negative vegetation feedback to
 climate warming responses of leaf litter decomposition rates in cold biomes, Ecol. Lett.,
 10(7), 619–627, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01051.x, 2007.
- Corradi, C., Kolle, O., Walter, K., Zimov, S. A. and Schulze, E. D.: Carbon dioxide and
 methane exchange of a north-east Siberian tussock tundra, Glob. Chang. Biol., 11(11),
 1910–1925, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01023.x, 2005.
- Cresto Aleina, F., Runkle, B. R. K., Brücher, T., Kleinen, T. and Brovkin, V.: Upscaling
 methane emission hotspots in boreal peatlands, Geosci. Model Dev., 9(2), 915–926,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-9-915-2016, 2016.
- 880 D'Angelo, B., Gogo, S., Laggoun- D éfarge, F., Le Moing, F., J égou, F. and Guimbaud, C.:
- 881 Soil temperature synchronisation improves representation of diel variability of ecosystem
- respiration in Sphagnum peatlands, Agric. For. Meteorol., 223(April), 95–102,
- doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.03.021, 2016.
- Dawson, Q. L.: Low-lying agricultural peatland sustainability under managed water regimes,
 October, (May) [online] Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/1826/1405, 2006.
- B86 Druel, A., Peylin, P., Krinner, G., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Peregon, A., Bastrikov, V., Kosykh, N.

- and Mironycheva-Tokareva, N.: Towards a more detailed representation of high-latitude
 vegetation in the global land surface model ORCHIDEE (ORC-HL-VEGv1.0), Geosci.
 Model Dev. Discuss., 2017, 1–51, doi:10.5194/gmd-2017-65, 2017.
- 890 Ducoudr é, N. I., Laval, K. and Perrier, A.: SECHIBA, a New Set of Parameterizations of the
- 891 Hydrologic Exchanges at the Land-Atmosphere Interface within the LMD Atmospheric
- 892 General Circulation Model, J. Clim., 6, 248–273,
 893 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006<0248:SANSOP>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
- Dušek, J., Čížková, H., Czerný, R., Taufarová, K., Šmídová, M. and Janouš, D.: Influence of
 summer flood on the net ecosystem exchange of CO2 in a temperate sedge-grass marsh,
 Agric. For. Meteorol., 149(9), 1524–1530, 2009.
- Euskirchen, E. S., Bret-Harte, M. S., Scott, G. J., Edgar, C. and Shaver, G. R.: Seasonal
 patterns of carbon dioxide and water fluxes in three representative tundra ecosystems in
 northern Alaska, Ecosphere, 3(1), art4, doi:10.1890/ES11-00202.1, 2012.
- Euskirchen, E. S., Edgar, C. W., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P. and Harden, J. W.:
 Differential response of carbon fluxes to climate in three peatland ecosystems that vary in
 the presence and stability of permafrost, , 1576–1595,
 doi:10.1002/2014JG002683.Received, 2014.
- Buskirchen, E. S., Shaver, G. R., Edgar, C. W. and Romanovsky, V. E.: Long-Term Release
 of Carbon Dioxide from Arctic Tundra Ecosystems in Alaska, Ecosystems,
 doi:10.1007/s10021-016-0085-9, 2016.
- Evans, J. R.: Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants, Oecologia,
 78(1), 9–19, doi:10.1007/BF00377192, 1989.
- Fang, J., Piao, S., Field, C. B., Pan, Y., Guo, Q., Zhou, L., Peng, C. and Tao, S.: Increasing
 net primary production in China from 1982 to 1999, Front. Ecol. Environ., 1(6), 293–297,
 2003.
- Flanagan, L. B. and Syed, K. H.: Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in
 response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem, Glob. Chang.
 Biol., 17(7), 2271–2287, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02378.x, 2011.

- Fortuniak, K., Pawlak, W., Bednorz, L., Grygoruk, M., Siedlecki, M. and Zieliński, M.:
 Methane and carbon dioxide fluxes of a temperate mire in Central Europe, Agric. For.
 Meteorol., 232, 306–318, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.08.023, 2017.
- 918 Franz, D., Koebsch, F., Larmanou, E., Augustin, J. and Sachs, T.: High net CO2 and CH4
- release at a eutrophic shallow lake on a formerly drained fen, Biogeosciences, 13(10),
 3051–3070, doi:10.5194/bg-13-3051-2016, 2016.
- Frolking, S., Roulet, N. T., Tuittila, E., Bubier, J. L., Quillet, A., Talbot, J. and Richard, P. J.
 H.: A new model of Holocene peatland net primary production, decomposition, water
 balance, and peat accumulation, Earth Syst. Dyn. Discuss., 1(1), 115–167,
 doi:10.5194/esdd-1-115-2010, 2010.
- 925 Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M. C., Treat, C. C., Kauffman, J. B., Tuittila, E.-S. and Roulet,
- N. T.: Peatlands in the Earth's 21st century climate system, Environ. Rev., 19(NA),
 371–396, doi:10.1139/a11-014, 2011.
- Van Genuchten, M. T.: A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of
 unsaturated soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 44(5), 892–898, 1980.
- Gnatowski, T., Szatyłowicz, J., Brandyk, T. and Kechavarzi, C.: Hydraulic properties of fen
 peat soils in Poland, Geoderma, 154(3–4), 188–195, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.02.021,
 2010.
- 933 Gogo, S., Laggoun- D & F., Merzouki, F., Mounier, S., Guirimand-Dufour, A., Jozja, N.,
- Huguet, A., Delarue, F. and D farge, C.: In situ and laboratory non-additive litter mixture
 effect on C dynamics of Sphagnum rubellum and Molinia caerulea litters, J. Soils
 Sediments, 16(1), 13–27, doi:10.1007/s11368-015-1178-3, 2016.
- Gong, J., Wang, K., Kellom äki, S., Zhang, C., Martikainen, P. J. and Shurpali, N.: Modeling
 water table changes in boreal peatlands of Finland under changing climate conditions, Ecol.
- 939 Modell., 244(May), 65–78, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.06.031, 2012.
- Gong, J., Kellomäki, S., Wang, K., Zhang, C., Shurpali, N. and Martikainen, P. J.: Modeling
 CO2 and CH4 flux changes in pristine peatlands of Finland under changing climate
- 942 conditions, Ecol. Modell., 263, 64–80, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.018, 2013.

- Gorham, E.: Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probably responses to climate
 warming, Ecol. Appl., 1(2), 182–195, doi:10.2307/1941811, 1991.
- Gouttevin, I., Krinner, G., Ciais, P., Polcher, J. and Legout, C.: Multi-scale validation of a
 new soil freezing scheme for a land-surface model with physically-based hydrology,
 Cryosphere, 6(2), 407–430, doi:10.5194/tc-6-407-2012, 2012.
- Graniero, P. A. and Price, J. S.: The importance of topographic factors on the distribution of
 bog and heath in a Newfoundland blanket bog complex, Catena, 36(3), 233–254,
 doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(99)00008-9, 1999.
- Hommeltenberg, J., Mauder, M., Drösler, M., Heidbach, K., Werle, P. and Schmid, H. P.:
 Ecosystem scale methane fluxes in a natural temperate bog-pine forest in southern
 Germany, Agric. For. Meteorol., 198, 273–284, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.08.017,
 2014.
- Hooijer, A., Page, S., Canadell, J. G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk, J., Wösten, H. and Jauhiainen, J.:
 Current and future CO2 emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia,
 Biogeosciences, 7, 1505–1514, 2010.
- Hurkuck, M., Brümmer, C. and Kutsch, W. L.: Near-neutral carbon dioxide balance at a
 seminatural, temperate bog ecosystem, J. Geophys. Res. G Biogeosciences, 121(2),
 370–384, doi:10.1002/2015JG003195, 2016.
- 961 Iversen, C. M., Sloan, V. L., Sullivan, P. F., Euskirchen, E. S., Mcguire, A. D., Norby, R. J.,
 962 Walker, A. P., Warren, J. M. and Wullschleger, S. D.: The unseen iceberg: Plant roots in
 963 arctic tundra, New Phytol., 205(1), 34–58, doi:10.1111/nph.13003, 2015.
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H. A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A. D., Arain, M. A.,
- Arneth, A., Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch,
- 966 W., Lasslop, G., Law, B. E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E. J.,
- Papale, D., Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F. and Williams, C.: Global patterns of
 land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy
 covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences,
- 970 116(3), 1–16, doi:10.1029/2010JG001566, 2011.

- Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V. and Schuldt, R. J.: A dynamic model of wetland extent and peat
 accumulation: Results for the Holocene, Biogeosciences, 9(1), 235–248,
 doi:10.5194/bg-9-235-2012, 2012.
- Kobayashi, K. and Salam, M. U.: Comparing simulated and measured values using mean
 squared desviation and its components, Agron. J., 92(March), 345–352,
 doi:10.1007/s100870050043, 2000.
- 977 Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudr é, N., Og ée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais,
- P., Sitch, S. and Prentice, I. C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the
 coupled atmosphere-biosphere system, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19(1), 1–33,
 doi:10.1029/2003GB002199, 2005.
- Lafleur, P. M., Moore, T. R., Roulet, N. T. and Frolking, S.: Ecosystem respiration in a cool
 temperate bog depends on peat temperature but not water table, Ecosystems, 8(6), 619–629,
 doi:10.1007/s10021-003-0131-2, 2005.
- 284 Laggoun-Défarge, F., Gogo, S., Bernard-Jannin, L., Guimbaud, C., Zoccatelli, R., Rousseau,
- J., Binet, S., D'Angelo, B., Leroy, F., Jozja, N., Le Moing, F., and , Défarge , C.: DOES
- 986 HYDROLOGICAL RESTORATION AFFECT GREENHOUSE GASES EMISSION
- AND PLANT DYNAMICS IN SPHAGNUM PEATLANDS ?, Mires. Peat., 2016.
- Largeron, C., Krinner, G., Ciais, P. and Brutel-Vuilmet, C.: Implementing northern peatlands
 in a global land surface model: description and evaluation in the ORCHIDEE high latitude
 version model (ORC-HL-PEAT), Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 2017, 1–26,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-2017-141, 2017.
- Laughlin, D. C., Leppert, J.J., Moore, M.M., and Sieg, C.H.: A multi-trait test of the
 leaf-height-seed plant strategy scheme with 133 species from a pine forest flora, Funct.
 Ecol., 24, 493–501, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01672.x, 2010.
- Letts, M. G., Roulet, N. T., Comer, N. T., Skarupa, M. R. and Verseghy, D. L.:
 Parametrization of peatland hydraulic properties for the Canadian land surface scheme,
 Atmosphere-Ocean, 38(1), 141–160, doi:10.1080/07055900.2000.9649643, 2000.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A.: On the temperature dependence of soil respiration, Funct. Ecol.,

999 315–323, 1994.

- Lund, M., Lindroth, A., Christensen, T. R. and Ström, L.: Annual CO2 balance of a temperate
 bog, Tellus B, 59(5), 804–811, 2007.
- 1002 Lund, M., Christensen, T. R., Lindroth, A. and Schubert, P.: Effects of drought conditions on
- the carbon dioxide dynamics in a temperate peatland, Environ. Res. Lett., 7(4), 45704,2012.
- Lund, M., Bjerke, J. W., Drake, B. G., Engelsen, O., Hansen, G. H., Parmentier, F.-J. W.,
 Powell, T. L., Silvennoinen, H., Sottocornola, M., Tømmervik, H., Weldon, S. and Rasse,
 D. P.: Low impact of dry conditions on the CO2 exchange of a Northern-Norwegian
- 1008 blanket bog, Environ. Res. Lett., 10(2), 25004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/025004, 2015.
- Malmer, N., Johansson, T., Olsrud, M. and Christensen, T. R.: Vegetation, climatic changes
 and net carbon sequestration in a North-Scandinavian subarctic mire over 30 years, Glob.
 Chang. Biol., 11(11), 1895–1909, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01042.x, 2005.
- Mcgrath, M. J., Ryder, J., Pinty, B., Otto, J., Naudts, K., Valade, A., Chen, Y., Weedon, J.
 and Luyssaert, S.: A multi-level canopy radiative transfer scheme for ORCHIDEE (SVN
 r2566), based on a domain-averaged structure factor, , (November),
- 1015 doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-280, 2016.
- McVeigh, P., Sottocornola, M., Foley, N., Leahy, P. and Kiely, G.: Meteorological and 1016 functional response partitioning to explain interannual variability of CO2 exchange at an 1017 Irish Atlantic blanket Meteorol., 194, 8-19. 1018 bog, Agric. For. 1019 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.017, 2014.
- 1020 Merbold, L., Kutsch, W. L., Corradi, C., Kolle, O., Rebmann, C., Stoy, P. C., Zimov, S. A.
- and SCHULZE, E.: Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO2/CH4) in a tundra ecosystem, Glob. Chang. Biol., 15(11), 2599–2614, 2009.
- Mertens, S., Nijs, I., Heuer, M., Kockelbergh, F., Beyens, L., Kerckvoorde, A. Van and
 Impens, I.: Influence of High Temperature on End-of-Season Tundra CO2 Exchange,
 Ecosystems, 4(3), 226–236, doi:10.1007/s10021-001-0006-3, 2001.
- 1026 Milecka, K., Kowalewski, G., Fiałkiewicz-Kozieł, B., Gałka, M., Lamentowicz, M.,

- 1027 Chojnicki, B. H., Goslar, T. and Barabach, J.: Hydrological changes in the Rzecin peatland
 1028 (Puszcza Notecka, Poland) induced by anthropogenic factors: Implications for mire
 1029 development and carbon sequestration, The Holocene, 959683616670468, 2016.
- Morris, P. J., Baird, A. J. and Belyea, L. R.: Bridging the gap between models and
 measurements of peat hydraulic conductivity, Water Resour. Res., 51(7), 5353–5364,
 2015.
- Mualem, Y.: A new model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated porous
 media, Water Resour. Res., 12(3), 513–522, 1976.
- Nemani, R. R., Keeling, C. D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W. M., Piper, S. C., Tucker, C. J.,
 Myneni, R. B. and Running, S. W.: Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net
 primary production from 1982 to 1999, Science., 300(5625), 1560–1563, 2003.
- Nilsson, M., Sagerfors, J., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Eriksson, T., Grelle, A., Klemedtsson, L.,
 Weslien, P. E. R. and Lindroth, A.: Contemporary carbon accumulation in a boreal
 oligotrophic minerogenic mire–A significant sink after accounting for all C-fluxes, Glob.
 Chang. Biol., 14(10), 2317–2332, 2008.
- Olefeldt, D., Roulet, N. T., Bergeron, O., Crill, P., B äckstrand, K. and Christensen, T. R.: Net
 carbon accumulation of a high-latitude permafrost palsa mire similar to permafrost-free
 peatlands, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(3), doi:10.1029/2011GL050355, 2012.
- 1045 Orgeval, T., Polcher, J. and Rosnay, P. De: Sensitivity of the West African hydrological cycle
 1046 in ORCHIDEE to infiltration processes, Hydrol. Earth. Syst. Sc., 12(6), 1387–1401, 2008.
- 1047 Orgeval, T. d': Impact du changement climatique sur le cycle de l'eau en Afrique de l'Ouest:
 1048 mod disation et incertitudes, mod disation et incertitudes. Diss., Paris 6, 2006.
- Paavilainen, E. and Pävänen, J.: Peatland forestry: ecology and principles, Springer Science & Business Media., 1995.
- Page, S. E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J. O., Boehm, H.-D. V, Jaya, A. and Limin, S.: The amount of
 carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997, Nature, 420(6911),
 61–65, 2002.
- 1054 Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. and Banks, C. J.: Global and regional importance of the tropical

- 1055peatlandcarbonpool,Glob.Chang.Biol.,17,798–818,1056doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02279.x, 2011.
- Parish, F., Sirin, A., Charman, D., Joosten, H., Minayeva, T., Silvius, M. and Stringer, L.:
 Assessment on Peatlands, Biodiversity and Climate Change: Main Report., 2008.
- 1059 Parmentier, F. J. W., van Huissteden, J., Van Der Molen, M. K., Schaepman Strub, G.,
- 1060 Karsanaev, S. A., Maximov, T. C. and Dolman, A. J.: Spatial and temporal dynamics in
- eddy covariance observations of methane fluxes at a tundra site in northeastern Siberia, J.Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 116(G3), 2011.
- Parton, W. J., Stewart, J. W. B. and Cole, C. V: Dynamics of C , N , P and S in grassland
 soils : a model, Biogeochemistry, 131(5), 109–131, 1988.
- Piao, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P. and Viovy, N.: Growing season extension and its impact
 on terrestrial carbon cycle in the Northern Hemisphere over the past 2 decades, Global
 Biogeochem. Cycles, 21(3), 1–11, 2007. doi:10.1029/2006GB002888, 2007.
- Pirk, N., Sievers, J., Mertes, J., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Mastepanov, M. and Christensen, T. R.:
 Spatial variability of CO2 uptake in polygonal tundra: assessing low-frequency
 disturbances in eddy covariance flux estimates, Biogeosciences, 14(19), 3157-3169,
 2017.Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P.,
 Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T. and Granier, A.: On the separation of net
 ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved
 algorithm, Glob. Chang. Biol., 11(9), 1424–1439, 2005.
- 1075 Rennermalm, A. K., Soegaard, H. and Nordstroem, C.: Interannual Variability in Carbon
 1076 Dioxide Exchange from a High Arctic Fen Estimated by Measurements and Modeling,
 1077 Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res., 37(4), 545–556,
 1078 doi:10.1657/1523-0430(2005)037[0545:IVICDE]2.0.CO;2, 2005.
- Rezanezhad, F., Price, J. S., Quinton, W. L., Lennartz, B., Milojevic, T. and Van Cappellen,
 P.: Structure of peat soils and implications for water storage, flow and solute transport: A
 review update for geochemists, Chem. Geol., 429, 75–84,
 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.03.010, 2016.

- Ringeval, B., Decharme, B., Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Papa, F., de Noblet-Ducoudr é, N., Prigent,
 C., Friedlingstein, P., Gouttevin, I., Koven, C., and Ducharne, A.: Modelling sub-grid
 wetland in the ORCHIDEE global land surface model: evaluation against river discharges
 and remotely sensed data, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 941–962, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-941-2012,
 2012.
- Riutta, T., Laine, J., Aurela, M., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Laurila, T., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M.
 and TUITTILA, E.: Spatial variation in plant community functions regulates carbon gas
 dynamics in a boreal fen ecosystem, Tellus B, 59(5), 838–852, 2007.
- Sagerfors, J., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Klemedtsson, L., Weslien, P. and Nilsson, M. B.:
 Annual CO2 exchange between a nutrient-poor, minerotrophic, boreal mire and the
 atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 113(1), 1–15, doi:10.1029/2006JDG000306,
 2008.
- Shi, X., Thornton, P. E., Ricciuto, D. M., Hanson, P. J., Mao, J., Sebestyen, S. D., Griffiths,
 N. A. and Bisht, G.: Representing northern peatland microtopography and hydrology
 within the Community Land Model, Biogeosciences, 12(21), 6463–6477,
 doi:10.5194/bg-12-6463-2015, 2015.
- Sottocornola, M., Laine, A., Kiely, G., Byrne, K. A. and Tuittila, E. S.: Vegetation and
 environmental variation in an Atlantic blanket bog in South-western Ireland, Plant Ecol.,
 203(1), 69–81, doi:10.1007/s11258-008-9510-2, 2009.
- Spahni, R., Joos, F., Stocker, B. D., Steinacher, M. and Yu, Z. C.: Transient simulations of
 the carbon and nitrogen dynamics in northern peatlands: From the Last Glacial Maximum
 to the 21st century, Clim. Past, 9(3), 1287–1308, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1287-2013, 2013.
- 1105 Stocker, B., Spahni, R., and Joos, F.: DYPTOP: a cost-efficient TOPMODEL implementation
- to simulate sub-grid spatio-temporal dynamics of global wetlands and peatlands, Geosci.
- 1107 Model Dev., 7(6), 3089-3110, 2014.
- 1108 St-Hilaire, F., Wu, J., Roulet, N. T., Frolking, S., Lafleur, P. M., Humphreys, E. R. and Arora,
- 1109 V.: McGill wetland model: evaluation of a peatland carbon simulator developed for global
- assessments, Biogeosciences, 7(11), 3517–3530, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3517-2010, 2010.

1111 Strack, M., Waddington, J. M., Rochefort, L. and Tuittila, E. S.: Response of vegetation and

net ecosystem carbon dioxide exchange at different peatland microforms following water

- 1113 table drawdown, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 111(2), 1–10,
 1114 doi:10.1029/2005JG000145, 2006.
- Stiegler, C., Lund, M., Røjle Christensen, T., Mastepanov, M. and Lindroth, A.: Two years
 with extreme and little snowfall: Effects on energy partitioning and surface energy
 exchange in a high-Arctic tundra ecosystem, Cryosphere, 10(4), 1395–1413,
 doi:10.5194/tc-10-1395-2016, 2016.
- Sulman, B. N., Desai, a. R., Cook, B. D., Saliendra, N. and Mackay, D. S.: Contrasting
 carbon dioxide fluxes between a drying shrub wetland in Northern Wisconsin, USA, and
 nearby forests, Biogeosciences, 6(6), 1115–1126, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1115-2009, 2009.
- Sulman, B. N., Desai, A. R., Saliendra, N. Z., Lafleur, P. M., Flanagan, L. B., Sonnentag, O.,
 MacKay, D. S., Barr, A. G. and Van Der Kamp, G.: CO2 fluxes at northern fens and bogs
 have opposite responses to inter-annual fluctuations in water table, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
 37(19), 3–7, doi:10.1029/2010GL044018, 2010.
- Takashima, T., Hikosaka, K. and Hirose, T.: Photosynthesis or persistence: Nitrogen allocation in leaves of evergreen and deciduous Quercus species, Plant, Cell Environ., 27(8), 1047–1054, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01209.x, 2004.
- Tramontana, G., Jung, M., Schwalm, C. R., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Ráduly, B.,
 Reichstein, M., Arain, M. A., Cescatti, A., Kiely, G., Merbold, L., Serrano-Ortiz, P.,
 Sickert, S., Wolf, S. and Papale, D.: Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across
 global FLUXNET sites with regression algorithms, Biogeosciences, 13(14), 4291–4313,
- doi:10.5194/bg-13-4291-2016, 2016.

- Turetsky, M., Wieder, K., Halsey, L. and Vitt, D.: Current disturbance and the diminishing
 peatland carbon sink, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(11), 2002.
- Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K. and Reinikainen, A.: Estimating carbon accumulation
 rates of undrained mires in Finland application to boreal and subarctic regions, The
 Holocene, 12(1), 69–80, doi:10.1191/0959683602hl522rp, 2002.

- Vanselow-Algan, M., Schmidt, S. R., Greven, M., Fiencke, C., Kutzbach, L. and Pfeiffer, E.
 M.: High methane emissions dominated annual greenhouse gas balances 30 years after bog
 rewetting, Biogeosciences, 12(14), 4361–4371, doi:10.5194/bg-12-4361-2015, 2015.
- 1142 Verheijen, L. M., Brovkin, V., Aerts, R., Bönisch, G., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Kattge, J., Reich,
- P. B., Wright, I. J. and Van Bodegom, P. M.: Impacts of trait variation through observed
- trait-climate relationships on performance of an Earth system model: A conceptual analysis,
- 1145 Biogeosciences, 10(8), 5497–5515, doi:10.5194/bg-10-5497-2013, 2013.Walker, A. P.,
- 1146 Beckerman, A. P., Gu, L., Kattge, J., Cernusak, L. A., Domingues, T. F., Scales, J. C.,
- Wohlfahrt, G., Wullschleger, S. D. and Woodward, F. I.: The relationship of leaf
 photosynthetic traits Vcmax and Jmax to leaf nitrogen, leaf phosphorus, and specific
 leaf area: A meta-analysis and modeling study, Ecol. Evol., 4(16), 3218–3235,
 doi:10.1002/ece3.1173, 2014.
- Walker, A. P., Quaife, T., Bodegom, P. M., De Kauwe, M. G., Keenan, T. F., Joiner J.,
 Lomas, M. R., MacBean, N., Xu, C., Yang, X. and Woodward, F. I.: The impact of
 alternative trait-scaling hypotheses for the maximum photosynthetic carboxylation rate
 (V_{cmax}) on global gross primary production, New Phytologist, 215(4), 1370-1386,
 doi:10.1111/nph.14623, 2017.
- Wania, R., Ross, I. and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic
 global vegetation model: 1. Evaluation and sensitivity of physical land surface processes,
 Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 23(3), 1–19, doi:10.1029/2008GB003412, 2009a.
- Wania, R., Ross, I. and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic
 global vegetation model: 2. Evaluation and sensitivity of vegetation and carbon cycle
 processes, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 23(3), 1–15, doi:10.1029/2008GB003413, 2009b.
- Williams, T. G. and Flanagan, L. B.: Measuring and modelling environmental influences on
 photosynthetic gas exchange in Spagnum and Pleurozium, Plant, Cell Environ., 21,
 555–564, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00292.x, 1998.
- Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Lund, M., Hansen, B. U. and Tamstorf, M. P.: Camera derived
 vegetation greenness index as proxy for gross primary production in a low Arctic wetland

- area, ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens., 86, 89–99, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.09.006,
 2013.
- 1169 Wright, I. J., Westoby, M., Reich, P. B., Oleksyn, J., Ackerly, D. D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F.,
- 1170 Cavender-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornellissen, J. H. C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Gulias, J.,
- 1171 Garnier, E., Navas, M. L., Roumet, C., Groom, P. K., Lamont, B. B., Hikosaka, K., Lee, T.,
- 1172 Lee, W., Lusk, C., Midgley, J. J., Niinemets, Ü., Osada, H., Poorter, H., Pool, P.,
- 1173 Veneklaas, E. J., Prior, L., Pyankov, V. I., Thomas, S. C., Tjoelker, M. G. and Villar, R.:
- 1174 The worldwide leaf economics spectrum, Nature, 428, 821–827, doi:10.1038/nature02403,
 1175 2004.
- 1176 Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Falster, D. S., Garnier, E., Hikosaka, K.,
- 1177 Lamont, B. B., Lee, W., Oleksyn, J., Os- ada, N., Poorter, H., Villar, R., Warton, D. I., and
- Westoby, M.: Assessing the generality of global leaf trait relationships, New Phytol., 166,
 485–496, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01349.x, 2005
- Wu, Y., Verseghy, D. L. and Melton, J. R.: Integrating peatlands into the coupled Canadian
 Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) v3.6 and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model
 (CTEM) v2.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 9(8), 2639–2663, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-2639-2016,
 2016.
- Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W. and Hunt, S. J.: Global peatland dynamics
 since the Last Glacial Maximum, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(13), 1–5,
 doi:10.1029/2010GL043584, 2010.
- Yurova, A., Wolf, A., Sagerfors, J. and Nilsson, M.: Variations in net ecosystem exchange of
 carbon dioxide in a boreal mire: Modeling mechanisms linked to water table position, J.
 Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 112(2), doi:10.1029/2006JG000342, 2007.
- Zhu, D., Peng, S., Ciais, P., Zech, R., Krinner, G., Zimov, S. and Grosse, G.: Simulating soil
 organic carbon in yedoma deposits during the Last Glacial Maximum in a land surface
 model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(10), 5133–5142, doi:10.1002/2016GL068874, 2016.
- 1193 Zona, D., Oechel, W. C., Kochendorfer, J., Paw U, K. T., Salyuk, A. N., Olivas, P. C.,
- 1194 Oberbauer, S. F. and Lipson, D. A.: Methane fluxes during the initiation of a large-scale

1195	water table manipulation experiment in the Alaskan Arctic tundra, Global Biogeochem.
1196	Cycles, 23(2), doi:10.1029/2009GB003487, 2009.
1197	Zobler, L.: A world soil file for global climate modeling. 1986, Natl. Aeronaut. Sp. Adm.
1198	Goddard Sp. Flight Center, Inst. Sp. Stud. NASA Tech. Memo., 87802, 32, 1986.
1199	
1200	
1201	
1202	
1203	
1204	
1205	
1206	
1207	
1208	
1209	
1210	
1211	
1212	
1213	
1214	

- -



D (mm² day⁻¹) (mm day (mm day $D (mm^2 day^{-1})$ 2.0 2.0 ō] 1 _ ▼Drainage ō X1 ▼ Exclude drainage Fig. 1. Schematic of the hydrology module in ORCHIDEE. (a) water balance components in

(a) a soil tile with either trees or grasses, (b) a peatland soil tile. Black dashed lines indicate
the position of nodes in the eleven soil layers of the model. Blue lines: vertical profile of
saturated hydraulic conductivity for different soil textures. Green lines: diffusivity for
different soil textures. Vertical axis indicates soil depth, the horizontal axis indicates values
of saturated hydraulic conductivity (K, mm day-1) and diffusivity (D, mm2 day-1), and scales
are logarithmic based 10.



Fig. 2. The distribution of 30 peatland sites used in this study. Triangles are bogs; circles are
fens; squares are tundra and marsh. Colors of the markers indicate peatland fractions in the
0.5 ° grid cell. Mean air temperatures is the annual mean from 1999 to 2015, based on the
6-hourly CRU-NCEP 0.5 ° global database.

1252

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.3 (a) GPP (c) NEE (b)ER 0.4 0.5 orrelation 1.2 relation relation Standard deviation .0 .0 .0 .0 Standard deviation 8.0 8.1 7 8.0 Standard deviation 8 2.1 5.1 9.1 0.95 0.95 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.99 99.099 99.99 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 0.4 0.8 1.6 2.0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6 2.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 (e) H (f) WT (d) LE 4.0 Correlation 6 0 Standard deviation 8.0 8.1 7 8.0 2.4 Standard deviation 1.8 1.2 19 ę 0.4 0.6 0.99 0.99 .99 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 2.0 3.0 Standard deviation 0.4 0.8 T 1.2 1 Standard deviation 2.0 0.6 1.2 1.8 2 Standard deviation 2.4 4.0 1.6 ★ Reference Others ∇ Fen \bigcirc Bog

Fig. 3. Taylor diagrams of: (a) GPP (g C m-2 day-1); (b) ER (g C m-2 day-1); (c) NEE (g C m-2 day-1); (d) LE (W m-2); (e) H (W m-2) and (f) Water table depth (WT, cm). All statistics were calculated using daily averaged data. All points were normalized by dividing the standard deviation of model results by the standard deviation of the corresponding measurement, thus the reference point is 1.0. Light green markers represent temperate sites, dark green markers - boreal sites, blue markers - arctic sites.

- 1261
- 1262
- 1263
- 1264





Fig. 4. Observed (x-axis) versus simulated (y-axis) fluxes (GPP, ER, NEE, LE, H, and WT) at the 22 sites where GPP derived from EC measurements were available. Fluxes were simulated using site-specific optimized Vcmax. The colors of points indicate the number of data in each bin, in panel (b) each data point represents one peatland site. The red line identifies the observations = the simulations.





1275Fig. 5. Monthly mean (averaged over 2007–2009) of (a) GPP and ecosystem respiration(ER);1276(b) NEE; (c) catotelm respiration at Lompoloj änkk ä fen site (FI-Lom). S1: simulated water1277table (WT) was used in the carbon module; S2: observed WT values (WT_{obs}) was used; ob:1278measured NEE. The graph inserted shows catotelm respiration. By convention, a source of1279CO2 to the atmosphere is a positive number.





Fig. 6. Observed and simulated daily mean NEE at FI-Lom fen site in a) S1 (Simulated WT was used in the carbon module); (b) S2 (modeled water table was assimilated to observed values (WT_{obs}) and was used in the carbon module).



- -

1311

(a) Measured soil temperature(°C) Depth (cm) 2 10 20 50 [emperature(° C) 70 10 5 0 Jan Mar May 2013 Jan Mar May Jul Sep Nov Jul Sep Nov 2012 (b) Simulated soil temperature(° C) Depth (cm) 2 10 20 50 70 Jan Mar May 2013 Jan Mar May Jul Sep Nov Jul Sep Nov 2012 (c) Difference (Simulated-Measured, °C) 12 8 4 0 2 10 20 50 70 an Mar 2012 Jan Mar 2013 May May Nov Jul Sep Nov Jan Jul Sep

1312

Fig. 7. Measured (a), simulated (b) soil temperature, and their difference (c) at DK-Nuf 1313 (64.13°, -51.39°) fen site. Soil temperature was measured at 2, 10, 20, 50 and 70 cm below 1314 soil surface. To compare simulated soil temperatures with the measurements, we linearly 1315 1316 interpolated simulated soil temperature in different layers to the depths of the measurements.

emperature(° C)

- 1317
- 1318
- 1319
- 1320
- 1321
- 1322
- 1323



Fig. 8. Measured (a), simulated (b) soil temperature, and their difference (c) at CA-Wp1 (54.95°, -112.47°) fen site. The measured soil temperature (a) is the mean of a hummock and a hollow. Soil temperature was measured at 2, 10, 20, 50 and 100 cm below soil surface. To compare simulated soil temperatures with the measurements, we linearly interpolated simulated soil temperature in different layers to the depths of the measurements.



Fig. 9. Simulated versus measured snow depth (m) at (a) DK-Nuf fen and (b) CA-Wp1 fen.

Table 1. Van Genuchten parameters used for different soil texture classes for non-peat soils (coarse, medium, fine), and for peat. θ_s is the saturated water content (m³ m⁻³), θ_r is the residual water content (m³ m⁻³); K_{s-ref} is the reference saturated hydraulic conductivity (m s⁻¹); a is the inverse of the air entry suction (m⁻¹); *n* is a dimensionless parameter. In Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, m = 1-1/n.

	$K_{s-ref}(m s^{-1})$	n	α (m ⁻¹)	$\theta_{s} (m^{3} m^{-3})$	$\theta_r (m^3 m^{-3})$
COARSE	1.23×10 ⁻⁵	1.89	7.5	0.41	0.065
MEDIUM	2.89×10 ⁻⁶	1.56	3.6	0.43	0.078
FINE	7.22×10 ⁻⁷	1.31	1.9	0.41	0.095
PEAT	2.45×10 ⁻⁵	1.38	5.07	0.90	0.15

Table 2. Sites Characteristics of the 30 peatlands (sites are sorted by latitude from south to north). The first column denotes if the site is used in the second set of simulation (S2, with water table prescribed in the model equal to observed values): y-YES, n-NO. Lat: latitude; Lon: longitude; MAT: long term mean annual air temperature; MAP: long term mean annual precipitation; Peatland fraction (%): fraction of peatland in the 0.5 ° grid cell which is read from the map of Yu et al. (2010), for cells where there is no peatland, mean fraction (22%) is used. Note that at US-Bog and US-Fen, the precipitation is growing season (from 16th May to 31th August) mean value, thus clarified as 'GS' in the table. Details of S2 and peatland fraction are provided in Sect. 3.3.

S2	Code	Lat	Lon	climatic zone	Туре	MAP (mm)	MAT(°C)	Elevation(m a.s.l.)	Peatland fraction	Period	Dominant vegetation type	LAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$	Aboveground biomass (kg m ⁻²)	Citation
n	US-WPT	41.5	-83.0	temperate	marsh	840	9.2	175	Mean	2011	grasses	area-average:	area-average:	Chu et al., 2014,
										-2013		2.3; emergent	1.94; emergent	2015
												vegettion:	vegetation area:	
												3.3; open	3.04; open water	
												water: 1.0	area: 0.44	
n	CA-Mer	45.4	-75.5	temperate	bog	944	6	70	Mean	1999	shrubs, mosses	1.5	moss: 0.144 \pm	Lafleur et al., 2005
										-2012			0.03; vascular:	
													0.356 ± 0.1	
у	US-Los	46.1	-90.0	temperate	fen	666	3.8	470	Mean	2000	trees, shrubs,	4.24	1.336	Sulman et al., 2009
										-2010	grasses			
n	LA-GUE	47.3	2.3	temperate	fen	880	11	145	Mean	2011	grasses			D'Angelo et al.,
										-2013				2016;
														Laggoun-D éfarge et
														al., 2016
У	DE-Sfn	47.8	11.3	temperate	bog	1127	8.6	590	3.01%	2012	trees, shrubs,			Hommeltenberg et
										-2014	grasses,mosses			al., 2014

у	CZ-Wet	49.0	14.8	temperate	fen	614	7.4	426.5	Mean	2007 -2013	grasses	2.45	0.57	Dušek et al., 2009
n	DE-Spw	51.9	14.0	temperate	fen	559	9.5	61	11.01%	2010 -2014	trees	3.6		Petrescu et al., 2015
у	IE-Kil	52.0	-9.9	temperate	blanket	2467	10.5	150	28.97%	2002	shrubs,grasses,	from 0.4 to		Sottocornola et al.,
					bog					-2012	mosses	0.6 in		2009; McVeigh et
												different		al., 2014
												years		
У	DE-Bou	52.7	7.2	temperate	bog	799	10	19	63.98%	2011	grasses,mosses	0.7	grass dominated:	Hurkuck et al., 2016
										-2014			$0.577 \pm 0.029;$	
													heather and moss	
													dominated:	
													$0.517.0 \pm 0.026;$	
													mixed: 0.303 \pm	
													0.015	
n	PL-Wet	52.5	16.2	temperate	fen	526	8.5	54	4.01%	2006	shrubs,grasses,			Chojnicki et al.,
										-2013	mosses			2007; Barabach,
														2012; Milecka et al.,
														2017
n	PL-Kpt	53.6	22.9	temperate	fen	600	7.1	109	Mean	2013	grasses, reeds	Sedges:		Fortuniak et al.,
										-2015	and ferns	4.3; Reeds		2017
												and Ferns:		
												4.8		
n	DE-Hmm	53.7	9.9	temperate	bog	838	9	12	15.99%	2012	90% bare peat,			Vanselow-Algan et
										-2014	10%			al., 2015
											vegetation			
											cover: trees,			
											grasses			

n	DE-Zrk	53.9	12.9	temperate	fen	584	8.7	<0.5	23.16%	2013	grasses			Franz et al., 2016
										-2014				
n	CA-Wp3	54.5	-113.3	boreal	fen	504	2.1	670	29.77%	2004	grasses,mosses	1.1	0.157	Adkinson et al.,
										-2006				2011
n	CA-Wp1	55.0	-112.5	boreal	fen	504	2.1	540	0.20%	2003	trees, shrubs,	2.6	1.08	Flanagan and Syed,
										-2009	mosses			2011
n	CA-Wp2	55.5	-112.3	boreal	fen	504	2.1	730	8.07%	2004	shrubs,grasses,	1.5	0.231	Adkinson et al.,
										-2006	mosses			2011
у	SE-faj	56.3	13.6	temperate	bog	700	6.2	140	Mean	2005	shrubs,grasses,		dwarf shrub:	Lund et al., 2007,
										-2009	mosses		0.153;Sphagnum:	2012
													0.192;	
													graminoid: 0.077	
n	FI-Sii	61.8	24.2	boreal	fen	713	3.3	162	Mean	2005	shrubs,grasses,	0.55		Aurela et al., 2007;
										-2014	mosses	(maximum		Riutta et al., 2007
												value, occurs		
												in June-July)		
n	DK-NuF	64.1	-51.4	arctic	fen	750	-1.4	40	Mean	2008	grasses,mosses	0.7		Westergaard-Nielsen
										-2014				et al., 2013
у	SE-Deg	64.2	19.6	boreal	fen	523	1.2	270	Mean	2001	shrubs,grasses,	0.47	moss: 0.065;	Sagerfors et al.,
										-2005	mosses		vascular : 0.049	2008; Nilsson et al.,
														2008; Peichl et al.,
														2014
n	US-Bog	64.7	-148.3	boreal,	bog	146	-2.2	100	28.01%	2011	trees, mosses			Euskirchen et al.,
				thermokarst		(GS)				-2015				2014
n	US-Fen	64.7	-148.3	boreal	fen	146	-2.2	100	28.01%	2011	grasses, forbs			Euskirchen et al.,
						(GS)				-2015				2014
у	FI-Lom	68.0	24.2	boreal	fen	521	-1	269	5.08%	2007	shrubs,grasses,	1.3		Aurela et al., 2009

n	SE-Sto	68.4	19.1	boreal, permafrost	bog	322	-0.14	360	Mean	2014 -2015	shrubs,grasses, mosses			Malmer et al., 2005; Olefeldt et al., 2012
n	US-Ics	68.6	-149.3	arctic,	fen	318	-7.4	920	Mean	2007	shrubs, grasses			Euskirchen et al.,
				permafrost						-2011				2012, 2016
n	RU-Che	68.6	161.3	arctic,	tundra	200	-12.5	4	64.09%	2002	shrubs, grasses	0.3 - 0.4		Corradi et al., 2005;
				permafrost		-215				-2005				Merbold et al., 2009
n	NO-And	69.1	16.0	boreal	bog	1060	3.6	17	Mean	2008	shrubs,grasses,			Lund et al., 2015
										-2014	mosses			
n	US-Bes	71.3	-156.6	arctic,	tundra	173	-12	4	Mean	2005	grasses,mosses			Zona et al., 2009
				permafrost						-2008				
n	DK-Zaf	74.5	-20.6	arctic,	fen	211	-9	35	Mean	2008	grasses,mosses	0.65	0.471	Stiegler et al., 2016
				permafrost						-2011				
n	NO-Adv	78.2	15.9	arctic,	fen	190	-6.7	17	Mean	2011	shrubs,grasses,	0.41 ± 0.12	0.85 ± 0.28	Pirk et al., 2017
				permafrost						-2014	mosses			

*For most of the sites, NEE was partitioned into GPP and ecosystem respiration following the nighttime partitioning method of Reichstein et al. (2005), except that: NO-And used a light response curve approach following Lund et al. (2015); CA-Wp1 used the Fluxnet-Canada Research Network (FCRN) standard NEE partitioning procedure following Barr et al. (2004); and DE-Spw used the online gap filling and flux partitioning tool (http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/~MDIwork/eddyproc/) which uses the method proposed by Lloyd and Taylor (1994). Note that the we grouped sedges, grasses, and herbaceous plants into one class — grasses in the table.

-2009 mosses

Site	V _{cmax}	Site	V _{cmax}
US-WPT	80	FI-Sii	19
CA-Mer	25	DK-NuF	31
US-Los	65	SE-Deg	23
DE-Sfn	45	US-Bog	42
CZ-Wet	54	US-Fen	56
DE-spw	89	FI-Lom	28
IE-Kil	28	RU-che	35
DE-Bou	34	NO-And	21
DE-Zrk	33	DK-Zaf	37
CA-Wp1	38	NO-Adv	28
SE-faj	21	PL-Kpt	52

Table 3. Optimized V_{cmax} (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at each site.

		Site-speci	ific ontimized	d V		Mean V (constant value 40 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)				
Flux	PMSD			r ²	MEE	RMSD	SDSD	I CS	r ²	MEE
TIUX	KNISD	3030		1	IVILI	RMSD			1	IVILI
		Overall	(Daily variat	oility)		1	Overall	(Daily variab	ılıty)	
GPP	1.39	0.11	1.80	0.76	0.76	2.17	0.06	4.60	0.47	0.41
ER	0.83	0.09	0.52	0.78	0.75	1.09	0.14	1.04	0.57	0.56
NEE	1.30	0.02	1.56	0.38	0.26	1.48	0.00	2.01	0.29	0.03
LE	31.67	21.65	932.76	0.42	0.14	31.67	21.19	933.95	0.42	0.14
Η	35.40	96.59	1151.28	0.24	-0.50	35.40	97.21	1150.59	0.24	-0.50
WT	25.93	10.26	661.80	0.01	-0.56	26.14	7.63	675.51	0.01	-0.59
		Across	s sites variab	ility			Across	s sites variabi	lity	
GPP	0.41	0.03	0.10	0.93	0.89	1.11	0.42	0.80	0.20	0.19
ER	0.38	0.01	0.06	0.89	0.79	0.72	0.16	0.33	0.27	0.23
NEE	0.60	0.06	0.20	0.27	-0.01	0.66	0.17	0.13	0.16	-0.21
LE	9.85	1.13	65.49	0.71	0.50	9.80	1.04	65.21	0.71	0.50
Н	14.31	2.67	155.85	0.01	-1.04	14.28	2.83	154.38	0.01	-1.03
WT	24.40	15.20	444.83	0.02	-0.82	25.10	4.65	478.84	0.03	-0.92
		Mean se	easonal varia	bility		•	Mean se	easonal variat	oility	
GPP	0.92	0.03	0.81	0.86	0.86	1.36	0.02	1.83	0.70	0.69
ER	0.51	0.05	0.22	0.86	0.86	0.65	0.05	0.37	0.77	0.77
NEE	0.80	0.00	0.64	0.61	0.54	0.95	0.01	0.88	0.50	0.35
LE	11.49	7.75	124.23	0.83	0.78	11.47	7.46	124.02	0.83	0.78
Н	17.85	65.77	252.65	0.57	0.11	17.85	66.40	252.30	0.57	0.11
WT	9.87	8.32	88.88	0.06	-1.38	9.77	12.73	82.69	0.12	-1.33
		1	Anomalies					Anomalies		
GPP	1.03	0.03	1.02	0.18	0.01	1.10	0.02	1.19	0.13	-0.13
ER	0.61	0.08	0.29	0.19	0.17	0.64	0.07	0.34	0.16	0.10
NEE	0.96	0.12	0.81	0.07	-0.07	0.99	0.12	0.85	0.04	-0.14
LE	27.43	26.14	726.25	0.07	-0.94	27.46	26.19	727.76	0.07	-0.94
Н	28.09	81.43	707.43	0.12	-1.12	28.10	82.12	707.49	0.12	-1.12
WT	13.25	0.40	174.69	0.10	-0.47	13.43	0.47	179.41	0.09	-0.51

Table 4. Model performance measures for GPP, ER, NEE, LE, H and WT. The left-hand column shows results with site-specific optimized V_{cmax} at each site, the right-hand column shows results with the fixed V_{cmax} (40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at all sites.

Table 5. Model performance measures of ER simulations for the site-by-site comparison, the comparison across sites, mean seasonal cycle and anomalies, using modeled (S1) and observed (S2) water table (WT).

	Modeled	WT used (S1)			Observed WT used (S2)					
Site	RMSD	SDSD	LCS	r^2	MEF	RMSD	SDSD	LCS	r^2	MEF	
CZ-Wet	1.45	0.86	0.87	0.81	0.68	1.51	1.05	0.79	0.81	0.66	
DE-Bou	0.78	0.03	0.50	0.69	0.64	0.77	0.03	0.50	0.69	0.65	
DE-Sfn	0.96	0.10	0.79	0.61	0.59	0.97	0.09	0.82	0.60	0.58	
FI-Lom	0.46	0.00	0.19	0.85	0.84	0.45	0.02	0.18	0.85	0.84	
IE-Kil	0.44	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.51	0.42	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.48	
SE-Deg	0.69	0.26	0.19	0.75	0.62	0.64	0.16	0.23	0.75	0.68	
SE-Faj	0.58	0.07	0.08	0.87	0.60	0.59	0.08	0.07	0.88	0.59	
US-Los	0.63	0.01	0.39	0.85	0.85	0.60	0.00	0.35	0.87	0.87	
Overall	0.79	0.09	0.51	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.09	0.51	0.78	0.76	
Across sites	0.31	0.01	0.06	0.82	0.76	0.32	0.01	0.06	0.82	0.74	
Seasonal	0.45	0.06	0.15	0.91	0.89	0.44	0.07	0.13	0.92	0.89	
Anomalies	0.62	0.07	0.31	0.21	0.19	0.63	0.08	0.31	0.20	0.17	

Table 6. Model performance measures of NEE simulations for the site-by-site comparison, the comparison across sites, mean seasonal cycle and anomalies, using modeled (S1) and observed (S2) water table (WT).

		Modele	d WT us	ed (S1)		Observed WT used (S2)					
Site	RMSD	SDSD	LCS	r^2	MEF	RMSD	SDSD	LCS	r^2	MEF	
CZ-Wet	2.97	3.61	4.38	0.46	0.37	2.86	3.22	4.27	0.50	0.41	
DE-Bou	1.30	0.02	1.40	0.31	-0.21	1.31	0.03	1.41	0.31	-0.23	
DE-Sfn	2.98	2.98	4.27	0.20	0.02	2.98	3.08	4.15	0.21	0.02	
FI-Lom	1.05	0.01	0.94	0.46	0.21	1.08	0.02	0.95	0.49	0.16	
IE-Kil	0.48	0.000	0.16	0.29	-0.37	0.49	0.002	0.16	0.32	-0.44	
SE-Deg	0.64	0.03	0.33	0.51	0.09	0.57	0.01	0.29	0.51	0.26	
SE-Faj	0.65	0.01	0.33	0.31	-0.36	0.65	0.02	0.33	0.32	-0.39	
US-Los	3.15	0.05	8.78	0.47	-3.37	3.10	0.06	8.57	0.39	-3.23	
Overall	1.95	0.20	3.52	0.02	-0.35	1.92	0.18	3.42	0.04	-0.31	
Across sites	0.67	0.27	0.16	0.40	0.29	0.65	0.26	0.14	0.46	0.32	
Seasonal	1.30	0.05	1.64	0.25	0.13	1.27	0.03	1.58	0.28	0.17	
Anomalies	1.18	0.22	1.17	0.003	-0.34	1.17	0.21	1.17	0.001	-0.33	

Variable	F-ratio	p-value	$r^{2}(\%)$
Т	4.67	0.04*	18.95
Р	0.95	0.34	4.52
NET_RAD	0.22	0.64	1.11
WUE	0.39	0.54	1.91
WB	1.35	0.26	6.32
LAT	6.08	0.023 *	23.30

Table 7. The results of the ANOVA analysis – the variance of optimized V_{cmax} in relation to chosen variables.

 \ast indicates statistical significance at a significance level of 0.05